

1.

Additional Information

Documents:

INSPECTOR GENERAL SEARCH COMMITTEE 12-3-18.docx

2.

DECEMBER 3, 2018 LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE AGENDAS

Documents:

E-12-3-18.pdf
F-12-3-18.pdf
GS-12-3-18.pdf
H-12-3-18.pdf
MA-12-3-18.pdf
PL-12-3-18.pdf
PS-12-3-18.pdf
PW-12-3-18.pdf
R-12-3-18.pdf
TV-12-3-18.pdf
VS-12-3-18.pdf

3.

ADDENDUMS

Documents:

F-12-3-18 ADDENDUM (1).pdf
H-12-3-18 ADDENDUM (1).pdf
R-12-3-18 ADDENDUM (1).pdf

4.

R7518 Informaiton

Documents:

R7518-PLANNING DEV ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE.pdf

R7518-PLANNING DEV _ ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE.pdf
R7518-PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE.pdf
R7518-PUBLIC WORKS AND PARKS COMMITTEE.pdf
R7518-RULES.pdf
R7518-FINANCE COMMITTEE.pdf
R7518-HEALTH_ SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE.pdf
R7518-INSPECTOR GENERAL COMMITTEE.pdf

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

INSPECTOR GENERAL SEARCH COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Presiding Officer Richard Nicoello

Alternate Deputy Presiding Officer Howard Kopel

Denise Ford

Minority Leader Kevan Abrahams

Arnold Drucker

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
PROCEDURAL 30-2018	LE	IG	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 30-2018 AS TO PROCEDURE</u> A RESOLUTION AS TO PROCEDURE TO APPOINT THE NASSAU COUNTY INSPECTOR GENERAL

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, LABOR AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Tom McKevitt – Chairman
John Ferretti – Vice Chairman
Steve Rhoads
Denise Ford
Siela Bynoe – Ranking
Ellen Birnbaum
Debra Mule

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

THERE ARE NO ITEMS ON THIS COMMITTEE AT THIS TIME

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

FINANCE COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Howard Kopel - Chairman

Vincent Muscarella – Vice Chairman

Tom McKevitt

Rose Marie Walker

Ellen Birnbaum – Ranking

Arnold Drucker

Debra Mule

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	Summary
577-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. 577-18(OMB)
579-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 579-18(AS)
580-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 580-18(AS)
581-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 581-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
582-18	AS	F, R	<p><u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u></p> <p>A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 582-18(AS)</p>
583-18	AS	F, R	<p><u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u></p> <p>A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 583-18(AS)</p>
584-18	OMB	F, R	<p><u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u></p> <p>A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 584-18(OMB)</p>
585-18	AS	F, R	<p><u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u></p> <p>A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE CITY OF GLEN COVE TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 585-18(AS)</p>

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	Summary
586-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 586-18(AS)
587-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 587-18(AS)
588-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 588-18(AS)
589-18	PK	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS AND THE ARTISTS IN PARTNERSHIP, INC. 589-18(PK)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
590-18	PK	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS AND THE PUBLIC ACCESS TELEVISION CORPORATION. 590-18(PK)
591-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 591-18(OMB)
592-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 592-18(OMB)
593-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 593-18(OMB)
594-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 594-18(OMB)
595-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 595-18(OMB)
596-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 596-18(OMB)
597-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE LITIGATION FUND. 597-18(OMB)
598-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE LITIGATION FUND. 598-18(OMB)
599-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK. 599-18(OMB)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
600-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. 600-18(OMB)
601-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 601-18(OMB)
602-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 602-18(OMB)
603-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 603-18(OMB)
609-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 609-18(AS)
610-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 610-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
612-18	AT	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIM OF PLAINTIFFS, AS SET FORTH IN THE ACTION ENTITLED SHAUB GREENAWAY, SHARON KNIGHT AND AVERY KNIGHT V. COUNTY OF NASSAU, NASSAU COUNTY POLICE OFFICERS VINCENT PAPA, RONALD SCHMITT, CLARENCE HUDSON AND WILLIAM STIO, AND VILLAGE OF HEMPSTEAD AND VILLAGE OF HEMPSTEAD POLICE OFFICERS FRANE READO AND WALTER OHR, CIVIL DOCKET NO.11-CV-02024 PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 612-18(AT)
613-18	PK	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS AND THE FRIENDS OF TACKAPAUSHA, INC. 613-18(PK)
614-18	AT	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIM WITH DEFENDANTS, AS SET FORTH IN THE ACTION ENTITLED NASSAU COUNTY V. NEW YORK STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT CORP. D/B/A EMPIRE STATE DEVELOPMENT CORP., ET AL., INDEX NO: 2750/2004 PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 614-18(AT)
615-18	AT	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIM OF PLAINTIFFS, AS SET FORTH IN THE ACTION ENTITLED JORGE RAMIREZ V. COUNTY OF NASSAU INDEX NO: 3770/2012 PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 615-18(AT)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
616-18	PW/PL	PL, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE RELEASE OF CASH IN LIEU OF SURETY BOND IN THE AMOUNT OF \$154,518.02 AND RELEASE OF CASH ESCROW DEPOSIT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,840.76 FOR A SUBDIVISION KNOWN AS "MAP OF SEAFORD VILLAS", LOCATED AT THE WEST SIDE OF JACKSON AVENUE AND 365 FEET NORTH OF MERRICK ROAD, HAMLET OF SEAFORD, TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD, COUNTY OF NASSAU, NEW YORK. 616-18(PW/PL)
617-18	PW	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #1 FOR FEDERAL-AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0760.81, TRAFFIC SIGNAL EXPANSION PHASE 4, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 617-18(PW)
618-18	PW	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #2 FOR FEDERAL-AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0760.28, OLD COUNTRY ROAD SIGNALS PHASE 1, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 618-18(PW)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
619-18	PW	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION APPROVING A REVISED SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #2 FOR FEDERAL- AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0759.98, TRAFFIC SIGNAL EXPANSION PHASE 6, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 619-18(PW)
620-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 620-18(OMB)
621-18	PD	PS, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 621-18(PD)
622-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 622-18(OMB)
623-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 623-18(OMB)
624-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE FIRE COMMISSION. 624-18(OMB)
625-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 625-18(OMB)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
626-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE GENERAL FUND. 626-18(OMB)
627-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. 627-18(OMB)
628-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. 628-18(OMB)
639-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 639-18(OMB)
640-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. 640-18(OMB)
			<u>THE FOLLOWING ITEM MAY BE UNTABLED</u>
267-18	LE	F, R	<u>PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2018</u> A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND TITLE 57 OF THE MISCELLANEOUS LAWS OF NASSAU COUNTY REGARDING THE NASSAU COUNTY LIVING WAGE CONTINGENCY FUND. 267-18(LE)

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

GOVERNMENT SERVICES & OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

James Kennedy - Chairman

Denise Ford – Vice Chairwoman

Tom McKevitt

John Ferretti

Ellen Birnbaum – Ranking

Arnold Drucker

Joshua Lafazan

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

THERE ARE NO ITEMS ON THIS COMMITTEE AT THIS TIME

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Rose Marie Walker – Chairwoman

James Kennedy – Vice Chairman

Laura Schaefer

C. William Gaylor III

Delia DeRiggi-Whitton – Ranking

Arnold Drucker

Joshua Lafazan

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
440-18	LE	H, R	<u>PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. – 2018</u> A LOCAL LAW TO REQUIRE CERTAIN COUNTY EMPLOYEES TO RECEIVE MENTAL HEALTH FIRST AID TRAINING. 440-18(LE)
595-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 595-18(OMB)
596-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 596-18(OMB)
601-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 601-18(OMB)

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

MINORITY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Steve Rhoads – Chairman

Rose Marie Walker – Vice Chairwoman

James Kennedy

Denise Ford

Siela Bynoe – Ranking

Kevan Abrahams

Debra Mule

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

THERE ARE NO ITEMS ON THIS COMMITTEE AT THIS TIME

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND THE ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Laura Schaefer - Chairwoman
Tom McKevitt - Vice Chairman
Steven Rhoads
Denise Ford
Arnold Drucker – Ranking
Joshua Lafazan
Siela Bynoe

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
540-18	CE	PL, R	<p><u>ORDINANCE NO. -2018</u></p> <p>AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE A SECOND AMENDMENT TO AMENDED AND RESTATED COLISEUM LEASE, BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AS LANDLORD, AND NASSAU EVENTS CENTER, LLC, AS TENANT, OF CERTAIN PREMISES LOCATED IN UNIONDALE, TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD, COUNTY OF NASSAU, STATE OF NEW YORK, SAID PROPERTY KNOWN AS SECTION 44, BLOCK F, LOTS 351 AND 415 ON THE LAND AND TAX MAP OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE ALL PERTINENT DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. 540-18(CE)</p>
616-18	PW/PL	PL, F, R	<p><u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u></p> <p>A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE RELEASE OF CASH IN LIEU OF SURETY BOND IN THE AMOUNT OF \$154,518.02 AND RELEASE OF CASH ESCROW DEPOSIT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,840.76 FOR A SUBDIVISION KNOWN AS "MAP OF SEAFORD VILLAS", LOCATED AT THE WEST SIDE OF JACKSON AVENUE AND 365 FEET NORTH OF MERRICK ROAD, HAMLET OF SEAFORD, TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD, COUNTY OF NASSAU, NEW YORK. 616-18(PW/PL)</p>

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Denise Ford - Chairwoman

Steve Rhoads - Vice Chairman

Vincent Muscarella

John Ferretti

Delia DeRiggi-Whitton - Ranking

Siela Bynoe

Debra Mule

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
577-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE. 577-18(OMB)
591-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 591-18(OMB)
592-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 592-18(OMB)
593-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 593-18(OMB)
600-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. 600-18(OMB)
621-18	PD	PS, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 621-18(PD)
624-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE FIRE COMMISSION. 624-18(OMB)
627-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE. 627-18(OMB)
640-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. 640-18(OMB)
			<u>THE FOLLOWING ITEM MAY BE UNTABLED</u>

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
201-18	TV	PS, F, R	<u>PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2018</u> A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF NASSAU COUNTY WITH RESPECT TO THE IMMOBILIZATION AND REMOVAL OF VEHICLES OF SCOFFLAWS. 201-18(TV)

**NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
12th TERM MEETING AGENDA**

**PUBLIC WORKS AND PARKS
COMMITTEE**

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

**Vincent Muscarella – Chairman
C. William Gaylor III – Vice Chairman
Laura Schaefer
James Kennedy
Siela Bynoe – Ranking
Arnold Drucker
Joshua Lafazan**

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
613-18	PK	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS AND THE FRIENDS OF TACKAPAUSHA, INC. 613-18(PK)
617-18	PW	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #1 FOR FEDERAL-AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0760.81, TRAFFIC SIGNAL EXPANSION PHASE 4, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 617-18(PW)
618-18	PW	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #2 FOR FEDERAL-AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0760.28, OLD COUNTRY ROAD SIGNALS PHASE 1, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 618-18(PW)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
619-18	PW	PW, F, R	<p><u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u></p> <p>A RESOLUTION APPROVING A REVISED SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #2 FOR FEDERAL- AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0759.98, TRAFFIC SIGNAL EXPANSION PHASE 6, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 619-18(PW)</p>

PUBLIC WORKS

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

RULES COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Richard Nicoletto – Chairman

Howard Kopel – Vice Chairman

Steve Rhoads

Laura Schaefer

Kevan Abrahams – Ranking

Delia DeRiggi-Whitton

Siela Bynoe

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
440-18	LE	H, R	<u>PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. – 2018</u> A LOCAL LAW TO REQUIRE CERTAIN COUNTY EMPLOYEES TO RECEIVE MENTAL HEALTH FIRST AID TRAINING. 440-18(LE)
540-18	CE	PL, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO. -2018</u> AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE A SECOND AMENDMENT TO AMENDED AND RESTATED COLISEUM LEASE, BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AS LANDLORD, AND NASSAU EVENTS CENTER, LLC, AS TENANT, OF CERTAIN PREMISES LOCATED IN UNIONDALE, TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD, COUNTY OF NASSAU, STATE OF NEW YORK, SAID PROPERTY KNOWN AS SECTION 44, BLOCK F, LOTS 351 AND 415 ON THE LAND AND TAX MAP OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE ALL PERTINENT DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH. 540-18(CE)
577-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE. 577-18(OMB)
578-18	CE	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION CHANGING THE OFFICIAL NAME OF THE CHAPEL IN BUILDING “A” AT THE NASSAU COUNTY CORRECTIONAL CENTER TO “REVEREND LILLIAN FRIER-WEBB CHURCH ON THE INSIDE SPIRITUAL CENTER.” 578-18(CE)
579-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 579-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
580-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 580-18(AS)
581-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 581-18(AS)
582-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 582-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
583-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 583-18(AS)
584-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 584-18(OMB)
585-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE CITY OF GLEN COVE TO CORRECT ERRONEOUS CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 585-18(AS)
586-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 586-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
587-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 587-18(AS)
588-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 588-18(AS)
589-18	PK	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS AND THE ARTISTS IN PARTNERSHIP, INC. 589-18(PK)
590-18	PK	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS AND THE PUBLIC ACCESS TELEVISION CORPORATION. 590-18(PK)
591-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 591-18(OMB)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
592-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 592-18(OMB)
593-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 593-18(OMB)
594-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 594-18(OMB)
595-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 595-18(OMB)
596-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 596-18(OMB)
597-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE LITIGATION FUND. 597-18(OMB)
598-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE LITIGATION FUND. 598-18(OMB)
599-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK. 599-18(OMB)
600-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. 600-18(OMB)
601-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 601-18(OMB)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
602-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 602-18(OMB)
603-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 603-18(OMB)
609-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF NORTH HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 609-18(AS)
610-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 610-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
612-18	AT	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIM OF PLAINTIFFS, AS SET FORTH IN THE ACTION ENTITLED SHAUB GREENAWAY, SHARON KNIGHT AND AVERY KNIGHT V. COUNTY OF NASSAU, NASSAU COUNTY POLICE OFFICERS VINCENT PAPA, RONALD SCHMITT, CLARENCE HUDSON AND WILLIAM STIO, AND VILLAGE OF HEMPSTEAD AND VILLAGE OF HEMPSTEAD POLICE OFFICERS FRANE READO AND WALTER OHR, CIVIL DOCKET NO.11-CV-02024 PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 612-18(AT)
613-18	PK	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS AND THE FRIENDS OF TACKAPAUSHA, INC. 613-18(PK)
614-18	AT	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIM WITH DEFENDANTS, AS SET FORTH IN THE ACTION ENTITLED NASSAU COUNTY V. NEW YORK STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT CORP. D/B/A EMPIRE STATE DEVELOPMENT CORP., ET AL., INDEX NO: 2750/2004 PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 614-18(AT)
615-18	AT	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIM OF PLAINTIFFS, AS SET FORTH IN THE ACTION ENTITLED JORGE RAMIREZ V. COUNTY OF NASSAU INDEX NO: 3770/2012 PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 615-18(AT)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
616-18	PW/PL	PL, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE RELEASE OF CASH IN LIEU OF SURETY BOND IN THE AMOUNT OF \$154,518.02 AND RELEASE OF CASH ESCROW DEPOSIT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,840.76 FOR A SUBDIVISION KNOWN AS "MAP OF SEAFORD VILLAS", LOCATED AT THE WEST SIDE OF JACKSON AVENUE AND 365 FEET NORTH OF MERRICK ROAD, HAMLET OF SEAFORD, TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD, COUNTY OF NASSAU, NEW YORK. 616-18(PW/PL)
617-18	PW	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #1 FOR FEDERAL-AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0760.81, TRAFFIC SIGNAL EXPANSION PHASE 4, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 617-18(PW)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
618-18	PW	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #2 FOR FEDERAL-AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0760.28, OLD COUNTRY ROAD SIGNALS PHASE 1, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 618-18(PW)
619-18	PW	PW, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION APPROVING A REVISED SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #2 FOR FEDERAL- AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0759.98, TRAFFIC SIGNAL EXPANSION PHASE 6, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 619-18(PW)
620-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 620-18(OMB)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
621-18	PD	PS, F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 621-18(PD)
622-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 622-18(OMB)
623-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 623-18(OMB)
624-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE FIRE COMMISSION. 624-18(OMB)
625-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 625-18(OMB)
626-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE GENERAL FUND. 626-18(OMB)
627-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. 627-18(OMB)
628-18	OMB	F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. 628-18(OMB)
639-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 639-18(OMB)
640-18	OMB	PS, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. 640-18(OMB)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
641-18	PD	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO.-2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT GIFTS OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 641-18(PD)
A-1-18	PR	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF NASSAU COUNTY OFFICE OF PURCHASING TO AWARD AND EXECUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY MEDICAL EXAMINER AND AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. A-1-18
A-54-18	PR	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF NASSAU COUNTY OFFICE OF PURCHASING TO AWARD AND EXECUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE MEDICAL EXAMINER AND MORRELL INSTRUMENT CO. INC. A-54-18
A-55-18	PR	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF NASSAU COUNTY OFFICE OF PURCHASING TO AWARD AND EXECUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE MEDICAL EXAMINER AND I. MILLER PRECISION OPTICAL INSTRUMENTS INC. A-55-18
A-56-18	PR	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF NASSAU COUNTY OFFICE OF PURCHASING TO AWARD AND EXECUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE MEDICAL EXAMINER AND FOSTER & FREEMAN USA, INC. A-56-18
A-59-18	PR	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF NASSAU COUNTY OFFICE OF PURCHASING TO AWARD AND EXECUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND JACK DOHENY COMPANIES, INC. A-59-18

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
A-60-18	PR	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF NASSAU COUNTY OFFICE OF PURCHASING TO AWARD AND EXECUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY CORRECTIONAL CENTER AND CREAM-O-LAND DAIRIES, LLC. A-60-18
E-119-18	HS	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, OFFICE OF MENTAL HEALTH, CHEMICAL DEPENDENCY, AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES SERVICES, AND FEDERATION OF ORGANIZATIONS. E-119-18
E-120-18	IT	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, AND IIT INC. E-120-18
E-121-18	TS	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE TRAFFIC SAFETY AND NEW YORK COALITION FOR TRANSPORTATION SAFETY. E-121-18
E-122-18	PW	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, DIVISION OF REAL ESTATE SERVICES AND SMITH & DEGROAT REAL ESTATE. E-122-18.

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
E-123-18	IT	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, AND SIERRA-CEDAR, INC. E-123-18
E-124-18	PE	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES, AND AETNA LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY. E-124-18
E-125-18	CO	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COMPTROLLER AND RR HEALTH STRATEGIES, LLC, AS SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO COMPLETE MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS, LLC (“RR HEALTH”). E-125-18
E-126-18	PK	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A USE AND OCCUPANCY AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS AND HOBIESWIM CORPORATION. E-126-18
E-127-18	PW	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND LOUIS. K. MCLEAN ASSOCIATES ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS, PC. E-127-18
E-128-18	PW	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND NV5 NEW YORK – ENGINEERS, ARCHITECTS, LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND SURVEYORS. E-128-18

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
E-129-18	PW	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND LOCKWOOD, KESSLER & BARTLETT, INC. E-129-18
E-130-18	PW	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND LIRO ENGINEERS, INC. E-130-18
U-27-18	TS	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE TRAFFIC SAFETY AND NEW YORK COALITION FOR TRANSPORTATION SAFETY. U-27-18
U-28-18	SS	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, AND LONG ISLAND MARRIOTT HOTEL. U-28-18
			THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MAY BE UNTABLED
65-18	LE	R	<u>PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. – 2018</u> A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE IN RELATION TO DEPOSITS OF MATERIAL ON COUNTY ROADS. 65-18(LE)
A-22-18	PR	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF NASSAU COUNTY OFFICE OF PURCHASING TO AWARD AND EXECUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND SPRAGUE OPERATING RESOURCES LLC. A-22-18

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
B-4-18	PW	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO AWARD AND EXECUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND WELSBACH ELECTRIC CORP. OF L.I. B-4-18
E-2-18	PW	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, AND HAKS ENGINEERS, ARCHITECTS AND LAND SURVEYORS, P.C. E-2-18
E-46-18	TV	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY TRAFFIC AND PARKING VIOLATIONS AGENCY AND CAMPANELLI & ASSOCIATES P.C. E-46-18
E-52-18	PW	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, AND ARCADIS OF NEW YORK, INC. E-52-18
E-117-18	AT	R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO A PERSONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE NASSAU COUNTY ATTORNEY AND VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS. E-117-18

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

TOWNS, VILLAGES & CITIES COMMITTEE

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

C. William Gaylor III– Chairman

Laura Schaefer – Vice Chairwoman

James Kennedy

Vincent Muscarella

Joshua Lafazan – Ranking

Ellen Birnbaum

Delia DeRiggi-Whitton

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

THERE ARE NO ITEMS ON THIS COMMITTEE AT THIS TIME

**NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
12TH TERM MEETING AGENDA**

**VETERANS
AND SENIOR AFFAIRS
COMMITTEE**

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

**John Ferretti – Chairman
C. William Gaylor III– Vice Chairman
Rose Marie Walker
Steve Rhoads
Debra Mule - Ranking
Delia DeRiggi-Whitton
Ellen Birnbaum**

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

THERE ARE NO ITEMS ON THIS COMMITTEE AT THIS TIME

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

FINANCE COMMITTEE

ADDENDUM

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Howard Kopel - Chairman

Vincent Muscarella – Vice Chairman

Tom McKevitt

Rose Marie Walker

Ellen Birnbaum – Ranking

Arnold Drucker

Debra Mule

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
629-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR SURVEY, AND/OR DEMOLITION AND/OR SECURING UNSAFE PREMISES AND/OR CLEARING COSTS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 629-18(AS)
630-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR SURVEY, AND/OR DEMOLITION AND/OR SECURING UNSAFE PREMISES AND/OR CLEARING COSTS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 630-18(AS)
631-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN FOR THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY FOR SURVEY, AND/OR DEMOLITION AND/OR SECURING UNSAFE PREMISES AND/OR CLEARING COSTS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 631-18(AS)
632-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 632-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
633-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 633-18(AS)
634-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 634-18(AS)
635-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 635-18(AS)
636-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 636-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
637-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 637-18(AS)
638-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO FIX THE TIME AND DATE ON WHICH CONSOLIDATED TAX WARRANTS SHALL BE ISSUED TO THE TOWN AND CITY RECEIVERS OF TAXES FOR THE COLLECTION OF TAXES AND ASSESSMENT LEVIED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE AND EXTENDED BY THE COUNTY ASSESSOR; PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 638-18(AS)
643-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 643-18(OMB)
644-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 644-18(OMB)
645-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 645-18(OMB)
646-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 646-18(OMB)
647-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 647-18(OMB)
648-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 648-18(OMB)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
649-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 649-18(OMB)
650-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 650-18(OMB)

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Rose Marie Walker – Chairwoman

James Kennedy – Vice Chairman

Laura Schaefer

C. William Gaylor III

Delia Deriggi-Whitton – Ranking

Arnold Drucker

Joshua Lafazan

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
643-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 643-18(OMB)
644-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 644-18(OMB)
645-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 645-18(OMB)
646-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 646-18(OMB)
647-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 647-18(OMB)
648-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 648-18(OMB)

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

12th TERM MEETING AGENDA

RULES COMMITTEE

ADDENDUM

DECEMBER 3, 2018 1:00 PM

Richard Nicoletto – Chairman

Howard Kopel – Vice Chairman

Steve Rhoads

Laura Schaefer

Kevan Abrahams – Ranking

Delia DeRiggi-Whitton

Siela Bynoe

Michael C. Pulitzer, Clerk of the Legislature

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
629-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR SURVEY, AND/OR DEMOLITION AND/OR SECURING UNSAFE PREMISES AND/OR CLEARING COSTS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 629-18(AS)
630-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR SURVEY, AND/OR DEMOLITION AND/OR SECURING UNSAFE PREMISES AND/OR CLEARING COSTS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 630-18(AS)
631-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN FOR THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY FOR SURVEY, AND/OR DEMOLITION AND/OR SECURING UNSAFE PREMISES AND/OR CLEARING COSTS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 631-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
632-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF OYSTER BAY FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 632-18(AS)
633-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 633-18(AS)
634-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 634-18(AS)
635-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 635-18(AS)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
636-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 636-18(AS)
637-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE ASSESSOR OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXTEND ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT ROLL OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE YEAR TWO THOUSAND AND NINETEEN, FOR THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD AMOUNTS ASSESSED BY THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD FOR CONSTRUCTION OR RECONSTRUCTION OF SIDEWALKS; PURSUANT TO THE TOWN LAW AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 637-18(AS)
638-18	AS	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. -2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO FIX THE TIME AND DATE ON WHICH CONSOLIDATED TAX WARRANTS SHALL BE ISSUED TO THE TOWN AND CITY RECEIVERS OF TAXES FOR THE COLLECTION OF TAXES AND ASSESSMENT LEVIED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE AND EXTENDED BY THE COUNTY ASSESSOR; PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 638-18(AS)
643-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 643-18(OMB)
644-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 644-18(OMB)
645-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 645-18(OMB)

Clerk Item No.	Proposed By	Assigned To	<u>Summary</u>
646-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT. 646-18(OMB)
647-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 647-18(OMB)
648-18	OMB	H, F, R	<u>ORDINANCE NO.-2018</u> AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 648-18(OMB)
649-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 649-18(OMB)
650-18	OMB	F, R	<u>RESOLUTION NO. 2018</u> A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018. 650-18(OMB)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

RICHARD NICOLELLO
PRESIDING OFFICER

PLANNING DEVELOPMENT AND THE ENVIRONMENT COMMITTEE

LEGISLATOR LAURA SCHAEFER
CHAIR

RULES COMMITTEE
LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO
CHAIR

Theodore Roosevelt Building
1550 Franklin Avenue
Mineola, New York

December 3, 2018

4:42 P.M.

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S:

3

4 PLANNING DEVELOPMEN AND THE ENVIRONMENT

5

6 LEGISLATOR TOM MCKEVITT

7 Vice Chair

8

9 LEGISLATOR WILLIAM GAYLOR III

10 Chair

11

12 LEGISLATOR STEVEN RHOADS

13

14 LEGISLATOR DENISE FORD

15

16 LEGISLATOR ARNOLD DRUCKER

17 Ranking member

18

19 LEGISLATOR JOSHUA LAFAZAN

20

21 LEGISLATOR SEILA BYNOE

22

23

24

25

1

2

3 RULES COMMITTEE

4

5

6 LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO

7 Chair

8

9 LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL

10 Vice Chair

11

12 LEGISLATOR STEVEN RHOADS

13

14 LEGISLATOR LAURA SCHAEFER

15

16 LEGISLATOR KEVAN ABRAHAMS

17 Ranking member

18

19 LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

20

21 LEGISLATOR SIELA BYNOE

22

23

24

25

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think we
3 are doing the Planning Committee and all
4 legislators will be allowed to participate
5 with respect to the Coliseum.

6 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: We will now
7 commence the meeting of the Planning
8 Development and the Environment Committee and
9 ask the clerk to please call the roll.

10 MR. PULITZER: Thank you. Roll
11 call. Legislator Siela Bynoe.

12 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.

13 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Joshua
14 Lafazan.

15 LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.

16 MR. PULITZER: Ranking member
17 Arnold Drucker.

18 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.

19 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Denise
20 Ford.

21 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.

22 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Steven
23 Rhoads.

24 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.

25 MR. PULITZER: Vice Chairman

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 Thomas McKevitt.

3 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.

4 MR. PULITZER: Substituting for
5 Chairwoman Laura Schaefer is C. William Gaylor
6 the third.

7 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Here.

8 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: What we are
9 going to do is start, for Planning purposes,
10 the second item on the calendar, which is
11 616-18. This is a resolution authorizing the
12 release of cash in lieu of surety bond as well
13 as a release of cash escrow deposit for a
14 subdivision known as map of Seaford Villas on
15 the west side of Jackson Avenue in Seaford.

16 Do I have a motion? Moved on
17 behalf of Mr. Gaylor. Second by Mr. Drucker.
18 From the administration please.

19 MR. KATZ: My name is Martin
20 Katz. I'm with the Nassau County DPW,
21 Division of Planning. As you correctly
22 stated, this is for the release of cash in
23 lieu of bond and release of cash escrow for
24 public improvements that are part of the
25 Seaford Villas and attached six unit

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 condominium subdivision located in the Hamlet
3 of Seaford in the town of Hempstead. This
4 cash in lieu of bond to be released is in the
5 amount of \$154,518.02. The cash escrow to be
6 released is in the amount of \$6,840.76.

7 The Nassau County Planning
8 Commission approved the final subdivision map
9 in December of 2016. The applicant billed for
10 apply for release of cash in lieu of bond and
11 release of cash escrow in July 20, 2018. All
12 bonded public improvements were inspected by
13 Nassau County DPW chief inspector. And in a
14 memo dated September 5, 2018 determined that
15 all public improvements had been completed and
16 found to be in compliance with all codes and
17 standards and such public improvements,
18 include paving, sidewalks, curbing, storm
19 drains, sanitary sewer and water mains,
20 drywells, fencing, streets and street signs to
21 name most of them.

22 And in a duly noticed public
23 hearing held on October 18, 2018 the Nassau
24 County Planning Commission recommended the
25 release of cash in lieu of bond and cash

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 escrow in the aforementioned amounts. No one
3 appeared in opposition to this release.

4 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Any
5 questions? Any public comment? Hearing none,
6 all in favor signify by saying aye. All
7 against? Passes unanimously.

8 At this point we are going to go to
9 item 540-18. This will be a joint meeting of
10 the Rules Committee. So I will turn it over
11 to the Presiding Officer at this point.

12 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Call the
13 item and then you will call the item for your
14 committee as well. 540 2018 is an ordinance
15 authorizing the county executive on behalf of
16 the county to execute a second amendment to
17 amended and restated Coliseum lease between
18 the county of Nassau, as landlord, and Nassau
19 Events Center LLC, as tenant, of certain
20 premises located in Uniondale, Town of
21 Hempstead.

22 Rules Committee. That's moved by
23 Legislator Ford. Seconded by Legislator
24 Bynoe.

25 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: On behalf

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 of the Planning Committee, moved by Legislator
3 Rhoads and seconded by Legislator Lafazan.

4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Invite the
5 administration up for their portion of the
6 presentation.

7 MS. TSIMIS: Good afternoon
8 Presiding Officer Nicoletto, Minority Leader
9 Abrahams, members of the legislation. My name
10 is Evelyn Tsimis. I'm Deputy County Executive
11 for Economic Development. I am joined by Dan
12 Grippo, our chief municipal transactions.
13 Sean Sallie, Deputy Commissioner for
14 Planning. And Josh Meyer, the county's
15 outside counsel on this matter.

16 Thank you for the opportunity to
17 speak with you today and for holding a full
18 legislative hearing last week on the
19 administration's proposed crucial first steps
20 in the Hub development process. We felt a
21 great deal of enthusiasm in the room last week
22 from labor, from business, from community
23 members, from members of the public and the
24 stakeholders as well as many of you. All of
25 whom want to see us finally make some progress

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 on the site.

3 We are presently reviewing the very
4 valuable input on our proposal that was
5 received at the hearings as well as in the
6 many meetings that the development team has
7 had over the last several weeks. We are
8 working to make changes to the documents
9 before you in order to address a number of
10 issues which we will submit prior to your
11 scheduled vote on the 17th of December.

12 We have been asked to focus our
13 remarks today on the process the county
14 utilized for getting to the point we are today
15 with the development plan agreement and the
16 Hub lease amendments and to outline next steps
17 for getting shovels in the ground.

18 We have a presentation. Obviously
19 we would like to run through it. If you have
20 questions along the way let us know. I will
21 be speaking as well as Josh Meyer and the four
22 of us can answer questions.

23 As you heard last week, the
24 proposed second lease amendment and
25 development plan agreement create a framework

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 for action by the county and the development
3 team collaboratively. The DPA includes
4 milestones and the time frame to keeping the
5 process moving forward with prompt negotiation
6 on key items, including financial terms with
7 the county, community benefits and labor
8 agreements. The DPA is a first step in the
9 development of the Hub. But we want to
10 reiterate that it is just that. Not only do
11 we need the legislature to be our partner in
12 taking this crucial first step, but we hope
13 this is just the beginning of a partnership
14 that's exciting and productive as we move
15 forward.

16 Like any negotiated agreement, the
17 DPA is intended to strike a balance among the
18 parties. Here the DPA has been written to
19 give the development team standing to pursue
20 development, while also ensuring that they
21 prioritize some items that we think are
22 particularly important to get us started.

23 These phase one actions include
24 proposing a transformational plan for the site
25 in order to draw down available state money

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 for parking that's structured on the site.
3 Which has been critical to getting this
4 project started for several years now. And
5 they're also being charged with gaining the
6 support with the Town of Hempstead on key
7 development plan documents.

8 We are extremely pleased that
9 Northwell has expressed in establishing an
10 innovation center at the Hub. This center
11 clearly aligns with the county's interest in
12 attracting a life sciences or medical employer
13 to the site and it is the linchpin to for
14 getting available state funding. Moreover,
15 the announcement offers tangible proof that
16 our partners in this development, BSE Global
17 and RXR, are as committed as we are in getting
18 this first stage off the ground. Should all
19 go well and we unlock the state funding and
20 free up the acreage for future development,
21 our partners will be positioned to come back
22 to the county to initiate further phases and
23 the legislature will be involved in this
24 process every step of the way.

25 As we have been asked to focus our

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 comments on the process that led us to this
3 point, we must begin with the backdrop of the
4 county's prior actions to develop this site
5 which came before the current administration
6 as you well know. Most important are actions
7 taken in 2013 when the county conducted an RFP
8 and subsequently selected a team to manage the
9 Coliseum and develop the site. As part of
10 these actions the county entered into a 49
11 year lease with NEC. Now an indirect
12 majority-owned subsidiary of BSE Global. The
13 lease included future development rights on
14 the Hub site but recognized any future
15 development would still be subject to approval
16 of the legislature. To be sure, the Hub site
17 is certainly ripe for the development but it
18 is hardly a blank slate.

19 Let's spend a few moments on
20 Section 54. Everyone talks about it and we're
21 just going to run through that a little bit.

22 The lease permits either the county
23 or NEC for further development and for excess
24 parking areas subject to agreement of terms
25 and conditions for any development and

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 approval of the legislature. If the tenant
3 proposes a plan, that would be BSE Global, the
4 county has an obligation included in Section
5 54 to enter into good faith negotiations
6 regarding that plan. If the county and tenant
7 are unable to come to terms, the county may
8 develop with another partner. But there are
9 still built in protections within the lease to
10 make sure the Coliseum tenant is able to run
11 the Coliseum. And that includes items like
12 use of the parking areas. Again, the most
13 expeditious way to proceed is in a partnership
14 with the Coliseum tenant rather than going on
15 a separate track.

16 Speaking of tracks, the county and
17 the developer, I should say NEC, during the
18 course of this year has been very upfront with
19 the county about their plans to develop the
20 Hub and utilize their existing lease rights.
21 BSE Global, as led by Brett Yormark, and
22 according to his conversations with you last
23 week, they were very interested in developing
24 the site. They have told the administration
25 that they intended to do so and that they were

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 beginning the process of interviewing
3 potential partners.

4 On a separate track, this was
5 earlier in the year as you know, the
6 administration was working to understanding
7 our options for jump starting Hub
8 development. In February we convened a
9 committee to advise the county executive on
10 how best to proceed. During the spring, the
11 county executive considered whether or not to
12 continue a separate lease for the 11 acre site
13 known as The Plaza. We outlined the details
14 of that lease as well as this larger site
15 lease for you at a hearing that you held in
16 May, and we discussed all the various options
17 for what the county could do as next steps.

18 In June, the administration issued
19 the Nassau Hub Request for Expressions of
20 Interest. So as I'm saying, really there were
21 two different parallel tracks going on. BSE
22 was having their interviews, discussing their
23 plans to pursue development. But in meantime
24 the county continued to move forward. As
25 we've all discussed, there was considerable

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 interest in us doing so.

3 I believe last week we did hand out
4 copies of the RFEI, Request for Expressions of
5 Interest. I hope that you've all had a chance
6 to see it. It was a public document posted on
7 the county's website. But I will describe for
8 you a little bit what was in the Request for
9 Expressions of Interest.

10 During meetings of the county
11 executive's Hub advisory group, several
12 committee members had suggested an RFEI as a
13 way to spur interest in Hub development and
14 gather ideas. The thinking was that an RFEI,
15 as opposed to an RFP, which is a much less
16 formal document, it prompts discussion, it's
17 commonly used in the industry as a way to
18 generate some interest and sort of see what's
19 out there. Sort of take the temperature of
20 what might be ideas for the Hub.

21 The RFEI we issued in June offered
22 an overview of the site and outlined the
23 county executive's vision for freeing up
24 excess parking for development of a mixed use
25 district with live, work, play aspects. These

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 were intended to complement existing
3 activities at the site.

4 We described the investments that
5 BSE had made in the Coliseum and our
6 excitement about the return of the Islanders.
7 We outlined the fact that Memorial Sloan
8 Kettering is opening a new facility there and
9 the Hub's excellent location in the county.
10 We highlighted the importance of the
11 transformational plan, of the ability to draw
12 down the state funding and we even made a
13 direct pitch in the document for a tenant. We
14 said if there's someone out there who is
15 interested in opening either a life sciences
16 or medical-related facility we believe that's
17 the kind of development that will help us
18 bring in the state money. We were very direct
19 about our interest in that.

20 While the focus of the RFEI was on
21 a live, work, play district, that was based on
22 everything we heard from the various experts
23 in the field, we did leave the door open for
24 other ideas. We mentioned a convention
25 center. Some sort of tourist attraction and

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 other use of the site. Essentially we sort of
3 had an idea of what we were thinking to do and
4 what we heard from everyone one we should do,
5 but we felt it was important to leave a door
6 open just in case there were other ideas.

7 We asked for short ten page maximum
8 overview of firm qualifications and high level
9 outline of ideas for the Hub. It was not an
10 RFP and it did not require detailed
11 proposals. It also did not require any
12 financial proposals. The RFEI also clearly
13 referenced the existing Coliseum site lease
14 and outlined the county's intent to work
15 cooperatively with our tenant, BSE, to develop
16 the site.

17 Now I will tell you a little bit
18 about what we received. The county received
19 responses in mid August. These were reviewed
20 by a small team of staff from the county
21 executive's office, county attorney and
22 Planning. The county's consider the RFEI as
23 part of an ongoing procurement and we have
24 therefore not publicly disclosed the responses
25 or the names of those who responded. Other

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 than a few specific instances where the party
3 themselves wished to be named.

4 Nevertheless, we are glad to offer
5 you a picture of what we received. In all we
6 received 17 responses. Eight are what I would
7 describe as comprehensive visions of a mixed
8 use development. So RXR was in that bucket.
9 Nine were more narrow proposals, focusing on a
10 specific aspect of development. There were
11 proposals from retail experts, housing
12 developers, engineering firms, a
13 sustainability expert, a parking operator and
14 one possible employer tenant. Although the
15 RFEI certainly left the door open to all
16 ideas, the responses did offer a very
17 consistent theme in favor of a mixed use,
18 live, work, play district to complement
19 existing activities at the Hub.

20 I should note that several
21 respondents in each of the two categories
22 indicated that they had meet with BSE Global
23 and were hoping to pursue cooperative
24 proposals for the site. Several respondents
25 actually asked for us to make introductions

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 for them to BSE Global. Which we did.

3 In August, those two tracks, BSE
4 doing their interviews and the close of the
5 RFEI process, came together. In its response
6 to the RFEI, RXR had indicated that it had
7 forged a joint venture partnership with BSE
8 Global to pursue a comprehensive mixed use
9 district at the Hub.

10 Several days later BSE, Brett
11 Yormark, confirmed in a letter to the county
12 that they intended to pursue development at
13 the Hub utilizing the rights they have under
14 their Coliseum lease Section 54 that we've
15 referenced. BSE's notice to the county that
16 it intended to exercise its leasehold rights
17 to pursue future development effectively
18 stayed the RFEI process and triggered the
19 county's obligation to negotiate with BSE.
20 That process has led to the development plan
21 agreement that's before you for your
22 consideration.

23 The county executive's team is
24 pleased that our process sparked discussion
25 among industry participants and helped BSE

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 with its own process of crystalizing its plans
3 and potential partners. RXR conceptual master
4 plan touched all the aspects of what our
5 administration has been seeking and we believe
6 the joint venture partnership has the capacity
7 and expertise to get the job done.

8 Next, I would like to turn things
9 over to counsel Josh Meyer who will walk you
10 through the process going forward at this
11 point.

12 MR. MEYER: Josh Meyer, Westwood
13 Group Law, 81 Main Street, White Plains,
14 New York.

15 I'm here today to provide a brief
16 overview of the development plan agreement and
17 the overall amendment to the lease. Like to
18 start of by discussing what the development
19 plan agreement includes and what it does not
20 include. What it is is a framework or
21 blueprint for the proposed development
22 planning process moving forward. It includes
23 deadlines for the developer to provide
24 deliverables to the county. It anticipates
25 additional project documents which will detail

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 what can be built on the site moving forward
3 in the process by which that will happen.

4 It's a flexible document. It's a
5 cooperative planning process between the
6 developer and the county. It provides the
7 developer a standing to speak with the town
8 about the project design and the necessary
9 approvals. It authorizes them to start
10 formulating their plans for the site and
11 includes clear obligations and tasks of the
12 developer.

13 What it does not include are
14 economic terms. It also does not authorize
15 any construction under the document. That
16 will all come subsequent to this and all
17 require subsequent authorization from this
18 body.

19 So on this slide, kickstarting
20 development for the phase one overview of the
21 development plan agreement. In the
22 development plan agreement you will have
23 development deadlines. BSE and RXR must meet
24 key development deadlines over 24 to 36 month
25 period. And I will detail those in a

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 subsequent slide. They have two years plus
3 two additional six month extensions available
4 under certain conditions. There will be
5 ongoing negotiations over that 24 month
6 period. They will be negotiations in earnest
7 on, as I said, the financial terms, community
8 benefits and labor agreements. Obviously, as
9 I stated previously, they require legislative
10 approvals before any construction can begin on
11 the site.

12 The critical path to shovels in the
13 ground for this first phase that we are
14 describing, which will include the parking
15 garages, the transformative tenant, will
16 include the legislative approval of this
17 document that's being incorporated into the
18 amendment of the development plan agreement.

19 BSE and RXR as the developer
20 prepare the transformative development plan
21 and they will be required to secure the Empire
22 State Development Corporation, the state body,
23 parking garage funds. They will need to
24 prepare the conceptual master plan and the
25 site plan for the project and they will be

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 required to secure town approvals.

3 Step three, the county and BSE and
4 RXR will need to negotiate and seek those
5 approvals. Included in there will be all the
6 financial terms associated with the future
7 development. And those agreements will be
8 subject to the review and approval of this
9 body.

10 Subsequent to that, the development
11 team will commence construction on the parking
12 structures and any buildings associated with
13 the transformative development.

14 For future phases, after this
15 initial phase with the parking garages and the
16 transformative tenant and anything else that's
17 incorporated into that first phase, any other
18 development, phase two will be a proposal by
19 the developers, the county will review that
20 and ensure that it's consistent with the
21 overall Hub vision. So they will come back to
22 this body, they will be providing updates on
23 any future phases for this project. Then they
24 will meet with any stakeholders associated
25 with that and receive their input and bring

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 that to this body as well. They will need to
3 go back to the town, seek approval for an
4 amended conceptual master plan and site plan.

5 The next step, the county and the
6 developers will negotiate the financial terms
7 for those phases as well and any future
8 agreements as additional project documents.
9 Again, they will need to come back to this
10 body and seek approvals. At that point the
11 developer can then commence construction on
12 that phase and any subsequent phases.

13 I just want to give a little more
14 detail on what's included in the development
15 plan agreement and what you would be approving
16 here today if you were to approve the
17 amendment.

18 So, there is initial and final
19 required project conditions. There are three
20 conditions as part of the first set of the
21 initial required project conditions and those
22 are required to be completed within the first
23 24 months. If these three tasks are not
24 completed within the first 24 months then,
25 unless there is an extension, which we are

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 proposing to be granted by this body alone,
3 then this agreement would terminate.

4 The parties will need to agree on
5 the conceptual master plan for the Hub site.
6 They will need to agree on any applicable
7 additional project documents in whatever form
8 that would take, and that would include leases
9 or potentially sale or master development
10 agreements. And the developer needs to cause
11 the Empire State Development Corporation to
12 provide notice regarding the parking grant and
13 to confirm that it is available for this
14 project.

15 Subsequent to that, assuming those
16 conditions are satisfied within the first 24
17 months, there will be final required project
18 conditions, which need to be approved within
19 30 to 36 months. The developer needs to
20 obtain the grant disbursement agreement from
21 the Empire State Development for the parking
22 grant. They will need to obtain any other
23 government approvals to commence construction
24 on the phase one parking facilities, including
25 the town or any other body's, OSPAC, Planning,

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 anything else needs to be approved by the
3 county. And then they will need to come to
4 this body and obtain the approval of
5 applicable additional project documents.

6 I just didn't want to lose site of
7 the fact that the development plan agreement
8 isn't the only amendment that's being sought
9 here. The other lease amendments include the
10 sports programing, which modifies the boxing,
11 basketball and baseball programing
12 requirements. There will be on arena security
13 it will increase NEC's responsibility for
14 providing security for events at the Coliseum
15 to extend to events taking place outside the
16 arena. Right now in the lease they are
17 required to provide security inside the
18 arena. This extends that. They do hold a
19 fair number of events outside the arena and
20 this would require them to provide security
21 there as well.

22 Under the assignment of the lease
23 it extends the county's right to approve any
24 assignment of the Coliseum lease in sole and
25 absolute discretion for five years from

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 execution of the second amendment. This is
3 being done in conjunction with the change of
4 control, which I will describe in one minute,
5 where in the existing lease the county had the
6 right in its sole and absolute discretion to
7 approve any assignment for five years from the
8 time we entered into the lease.

9 Now that change of control is being
10 proposed, what we are asking to be
11 incorporated in here is the assignment that
12 would be in the county's sole and absolute
13 discretion five years from the date of this
14 amendment.

15 The next proposed amendment, there
16 will be no future development under Section
17 54. So NEC and the county have agreed that
18 there will be no further proposals by NEC
19 pursuant to Section 54 unless the development
20 plan terminates. This is the developer and
21 NEC's one shot at developing this site.
22 Should they fail for any reason, with two
23 exceptions, not to receive the approvals of
24 this body or anything else that they are
25 required to do, any of their tasks, then they

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 are not permitted under this amendment to make
3 any further proposal pursuant to any
4 subsequent RFP or any procurement by the
5 county.

6 We are also seeking as an amendment
7 the change of control which grants the
8 county's consent to Onexim Sports
9 Entertainment Holding USA succeeding to
10 control of NEC. Currently Onexim Sports and
11 Entertainment has a 85 percent ownership
12 interest in NEC. Forest City Ratner has the
13 remaining 15 percent. And this would seek to
14 transfer that remaining 15 percent to Onexim
15 Sports and Entertainment. So with that we are
16 open to any questions.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'll start
18 things off. While this process is unfolding,
19 is there any financial remuneration coming to
20 the county for the actual site property aside
21 from the Coliseum? Is there any lease
22 payments, rent payments being made to the
23 county while the development process is
24 unfolding?

25 MR. MEYER: In connection with

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 the development or in connection with the
3 existing lease for the operation of the
4 Coliseum?

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In
6 connection with the overall site property.
7 I'm not talking about -- I know we are
8 receiving payments, lease payments for the
9 Coliseum, but for the entire 60 or so acres
10 that will be development. While this is
11 unfolding will they be paying rent on it?

12 MR. MEYER: So that rent
13 incorporates the additional acreage. It's on
14 the Coliseum and the entire property. Because
15 the entire property is required for parking
16 for the facility. So right now they are
17 paying \$4 million a year minimum rent and 12
18 and a half percent on parking.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Was there
20 any contemplation of having rent payments
21 specific to the site as opposed to the
22 Coliseum?

23 MR. MEYER: There were not. We
24 do believe that the developer has significant
25 skin in the game at this point for a number of

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 reasons. They have their rights under this
3 agreement, under the existing lease under
4 Section 54, to develop the property. We would
5 consider it a significant incentive to
6 increase additional traffic to the Coliseum.
7 They have every incentive to do. So that if
8 the county makes more money they would make
9 more money associated with that. They are
10 investing millions of dollars in seeking the
11 approvals and design for the site. In
12 addition to that, there's been no thought of
13 additional compensation coming to the county.

14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Was there
15 anything in the original lease with respect to
16 the payments specific to the overall site?
17 Again putting aside the Coliseum itself.

18 MR. MEYER: Just to take one step
19 back. There was an original lease that was
20 severed and there was severance to the
21 Coliseum side and the 11 acres for the plaza.
22 There was \$4 million under the minimum - \$4
23 million on the Coliseum side and \$400,000 for
24 the plaza side. During construction I believe
25 it was only, I forget at this point, 5,000 or

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 certain number of dollars in preconstruction
3 and then during construction phase I believe
4 it was \$90,000. So, once that lease
5 terminated, the plaza lease terminated, since
6 that time there has been no additional on the
7 property.

8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Once that
9 terminated there was no obligation to pay
10 either for the plaza side or the entire site?

11 MR. MEYER: That's correct. That
12 was for the plaza development and all of their
13 approvals they were seeking and the design as
14 a result of that. At this point the county
15 has that 11 acres back in their possession.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why would
17 there be lease payments for just the plaza
18 development as opposed to the development of
19 the entire site? Why would that have been
20 contemplated for the development of the plaza
21 portion as opposed to the entire site?

22 MR. MEYER: Just on that 11
23 acres?

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why would
25 we want rental payments for \$400,000 a year

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 for the development of plaza portion of the 11
3 acres as opposed to getting rental payments
4 for the entire site?

5 MR. MEYER: Again, once that was
6 up and operating it would \$400,000 minimum.
7 Once they had everything built there. In the
8 mean time it was preconstruction and
9 construction phase rent being paid.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In terms
11 of the development agreement with the
12 selection of RXR, is there any violation of
13 the general municipal law or even the county's
14 own administrative code of laws in having, in
15 effect, BSE select the developer for the
16 property? It didn't go through any sort of
17 RFP processes, nothing that complied with
18 state law procurement requirements and bidding
19 requirements. Is there any violation of any
20 laws by doing it in this fashion?

21 MR. MEYER: No. We don't believe
22 so. And if there was, we would argue that
23 there was a procurement for this in 2013 for
24 the overall site. As part of that -- and it
25 was directly contemplated in the project

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 objectives. There's development rights. It's
3 not a new concept. In fact, built in Section
4 54 where we detailed the rights of both of the
5 parties in conjunction with any future
6 development on the site.

7 Nassau County, being a charter
8 county, has its own separate powers along
9 those lines and they can divest themselves of
10 property for any amount at that point. It's
11 different than most counties in the state and
12 most municipalities in the state in that they
13 don't have to obtain fair market value when
14 they dispossess themselves of property.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm going
16 to ask a question about the process. I'm not
17 questioning the merits of selecting RXR. I
18 can fully understand why BSE would want RXR to
19 be their development partner. They're
20 probably the predominant developer on our
21 island and the region with parking facilities
22 next to the property which will help during
23 the construction phase. Nor would I question
24 the selection of Northwell for the property
25 also. Similarly, dominant health services

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 provider in our county. I'm not questioning
3 that. I believe there is a lot of sense and
4 ultimately I think that's where it should have
5 gone anyway because of their positives.

6 But you have now BSE selecting RXR
7 and RXR selecting Northwell to be their
8 partner and there were other health care
9 service providers out there. Does that
10 violate the municipal law?

11 MR. MEYER: We don't believe so.
12 As we would say, under Section 54 NEC has
13 rights. Under those rights we've leased the
14 property to them and they have site control
15 for the next 49 years. What I've compared it
16 to renting somebody a house and then saying a
17 few years later hey, we're going to move back
18 in and take two bedrooms. They have this
19 facility. They have this property. They need
20 this property to service the Coliseum.

21 And as we said, it's for excess
22 parking areas only. That's why the parking
23 garage is the key to unlocking the site.
24 Without that you have no excess parking
25 areas.

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 Because of the rights they have
3 under Section 54, which were granted in the
4 original lease, they have that site control
5 for the next 49 years. To come in and try to
6 propose something else, we have the right to
7 do that, that was the whole intention of the
8 RFEI was to make sure should they not choose
9 to exercise their right to come to the table
10 and propose development the county would be
11 ready to move forward. It didn't appear
12 necessarily they were moving forward, so the
13 RFEI was this parallel track. Make sure that
14 something was going on with it and that could
15 be developed there.

16 In Section 54 the existing tenant
17 has rights associated with what needs to --
18 that the county can propose something on the
19 site but it has to be complementary,
20 compatible with the operation of the Coliseum
21 and it can't materially adversely impact their
22 operation of the Coliseum. So as soon as we
23 start to take over property or take away some
24 of their parking spaces we're beginning to
25 materially adversely impact their operation.

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: During the
3 process of when the RFEI was promulgated and
4 responses were being received were there any
5 conversations with BSE about what the
6 information you were receiving back in
7 response to the RFEI? You talked about
8 parallels tracks. Did these two tracks
9 intersect at all? Was any information about
10 the RFEI shared with BSE?

11 MS. TSIMIS: I can't answer
12 that. I'd have to get back to you. I don't
13 remember exactly whether we were in touch with
14 them. I know we didn't speak to any
15 developers. That I remember. There were no
16 conversations with any developers during the
17 period that the RFEI was open and I would have
18 to check my notes about BSE. They obviously
19 knew we were doing it.

20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What about
21 the responses to the RFEI, were those shared
22 with BSE?

23 MS. TSIMIS: Only if the
24 respondent asked to have it be shared.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: When was

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 the last time the Hub Advisory Committee met?

3 MS. TSIMIS: I'm going to say
4 back in May or June. May. Right around the
5 time of your legislative hearing.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The Hub
7 Advisory Committee, after that time, was not
8 involved in this process, is that accurate?

9 MS. TSIMIS: No. Although there
10 were quite a number of members who suggested
11 the RFEI. That's really where the idea came
12 from. Sort of as a follow-up to the existing
13 RFP as a way to generate some interest and
14 ideas. That's who suggested the RFEI to us.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I should
16 have been more clear. After the RFEI was
17 issued was the Hub Advisory Committee involved
18 at all in looking at the responses or anything
19 of that nature?

20 MS. TSIMIS: No.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What about
22 with respect to the BSE's proposal, have they
23 been involved in evaluating BSE's proposal at
24 all?

25 MS. TSIMIS: No. Not in that

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 way, no.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Did Mt.

4 Sinai respond to the RFEI?

5 MS. TSIMIS: Yes. Mount Sinai

6 responded to the RFEI. They expressed

7 interest in the form of a letter pursuing the

8 idea of a research center at the Hub. The

9 administration continued to have conversations

10 with Mt. Sinai throughout the last few

11 months. The conversations with Northwell and

12 the development team moved more quickly. So

13 we are where we are.

14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Were there

15 other entities that proposed specific to that

16 portion to this development?

17 MS. TSIMIS: No.

18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is there

19 an actual agreement between RXR and Northwell

20 that you are aware of?

21 MS. TSIMIS: I'm told that there

22 is a memorandum of understanding to pursue

23 this further.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Does that

25 come back to us, the legislature?

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 MS. TSIMIS: The legislature,
3 Josh can fill this in, but legislature would
4 obviously review anything specific in terms of
5 the financing, construction documents having
6 to do with that entire first phase of the
7 development. So yes.

8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I want to
9 be clear about that. Eventually the actual
10 determination as to whether or not Northwell
11 will be part of the development of the life
12 sciences portion of this will come back to the
13 legislature?

14 MS. TSIMIS: That's correct.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I want to
16 also be clear about this. As we go along with
17 this process you're going to have to come back
18 to us repeatedly, correct?

19 MS. TSIMIS: Yes. As I said at
20 the beginning, we are hoping for partnership
21 here. Nothing will happen on this site unless
22 we work together.

23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: As I've
24 said from the beginning, regardless of
25 process, ultimately we are going to look at

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 the merits of this. And if the merits dictate
3 that this is in the best interests -- I can
4 speak for myself and obviously my colleagues
5 on the majority side we will go with the
6 merits. If it's good for the county and the
7 taxpayers we will support it.

8 In terms of other approvals that
9 are coming back to the legislature, what would
10 that take the form of? In other words, would
11 we get leases, the subleases come back to us?

12 MR. MEYER: The leases would,
13 yes. Throughout this process we anticipate
14 and we intend to build in language into the
15 development plan agreement as a result of the
16 valuable input received at the hearing last
17 week, for the developer to come before this
18 body to provide updates, not necessarily in
19 the public forum, maybe in the public forum,
20 but also keep you apprised of everything
21 that's going on through other groups or
22 committees or stakeholders. Once they have
23 the documents and the approvals that they need
24 in the form of leases or sale documents or
25 master developer agreement, things of those

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 lines, for each phase as we move along.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I would
4 assume it wouldn't be piecemeal. I would
5 assume as they complete that phase they would
6 come back to us with a package?

7 MR. MEYER: That's correct.

8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I will ask
9 that it require that there be language to this
10 effect but I just want to clarify. Every
11 stage of this process, assuming we approve the
12 development agreement and the amendment to the
13 lease, that every stage the legislature will
14 have discretion to say yes or no. Complete
15 discretion. We are not going to be bound by
16 any reasonable requirements that are in the
17 lease with respect to the county, the
18 legislature retains its sole authority and
19 sole discretion to approve or disapprove
20 what's put before us, correct?

21 MR. MEYER: That's correct. We
22 did hear that loud and clear at the last
23 hearing. Sole and absolute discretion.
24 That's for amendments, assignments and any
25 extensions to the development plan agreement.

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 Sole and absolute discretion.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
4 you. That's all I have. I'm sure there's
5 going to be a ton of questions. Minority
6 Leader Abrahams.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Good
8 afternoon. First, I want to thank you for the
9 presentation. I thought it was -- it actually
10 limited a lot of the questions I would have
11 had. I do have questions. I can just jump in
12 in regard to the project labor agreement and
13 the community benefits agreement. Which I
14 know is not a part of this particular round
15 but language in terms of the framework I
16 wanted to discuss.

17 So, I believe Mr. Rechler at the
18 time of the hearing indicated that he would
19 support as well as engage in a project labor
20 agreement. It's my understanding that there
21 is potential language that could be inserted
22 in this agreement. Is that something that
23 your administration is going to provide to us
24 and would we be able to see that in advance of
25 the 17th?

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 MR. MEYER: Yes. We fully intend
3 to do that. We did hear that loud and clear
4 at the last hearing and we do intend to
5 incorporate that into this agreement and have
6 you see that.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What would
8 that language envisioned look like?

9 MR. MEYER: On both PLA and the
10 CBA?

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just PLA to
12 start with.

13 MR. MEYER: That they shall enter
14 into a PLA commercial and reasonable terms.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And
16 basically that interpretation would mean that
17 there would not be an approval of any
18 additional agreements until the PLA has been
19 met?

20 MR. MEYER: That would all be
21 done at the same time. If you were not in
22 agreement at the time that the first phase was
23 presented for you under the additional project
24 documents then it would not move forward. You
25 would have the sole and absolute discretion on

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 the overall project and the overall project
3 documents, including the project labor
4 agreement and the community benefits
5 agreement.

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: In regards
7 to the community benefits agreement, when do
8 you envision having language submitted to this
9 legislature body in regard to that? I
10 remember hearing from Mr. Rechler he discussed
11 the potentials of a community advisory
12 agreement committee. What does the language
13 that you are referencing look like in regards
14 to the community benefits?

15 MR. MEYER: We will have that
16 type of language on the additional
17 stakeholders and this body's ability to
18 appoint members to that and to arrive at a
19 mutually agreeable community benefits
20 agreement. Again, as part of the additional
21 project documents that would ultimately come
22 before this body.

23 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The
24 community benefits agreement amendment, for
25 lack of a better term, that's going to be

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 presented regarding this item before us on the
3 17th will not include any amounts or formulas
4 on how Mr. Rechler will achieve a community
5 benefits agreement?

6 MR. MEYER: At this time it's not
7 our intention to do that. From experience we
8 do feel that is difficult to come up with at
9 this stage. As we said, this is a very
10 preliminary document, the development plan
11 agreement. There's a lot more to come from
12 this to be developed over the next 24 months.
13 When you talk about percentages or formulas
14 you could say a certain percentage. And it's
15 a percentage of what exactly? Total project
16 cost? Or is it some other number? It's
17 difficult at this stage. And it may be, we
18 feel, more beneficial as the project develops
19 over the next 24 months to better understand
20 how that would work.

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How do you
22 recommend the administration give this
23 legislative body assurances that at this time
24 they will actually be able to achieve a
25 community benefits agreement that is

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 satisfactory to this legislative body?

3 MR. MEYER: Because you won't
4 approve it when the additional project
5 documents come back. You have total control
6 over that.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But at that
8 time in time without having a framework in
9 mind what it could mean is we have an
10 agreement that has very strong PLA but at the
11 same time has a very weak CBA. I think if you
12 don't lay the groundwork for the framework
13 today then that way you set up a scenario
14 where there could be delays because we don't
15 have all our ducks in a row.

16 MR. MEYER: I wholeheartedly
17 agree with that. It's a balance that we seek
18 to strike on all these projects. Especially
19 the community benefits agreement. They can be
20 structured in any number of ways. As we've
21 said, it does tend to work itself out once you
22 determine what the size and scope of the
23 project is.

24 What we have to understand is this
25 project is going to be phased in over a number

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 of years. Following this development plan
3 agreement we're not going to have sky cranes
4 out there on the entire site. We have to
5 phase it in, especially because of the
6 parking. Building the parking garage maybe
7 that will open up a certain amount of acreage
8 for construction and for development. Once we
9 figure out and once the developer proposes the
10 conceptual master plan and the site plan phase
11 by phase we will have a better understanding
12 of potentially how that community benefits
13 agreement should be structured.

14 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I
15 understand why the developer would want that.
16 But why would the county think that's an
17 advantage? I would think the county's best
18 position is try to ensure whatever the
19 developer says he's going to do that we lock
20 in amounts. Which I'm glad to see there will
21 be language in regards to a PLA. Because that
22 locks the developer in to negotiating a PLA.
23 If labor agrees that it's a fair PLA then the
24 PLA will come forward and boom, the county is
25 ready to go forward.

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 However, the CBA seems to be a
3 little discretionary in terms of what the
4 county, what the legislature, what the
5 developer feels is a fair amount.
6 Unfortunately, I think this body hasn't
7 determined, that's collectively, what is a
8 fair amount. I would love to hear what the
9 administration thinks would be a fair amount
10 and then I couldn't get that answer from
11 Mr. Rechler on Tuesday of last week either.
12 So I would love to hear your opinion of what
13 you believe a fair amount is.

14 MS. TSIMIS: What we are looking
15 to do is similarly -- the PLA, although you
16 said it, will be more specific and more clear
17 at this point. That is still also a document
18 to be negotiated or several documents to be
19 negotiated. What we are saying here at this
20 stage in this document is there's going to be
21 one. In the community benefits section all we
22 had in original draft for you is, again,
23 there's going to be one. But we are looking
24 at some language that would spell out a little
25 bit more who would be involved in developing

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 it and what it would look like.

3 For instance, school district
4 impact. The fire district came up last week.
5 I just think we are going to spell out some of
6 those specific areas and a group of people who
7 will be involved. We think at this point
8 that's the best way to proceed.

9 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You don't
10 have an amount either?

11 MS. TSIMIS: I don't have an
12 amount, no.

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How can you
14 come before us and not have an idea of what
15 you think an amount would be that you would go
16 into negotiations with subsequent agreements?
17 Do you think that's something fair that this
18 body should consider?

19 MS. TSIMIS: I think maybe we
20 look at relevant. This is an unusual state of
21 a project. We are not at the point of asking
22 you to approve a document or anything going
23 forward.

24 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm not
25 asking you to put an amount into the

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 agreement. That would be unfair. But I'm
3 asking you for just a general opinion of what
4 you would think is a fair amount and you can't
5 even give me a fair assessment. How can I
6 think that the legislature would actually
7 consider the administration's ability to
8 negotiate a community benefits agreement that
9 has an amount that this legislative body would
10 approve when you can't even give me an amount
11 today?

12 MR. MEYER: I think it's very
13 difficult to come up with an amount today
14 depending on --

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, no.
16 What do you think is a fair amount? It's a
17 subjective question. It doesn't mean you are
18 actually going to get that amount. I'm asking
19 you what you think is a fair amount?

20 MS. TSIMIS: We are gathering
21 input today. If you tell us what you think is
22 fair amount is --

23 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let me
24 state this correctly. You came to this body
25 without even understanding or knowing what an

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 amount would be that's fair to the community?
3 But at the same time you're thinking that we
4 are giving you authority on the 17th to
5 negotiate that agreement going forward?

6 MS. TSIMIS: In collaboration
7 with representatives of this body and other
8 members of the community. We're not just
9 going to make it up. We are going to have a
10 negotiation.

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I hate to
12 tell you this, you are duly unprepared as
13 pertains to the community benefits agreement.

14 MR. MEYER: So what I would say
15 to that legislator is that it's very dependent
16 on the mix of development that actually
17 occurs. So it's affordable housing or
18 depending on the overall mix, not-for-profits
19 out there, it is very much dependent on the
20 mix of development.

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We
22 understand that. We totally get that.

23 MR. MEYER: And in addition that,
24 you have ultimately say. So if we say in the
25 document you have ultimate say and if you

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 don't agree with it at the time of the
3 additional -- I'm not clear as to why that's
4 not satisfactory.

5 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Again, you
6 are bringing up facts which we already know.
7 I asked you a very subjective question. It's
8 an opinion. Based on community benefits
9 agreements working -- Mr. Rechler is working
10 on an agreement I believe in New Rochelle.
11 Has the county done any due diligence to
12 understand how much of a community benefits
13 agreement he is doing in New Rochelle?

14 MR. MEYER: We have reviewed
15 that.

16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How much is
17 he spending?

18 MR. MEYER: It's very speculative
19 as to how that is --

20 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you
21 don't know? Yes or no question. I just want
22 to make sure I understand.

23 MR. MEYER: It's not a yes or no
24 answer.

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Then you

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 have an amount?

3 MR. MEYER: No.

4 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm fine
5 with the no answer. I just want to know
6 exactly where we stand. So you do not have an
7 amount?

8 MR. MEYER: That's correct.

9 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Have you
10 taken a look at any other community benefits
11 agreements that are in the area? Yankee
12 Stadium? Barclays? Have you felt comfortable
13 with those amounts and how would those amounts
14 apply to what the county -- see, I'm feeling
15 like you guys haven't done anything. You're
16 going to propose to us some language, which is
17 great, but that language is going to be
18 completely inadequate. It's not going to talk
19 about anything in terms of making sure the
20 community gets a fair community benefits
21 agreement.

22 Which, I have to tell you, the last
23 time the county had an opportunity to
24 negotiate a community benefits agreement, I
25 believe it was with NEC, the county failed

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 miserably. Because you had an opportunity
3 when the agreement was opened up and we opened
4 up an opportunity with the state and you chose
5 not to include a community benefits agreement
6 even though you gave more ability and more
7 flexibility to NEC.

8 Now you're coming before us again
9 thinking that we're going to give you that
10 authority because you're saying trust us. I'm
11 sorry to tell you, there's no more trust
12 left. From that standpoint you are going to
13 have to come back to us on the 17th with more
14 than just language. I need to see either a
15 formula or an idea on how you plan to execute
16 an agreement. And I need to see some tangible
17 results of how you're going to get to that
18 number. Because today you're just not there.
19 Thank you. Nothing further Presiding Officer.

20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
21 you. Just one thing to echo on the PLA. You
22 will be inserting language as you agreed into
23 the amendment, but I think ultimately, once we
24 reach a stage further down the line, we are
25 going to require that the PLA be specific and

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 it cover all of the different types of trades
3 and all of the different construction units
4 that will be there.

5 MR. MEYER: I understand that.
6 That will be incorporated.

7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: When we
8 make the changes to the amendment but later on
9 it will have to be specific.

10 LEGISLATOR FORD: I might add
11 that the PLA must be signed. Not just the
12 intent of having it signed after making an
13 agreement with the unions.

14 I do want to echo what Minority
15 Leader Kevan Abrahams is saying in regard to
16 the community benefits. I think that in all
17 fairness for next week, for the full leg, that
18 some framework or, I don't know, something be
19 set forth before us as to what would encompass
20 the community benefits and what it intends.
21 Like what you would offer to the community. I
22 think that we have enough time right now
23 perhaps that you could reach out to some of
24 the community leaders, especially the school
25 districts, to get an idea of what they would

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 require. What they would they like to have.
3 Then that would give you a few ideas of like
4 maybe the amounts. Maybe you can look at
5 percentage. We're talking about \$1.5 billion
6 and Mr. Rechler is not new to any of this. He
7 has developed many, many projects throughout
8 the county, throughout Suffolk County,
9 throughout New York State. There has to be
10 something, a model, that he can use working
11 with communities and working with these
12 projects. It is very, very important.

13 I think we missed it the last
14 time. We trusted and the trust was broken.
15 So, I would have to concur with you that I
16 really think that something has to be set
17 forth before us. You have a very vibrant
18 civic organization, a couple of them I guess,
19 in that area, and I'm sure they probably have
20 a list of what you want.

21 I'm sure when we're moving forward,
22 my concern also is in regard to the PILOTs.
23 We talked about that when we met with -- heard
24 the testimony from Mr. Rechler in regard to
25 that. That's something that has to also be

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 considered when you are talk about the
3 community benefits, when you want to work with
4 these communities. Let's be honest, that
5 PILOT, while there will be revenue that will
6 be created of a host community, will find that
7 their school taxes, they're not going to be
8 getting as much school tax, county tax as they
9 normally would be without that PILOT.

10 And if there's families that do
11 move in there the cost of educating those
12 students can be very well borne by the
13 communities that provide the schooling. That
14 is something that we have to carefully look at
15 because this should not be an undue economic
16 burden on the residents in that area.

17 MR. MEYER: If I could just say
18 the community benefits agreement is very
19 important to this administration legislator.
20 There's no question about that. It is complex
21 depending on the mix. There will be language
22 that you will see this week that discusses the
23 parameters associated with the community
24 benefits agreement. If it's not acceptable to
25 the legislature we will work based on the

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 feedback we did receive from Minority Leader
3 Abrahams and we will go back through and take
4 a look at that. I just want to stress, it is
5 very important for this administration. We
6 will work towards that and come up with
7 something.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: I appreciate
9 that. We've all talked about, you know, the
10 big thing now is we finally have this group
11 that's coming in, we're going to do it right,
12 finally going to get this thing developed.
13 But there are a lot of issues that we are
14 concerned about. And I don't want us to then
15 go like say we'll give the lease to Rechler.
16 This is going to happen. We're hoping that
17 we'll have the PLA. We're hoping we're going
18 to have the community benefits. We're hoping
19 that the PILOT won't be that big. We're
20 hoping this, we're hoping that. And then we
21 think we're moving forward and now we go like
22 18 months from now all of a sudden we find out
23 he's not fulfilling any of these requirements
24 and desires that we have. And then all of a
25 sudden we're not going to pass this and the

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 deal goes south. And then, I mean, we have
3 not been shy about the things that we want in
4 this area and what we feel is important not
5 only to us but to the communities that we
6 serve.

7 So, I urge you to make sure that
8 you do your due diligence. You have a week
9 and I'm pretty sure that you're able to move
10 forward and get this done.

11 I hope that too that when we talk
12 about this, and I think it was a
13 recommendation made by one of the residents
14 who spoke last week in regard to the fact that
15 we have hotels going in, whatever. But what
16 about a catering hall or convention center. I
17 don't know whether or not it's too late to try
18 to incorporate that into any of these ideas,
19 but I think it was well worth it and I thought
20 it made a lot of common sense. That it is
21 something that when we talk about designations
22 a lot of times people do, when you think about
23 conventions, the Javits Center, everybody goes
24 there. You have Comic Con. All these other
25 great venues. That this may be something that

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 may work in Nassau County and bring in a lot
3 of other people from all over the country to
4 be able to have meetings and stuff there.

5 In regard to like I know with
6 Northwell, I feel like we're helping a
7 monopoly here but that's just my own personal
8 feeling. I'm hoping though that looking
9 forward and moving forward in regard to Mount
10 Sinai as they have expressed an interest also
11 in building a research center there or
12 whatever, that that is something that they
13 will not be shut out once we move forward. I
14 want to ensure that any other medical group
15 would be able to have the same access to
16 building and providing, you know, having an
17 on-site presence at the Hub. Because I think
18 that diversity really is the key in many
19 ways.

20 My last thing too is I think we
21 have to urge Mr. Rechler that with the housing
22 component. I understand and I like his idea
23 of the apartments for our young people. I
24 live in the city of Long Beach. We have a lot
25 of young people that are moving in there and

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 they are following that model where they may
3 be renting houses or larger apartments and
4 they have different bedrooms, they rent out
5 bedrooms and then they all have that a common
6 area. So I think it is a model worth
7 following.

8 But we also still have to,
9 especially if he's going to request PILOTs for
10 the housing, the law really requires a lot of
11 it to be affordable. And I really think in
12 some instances we really have to take a look
13 at what we define as affordable and maybe make
14 some adjustments. I think those rents in some
15 cases should be lowered dramatically.

16 I think that's it. But I think you
17 understand our feelings on some of these
18 issues, and I'm going to really be firm on
19 this because I think it's about time.

20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mr. Gaylor.

21 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Thank you
22 Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel. Just very
23 briefly, back on one of the slides, I believe
24 it was the slide that said initial and final
25 requirements or project conditions and you

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 laid out the time lines. I believe, if my
3 memory serves me correctly, Mr. Rechler
4 testified that he thought he would have Town
5 of Hempstead approvals in less than 24
6 months. But I note that we put such
7 governmental approvals in the 30 to 36 month
8 period after the initial required project
9 submissions. If Mr. Rechler thinks he can get
10 the Town of Hempstead and other jurisdictional
11 approvals in the next 24 months why are we
12 giving him an extra three years, taking this
13 out to five years possibly, before we would
14 start with the shovel in the ground?

15 MR. MEYER: I'm sorry. That's my
16 mistake based on the way I drafted the slide
17 to say it's an additional six months and then
18 an additional six months after that for a
19 total of 36 months. You're right about that.
20 What it is is they are required to get the
21 initial required project conditions satisfied
22 within the first 24 months. If they do that
23 they get an automatic six month extensions to
24 get the final required project conditions. If
25 at that point they have not met those final

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 project conditions, including -- so they'd
3 have an additional six months to get those
4 town approvals, if they don't have that done
5 they can put up a million dollars in escrow
6 and they would have an additional six month
7 period at that point for a total of 36
8 months. I'm sorry it's not in addition to
9 that. Then if they don't have it within the
10 36 months then the million dollars comes to
11 the county.

12 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Then the
13 million dollars is forfeited?

14 MR. MEYER: Forfeited, yes.

15 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Following up
16 on IDAs. Mr. Rechler was a little ambivalent
17 on whether he was or wasn't or for the entire
18 project or one building or many buildings or
19 for this or for that. Whether he was going to
20 seek IDA support in the form of a PILOT and
21 some kind of I guess leveling of the tax rates
22 going forward for some period of time. You've
23 heard this body's concerns about IDAs and
24 we've all read about the history of PILOTs,
25 failed PILOTs here in the county that have had

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 significant impact on the taxpayers over the
3 last couple of years to the detriment of the
4 taxpayer.

5 So, in accordance with this Section
6 54 of the agreement that states the county
7 legislature gets the final approval, are we
8 also going to be the final approval for any
9 IDA requests that goes forward?

10 MR. MEYER: Depends on the timing
11 of that. Obviously it goes to the IDA, which
12 is a separate and independent body, as to what
13 they would provide. It depends on the timing
14 of that and what they would have, I believe
15 within this period of time if the legislature
16 so required that the IDA approvals could be
17 obtain prior to it coming to this body for
18 ultimate approval.

19 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Wouldn't it
20 make sense for the benefit of the county and
21 for our taxpayers to ensure that we put some
22 kind of language in there that brings that
23 back before the county legislature for final
24 approval before or one, that we are informed
25 but the public is also informed. And Section

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 54 mandates that we are the final approval
3 authority on any agreement that impacts
4 finances or construction or procurement,
5 whatever it may be. I would think that is a
6 mandatory requirement that already exists by
7 the language of Section 54.

8 MR. MEYER: It's an excellent
9 point and we will take a look at that and we
10 will look to incorporate that after we look it
11 at prior to coming back to this body.

12 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Finally, I
13 guess my last point has to do with the CBAs.
14 If you can't come up with a dollar amount or
15 very specific, and I can understand the
16 Minority Leader's points and especially
17 understand it since this falls within his
18 district so he would like to know what dollars
19 are flowing into his district, you should be
20 at least prepared to discuss what the areas
21 are. What's the impact going to be from a
22 health medical standpoint? What's the impact
23 or benefit to be provided regarding Ambulance
24 Service Bureau.

25 This is a huge project with 500

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 units of housing. I'm not sure how
3 Mr. Rechler defined it because he was a little
4 bit uncertain himself about what a unit
5 means. But my understanding was a unit
6 consists of at least six single resident type
7 occupancy rooms to be rent out. So you're
8 talking about a huge impact that's going to be
9 put on county resources, like the Ambulance
10 Service Bureau, without any benefit coming to
11 them. Same with the fire department, the
12 school. Schools not so much. My colleagues
13 sometimes have different opinions on this but
14 a PILOT, even if there was a PILOT and it was
15 paid to the school district, that would be
16 equivalent of what the taxes would be and the
17 school district is made whole whether you call
18 it a PILOT or a tax. It may be limited at
19 some level or capped at some level. But these
20 kinds of areas, fire, police, medical, school
21 district, all need to be given a lot more
22 thought by the administration before you come
23 back to this body and make your next
24 presentation.

25 Thank you Minority Leader. I'm

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 looking over that way. Deputy Presiding

3 Officer Howard Kopel thank you.

4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
5 Rhoads.

6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you in
7 this case chairman. Just a couple of
8 follow-up questions on some of the points that
9 have been raised quite eloquently by many of
10 the other legislators.

11 Just again with respect to
12 Mr. Gaylor's point with regard to the
13 Ambulance Service Bureau and the volunteer
14 fire fighters. It actually does place a
15 tremendous demand on the resources of those
16 entities. But in terms of the Ambulance
17 Bureau, we would rather have that business so
18 to speak than not have that business. With
19 such a large portion of it being devoted to
20 research and development and with Northwell
21 Health being announced as the anchor tenant,
22 they do have their own ambulance company. I
23 would like to see in some sort of final
24 agreement that it would be easy for them to
25 simply contract with Northwell and shut us out

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 of the process. There should be some
3 protections to the Ambulance Bureau to make
4 sure we are getting that work for our people.

5 And to the extent that they use
6 volunteer ambulance companies in that CBA, you
7 should consider either our volunteer fire
8 fighters or volunteer ambulance companies and
9 potential benefits that could be given to
10 them.

11 I know you indicated -- the
12 Presiding Officer asked questions with regard
13 to the RFEI process. I know you indicated
14 that the Hub Advisory Committee didn't have an
15 opportunity to screen any of the responses
16 that were received to the RFEI. Was there a
17 particular reason for that?

18 MS. TSIMIS: No. Only that I
19 think the intervening act by BSE Global, which
20 came like three or four days after we closed
21 the RFEI, they told us by letter that they
22 were planning to exercise their rights.
23 Things moved very quickly from like I
24 mentioned the parallel tracks of our process
25 and BSE's process and then in mid-August it

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 all came together. We have a duty under the
3 lease to these negotiate with Brooklyn
4 Sports. The RFEI still sits out there and the
5 responses that we received. But the
6 announcement by Brooklyn Sports that they
7 wanted to negotiate with us brought that up to
8 the forefront.

9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Certainly
10 Northwell is a wonderful organization. How do
11 we know that we are getting from the county's
12 perspective the best bang for the buck, pardon
13 the phrase, if we never consider anything that
14 was brought in through the RFEI as part of
15 this process? And do we share that
16 information with BSE, do we share the
17 information with RXR, as to what other
18 possibilities are out there? Or are we simple
19 accepting the foregone conclusion that they've
20 chosen Northwell so we have Northwell?

21 MS. TSIMIS: Actually, we did
22 facilitate a meeting between Rechler and
23 Brooklyn Sports and Mount Sinai as well and
24 other meetings were facilitated at the request
25 of the respondents. So where respondents of

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 the RFEI wanted to meet with the developer we
3 made that happen. So there have been some
4 conversations.

5 But as I mentioned in the case of
6 the anchor tenant, the conversations with
7 Northwell moved more quickly. Separate from
8 the RFEI process but they did not respond.
9 But we think that in the interest of moving
10 forward with something exciting, getting the
11 anchor tenant, getting the parking money, it's
12 a great, exciting project for the county and
13 we are very supportive of it.

14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: No question.
15 We want to make sure that the project moves
16 forward as quickly as possible. We don't want
17 to do so at the expense of perhaps making sure
18 we're doing our due diligence in terms of
19 making sure we have the best deal for the
20 residents of Nassau County in place as well.
21 How many of those meetings did actually take
22 place?

23 MS. TSIMIS: I would say a
24 handful of meetings, five or six I would say
25 with potential -- we should go back. Because

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 obviously Brooklyn Sports was having its own
3 meetings with potential partners. So there
4 was overlap, as I mentioned before, in both of
5 the categories of the live, work, play
6 district folks who responded, which would
7 include Rechler and other major developers of
8 which you probably can name a few, you would
9 know who in Nassau and Suffolk County would
10 propose something, some of those folks met
11 with BSE separately. And then some of the
12 more narrow proposals, a few retail players,
13 like I said Mount Sinai, folks with more
14 narrow interests, they either had met with
15 Brooklyn Sports or we facilitated a meeting
16 with Rechler afterwards. Depending on the
17 specific circumstance.

18 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The meetings
19 with Brooklyn Sports were before Rechler was
20 brought into the process?

21 MS. TSIMIS: Yes. They've been
22 meeting with potential partners on the retail
23 side on all sort of major master developers
24 I'll call them, like RXR is, but also more
25 narrow folks. I think they interview quite a

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 few national players on the retail idea.
3 Because obviously Brooklyn Sports is extremely
4 engaged, as you heard, in the idea of getting
5 people to the site all the time, not just for
6 a game or a concert. They want people to come
7 and eat something and stay and enjoy
8 themselves and they've been trying to open up
9 public spaces. So they interviewed quite a
10 number of firms. I actually don't know
11 everyone that they interviewed.

12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Shifting for
13 a little bit because you brought up RXR. Did
14 the administration participate at all or
15 assist in facilitating the relationship that
16 eventually emerged between RXR and NEC?

17 MS. TSIMIS: No.

18 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: That's simple
19 something --

20 MS. TSIMIS: Like I said, I think
21 Mr. Yormark discussed the other day that they
22 had been planning to exercise their rights.
23 They were conducting meetings. We knew that
24 they were but we were not involved in those
25 conversations directing them or even getting

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 frequent updates. We didn't get updated on
3 their meetings. We kept moving along. We
4 were doing our best during the course of this
5 year to get this project off the ground. We
6 felt that the RFEI process was the best way to
7 sort of see what was out there.

8 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Ultimately we
9 really weren't able use the RFEI process for
10 much other than presenting potential suitors
11 to BSE as it turned out.

12 MS. TSIMIS: It was a delicate
13 balance. The county, the lawyers can speak to
14 this better than I can, but the way our
15 Section 54 lease is written, and that is what
16 this administration inherited, our hands are a
17 little bit tied. It's not a blank slate.
18 It's not a we put out an RFP and asked for the
19 moon and get the stars. We had certain
20 parameters which we had to work within and we
21 tried to sort of spur some excitement. If you
22 had to write an RFP that reflected the lease
23 rights it gets a little tricky. They have
24 quite a bit of say over what happens on the
25 land around the Coliseum that they have a very

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 long term lease to.

3 It was a balancing act on our part
4 to try to encourage some movement, keep
5 ourselves ready as an insurance policy if you
6 will. If that didn't move forward with
7 Brooklyn Sports choosing a partner we would be
8 ready. Maybe we would pivot to an RFP. That
9 RFP, again whoever we select we'd have to
10 encourage to work collaboratively with them or
11 we would be in lawsuits and be delayed again.
12 We were really trying to do something that
13 moved us forward with the realities that we
14 have been given.

15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Would the
16 RFEI process have been more public were it not
17 for the fact that BSE stepped in to exercise
18 their rights?

19 MS. TSIMIS: I think that the
20 county attorney's judgement was that the RFEI
21 process was essentially part of the ongoing
22 procurement for the Hub. And typically in a
23 procurement the county doesn't make the
24 responses public during that process.

25 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Even to the

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 legislature?

3 MR. MEYER: That's correct.

4 MS. TSIMIS: We did share with
5 counsel, both counsels, majority and minority,
6 had an opportunity to view the 17 responses
7 that came in. So they're familiar with the
8 list.

9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We can
10 actually view the responses themselves?

11 MS. TSIMIS: We had let counsel
12 review them in private in my office.

13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Just so I
14 understand the process, our release of the
15 RFEI was to enlist proposals or was it also
16 sort of a poke at BSE to get them to move.

17 MS. TSIMIS: Your words but it's
18 fine.

19 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Dual
20 purpose?

21 MS. TSIMIS: Dual purpose.

22 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Just in terms
23 of the timing. Obviously there may be, we
24 don't know ultimately whether there is going
25 to be litigation with specifically the plaza

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 portion of the property, how would that factor
3 into the time lines that have been proposed,
4 initial required project conditions within 24
5 and final project conditions within 30 to 36?

6 MS. TSIMIS: We are not aware of
7 any litigation.

8 MR. MEYER: Potentially it would
9 be a force major event to provide additional
10 time to meet these obligations in these time
11 lines. On the plaza side it would be
12 difficult I believe because the county had the
13 absolute right to terminate that agreement
14 after a certain point and no one but Forest
15 City Ratner had the right to develop that site
16 at the time. So we don't anticipate on the
17 plaza any litigation.

18 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Has there
19 been because I know that in our hearing there
20 was an issue with respect to Forest City
21 Ratner and their relinquishing their rights or
22 transferring their rights. Has that been
23 accomplished at this point or is that still in
24 process?

25 MR. MEYER: On the change of

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 control? That's in the process.

3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Much better
4 phrase than mine. That's still in the
5 process?

6 MR. MEYER: That's in the
7 process. Upon this body's approval of that
8 then that would be consummated at that time.

9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Okay. So you
10 don't anticipate at the time the full
11 legislature considers this that that agreement
12 would have already been executed? The only
13 reason I'm asking is because there is, maybe
14 hyper technical, but there is a concern that
15 we are actually negotiating with someone that
16 at this point doesn't actually have the rights
17 to enter into an agreement. Technically those
18 rights belong to Forest City Ratner still.

19 So, maybe we're kind of putting the cart
20 before the horse, not that I'm looking to slow
21 things up at all, but perhaps that portion of
22 it should be nailed down before we actually --

23 MR. MEYER: And that will and
24 there's nothing to preclude us from doing that
25 and making sure the change of control actually

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 occurs prior to the county executive, with
3 your approval, executing the document. If it
4 is consummated at the time, it doesn't all
5 have to happen at the same time, if you
6 approve the change of control that can happen
7 first and then the county executive can
8 execute this document subsequent to that.

9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Obviously you
10 are aware of the potential issue?

11 MR. MEYER: Yes.

12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I have no
13 other questions. Thank you.

14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
15 DeRiggi-Whitton.

16 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All
17 in all I'm hoping everything goes forward
18 also. But I have to say just looking at some
19 things it seems like we're doing a few things
20 backwards almost. First of all, we're going
21 to be entering into this agreement to approve
22 the plan, yet we don't have any idea as far as
23 lease goes what the payments are going to be.
24 If we approve the plans and then we ask about
25 the payments aren't we sort of putting

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 ourselves in a bad negotiating or do you have
3 an idea of the payments?

4 MR. MEYER: What it would be is
5 just a very preliminary document. As I
6 started off by saying, this development plan
7 agreement it doesn't set the economic terms.
8 There will be additional project documents
9 that will contain all of that. So we will
10 know what the financial terms are once the mix
11 starts to develop for each phase. Depending
12 on what is being developed, then we will see
13 what the financial terms are. Is it a lease?
14 Is it a sale of the property? How exactly it
15 will be formulated and what's going to be
16 built at the time? Housing? Retail?
17 Office? All of that is going to impact the
18 economic terms and financial terms that would
19 being negotiated and what the county should be
20 receive something as a result of that. That's
21 all to be determined. That's why we have this
22 24 to 36 months to work out all of those
23 details.

24 Because as of today you don't have
25 the conceptual master plan, the site plan,

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 anything like that. Without this document,
3 developer can't move forward in any fashion.
4 Doesn't have standing to go to the town. So
5 all of that will be developed. And based on
6 the valuable feedback that we received at the
7 last hearing, we are building in language that
8 the developer comes back to this body and
9 gives regular updates. So they have plenty of
10 input in connection with that.

11 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
12 Again, we are deciding on a developer before
13 we're sure what we are developing and then
14 we're going to talk about the financial
15 impact. I would think that maybe we should
16 come up with the financial decisions before we
17 rule out any other developers so we can
18 negotiate that.

19 MR. MEYER: It's a little bit
20 different on this. As we said it's not a
21 blank slate. This isn't just a vacant piece
22 of property. I would agree 100 percent if it
23 was a vacant piece of property and we conduct
24 an RFP, see who can go in there and provide
25 the best financial benefit to the county.

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 Under this current scenario based under
3 Section 54, based on the fact that this tenant
4 has site control for the next 49 years they
5 need that property in order to operate the
6 Coliseum. If we take their spaces away they
7 would say we are materially adversely
8 impacting their ability to operate the
9 Coliseum. That's why the parking garage is
10 critical. They are required under the initial
11 required project conditions to go out and get
12 the funding secured for the parking garage.
13 Then they would be unlocking the rest of the
14 site.

15 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: My
16 interest is the county as I hope is yours.
17 Just looking at this in a methodical way, we
18 really want to negotiate in the best standing
19 that we can. By boxing ourselves in to this
20 developer, this plan, and then talking about
21 the financial end of it, the county really
22 needs to protect itself financially to ensure
23 that we get the best deal.

24 MR. MEYER: That's our interest
25 as well from this side is to go forward. Back

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 in 2013 the procurement was conducted to do
3 this. This body unanimously approved that.
4 And it included this Section 54 because they
5 have site control. We can't lease them
6 something and then go in there and take it
7 away from them at that point. It's not to the
8 county's advantage financially and it's not to
9 their's. They have rights under this and it's
10 difficult to do it that way.

11 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
12 Prior questions did mention we entered into an
13 agreement with Mr. Ratner and now he's gone
14 and now this other group is in there and
15 they're going to be the ones who are
16 negotiating with the county.

17 MR. MEYER: We understand that
18 concern.

19 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: The
20 other point I want to make, I'm very happy to
21 hear this body's concern with PILOTs. I have
22 to admit, coming from the City of Glen Cove,
23 we're in a real tough situation there. It's
24 going to be a 40 year PILOT. \$400 million by
25 the time we're done. People didn't even know

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 what PILOTs were. Now they do. Unfortunately
3 Glen Cove is strapped financially for a number
4 of years for that. I'm glad that the county
5 is -- we're aware of this situation. I did
6 hear that all the commercial entities in this
7 property will not be subject to a PILOT. Do
8 you know if that's the case?

9 MR. MEYER: No. I have not heard
10 that. I don't believe that's the case.

11 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: The
12 county attorney I think said that all
13 commercial properties would not be subject to
14 a PILOT. That's not on the record? That's
15 not true?

16 MS. TSIMIS: Legislator, I think
17 the issue for the administration is the
18 financial terms and for all of us I think we
19 are all on the same page about how important
20 those are. And the fact that this is going to
21 be a balance of what we look for in rent or
22 sale, right? Then there's also going to be
23 putting land that's currently not generating
24 any taxes and have it generate some taxes. I
25 think it's going to be something we have to

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 developed but we need to do it in a way that
3 makes sense and we can't do it all at once
4 because of the way this property is.

5 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
6 just don't like that tone of we're not getting
7 any taxes now so be happy with what we get.
8 We want to watch that because that's what
9 happened in Glen Cove and it's just not the
10 right -- again, it's not the strong standing
11 of where we should be negotiating for the
12 county.

13 MS. TSIMIS: I don't think I said
14 that and I apologize.

15 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: You
16 just said it's not on the tax roll. It's not
17 but it's a great piece of property.

18 MS. TSIMIS: It has tremendous
19 potential.

20 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm
21 getting that feeling that oh, we have to
22 see -- no. We should be the ones who are
23 going in and saying we are going to give you
24 this incredible opportunity. What are you
25 going to do for us? And we want to see the

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 financial. More than anything else it's the
3 financial end of it.

4 MS. TSIMIS: I'm not sure if this
5 gives any comfort but these are negotiations.
6 This started in August and we come to you in
7 December with a plan to get started. The
8 development team wanted certain things and we
9 wanted certain things. That's the way this is
10 going to continue to go. We prioritized
11 getting the state funding, getting the parking
12 garage built, getting a transformative
13 tenant. Those are priorities for the
14 administration because we have all been
15 talking about for a long time, actually before
16 this administration got here, yet we haven't
17 been able to get it done.

18 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
19 Mainly because of the zoning issues which we
20 still have. We appreciate your efforts to
21 kind of focus.

22 MS. TSIMIS: Move the ball forward
23 a little bit here.

24 MR. MEYER: Just to clarify if I
25 may. Any commercial or retail that's built on

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 this site will be taxable.

3 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And

4 will not be subject to a PILOT?

5 MR. MEYER: It depends. They

6 have that ability to go but it's taxable.

7 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I

8 understand it's taxable. I was told -- maybe

9 I was given the wrong information -- that it

10 would only be the housing aspect. That was

11 kind of said on the record last time.

12 MR. MEYER: I'm not sure there

13 was a misunderstanding about that. We have to

14 go back and listen to that.

15 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It

16 was definitely said in the private meeting

17 that it would only be the housing.

18 Then just one last thing. I'm

19 concerned, I know it's Legislator Bynoe's

20 district and Legislator Abrahams also has

21 expressed this interest with the community

22 development, it's not like we are reinventing

23 wheel. If we go back and look at the formula

24 Barclays did at least we could see

25 approximately what should be in the ballpark.

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 I think that would be the way to start. To
3 say we have no idea we've got to wait, we've
4 got to be aggressive on this and we have to be
5 the ones who push it. Because if we don't
6 we're going to get what we got the last time
7 which is a big zero.

8 MR. MEYER: No question. We
9 understand.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
11 Bynoe.

12 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you
13 Presiding Officer. Good night. I'm going to
14 start with the CBA and I'm not going stay
15 there long. As it is, it's definitely in
16 Legislative District Two, which I represent,
17 but Legislator Kevan Abrahams and I believe
18 Legislator McKeivitt has residents that are in
19 closer proximity based on where their lines
20 are drawn. But I do have residents that
21 obviously would be impacted in the event there
22 was a PILOT within the school district.

23 I know that Legislator Gaylor has
24 stated that there is no impact or he believes
25 there's minimal impact within the school

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 district. I think it is because when other
3 people have to pick up the burdens of the
4 school district's taxes I think they get
5 annoyed by that.

6 But for the CBA I would say you
7 heard us clearly. We're going to need
8 evidence that the administration is invested
9 in this CBA process and we will only have
10 evidence of that when we have a working
11 document that's fluid. That has some ability
12 of having some things inserted and modified
13 over time and it's going to be birthed out of
14 the community engagement, the conversations
15 with the community.

16 BSE and RXR have stated that
17 they've started that process, having these
18 conversations. I would implore the county
19 administration to make sure that there is a
20 representative at every one of those meetings
21 so that we are getting the feedback. And I
22 would like that any feedback that was received
23 to this point from BSE and RXR be put together
24 in a document and be provided to the
25 administration and to this body so we can read

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 what they're hearing out there. I think it's
3 important.

4 I'm going to move off of the CBA.
5 I want to clear up one other thing. It's the
6 anticipation of the county and suspect the
7 town at some point that these roads will
8 become under the governance of the town,
9 dedicated to the town. Am I correct? The
10 roadways and proximity of the Coliseum and the
11 residential areas.

12 MR. SALLIE: The roads that are
13 located within the 72 acre site are currently
14 county property. They're not mapped roads.
15 As far as the development plan is concerned or
16 the development proposal, that would have to
17 be hashed out whether those roads will remain
18 in, quote unquote, private ownership or under
19 the jurisdiction of the developer or turned
20 over to the county or dedicated, as you
21 mentioned, to the town.

22 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: We will
23 definitely have to discuss that as we move
24 forward based on ambulance and fire service.
25 Thank you.

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 So I want to move on to the IDA or
3 any kind of PILOT. I currently work in the
4 field of housing. Specifically public
5 housing. None of the public housing
6 properties that I have managed directly as an
7 employee of an agency or indirectly as a
8 commissioner of an agency would have been able
9 to operate and sustain without a PILOT. So I
10 am not against PILOTs. What I am against is
11 PILOTs being provided to entities that don't
12 have a need for it.

13 Properties that are being developed
14 for affordable housing that will have the
15 commercial space, retail space, that will then
16 supplement the rent revenue of the lower rent
17 that will be derived from those units should
18 make up for it. That's the supplement right
19 there. So they really shouldn't need a
20 PILOT. It's those properties that don't have
21 that commercial base, the retail base that
22 needs the PILOT to supplement the operation of
23 the entity based on the lower rents that they
24 are receiving.

25 So I really think that we should be

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 considering into this lease agreement that
3 that affordable housing there will not be
4 developed using a PILOT. I believe that
5 PILOTS get a bad rap. I believe
6 municipalities, developers and IDAs have to be
7 partner in reimagining how PILOTS are
8 perceived by our communities. If we keep
9 giving PILOTS to entities that don't need
10 PILOTS we are going to continue to make PILOTS
11 a bad word. It's equivalent to a four letter
12 word in some communities at this point.

13 At this point I behooves this and
14 implore this administration to make sure that
15 they understand they're building out
16 commercial space. They have their
17 supplemental income built into their
18 development.

19 I also wanted to ask if Mr. Sallie
20 could walk us through -- you mentioned you're
21 not sure what the roadways, whether they will
22 be private, county, town. I know some of that
23 has to be hashed out down the road but if you
24 could give us some level of a framework of
25 what a time line looks like.

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 MR. SALLIE: Specifically for the
3 development of the site?

4 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Yes, please.

5 MR. SALLIE: The first step is
6 essentially for the developer to take an
7 application, a petition for a conceptual
8 master plan and site plan approval from the
9 Town of Hempstead. That submission triggers
10 two things, the review of the conceptual
11 master plan. And I know that we've thrown
12 that term around. When the Town of Hempstead
13 rezoned the Coliseum property in 2011 it
14 rezoned it to a planned development district,
15 a PDD, and they called it the Mitchel Field
16 mixed used zone.

17 In the procedure section they
18 specifically required the submission of a
19 conceptual master plan. The purpose of that
20 is to ensure that as pieces of this zone are
21 developed it is done in a complementary way to
22 the rest of the zoning district.
23 Understanding that the district would be built
24 out over time.

25 Every time a new applicant comes in

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 he or she needs to submit a conceptual master
3 plan. For an example, when Memorial Sloan
4 Kettering -- let me back up. When NEC
5 submitted their plans for the Coliseum they
6 submitted a conceptual master plan. Then they
7 received approvals for the Coliseum. When
8 MSK, Memorial Sloan Kettering, came in they
9 had to submit an amended conceptual master
10 plan and they did so and their site plan.

11 Under this program the developer
12 would submit a revised conceptual master plan
13 depicting what's existing on the site. What's
14 been built thus far. How they foresee the
15 entire site being built out. Whether they
16 plan on building out the site or not. And
17 then indicate what they're planning to develop
18 in phase one. That would be represented in a
19 site plan application. That all goes to the
20 town board at the Town of Hempstead. They
21 review that for compliance with the code and
22 then approve or not approve.

23 As part of that review SEQRA comes
24 into play. The State Environmental Quality
25 Review Act. Many of the things that were

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 discussed this afternoon with respect to
3 emergency access and infrastructure and roads
4 would be vetted in other parts of the review
5 but particularly in the SEQRA review. So,
6 there's a fairly detailed analysis of whether
7 or not the additional development would
8 require additional emergency apparatus, fire
9 trucks et cetera. That all gets vetted.

10 If there is a need for additional
11 infrastructure or services that is sort of
12 baked in as mitigation. And that has to be
13 settled or determined before approvals can be
14 issued.

15 Once those site plans or once the
16 site plan is approved the project documents
17 can in a sense be finalized around what that
18 development will like look and then all of
19 that comes back to the county legislature,
20 this body, for approval. At that time you
21 will, in essence, see what is proposed in
22 detail for the site.

23 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you very
24 much. So I just want to add one other thing.
25 I've long been saying that this is an

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 opportunity for us to generate some economic
3 opportunities for tourism and it really should
4 be looking at this site to do so so that we're
5 not so dependent on our regional economy. In
6 some of the discussions that I had with RXR
7 and BSE I suggested, I failed to put it on the
8 record during this last hearing was that I
9 really would like them to look at who we are
10 in terms of the Hub. In the sense of what are
11 we known for historically? So it's aviation.
12 It's one of the things that Long Island
13 regionally we have wine country out east. And
14 then most recently I spoke to someone who came
15 up to me and said why aren't we considering
16 that space for the Long Island Hall of Fame?
17 I think it's a great idea. We really need to
18 consider those things.

19 Mr. Rechler gave me some
20 confirmation that he agreed and he mentioned
21 they were thinking of a tourism piece now.
22 But he did say he would talk to JetBlue and
23 some of the airline hubs that are here in the
24 New York area. And I think we need to really
25 put their feet to the fire to make sure that

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 we have something that is really going to be
3 state of the art and it's going to be
4 beneficial to this community and the local tax
5 base.

6 MS. TSIMIS: Great ideas. Thank
7 you.

8 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you very
9 much.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
11 Solages.

12 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Good
13 evening. Thank you so much for your
14 presentation. I appreciate that. Just a
15 couple of questions. You talked about the
16 SEQRA process and after the SEQRA process
17 isn't it correct that you would then release
18 an environmental impact study?

19 MR. SALLIE: Right off the bat
20 there is a requirement for environmental
21 impact statement isn't a sure thing. The Town
22 of Hempstead prior to adopting the zoning
23 district in 2011 they conducted an
24 environmental impact statement review and
25 concluded or finalized that process with a

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 SEQRA finding statement. So any subsequent
3 action in terms of the potential adverse
4 impacts have to be within the threshold
5 analyzed as part of the town's review back in
6 2011.

7 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: So we're
8 going to rely on a study from 2011?

9 MR. SALLIE: No, no, no. What
10 happens when a development proposal is
11 submitted along with the actual petition is an
12 environmental assessment form. In this case
13 it would likely be what they call a long
14 form. Which is five or six, it's longer than
15 that, ten pages that outlines the project.
16 Then the potential for adverse impacts. When
17 that environmental documentation, the
18 environmental assessment form is submitted to
19 what they call a lead agency, the lead agency
20 would review that and determine okay, are
21 there potential for significant adverse
22 environmental impacts or not? It can go two
23 ways.

24 One, if there isn't a potential for
25 a significant environmental impacts you can

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 issue a positive declaration. That would then
3 trigger a new environmental impact statement.
4 If there isn't a potential, it's called a
5 negative declaration, you essentially conclude
6 the environmental review process. That
7 doesn't mean that all the environmental issues
8 would be vetted during the SEQRA process,
9 whether it's an impact statement or not,
10 there's a lot of discretion that's provided to
11 the lead agency to ensure that the
12 environmental impacts are vetted and
13 mitigated.

14 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Who is the
15 lead agency here?

16 MR. SALLIE: For the site plan
17 application, the town, having the jurisdiction
18 over zoning, the town would be lead agency.
19 In the event there is a subdivision
20 application or the creation of tax lots, the
21 county planning commission would likely be the
22 SEQRA lead agency. There are many agencies or
23 based on the approvals that are required there
24 are opportunities for the identification of
25 lead agency in vetting of environmental

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 impacts.

3 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: You're not
4 anticipating any adverse impact here?

5 MR. SALLIE: I'm not sure. That
6 has to be vetted as part of the environmental
7 review.

8 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Perhaps the
9 water table is not as deep as we thought it
10 would be and as far down and when you're
11 building those parking garages there's a
12 possibility of contamination. Is that
13 possible?

14 MR. SALLIE: It can always be
15 possible. Before you construct you need to
16 take borings. You need to know what's under
17 the ground. In that sense if there are any
18 potential contaminants that would have to be
19 addressed through remediation. I'm not saying
20 there are but just procedurally water, sewer,
21 storm water, all of those issues need to be
22 vetted as part of the environmental review
23 process.

24 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Has there
25 been any investigation into those issues you

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 just listed?

3 MR. SALLIE: There's been
4 extensive review of the site. There have been
5 phase one environmental site investigations.
6 Those investigations and those findings are
7 all public as part of the 2011 environmental
8 impact review on behalf of the town. Actually
9 when Nassau Events Center proposed the
10 Coliseum, and at the time their 188,000 square
11 foot of development, plaza development, this
12 was circa 2014, those studies were updated and
13 also submitted to the town. All those
14 documents are public.

15 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Understood.
16 During that last possibility I guess of the
17 Lighthouse Project there was consideration to
18 extending the Meadowbrook Parkway for traffic
19 issues, traffic concerns. Have you considered
20 that?

21 MR. SALLIE: The finding
22 statement that the town issued in 2011 they
23 based issued that on their scaled down zoning
24 district. The zoning district that was
25 adopted. They indicated very specifically for

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 a full build out on the site the traffic
3 mitigation that would need to be provided to
4 accommodate the full buildout based on the
5 zoning districts. I don't believe it included
6 extending the Meadowbrook Parkway. There were
7 turn lanes that were proposed within the
8 area. Signal timing, additional signals,
9 right turn lanes, left turn lanes. But an
10 expansion of the Meadowbrook Parkway, I don't
11 believe that was concluded as part of the
12 environmental documentation.

13 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: As an issue
14 of PILOTs, in my community, the Elmont, Valley
15 Stream community, there was a PILOT agreement
16 that shocked my community because no notice
17 was provided to that community. The community
18 leaders and I organized and mobilized to make
19 sure that this wouldn't happen again to our
20 community and elsewhere. We're thankful now
21 that there are certain notice requirements.
22 In going forward, I humbly ask that you reach
23 out to the Uniondale community and to the
24 surrounding communities and provide to them
25 more than sufficient notice. I think people

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 are understanding and as long as they have
3 notice they can agree or disagree on the
4 ramifications of what you're asking for.

5 MS. TSIMIS: If I may --

6 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: What is your
7 plan on providing notice?

8 MS. TSIMIS: The Nassau County
9 IDA, which is a state authority does have a
10 board. There are some new appointees to that
11 board. The legislature approved. They
12 announced a number of new transparency
13 measures that the county executive called
14 for. One of the main ones was clearer notice
15 of public meetings of the board itself. Also
16 of hearings. And that's been a real priority
17 of our administration even though it's a
18 separate entity, to make sure they are
19 absolutely noticing communities. In fact, the
20 chairman of the IDA, Richard Kessel,
21 personally calls legislators or counsel
22 members. I don't know if any of you had a
23 project in your district but, I hope I'm going
24 to be proven true, but I know he makes a lot
25 of calls to say that there's a public hearing

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 coming up. But they are in the process of
3 revamping some of their policies to make sure
4 that happens.

5 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I supported
6 those initiatives. Very glad for that. At
7 least certain officials will receive notice.
8 But beyond that, going out into the community
9 and reaching out to the community to make sure
10 that people know that for housing there may be
11 a PILOT benefit granted. And especially those
12 who love and support public education, they're
13 going to want to make sure if more students
14 are going to their school district they at
15 least ought to have a say in these issues.

16 Do you have any specific plan
17 beyond reaching out, besides the obligation,
18 the already proven obligation of the IDA, to
19 provide notice? Do you have any other plan in
20 terms of providing notice to the community on
21 this issue?

22 MS. TSIMIS: No. But we take
23 your comments very seriously. Obviously
24 there's a real consensus amongst legislators
25 that the PILOT piece of this is really

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 important. Again, it's separate from the
3 financial terms that we are going to be
4 developing but we absolutely hear you and we
5 will work something out.

6 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: It's become
7 a very sensitive issue.

8 MS. TSIMIS: I think we can all
9 agree that when a PILOT, I think it was
10 Legislator Bynoe said, the bad name that IDAs
11 have gotten, PILOTs have gotten, is not good
12 for anyone. Because over time it's become
13 almost impossible to do a project because
14 either you haven't communicated properly or
15 the public doesn't fully understand why it's
16 necessary. Just a few bad projects where a
17 developer got too much for a project that
18 didn't seem in hind-- you know, after it was
19 before a community couldn't this project have
20 happened without the PILOT. And we have to do
21 a better job. It's on us. All of us. I
22 think for the Hub project there's going to be
23 a lot of attention to this question.

24 But the administration agrees with
25 you that these have to be done right. The IDA

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 has changed some of its policy with the types
3 of projects. We're not doing the car
4 dealerships and some of other the warehousing
5 where you didn't really need a PILOT to get
6 that project done because there's a need for
7 it.

8 So, in affordable housing area,
9 Legislator Bynoe has said, some of those
10 projects wouldn't happen. I mean, there would
11 be housing but it would be market rate perhaps
12 or not affordable in a way that all of us can
13 agree. We have been talking a lot about
14 millennial housing and workforce housing and a
15 range of housing opportunities for our
16 communities, for our older folks, younger
17 people. We want it all and sometimes a PILOT
18 can be effective in getting those projects
19 done. We hear you loud and clear.

20 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you.
21 And on the last issue with the CBA, community
22 benefits agreement, I stand wholeheartedly
23 behind the comments made by the leader of the
24 minority caucus, Mr. Kevan Abrahams,
25 Legislator Kevan Abrahams, and I'm very

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 thankful Legislator Ford has concurred in
3 those concerns. I'm looking for the community
4 benefits agreement on this project to set a
5 precedent at least as relates to all other
6 major projects.

7 As you know, my community we're
8 facing the possibility of a having a major
9 sports destination of the Islanders at Belmont
10 Racetrack. In our community we are fighting
11 for our community benefits agreement. I'm
12 really looking forward for some precedent to
13 be established here. In that these developers
14 are not looking just to take advantage of
15 communities but also trying to give back to
16 the communities. I encourage you to reach out
17 to the Nostrand Garden Civic Association and
18 I'm sure Legislator Kevan Abrahams has a long
19 list of these organizations that have come
20 here often to express their concerns.
21 Concerns that relate to related to traffic,
22 environmental issues, environmental racism.
23 These are all very valid concerns. So I wish
24 you luck in that endeavor. Thank you.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 Drucker.

3 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
4 Presiding Officer. To piggyback on a lot of
5 my colleagues' comments and questions, I guess
6 you have all discerned or recognized the
7 underlying or overwhelming message that we all
8 want and that is to be consulted and have a
9 right to approve everything. As you
10 indicated, to be involved every step of the
11 way.

12 So, with that being said, with
13 respect to the development plan agreement, our
14 counsel has looked at and showed us the
15 document. And in your presentation you refer
16 to the county being involved in every step of
17 the way. But in the past the county doesn't
18 necessarily include the legislature. So, for
19 example, when Onexim came into the picture the
20 county was involved but the legislature
21 wasn't. Going forward with respect to the
22 development plan agreement, particularly with
23 respect to sections 5, C, D and little I. It
24 says that the county as a party may agree to
25 amend, extend or assign the agreement. But it

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 doesn't really say that the legislature is
3 required to amend, extend or assign that
4 agreement. Is that a mistake? Is that
5 something that can be clarified?

6 MR. MEYER: We did take the
7 feedback from the last hearing and the
8 language is being built in as we speak to
9 address that to specifically state that
10 subject to the approval of the Nassau County
11 Legislature, which approval may be granted,
12 withheld or conditioned in its sole
13 discretion. So for each of those, for C, D
14 and I.

15 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Excellent.
16 Also with respect to sections 2B triple little
17 I says the developer has the right to expand
18 its joint venture to add new partners without
19 county approval. What do you say about that?
20 That's without any county approval. Doesn't
21 the county have a right and this legislative
22 body to determine or to oversee and to take a
23 look at who the developer wants to become
24 partners with?

25 MR. MEYER: The way we are

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 looking to clarify that is to say that the
3 current entity that you would be approving
4 with RXR and BSE would remain in control of
5 the project and that they would be able to
6 bring in additional partners but only if they
7 remain in control of the project. Because
8 you're approving them as the entity you would
9 be doing business with, the way it's currently
10 being clarified is to say that they are the
11 ones that are remaining in control of the
12 project.

13 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: But that's
14 without the legislative consulting or
15 approval. So, is there any way to incorporate
16 that into the amended language?

17 MR. MEYER: We will take a look
18 at that.

19 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Just with
20 respect to the status quo in terms of revenue
21 for the county. Obviously we are all under
22 the understanding that the county receives
23 annual rent payments from Nassau Events Center
24 under the lease of I think \$4 million a year;
25 is that correct?

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 MR. MEYER: That's correct.

3 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: But it's
4 also correct that the lease payment paid to
5 the county is either a minimum flat sum of \$4
6 million or a share of the Coliseum revenues up
7 to eight percent plus a share of the parking
8 revenues, which is 12.75 percent. Is that
9 whichever is higher?

10 MR. MEYER: That's correct.

11 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: And that's
12 the status quo right now, correct?

13 MR. MEYER: Yes.

14 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: With the Hub
15 in the process of being developed or hopefully
16 in the process of being developed and more
17 revenue comes in the hands of NEC that the
18 county would benefit from the future
19 development by receiving annual higher
20 payments due to the increase of the percentage
21 of their revenue?

22 MR. MEYER: That's right. In
23 addition. So the more traffic that's driven
24 to the Coliseum so we would get the eight
25 percent of all revenue plus throw in three

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 quarters percent of parking. And then that's
3 in addition to any new economic terms that we
4 would negotiate for the actual development on
5 the site. The county fully intends to get
6 additional rents for any properties that they
7 lease or any other future development.

8 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Great.
9 Thanks very much.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
11 Lafazan.

12 LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Thank you
13 Presiding Officer. My one question revolves
14 around parking. I'm not sure if you're
15 familiar, but Newsday has covered the trials
16 and tribulations of the parking garage at
17 Hicksville. It's been a major damage to
18 commuters everywhere. Are we anticipating
19 that we will reach out to Oyster Bay or to the
20 contractor to see what went wrong in terms of
21 that parking garage? And if the parking
22 garage were to break who would be on the hook
23 for repairs? Because as an Oyster Bay
24 resident, not only did we pay for the first
25 parking garage, now we are paying for the

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 second parking garage and the commuters are
3 paying for the inconvenience. We want to
4 learn from history and not make the same
5 mistakes and this is a major worry of mine.

6 MS. TSIMIS: I will answer that
7 that seems like something we should do and
8 I'll take it under advisement about the
9 parking garage.

10 LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: That's it.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
12 McKevitt.

13 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Just have
14 one question regarding -- my understanding
15 with the draft agreement is developers are
16 responsible for the legal fees incurred by the
17 county for the negotiation drafting approval
18 of these agreements and there's a cap of
19 \$500,000. I was wondering why there was a
20 \$500,000 cap on that?

21 MR. MEYER: I would prefer there
22 was no cap on that necessarily, but there is a
23 cap. To try to control expenses on that. But
24 there is the ability to expand that as
25 necessary.

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Thank you.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Deputy
4 Presiding Officer Kopel.

5 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you. My
6 question actually piggybacks off of many
7 others but probably most closely with
8 Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton who expressed some
9 concern as to whether the county was getting a
10 good deal in various aspects of the
11 transaction. I've spent my entire career in
12 real estate as a lawyer and title insurance.
13 I've dealt with a lot of big, big transactions
14 in many different capacities. But I'm very
15 cognizant that that in no way qualifies me as
16 an expert, as a development expert, for the
17 purpose of negotiating with the likes of
18 Mr. Rechler or others involved over here.

19 The county has very often brought
20 in consultants for various purposes. This
21 being probably one of the largest projects
22 that we're engaging in or likely to engage in
23 for a long time. Wouldn't it behoove us to
24 bring someone in who is an acknowledged expert
25 in development and who might be able to advise

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 us? And this in no way suggests that all of
3 you are not doing a wonderful job and that
4 you're not qualified to do what you're doing.
5 I think this is an entirely different kind of
6 aspect of preparing for this project.

7 MR. MEYER: It's a great point.
8 On all projects that we work on there's lead
9 consultants, engineering consultants,
10 financial consultants and the specialists on
11 certain things.

12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: That's not
13 what I'm suggesting. I'm talking about the
14 development.

15 MR. MEYER: I'm agreeing. A
16 development specialist.

17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm talking
18 about an overall person, man, woman, who has
19 had considerable experience in either on the
20 development side of major projects or in some
21 other capacity that would qualify him or her
22 to do that. At least at this point I have not
23 heard of any such person who's on the team who
24 can take the various financial aspects of the
25 deal, various engineering and financial what

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 have you, and pull them together. I mean,
3 maybe President Trump is busy I guess. We'll
4 get someone else like that? Seriously though.

5 MS. TSIMIS: We understand what
6 you're suggesting.

7 MR. MEYER: It's a great point.
8 Absolutely.

9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Does that mean
10 that you are going to look into it?

11 MS. TSIMIS: I think we have to
12 look at the county resources and any contracts
13 for consultants would have to come back to the
14 legislature.

15 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Look into the
16 resources but I can only speak for myself and
17 not for others here, but just having heard the
18 comments of various people over here I think
19 that it might be money well spent and make a
20 lot of people more comfortable with the entire
21 process. Thanks.

22 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
23 questions from the legislators? Any public
24 comment? Legislator Budnick.

25 MR. BUDNICK: Following up on the

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 scholarly and outstanding remarks by Mr.
3 Kopel, I'm curious as to whether the Nassau
4 County Planning Commission has actually
5 reviewed all this material or not and made any
6 suggestions or not as of yet?

7 MR. SALLIE: The Planning
8 Commission has not received the development
9 agreement package. If and when this proceeds
10 to what we are referring to the additional
11 project documents, the sale or lease of
12 property, that step, without approval, would
13 require OSPAC, the Open Space and Parks
14 Advisory Committee, along with the Nassau
15 County Planning Commission review and
16 recommendation to this county legislature.

17 In addition, any site plan that is
18 proposed at the town before the town can
19 approve it must be referred to the county
20 planning commission for recommendation under
21 general municipal law. Then, as I mentioned
22 earlier, if there is a need to subdivide the
23 property or create tax lots the Planning
24 Commission would be the authority to do that.
25 So, there are multiple touch points that would

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18

2 be coming up in the future between the county
3 and the county planning commission.

4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
5 Leader Abrahams.

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just before
7 we take the vote, I think it's imperative that
8 I implore the administration between now and
9 the next two weeks that obviously we don't
10 want to see any delays in this process, we
11 plan to vote in the affirmative today but we
12 do plan to see what recommendations and
13 changes you have. At that time we would want
14 to hope to see language that includes a PLA,
15 stronger language in regard to a CBA and many
16 of the other concerns that were brought up
17 today before we give final confirmation. But
18 today should not be seen as that. Today is
19 more seen as the opportunity to expand on what
20 you have already begun. Basically. Thank
21 you.

22 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
23 you. Anyone else? Turn it back over.

24 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: On behalf
25 of the Planning, Development Environmental

1 Planning, Development - 12-3-18
2 Committee regarding a vote on this matter, all
3 in favor signify by saying aye. All opposed?
4 Motion carries.

5 At this point we are going to vote
6 to adjourn the Planning Committee on behalf of
7 Legislator Gaylor. Seconded by Legislator
8 Drucker. All in favor signify by saying aye.
9 All opposed no? Committee is adjourned.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Now the
11 Rules Committee has to vote as well. The
12 matter is before us. All in favor signify by
13 saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
14 unanimously. I'm going to put the Rules back
15 in recess. I want to thank the panel for
16 their patience and presentation.

17 (Panning Committee adjourned at
18 4:56 P.M.)

19 Rules Committee was recessed at
20 4:56 P.M.)

21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand this seventh day of
December 2018

FRANK GRAY

1

2

3

4

5

6 NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

7

8 RICHARD NICOLELLO

9 PRESIDING OFFICER

10

11 PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

12

13 LEGISLATOR DENISE FORD

14 CHAIR

15

16

17 Theodore Roosevelt Building

18 1550 Franklin Avenue

19 Mineola, New York

20

21

22 December 3, 2018

23 4:58 P.M.

24

25

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S:

3

4 LEGISLATOR DENISE FORD

5 Chair

6

7 LEGISLATOR STEVEN RHOADS

8 Vice Chair

9

10 LEGISLATOR THOMAS MCKEVITT

11

12 LEGISLATOR ROSE MARIE WALKER

13

14 LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

15 Ranking member

16

17 LEGISLATOR SIELA BYNOE

18

19 LEGISLATOR DEBRA MULE

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR FORD: Call the Public
3 Safety Committee to order. I would ask the
4 clerk to call the roll for the Public Safety
5 Committee.

6 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Debra
7 Mule.

8 LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.

9 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Siela
10 Bynoe.

11 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.

12 MR. PULITZER: Ranking member
13 Delia DeRiggi-Whitton.

14 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
15 Here.

16 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Rose
17 Walker.

18 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.

19 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
20 McKevitt.

21 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.

22 MR. PULITZER: Vice Chairman
23 Steven Rhoads.

24 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.

25 MR. PULITZER: Chairwoman Denise

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 Ford.

3 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.

4 MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum
5 ma'am.

6 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very
7 much sir. There are nine items on the agenda
8 today which are clerk item numbers 577-18,
9 591-18 through 593-18, 600-18, 621-18, 624-18,
10 627-18 and 640-18.

11 Clerk item 577-18 is a an ordinance
12 supplemental to the annual appropriation
13 ordinance in connection with the District
14 Attorney's Office.

15 Moved by Legislator Walker and
16 seconded by Legislator Rhoads. Then on
17 recommendation, I will also call clerk item
18 number 627-18, which also is a supplemental
19 appropriation in connection with the District
20 Attorney's Office.

21 May I have a motion. Moved by
22 Legislator Walker. Seconded by Legislator
23 Rhoads. Both items are before us. Good
24 afternoon.

25 MR. MCMANUS: Bob McManus,

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18
2 District Attorney's Office. Item 577-18 is a
3 supplemental appropriation for the Motor
4 Vehicle Theft and Insurance Fraud Prevention
5 Grant. This is the 12th year of this grant.
6 The funds received from the New York State
7 Division of Criminal Justice Services are used
8 to investigate and prosecute motor vehicle
9 theft and motor vehicle insurance fraud
10 cases. Investigative efforts target medical
11 mills and auto body shops suspected of
12 no-fault fraud with an emphasize on undercover
13 investigations.

14 LEGISLATOR FORD: Any debate or
15 discussion on this one? No? We will go now
16 to 627 sir.

17 MR. MCMANUS: Item 627-18 is a
18 supplemental appropriation for the Crimes
19 Against Revenue Program Grant. Funds from
20 this grant are used to pursue cases of tax
21 evasion, particularly those who commit
22 Medicaid, welfare, unemployment and worker's
23 compensation fraud, as well as those merchants
24 who commit sales tax violations.

25 LEGISLATOR FORD: Any debate or

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 discussion on this? Any public comment? I
3 see none. So, all those in favor of passing
4 the items 577-18 and 627-18 please signify by
5 saying aye. Any opposed? The items pass.
6 Thank you very much sir. They pass
7 unanimously.

8 I'm now going to call clerk items
9 591-18, 592-18 and 593-18 as they are
10 ordinances supplemental to the annual
11 appropriation ordinance in connection with the
12 police department.

13 May I have a motion. Moved by
14 Legislator Walker. Seconded by Legislator
15 Mule. The items are before us.

16 MR. STEPHANOFF: Lieutenant Greg
17 Stephanoff from the police department. Item
18 591 of '18, this item appropriates \$145,869 in
19 funds received from New York State Division of
20 Criminal Justice Services. This is our
21 Surveillance Apprehension Vehicle Enforcement
22 Program. We call it the SAVE grant. We've
23 gotten this grant for many, many years. We
24 focus in on insurance fraud, vehicle theft and
25 any other vehicle related problems. Like in

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 this case now, people are selling packages
3 from cars like when you shop because of the
4 holidays you go back and you load up your
5 cars. We focus on crimes that are occurring
6 at the time.

7 LEGISLATOR FORD: I saw some of
8 the signs even in Oceanside telling people
9 alerting them lock your cars.

10 MR. STEPHANOFF: We try and make
11 notifications on what's going on at the time.

12 Item 592 of '18, this is our 2017
13 Justice Assistance Grant. JAG grant we call
14 it. This item appropriates \$108,803 received
15 from the US Department of Justice. This grant
16 we're going to focus on violent crime based on
17 intel from our Asset Forfeiture Bureau and
18 there's also a little bit of money that's
19 going to go to our pistol license section to
20 make sure we stay on top of license renewals
21 and also taking away licenses from people that
22 have problems.

23 Item 593 of '18 is also a JAG
24 grant. 592 of '18 was a 2017 JAG grant. Item
25 593 of '18 is a 2018 JAG grant. There was a

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 delay in the Justice Department getting the
3 '17 grant to us. So we will have them almost
4 concurrently. Item 593 of '18 appropriates
5 \$103,693 in funds. This will also be used for
6 violent crime through our asset forfeiture
7 through our intelligence.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you. Any
9 debate or discussion? Any public comment on
10 any of these items? I see none. All those in
11 favor of passing these three items please
12 signify by saying aye. Any opposed? All in
13 favor? Items 591-18, 592-18, 593-18 all pass
14 unanimously. Thank you very much.

15 I'm going to call I guess I'll call
16 items 600-18, which is an ordinance
17 supplemental to the annual appropriation
18 ordinance in connection with the probation
19 department. And 640-18, which is also an
20 ordinance supplemental to the annual
21 appropriation ordinance in connection with the
22 probation department.

23 So moved by Legislator Walker.
24 Seconded by Legislator Mule. The items are
25 before us.

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 MR. LANCEMAN: Douglas Lanceman
3 Nassau Probation. The first item, 600, this
4 item before you is a grant renewal from the
5 Nassau County Traffic Safety Board for one
6 year in the amount of \$215,000. This grant
7 has been renewed for decades literally. This
8 grant supports monthly victim impact panels
9 off our field operations, surveillance of DWI
10 offenders, suspected of driving without
11 licenses and/or ignition interlocks and use of
12 electronic monitoring devices to remotely
13 monitor high risk offenders.

14 LEGISLATOR FORD: Any debate or
15 discussion? Legislator Mule.

16 LEGISLATOR MULE: What is a SRAM
17 device and how does it work when the probation
18 department is remotely monitoring is high risk
19 offenders?

20 MR. LANCEMAN: The SRAM, it's an
21 acronym for secure remote alcohol monitoring.
22 This is a device that's imposed by the
23 courts. It comes to probation and they say
24 gee you know what, you're going to have to
25 monitor a particular offender. That's usually

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 for a period of six months.

3 LEGISLATOR FORD: Isn't it where
4 you put it in and they have to blow into
5 the --

6 MR. LANCEMAN: Yes. I'm sorry.
7 What it really is it's a device. Ignition
8 interlock system. It's placed in a vehicle by
9 an outside vendor. There are two vendors that
10 are sanctioned by New York State. One is
11 called Intox Lock and the other is called
12 Smart Start. And these devices are
13 approximately about \$100 to install and \$100
14 monthly service charge on there. What happens
15 is, probationer will get into the vehicle,
16 they want to start the car. They blow into
17 the breathalyzer. It analyzes the level of
18 BLC, blood alcohol content. And if it's under
19 a certain level the car will start. If it's
20 over it's not going to start.

21 LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you very
22 much.

23 LEGISLATOR FORD: Legislator
24 Mule, the interesting thing is that they have
25 to go then back after so many months and they

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 actually will like see all the recordings and
3 stuff like that.

4 MR. LANCEMAN: There's a history
5 maintained of ons and offs so to speak.

6 LEGISLATOR FORD: Legislator
7 Walker.

8 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Just a quick
9 question regarding that device. I'm going to
10 use two of us for example. Say I have that
11 device on my car and I had someone else in my
12 car. Could they possibly -- hopefully they're
13 not silly enough to be driving if I shouldn't
14 be driving being in my car with me -- could
15 someone else blow into it and obviously the
16 car will then be able to start?

17 MR. LANCEMAN: That's an
18 excellent question. The developers have
19 factored that in already. What happens,
20 there's a camera associated with this device.
21 So if I got in or you got in and we're sitting
22 in the driver's seat you can't have somebody
23 else blow into the device. It's going to snap
24 your picture.

25 LEGISLATOR WALKER: We will make

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 sure we never do that. I hope that passenger
3 wouldn't want to be driving with someone who
4 shouldn't be driving. They're in enough
5 trouble already. Thank you.

6 LEGISLATOR FORD: Anyone else?
7 How about item 640?

8 MR. LANCEMAN: 640 is our STSJP
9 grant. Supervision and treatment services for
10 juveniles. This renewal grant is for one year
11 from the New York State OCFS in the amount of
12 \$201,272. This grant has existed since 2011.
13 The Supervision Treatment Services Juveniles
14 Program is part of the governor's initiatives
15 to juvenile justice reform. The intent is to
16 seek prevention of detention and placement.
17 To limit prevent detention.

18 LEGISLATOR FORD: They are
19 equipped because I know we are raising the age
20 so that we can -- we're moving them out of the
21 adult population back into juvenile status.
22 So this will be able to handle maybe a greater
23 number?

24 MR. LANCEMAN: Yes. Part of the
25 stip for this year is going to be ROTA.

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR FORD: Do they help
3 them with education? Sometimes the children
4 just need to be going to school or whatever.

5 MR. LANCEMAN: From what I
6 understand there's various aspects of there's
7 respite care, there's educational services.
8 There's many different aspects of trying to
9 help kids in that age group.

10 LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm always
11 appreciative like whenever we save these
12 children. I know somebody who went through
13 this and he had been in jail. Now I guess
14 probably working through this working and
15 doing great. I don't think he will ever see
16 the inside of a jail cell again.

17 MR. LANCEMAN: This grant is
18 amazing. It's going to give kids the ability
19 to try and avoid getting deeper into the
20 justice system. There's a lot of care
21 professionals involved in this program.

22 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you. Any
23 debate or discussion? Any public comment? I
24 see none. All those in favor of passing items
25 600-18 and 640-18 please signify by saying

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 aye. Any opposed? The item passes
3 unanimously. Thank you very much sir.

4 Clerk item 621-18 is a resolution
5 authorizing the county executive to execute a
6 grant agreement between the New York State
7 Department of Transportation and the County of
8 Nassau acting on behalf of the Nassau County
9 Police Department.

10 May I have a motion. Moved by
11 Legislator Walker. Seconded by Legislator
12 Rhoads.

13 MR. STEPHANOFF: Item 620 of '18
14 that is to enter into a grant agreement with
15 the county and New York State Department of
16 Transportation for \$2 million. This is a
17 construction grant where we provide police
18 services to maintain a safe area for the
19 workers. Especially on the highways like the
20 495, the Long Island Expressway, and we get
21 reimbursed for the services we provide.

22 LEGISLATOR FORD: It's much
23 needed to have police protection. I know that
24 thankfully they also double the points that
25 people get when they're caught speeding

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18
2 through these construction sites. It's
3 amazing to me how many people are willing to
4 go speeding by and not caring about the people
5 right there. Also I hope the officers they
6 realize the police cars don't hit them. You
7 have suffered too many tragedies in your
8 department.

9 Any debate or discussion on this?
10 Any public comment? I see none. All those in
11 favor of passing this item signify by saying
12 aye. Opposed? The item passes unanimously.
13 Thank you.

14 Clerk item 624-18 is an ordinance
15 supplemental to the annual appropriation
16 ordinance in connection with the fire marshal.

17 May I have a motion. Moved by
18 Legislator Walker. Seconded by Legislator
19 Mule. The item is before us.

20 MR. PRIEST: John Priest,
21 Assistant Chief from the Fire Marshal's
22 Office. The 2016 targeted hazmat grant in the
23 amount of \$94,000. We have been getting this
24 for about ten years in a row now and this is
25 money to be distributed between the four major

1 Public Safety - 12-3-18

2 hazmat teams, fire marshal, police, Hicksville
3 Fire Department, Wantagh Fire department.

4 LEGISLATOR FORD: Any debate or
5 discussion? Any public comment? I see none.
6 All those in favor of passing this item please
7 signify by saying aye. Any opposed? The item
8 passes unanimously.

9 There is no other business in front
10 of this committee. May I have a motion to
11 adjourn. Moved by Legislator Mule. Seconded
12 by Legislator Rhoads. All in favor? Thank
13 you. This committee is now adjourned.

14 (Meeting was adjourned at 5:13
15 P.M.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand this seventh day of
December 2018

FRANK GRAY

1

2

3

4

5

6 NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

7

8 RICHARD NICOLELLO

9 PRESIDING OFFICER

10

11 PUBLIC WORKS AND PARKS COMMITTEE

12

13 LEGISLATOR VINCENT MUSCARELLA

14 CHAIR

15

16

17 Theodore Roosevelt Building

18 1550 Franklin Avenue

19 Mineola, New York

20

21

22 December 3, 2018

23 5:14 P.M.

24

25

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S:

3

4 LEGISLATOR ROSE MARIE WALKER

5

6 LEGISLATOR C. WILLIAM GAYLOR III

7 Vice Chair

8

9 LEGISLATOR THOMAS MCKEVITT

10

11 LEGISLATOR DENISE FORD

12

13 LEGISLATOR SIELA BYNOE

14 Ranking member

15

16 LEGISLATOR ARNOLD DRUCKER

17

18 LEGISLATOR JOSHUA LAFAZAN

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Public Works - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Call the
3 Public Works and Parks Committee to order at
4 this time. I will act as clerk and call the
5 roll as well. Legislator Joshua Lafazan.

6 LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.

7 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Legislator
8 Arnold Drucker.

9 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.

10 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Ranking
11 Member Siela Bynoe.

12 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.

13 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Legislator
14 Denise Ford.

15 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.

16 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Legislator
17 Thomas McKevitt.

18 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.

19 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Legislator
20 Rose Walker.

21 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.

22 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: And myself.

23 We have a quorum.

24 First item on the calendar is clerk
25 item 613-18 which calls for a resolution

1 Public Works - 12-3-18
2 authorizing the county executive to execute a
3 grant agreement between the county of Nassau
4 acting on behalf of the Department of Parks
5 Recreations and Museums and the friends of
6 Tackapausha, Inc.

7 May I have a motion. Moved by
8 Legislator Rose Walker. Seconded by
9 Legislator Joshua Lafazan. The item is now
10 before us. Do we have someone from the
11 administration?

12 MR. NUGENT: Brian Nugent, deputy
13 commissioner Nassau County Department of
14 Parks.

15 This is a hotel-motel grant in the
16 amount of \$6,000 for the Friends of
17 Tackapausha who assist the Tackapausha
18 Museum. This will be to refurbish our bat
19 house. We have fruit bats that's been in
20 archaic condition. We're going to update it
21 to make it more visible and a better
22 attraction for children or adults.

23 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Do any of the
24 committee members have any questions or would
25 like to discuss this matter? Legislator

1 Public Works - 12-3-18

2 Drucker.

3 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
4 Chairman. I guess this particular one is not
5 regarding Old Country Road; is that correct?
6 Are you going to speak on both of them too?

7 MR. NUGENT: This one is for
8 Tackapausha in Seaford. All three will be on
9 Finance. They're all grants.

10 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Any other
11 questions? Any public comment? Step on up
12 Mr. Budnick.

13 MR. BUDNICK: I'm a member of
14 Friends of Tackapausha and former secretary
15 and board member. Tackapausha provides just
16 about the only place in Nassau County that
17 Nassau County has a collection of animals who
18 can't be released to the wild because of
19 injuries or other problems like lead
20 contamination or toxic materials. And they
21 are kept there by the outstanding staff with
22 the assistance of the Friends of Tackapausha.
23 This is a great thing. Thank you.

24 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Thank you
25 Mr. Budnick. Any other public comment?

1 Public Works - 12-3-18

2 Seeing none, I will call for a vote then. All
3 those in favor of passing this item please
4 signify by saying aye. Anybody opposed? The
5 item passes. This will move to the Finance
6 Committee.

7 The next item before us is clerk
8 item 617-18, 618-18 and 619-18, which I will
9 call all together as they call for a
10 resolution approving a supplemental agreement
11 for federal aid projects administered by the
12 New York State Department of Transportation
13 and authorizing the County of Nassau to
14 participate in the non-federal share of the
15 cost of construction and in the cost of
16 construction supervision and inspection of the
17 projects. And authorizing the county
18 executive of the County of Nassau to execute
19 an agreement on behalf of the county with the
20 New York State Department of Transportation
21 for the performance of such work for the
22 project and for the participation by the
23 county in the non-federal share of the cost of
24 the projects identified as P.I.N. 0760.81,
25 which is the traffic signal expansion phase

1 Public Works - 12-3-18

2 four Nassau County.

3 Project identified as P.I.N.

4 0760.28, which is Old Country Road signals
5 phase one in Nassau County.

6 And project identified as P.I.N.

7 0759.98 traffic signal expansion phase six in
8 Nassau County, New York.

9 Moved by Legislator Rose Walker.

10 Seconded by Legislator Drucker. From the
11 administration?

12 MR. LINDGREN: Jeff Lindgren for
13 Public Works. All three of these items are
14 supplemental agreements to an existing
15 agreement we have with New York State DOT for
16 increased federal funding on the respective
17 projects and to extend the end date in which
18 we are eligible for the recoupment of these
19 federal funds.

20 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: I believe
21 Legislator Drucker has questions on the Old
22 Country Road project.

23 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
24 Chairman Gaylor. With respect to the Old
25 Country Road project, that contract ended

1 Public Works - 12-3-18

2 December 31, 2016; is that correct?

3 MR. LINDGREN: The existing
4 master agreement for this?

5 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Yes.

6 MR. LINDGREN: I don't believe
7 so. It should be fairly current and this is
8 extending it.

9 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Says
10 extending it from December 31, 2016 to
11 December 31, 2023. Is that a typo?

12 MR. LINDGREN: The original
13 master agreement had a time frame. I think
14 then we have done a no cost time extension.
15 This is actually adding additional federal
16 funding to it. So at the same time we are
17 officially extending the end date.

18 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Can you
19 describe for me, a lay person in this
20 disrespect, I personally witness on a daily
21 basis the replacement of the existing traffic
22 signals on Old Country Road to the new ones
23 that are attached to one single piece. Can
24 you describe what the differences are between
25 the existing lights and the ones you are

1 Public Works - 12-3-18

2 replacing them with now?

3 MR. LINDGREN: Couple of things.

4 Number one, in a lot of the cases the
5 infrastructure of the poles, the wiring, the
6 signal lights themselves are older. They're
7 subject to the elements. They start rotting,
8 fatigue. The poles rot from the inside out
9 because moisture sticks to the bottom. So, in
10 a lot of cases we're rebuilding it as a
11 proactive measure before they become
12 maintenance intensive and/or possible collapse
13 of the structure of the other poles
14 themselves.

15 In addition to that, we are also
16 increasing the size. A lot of the older
17 signals had eight inch lights, red, yellow and
18 green. We are increasing them to 12, which
19 increase visibility of the signal. We are
20 also upgrading the pedestrian facilities.
21 Walk, don't walk. Replacing the pedestrian
22 curb ramps, buttons. Full blown rebuild. At
23 the same time we're upgrading our signal
24 communications from old, twisted bare copper
25 communicated to fiber optics.

1 Public Works - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So
3 technologically speaking, these are the most
4 advanced traffic signals that we can get?

5 MR. LINDGREN: Yeah.

6 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
7 very much.

8 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Any other
9 legislators have comment? Any public
10 comment?

11 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Just to
12 comment about especially the size of the
13 lights. That's a pretty decent jump from
14 eight inch to the 12 inch. And I'm sure
15 especially for every driver, but a young
16 driver who is just starting out or especially
17 an older driver who some of them -- we're in
18 the same bracket -- but the visibility is very
19 important. I think that alone is such a big
20 plus besides everything else that you
21 mentioned.

22 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Any other
23 legislators have any comment? Any public
24 comment? Seeing none, I will call for a
25 vote. All those in favor of items 617-18,

1 Public Works - 12-3-18

2 clerk item 618-18 and 619-18 all in favor
3 please signify by saying aye. Any opposed?
4 These three items will move next to the
5 Finance Committee.

6 There being no further business
7 before us, may I have a motion to adjourn.
8 Moved by Legislator Walker. Seconded by
9 Legislator McKeivitt. All those in favor of
10 adjourning? Motion carries. This committee
11 is now adjourned.

12 (Meeting adjourned at 5:23 P.M.)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand this eighth day of
December 2018

FRANK GRAY

1

2

3

4

5

6 NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

7

8 RICHARD NICOLELLO

9 PRESIDING OFFICER

10

11 RULES COMMITTEE

12

13 LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO

14 CHAIR

15

16

17 Theodore Roosevelt Building

18 1550 Franklin Avenue

19 Mineola, New York

20

21

22 December 3, 2018

23 1:24 P.M.

24

25

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S:

3

4 LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO

5 Chair

6

7 LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL

8 Vice Chair

9

10 LEGISLATOR STEVEN RHOADS

11

12 LEGISLATOR DENISE FORD

13

14 LEGISLATOR KEVAN ABRAHAMS

15 Ranking member

16

17 LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

18

19 LEGISLATOR SIELA BYNOE

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Call the
3 Rules Committee to order. To start things off
4 I would request that Colonel Bill Gaylor lead
5 us in the Pledge of Allegiance. Please remain
6 standing afterwards.

7 (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I
9 regretfully announce that Legislator James
10 Kennedy's mother passed away suddenly, my
11 understanding, over the weekend. I ask that
12 we have a moment of silence and that you keep
13 James and his family in your thoughts and
14 prayers. Thank you.

15 If you would remain standing for a
16 moment. Legislator Ford reminds me that we
17 lost President Bush as well over the weekend.
18 An outstanding leader of our country, a man of
19 dignity, grace and courage, who served our
20 country well, a true statesman and American
21 hero. Keep his family in our thoughts as
22 well. Thank you.

23 What we are going to do with the
24 committees the Rules Committee will go first.
25 We will be considering the contract portion of

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 our agenda. Following that we will be having
3 a brief meeting of the Inspector General
4 Committee that will be in public, will be
5 taking action with respect to the appointment
6 of an inspector general or recommendation for
7 the appointment of an inspector general
8 today. And then we will be having a joint
9 meeting of the Rules and Planning Committee
10 after that in which any legislator will be
11 eligible to participate in order to consider
12 the Coliseum leases.

13 To start things off we will move to
14 the contracts on the calendar. I'm going to
15 call several contracts together because they
16 relate to similar services. Actually, Mr.
17 Clerk could you call the roll please.

18 MR. PULITZER: Thank you. Rules
19 Committee roll call. Legislator Siela Bynoe.

20 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.

21 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
22 DeRiggi-Whitton.

23 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
24 Here.

25 MR. PULITZER: Ranking member

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 Kevan Abrahams.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.

4 MR. PULITZER: Substituting for
5 Legislator Laura Schaefer is Legislator Denise
6 Ford.

7 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.

8 MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
9 Legislator Steven Rhoads.

10 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.

11 MR. PULITZER: Vice Chairman
12 Howard Kopel.

13 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.

14 MR. PULITZER: Chairman Richard
15 Nicoletto.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.

17 MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum
18 sir.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
20 very much. I'm going to call several items
21 together that relate to similar services.
22 A-1, A-54, A-55 A-56 2018. These are all
23 resolutions authorizing the director of Nassau
24 County Office of Purchasing to award and
25 execute contracts between the county and

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 Agilent Technologies, Inc., Morrell Instrument
3 Company, Inc., I. Miller Precision Optical
4 Company, Inc. and Foster and Freeman, USA Inc.

5 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded
6 by Legislator Bynoe. All those items are
7 before us.

8 MS. DOOLING: Karen Dooling,
9 assistant director of the crime lab. The
10 first item, A-1-18, is for Agilent. This is
11 for the instrument relocation for all of our
12 instrumentation in our chemistry control
13 substances section.

14 The second item, A-54-18 as well as
15 A-55-18, is to procure the microscopes that we
16 need for the different sections of the
17 laboratory.

18 And A-56-18 is for some of the
19 items and the software that we will need for
20 the trace analysis section.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The
22 \$64,000 question is when will the crime lab be
23 open?

24 MS. DOOLING: First quarter of
25 next year.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Will there
3 continued to be services provided out of the
4 county after it is opened?

5 MS. DOOLING: Currently the
6 services that we have available are forensic
7 biology, latent prints for processing and
8 comparison. Chemistry controlled substance
9 we're handling lab 50 percent of the volume
10 right now because of the space limitations
11 that we have. And we will be adding trace
12 analysis. We will be adding firearms,
13 ballistics, crime scene reconstruction section
14 and digital forensics. The newer disciplines,
15 once we get staff hired, generally it takes
16 about two years to accredit a new forensic
17 discipline. Between one and a half and two
18 years. You have to hire the staff, train them
19 and you have to have your accrediting body in
20 to inspect the new discipline.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So what
22 will be different after the first other than
23 physically locating the crime lab?

24 MS. DOOLING: What will be
25 different is we will be in the new location.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 We will have enough space to move forward to
3 take the additional 50 percent of the volume
4 in chemistry controlled substances, which is a
5 big accomplishment, and we will be moving
6 forward with putting on the other disciplines
7 because we can't do that right now because of
8 space constraints.

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: For some
10 length of time after the crime lab is reopened
11 we will continue to have to use outside
12 services to do those disciplines?

13 MS. DOOLING: For some of them,
14 yes.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
16 questions? Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

17 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
18 Regarding this would be A-1-18, we just have a
19 question about the transportation services.
20 In the backup, it's highlighted, it says this
21 quote does not include transportation
22 services. Does that mean that there will be
23 an extra cost or does that just mean there
24 will be no transportation services.

25 MS. DOOLING: No, there's no

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 transportation services. This includes the
3 transportation.

4 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:

5 Thanks for clarifying that.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
7 Ford.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: When we open up
9 the new location do we have the staff ready to
10 be moved into there as well?

11 MS. DOOLING: We have current
12 staff that will be moved in and we are
13 continuously hiring new staff. So right now
14 we are working on hiring the firearms section
15 of the laboratory and then working with budget
16 we are phasing in the other employees.

17 LEGISLATOR FORD: So then you
18 will be able to anticipate how many more
19 people you will need whether or not you need
20 to then at the next budget cycle or so to
21 submit --

22 MS. DOOLING: Sorry. A number of
23 new employees have been budgeted for in next
24 budget cycle to staff the new disciplines.

25 LEGISLATOR FORD: We have an

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 active list that we are able to get the
3 candidates or do we still have to give the
4 test and maybe establish a list?

5 MS. DOOLING: They are
6 noncompetitive titles. So we've been
7 interviewing for hires.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
10 debate or discussion? Hearing none, any
11 public comment? Thank you. All in favor
12 signify by saying aye. Those opposed? These
13 pass unanimously.

14 A-59 of 2018, resolution to
15 authorize the director of Nassau County Office
16 of Purchasing to award and execute a contract
17 between the county and Jack Doheny Companies
18 Inc.

19 That's moved by Legislator
20 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
21 Rhoads. That item is before us. Is there
22 anyone here to speak on the contract between
23 DPW and Jack Doheny, Inc.?

24 MR. COTUGNO: Good afternoon.
25 Rich Cotugno from Public Works. The three

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 flush trucks that we are buying is associated
3 with the operational maintenance of the sewer
4 system, which is presently we have a contract
5 operator which is Suez. The vehicles
6 themselves are 1996 flush trucks that are
7 beyond their useful life. And over the last
8 few years a lot of maintenance, upwards as far
9 as an average over the last three years of
10 about \$60,000 has gone into these trucks.

11 The intent was to again initiate an
12 initial order of three flush trucks to replace
13 those vehicles.

14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That seems
15 to make sense. How many miles were on those
16 vehicles?

17 MR. COTUGNO: It's not so much
18 the mileage it's the equipment. It's a '96
19 vehicle. It's also got a tank and the pumping
20 systems. So, it's a lot of wear and tear on
21 that equipment. Typically you would start
22 looking to at least evaluate replacement when
23 the vehicles are 15 years old. These are 22
24 years old and were originally identified in
25 2010, when the county had the operation, to

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 initially replace them.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
4 you. Any questions? Legislator Ford.

5 LEGISLATOR FORD: Do they handle
6 basically the same capacity or do you find
7 with the newer trucks that they will run more
8 efficient?

9 MR. COTUGNO: They are more
10 efficient because of the pumping systems they
11 have on them. They are efficient as far as
12 the cleaning system, as far as the maintenance
13 where they're looking to clean any grease
14 buildup that has built up on the sewer lines
15 in the street. They also respond to homeowner
16 stoppages. Should a stoppage be identified
17 that would be a Suez and/or county
18 responsibility, these are one of the vehicles
19 that would go there and correct the condition
20 right then and there.

21 LEGISLATOR FORD: That's
22 interesting. How many flush trucks do we have
23 in the county?

24 MR. COTUGNO: There's about eight
25 in one district, meaning Cedar Creek, and

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 seven in Bay Park.

3 LEGISLATOR FORD: What are their
4 ages for those trucks? Are they like 1996 as
5 well or hopefully newer.

6 MR. COTUGNO: We have others that
7 are 2002. There's some 2006 and also 2012.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: Hopefully we
9 will look into getting --

10 MR. COTUGNO: The intent would be
11 to get on a program of replacement, and that's
12 what we originally identified that we wanted
13 to do. But getting the funding in place and
14 also getting the requisitions out and the bids
15 back because they are specialized equipment.

16 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
18 Bynoe.

19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you
20 Presiding Officer. Sir. Not getting off that
21 easy. My question pertains to the contract
22 with Suez. Does it account for the county
23 actually making a purchase of this equipment?

24 MR. COTUGNO: Yes. When the
25 contract was originally signed there were 68

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 vehicles that were transferred over to Suez
3 for the operation and maintenance of the
4 system. I'm going to say that the conditions
5 of those vehicles they were old as far as what
6 we transferred over to them. And
7 contractually it identifies that the county,
8 through a capital project, is responsible for
9 the replacement. And we also go through and
10 follow the procedures set up by Purchasing.

11 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: We are
12 responsible for the 68 vehicles that we have
13 transferred over to them?

14 MR. COTUGNO: Yeah. That's the
15 vehicles they accepted. Once we go through
16 the system there will be some vehicles that we
17 may not replace. They may be able to
18 consolidate them.

19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Is the county
20 doing any kind of quality control to determine
21 if they are utilizing these vehicles
22 properly? That they are servicing them
23 properly?

24 MR. COTUGNO: Suez is responsible
25 for the maintenance on all those vehicles.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 They have records that they are performing the
3 maintenance. They also maintain the labor
4 costs that are associated with each vehicle.

5 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: All right.
6 I've not had an opportunity to look at that
7 contract to confirm what I'm hearing today. I
8 don't know how the other members of this
9 committee feel. I sure would like an
10 opportunity to confirm what I just heard and
11 look at it to ensure that we are in fact
12 responsible for the replacement of those
13 trucks.

14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's
15 fair.

16 MR. COTUGNO: We already have the
17 funding in place for the purchases of these
18 vehicles.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let me ask
20 you this. It's going to take us a couple of
21 weeks to do our due diligence so that we can
22 confirm on our own that this is in fact a
23 county responsibility as opposed to amend the
24 responsibility. If you have to wait a couple
25 of weeks for approval that's not going to

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 prejudice the county, correct?

3 MR. COTUGNO: No. We can do
4 that.

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: A motion
6 to table.

7 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Motion to
8 table.

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Seconded
10 by Legislator Ford. All in favor of tabling
11 signify by saying aye. Those opposed? That
12 item is tabled.

13 A-60 of 2018, a resolution
14 authorizing the director of the Office of
15 Purchasing to award and execute a contract
16 between the county and Cream-O-Land Dairies,
17 Inc.

18 Moved by Legislator Rhoads.
19 Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

20 MS. HALL: Good afternoon. Narda
21 Hall, correctional center. A-60-18 is for
22 Cream-O-Land Dairies LLC. The bid was
23 advertised in Newsday, posted to the Nassau
24 County bid solicitation board where five
25 vendors viewed the bid. Minority Affairs was

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 given a copy of the bid. One vendor located
3 in Forest, New Jersey submitted a bid. The
4 other major milk company, Elmhurst Dairy
5 located in Queens, New York, closed for
6 business in August of 2016.

7 Of the 20 milk processing plants in
8 New York as recently as 25 years ago none
9 remain in the city or Nassau, Suffolk,
10 Westchester, Rockland or Putnam County.
11 Predominantly the city's milk comes from
12 further upstate, New Jersey and Pennsylvania.
13 Cream-O-Land is the vendor of milk for 90
14 percent of the schools on Long Island and New
15 York City including the East Meadow School
16 District and the Nassau County Medical Center
17 in East Meadow. Which makes deliveries to the
18 medical center valuable and cost efficient.

19 Historically Cream-O-Land has held
20 milk requirements contracts with Nassau County
21 with satisfactory performance. The estimated
22 cost is \$159,125 for a period of one year.

23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
24 very much for that presentation, Ms. Hall.
25 You answered probably most of the questions

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 that we had. It's surprising to know that all
3 of those milk suppliers are no longer in
4 existence in our region downstate.

5 Legislator Ford.

6 LEGISLATOR FORD: The other dairy
7 products that you get for the correctional
8 facility do you get also them from
9 Cream-O-Land?

10 MS. HALL: Yes. It's for milk,
11 orange juice, one percent milk, low fat,
12 chocolate milk.

13 LEGISLATOR FORD: Perfect. Thank
14 you.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
16 debate or discussion? Any public comment?

17 MS. MEREDAY: Meta J. Mereday.
18 My question again has to do with regarding the
19 input from the Minority Affairs Committee. I
20 believe there's still a search I believe for a
21 director for that committee. I'm noticing
22 that again we are getting to the end of the
23 year and there has been no items from that
24 committee on this agenda for any type of
25 discussion or review.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 So when the statement is made that
3 it was handed to the Minority Affairs
4 Committee was there any response? Did
5 anything come back? Anyone listings?

6 And lastly, why aren't we utilizing
7 any particular dairy farms that may still be
8 located in upstate New York? They can't
9 afford to operate in this region considering
10 we pay the second highest taxes. Westchester
11 County pays the first highest taxes and
12 Suffolk County is the eighth and not a lot of
13 people are drinking milk. But let's consider
14 the fact that we should still keep these
15 contracts as best as possible in New York
16 State.

17 It's a two-part question even
18 though I'm told this is not debate or
19 interrogation. I'm going to put it on the
20 record. One, what was the response, if any,
21 from the Minority Affairs Committee? And two,
22 why aren't we utilizing companies or vendors
23 within New York State? Thank you.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
25 you. I see Mr. Schlernoff approaching the

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 mic.

3 MR. SCHLERNOFF: Both Suffolk and
4 Westchester County utilize New York State
5 contract and the amount that they charge for
6 the skim milk, which is the largest used item,
7 is .1669 per half pint. We get a price of
8 .164. So we are getting a lower price than
9 the state contract calls for.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Also as
11 was mentioned, they have an existing
12 relationship with the school districts. So
13 they have a presence here.

14 MR. SCHLERNOFF: So it's cheaper
15 for them to deliver it.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
17 you. Any other public comment? All in favor
18 signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
19 Carries unanimously.

20 E-119 2018 is a resolution
21 authorizing the county executive to execute an
22 amendment to a personal services agreement
23 between the county and the Federation of
24 Organizations.

25 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 by Legislator Bynoe.

3 MR. HALL: Brian Hall from Human
4 Services. This is an amendment to our 2018
5 contract from the New York State Office of
6 Mental Health. \$1,418. It's 100 percent
7 grant money. It's the COLA increase for 2018.

8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
9 questions? Any public comment? Thank you
10 Mr. Hall. All in favor signify by saying
11 aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

12 Item 120 is a resolution
13 authorizing the county executive to execute an
14 amendment to a personal services agreement
15 between the county and IIT, Inc.

16 That's moved by Legislator Bynoe
17 and seconded by Legislator Ford.

18 MS. STANTON: Nancy Stanton, IT.
19 E-120-18. IIT is the supplemental staffing
20 vendor. This amendment is to exercise the
21 original two year renewal option from January
22 15, 2019 through January 2020. We are also
23 looking for an additional \$700,000 in funds
24 which we will encumber \$350,000 now.
25 Currently we have four consultants working

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 through this vendor. Two with People Soft,
3 one is for GIS and one is for Legislator
4 Lafazan's mobile app.

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Question
6 is, you've represented before that you are
7 developing a plan to bring some of that
8 supplemental staffing in house. Where are we
9 with the plan? When can we expect it?

10 MS. STANTON: I have a plan. I
11 have six PRFs approved. I had identified two
12 people to come on board. I'm just waiting for
13 their paperwork to go through. We put an ad
14 in the Chief to see if we can bring more
15 candidates in to replace the People Soft
16 people.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What's the
18 PRF?

19 MS. STANTON: To hire personnel.
20 Budget has approved it and it's in the works.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do you
22 have a longer term plan other than just
23 piecemeal to begin to staff up?

24 MS. STANTON: Oh yes. The goal
25 is to replace with CSEA workers. We're

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 struggling a little bit with People Soft
3 because it's a very unique skill set but we
4 will get through this.

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
6 questions? Minority Leader Abrahams.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are you
8 Commissioner? I know at the last committee
9 meeting or the one before that we had talked
10 about the staffing and you talked about
11 hiring. I just wanted to get a sense of where
12 you were with that. We had talked about
13 obviously these contracts coming forward and
14 at the time you had discussed that I guess we
15 would be in some type of a transition with the
16 hiring. Are you able to elaborate on that?

17 MS. STANTON: Yes. We have
18 approval to hire six people at this point. I
19 have two people identified. I'm just waiting
20 for their paperwork to go through and we will
21 continue to interview.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you have
23 the ability to have 60 slots and you're in the
24 process of interviewing. So when do you think
25 you will be able to conclude the interviewing

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 process?

3 MS. STANTON: I'm waiting for
4 candidates. We put an ad in the Chief this
5 weekend.

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you
7 haven't started interviewing?

8 MS. STANTON: We interviewed
9 approximately 12 people already. For one
10 reason or another they didn't fit what we
11 needed. But I did find two people that I
12 could hire.

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I have
14 nothing further for now.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
16 Ford and Legislator Bynoe had questions.
17 Legislator Bynoe then Legislator Ford.

18 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hi. Good
19 afternoon. I think it would be helpful to
20 this body and the entire body to receive a
21 breakdown or receive a summation of how many
22 contractual workers are actually laboring
23 within your department. Because six positions
24 sounds great but if we have 30 out six doesn't
25 sound like much.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MS. STANTON: I have 20 people
3 right now. However, seven of them will be
4 gone by April 1st because they are working on
5 small projects. And the others, once we go
6 into production, we will be able to
7 consolidate them and with the six we can
8 hire.

9 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: If we can get
10 some level of a written plan so we can follow
11 along.

12 MS. STANTON: No problem.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I echo
14 that request to all committee members.
15 Legislator Ford.

16 LEGISLATOR FORD: So this is
17 right now we have contract workers and with
18 the CSEA it's not necessarily competitive,
19 people don't have to take a test, you will be
20 able to just --

21 MS. STANTON: There are a few
22 titles that they will come in as provisional
23 and then a test will be given.

24 LEGISLATOR FORD: When you say
25 you advertised in the Chief is that both in

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 the newspaper and on their online services or
3 is it just one or the other?

4 MS. STANTON: I believe it's
5 both.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
7 Leader.

8 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just a
9 quick follow-up. You mentioned you
10 interviewed 12 but they didn't really meet the
11 criteria you were looking for. Have you
12 engaged any obstacles, any issues that you
13 want to make the committee aware of in regards
14 to hiring?

15 MS. STANTON: No. It's just the
16 technical needs were not there. We are
17 looking for a very specific developer, People
18 Soft sort of developer kind of person and it's
19 very hard to find.

20 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: There were
21 no obstacles in regards to the starting salary
22 or anything along those lines?

23 MS. STANTON: I was able to get a
24 salary waiver.

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
3 questions? Thank you Ms. Stanton. Any public
4 comment? Hearing none, all in favor signify
5 by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
6 unanimously.

7 E-121 of 2018, a resolution
8 authorizing the county executive to execute a
9 personal services agreement between the county
10 and the New York Coalition for Transportation
11 Safety.

12 Legislator Bynoe moves that and
13 Legislator Ford seconds it.

14 MR. MISTRON: Christopher
15 Mistron, Nassau County Traffic Safety.

16 This particular contract is with
17 New York Coalition of Transportation Safety.
18 They provide the money. Is full grant
19 funded. The monies is actually dedicated for
20 use with handicapped individuals, to assist
21 families with special need children for
22 everything from child safety seat program. We
23 are one of the few fitting stations in New
24 York State that actually has a person on hand
25 that can do special needs fittings.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 As a matter of fact, he's been at a
3 number of the legislative events, Mark
4 Happacker, who works for the New York
5 Coalition. New York Coalition happens to be
6 run by a I'll identify as a female staff and
7 grant ownership, as well as they also provide
8 services with the grant funds for everything
9 from special needs with even bicycle programs,
10 bicycle helmets and so on.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It's
12 towards the end of the year. I'm assuming the
13 money has already been spent?

14 MR. MISTRON: The money is on
15 hand and waiting to be spent. For the most
16 part the money has been spent. There is one
17 more program to do.

18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: This will
19 reimburse us?

20 MR. MISTRON: Correct. All the
21 money is on hand. It's kind of unique with
22 all of the traffic safety programs the money
23 actually comes up upfront. It was already
24 received and is sitting there.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 great. Any questions? Minority Leader
3 Abrahams.

4 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Chris, I
5 know this is not a question for you but I do
6 have an overall question which I was going to
7 ask Mr. Cleary. I don't know if you can add.
8 We were always under the impression when we
9 looked at contracts -- this contract is 11
10 months late which I understand with the
11 process in getting ti through. This is not
12 really more directed at you even though you
13 are at the podium. We were under the
14 impression when we were looking at contracts
15 that were late we would compared them to the
16 late list and then deducting them off the late
17 list. It was our hope that that list would
18 eventually evaporate and be not existent.
19 However, it seems like the list keeps growing
20 or there's stuff that's added.

21 I know this is not for you and I
22 don't know if Mr. Cleary going to be able to
23 answer. I don't know if he is here. But we
24 were hoping to get an update of where the list
25 is because we no longer' -- it is on the

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 list. But it seems that this contract was
3 beginning in January 1, 2018, which I'm not
4 too sure, maybe in our due diligence we missed
5 it, I'm not too sure why it would be on the
6 list.

7 MR. MISTRON: I can tell you that
8 the appropriation for this grant, as well as
9 the DWI grant, while it was submitted back in
10 the beginning of the year somehow got where
11 ever. And this grant was actually not
12 approved until September.

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Is there a
14 grant that you are working on now that would
15 cover the term of January 1, 2019 to December
16 31, 2019?

17 MR. MISTRON: Yes.

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: In essence,
19 even though it won't be before us today,
20 that's a contract in order for it not to be
21 late it would have to be here today?

22 MR. MISTRON: You said '19? I
23 can't appropriate the money until January for
24 that, correct?

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What I'm

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 saying is, is there a grant that the county is
3 going to receive which would cover the term in
4 the future that would have to get approved by
5 us today?

6 MR. MISTRON: No. Anything for
7 '19 will be approved in '19. But the
8 paperwork for it to be put together it will
9 have a grant code of '19.

10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So we will
11 have an opportunity in January to approve
12 something that will have of a future date of a
13 term?

14 MR. MISTRON: Yes. I'll be very
15 honest with you, I'm still playing a little
16 bit of catch-up.

17 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I don't
18 mean this to be directed at you. Trust me,
19 there are dozens of contracts on this late
20 list. It wasn't directed at you. But it is
21 our hope that we would see the list dwindle.
22 Not remain the same. But that's a much larger
23 conversation that includes many other
24 departments. But thank you.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 questions? Any public comment? Thank you
3 Chris. All in favor signify by saying aye.
4 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

5 E-122 of '18, a resolution to
6 authorize the county executive execute an
7 amendment to a personal services agreement
8 between the county and Smith and DeGroat Real
9 Estate.

10 Legislator Ford moves that.

11 Legislator Bynoe seconds it.

12 MR. WALSH: Good afternoon
13 legislators. Kevin Walsh from the office of
14 Real Estate Services. This is an extension of
15 an existing contract of services for Smith and
16 DeGroat for developing of an inventory and
17 evaluation of county real estate assets. This
18 was a one year contract of \$100,000. The
19 terms of the initial contract contemplated a
20 one year renewal. Which was exercised. And
21 additional funds were added to this contract
22 of \$28,500 to provide extra services in
23 connection with producing a database of county
24 real estate assets.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 questions? Minority Leader Abrahams.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It looks
4 like from our reading of this contract it's
5 for the review of inventory and evaluation of
6 county real estate assets. I guess at some
7 point should the county choose to sell them?
8 It's reviewing all it's assets and putting a
9 value on them?

10 MR. WALSH: For various
11 purposes. Hopefully for county real estate.
12 Just to give you a measure, the county has
13 approximately 2900 parcels. If you take all
14 the parcels, be it a park, county facility,
15 county building. Many things we learned -- we
16 knew we had sliver parcels. We didn't realize
17 how many we had. Approximately over 200 small
18 properties. You see them come to body on this
19 occasion. We're looking to see how many of
20 those are really out there and to see if we
21 can get them to a point where we can redevelop
22 them, sell them to adjoining owners. Some
23 could have other development potential.
24 That's why this contract probably went a
25 little bit over. We were really were not

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 surprised but there were even things that were
3 some surprises or properties that we had that
4 we weren't even aware of.

5 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Again, this
6 is another one with the terms. Look, I don't
7 fault you guys in the current administration
8 because it precedes you. But the original
9 contract term was December 14, 2015 through
10 December 13, 2016. And then the original
11 contract gave the county the option for
12 renewal which it sounds like you renewed it to
13 2017. Did you come back to the legislature
14 for a vote?

15 MR. WALSH: No. My understanding
16 is at that time when we renewed it from '16 to
17 '17, that's what this is doing, typically
18 when a renewal was in the contract, built in
19 that was approved by this body, it was at the
20 discretion of the county to exercise the
21 renewal, which we did, to finish the
22 services. But my understanding now is the
23 policy is even if it says contemplated in the
24 agreement that's why we are coming back to
25 this body. I believe this contract was

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 started in the full routing process.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Original
4 contract '15 '16 -- I'm sorry.

5 MR. WALSH: This contract did
6 expire in '16 and we did exercise the
7 renewal. We're also adding additional funds
8 of 28,000 to complete the services of this
9 project.

10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If I'm
11 understanding this correctly, the contract was
12 approved for '15 to '16. Then county wanted
13 to extend it. Do a one year option. Now you
14 are before us in December '18, two years after
15 you extended it seeking the renewal.

16 MR. WALSH: We're just looking to
17 complete the services that were provided in
18 early '17.

19 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I got you
20 but it's two years late.

21 MR. WALSH: Correct. It is late.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm not
23 trying to give you a difficult time. This
24 will be the last time we approve contracts
25 without getting a very substantive list. I

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 don't know if the Presiding Officer agrees.
3 We need a very substantive list of why things
4 are late and what is late and that list it
5 needs to be updated for us. It needs to be
6 updated every time you come to this body for
7 approval.

8 To me, this is egregious. Granted
9 this was a contract that precedes the county
10 executive. She wasn't even sworn into office
11 when this stuff was materializing. But we
12 need to get a handle on this now. This is two
13 years.

14 Granted, I understand the need for
15 the county to have the services and I'm going
16 to recommend to our side to support it. But I
17 think the way we are going with the system, if
18 contracts are late starting in January they
19 are not going to get approved by our side. We
20 just can't go forward with this anymore.

21 It was my hope that when we
22 developed the late list that we would see that
23 list dwindle. It seems like that list if not
24 staying the same it's growing. So that's the
25 message that we will bring back. We will

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 support it today and then from that
3 standpoint, come January, we need to see a
4 more comprehensive list and understand why
5 things keep getting added to the list.

6 I would think that as you move away
7 from the previous administration if we are
8 doing our due diligence to ensure that the
9 contracts are being sent down timely that list
10 should dwindle. But thank you.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We would
12 as well look to see that more comprehensive
13 list. That's a good idea. I think we have
14 not been focusing as much on this maybe on the
15 presumption that the list would dwindle as we
16 did toward the end of the previous
17 administration. Maybe by doing that it's
18 loosened up a little bit and that's not our
19 intention. So maybe the message going out is
20 we are going to be paying attention now as
21 much as we did in the past to these contracts
22 being late.

23 Let me ask you something about this
24 one in particular. You said that as a
25 renewal, I guess in the past renewals were not

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 being brought to the legislature. There was a
3 change in the approach that now the renewals
4 are being brought to the legislature?

5 MR. WALSH: That's my
6 understanding. This contract is also unique
7 in the sense that it really was a broad scope
8 of services. One of the things we learned as
9 we were going through the process of building
10 a new data base we're really not working with
11 a static list things. For example, things
12 changed when we were doing reviews. We
13 realized during the course of a year if we
14 worked on a property for example that was
15 subdivided new lot numbers were created. So
16 we had to make those changes. We wanted to be
17 as accurate as possible with the goal of
18 developing a database that could be used by
19 various county departments that would be
20 useful for my office, DPW, maybe other
21 departments as well who are often -- I get
22 many calls from legislators in fact, Kevin, do
23 you have information about this particular
24 county owned parcel and I think it's going to
25 be a great tool.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No one up
3 here is arguing with the merits of what this
4 does. We are going to approve it. But we are
5 arguing with the fact that it's the end of
6 2018 and the renewal was granted in 2016.
7 Every department, to the extent they are
8 processing contracts, has to tag these things
9 to get them to us in a timely fashion.

10 MR. WALSH: Understood.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
12 discussion?

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: From our
14 understanding it was not on the list. It is
15 on the list. Just for the record it is on the
16 list. However, Kevin, can you explain really
17 quick the amendment not only goes back but it
18 adds \$28,500. Can you explain what the
19 \$28,500 additional is for?

20 MR. WALSH: It was based on an
21 hourly rate. As we really got into this there
22 were a lot of things we learned. It's always
23 changing, the county database. We're trying
24 to see if we can get this database to
25 coordinate with other databases of the county

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 like GIS. So we asked the vendor to come in
3 for several of the meetings, try to work with
4 us, help us develop something that was
5 helpful.

6 Example, we thought we might need a
7 location for a new salt dome. So we had the
8 vendor work with us. How can we use our new
9 inventory to help us identify locations in
10 certain areas. It didn't end up being a
11 little bit more over on the hours but this is
12 a project that should be close to completion.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Actually
14 this issue is one that comes up on a regular
15 basis, over the years keeping an inventory of
16 properties. Now that the properties have been
17 identified and inventoried who is maintaining
18 that? Things change over time.

19 MR. WALSH: You're right. It
20 will be our office working with DPW. My goal
21 is get it directly in line with the county's
22 GIS. Which I find to be a very useful tool
23 showing you where properties are. That ties
24 in some assessment records and so forth.
25 Treasurer has a list also. This is just

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 unique of county-owned properties to help
3 constituents and help the legislature as well.

4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
5 questions?

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just to
7 add, this is not really for Kevin. I think it
8 would be prudent -- I do remember a time when
9 Mr. Cleary was here and present at all of our
10 meetings. I would ask that the administration
11 ensures, at least on Rules contract days, that
12 Mr. Cleary is present as well.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We agree
14 wholeheartedly. Any public comment?

15 MS. MEREDAY: When it was
16 questioned, when it was added that this could
17 be beneficial to the constituency, how in fact
18 would the residents be able to get access to
19 this list when it's ever completed?

20 Secondly, does this include the
21 open lands as well that are county owned?
22 Some of which are considered toxic. Including
23 the property that's north of Baldwin. That's
24 county property?

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I would say

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 it includes all county property. So the Coves
3 Neck property that you are referencing south
4 of Southern State, that area, yes.

5 MS. MEREDAY: Would it include
6 that destination in terms of if all these
7 additional add-ons and I'm hearing that there
8 were things added on beyond the scope of work
9 from the original contract, which is curious
10 to me, but was that a destination with regard
11 to the initial contract as to the type of
12 property that it is?

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Based on
14 what Mr. Walsh was saying, the county owns all
15 different types of property. Park. Obviously
16 contaminated properties among other things.
17 Infrastructure buildings. And what I
18 understand, based on his commentary, that it
19 would identify each parcel of land as what its
20 purpose is. So in the event it's a park, it's
21 a park. If what the Coves Neck property is
22 that's what it is. If it's a building
23 infrastructure, a building in DPW it would
24 include that.

25 MS. MEREDAY: So that the fact

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 that it's contaminated and possibly someone
3 could potentially be looking for some funding
4 because it seems Suffolk County seems to be
5 able to identify funding for its open lands
6 that is contaminated but we have requested
7 some type of review and investigation about
8 this property in that region and we are told
9 that it's been too costly or it's too costly
10 to consider. But no one has ever given us an
11 actual number as to how much it would cost to
12 remediate that property and to possibly bring
13 it back to some type of development or into a
14 true park.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's
16 definitely a topic that we can explore. This
17 is just going to give us, from what I
18 understand, gives the county the ability to
19 understand how much assets they have and being
20 able to put them into a format where they can
21 understand what type of purpose they have.

22 What I think what you're talking
23 about Ms. Mereday is something probably more
24 appropriate for our capital plan. Where we
25 can ensure and do a study and determine what

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 level of remediation we can do there. I'm an
3 advocate that I think that property should
4 remain in its current state. I don't think
5 you can do anything of structure there. I
6 think we should do the remediation necessary
7 to keep it in its natural state, which is
8 wetlands, park land, so on and so forth.
9 That's what I would envision. I wouldn't
10 envision anything else other than that. I
11 couldn't see infrastructure or buildings being
12 put on there.

13 MS. MEREDAY: We agree. But
14 right now you just can't on it because it's
15 toxic.

16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But I would
17 say that's where I think the capital plan
18 would come in and be able to do an initial
19 study to determine what remediation we would
20 do as a county to be able to make the land
21 safe for residents to utilize it. Similar to
22 what they do with Coves Neck across the
23 street.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
25 public comment? Hearing none, all in favor

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 signify by saying aye. Those opposed?

3 Carries unanimously.

4 E-123, a resolution authorizing the
5 county executive to execute a personal
6 services agreement between the county and
7 Sierra Cedar Inc.

8 Moved by Legislator Rhoads.

9 Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

10 MS. STANTON: E-123-18 is for a
11 new contract for Sierra Cedar Sierra Cedar is
12 our People Soft hosting vendor. This is a
13 three year contract with a two year renewal.
14 We are asking for 3.35 over five years. We
15 will be disencumbering 500,000 from the
16 existing Sierra contract that we were up for
17 in October.

18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
19 questions?

20 LEGISLATOR FORD: Good
21 afternoon. What exactly is this, the Nassau
22 County's People Soft Human Resources Project?

23 MS. STANTON: This system will be
24 replacing our antiquated mainframe, which is
25 the payroll, benefits, absence management,

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 time and leave systems we have now.

3 LEGISLATOR FORD: So then
4 everything will be moved over to this new
5 system?

6 MS. STANTON: One system,
7 correct.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm going to
9 assume that these systems are utilized by the
10 employees. Will there be training involved?

11 MS. STANTON: Yes. There has
12 been massive training.

13 LEGISLATOR FORD: You are also
14 then -- it's just \$3 million for this
15 software?

16 MS. STANTON: This is just for
17 the hosting agreement, 3.35 over five years.

18 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Real
20 quickly, the benefits I'm assuming would be
21 efficiency. Obviously it's newer technology.
22 But any cost savings for the county as well?

23 MS. STANTON: We will retiring
24 antiquated equipment with people supporting
25 it. You can't get people anymore to support

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 it. There will be savings, yes.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
4 Bynoe.

5 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: You actually
6 touched on my question. You say that we will
7 be retiring equipment and individuals who
8 supported that equipment. Does that mean --

9 MS. STANTON: People are retiring
10 that support it.

11 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: People are
12 going to retire?

13 MS. STANTON: They are retiring,
14 yes.

15 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And this has
16 been discussed with the CSEA?

17 MS. STANTON: Absolutely.

18 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Could you
19 provide the body with the number of
20 individuals under title that are terminating
21 services based on this system?

22 MS. STANTON: I could. I don't
23 have it off the top of my head. I know of
24 three or four that are looking to retire in
25 the next six months.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: You're saying
3 there's how many?

4 MS. STANTON: Off the top of my
5 head I know of three or four people that will
6 be retiring in the next six months.

7 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And we're not
8 going to have to backfill at all because of
9 this system.

10 MS. STANTON: That's what we're
11 trying to do with the People Soft people. The
12 developers that I spoke about a few minutes
13 ago.

14 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
16 questions? Hearing none, any public comment?
17 Thank you Nancy. All in favor signify by
18 saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
19 unanimously.

20 E-124-2018, a resolution
21 authorizing the county executive to execute an
22 amendment to a personal services agreement
23 between the county and Aetna Life Insurance
24 Company.

25 Moved by Legislator

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
3 Rhoads.

4 MS. HUBER: Kerrin Huber from the
5 Office of Human Resources. This item,
6 E-124-18, is to amend the Aetna contract for
7 the health insurance provided to county
8 employees at no cost to them. These county
9 employees will be employees that were hired
10 after the NIFA agreements in 2014.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What does
12 the amendment do?

13 MS. HUBER: It amends the Aetna
14 health insurance contract for an additional
15 year.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
17 questions?

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you
19 Presiding Officer.

20 If I'm understanding this correctly
21 under the original contract the payments were
22 as follows, \$666.03 for individual coverage
23 per month. Family coverage was \$1,496.50 a
24 month. Under this amendment it would revise
25 it to \$841.76 for an individual coverage and

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 \$1,891.36. It seems like it's going up. I
3 guess my general question is, what is the base
4 plan? I don't believe we have been briefed on
5 that.

6 MS. HUBER: The base plans that
7 are offered to the county employees
8 currently?

9 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes.

10 MS. HUBER: We have Empire, which
11 is under the NYSHA plan. That increases every
12 year approximately nine to ten percent
13 depending on the rates of the health
14 insurance. That currently is a cost to the
15 county of over \$2,000 per family and over a
16 \$1,000 for an individual.

17 Then you have Hip, you have Vitra.
18 Which are much more expensive than our current
19 Empire plan. Just for example if somebody was
20 to choose the Hip Plan out of pocket they
21 would pay about \$500 in addition to above what
22 we pay, what the county pays for Empire.

23 So this Aetna contract was done
24 specifically to provide employers with a
25 no-cost insurance to them. Both union and at

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 will employees. And it is still cheaper than
3 our current Empire plan.

4 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.
5 Nothing further.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
7 Ford.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: It was
9 referenced for the employees hired after 2014,
10 correct?

11 MS. HUBER: Yes.

12 LEGISLATOR FORD: The employees
13 that were hired prior to 2014 can they select
14 the Aetna or is it that everyone hired after
15 2014 is now on the Aetna plan?

16 MS. HUBER: The employees hired
17 prior to 2014 are not allowed to opt into this
18 Aetna plan. Those that were hired after 2014
19 could either opt into paying 15 percent
20 towards the Empire plan or this Aetna plan at
21 no cost to them.

22 LEGISLATOR FORD: Then the
23 employees prior to 2014 --

24 MS. HUBER: Either pay five or
25 ten percent or nothing if they're union.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR FORD: With the Hip
3 they have the option -- those hired after 2014
4 don't have an option to select Hip.

5 MS. HUBER: They most certainly
6 can select Hip but it's at an additional cost
7 to them above what the county currently pays
8 for Empire.

9 LEGISLATOR FORD: So everybody
10 prior to 2014 only has the option of either
11 Hip or Empire?

12 MS. HUBER: They actually have
13 about five. So it's Hip, Empire, Aetna, Vitra
14 and then there's another Aetna contract that
15 we have. But everything other than the Empire
16 is much more expensive to the county
17 employers. So a majority of the employees do
18 pick either Empire or Aetna.

19 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.

20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
21 questions? Any public comment? Thank you
22 very much. All in favor signify by saying
23 aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

24 E-125, a resolution authorizing the
25 county executive to execute an amendment to a

1 Rules - 12-3-18
2 personal services agreement between the county
3 and RR Health Strategies LLC as
4 successor-in-interest to Complete Management
5 Solutions.

6 Moved by Legislator
7 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
8 Rhoads.

9 MR. BLANCO: My name is Sergio
10 Blanco. I'm here from Nassau County
11 Comptroller's Office. I'm here to present a
12 no-cost term extension with RR Health
13 Strategies. They provide claims review,
14 processing and audit for reimbursement of
15 claims submitted under the Smart Savings
16 Program. Now, this program saves the county
17 upwards of approximately seven and a half
18 million dollars annually.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: For the
20 record why don't you tell us what Smart
21 Savings is.

22 MR. BLANCO: The county passed an
23 ordinance back in about 2006 which required if
24 there are two employees working for the county
25 they can only have one family plan and we

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 would reimburse the other employee \$2,000.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So it cuts
4 down the cost of paying for two family plans
5 if we have two employees working for the
6 county?

7 MR. BLANCO: Correct.

8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
9 questions? Any public comment? Minority
10 Leader Abrahams.

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We are
12 reading here, there was an RFP issued in March
13 2008 but there were no responses received by
14 the county. Does the comptroller's office
15 have any input on why there were no
16 responses?

17 MR. BLANCO: We're not sure. We
18 do know when this was originally RFP'd there
19 were no responses at that time either. There
20 had to be an extension and at that point only
21 one proposal was received, the current
22 vendor. We also, in an effort to increase
23 participation, we advertised this not only in
24 Newsday but the New York State Contract
25 Reporter and were unable to receive any

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 responses. That being said, we are only
3 seeking an 18 month extension because we do
4 seek to do another RFP again next year and we
5 are hopeful that with working with procurement
6 compliance we can get some greater compliance.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That was my
8 follow up. You do anticipate doing another
9 RFP next year?

10 MR. BLANCO: Yes.

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Nothing
12 further.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
14 Rhoads.

15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thanks Mr.
16 Chairman. Is there a particular reason why
17 the comptroller's office can't do this
18 themselves?

19 MR. BLANCO: Currently we do not
20 have the staff that has medical claims in
21 terms of the approved positions we have in the
22 office that can do this kind of medical claims
23 billing. Though what we pay the vendor is
24 very low, in 2017 we paid less than \$3,000
25 total for these services. In 2018 thus far we

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 spent exactly \$5,400 for the services. It
3 also should be noted that the hourly rate for
4 this vendor has remained unchanged since 2009.

5 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It seems as
6 though if we are paying an outside vendor for
7 it and especially if we're not paying a large
8 amount of money to the outside vendor it would
9 seem to be something that one of our own staff
10 people can get trained in and perform that
11 function on behalf of the comptroller's
12 office. I understand why you don't have a
13 person in place now and why this contract is
14 necessary now. But moving in towards the
15 future we should be should looking at taking
16 that in house and getting an individual the
17 training that they need. Not hiring somebody
18 new, getting somebody existing the training
19 that they need to be able to perform that
20 function for us.

21 MR. BLANCO: That is certainly
22 something we will take under consideration.

23 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: How much did
24 this save the county over the year, if you
25 know?

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MR. BLANCO: In 2018 we have
3 saved so far \$7,495,934.92. Now if you
4 include the excess reimbursements in 2018,
5 that is the amount we've had to reimburse
6 employees who would have had these expenses
7 otherwise covered, was 12,213. And if you
8 include the excess reimbursement of '17 which
9 was \$13,484.46 the estimated savings is
10 \$7,470,236.90.

11 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So it's
12 extraordinarily productive. If we brought it
13 in-house I guess we would have to probably
14 make it a part-time position. Doesn't seem
15 like -- well, \$44,000 we pay for a full-time
16 position.

17 MR. BLANCO: Definitely look at
18 all options.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
20 Bynoe.

21 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm sorry.
22 Hi. How are you? How much did we spend last
23 year?

24 MR. BLANCO: On the expenses or
25 for excess reimbursement?

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: The expenses
3 on this contract.

4 MR. BLANCO: We've spent in the
5 entirety of 2017 \$2,925 20.

6 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: You shared
7 another year.

8 MR. BLANCO: This year we have
9 had more expenses. We spent \$5,400.

10 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So I don't
11 think, just my opinion, that we should spend
12 the time trying to bring this in if we are
13 incurring such low costs associated with the
14 contractual services that they are providing
15 to the extent we are saving \$7 million. Even
16 when we factor in the reimbursement to the
17 families of the \$2,000 per year I think it is.

18 MR. BLANCO: That's correct.

19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I think this
20 contract is worth it's weight in salt. Thank
21 you for the data.

22 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
23 questions? Thanks Sergio. Any public
24 comment? All in favor signify by saying aye.
25 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 E-126, a resolution authorizing the
3 county executive to execute a use and
4 occupancy agreement between the county and
5 Hobieswim Corporation.

6 Moved by Legislator Bynoe.

7 Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

8 MR. NUGENT: Brian Nugent, Nassau
9 County Department of Parks, Recreation and
10 Museums. This is a use and occupancy
11 agreement between the county and Hobieswim to
12 operate a swim shop at the Nassau County
13 Aquatic Center. This was based on a December
14 17 RFP in which 23 vendors viewed the
15 document. Ten downloaded but only two bid.
16 The Parks Department did go out of its way to
17 send the bid out to various local vendors as
18 well as national vendors. This will be for
19 \$35,000 per year and a percentage of the gross
20 on a sliding scale.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
22 questions? Any public comment? Legislator
23 Bynoe.

24 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Good day Mr.
25 Nugent. Hobieswim is utilizing the space to

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 do what exactly?

3 MR. HALL: It's a swim shop.
4 It's put in one of the excess where catering
5 used to be. When it was built, I guess it was
6 built for the Goodwill Games, they might have
7 used that space then. Since then it hasn't
8 been vacant. We've actually had year to year
9 permits and when we looked at it we decided
10 that we needed to RFP this. So in December we
11 sent out an RFP and that's how we are here
12 now. And we will give a multiyear contract.

13 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you very
14 much.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
16 Leader.

17 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If I
18 understanding this contract correctly, it's an
19 agreement with Hobieswim for them to utilize
20 space in the aquatic center?

21 MR. HALL: Correct.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How has the
23 county determined that this is actually a fair
24 deal for the county?

25 MR. HALL: We went out to RFP.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 We actually think it's a good deal.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: They're
4 paying what's commensurate with what we should
5 get for that square footage?

6 MR. HALL: Yes.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I just
8 think it will probably be more prudent if we
9 had the Office of Legislative Budget Review do
10 an analysis on this agreement before we
11 proceed. That's my opinion.

12 MR. NUGENT: I'm fine with that.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
14 Leader has made a motion to table. Seconded
15 by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. All in favor
16 of tabling this item signify by saying aye.
17 The item is tabled. Thank you Mr. Nugent.

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I see
19 Maurice in the back. Maurice, do you mind
20 coming to the podium for a second. I want to
21 make sure you have an understanding of the
22 expectations. Maurice, if it's possible, I
23 would like you to take a look at the agreement
24 and compare it to insure the county is getting
25 a fair amount in terms of this agreement.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 And, if possible, compare it to any other
3 potential agreements. I want to make sure
4 that the county, this is the best deal for the
5 county.

6 MR. CHALMERS: Maurice Chalmers.
7 No problem. We will take a look at it and go
8 back to the department and do the comparison
9 that you are looking for.

10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I know
11 there were two proposals that were received.
12 I don't want to limit it to those two
13 proposals. Obviously this was the better of
14 the two. Ultimately, there could be a
15 decision that we don't do anything with it
16 right at this moment and potentially RFP it
17 for another day. I want to make sure before
18 we lock ourselves into an agreement that goes
19 for a period of time that the actual agreement
20 is fair for years to come us.

21 MR. CHALMERS: No problem. We
22 will take a look at it.

23 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm going
25 to call the next several items together

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 because they are all very similar contracts
3 with the county.

4 E-127, E-128, E-129 and 130 are
5 resolutions authorizing the county executive
6 to execute personal services agreements
7 between the county and Louis McLean Associates
8 Engineers and Surveyors, NV5 New York
9 Engineers, Architects, Landscape Architects
10 and Surveyors, Lockwood, Kessler and Bartlett,
11 Inc. and Liro Engineers Inc.

12 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded
13 by Legislator Rhoads. All those contracts are
14 before the committee.

15 MR. SALLIE: Sean Sallie, Deputy
16 County Executive, Nassau County Department of
17 Public Works. These four contracts that are
18 before you today are for the DPW civil site
19 engineering unit for professional services to
20 augment the work that the department does in
21 terms of the civil site engineering work.
22 That work includes preparation of design plans
23 for projects such as resurfacing and other
24 infrastructure improvements. These four
25 contracts are on an on-call basis.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 The contract contemplates the
3 development of task orders by the department
4 that will be issued to the on call firms for
5 particular projects as those needs arise and
6 the on call firms would be required to submit
7 a response to those task orders. At that time
8 the department would review and select one of
9 the on call firms to perform the work.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
11 Mr. Sallie. Any questions?

12 LEGISLATOR FORD: Good
13 afternoon. These contracts, any work that you
14 are going to be giving to these various firms,
15 usually then a determination has to be made
16 first by CSEA to see if they can do the work.
17 And if they can't then an agreement is made so
18 you can then offer the work to these
19 particular firms?

20 MR. SALLIE: Yes. That is part
21 of the process. At the time a need is
22 identified as part of the encumbrance process
23 the notification to CSEA as far as whether or
24 not the work can be done by union employees
25 that back and forth occurs and no work happens

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 until that is resolved.

3 LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm hoping
4 though that DPW and the administration will
5 start looking at if there seems to be a
6 pattern of the same type of work that CSEA
7 can't do that one of these firms can do it
8 that if it's a certain type of job that we
9 start looking at this and work with civil
10 service to come up with that appropriate title
11 so that we can start bringing those
12 employees. So that we actually have the
13 county workers doing the work rather than
14 having to always -- because I know sometimes
15 the work can be delayed because you may come
16 up with a project, then you have to send it
17 over to CSEA, CSEA then has to take a look at
18 it and then they have to sign off on it. It
19 adds time to getting some of these projects
20 done which has to be done. Which has to be
21 done. We have to make sure CSEA -- but I think
22 that if we can identify if there is like
23 repetitive jobs that we can then bring
24 somebody in and have it done in-house it would
25 actually expedite many of these projects and

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 make is so the jobs get done sooner. Thank
3 you.

4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
5 Leader Abrahams.

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you
7 Presiding Officer. I notice I think on item
8 128-18 it indicates that the MWBE utilization
9 form that the dollar amounts are TBD. When
10 the other contracts actually have percentages
11 tied to them. Why is that the case on 128?

12 MR. SALLIE: I do not have that
13 in front of me. My understanding of these
14 contracts is that with the proposals there is
15 no specific scope of work attached to the
16 initial proposal and responses to bring the on
17 call firm on board. Once work is identified
18 and task orders are issued those responses
19 need to include an MWBE utilization form to
20 then evaluate compliance with utilization
21 goals.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Counsel is
23 referring to the fact that aren't they putting
24 in utilization plans for who they would use
25 for subcontractors when they respond to this

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 RFP? Then also just to add, I see the TBDs
3 are also on item 130-18 as well.

4 MR. SALLIE: Apologize for the
5 delay. So, in some of the proposals the prime
6 consultants identified subs for the contract
7 that would be used as task orders were
8 issued. In some cases the prime consultants
9 indicated in their proposals that they would
10 reach out to potential subs at the time that a
11 task order was issued for a particular scope
12 of work. I think that clarifies why some of
13 the utilization plans were completed and some
14 were not for the initial on call contract
15 proposal.

16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: They will
17 submit their utilization plans going forward
18 after we approve a contract?

19 MR. SALLIE: As an example, if
20 the county identifies an engineering need,
21 let's say a resurfacing project, and there's a
22 need for the design services, the county would
23 prepare a scope of services and issue that
24 scope of services to all of the on call
25 firms. Those on call firms would be asked to

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 provide a response with a response to the
3 scope of work and a cost. And in that
4 response that prime contractor or consultant
5 would include the MWBE utilization form and
6 how they would meet those goals for that
7 particular task order.

8 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It occurs
9 after this legislative body votes on the
10 agreements?

11 MR. SALLIE: Right. After these
12 contracts are approved, if they are approved,
13 and prior to the county awarding an assignment
14 pursuant to a task order.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How does
16 this legislature know that it actually
17 occurs?

18 MR. SALLIE: The requirement to
19 submit the MWBE utilization plan is part of
20 the contract itself. The department should
21 not be making a selection of an call firm
22 without first reviewing the utilization form.

23 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How does
24 this legislative body know that that took
25 place? By what levels?

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MR. SALLIE: If the contract
3 would be approved, the county goes out with
4 task orders. The consultants responds to
5 those task orders and the department is making
6 a decision.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Who comes
8 back to the legislature? I know it's not
9 required to, but who comes back to let us know
10 that when that does happen that certain
11 utilizations were met through MBEs or WBEs or
12 so on and so forth?

13 MR. SALLIE: My understanding is
14 that there wouldn't be a particular touch
15 point for bringing that information per the
16 contract to the legislature. I think we would
17 need to be reporting that utilization to the
18 Office of Minority Affairs. But I don't think
19 there's a mechanism in the contract to bring
20 that information back.

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The Office
22 of Minority Affairs is looking for an
23 executive director. We would have to touch
24 base with the staff that's there to see if
25 they have the capacity to even track this.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 I would ask, if it's possible,
3 maybe we can pull out the ones that have TBDs
4 and vote on the rest and wait until we get
5 more information on at least 128 and 130.

6 MR. SALLIE: If I may, I wanted
7 to point out the prime consultants that did in
8 fact submit an MWBE utilization form, it's
9 sort of premature for them to even predict
10 what the utilization would be not having the
11 information for a particular task. So, I just
12 wanted to point that out because the firms
13 that did submit a utilization plan that
14 information or that proportion may very much
15 change when a task order is submitted and that
16 firm submits a response to that task order.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I have a
18 more fundamental question. We are retaining
19 these firms for professional engineering
20 services, to provide design and design related
21 support services for various engineering
22 projects. You're talking about
23 subcontractors. If we are retaining engineers
24 to provide on call services how could they be
25 subcontracting out their work?

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MR. SALLIE: If it's a particular
3 specialty that the prime firm can manage,
4 however, it may not have that expertise as
5 part of their firm, they would typically go
6 out and seek a subcontractor that could do
7 that work. I can use survey as an example.
8 The engineering firm may not have an in-house
9 surveyor or surveying unit. However, that
10 engineering firm is skilled at doing the
11 design work. So they would sub with a
12 surveyor to go out and do the survey. That
13 survey work would be brought back and the
14 prime engineering firm would do the design
15 phase.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why don't
17 we do this? Why don't we table these? We
18 have another meeting before the end of the
19 year. We'll schedule a Rules Committee
20 meeting and you'll get back to us with the
21 information that we need in terms of the
22 process, the MWBE and that information and
23 then we can call a Rules Committee meeting on
24 the 17th. Does that work for you guys?

25 MR. SALLIE: Not a problem at

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 all.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'll make
4 a motion to table. Seconded by Minority
5 Leader Abrahams. This goes to all four. All
6 in favor of tabling signify by saying aye.
7 Those opposed? Motion to table carries
8 unanimously.

9 U-27 of 2018 is a resolution
10 authorizing the county executive to execute a
11 personal services agreement between the county
12 and New York Coalition for Transportation
13 Safety.

14 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded
15 by Legislator Rhoads.

16 MR. MISTRON: This item is for
17 assistance with the DWI program. The money is
18 being used for -- New York Coalition provides
19 services to help write the plan with us with
20 the administrators and the different parts of
21 the program. Especially the educational
22 programs. They provide an educator that
23 actually goes into the schools to speak about
24 DWI programs and the effects and so on.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 questions? Any public comment? Thank you
3 Chris. All in favor signify by saying aye.
4 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

5 Last contract on today is U-28 is a
6 resolution authorizing the county executive to
7 execute a personal services agreement between
8 the county and the Long Island Marriott
9 Hotel.

10 Moved by Legislator
11 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
12 Rhoads.

13 MR. BRODERICK: Paul Broderick,
14 Deputy Commissioner, Department of Social
15 Services. The contract before you is between
16 the Department of Social Services and the
17 Marriott Corporation in the amount of
18 \$14,384. This contract is to support a
19 children's party, holiday party, for 170
20 children in foster care under the
21 commissioner's care, their families and their
22 children. Do you have any questions?

23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You do
24 this every year, right?

25 MR. BRODERICK: Correct.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
3 questions? Any public comment? All in favor
4 signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
5 Carries unanimously. Thank you
6 Mr. Broderick.

7 We are done with contracts. We
8 will put the Rules Committee in recess and
9 call the Inspector General Search Committee.

10 (Recessed at 2:44 P.M.)

11 (Reconvened at 2:55 P.M.)

12 (Committee reconvened at 7:30 P.M.)

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Rules
14 Committee is back in session. We need a
15 motion to suspend the rules. Moved by
16 Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator
17 DeRiggi-Whitton. All in favor of suspending
18 the rules signify by saying aye. Those
19 opposed? Carries unanimously.

20 We have a bunch of items on the
21 consent calendar. I'm not going to read the
22 descriptions. I'm simply going to call the
23 clerk item numbers. These items have gone
24 through committees earlier. It's been agreed
25 by the majority and minority that there needs

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 no further discussion in committees.

3 Starting with clerk item 440 of
4 2018. All of these are 2018. 440, 540, 577.
5 Skipped to page three. 584. Next page. 589,
6 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598
7 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 609, 610, 612, 613,
8 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622,
9 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 639, 640.
10 Skipping to the addendum. Everything on the
11 addendum is going to be consent. 629, 630,
12 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 643,
13 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649 and 650.

14 Any debate or discussion? Any
15 public comment? We need a motion. Deputy
16 Presiding Officer Kopel makes that notion.
17 Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. Any debate or
18 discussion on these items? Any public
19 comment? All in favor signify by saying aye.
20 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

21 Item 578 is a resolution changing
22 the official name of the chapel in building A
23 at the Nassau County Correctional Center to
24 the Reverend Lillian Frier-Webb Church on the
25 Inside Spiritual Center.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 Moved by Legislator

3 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator

4 Bynoe. Thank you for your patience.

5 MS. LEWIS: Good evening. I'm
6 Debbie Lewis, Deputy Undersheriff Nassau
7 County Sheriff's Department.

8 MR. GOLIO: Michael Golio,
9 captain with the Sheriff's Department.

10 MS. LEWIS: This is to rename the
11 chapel in A building in the correctional
12 center in honor of Reverend Lillian
13 Frier-Webb. She served the Nassau County
14 Correctional Center through her work at the
15 correctional facility for 25 years. She had a
16 commitment in helping individuals in the
17 correctional center reclaim, restore and renew
18 their lives through prison ministry and the
19 furtherance of nondenominational spiritual
20 counseling and guidance.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
22 questions? That's fantastic. We are thrilled
23 you are doing this.

24 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Presiding
25 Officer, I would like to add that aside from

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 serving as a chaplain at the correctional
3 facility that the Reverend Webb also led a
4 church out in the Port Washington at the same
5 time. She was a total, dedicated servant and
6 this would be a significant honor.

7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
8 comments by the legislators? Any public
9 comment? Thank you very much for the
10 presentation. Thank you your for patience.
11 All in favor of this signify by saying aye.
12 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

13 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 585, 586
14 587, 588 these are resolutions to authorize
15 the county assessor and/or county treasurer
16 and/or receiver of taxes of the Town of Oyster
17 Bay, Town of North Hempstead, Town of
18 Hempstead and City of Glen Cove to correct
19 erroneous assessments and to partially exempt
20 certain real properties involving real
21 properties situated in various school
22 districts.

23 Moved by Legislator Bynoe.
24 Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. These
25 items are before us.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MR. MILES: Deputy county
3 attorney Rob Miles. These are just standard
4 correction or error petitions in front of you.

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
6 questions? Thank you. Any public comment?
7 All in favor signify by saying aye. Those
8 opposed? Carries unanimously.

9 Next one is the last one. 641,
10 2018. A resolution to accept gifts offered by
11 the Nassau County Police Department Foundation
12 to the Nassau County Police Department.

13 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded
14 by Legislator Bynoe. Commissioner, again we
15 apologize for you having to spend your time
16 here.

17 COMMISSIONER RYDER: Pat Ryder,
18 Nassau County Police Commissioner. Item 641
19 is a donation from the Nassau County Police
20 Foundation for architectural and engineering
21 designs that went towards the Nassau County
22 Police Academy. The value is \$3,538,000.
23 It's broken up into three parts. Contract
24 between the Foundation and Tactical Design for
25 \$882,200. A contract between the Foundation

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 and Cameron Engineering for \$55,800. And a
3 contract between the Foundation and the
4 Spector Group, the architectural services, for
5 \$2.6 million.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We
7 discussed with you we do have some questions
8 about the donor making the determinations as
9 to who is going to provide the services but
10 rather than ask those questions today we will
11 get answers over the next two weeks.

12 COMMISSIONER RYDER: That'd be
13 great.

14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I don't
15 know if you have anything to add to this.

16 COMMISSIONER RYDER: I know
17 Specter was a full -- by the county. Went out
18 to bid and came back. That was the lowest
19 chosen bidder. Cameron was also gone out with
20 DPW gave us back three bids and again the
21 foundation chose Cameron as the lowest
22 bidder. And then the top one, which was the
23 Tactical Design, that did not go out to bid.
24 That was because Tactical Design has specific
25 specialty in designing -- worked with the New

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 York City Police Department -- in designing
3 just tactical designs for academies.

4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
5 ultimately the question is going to be whether
6 it's the county that makes the determination
7 as to who gets the bid as opposed to the party
8 donating the monies.

9 COMMISSIONER RYDER: We will put
10 it all in writing for you sir.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We will
12 talk with you in the next two weeks.

13 MS. NAGALON: Alexandria Nagalon
14 with the Police Foundation. The bids -- I
15 know did a memo to the leg, I don't know if
16 you got it -- but the bids for Spector was a
17 full county bid that went out through DPW, on
18 the bid board and the county had a say. There
19 was a committee of people from the county,
20 DPW, the Police Department Foundation involved
21 in selection. So the Foundation didn't select
22 Spector on its own. It was a county bid
23 process. Full soups to nuts bid.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I
25 understand what you're saying but the issue

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 remains. Even though it was a county process,
3 the entity that makes this choice I think
4 probably has to be the county. I don't think
5 you can have a private entity making a
6 determination even though the bids went
7 through the county process. But we will look
8 at this over the next couple of weeks.

9 COMMISSIONER RYDER: Thank you.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
11 you. Any other discussion? Any public
12 comment? All in favor signify by saying aye.
13 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

14 Motion to adjourn. Moved by
15 Legislator Ford. Seconded by Legislator
16 Rhoads. All in favor of adjourning signify by
17 saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
18 unanimously.

19 (TIME NOTED: 7:40 P.M.)

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand this eighth day of
December 2018

FRANK GRAY

1

2

3

4

5

6 NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

7

8 RICHARD NICOLELLO

9 PRESIDING OFFICER

10

11 FINANCE COMMITTEE

12

13 LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL

14 CHAIR

15

16

17 Theodore Roosevelt Building

18 1550 Franklin Avenue

19 Mineola, New York

20

21

22 December 3, 2018

23 5:46 P.M.

24

25

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S:

3

4 LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL

5 Chair

6

7 LEGISLATOR STEVEN RHOADS

8

9 LEGISLATOR TOM MCKEVITT

10

11 LEGISLATOR ROSE MARIE WALKER

12

13 LEGISLATOR ELLEN BIRNBAUM

14 Ranking member

15

16 LEGISLATOR ARNOLD DRUCKER

17

18 LEGISLATOR DEBRA MULE

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: At this time I
3 will call the Finance Committee to order. I
4 will act as clerk and I will call the roll.
5 Legislator Mule is here. Legislator Drucker.
6 Legislator Birnbaum is here. Legislator
7 Walker. Legislator McKevitt. Legislator
8 Rhoads substituting for Mr. Muscarella and I
9 am here. We have a quorum.

10 First I'm going to take a motion to
11 suspend the rules. Made by Legislator
12 Walker. Seconded by Legislature Drucker. All
13 those in favor of suspending the rules say
14 aye. The rules are suspended.

15 Now I'm going to read off a list of
16 consent items which have been agreed to by the
17 majority and minority and some items that have
18 gone through other committees as well. 577,
19 591, 592, 593, 595, 596, 680. I'm sorry 600.
20 601, 613, 616, 617, 618, 619, 621, 624, 627.
21 640. And from the addendum we are going to
22 also add 643, 644, 645, 646, and 648. 647 as
23 well. Motion on those. Mr. McKevitt.
24 Seconded by Mr. Drucker. All those in favor
25 of those items? Any opposed? Those item pass

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 unanimously.

3 Now I'm going to call some bulk
4 items. First of all we're going to start with
5 items to correct erroneous assessments and
6 that would be number 579, 580, 583, 586, 585.
7 And that should do it. Motion on that by
8 Mr. Rhoads. Seconded by Ms. Walker. All
9 those in favor of those items? Any opposed?
10 Good.

11 Now we have a bunch to partially
12 exempt real properties. That would be number
13 581, 582, 586, 587, 588, 609 and 610. And
14 that motion is made by Mr. Drucker. Seconded
15 by Ms. Birnbaum. All those in favor of those
16 items? Any opposed? Okay.

17 Now what we are going to do is have
18 a recess of this committee for a little while
19 because there's a ceremony out front. And
20 members of the committee please try to come
21 back quickly once that ceremony is done.

22 (Committee recessed at 5:56 P.M.)

23 (Committee reconvened at 6:30 P.M.)

24 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Let's just go
25 on. Number 584 is a resolution to authorize a

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 transfer of appropriations. We have a motion
3 by Ms. Walker and seconded by Mr. Roads. Have
4 we got anybody here from the administration
5 please?

6 MR. PERSICH: Andy Persich,
7 Office of Management and Budget. This item is
8 appropriating money to cover election expenses
9 for the primary and general the election
10 inspectors.

11 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
12 questions? Mr. Rhoads.

13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Just quick
14 question for you. The number of polling
15 places, the number of inspectors, is all a
16 preknown, predetermined amount. Why do we
17 have an additional appropriation?

18 MR. PERSICH: I think as a result
19 of the NIFA cuts last year when we took money
20 from them I think this created a shortfall in
21 their salaries line, in their budget, so we
22 had to appropriate additional funds there.
23 The '19 budget corrects what the needs are for
24 the next year. But this was an item that I
25 think was short-sighted when we first did it.

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you.

3 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Andy, could
4 you tell us when the check went out for the
5 primary? Was that paid by emergency?

6 MR. PERSICH: It was paid out.
7 That's why it was polled. It was paid out the
8 following day after we did the polling which
9 was on I want to say on or about October 31st
10 or November 1st. Around that date. It went
11 out right before the general election is what
12 the plan was. There was a little bit --

13 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I heard they
14 didn't get them by election day.

15 MR. PERSICH: I know the checks
16 were getting ready to be cut. I was in touch
17 with them because I knew this was a very hot
18 topic. They were in the treasurer's office at
19 that point ready to be released but they
20 needed the polling letter in order to do it.
21 It may have been a mailing issue or
22 something. I don't know but I know they were
23 cut before election day.

24 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: What about
25 for the general election?

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 MR. PERSICH: They can be paid
3 after they voucher them. They should go out
4 immediately because the funding is in place.
5 There shouldn't be a problem with that. But
6 they had to wait to do the election first in
7 order to get paid.

8 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I'm asked to
9 remind you to please make sure.

10 MR. PERSICH: I will confirm that
11 for you legislator.

12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any public
13 comment? All those in favor of this item?
14 Aye. Any opposed? The item passes
15 unanimously.

16 I'm going to call 589 and 590, both
17 of which concern grant agreements between the
18 Parks Department and some artists. And the
19 motion is made by Ms. Walker and it's seconded
20 by Mr. Drucker.

21 MR. NUGENT: Brian Nugent, Nassau
22 County Department of Parks and Recreation. We
23 have two hotel-motel grants here. The first
24 one is a \$10,000 grant to Artists in
25 Partnerships, which entails some website

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 improvement, some production of literary
3 performances, social media and things like
4 that.

5 590 is a hotel-motel grant for
6 \$10,000 to PAT, Public Access Television, for
7 assisting with their mobile access to produce
8 production.

9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: These haven't
10 been done already, have they?

11 MR. HALL: No.

12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any other
13 questions from legislators? Any public
14 comment? All those in favor? Aye. Any
15 opposed. That is unanimous. Thank you.
16 Let's see. 597 and 598 are both supplemental
17 ordinances in connection with the litigation
18 fund. And that motion is by Ms. Mule and is
19 seconded by Mr. McKevitt.

20 MR. PERSICH: These two items are
21 just appropriating funds that are sitting in
22 the litigation fund that we have settlements
23 on or we recovered money from. The \$5 million
24 one is monies that was settled in a case in
25 2017. That was accrued for that the payment

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 was made in 2018 that we have to appropriate
3 the funds out in order to cover it. And the
4 \$2 million is a recovery from another case
5 that we got money back on a judgement from.
6 That we need to appropriate because the money
7 is just sitting in the fund balance and needs
8 to be moved out.

9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: What is it
10 going to be used for?

11 MR. PERSICH: The 5.7 will be
12 used for a claim settled in 2017 that was paid
13 out in the 2018. It was the Robello case.
14 That's the lion's share of that. And we are
15 going to see what else we can spend the
16 proceeds on, including the \$2 million.

17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm confident
18 that you will find something.

19 MR. PERSICH: I'm sure I will.

20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
21 questions? Any public comment? All those in
22 favor of these two items? Any opposed? Those
23 are unanimous.

24 I'm told that I skipped 594. 594
25 is a transfer of appropriations. There's a

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 motion by Mr. McKevitt and seconded by Mr.
3 Drucker.

4 MS. LAURAIN: Mary Ellen Laurain,
5 Department of Health. Item 594-18 is a board
6 transfer in the amount of \$100,800. It
7 enables us to maximize grant reimbursement for
8 our WIC Program.

9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
10 questions? Yes Ms. Mule.

11 LEGISLATOR MULE: You said
12 \$100,000. Is it 110,000?

13 MS. LAURAIN: I'm sorry. I
14 didn't have my glasses on. 110,800. Thank
15 you. Thought I could get away with that. The
16 glasses I meant.

17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Is that it?

18 LEGISLATOR MULE: Yes.

19 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Anybody else?
20 Any public comment? All those in favor? Any
21 opposed? That's unanimous.

22 Next is 599 which is a supplemental
23 in connection with the office of the county
24 clerk. We have a motion by Ms. Birnbaum and a
25 second by Ms. Walker.

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 MR. PERSICH: I'm going to speak
3 on this item because I think the clerk's
4 office had to leave. This is a records
5 management grant for local governments in the
6 amount of \$27,000 for I guess to do some
7 imaging and electronic data imaging of the
8 records.

9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It was not in
10 their budget?

11 MR. PERSICH: Just grant money
12 from the state. We're getting money to
13 electronically change records.

14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Anyone else?
15 Any questions? Any public comment? All those
16 in favor? Any opposed? That's unanimous.
17 Thank you Andy.

18 602 and 603 probably both of them
19 are yours Andy.

20 MR. PERSICH: 602 is me. Well,
21 it's the Board of Elections this is just an
22 additional transfer of appropriations.

23 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: 602. Give me
24 a moment because we didn't have a motion.
25 Moved by Legislator Walker. Seconded by

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 Legislator McKeivitt.

3 MR. PERSICH: This item is an
4 additional board transfer to cover election
5 expenses. This is predominantly probably for
6 balloting and moving of equipment and there
7 wasn't enough money in the budget to cover it
8 in their budget.

9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
10 questions? Any public comment? All those in
11 favor? Any opposed? It's unanimous.

12 603 is another transfer of
13 appropriations and that is moved by Mr. Rhoads
14 and seconded by Ms. Walker.

15 MS. LAURAIN: Item 603-18 is a
16 board transfer in the amount of \$10,148. It's
17 for one of our grant programs, state grant, it
18 allows us to maximize grant reimbursement
19 moving money between lines.

20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
21 questions? Public comment? All those in
22 favor? Any opposed?

23 I'm going to skip the settlements
24 because we will get back to those later.
25 Those will require joint meetings with Rules.

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 I thought we had 609 and 610 as done but
3 apparently they weren't and those are
4 exemptions, partial exemptions of real
5 properties. That motion is made by
6 Ms. Birnbaum and seconded by Mr. Rhoads. They
7 were done. Never mind. I take it back.

8 620 is a transfer of
9 appropriations. And there's a motion by
10 Ms. Walker and seconded by Mr. Drucker.

11 MR. PERSICH: This item is two
12 components of the transfer. One is to cover
13 overtime costs in the correctional center.
14 The other component is to transfer some people
15 from different departments in the county into
16 the shared services and to fund a different
17 position in the shared services department.

18 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
19 questions? Any public comment? All those in
20 favor? Any opposed? It's unanimous. Stay
21 there I think. The next one is yours. 622.
22 Is 623 yours as well? 622 and 623 are both
23 transfers of appropriations. Motions on those
24 made by Ms. Walker. Seconded by Ms. Mule.

25 MS. LAURAIN: Item 622-18 is a

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 \$60,000 general fund transfer. It doesn't
3 have any impact on our budget. We are just
4 moving monies within responsibility centers.

5 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And 623.

6 MS. LAURAIN: 623-18 is a board
7 transfer in the amount of \$42,931. It's to
8 maximize grant reimbursement in our public
9 health emergency preparedness grant.

10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
11 questions? Any public comment? All those in
12 favor? Any opposed? Thank you.

13 625 is a transfer of appropriations
14 and the motion is made by Ms. Walker.
15 Seconded by Mr. Drucker.

16 MR. PERSICH: This item is to
17 cover the refunds of residential sewer
18 overtax. The problem we had with the levy at
19 the beginning of the year. We needed to
20 appropriate additional funds out of the
21 judgements and settlements claim in the sewer
22 district and this is covering the shortfall
23 that we needed.

24 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Questions?
25 Any public comment? All those in favor? Any

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 opposed? Thank you Andy.

3 626 is supplemental appropriation
4 in connection with the general fund. And
5 there's a motion by Ms. Mule. Seconded by Mr.
6 Rhoads.

7 MR. PERSICH: This item is just
8 supplementing the additional revenues that we
9 will be receiving for the FIT and nonresidence
10 college tuitions. It's \$250,000 for FIT and
11 2.25 million for nonresident tuitions.

12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: FIT that's
13 required?

14 MR. PERSICH: Yes. But we get
15 reimbursement from the towns for this.

16 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
17 questions? Any public comment? All those in
18 favor? Any opposed?

19 628 is a supplemental appropriation
20 in connection with the Office of Management
21 and Budget. There's a motion by Mrs. Walker.
22 Seconded by Ms. Birnbaum.

23 MR. PERSICH: This item is a
24 supplemental appropriation for case loads
25 within the New York State ILS. Just a grant

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 appropriation that recurs annually. It's a
3 new program that they have. It's for case
4 load reductions which will help them increase
5 staff.

6 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: The next one
7 is probably yours as well. Any questions?
8 Any public comment? All those in favor? Any
9 opposed? Thank you. It's unanimous.

10 639 is a transfer of
11 appropriations. Motion is made by Ms. Mule.
12 Seconded by Mr. McKevitt.

13 MR. STEPHANOFF: Good afternoon.
14 Lieutenant Greg Stephanoff from the police.
15 Item 639 of '18 is a board transfer within the
16 operating budget. It's taking \$350,000 from
17 the contractual expense in headquarters and
18 \$500,000 from the contractual expense in
19 district and moving them both to their
20 appropriate general expense lines in
21 headquarters and district. The general
22 expense lines cover fuel, ambulance supplies,
23 auto parts, ammunition and uniforms. We were
24 running low on those lines. We want to make
25 sure we have money for expected expenses

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 throughout the year.

3 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any

4 questions? Public comment? All those in
5 favor? Any opposed? That's unanimous.

6 Moving on to the addendum. We've
7 already had a suspension of the rules. I'm
8 going to call a bunch together now. Those
9 would be 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635,
10 636, 637, no, yes and I think that does it.
11 And there is a motion by Mr. McKevitt and
12 seconded by Mr. Drucker. 638 is different.

13 MR. MILES: Robert Miles, Deputy
14 County Attorney. These are the items that you
15 brought up are the sidewalk repairs
16 resolutions. Happens annually at the end of
17 the year.

18 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
19 questions? Any public comment? All those in
20 favor? Any opposed? Thank you. 638.

21 MR. MILES: This is --

22 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: We need a
23 motion. That is made by Ms. Mule and seconded
24 by Mr. McKevitt.

25 MR. MILES: Fix the time and date

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 resolution for the consolidated tax warrants.

3 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any

4 questions? Any comment? All those in favor?

5 Any opposed?

6 649 is a transfer of appropriations

7 and there is a motion by Ms. Walker. You've

8 been very busy Ms. Walker. Seconded by Mr.

9 Drucker.

10 MR. BRODERICK: Item 649. Good

11 afternoon. Paul Broderick, deputy

12 commissioner, Department of Social Services.

13 The item before you is a board transfer

14 request to transfer \$1,350,000 from recipients

15 a grant to vendor payments. Do you have any

16 questions?

17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any

18 questions? Any public comment? All those in

19 favor? Any opposed? Thank you.

20 The last one on this before the

21 settlements is number 650, which is a transfer

22 of appropriations. And that motion is made by

23 Ms. Birnbaum and is seconded by Ms. Walker.

24 MS. LAURAIN: Item 650-18 is a

25 board transfer in the amount of \$688. It's

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 for our rabies program and it's to maximize
3 our grant reimbursement.

4 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Do we have any
5 questions? Any public comment? All those in
6 favor of that item? Any opposed? Thank you.

7 Now I'm going to call three items
8 and then I'm going to call for executive
9 session. Number 612, 614 and 615. And those
10 motions are made by Legislator Rhoads and
11 seconded by Legislator Birnbaum.

12 Now, I'm going to suggest that we
13 go into executive session. Mr. McKeivitt moves
14 for executive session. Ms. Birnbaum seconds
15 the motion. We are in executive session and I
16 invite the members of Rules. All in favor of
17 going into executive session? Any opposed?
18 The member of Rules should please join us.
19 Thank you.

20 (Committee recessed at 6:50 P.M.)

21 (Committee reconvened at 7:28 P.M.)

22 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: We are out of
23 executive session and we are going to vote on
24 those three items. All those in favor of
25 those three items please so indicate. Any

1 Finance 12-3-18

2 opposed? Thank you. Those items are passed
3 unanimously.

4 We have a motion to adjourn by
5 Ms. Walker and seconded by Ms. Mule. All
6 those in favor of adjourning? Any opposed?
7 Thank you. We are adjourned.

8 (Committee adjourned at 7:29 P.M.)

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand this eighth day of
December 2018

FRANK GRAY

1

2

3

4

5

6 NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

7

8 RICHARD NICOLELLO

9 PRESIDING OFFICER

10

11 HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES

12 COMMITTEE

13

14 LEGISLATOR ROSE MARIE WALKER

15 CHAIR

16

17

18 Theodore Roosevelt Building

19 1550 Franklin Avenue

20 Mineola, New York

21

22

23 December 3, 2018

24 5:24 P.M.

25

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S:

3

4 LEGISLATOR ROSE MARIE WALKER

5 Chair

6

7 LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL

8

9 LEGISLATOR THOMAS MCKEVITT

10

11 LEGISLATOR C. WILLIAM GAYLOR

12

13 LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

14 Ranking member

15

16 LEGISLATOR ARNOLD DRUCKER

17

18 LEGISLATOR JOSHUA LAFAZAN

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Call the
3 Health and Social Services Committee to order
4 and please take the roll.

5 MR. PULITZER: Thank you. Health
6 and Social Services Committee roll call.
7 Legislator Joshua Lafazan.

8 LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.

9 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
10 Drucker.

11 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.

12 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
13 DeRiggi-Whitton.

14 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
15 Here.

16 MR. PULITZER: Legislator C.
17 William Gaylor the Third.

18 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.

19 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
20 McKevitt.

21 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.

22 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Howard
23 Kopel.

24 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.

25 MR. PULITZER: Chairwoman Rose

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 Marie Walker.

3 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.

4 MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum
5 ma'am.

6 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I will start
7 with the first four items before we go to the
8 addendum. Clerk item 440-18 is a local law to
9 require certain county employees to receive
10 mental health first aid and training.

11 A motion please. By Legislator
12 Gaylor. Second by Legislator Drucker.

13 MR. SANTERAMO: Mike Santeramo
14 from the administration. I know it's a
15 legislative item. I just want to convey
16 thoughts from the administration that we are,
17 the administration and the county executive,
18 are extremely excited to work with Legislator
19 Bynoe. We recognize her unwavering commitment
20 to mental health first aid and really look
21 forward to implementing the ideas and the
22 programs laid out in this piece of
23 legislation.

24 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I know that
25 Legislator Bynoe is not actually on this

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 committee but she is going to be speaking
3 about this bill.

4 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you
5 Chairwoman. Good evening colleagues. I
6 briefly would like to share some information
7 about the mental health first aid bill that
8 was filed. The bill would require that all
9 current and part-time employees of Nassau
10 County who provide direct services to our
11 residents be trained by way of receiving an
12 eight hour course in mental health first aid.
13 Mental health first aid is a training that
14 would attempt or establish an opportunity for
15 all employees to be able to identify when
16 someone might be in crisis and then assist
17 them in learning techniques that would
18 de-escalate someone in crisis. And moreover,
19 it would allow them to make a referral to the
20 proper entities for assistance.

21 This training I believe would be a
22 really vital essential tool that our employees
23 would have in dealing with our community.
24 Which in the current state is under a large
25 burden based on our opioid crisis. Also

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 suicide rates are up across the country and we
3 want to be able to attempt to identify if
4 somebody is really struggling. We also have
5 young people who are exhibiting signs maybe of
6 antisocial behavior and aggressive behaviors
7 in and around schools.

8 I believe that this would be a real
9 proactive approach to dealing with some of
10 those issues as opposed to being reactionary.
11 I believe that this training would also assist
12 in destigmatizing mental health issues.

13 So, some of the employees that
14 would receive this training would include our
15 correctional facility, correction staff. Also
16 include our case workers in social services,
17 child protective services, adult protective
18 services. It would also include our welfare
19 examiners, our probationary staff, our parole
20 staff.

21 This bill takes into account the
22 training could be troublesome in terms of
23 scheduling for our employees. So it's a phase
24 in approach. It would be a requirement for
25 each department head to make sure one-third of

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 their staffers went out and had the training
3 per year for the next three years until we
4 have everybody ramped up and trained.

5 It would also not require
6 departments that are currently receiving
7 mental health first aid training that are
8 receiving some training in mental health not
9 have to take this course. If they are
10 receiving training that is equal to or exceeds
11 the mental health first aid they would be
12 exempt from the requirement to do the
13 training.

14 I do think it would be a beneficial
15 undertaking for us as a legislature to ensure
16 that our employees are trained and have every
17 tool available to them as we fight some of
18 these issues that are plaguing our
19 communities.

20 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Legislator
21 Kopel.

22 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Sounds like a
23 great program. Have you figured out what it
24 might course and how this is going to be
25 done? Have you got this?

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: We've looked
3 at the cost associated with acquiring the
4 books. We've looked at the fact it's like \$22
5 per employee for the training. Most of our
6 staff in the Human Services Department within
7 that mental health component are trained to be
8 able to train our employees. So there
9 shouldn't be any additional cost born to us.

10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Probably save
11 a lot of money in damages down the road and so
12 forth.

13 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Potential.
14 Nassau County Mental Health organization
15 partnering up with our human services may be
16 able to supplement as well.

17 LEGISLATOR WALKER: They will
18 just do it during the workday? Like at a
19 period of time when they're doing this
20 training and obviously in-house someplace so
21 they don't have to travel to get in this
22 training.

23 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I would expect
24 that's the plan of the administration. That
25 is why we put in the component in the bill

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 that let's them do it a third, a third and a
3 third so that they can take account scheduling
4 and it can be done during the day and less of
5 a requirement for any type of overtime or
6 anything of that nature.

7 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Is it one
8 straight setting? It's eight hours in a day?

9 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: That's a good
10 question. Each department would have an
11 opportunity to determine what's best for
12 them. This course has been offered in two
13 parts, four hours and then come back the
14 following week or the following day for four
15 hours and it's been offered in the eight hour
16 option as well.

17 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any other
18 questions from the legislators? Any public
19 comment? Mr. Budnick. I'm sorry.

20 LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: I was late
21 to the party. Thank you Madam Chairwoman.
22 Good things come from school boards, and I
23 wanted to say as I sit here as a former school
24 board trustee, my colleague, Siela Bynoe, is a
25 former school board trustee. What's important

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 in schools is this proactive approach where we
3 don't want to see warning signs in children,
4 we reach out. As public servants who served
5 on school boards I wanted to say thank you.
6 Because that public service mindset where
7 we're not waiting for problems to arise. We
8 are actually saying we are here to offer
9 help. It's expedited here and burgeoned
10 here. So I thank you from the bottom of my
11 heart for doing this and I am in full
12 support. Thank you Madam Chair.

13 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I also have
14 one other question. Would this possibly be
15 available to any legislator that wanted to
16 take advantage of it?

17 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: This is an
18 initiative that really was birthed out of some
19 discussions I had with one of my former
20 colleagues, Legislator Fran Becker. So,
21 Legislator Becker and I started working on
22 this back I believe in 2014. And since then
23 we, saying the county, we have trained church
24 organizations, community organizations, school
25 leaders, school personnel. We have really

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 done a good outreach and I think this will
3 only go further. I would love to sit in a
4 training. I've tried to do that. I've had
5 community groups sit in, actually do the
6 training, but I haven't been able to do it
7 yet. I think it would be great if we all sat
8 down together and had a training.

9 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I just think
10 on a personal level I was dealing with a
11 constituent one time and you could obviously
12 see they were losing it. For a few moments I
13 thought well, the door was over there I was
14 over here. I couldn't get out. Would I
15 bounce off the window if they threw me into it
16 or fly out of the window. Being an educator,
17 I used techniques I would use in a classroom
18 situation. But obviously not specifically
19 trained and I was able to calm the person
20 down. Whatever. There are times that we are
21 put in situations that we really do need to
22 know how to de-escalate a situation. Even
23 sometimes just over a phone trying to help a
24 constituent who not you really fell like, not
25 literally --

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Or another
3 legislator.

4 LEGISLATOR WALKER: It would
5 certainly behoove us to do that training
6 also.

7 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I totally
8 agree. There are different components.
9 There's a public safety component. There is
10 an adolescent youth component and then there's
11 the adult component. I suspect that most of
12 the de-escalation strategies, with the
13 exception of public safety, would be very
14 similar and I suspect we should figure out
15 which course we want to take and start setting
16 them up.

17 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Thank you.
18 Any other legislators? Okay Mr. Budnick it's
19 your turn.

20 MR. BUDNICK: I want to commend
21 you for this outstanding step. Speaking as a
22 former county park ranger and a former Nassau
23 County assistant district attorney, there were
24 times when I had mental health crises
25 literally right there and I had to respond to

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 them with no training. That training has to
3 be set around everybody. I had a hostage
4 crisis situation in the Nassau County District
5 Attorney Office one time. It can happen in
6 many areas that you might not think about. So
7 I would suggest spreading the training to more
8 areas.

9 We had a terrible situation when I
10 worked in the county clerk's office and a
11 person who had just had lunch with several
12 employees collapsed and died as they were
13 beginning to walk out of the building. It was
14 like a morgue throughout the entire building.

15 Meanwhile, I must suggest that
16 consideration also be given to Narcan,
17 narcotics recognition training and to first
18 aid. I'm a certified first aid member, first
19 aid certified through Nassau County CERT at
20 this point. I was previously was a park
21 ranger too. But those types of trainings can
22 save peoples lives. The mental health
23 training is critical. Narcan training is
24 critical and first aid training should go to
25 all county employees where ever it is indeed

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 possible. My highest compliments to you. God
3 bless you one and all.

4 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Just for point
5 of reference, I mentioned a couple of titles
6 but it's not limited to those and we have
7 spelled out, we have probably a page and a
8 half of different titles that would receive
9 the training and some of our county attorneys
10 have received the training. All of the
11 attorneys at Nassau Suffolk Law Services also
12 received the training through coordination in
13 the office. Our county attorney has also sent
14 some of his staff to receive the training. So
15 they're on board. We just need to formalize
16 the process and that's what this bill would
17 do.

18 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Thank you.
19 If there are no other comments or questions,
20 all those in favor of clerk item 440-18
21 signify by saying aye. Any opposed? Any
22 abstentions? Then this will go on to the
23 Rules Committee.

24 The next three clerk items here are
25 595-18, 596-18 and 601-18. They are all

1 Health - 12-3-18
2 ordinances supplemental to the annual
3 appropriation ordinance in connection with the
4 health department.

5 A motion please. Legislator
6 Gaylor. Seconded by Legislator Drucker.

7 MS. LAURAIN: Mary Ellen Laurain
8 Department of Health. Item 595-18 is a
9 supplemental appropriation. It's for our
10 bathing beach water quality monitoring and
11 notification program. It's in the amount of
12 \$55,355 and it is 100 percent funded through
13 New York State Department of Health.

14 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any questions
15 on 595? 596.

16 MS. LAURAIN: Item 596-18 is a
17 supplemental appropriation in the amount of
18 \$5.9 million. It's for the Ryan White
19 Program. This is 100 percent funded through
20 the United States Department of Health and
21 Human Services. Provides services to people
22 living with HIV AIDS in Nassau and Suffolk
23 County. We have an intergovernmental
24 agreement with Suffolk County and we are the
25 designated grantee.

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any questions
3 from the legislators? Any public comments? .
4 601-18.

5 MS. LAURAIN: 601-18 is a
6 supplemental appropriation. It's in the
7 amount of \$70,000. It's for our child
8 fatality review team. This is 100 percent
9 funded through New York State Office of
10 Children and Family Services. This team
11 reviews all deaths of children zero to 17
12 where the death was unexplained or unexpected.

13 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any questions
14 or comments from the legislators? Any public
15 comment? Hearing none, all those in favor of
16 clerk items 595, 596 and 601-18 signify by
17 saying aye. Any opposed? These will move on
18 to Finance. Thank you.

19 May I have a motion to suspend the
20 rules. Moved by Legislator Kopel and seconded
21 by Legislator Lafazan.

22 We have six items on our addendum.
23 The first three I will call together. I'm
24 sorry I didn't vote on the motion. All those
25 in favor of suspending the rules signify by

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 saying aye. Any opposed? The rules are
3 suspended.

4 Let's call clerk item 643-18,
5 644-18, 645-18 and 647-18. Motion please. By
6 Legislator McKeivitt and seconded by Legislator
7 Drucker.

8 MR. HALL: Brian Hall, Human
9 Services. The first one, 643, is \$119,025
10 from the New York State Office of Mental
11 Health. It's additional funds we received for
12 our 2018 contracts. 100 percent funded by a
13 grant.

14 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any questions
15 or comments from the legislators? Any public
16 comment? 644-18.

17 MR. HALL: 644-18 is a 2019 grant
18 from New York State Office of Mental Health.
19 It's for our dope mental health services for
20 \$13,600,000 and it is 100 percent grant
21 funded.

22 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any questions
23 or comments from the legislators? Any public
24 comment? 645-18.

25 MR. HALL: 645 is from the New

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 York State Office of Alcohol and Substance
3 Abuse. It's our 2019 grant. It's for
4 \$23,568,000 and it's also 100 percent grant
5 funded.

6 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any questions
7 or comments from the legislators? Any public
8 comment? 647-18.

9 MR. HALL: 647-18 is also a New
10 York State Office of Alcohol and Substance
11 Abuse Services grant for 2019. It's for
12 \$5,000,045. It's to run our methadone clinic
13 in 2019.

14 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any questions
15 or comments on 647? Any public comment?
16 Hearing none --

17 MR. HALL: There is one more.
18 648.

19 LEGISLATOR WALKER: May I have a
20 motion to include 648-18. By Legislator
21 McKeivitt. Second by Legislator Drucker.

22 MR. HALL: It is also from the
23 New York State Office of Mental Health. It's
24 our 2019 grant fund for \$3,000,476 for the
25 children's mental health services and it's 100

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 percent funded.

3 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any questions
4 or comments from the legislators? Any public
5 comment? Hearing none, all those in favor of
6 clerk items 643-18, 644-18, 645-18, 647-18 and
7 649-18 signify by saying aye. Any opposed?
8 Hearing none, they will move on to Finance
9 also.

10 Sorry Ms. Laurain. Clerk item
11 646-18, an ordinance supplemental to the
12 annual appropriation ordinance in connection
13 with the health department. Moved by
14 Legislator McKevitt and seconded by Legislator
15 Lafazan.

16 MS. LAURAIN: Item 646-18 is a
17 supplemental appropriation. It's for our HIV
18 STD intervention services grant. It's in the
19 amount of \$490,000. It's funded through New
20 York State Department of Health. Staff on
21 this grant assure that people diagnosed with
22 an HIV or STD infection are receiving
23 treatment and confirm diagnosis.

24 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Any questions
25 or comments from the legislators? Any

1 Health - 12-3-18

2 questions or comments from the public?

3 Hearing none, all those in favor of 646-18

4 signify by saying aye. Any opposed? This

5 will also then move on to Finance.

6 That's the last order of business.

7 May I have a motion to adjourn by Legislator

8 Gaylor. Seconded by Legislator

9 DeRiggi-Whitton. All those in favor signify

10 by saying aye. Any opposed? We're going to

11 move on to Finance.

12 (Committee recessed at 5:45 P.M.)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand this eighth day of
December 2018

FRANK GRAY

1

2

3

4

5

6 NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

7

8 RICHARD NICOLELLO

9 PRESIDING OFFICER

10

11 INSPECTOR GENERAL COMMITTEE

12

13 LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO

14 CHAIR

15

16

17 Theodore Roosevelt Building

18 1550 Franklin Avenue

19 Mineola, New York

20

21

22 December 3, 2018

23 2:44 P.M.

24

25

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S:

3

4 LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO

5 Chair

6

7 LEGISLATOR DENISE FORD

8

9 LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL

10

11 LEGISLATOR KEVAN ABRAHAMS

12 Ranking member

13

14 LEGISLATOR ARNOLD DRUCKER

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Inspector General - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Call the
3 Inspector General Search Committee to order
4 Call the roll.

5 MR. PULITZER: Thank you. Roll
6 call for Inspector General Committee.
7 Legislator Arnold Drucker.

8 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.

9 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Kevan
10 Abrahams.

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.

12 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Denise
13 Ford.

14 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.

15 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Howard
16 Kopel.

17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.

18 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Richard
19 Nicolello.

20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.

21 MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum.

22 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I guess
23 there is no chair. We will share the duties.
24 A resolution as to procedure to appoint the
25 Nassau County Inspector General. Moved by

1 Inspector General - 12-3-18

2 Minority Leader Abrahams. I will second that
3 motion. Make a statement.

4 This resolution regarding an
5 appointment follows a robust nationwide search
6 and selection process. Advertisements for
7 this position were posted on the county
8 website, Newsday, online and in print, the
9 Wall Street Journal online and the New York
10 Law Journal, in print and online, as well as
11 the Association of Inspector Generals
12 website.

13 We received well over 30 resumes.
14 We all read, evaluated each of those resumes
15 and ultimately decided mutually on several
16 candidates to be interviewed. I think every
17 member of this committee was impressed not
18 only with the candidates that expressed an
19 interest in this position, but the truly
20 impressive credentials each brought to the
21 public. This was completely bipartisan
22 process.

23 At the conclusion of the interview
24 process, we selected a candidate that we
25 believe will be best fit to establish the

1 Inspector General - 12-3-18

2 support in office. Our selection, Ms. Jodi
3 Franzese, is currently a senior inspector
4 general with the New York City Department of
5 Investigation. In this capacity Ms. Franzese
6 manages inspector general's staff, conducts
7 investigations and analyzes a wide variety of
8 areas and works proactively to identify
9 vulnerabilities in corruption hazards in New
10 York City government.

11 It is our expectation that
12 Ms. Franzese will serve as a watchful eye to
13 assist up in proactively detecting weaknesses
14 in our internal processes and to investigate
15 and identify potential waste, fraud and abuse
16 to the county.

17 Ms. Franzese will be here before us
18 with the full legislature on December 17th.

19 It is our hope that at that time the full
20 legislature will unanimously support what we
21 think is an outstanding candidate for this
22 importance office. Now I will turn it over to
23 Minority Leader Abrahams.

24 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you
25 Presiding Officer. I wanted to agree with the

1 Inspector General - 12-3-18

2 Presiding Officer assessment in terms of the
3 process. It was a very robust process. The
4 committee interviewed numerous candidates. We
5 looked over dozens of resumes and we came to
6 the conclusion that Ms. Franzese is the best
7 candidate. I think what's more prudent
8 regarding this process is that Ms. Franzese
9 will be an independent authority with the
10 ability, with a sizeable staff to be able to
11 investigate as well as research anything in
12 regards to procurement practices in the
13 county.

14 We look forward to her start once,
15 hopefully, she is approved by the full
16 legislature. Then look forward to her start.
17 I think the Presiding Officer identified parts
18 of her resume that we were very impressed
19 with. The fact that she was a senior
20 inspector general already in the capacity of
21 an inspector general working in New York City
22 was tremendous. And I think from that
23 standpoint I'm encouraging our side to
24 strongly endorse her as well.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other

1 Inspector General - 12-3-18
2 statements or discussion among the
3 legislators? If not, all in favor signify by
4 saying aye. Public comment?

5 MS. MEREDAY: Meta J. Mereday. I
6 am very encouraged by this considering I was
7 one of those voices that kind of spoke to this
8 and that miraculous post election decision to
9 kind of decide the county actually needed
10 this. I am encouraged as I said. I am
11 hopeful that the candidate will come to the
12 table with all of the accomplishments that
13 you've noted in the resume. But I'm hoping
14 more so that she is going to be given the
15 resources by this body to do the actual work
16 as opposed to one person being charged with
17 creating an environment that's going to clean
18 up a situation that took awhile to put in
19 place.

20 My question as it pertains to the
21 position itself is, what are the resources?
22 Because that can already be decided. Or if
23 it's already been decided, because it's been
24 almost a year now, what are the resources that
25 are going to be put in place so that she can

1 Inspector General - 12-3-18

2 hit the ground running and do what she needs
3 to do?

4 Lastly, what type of regulatory
5 powers is she going to have? Is this just
6 going to be kind of she makes some
7 recommendation and statements and it just
8 seems to be business as usual? Those are the
9 issues that I know I am most concerned about
10 and I'm sure as other residents, who
11 unfortunately cannot be at these meetings to
12 express their concerns, over the long haul
13 with regard to the much needed position of an
14 inspector general.

15 So again, I'm hoping that you're
16 not envisioning bringing in one person and
17 she's going to tackle everything and get
18 overloaded and not have the resources and
19 things are going continuing to go downhill and
20 increasing with zombie homes and the lack of
21 inclusion and diversity that seems to run
22 rampant here. As I continue to get reports
23 about what's going on in our veteran and
24 minority and women business space because that
25 just doesn't seem to resonate here.

1 Inspector General - 12-3-18

2 As I said, I am hopeful and stand
3 ready to assist her or whoever is working in
4 this regard as it pertains to procurement and
5 inclusion, because this is 2018, and from what
6 I'm hearing even from some of these contracts,
7 there still doesn't seem to be much movement
8 or change. That disappoints me greatly. I
9 don't know how many other ways I can say it or
10 put it out there other than reading these
11 reports about the problems that we are already
12 having at the Northport VA. I know it's a
13 federal facility but veterans in Nassau County
14 do utilize that facility and I think that we
15 need to do a better job as it pertains to our
16 underserved communities and constituency.
17 Thank you.

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just so you
19 know, a couple of things maybe for the record
20 as well. The office will be staffed with a
21 \$700,000 budget. Ms. Franzese will have the
22 opportunity, assuming she is confirmed by the
23 legislative body, the opportunity to hire the
24 office as she see fits. We only specified for
25 budget purposes a recommendation in terms of

1 Inspector General - 12-3-18
2 investigators and counsel and so on and so
3 forth. But she'll be able to determine the
4 salary levels as well as who she wants to
5 hire.

6 And to answer your questions in
7 terms of her power, she will have subpoena
8 power. She will have the ability to subpoena
9 documents if they are not forthcoming. You're
10 welcome.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The only
12 thing I would echo is what Minority Leader
13 just said in terms of detail. If you look at
14 the statute in which we created this position
15 last year, it gives tremendously broad power
16 and discretion to this position. The
17 inspector general can be involved and
18 authorized to be involved in virtually every
19 area of the operation of county government.
20 Basically the administration. It's a
21 tremendously powerful position. And that's
22 it.

23 Any other discussion or debate? We
24 were in the middle of a vote. All in favor
25 signify by saying aye. Those opposed?

1 Inspector General - 12-3-18

2 Carries unanimously. Legislator Kopel makes a
3 motion to adjourn. Seconded by Legislator
4 Ford. All in favor of adjourning signify by
5 saying aye. Those opposed? We are adjourned.

6 (Meeting adjourned at 2:53 P.M.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand this sixth day of
December 2018

FRANK GRAY