1. Meeting Minutes

Documents:

FINANCE COMMITTEE, 01-22-20.PDF

2. Agenda

Documents:

1-22-2020 FINANCE AGENDA.PDF

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
7	
8	RICHARD NICOLELLO
9	PRESIDING OFFICER
10	
11	FINANCE COMMITTEE
12	
13	LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL
14	CHAIR
15	
16	
17	Theodore Roosevelt Building
18	1550 Franklin Avenue
19	Mineola, New York
20	
21	
22	January 22, 2020
23	3:10 P.M.
24	
25	

1		
2	APPEAF	RANCES:
3		
4	LEGISLATOR	HOWARD KOPEL
5		Chair
6		
7	LEGISLATOR	VINCENT MUSCARELLA
8		Vice Chair
9		
10	LEGISLATOR	TOM MCKEVITT
11		
12	LEGISLATOR	ROSE MARIE WALKER
13		
14	LEGISLATOR	ELLEN BIRNBAUM
15		Ranking member
16		
17	LEGISLATOR	CARRIE SOLAGES
18		
19	LEGISLATOR	SEILA BYNOE
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: We are going
- 3 to start. I'm going to take the roll. Then I
- 4 will make a short opening statement. Then we
- 5 will get going here. Start with the pledge.
- Thank you everyone. Mr. McKevitt.
- 7 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
- 8 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Ms. Walker.
- 9 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
- 10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Ms. Birnbaum.
- 11 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
- 12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Substituting
- 13 for Mr. Drucker would be Ms. Bynoe.
- 14 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
- 15 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And
- 16 substituting for Mr. Lafazan would be
- 17 Mr. Solages. I'm informed that Mr. Muscarella
- 18 is on his way down. We have a quorum. So we
- 19 can go ahead at this point.
- 20 Earlier this month the comptroller
- 21 held a press conference at which it was
- 22 announced that 700 some odd thousand dollars
- 23 in taxpayer dollars had been sent from his
- 24 office to a fraudulent entity. As we
- 25 understand it we don't have a lot of details

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 on this. This occurred some time in late
- 3 October of 2018. We basically don't know
- 4 anything about it here. At least I don't.
- 5 2019, excuse me. Takes me a while to adjust
- 6 to the year. By October or so I should get it
- 7 right.
- 8 We basically know about it from
- 9 Newsday.
- 10 Mr. Schnirman, thank you for being
- 11 here today. We appreciate your coming down
- 12 here to enlighten us as to what happened and
- 13 to answer our questions. Because it's our
- 14 job, it's your job to ensure that the office
- of comptroller has all the necessary
- 16 procedures and controls in place so that tax
- 17 dollars are not inadvertently sent to third
- 18 parties that are not entitled to this money.
- Now, this was reported in the press
- 20 as a cyber attack. But we've got a lot of
- 21 questions understandably. So what we want to
- 22 do is find out what exactly went wrong over
- 23 here in the process which enabled this money
- 24 to be sent to the wrong party. What
- 25 procedures there were in place prior to this

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 occurrence. What, if any, procedures have
- 3 been implemented since then to prevent a
- 4 recurrence. We look forward to hearing the
- 5 answers to these questions and more to protect
- 6 the Nassau County taxpayers' hard earned
- 7 money.
- Just a word as to how we are going
- 9 to conduct this hearing. Mr. Schnirman, why
- 10 don't you come and sit in the hot seat right
- 11 here please. I believe Mr. Schnirman has an
- 12 opening statement if I'm not mistaken; is that
- 13 correct?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: We do.
- 15 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mr. Schnirman
- 16 and whoever is with him will present the
- 17 opening statement. At that point the Majority
- 18 and Minority members of the committee will ask
- 19 questions. After that we're going to allow
- 20 other members of the legislature who are in
- 21 attendance today but are not members of the
- 22 committee we're going to let them ask
- 23 questions as well if they are so inclined.
- 24 And finally, of course this is a hearing,
- 25 we're going to allow questions and comments

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 from the public. With that, Mr. Schnirman,
- 3 the floor is yours.
- 4 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Good afternoon.
- 5 I want to thank the Finance Committee of the
- 6 legislature for holding today's public hearing
- 7 and for inviting us to speak on the financial
- 8 and payment security and controls within the
- 9 office of the county comptroller. I'm here
- 10 today because modernization of the county's
- 11 financial system is a concern that my office
- 12 has proactively looked at since day one. The
- 13 critical component of that issue is cyber
- 14 security.
- 15 As technology improves and advances
- 16 at a rapid pace, malicious actors have
- 17 weaponized that technology and are using it to
- 18 attack governments, private industry as well
- 19 as private citizens. Increasingly cyber
- 20 attacks have targeted municipalities
- 21 throughout the country costing taxpayers and
- 22 residents millions of dollars.
- 23 A report released last fall said
- 24 that in the previous ten months 140 local
- 25 governments, police stations and hospitals

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 have been held hostage by ransomware attacks.
- 3 Just recently it was announced that a
- 4 ransomware attack hit Albany International
- 5 Airport. We've also seen this right here in
- 6 Nassau County with school districts targeted
- 7 in Rockville Centre, Lynbrook and Mineola.
- 8 As cyber attackers adapt the threat
- 9 only worsens. It's incumbent upon all of us
- 10 to ensure that we undertake necessary and
- 11 critical efforts to modernize our financial
- 12 system so we can remain vigilant against the
- 13 threat of cyber attacks and further protect
- 14 taxpayer dollars.
- To be clear, the incident that was
- 16 reported to the police was not a cyber attack
- on the county's overall IT infrastructure.
- 18 This was a focused and elaborate phishing
- 19 scheme that used a vendor's correct tax ID
- 20 number. Used a modified check to falsely
- 21 change a vendor's bank information to divert
- 22 funds. The claims for payment were normal and
- 23 regular. In the scam we are here to discuss
- 24 the destination of the payments is what was
- 25 fraudulently altered. In the end all the

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 monies were recovered and the county's books
- 3 are even.
- 4 Recently I joined Police
- 5 Commissioner Pat Ryder to announce that there
- 6 was an attempted cyber attack on Nassau
- 7 County, as well as the full recovery of more
- 8 than \$700,000 in county funds that were
- 9 initially diverted to bank accounts due to
- 10 this elaborate but ultimately unsuccessful
- 11 scam.
- 12 Controls in place at the time,
- 13 which have since been enhanced, identified
- 14 this fraudulent activity and the account that
- 15 the money was diverted to was frozen. As a
- 16 result of a coordinated investigation, which
- 17 included the Financial Crimes Detectives Unit
- 18 of the county police department, the Office of
- 19 the County Treasurer, Nassau County District
- 20 Attorney's Office and the Nassau County
- 21 Comptroller's Office, all monies were
- 22 recovered. The cyber attackers were thwarted
- 23 in their attempt to scam the county. The
- 24 county lost no monies. The vendor received
- 25 payment and additional controls were put in

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 place that will further deter similar future
- 3 scams.
- 4 Procedures in place and implemented
- 5 by our office's Claims Division ensured that
- 6 county funds are protected. The team in the
- 7 Claims Division not only audited and approved
- 8 over 65,000 claims to thousands of vendors in
- 9 2019, but increased oversight and efficiency
- 10 in the process saving taxpayer dollars.
- 11 Since we took office in 2018 our
- 12 claims division has identified several
- 13 millions dollars in erroneous or duplicative
- 14 claims ultimately saving the county money. An
- 15 example of this success is in the catching of
- 16 the American Water bill that had increased
- 17 from \$45 per month to approximately \$4,500.
- Gabe Marques is here, our fiscal
- 19 office from the Claims Division and we thank
- 20 our division for their hard work.
- 21 But let's all remember, no matter
- 22 how vigilantly we prepare vulnerability to
- 23 cyber attacks is a problem. We've improved
- 24 our controls. We did this before this
- 25 incident. We have now improved our controls

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 after this incident. But even as our defenses
- 3 increase, attackers will continue to attempt
- 4 to work around them. So we know we must
- 5 continue to work to improve because even we
- 6 are all vigilant we are all still vulnerable.
- 7 So let's get right into it. Deputy
- 8 Comptroller and chief counsel Jeff Schoen and
- 9 fiscal officer Gabe Marques are prepared to
- 10 give an account of the attempted scam as well
- 11 as the protocols that have since been
- 12 implemented to further protect county funds
- 13 against future attempts.
- To give a brief overview, our team
- implemented new controls when we first came
- 16 into office because there was no consist
- 17 method to verify vendor information. We
- 18 looked thoughtfully and updated the controls
- 19 to make the process more centralized, more
- 20 efficient and increase oversight.
- 21 A number of changes were
- 22 implemented from January of '18 to August of
- 23 '19. This is a result of identifying the
- 24 importance of accurate vendor information in
- 25 the digital age and we will look to continue

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 to make updates as we go.
- 3 To update the vendor information
- 4 form we required enhanced verification,
- 5 including a cancelled check and verifying tax
- 6 ID numbers. Since this incident we have
- 7 enhanced controls further. These now include
- 8 an enhanced vendor information form. Enhanced
- 9 verification and confirmation of vendor
- 10 information provided. Internal comptroller's
- 11 office trainings are now ongoing and have been
- 12 ongoing. There's also been a countywide IT
- training on how to identifying phishing
- 14 attempts. And in looking forward, the
- 15 electronic vendor portal adds additional
- 16 comprehensive levels of security by requiring
- 17 a log in and a password to submit a vendor
- 18 information form.
- 19 And today I am pleased to announce
- 20 that these new controls have stopped at least
- 21 one subsequent attempt in which a real vendor
- 22 was targeted in the same way using a mimicked
- 23 email address. In December of 2019 a claims
- 24 auditor received a request to change banking
- 25 information for a vendor. Due to the new

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 internal controls the request was flagged.
- 3 The scammer was unable to provide the newly
- 4 required enhanced verification and vendor
- 5 information. In working with the vendor, the
- 6 scam was identified and the fraud was stopped
- 7 by the Vendor Claims Division of the
- 8 comptroller's office.
- 9 I'm going to turn it over for a
- 10 moment to deputy comptroller and chief counsel
- 11 Jeff Schoen to walk us through a power point
- 12 with the specifics.
- 13 MR. SCHOEN: As to the specific
- 14 phishing attempt, the comptroller's office
- 15 received an email from a scammer disquised as
- 16 a county vendor requesting to modify the
- 17 vendor's automatic bank payment information or
- 18 ACH payment. The scammer sent the request
- 19 from an email address which mimicked that of
- 20 an actual email address of the principal of
- 21 one of the county vendors. For example, the
- 22 actual email address being jprincipal at ABC
- 23 incorporated dot com but instead mimicked that
- 24 with a dot net. As we all know, scammers are
- 25 mimicking email addresses all the time. We

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 all receive them on our phones and devices on
- 3 a daily basis.
- 4 The fiscal officer informed the
- 5 scammer that they must complete a new vendor
- 6 profile form.
- 7 MR. MARQUES: Good afternoon. So
- 8 as a process of the controls, we asked the
- 9 scammer to fill out a new vendor information
- 10 form, which was returned completed with the
- 11 correct tax identification number, the correct
- 12 vendor name, the correct vendor contact name,
- 13 which was an authored principal of the
- 14 company, the correct vendor address and a
- 15 correct vendor phone number. In addition, a
- 16 voided check was submitted, as you can see on
- 17 this slide, that had the accurate vendor name,
- 18 the accurate vendor address and an actual bank
- 19 account number at Chase Bank was submitted.
- 20 Those two documents were submitted in
- 21 conjunction and then forwarded on for
- 22 processing.
- MR. SCHOEN: So this was a
- 24 mimicked real check.
- Subsequently, the comptroller's

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 office was informed that a bank account
- 3 receiving county payments had been frozen.
- 4 This indicated possible fraudulent activity.
- 5 The comptroller's office identified 710,000
- 6 plus in payments to this account. The
- 7 comptroller's office initiated a joint
- 8 investigation with the Nassau County Police
- 9 Department, the county treasurer and the
- 10 district attorney's office and all payments
- 11 were recovered.
- So how did we get here? Before a
- 13 vendor can be paid a vendor must submit a
- 14 payment information form to the county.
- 15 Typically done on an IRS form W-9. What's
- 16 called a request for taxpayer identification
- 17 and certification. The county's W-9 form is
- 18 known as a 700 form.
- 19 Prior to January 1, 2018 there was
- 20 no centralization of the process of collecting
- 21 this form. There was no consistent method to
- 22 collect or verify the vendor information. And
- 23 most importantly, the comptroller's office was
- 24 not verifying that the tax identification
- 25 numbers, the TIN numbers, businesses social

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 security number essentially, was not verifying
- 3 that those were in fact actually TIN numbers.
- 4 Between January 2018 and August
- 5 2019 our office centralized the collection of
- 6 vendor information in the comptroller's
- 7 office. We centralized and uniformed the
- 8 method of verification of vendor information
- 9 in the comptroller's office to the Vendor
- 10 Claims Division. This included the
- 11 verification of the banking account
- 12 information requiring the void check or
- 13 requiring a letter from the bank saying that
- 14 this is in fact the vendor's bank account.
- 15 And utilizing a free service that the IRS
- 16 provided of verifying that the TIN numbers,
- 17 the social security numbers of the vendor,
- 18 were in fact actually numbers. And if that
- 19 failed, we would essentially deactivate the
- 20 vendor and not allow the financial systems to
- 21 make any payments to the vendor until those
- 22 problems were resolved.
- 23 After the event, the phishing
- 24 event, we enhanced the vendor information
- 25 form. We required that the vendor tell us if

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 they were submitting a new form or they were
- 3 making changes to the form. And specifically
- 4 to check what changes they were making to that
- 5 form. More importantly, we asked them to
- 6 provide their old banking information, both
- 7 the bank, the routing number and the account
- 8 number and the new one. Because if you were
- 9 attempting to mimic as if you were an old
- 10 vendor and you were in fact someone phishing
- 11 and trying to defraud us, most likely you
- 12 wouldn't know the old information. You would
- 13 only know the new information.
- We also put in enhanced
- 15 verification and confirmation of the vendor
- 16 information. Are you actually making this
- 17 change? Asking multiple checks with more than
- 18 one person with the vendor. Contacting more
- 19 than one person. One person asked to make the
- 20 change and another person verifying the
- 21 change. We also did a training at the
- 22 comptroller's office as well as the countywide
- 23 training that IT implemented.
- On top of that, all that, very
- 25 soon, we will be requiring the vendor

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 information form to be submitted through the
- 3 vendor portal. The vendor portal, as we all
- 4 know, requires log in and password access from
- 5 the vendor in order to access it. So if you
- 6 were a vendor who wants to submit a form
- 7 you're going to have to be an authorized user
- 8 of the vendor's profile in order to get in
- 9 another. On top of all these extra controls
- 10 we put in place, there will now be a log in
- 11 and access controls in order to submit the
- 12 vendor information form through the vendor
- 13 portal.
- 14 As the comptroller pointed out,
- 15 these internal additional controls have
- 16 already thwarted a similar attempt.
- 17 MR. SCHNIRMAN: So simply put,
- 18 this scam was about using a mimicked email
- 19 address on the vendor information form.
- 20 Currently our antiquated financial system,
- 21 which was developed prior to the regular use
- of corporate or personal email addresses,
- 23 cannot accept email address information in the
- 24 vendor profile screens. New financial system
- 25 will be able to electronically verify email

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 addresses and therefore will be able to
- 3 identify a situation with a mimicked email
- 4 address and this underscores the need for
- 5 modernizing the county's finances that we've
- 6 talked about before. And for that reason I
- 7 again thank the legislature for supporting the
- 8 financial modernizations that are now moving
- 9 forward.
- 10 The announcement of the phishing
- 11 attempt was held with law enforcement
- 12 following the full recovery of the diverted
- 13 funds so as not to interfere with an active
- 14 investigation and in the interest of providing
- 15 full transparency and accountability to the
- 16 public. As Commissioner Ryder said, money
- 17 moves quickly and this scheme was not
- 18 exclusive to Nassau County. In fact, three to
- 19 four other municipalities were also targeted
- 20 we were told. We needed to recover the money
- 21 before the scammers could be alerted and move
- 22 the money. But the key thing is that working
- 23 with investigators we recovered the county's
- 24 money and zero dollars were lost. All efforts
- 25 to be transparent have served as a positive.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 Since the announcement,
- 3 representatives from other municipalities,
- 4 even from other parts of the country, have
- 5 reached out in the interest of preventing
- 6 similar schemes from occurring in the areas
- 7 that they represent. And this healthy
- 8 dialogue must continue. Just this morning I
- 9 joined Suffolk County Executive Steve Bellone
- 10 to discuss partnering on cyber security on a
- 11 regional basis. But this is not a Nassau
- 12 problem or even a regional problem. This is a
- 13 challenge that all governments,
- 14 municipalities, businesses and private
- 15 citizens face as we are more dependent on
- 16 technology to manage our day-to-day life. So
- 17 we look forward to working together.
- I want to thank again the
- 19 legislature again for supporting the financial
- 20 modernizations that are moving forward.
- 21 Nassau County must take the lead in
- 22 modernizing our government and ensuring that
- 23 we are fully prepared to protect tax dollars
- 24 now and into the future no matter the threat.
- We are looking to partner with

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 other municipalities on cyber initiatives as
- 3 we go forward. In fact, months before this
- 4 incident, the comptroller proactively took up
- 5 the issue of cyber security with its
- 6 revitalized independent audit advisory
- 7 committee. The issue was discussed actually
- 8 in April of '19 and June of '19 a specialist
- 9 from the county's external auditor gave a
- 10 detailed presentation on cyber security. And
- in September of '19 the committee once again
- 12 received an update on the issue.
- In fact, this year technology was
- 14 built into our recent procurement of external
- 15 auditors. As a sign of our concern for cyber
- 16 security, our new external auditors have
- 17 dedicated personnel for the integration of
- 18 technology assessment services which includes
- 19 cyber security. These auditors have already
- 20 met with the county's IT department to discuss
- 21 this critical issue.
- So before we take any questions
- 23 that you have, I want to again thank the
- 24 committee for taking a thoughtful approach to
- 25 this issue and sincerely hope that we can

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 partner on initiatives to promote good
- 3 government to protect taxpayers, the county
- 4 and critical modernizations as we go forward.
- 5 I will caution that while we have
- 6 gotten into guite a bit of information about
- 7 the incident here, as our counsel will remind
- 8 me I'm sure, we are reticent to get into a
- 9 certain level of granular detail as that would
- 10 be unsafe I would say to our public safety
- 11 going forward. With that, we are happy to
- 12 take any questions the committee may have.
- 13 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you for
- 14 your presentation. I just want to note for
- 15 the record that Legislator Muscarella joined
- 16 us at the outset of this presentation and he
- 17 is now with us and in full attendance.
- 18 Let me ask you a few questions.
- 19 I'm not quite clear on several details on the
- 20 actual attack here. We will call it an attack
- 21 for convenience. First of all, how many
- 22 payments were made comprising this entire
- 23 710,000?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: I want to give
- 25 you a general answer and then I'll let Deputy

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 Comptroller Schoen give you -- as I said
- 3 earlier, and I can see how this can be a point
- 4 of confusion, this was not an attack on the
- 5 county's overall IT infrastructure.
- 6 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: We understand
- 7 that. I'm not really -- don't want to get
- 8 hung up on terminology at this point. I
- 9 called it an attack. We will call it whatever
- 10 you would like. What would you like?
- 11 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Absolutely an
- 12 attack. It's just that there's a different
- 13 piece, right, whether it's the overall IT
- 14 infrastructure or this was --
- 15 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I said during
- 16 this attack. I did not refer to the IT
- 17 infrastructure at all. I merely referred to
- 18 this incident as an attack and I will continue
- 19 to if that works for you.
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: Sure.
- 21 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: How many
- 22 payments?
- 23 MR. SCHOEN: The information that
- 24 I provided in the presentation is what we are
- 25 comfortable to say publicly. In interest of

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 public safety we would have to give you
- 3 anymore details in executive session.
- 4 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Was there more
- 5 than one?
- 6 MR. SCHOEN: After being notified
- 7 that the payments were going into a fraudulent
- 8 account we identified other payments going to
- 9 that account. That's the 710,000 is a
- 10 composite of multiple payments.
- 11 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Understood.
- 12 That does answer the question. How did this
- 13 come to light? We did not really get into a
- 14 good level of detail. How did you find out
- 15 that this is a problem?
- MR. SCHOEN: We were notified by
- 17 our banking institution that the payments were
- 18 attempting to go into a fraudulent account.
- 19 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: When did this
- 20 happen?
- 21 MR. SCHOEN: The notification was
- 22 in late October.
- 23 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: When were the
- 24 payments being made more or less?
- MR. SCHOEN: That's not a level

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 of detail we're not prepared to give outside
- 3 of executive session.
- 4 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Is it safe to
- 5 say that it was some months prior to that?
- 6 MR. SCHOEN: It was a less than
- 7 30 day period of time.
- 8 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Now, I'd like
- 9 to go into some of the -- let me ask you
- 10 this. Did you notify the administration?
- 11 MR. SCHOEN: We immediately
- 12 notified and put together the investigative
- 13 team of the county treasurer's office and the
- 14 police department which are under the
- 15 administration's purview.
- 16 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Did you notify
- 17 the county executive's office back in October
- 18 or thereabouts?
- 19 MR. SCHOEN: As I said, we worked
- 20 with the police department and the treasurer's
- 21 office which are under the county executive.
- 22 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So no, you
- 23 didn't notify the county executive? That's a
- 24 no?
- 25 MR. SCHOEN: Yes. Yes, we did.

PRECISE COURT REPORTING (516) 747-9393 (718) 343-7227 (212) 581-2570

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Yes, we were in
- 3 contact with the county executive's office at
- 4 that time.
- 5 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: That's what I
- 6 asked you. Would have been simple to just say
- 7 yes or no.
- 8 Getting into the procedures.
- 9 You've gone through a lot of these procedures
- 10 as to how information is provided to the
- 11 county and you've got it all in writing and
- 12 you've got all kinds of information there.
- 13 Are you aware that there are certain best
- 14 practices common to the industry? When I say
- 15 industry I should say industry in general not
- 16 the industry. I don't mean only the
- 17 county's. But pertaining to anyone that gets
- 18 involved in making large wire or electronic
- 19 transactions and it's out there on the
- 20 Internet. You can find dozens of instances of
- 21 it very simply. You're aware of that, are you
- 22 not?
- MR. SCHOEN: Yes. We've tried to
- implement a lot of practices that weren't in
- 25 place including the requirement of the

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 cancelled check, the requirements of the bank
- 3 letter and now the additional verifications,
- 4 the dual verification of an individual
- 5 requesting a change and verifying with the
- 6 company that the change is in fact being made.
- 7 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Are you aware
- 8 that a change in payment instructions is a
- 9 classic red flag, are you not?
- 10 MR. SCHOEN: We receive hundreds
- 11 of requests to change payment instructions.
- 12 We receive both new vendors and vendors that
- 13 already exist with us to make changes. We get
- 14 about 30 or so requests per week. Over 1500
- 15 requests per year.
- 16 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Your procedure
- in dealing with that is to change the
- 18 paperwork essentially? In other words, you've
- 19 gone through these --
- 20 MR. SCHOEN: Currently the
- 21 financial system requires us to do it on
- 22 paper. We are going to be shortly going to
- 23 the vendor portal where it will be submitted
- 24 electronically with the enhanced control of
- 25 the log in and password in order to do that.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It seems to me
- 3 that using not only the prior controls but
- 4 even the current controls, a disgruntled
- 5 insider is still in a perfect position to game
- 6 the system. A disgruntled insider, he or she
- 7 would have access to all the information you
- 8 need.
- 9 MR. SCHOEN: I think that would
- 10 be the case in any institution. But I
- 11 believe --
- 12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So that's
- 13 correct?
- 14 MR. SCHOEN: If we went into
- 15 executive session we would be able to give you
- 16 the additional controls we put in place.
- 17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm speaking
- 18 quite generally speaking. It seems to me that
- 19 these particular controls could easily be
- 20 gained by any, as I say, disgruntled insider.
- Let me ask you, are you not aware
- 22 that the best practice for change of any kind
- 23 of wire instructions from those given at the
- 24 very outset of a transaction generally
- 25 requires verification by a phone call

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 emanating from the payor to a known person and
- 3 a known phone number at the payee?
- 4 MR. SCHOEN: Exactly what we're
- 5 doing now. That's one of the controls that I
- 6 would like to talk about in executive session
- 7 so as not to inform the people scamming us as
- 8 to what our processes are.
- 9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: That's not a
- 10 big secret as I say. That is a universal best
- 11 practice. So we weren't doing that?
- MR. SCHOEN: We were not and we
- 13 are now.
- 14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And are all
- 15 emails encrypted?
- MR. SCHOEN: You have to ask IT.
- 17 It's an IT question.
- 18 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It sure is an
- 19 IT question but it's also a question for you
- 20 because that's something that again it's a
- 21 best practice when you're dealing with any
- 22 kind of transaction details. I'm not an IT
- 23 person but I know that I'm in business and if
- I need something I'll go to IT and say hey,
- 25 best practice is here's the list, have you

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 implemented it? And if you haven't you better
- 3 do it for us real quick. Did you ever ask
- 4 them for that? I'm sorry, did you answer?
- 5 MR. MARQUES: Us at the claims
- 6 division we rely on IT to provide that level
- 7 of security. The truth of the matter is that
- 8 it's a largely manual process and any time you
- 9 have a manual process risk is inherent.
- 10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So you
- 11 haven't? The answer is no, not yet?
- 12 MR. SCHOEN: I'm not sure at what
- 13 point we decided to look into it but right now
- 14 the financial system does not even take an
- 15 email address or cannot take an email
- 16 address. The email addresses are used at the
- 17 claims division to communicate with vendors.
- 18 And the vendor information form will be coming
- 19 through the vendor portal which requires an
- 20 email log in and password access.
- 21 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Going into
- 22 some other best practices. When you make
- 23 payments how is that handled? Explain that to
- 24 us.
- MR. SCHOEN: The comptroller's

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 office actually doesn't make payments. The
- 3 comptroller's office approves payments and
- 4 then all those approved payments are put on
- 5 what we call a warrant which is sent to the
- 6 treasurer's office. The treasurer's office
- 7 actually makes payments. That's the control
- 8 in place. The comptroller's office in fact
- 9 can't make payments. That's the nature of
- 10 control in place in the county charter.
- 11 Treasurer pays comptroller approves.
- 12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Good. Is
- 13 there just one person to doing those
- 14 approvals?
- MR. SCHOEN: We have various
- 16 levels of approval depending on the amount of
- 17 the payment. But all of the individuals in
- 18 our claims division have approval level
- 19 depending on the level of the claim.
- 20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: But it's a
- 21 single person?
- 22 MR. SCHOEN: There are multiple
- 23 claims auditors who can approve payments.
- 24 Depending on the amount of the payment there
- 25 may be additional levels of approval required.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: In a given
- 3 instance, if there was a significant amount of
- 4 money there would be more than one person
- 5 looking at this?
- 6 MR. SCHOEN: Yes. Even before it
- 7 comes to our office it would have been
- 8 reviewed by the department and then sent to us
- 9 for approval.
- 10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: The other
- 11 question, I guess you probably won't know the
- 12 answer to that, when somebody does get into
- 13 the system do you require multifactor
- 14 authentication? It sounds to me like that's
- 15 something that you are starting to do.
- 16 MR. SCHOEN: Yes. With the
- 17 vendor portal. IT will be able to answer that
- 18 more specifically.
- 19 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It sounds to
- 20 me like that's something you're starting to
- 21 implement but haven't yet. But we will save
- 22 that for IT I quess. Tell us about the
- 23 training programs that you've implemented
- 24 here.
- MR. SCHOEN: Apart from the

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 countywide IT training which all received I
- 3 believe due to the phishing incident. We have
- 4 been monitoring other incidents in other --
- 5 that happened and regularly advising our
- 6 claims auditors what to look for based upon
- 7 those instances.
- 8 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm talking
- 9 specifically about the people in the
- 10 comptroller's office who are responsible for
- 11 authorizing payments. We've already
- 12 established I think that you are about or have
- just about implemented the program where you
- 14 would be calling to verify transactions.
- 15 MR. SCHOEN: We haven't but since
- 16 October, since the incident, we put that in
- 17 place.
- 18 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: What happens?
- 19 Which kind of payments will require phone
- 20 calls for? All payments?
- 21 MR. SCHOEN: Yes. What happens
- is the payments are separate from the payment
- 23 process. A vendor receives payments based
- 24 upon a claim that's been approved. The vendor
- 25 sets that profile up before they can get any

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 payments using the vendor information form.
- 3 That form is where they set up their
- 4 payments. How they're going to get paid. If
- 5 they want to make any changes they use the
- 6 vendor information form to make changes.
- 7 The initial form and any changes to
- 8 that form we are doing that dual
- 9 verification. So one person from the vendor
- 10 will request the change and we will verify
- 11 with someone else at the vendor, preferably
- 12 the contact person on the contract, we will
- 13 verify by phone that they in fact are making
- 14 the change. And then we have an additional
- 15 control which we send them an email, which we
- 16 all get when we make changes to our accounts,
- 17 that says hey, you made a change to your
- 18 account. If this didn't happen please let us
- 19 know.
- 20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Please let us
- 21 know again it's not sufficient. You have to
- 22 have these phone calls.
- MR. SCHOEN: Absolutely.
- 24 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It's old tech
- 25 but --

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHOEN: It's amazing how new
- 3 tech requires the old tech.
- 4 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And fax
- 5 machines. Fax machines are back in use for
- 6 wire instructions. I would advise you not to
- 7 take wire instructions on email at all. Not
- 8 only not to verify it don't take them. Get it
- 9 by fax or get it verbally. That's again it's
- 10 a best practice. Unless sometimes a backup
- 11 would be an encrypted email. But you probably
- 12 don't have that.
- 13 What kind of information -- you
- implemented some time ago your open checkbook
- 15 program?
- 16 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Correct.
- 17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Question that
- 18 I have for you is, how much information is
- 19 available as a result of this program that can
- 20 be used by some of these evildoers?
- 21 MR. SCHNIRMAN: We implemented a
- 22 transparency portal Open Nassau dot Nassau
- 23 County NY dot gov. On there is Open
- 24 Checkbook, Open Budget, Open Payroll. Our
- 25 score card as well. Looking at the county's

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 finances. So simply put, the information that
- 3 is there is the same information that was
- 4 previously publicly available but it's
- 5 displayed in a more user-friendly fashion.
- 6 Previously it was put up in just Excel
- 7 sheets. Sort of hard for the average
- 8 individual to wade through.
- 9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: But is any of
- 10 this information information that might have
- 11 made it easier in this particular incident and
- 12 possible others?
- 13 MR. SCHNIRMAN: The information
- 14 was already available.
- 15 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm sorry. I
- 16 don't understand.
- 17 MR. SCHOEN: The platform we're
- 18 using is a different platform. But all the
- 19 information was already available. And it was
- 20 already made publicly available and would be
- 21 completely have to be made available under the
- 22 New York State FOIL laws.
- 23 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Not
- 24 information. Not bad checks and so forth.
- MR. SCHOEN: Yes.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Information
- 3 about checks?
- 4 MR. SCHOEN: You can check with
- 5 your counsel but --
- 6 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm not
- 7 contradicting you.
- Now, your office found out about
- 9 this because you were notified by a bank that
- 10 happened to notice irregular activity on this
- 11 particular account to which the money went. I
- 12 think that's what you said, right?
- MR. SCHOEN: Correct. We always
- 14 rely on our financial institutions to help
- 15 protect fraudulent activity on our credit
- 16 cards and banking.
- 17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: This is very
- 18 unusual and lucky because the great majority
- 19 of times I understand that this money within
- 20 minutes is out of the country. So I'm sorry
- 21 this was a crook who didn't know his or her
- 22 business very well I guess. So it's really
- 23 just pure dumb luck that we got this money
- 24 back.
- MR. SCHOEN: The fact that we

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 reacted as quickly as we did once we were
- 3 informed, the fact that we keep electronic
- 4 records so we were able to identify the
- 5 accounts as quickly as we did and the fact
- 6 that the department --
- 7 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm saying it
- 8 happens within minutes. Literally minutes.
- 9 It usually happens money goes into an account
- 10 it's gone within minutes.
- 11 MR. SCHOEN: I think they're able
- 12 to move it from the initial account to
- 13 different accounts but it's very hard to get
- 14 the money out of those accounts. It takes a
- 15 more sophisticated process to actually get the
- 16 money in your hands and these investigations,
- 17 as was announced, was able to identify other
- 18 accounts that it went to.
- 19 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I understand
- 20 but typically, as I say, money comes in, you
- 21 have preexisting instructions and that money
- 22 comes into an account and it's immediately
- 23 wired to Bulgaria or God knows where. Then
- 24 it's gone.
- 25 MR. SCHOEN: Then you have to get

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 the money out of that account in some way.
- 3 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It's wired to
- 4 Bulgaria or something as I say. I picked on
- 5 Bulgaria. I don't know why.
- 6 MR. SCHNIRMAN: The police
- 7 commissioner went into this at some length,
- 8 and I know that there are representatives from
- 9 the police department who can probably speak
- 10 to that as well.
- 11 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: We found out
- 12 only because this was caught by the bank. Now
- there is some delay between the time that an
- 14 invoice is rendered and payment is made.
- 15 That's typical. There's a delay of I don't
- 16 know whether it's 30 or 60 or 90 days. I
- don't know what that is and that's not really
- 18 my question. My question is, what assurances
- 19 do we have that there's not a couple of other
- 20 time bombs sitting in your file that we don't
- 21 know about yet?
- 22 MR. SCHOEN: After the incident
- 23 we reviewed six months worth of vendor
- 24 information request changes and verified all
- 25 the changes.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You're
- 3 satisfied that there's nothing else out there
- 4 at the moment?
- 5 MR. SCHNIRMAN: To the best of
- 6 our knowledge yes. But as I mentioned earlier
- 7 and I think it's important to remember, we
- 8 will continue to update our controls just as
- 9 others are in other places. Yet we are all
- 10 still going to be vulnerable. So just as
- 11 scammers will continue to improve we have to
- 12 continue to improve. That's what we are
- 13 committed to doing.
- 14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You are hereby
- 15 requested to give the committee members, and
- 16 if it's in confidential form that's okay too,
- 17 but we would like a full current exposition of
- 18 what all your current controls are. And you
- 19 might as well identify those that have been
- 20 updated as a result of this incident and
- 21 perhaps as a result of this discussion.
- 22 With that I'm going to turn it over
- 23 to Legislator Muscarella and then Legislator
- 24 McKevitt.
- 25 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Hi. I'm

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 kind of ignorant of this stuff. I'm not a
- 3 computer guy. I know how to use one. So I'm
- 4 not into all the terminology and I'm not into
- 5 all of the sophistication. Let me ask you a
- 6 couple of just basic things. You indicated
- 7 the phishing attempt and that the scammer got
- 8 the following information. Correct tax ID
- 9 number, vendor name, contract name, address
- 10 and phone number. Any idea where they got
- 11 this information?
- MR. SCHOEN: No.
- 13 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So there
- 14 was a phishing expedition by the scammer. Did
- 15 somebody do a forensic evaluation of your
- 16 computer as to where this information might
- 17 have come from?
- 18 MR. SCHOEN: I think you may be
- 19 getting into details of the investigation that
- 20 the police department could tell you about.
- 21 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So let me
- 22 ask you. Basically, the computers are being
- 23 looked at, correct? As part of the
- 24 investigation now.
- MR. SCHOEN: The manner in which

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 they perpetrated the phishing scheme is being
- 3 investigated as part of how they were able to
- 4 identify, from my understanding, how they
- 5 would be able to identify the bank account.
- 6 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Let me
- 7 give you my basic concern. That this was less
- 8 a phishing scheme and more a fraud perpetrated
- 9 on the county. And I'd like to know in
- 10 executive session maybe whether or not the
- 11 information that was acquired by the scammer
- 12 was general information that was gotten very
- easily by a member of the public or was gotten
- 14 as part of the electronic phishing scheme.
- 15 Number one.
- 16 Because if it was general
- 17 information that's out there, then that
- information should no longer be put out to the
- 19 general public because it's information that
- 20 is being used by someone against us.
- 21 Number two, I just find it
- 22 incomprehensible that when someone asks us to
- 23 change the direction of where money is going
- that the comptroller's office asks for a check
- 25 for the new account and has never thought to

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 ask for information verifying the old
- 3 account. It appears to me that the
- 4 comptroller's office found out about this not
- 5 by any inquiry by the comptroller's office,
- 6 not by any hit that IT might have found that
- 7 there was something going on with our
- 8 computers, but solely, fortuitously, by a bank
- 9 that notified us that we were being hacked or
- 10 phished or whatever.
- 11 Maybe this is unfair, but we
- 12 constantly get audits by the comptroller's
- 13 office, every department, everything, and
- 14 invariably just about every department that
- 15 the comptroller's office does an audit on
- 16 comes back with the common theme you have
- 17 inadequate safeguards. Inadequate safeguards
- 18 for timekeeping, for paying bills, for
- 19 accounts, receivables accounts payable. All
- 20 kinds of inadequate safeguards. Yet it
- 21 appears to me that we're the victim of our own
- 22 inadequate safeguards in the county. I
- 23 understand all the controls that we are having
- 24 from this point forward.
- 25 Comptroller, you indicated that

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 there were similar inquiries made from other
- 3 places that are being subject to similar type
- 4 scams. Were any of those successful that you
- 5 know or were they just --
- 6 MR. SCHNIRMAN: To our knowledge
- 7 yes.
- 8 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Just as a
- 9 matter of course, I would hope that you're
- 10 aware of the legislation that the legislature
- 11 passed and went into effect that requires
- 12 notification of the county legislature in the
- 13 event you are subject of a hack or phishing
- 14 scheme or that kind of thing and I just note
- 15 that there was no such notification done here.
- 16 MR. SCHOEN: Just to that
- 17 specific piece of legislation. It requires
- 18 the county IT department to notify the
- 19 legislature and other people within 72 hours
- 20 of a breach or cyber attack on the Nassau
- 21 County's information technology
- 22 infrastructure. On the core of the
- 23 structure.
- 24 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So what
- 25 you're saying is it doesn't fall technically

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 within the purview of that legislation and in
- 3 any event it's not your responsibility to do
- 4 it, it's IT's responsibility.
- 5 MR. SCHOEN: According to this
- 6 piece of legislation.
- 7 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So then
- 8 perhaps we'll have to change the legislation.
- 9 MR. SCHNIRMAN: To be clear and
- 10 it's what we talked about at the beginning,
- 11 this was not an attack on the overall county
- 12 IT infrastructure. It was a different kind of
- 13 situation.
- 14 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: I have no
- 15 other questions right now.
- 16 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: My
- 17 understanding is that the way that this
- 18 legislature found out about this incident, I
- 19 believe it was a press conference that was
- 20 held on January 11th of this year. Would be
- 21 would that be correct I believe?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: I believe so.
- 23 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: At that
- 24 press conference I believe you, Mr. Schnirman,
- 25 indicated that there were controls in place

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 immediately identified this fraudulent
- 3 activity and the account that the money was
- 4 diverted to was frozen. I believe that would
- 5 be an accurate summarization of what you
- 6 stated at that press conference. Would that
- 7 be correct?
- 8 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Yes.
- 9 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: But the
- 10 controls in place were not controls of the
- 11 county comptroller's office. They were
- 12 actually the controls of the bank where the
- 13 money was being sent to. Isn't that the
- 14 case?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: No, actually as
- 16 our chief counsel had said. That is one of
- 17 our controls.
- 18 MR. SCHOEN: We rely upon the
- 19 banking institutions that we all have to tell
- 20 us when things -- there's a fraudulent
- 21 activity on the account that we have that we
- 22 are involved with them.
- 23 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: But as far
- 24 as controls, is that a protocol on what type
- 25 of suspicious activity to be looking for come

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 from your office or is that just something
- 3 that the banking institution does in general
- 4 with all their banking customers?
- 5 MR. SCHOEN: I guess the answer
- 6 to both of those questions would be yes.
- 7 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: So this you
- 8 believe is actually the protocol the bank has
- 9 just for its institutions in general not
- 10 necessarily something specific to Nassau
- 11 County finances and accounts, would that be
- 12 correct?
- MR. SCHOEN: Correct.
- 14 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Just a
- 15 little bit of concern too, I know one
- 16 initiative which has been happening in the
- 17 last couple of years is the Open Checkbook
- 18 website in order to be able to go and give
- 19 more transparency to the public. My concern
- 20 is I'm just on Open Checkbook right now and
- 21 literally I can see checks for as little as
- 22 \$4, literally see checks in greater amounts
- 23 like that. And my concern is yes, we
- 24 definitely want to be transparent with the
- 25 public how the money is being spent, but in

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 other instances can this just be a game plan
- 3 showing a prospective fraudster or scamster as
- 4 to at least identifying who the vendors are so
- 5 they can actually find this information and go
- 6 down this route.
- 7 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I think we spoke
- 8 to that earlier but I will let counsel.
- 9 MR. SCHOEN: I think both this
- 10 legislative body and other legislative bodies,
- 11 and the main legislative body in the state has
- 12 unfortunately told us given this multiple
- instructions on how to put information out to
- 14 the public and how to protect public
- 15 information. The information that is out
- 16 there is all information that would be
- 17 required to be made public.
- 18 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: I quess
- 19 again that's part of the dilemma. Yes, we
- 20 want to be transparent as possible but just
- 21 again by looking on this website I can easily
- tell you with probably within a Google search
- 23 within 30 seconds I can tell you the names,
- 24 the phone numbers, probably the CEO. Probably
- 25 the only thing I could not easily get is

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 taxpayer identification number. That's the
- 3 one I probably could not get as easily on this
- 4 website.
- 5 MR. SCHOEN: I think you're
- 6 right. There are competing interests there.
- 7 The people of the state have required that our
- 8 legislature pass laws that require us to put
- 9 information out there in a transparent ways
- 10 and that's what we do. It's unfortunate that
- it could be utilized for nefarious purposes.
- 12 But that's the line we are riding. We're
- 13 trying to abide by the law and be as
- 14 transparent as possible. We have competing
- 15 statutes.
- 16 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Thank you.
- 17 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I just have a
- 18 very quick question. When you said the email
- 19 address was mimicked. So perhaps at the end
- 20 instead of saying dot com it says dot net. As
- 21 soon as anything was different about that that
- 22 didn't draw attention? Didn't give you some
- 23 thought in your mind why is this different?
- 24 MR. MARQUES: Without being
- 25 specific in public about the actual change,

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 one step is that at the time the name of the
- 3 individual was listed in our book rather than
- 4 the actual address.
- 5 But second is that up until a few
- 6 months ago the vendor portal, which is what we
- 7 are using right now as the official record of
- 8 vendors, did not exist. So we did not know
- 9 what the official email address of the vendor
- 10 was.
- 11 MR. SCHOEN: In the financial
- 12 system currently there's no place to put an
- 13 email address into the vendor profile in the
- 14 financial system. It did not take it. It
- doesn't understand what an email address is
- 16 because of the age of the financial system.
- 17 LEGISLATOR WALKER: For the
- 18 comptroller. When you started your statement
- 19 you said this was not a cyber attack.
- 20 However, throughout part of your discussion
- 21 you called it a cyber attack. So it was, it
- 22 wasn't. To me it's some type of cyber
- 23 attack. I'm not too good on this either. I'm
- 24 lucky that I can do whatever you are supposed
- 25 to on the computer. I have no way I can

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 figure out how to do something that you
- 3 shouldn't be doing.
- 4 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I appreciate the
- 5 question and the opportunity for
- 6 clarification. To be clear, it absolutely it
- 7 was a cyber attack. What I was saying is, it
- 8 was not a cyber attack on the county's overall
- 9 infrastructure. It was a specific targeted
- 10 phishing scheme not meant to infiltrate the
- 11 overall cyber infrastructure of the county.
- 12 But meant to phish a particular payment to get
- 13 that. Hopefully that's a little clearer.
- 14 MR. SCHOEN: Generally cyber
- 15 attacks fall in these two categories. One
- 16 being the phishing category and the other
- 17 being the more attack on your infrastructure,
- 18 ransomware type category. This was more the
- 19 phishing category. But they are both
- 20 categorized generally as cyber attacks.
- 21 LEGISLATOR WALKER: So now when
- 22 you were notified by the banking institution
- 23 did you try to do any investigation yourself
- 24 or did you immediately reach out to the police
- 25 department and to the county executive and to

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 the DA's office?
- 3 MR. SCHOEN: We immediately look
- 4 at our electronic records to see what the
- 5 payments that were going to the account and we
- 6 identified the payments and then notified the
- 7 police department and the treasurer and began
- 8 the investigation.
- 9 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I will pass
- 10 for now.
- 11 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you
- 12 for clarifying a lot of what I don't think we
- 13 had heard about before. My question is
- 14 regarding the amount of funds. In this case
- 15 approximately 710,000. If this had been a
- 16 lesser amount, let's say a much smaller amount
- in total, do you think that the banking
- 18 institute would have noticed? Was it because
- 19 of where the location of the account had been
- 20 changed to? The distance? Was it the amount
- 21 of the payments? What flagged it?
- 22 MR. SCHOEN: The account itself
- 23 had already been flagged as a fraudulent
- 24 account. There's probably a lot of money
- 25 going into that account. So it wouldn't have

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 mattered whether we were trying to put a penny
- 3 in there or whether we were trying to put
- 4 multiple thousands of dollars.
- 5 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: In other
- 6 words, the scammer was using this account for
- 7 other scams as well?
- 8 MR. SCHOEN: Yes. There were
- 9 other municipalities on Long Island that were
- 10 scammed in the same way.
- 11 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: By the same
- 12 person or individual, whatever.
- 13 MR. SCHNIRMAN: In addition I had
- 14 just add, in the more recent attempt that we
- 15 mentioned earlier that was thwarted back in
- 16 December, the amount of money at stake was a
- 17 significantly smaller amount of money and our
- 18 enhanced controls now caught that.
- 19 MR. SCHOEN: That's just based
- 20 upon the amount of business we do with that
- 21 vendor.
- 22 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: So then
- 23 leading up to what Legislator Kopel said, if
- 24 it had been a more sophisticated scammer that
- 25 wasn't doing an account across the country and

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 was trying to transfer it to say another
- 3 country, do you think that it might not have
- 4 been flagged as easily?
- 5 MR. SCHOEN: You can probably
- 6 talk to law enforcement more about this. The
- 7 Financial Crimes Division may know more about
- 8 this. Essentially the scammers like this they
- 9 attempt to get money into accounts they have
- 10 control over and then move the money into
- 11 other accounts they have control over. But
- 12 getting the money out of the account is really
- 13 the sophisticated part. It's somewhat easier
- 14 to get it there but you have to somehow get it
- 15 out. You need multiple resources to take that
- 16 money out. If you take money out in big
- 17 amounts it's going to be flagged.
- Not to get into what the criminals
- 19 do, I don't know myself, but you need multiple
- 20 resources and a real system in place in order
- 21 to actually get control of the money. You
- 22 have to get it out and utilize the money.
- 23 That never happened. In this case that never
- happened.
- 25 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: The account

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 that was it going into was that person even
- 3 aware that was happening?
- 4 MR. SCHOEN: To our knowledge
- 5 no. As Commissioner Ryder stated, it was a
- 6 woman on the west coast who didn't even know
- 7 this account was being utilized.
- 8 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Did the
- 9 vendor notice that the payments were not being
- 10 made on a timely basis?
- MR. SCHOEN: No because the time
- 12 period of the payments was less than our 30
- 13 day payment period. Although sometimes our
- 14 payments are more than 30 days. But it was
- 15 certainly within our normal payment period.
- 16 So they were not expecting those payments
- 17 necessarily. They would have been shortly but
- 18 they weren't expecting them at the time.
- 19 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Now you're
- 20 feeling fairly confident that with the portal
- 21 setup, with everything going through emails it
- 22 will be --
- MR. SCHOEN: The portal is an
- 24 ultimate layer of control in effect. So you
- 25 can't submit it unless you're a vendor who has

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 access. We still have all the other controls
- 3 behind it. The phone calls that Legislator
- 4 Kopel talked about. The verification by phone
- 5 call and the other layers of protection that
- 6 we have added in order to make sure that we
- 7 don't make any changes until everything is
- 8 completely verified.
- 9 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I would add, as
- 10 we said the good news here is that the
- 11 county's made whole. The county's not out any
- 12 money and controls continue to improve. And
- as we talked about the technology that we are
- 14 using is improving and we have planned
- improvements coming up as well. But I think
- 16 we have to just be really open about the fact
- 17 that this was yesterday's scam. Right? And
- 18 as we talk about moving forward I think we
- 19 have to anticipate that the sophistication
- 20 will continue to improve and so must our
- 21 efforts continue to improve and on and on we
- 22 qo.
- LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: In a way
- 24 this was like a wake up call. It really got
- 25 the county to start implementing procedures

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 that should may have been in place but I'm
- 3 really glad we are moving forward and it will
- 4 be --
- 5 MR. SCHOEN: Since this
- 6 administration got here we were looking at the
- 7 importance of these payments and how they were
- 8 made and looking at those enhanced controls
- 9 that we started to put in place in January of
- 10 '18 and progressed to where we are now.
- 11 Starting with verifying the TIN numbers, which
- 12 was never done. Asking for the cancelled
- 13 check or the bank note. As Legislator Kopel
- 14 pointed out is a common thing to do. We were
- 15 even doing that. We centralized in our
- 16 office. So the departments aren't verifying
- 17 vendor information in different ways. We're
- 18 verifying it all in our office. We are
- 19 allowing the vendors to submit the information
- 20 directly to our office and doing the review in
- 21 our office. We've taken ownership of it and
- 22 we continue to enhance the controls as
- 23 electronic information gets more susceptible.
- 24 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Just to go
- 25 over one more time about Open Checkbook. This

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 site is simply organizing information that had
- 3 already been available to the public on our
- 4 website but in a different format?
- 5 MR. SCHOEN: Yes. I would argue
- 6 that the public requires us to put out to them
- 7 in a transparent way.
- 8 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Is Open
- 9 Checkbook actually what other municipalities
- 10 use?
- 11 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Yes. There's a
- 12 variety of them. Also the Town of North
- 13 Hempstead has one. New York State. Around
- 14 the region and the country this is the
- 15 direction that governments are going in terms
- 16 of transparency.
- 17 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you
- 18 very much.
- 19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Good
- 20 afternoon. So, are you seeing any correlation
- 21 between municipalities having these breaches
- 22 and the efforts to make information more
- 23 transparent?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: No.
- 25 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: You're not

PRECISE COURT REPORTING (516) 747-9393 (718) 343-7227 (212) 581-2570

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 seeing any correlation between the two?
- 3 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I don't think
- 4 that we have seen.
- 5 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm hearing a
- 6 lot more often than I'd like that a school
- 7 district has been in some way targeted and
- 8 then we're hearing that not only did we have
- 9 one vendor but you said later on there was a
- 10 second vendor, there was an attempt. I was
- 11 just wondering, maybe this is a question for
- 12 law enforcement and not your office.
- 13 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I think perhaps.
- 14 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'll leave
- 15 that question alone then.
- 16 MR. SCHNIRMAN: But I would just
- 17 mention just locally we have seen attacks in
- 18 Rockville Centre, in terms of school
- 19 districts, Rockville Centre, Lynbrook, Mineola
- 20 on the school district side.
- 21 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Yes, I'm
- 22 aware. That's what led me to ask the
- 23 question.
- So, you mentioned that you would
- 25 manually now send an email to each entity

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 which you have said is somewhere around 30
- 3 entities per week, which is about 1500
- 4 entities, vendors per year that are making
- 5 changes to their account. So you're going to
- 6 make the change using your newly established
- 7 verification procedures. Then you're going to
- 8 do what? Manually send them an email to say
- 9 we've made this change to your account. If
- 10 you have not requested this change please
- 11 notify us?
- 12 MR. SCHOEN: Right. That's the
- only way to do it now. The financial system
- 14 cannot handle the email. There may be ways to
- 15 automate it but right now we are doing it
- 16 manually. The individual who makes the change
- 17 who is already verified by phone or email by
- 18 somebody else at the vendor that they are in
- 19 fact making the change will then send an email
- 20 as sort of a final precaution to say hey, we
- 21 made this change. If you didn't authorize it
- 22 let us know.
- 23 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Because a
- 24 member of senior staff suggested then it made
- 25 me think about how I'm communicated with by my

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 bank and by others that I receive a wire. US
- 3 Department of Housing when they send the wire
- 4 they just don't rely on me waiting to get
- 5 notification from my bank. They also send me
- 6 a separate email that says we wired you XYZ
- 7 dollar amounts for this purpose, for this
- 8 program area and it's broken down. Then
- 9 there's a grand total as to what should have
- 10 been wired into my account.
- 11 MR. SCHOEN: That would be very
- 12 easy to do if we had a modern financial
- 13 system. We can certainly look into a process
- 14 to do something like that with our current
- 15 limitations.
- 16 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: To notify a
- 17 vendor that a payment had been made.
- 18 MR. SCHOEN: Right.
- 19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So you're
- 20 saying right now there's no way to do that?
- 21 MR. SCHOEN: We could look into
- 22 the possibility of doing it. But I could tell
- 23 you for sure that it could be done when we
- 24 modernize our financial system.
- 25 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Where are we

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 in modernizing our system?
- 3 MR. SCHNIRMAN: So, first of all,
- 4 thank you to the legislature on a bipartisan
- 5 basis of appropriating funds to move that
- 6 process forward. The HR system is looking
- 7 forward to come online in the near future and
- 8 we are in the preimplementation phase with the
- 9 overall ERP system.
- 10 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Can you be
- 11 more specific?
- MR. SCHOEN: We are currently in
- 13 the process of reviewing proposals from a
- 14 vendor who will provide preimplementation
- 15 services helping us to determine what the
- 16 exact services need to be provided for a new
- 17 system. What the system should look like and
- 18 what the RFP for the new system should look
- 19 like. We are looking for a vendor to assist
- 20 us in the preimplementation phase.
- 21 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: We will
- 22 partner with law enforcement as well to make
- 23 sure that the system is going to have all of
- 24 the different capabilities to reduce our
- 25 risk?

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHOEN: As part of why we
- 3 are looking for a preimplementation vendor is
- 4 to help us develop the controls against cyber
- 5 attacks for whatever new system.
- 6 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So we don't
- 7 think law enforcement is a natural partner in
- 8 helping us look at that process either?
- 9 MR. SCHOEN: They could be.
- 10 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I think they
- 11 should be. They're the ones facing this on a
- 12 daily basis and they're communicating with
- 13 their colleagues across the country.
- 14 MR. SCHNIRMAN: As we said
- 15 earlier, when it comes to cyber security we're
- 16 looking to broaden the partnerships and have
- 17 that kind of dialogue.
- 18 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So, there are
- 19 a lot of payments to go out. I was also on
- 20 Open Checkbook at the same time my colleague
- 21 Legislator McKevitt was on. When I look at
- 22 the volume that goes out there's a lot.
- 23 There's a lot of payments. So, I didn't have
- 24 enough time to peruse it but I would say any
- 25 vendor that's get getting multiple payments at

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 a sum of \$710,000 in a one-month period we
- 3 should be hypervigilant in making sure that we
- 4 are looking at any changes to those types of
- 5 accounts. I'm not saying that -- any tax
- 6 dollar that is not appropriately protected
- 7 would be a shame on us. But I would think
- 8 those that are going out at higher volumes and
- 9 with higher risk we should be definitely
- 10 looking at those a lot closer.
- 11 MR. SCHOEN: Absolutely. That's
- 12 one of the additional levels of control that
- 13 the vendors with higher payments if they're
- 14 making any changes to their accounts need to
- 15 be reviewed at a higher level within the
- 16 Claims Division.
- 17 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Very good
- 18 thank you for sharing that. Thank you.
- 19 .
- 20 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Comptroller,
- 21 again, thank you very much for your
- 22 presentation and thank you to your team. Just
- 23 also if we can send out an email or any
- 24 notification to our vendors where there's a
- lot of transactions and asking them also to be

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 part of the process. Asking them that if
- 3 taxpayer ID on their part was somehow leaked
- 4 to please notify us of that as well. Because
- 5 that's something that was not under your
- 6 control. How this perpetrator was able to
- 7 secure and get that taxpayer ID information.
- 8 That's my only suggestion here and I thank you
- 9 for your presentation.
- 10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Before I turn
- 11 it over to the noncommittee members just
- 12 before that I have to say I'm sorry, I
- 13 apologize in advance but I'm disappointed that
- 14 you chose to put this into a press conference
- 15 and the comptroller's office took credit for
- 16 stopping a fraud when the information that
- 17 we've heard here today was nothing but pure
- 18 dumb luck that we got this done. If the money
- 19 would have gone into the hands of a more
- 20 competent fraudster this money would have been
- 21 gone and indeed it might be still continuing
- 22 today if not for that.
- We are going to really want to very
- 24 closely see the new procedures and make a
- 25 determination in this committee as to whether

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 those are sufficient and as to whether we
- 3 ought to recommend some further action.
- With that, I'm going to turn it
- 5 over to Legislator Ford.
- 6 LEGISLATOR FORD: Good afternoon
- 7 and thank you very much Chairman Kopel for
- 8 giving me this opportunity to also ask
- 9 questions. And I just want to start out by
- 10 saying Legislator Muscarella did bring up a
- 11 good point. As we have seen with your office
- 12 that you have audited many departments and
- 13 bringing out their inadequacies in regards to
- 14 their safequarding and, you know, possible
- 15 leaks or any faults that may lie with them
- 16 especially dealing with any of the money that
- 17 we have. Any money that is within Nassau
- 18 County.
- 19 It seems that according to your
- 20 testimony here that you brought up the fact
- 21 that these phishing attempts and these cyber
- 22 attack attempts, and I guess I'm like
- 23 Legislator Muscarella and everyone else, I'm
- 24 not really very proficient with software and
- 25 IT and everything. But it seems that these

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 attacks can happen and they have been
- 3 happening. So much so -- and correct me if
- 4 I'm wrong on this -- that you had indicated in
- 5 April of '19, June '19 you brought I guess the
- 6 people in your department in for training in
- 7 regard to these type of IT safeguards or
- 8 whatever else can be done because of the
- 9 possibility of any type of attacks or any type
- 10 of fraudulent activity that can occur, am I
- 11 correct?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: What we had said
- is in April and June of '19 cyber security was
- 14 something that we brought up with our
- independent audit advisory committee and it's
- 16 something that we are working on in our
- 17 office. Incidently, both the Majority and the
- 18 Minority in the legislature are represented on
- 19 the committee. We had discussion with our
- 20 independent auditors at that time about best
- 21 practices and ways to protect the county going
- 22 forward.
- 23 LEGISLATOR FORD: These
- 24 independent auditors did the discuss then at
- 25 that point that we can all of a sudden you

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 could have somebody who may try to scam money
- 3 out of the county? Did they talk about that
- 4 then? Because it seems, according to you,
- 5 that we're all aware. I think everybody we're
- 6 all aware. Even on our personal accounts we
- 7 all know that anybody can access -- I have to
- 8 be very careful with my passwords. I have to
- 9 be concerned about whether or not somebody's
- 10 going to steal a check or whatever. To take
- 11 all these safeguards. People can sign up with
- 12 Lifelock or anything to protect their identity
- and also to protect any type of money that has
- 14 been fraudulently taken from them. But that's
- 15 my own thing.
- You as a comptroller, you're
- 17 responsible for the safety of the county's
- 18 money. I just want to know when you had this
- in April and June when you had these
- 20 discussions knowing full well that is
- 21 something that can happen. And as we know now
- 22 it did happen. And thankfully the bank caught
- 23 it. What did you indicate to the people that
- 24 worked for you to be aware of the fact that
- 25 something like this can happen?

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHNIRMAN: As we said
- 3 earlier, we increase the controls immediately
- 4 in 2018. We continue to increase them in
- 5 2019. It's something that we've been working
- 6 on throughout 2019. And now we have increased
- 7 them going forward as well and we have plans
- 8 to continue to do so going forward.
- 9 LEGISLATOR FORD: When we look at
- 10 this, when we look at this type of fraud that
- 11 happened, how many people basically in your
- 12 department were involved in this that were
- 13 able to allow this account to get paid without
- 14 anybody really checking? And did you ask --,
- 15 you know, when I know that sometimes with
- 16 contracts and any other type of submissions by
- 17 anybody for payment for vouchers it goes
- 18 through ten points of like checks. Did you
- 19 ask to see whether or not those changes should
- 20 have gone through other departments as well to
- 21 see whether or not this was indeed a valid
- 22 change?
- MR. MARQUES: Let me start by
- 24 just explaining how the changes operate as a
- 25 function of administration. The comptroller's

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 claims decision we have two separate
- 3 sections. One that handles the auditing of
- 4 invoices. And one that handles the vendor
- 5 information. So I think that clears up a lot
- of misconception about how payments and the
- 7 vendor information are intermingled. There is
- 8 a wall between the two.
- 9 The vendor information, the way it
- 10 operates is a purely manual system. Someone
- 11 is entering this information into a computer.
- 12 That one individual, now we have two
- 13 individuals processing this information. Also
- 14 share other duties. And like the comptroller
- 15 said, we process about 1500 changes. Some
- 16 vendors have more than 30 different payment
- 17 accounts. They're rather large. I would say
- 18 the controls have changed. The last two years
- 19 the controls have gotten much better than they
- 20 were before.
- 21 I will say that more vendors
- 22 contact our office about legitimate payments
- 23 that they don't know what they're for than
- 24 asking if a payment went to a wrong account.
- 25 So, with that, I'm pretty confident that the

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 controls we did have in place were good and
- 3 now they're better given the limited system
- 4 that we have to work with. Basically fighting
- 5 a war with sticks and rocks.
- 6 LEGISLATOR FORD: So then when
- 7 you talk about -- you're saying that there's
- 8 actually two separate departments. So in
- 9 order for this vendor to have submitted this
- 10 check -- so the person who accepts the invoice
- 11 and clears the invoice or claims voucher,
- 12 there's a wall between that person and the
- 13 person actually making the payment?
- 14 MR. SCHOEN: For each claim
- 15 there's not a new claim -- payment
- 16 information. A vendor is set up with a
- 17 profile so that they can get paid. That
- 18 profile includes their various payment
- 19 information. Their bank account information
- 20 for ACH. If they want to get a check where
- 21 that check was to go to. The payments are
- 22 made based upon the vendor profile. The
- 23 payments get approved by the comptroller, go
- 24 to the treasurer to pay. The treasurer pays
- 25 based upon that vendor profile in the vendor

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 system. The vendor profile is entered in this
- 3 manual process that the fiscal officer
- 4 explained. Is entered by the hard workers of
- 5 the claim division into the financial system.
- 6 LEGISLATOR FORD: So with the
- 7 vendor profile -- then I'm a vendor. I say I
- 8 want -- this is my account. This is who I am
- 9 and whatever claims I have you're going to
- 10 send to my account at TD Bank. Here I am.
- 11 That's what you do.
- 12 Then all of a sudden I decide or
- 13 somebody decides that it's going to be Denise
- 14 Ford. But I said, you know, not so much TD
- 15 Bank anymore. I want it to go to Chase. So
- 16 nobody checks to make sure that, like, why all
- of a sudden she's changing her bank? And
- 18 knowing full well that we can be subjected to
- 19 fraudulent activity on the part of anybody
- 20 just to get some money out of us.
- 21 MR. SCHOEN: The vendor is
- 22 required to submit the updated form. That
- 23 form, with all of the controls that we put in
- 24 place since January '18 and the additional
- 25 controls subsequent to this incident,

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 including the phone calls, the dual
- 3 verifications and now or in the near future
- 4 with the form being submitted to the vendor
- 5 portal.
- 6 LEGISLATOR FORD: So then who did
- 7 you call in regard to this bank? If you're
- 8 saying that you had all these controls in
- 9 place, that ever since 2018 you had these
- 10 controls in place -- no, I'm listening. I
- 11 hear what you're saying. You said that you
- 12 have all this because if somebody is going to
- 13 change a bank you're going to do your due
- 14 diligence because you're handling everything
- 15 manually. Then all of a sudden now somebody
- 16 decides to change their bank. Who did you
- 17 call to verify that that information was
- 18 correct?
- 19 MR. SCHOEN: The control in place
- 20 at the time, the major control in place at the
- 21 time was the submittal of the void check. The
- 22 phisher mimicked that check. That's why we
- 23 put the additional controls on where one
- 24 person from the vendor is asking for the
- 25 change and then we're going to be contacting

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 another person at the vendor, preferably the
- 3 contact on the contract, to verify that they
- 4 are in fact making the change and verifying
- 5 all the banking information with that
- 6 individual.
- 7 LEGISLATOR FORD: So who did you
- 8 call?
- 9 MR. SCHOEN: The control at the
- 10 time was the void check. We have now the
- 11 additional control of a phone call.
- 12 LEGISLATOR FORD: You said before
- 13 that since 2018 you had these checks and
- 14 balances in place.
- 15 MR. SCHOEN: No. I said we've
- 16 been developing them since 2018. We had
- 17 initial ones we put in place, the void check,
- 18 checking the TIN and we've layered on the
- 19 additional controls and, post the incident, we
- 20 layered on the additional controls of the
- 21 phone calls and the additional verifications.
- 22 LEGISLATOR FORD: So what you're
- 23 testifying today about is everything that
- 24 you've changed since this scam happened but we
- 25 want to know what did you do beforehand? I do

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 have a problem with this.
- 3 MR. SCHOEN: Before we went from
- 4 not verifying TIN numbers. Not asking for a
- 5 cancelled check to verify the bank account
- 6 number. We weren't doing any of that. We had
- 7 decentralization where each department was
- 8 verifying the information independently. So
- 9 we centralized all that in our department.
- 10 That was the developing of additional controls
- 11 since January 2018.
- 12 LEGISLATOR FORD: You didn't go
- 13 far enough. That's really what I'm looking
- 14 at. If this could happen and if you're
- 15 talking about trying to get an updated new
- 16 security system. We're talking about hundreds
- of thousands, millions of dollars, millions of
- 18 dollars of taxpayer money.
- Now you reference that prior to
- 20 2018 it really was whatever. So do we know
- 21 offhand, or maybe when we can go into
- 22 executive session we can ask the police, how
- 23 many scams occurred against the comptroller's
- office prior to January 2018 and how many
- 25 fraudulent payments? When you talked about

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 like when the vendors call up and they say
- 3 that now they can question whether or not it's
- 4 a legitimate payment, what did you mean by
- 5 that?
- 6 MR. MARQUES: We do get a number
- 7 of inquiries into our office by vendors who
- 8 have submitted claims for work performance or
- 9 goods provided that do get paid on a timely
- 10 basis.
- 11 MR. SCHOEN: It's a restriction
- 12 of the financial system. So if you get paid
- 13 by a check, depending on your banking
- institution, there may be a note on that check
- 15 that tells you exactly what invoice is being
- 16 paid or what isn't being paid. But there's a
- 17 restriction -- our current financials, our
- 18 antiquated financials system cannot in the ACH
- 19 payments, electronic payments, sort of tell
- 20 the vendor exactly why they're getting that
- 21 payment. The vendor needs to work with their
- 22 own banking institution or inquire directly to
- 23 us as to what that payment was for. That's
- 24 what Gabe was referring to was they often call
- 25 up and say I got this check from you guys, I

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 got this payment from you guys, what was that
- 3 for? Could you correlate that back to the
- 4 invoices that were in fact approved on the
- 5 claim?
- 6 LEGISLATOR FORD: Is that still
- 7 happening today?
- 8 MR. SCHOEN: It's a limitation in
- 9 our financial system, yes.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FORD: So you're
- 11 making no effort at this point so that like --
- 12 because then you can make a payment to
- 13 somebody's account and if the person isn't
- 14 going to go honest enough to say, you know --
- if I got money in my account, like there are
- 16 people that they get money in their account
- 17 and they say wow, look at this look. It's
- 18 like Christmas in July and I can spend this
- 19 money. How do you know that some of the
- 20 payments you made were not made incorrectly?
- 21 MR. SCHOEN: The claims
- 22 themselves -- there are no fraudulent claims
- 23 here. All the claims themselves are vetted
- and approved.
- 25 LEGISLATOR FORD: There was a

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 fraudulent claim. A couple of them.
- MR. SCHOEN: No, there was not a
- 4 fraudulent claim. The claims that were
- 5 approved were all valid claims. The payments
- 6 that went out the door were all valid claims.
- 7 It was the banking information that was
- 8 payments went to that was fraudulent. There
- 9 was no fraudulent claims.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FORD: So you sent
- 11 \$710,000 to an incorrect bank account, okay,
- 12 fraud, correct? So, how do you know right now
- when you make these payments to these bank
- 14 accounts, okay, that it is an actual payment
- 15 that this vendor was supposed to be paid?
- 16 MR. SCHOEN: We have the new
- 17 controls in place and we reviewed all --
- 18 LEGISLATOR FORD: But you said
- 19 there's limitations. You have no way of
- 20 telling them what invoice it's for, right?
- 21 You just deposit into their accounts. So
- 22 people call up and they say hey, I got this
- 23 \$600,000 payment from you. Can you tell me
- 24 what it's for?
- 25 MR. SCHOEN: Before the payment

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 goes out the door we know exactly what the
- 3 payment is for. We looked at all the backups
- 4 in every invoice, all the backups before we
- 5 make that payment and approve that payment.
- 6 We know what the payment was for.
- 7 LEGISLATOR FORD: Like you did
- 8 the \$710,000?
- 9 MR. SCHOEN: Correct.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FORD: So you made a
- 11 mistake there and you could make another
- 12 mistake. I'm just saying now as you're moving
- 13 forward.
- MR. SCHOEN: That's why we have
- 15 the additional controls in place.
- 16 LEGISLATOR FORD: What I'm saying
- 17 though is, that I think that you really should
- 18 firm that up so when you make these payments
- into people's accounts that there is a reason
- 20 and that you know clearly and they know
- 21 exactly what invoice to attribute that payment
- 22 to. So they don't call you up and say hey,
- 23 you sent me some money. What are you paying
- 24 me for? Because you can then very well send
- 25 it to some account and the people may say --

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 it might be a small mom and pop. Maybe the
- 3 person is ready to leave Nassau County and now
- 4 they're going to move to somewhere else, and
- 5 all of a sudden they got a windfall of like
- 6 \$100,000 and you don't know that you paid them
- 7 incorrectly and they're all of a sudden out
- 8 the door. They got their plane tickets and
- 9 they're gone. And you won't have the luck
- 10 that you had with this bank, where ever it
- 11 was, that told you that this account was an
- 12 invalid account. And that thankfully we were
- 13 able to recoup the money and I thank the
- 14 Nassau County Police Department for their due
- 15 diligence.
- I see Comptroller that there are
- 17 people who took credit for saving us from this
- 18 debacle in regard to the \$710,000. My
- 19 ultimate question then would be in something
- 20 like this, because it was a big breach and
- 21 it's very unsettling for all of us, who's
- 22 taking the blame?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: I would say very
- 24 simply I don't think there's any credit to go
- 25 around here. This was an attack, right? A

```
1 Finance 1-22-18
```

- 2 phishing attack on the county. This is
- 3 something that we were targeted as a county.
- 4 Others are being targeted the same.
- 5 From my perspective it's not a
- 6 question of credit or blame. It's a question
- 7 of continually improving our controls with the
- 8 humility, as I mentioned earlier, of
- 9 understanding that as we continue to improve
- 10 them the scammers will continue to be more
- 11 sophisticated. So this is an ongoing
- 12 challenge for not just Nassau County but for
- 13 municipalities all over the country.
- 14 LEGISLATOR FORD: You said though
- 15 that you're also doing -- you had recent
- 16 instructions or training for -- was this once
- 17 again just with your cyber committee or was
- 18 this actually with the employees to go over
- 19 safeguards and what they can do? Because
- 20 obviously we don't have this new system in
- 21 place so that everybody has to be on their due
- 22 diligence. Have you gone through with all of
- 23 your employers training to let them understand
- 24 what they need to do know to make sure that
- 25 this does not happen again?

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHNIRMAN: As we said
- 3 earlier, we have training within the
- 4 comptroller's office and then there's been a
- 5 broader training through the entire county by
- 6 IT.
- 7 LEGISLATOR FORD: What has your
- 8 office done to train your people?
- 9 MR. SCHNIRMAN: As we said, we
- 10 did a training within the comptroller's
- 11 office.
- 12 You can speak to the details there.
- MR. MARQUES: Yes, me, as fiscal
- 14 officer, it is my responsibility over the
- 15 Claims Division. We do on a periodic basis,
- 16 I'd say every few weeks, discuss items like
- 17 this in the news. For example, back in March
- 18 where Google and Facebook were victims of this
- 19 similar scam and lost about \$125 million.
- 20 Also Diesel Jeans another example case study.
- 21 Mattel. All these companies have also been
- 22 victims and we talk about that as a group.
- We also look at opportunities to
- 24 improve the system. We talk to each
- 25 individual involved in the process and see

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 what they need, what tools they need, what
- 3 training they need to be better at what they
- 4 do. As the comptroller said, it's always
- 5 evolving and we always have to continue
- 6 training. It doesn't matter how much training
- 7 we need in the past we're going to continue to
- 8 do as much training as we need in the future.
- 9 LEGISLATOR FORD: I think it
- 10 better be done today because I think that this
- 11 is -- it was good news to recover this money.
- 12 I think it's bad news all around that even
- 13 happened. Thank you.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We will try
- 15 to make it quick for your benefit,
- 16 Legislator Ferretti.
- 17 Comptroller Schnirman, I have a
- 18 couple of concerns about the lack of
- 19 notification, particularly to the legislature,
- 20 that this incident even occurred. I happened
- 21 to find out through your press conference
- 22 almost three months after this incident
- 23 apparently took place in October and your
- 24 press conference I believe was on January
- 25 10th. Obviously, as the institution that

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 actually funds these accounts and as an
- 3 institution that's charged with the oversight
- 4 of county government, including the
- 5 comptroller's office, I must admit I'm
- 6 concerned.
- 7 You indicated that at some point in
- 8 time you notified the county executive or at
- 9 least the county executive's office. At some
- 10 point in time you notified someone within the
- 11 treasurer's office. At no point in time did
- 12 you notify anyone at the legislature.
- So can you please just walk me
- 14 through the time frame, the time line of who
- 15 knew what, when with regard to this incident
- 16 and why it was that you chose to keep the
- 17 information quiet for whatever time you did.
- 18 MR. SCHNIRMAN: So, as I
- 19 mentioned earlier, we held a press conference
- 20 with Commissioner Ryder. We were asked to not
- 21 disclose information until that time as it has
- 22 and still is an active investigation. As I
- 23 had mentioned earlier, there were three to
- 24 four other municipalities that were also
- 25 targeted. We needed to recover the money

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 before the scammers could be alerted to that
- 3 and move the money themselves. So, from that
- 4 perspective, we had to wait to disclose to the
- 5 public until that time. I will mention --
- 6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I apologize
- 7 for interrupting. I'm not suggesting that you
- 8 needed to notify the public. What I'm
- 9 suggesting is that you need to notify your
- 10 other partners in government that there's a
- 11 situation.
- 12 MR. SCHNIRMAN: We were
- immediately in touch with the police
- 14 department.
- 15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Did the
- 16 police department tell you not to notify the
- 17 legislature?
- 18 MR. SCHNIRMAN: The police
- 19 department asked us to not do notification and
- 20 I will just leave it there.
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: To whom? To
- 22 anyone?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: We were asked not
- 24 to speak about the incident.
- 25 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If I were to

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 ask the police department, a representative
- 3 from the police department, whether the
- 4 instructions to the comptroller's office
- 5 included not notifying the county
- 6 legislature?
- 7 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I will leave it
- 8 there for now.
- 9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Who within
- 10 the police department gave you the
- 11 notification?
- 12 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Excuse me. Can
- 13 you repeat the question.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: You indicated
- 15 that someone within the Nassau County Police
- 16 Department gave you the instruction not to
- 17 notify the county legislature. Who was it?
- 18 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Our conversations
- 19 with the police department were obviously
- 20 between myself and the commissioner and deputy
- 21 comptroller spoke to the team as well.
- 22 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So it's
- 23 your --
- MR. SCHOEN: There were concerns
- 25 to protect the integrity and the investigation

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 as to not disclose the information to as
- 3 little amount of individuals as possible
- 4 because the money moves fast and because there
- 5 was a need to recover the money before it went
- 6 anywhere else. That's what was conveyed to
- 7 us.
- 8 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Was a
- 9 specific instruction given to you by the
- 10 Nassau County Police Department not to notify
- 11 the county legislature of this incident?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: I don't know that
- 13 the legislature was discussed as part of that
- 14 operational -- in terms of operations.
- 15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Who did the
- 16 police department tell you was important
- 17 enough for you to be able to inform?
- 18 MR. SCHOEN: I think you can talk
- 19 to them about their investigative techniques
- 20 but I believe it's --
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I'm not
- 22 asking about techniques. You're telling me
- 23 that you received specific instructions from
- 24 the Nassau County Police Department not to
- 25 disclose information. I understand not to

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 disclose information publicly. I'm asking you
- 3 what specific instructions did you receive not
- 4 to disclose information to your other partners
- 5 in government? Not publicly. If you want to
- 6 talk to us now in executive session. Same
- 7 conversation could have been had in executive
- 8 session. Same conversation could have been
- 9 had in private.
- 10 I want to know did you receive a
- 11 specific instruction whether and when you were
- 12 permitted to notify the county executive,
- whether and when you were permitted to notify
- 14 the county treasurer and whether and when you
- 15 were permitted to notify the county
- 16 legislature and who gave you that
- 17 instruction?
- 18 MR. SCHOEN: There were no
- 19 specific instructions. There was a general
- 20 instruction to limit the amount of individuals
- 21 who are aware of the information so that we
- 22 can catch the perpetrator.
- 23 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So it was not
- 24 the Nassau County Police Department that gave
- 25 you that instruction, it was the comptroller's

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 office that made the decision that you
- 3 couldn't notified the county legislature?
- 4 MR. SCHOEN: As the comptroller
- 5 said, the county legislature did not
- 6 specifically enter into that conversation.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: When was the
- 8 county executive's office notified?
- 9 MR. SCHOEN: As soon as we were
- 10 informed of the fraudulent account and
- 11 identified the additional payments.
- 12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: That would
- 13 have been once you got a telephone call from
- 14 the bank?
- MR. SCHOEN: Once we were
- 16 notified from the bank.
- 17 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: That
- 18 notification came through a telephone call,
- 19 didn't it?
- 20 MR. SCHOEN: It came from an
- 21 electronic communication.
- 22 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Email?
- MR. SCHOEN: Yes.
- 24 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: You received
- 25 the email. County executive's office got

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 notified right away. Who within the county
- 3 executive's office did you notify?
- 4 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I think we are
- 5 not going to speak to the details of who talk
- 6 to who when and how and what.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Why?
- 8 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Outside of
- 9 executive session we're not going to get into
- 10 the details of how the incident was handled in
- 11 that sense.
- 12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: How can
- 13 disclosure of that information jeopardize any
- 14 investigation?
- 15 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: At this
- 16 moment I would like to make a motion for this
- 17 to be in executive session. Clearly a skilled
- 18 defense attorney for these perpetrators can
- 19 use this information and that would affect an
- 20 investigation. At this moment I would like to
- 21 make a motion to go into executive session.
- 22 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I don't think
- 23 we're worried about protecting the defendant
- or the perpetrator over here. I don't think
- 25 that's what the questions were directed to.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 Mr. Rhoads.
- 3 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Clearly this
- 4 relates to senior staff performance and it
- 5 also relates to attorney consultation. For
- 6 those reasons, I'm making a request for
- 7 executive session.
- 8 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Okay. We have
- 9 a motion. We have a second?
- 10 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I can move on
- 11 with other questions.
- 12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Why don't we
- do that and you'll make your motion again. Or
- 14 somebody will make a motion again and then we
- 15 will do it.
- 16 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Who was
- 17 notified and when is in executive session.
- I just want to clarify what, and a
- 19 couple of my colleagues here have gone into
- 20 it, the Newsday article from your press
- 21 conference indicates, quote, Nassau County
- 22 Comptroller Jack Schnirman said controls in
- 23 place at the comptroller's office immediately
- 24 identified the fraudulent activity leading the
- 25 funds to be frozen. He said the additional

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 security controls had been added -- that
- 3 additional security controls had been added
- 4 since the attack.
- 5 That isn't accurate as we now know,
- 6 correct?
- 7 MR. SCHOEN: Once we were
- 8 notified by the bank that there were monies
- 9 going into the fraudulent account we were able
- 10 to immediately identify the \$710,000 and we
- 11 immediately took action and started the
- 12 investigation.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But the
- 14 suggestion that there was some sort of
- internal control in place at the comptroller's
- 16 office that actually discovered this would be
- 17 inaccurate.
- 18 MR. SCHOEN: We were able to
- 19 identify the \$710,000 as effectively and as
- 20 fast as we were based on the records we
- 21 maintained in our office. Part of our
- 22 controls are to have those records so we can
- 23 get the money back as quickly as possible.
- 24 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Were it not
- 25 for the fact that somebody at the bank, not an

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 employee of Nassau County, not an employee of
- 3 the comptroller's office, were it not for
- 4 somebody on the ball at the bank who picked up
- 5 on this you would have had absolutely no idea
- 6 that this even happened perhaps until the
- 7 vendor called up and said where's my check.
- 8 Is that accurate?
- 9 MR. SCHOEN: It's perspective,
- 10 yes.
- 11 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I will just
- 12 answer. As the deputy comptroller had said
- 13 earlier, that is part of our controls.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Part of your
- 15 controls is relying on some third party happen
- 16 to catch it? That's what your control is?
- 17 MR. SCHNIRMAN: That's your
- 18 characterization of it. However --
- 19 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But that's
- 20 what happened, right?
- 21 MR. SCHNIRMAN: A major piece
- 22 obviously of anyone's controls is working with
- 23 the financial institutions.
- 24 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Just out of
- 25 curiosity, since that's apparently one of our

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 controls, according to Open Checkbook -- and
- 3 this is by the way isn't something that
- 4 happened just once, right? I think we've
- 5 established in the testimony today that there
- 6 were multiple submissions adding up to the
- 7 \$710,000; is that correct?
- 8 MR. SCHOEN: No. Again, it's one
- 9 vendor's profile that got phished and
- 10 modified.
- 11 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But there
- 12 were multiple --
- MR. SCHOEN: -- payments that
- 14 went to that vendor profile, yes.
- 15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Multiple
- 16 payments that --
- 17 MR. SCHOEN: All legitimate
- 18 claims. No fraudulent claims. All the claims
- 19 were legitimate claims reviewed and approved
- 20 by the comptroller's office.
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: How many
- 22 payments went to the wrong destination?
- MR. SCHOEN: There were multiple
- 24 payments comprising the \$710,000.
- 25 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: How many

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 payments went through before the bank caught
- 3 this?
- 4 MR. SCHOEN: That's the level of
- 5 detail we would like to give you in executive
- 6 session.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I'm just
- 8 curious at how many things got past us before
- 9 somebody outside the county picked this up?
- 10 MR. SCHOEN: There were multiple
- 11 payments. Not many but multiple. All within
- 12 a less than 30 day period.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Was it more
- 14 or less than five?
- 15 MR. SCHOEN: Less than ten.
- 16 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The updated
- 17 controls that you put in place, you're telling
- 18 us you can't discuss those updated controls
- 19 unless we're in executive session?
- 20 MR. SCHOEN: We discussed a
- 21 number of them.
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: We went into
- 23 quite a bit of detail on them already.
- 24 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: On certain of
- 25 them. Is that an exhaustive list of the

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 updated controls that you put in place?
- 3 MR. SCHOEN: I don't recall all
- 4 of them that were mentioned during the
- 5 proceeding today but it's pretty exhaustive I
- 6 would say or nearly there.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We've
- 8 discussed Open Checkbook and the information
- 9 that is part of some of these controls you're
- 10 now requiring. In this particular instance
- 11 you indicated that the scammer completed the
- 12 form with the correct tax identification
- 13 number, the correct vendor name, the correct
- 14 vendor contact name, the correct vendor
- 15 address and the correct vendor phone number,
- 16 right?
- 17 MR. SCHOEN: And submitted the
- 18 modified fraudulent check.
- 19 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: And a copy of
- 20 the check. The information that's contained
- 21 here as you're check against that is
- 22 information that can be publicly obtained
- 23 probably within about 20 minutes. What other
- 24 controls are you putting in place or checks
- 25 are you putting in place to safeguard against

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 this?
- MR. SCHOEN: As discussed, we do
- 4 the dual check. With this one individual or
- 5 an individual at the vendor who will request
- 6 the change. And then our department will
- 7 reach out to a separate individual within the
- 8 vendor, at the vendor, preferably the contact
- 9 person on the contract, to verify those
- 10 changes are being made and verify all of the
- 11 information on the form.
- 12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If it was
- 13 simple enough for this particular fraudster, I
- 14 quess we're calling him, to be able to game
- 15 the system, how do you have any confidence
- 16 that the 120,738 checks that were issued to
- vendors that the same thing didn't happen
- 18 multiple times that the bank didn't happen to
- 19 tell us about?
- 20 MR. SCHOEN: Or the vendor not
- 21 telling us they didn't receive payment,
- 22 right?
- 23 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What
- 24 safeguards do we have that that hasn't
- 25 occurred?

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I think we have
- 3 been over this.
- 4 MR. SCHOEN: We have the
- 5 additional controls we put in place. We
- 6 reviewed all of the forms that were submitted
- 7 for a six month period prior to the incident
- 8 and verified all that information was accurate
- 9 for all the active vendors.
- 10 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I quess we'll
- 11 get the rest of the answers in executive
- 12 session.
- 13 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mr. Ferretti.
- 14 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you
- 15 Chairman. Good afternoon gentlemen. I want
- 16 to get some dates down. Number one, what date
- were you first notified of this incident?
- 18 MR. SCHOEN: As indicated, we
- 19 will give specifics like that in executive
- 20 session.
- 21 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I was just
- 22 asking what date they were notified of the
- 23 incident.
- 24 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Why don't you
- 25 do this? Have you been making notes of these

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 questions, of these important questions that
- 3 you didn't want to answer? Yes?
- 4 MR. SCHOEN: Not didn't want to
- 5 answer but thought it was necessary to answer
- 6 in executive session, yes.
- 7 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Understood.
- 8 I'm sorry. Forgive me. Correct. You are
- 9 absolutely correct. You're making notes. Do
- 10 we have your undertaking to supply those
- 11 answers in a confidential note to the
- 12 committee?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: Sure.
- 14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: When?
- 15 MR. SCHNIRMAN: As soon as we can
- 16 put them together.
- 17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: A day, a week,
- 18 a year?
- 19 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Within a week.
- 20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Fair enough.
- 21 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Can you
- 22 tell us if you first discovered this before or
- 23 after the budget was passed by this body? The
- 24 county budget.
- 25 MR. SCHOEN: A particular year?

PRECISE COURT REPORTING (516) 747-9393 (718) 343-7227 (212) 581-2570

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: The 2020
- 3 budget that we passed in October 2019.
- 4 MR. SCHOEN: I don't recall the
- 5 date that the budget was passed.
- 6 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: October 28,
- 7 2019.
- 8 MR. SCHOEN: The answer will be
- 9 in the memo that we provide to you.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Do you
- 11 recall informing Newsday, anyone from your
- 12 office, that you called the police about this
- issue on October 25, 2019? In a press
- 14 release?
- 15 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I believe the
- 16 police commissioner may have informed them of
- 17 that but that date sounds right.
- 18 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Right in
- 19 terms of what? When you called the police?
- 20 When somebody from your office called the
- 21 police?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: Correct.
- 23 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: We know you
- 24 contacted the county executive at some point
- 25 after discovering this, correct? County

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 executive's office?
- 3 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Correct.
- 4 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Was that
- 5 before or after you contacted the police?
- 6 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I think basically
- 7 simultaneously but.
- 8 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: What does
- 9 that mean "simultaneously"? You had two
- 10 phones going?
- 11 MR. SCHNIRMAN: No. That's the
- 12 level of detail we're going to speak to you
- 13 today.
- 14 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Will you
- include that information in your memo to us?
- 16 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Sure.
- 17 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Can you
- 18 explain what a cyber attack is?
- 19 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I think we spoke
- 20 to it earlier as we began. That there are
- 21 multiple kinds of cyber attacks and we were
- 22 clear about in this instance that this was a
- 23 phishing attack rather than an overall attack
- 24 on the county's IT infrastructure.
- 25 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So then you

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 would say a phishing attack is a type of cyber
- 3 attack, would that be fair?
- 4 MR. SCHNIRMAN: We've mentioned
- 5 that several times, yes.
- 6 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I
- 7 understand. I might ask some questions that
- 8 you've already answered. I apologize for
- 9 that. It's been a couple of hours.
- 10 My understanding of what a phishing
- 11 expedition is is a fraudulent email or
- 12 communication that draws information from the
- 13 municipality in this case. Would you agree
- 14 with that?
- MR. SCHOEN: That's fundamentally
- 16 what happened here. There was a fraudulent
- 17 email, the mimicked email that drew the
- 18 information from the municipality.
- 19 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: What
- 20 information did it draw? You didn't give them
- 21 a credit card number. You didn't give a
- 22 social security number. What information did
- 23 it draw?
- MR. MARQUES: Essentially they
- 25 requested and received a vendor information

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 form which is publicly available on our
- 3 website.
- 4 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Okay. So
- 5 then in what way is this a phishing
- 6 expedition?
- 7 MR. SCHOEN: The form was
- 8 completed and submitted using the phisher's
- 9 mimicked email account.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Right. But
- 11 they got information from you that's public
- 12 information, correct?
- MR. SCHOEN: Somewhat semantics.
- 14 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I don't
- 15 think it is. You had a press conference on
- 16 January 11th indicating that somehow you
- 17 uncovered a phishing expedition and cyber
- 18 attack. And I'm trying to determine if there
- 19 really was even a phishing expedition at all
- 20 or whether you just sent money to the wrong
- 21 bank account.
- 22 MR. SCHOEN: The information was
- 23 submitted using the mimicked email account.
- 24 The initial information from that mimicked
- 25 email account was sufficient. They asked the

```
1 Finance 1-22-18
```

- 2 fiscal officer to send the information, the
- 3 form, which was then completed and sent back
- 4 to us using that mimicked email account.
- 5 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: What's
- 6 asked? You indicated they asked the fiscal
- 7 officer. Was the initial email to the fiscal
- 8 officer?
- 9 MR. SCHOEN: The initial email
- 10 was to a general email account at the
- 11 comptroller's office which was forwarded to
- 12 the fiscal officer.
- 13 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Was that
- 14 email addressed to the fiscal officer?
- MR. SCHOEN: It was to a general
- 16 email account.
- 17 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I know
- 18 you're saying it was sent to a general email
- 19 but did it indicate --
- 20 MR. SCHOEN: That's a level of
- 21 detail that we will provide in our report.
- 22 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I can tell
- 23 you I have copy of it. So, what I can tell is
- 24 if my seven year old son got an email like
- 25 this he wouldn't have responded to it. It's

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 very hard to believe that your office did.
- 3 But can you tell me again -- I'm sorry. When
- 4 you received the email are there any dual
- 5 controls in place?
- 6 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I'm sorry.
- 7 Excuse me Legislator. You just said you had a
- 8 copy of which now?
- 9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mr. Ferretti,
- 10 you have a copy of that email?
- 11 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Yes.
- 12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Can you
- 13 circulate it?
- 14 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Sure.
- 15 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Can I ask how and
- 16 why you have a copy of that email?
- 17 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: It was
- 18 given to me a few minutes ago.
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: By whom?
- 20 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I get to
- 21 ask the questions Comptroller respectfully. I
- 22 will send you a memo when I get the
- 23 information.
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: I get to be
- 25 concerned about the security here and that's a

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 concern.
- 3 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Security of
- 4 an email that was sent to your general email
- 5 address?
- 6 MR. SCHNIRMAN: We're talking
- 7 about internal emails that are the subject of
- 8 an investigation. So that is a concerned if
- 9 they're being forwarded around, yes.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I think
- 11 that we should be concerned about what
- 12 happened a little bit more than how I'm trying
- 13 to get to the bottom of why it happened.
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: Well, I think we
- 15 can agree that we should be concerned about
- 16 what happened and I think we are concerned
- 17 about what happened and there's a point where
- 18 the concern about what happened --
- 19 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I think we're
- 20 running in circles here and this is another
- 21 illustration of the fact that if you think
- 22 this shouldn't be out there well, then the
- 23 fact that it is out there is not a great
- 24 thing.
- 25 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I think we

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 should move.
- 3 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And maybe not
- 4 a great thing from your office. I don't know.
- 5 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Were there
- 6 dual controls in place when emails such as
- 7 these came in?
- 8 MR. SCHOEN: I'm not sure we
- 9 understand the question.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: When this,
- 11 as you described it, phishing email came in,
- 12 prior to the change being made to the bank
- 13 account information were there more than one
- 14 set of eyes that reviewed this request?
- MR. SCHOEN: Yes.
- 16 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Who from
- 17 your office, what title if you're not going to
- 18 give me a name, reviewed the request?
- 19 MR. MARQUES: The initial email
- 20 was sent to that general mail box. It was
- 21 then forwarded to me by the director of
- 22 accounting. I then had possession of it. And
- 23 once the information was provided, submitted
- 24 that to a supervisor over that unit who then
- 25 forwarded it on to a person to process it.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I apologize
- 3 if this was already asked. It may have been.
- 4 But was there any kind of contact, whether by
- 5 telephone or any other means, with the vendor
- 6 prior to making the change to confirm that
- 7 they were requesting this change?
- 8 MR. SCHOEN: At the time the
- 9 major control in place was the submittal of a
- 10 void check which was fraudulently submitted.
- 11 The additional controls were put in place to
- 12 make that contact.
- 13 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Is that a
- 14 no? There was no communication with the
- 15 vendor to confirm that they were requesting
- 16 this change?
- 17 MR. SCHOEN: Correct.
- 18 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: When you
- 19 say there was a void check that was sent to
- 20 the comptroller's office, was that void check
- 21 from the old account or the account that they
- 22 were looking to change to?
- MR. SCHOEN: Change to.
- 24 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So how does
- 25 that safeguard anything?

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHOEN: We put in additional
- 3 controls in place that we discussed.
- 4 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So it
- 5 doesn't? Getting a check from the new account
- 6 does nothing, correct?
- 7 MR. SCHOEN: It enabled us to
- 8 verify that it is in fact a true bank account.
- 9 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: That's
- 10 great. But how does that in any way -- you
- 11 included it on your packet, on your slide
- 12 show. How does that in any way safeguard this
- 13 from happening, getting a copy of the check?
- 14 You didn't contact the vendor to confirm they
- 15 wanted this change. You got a check that we
- 16 now know was for the new account which is
- 17 useless. In what way did this check -- I
- 18 quess I just said it was useless. Would you
- 19 agree? Did this check act as a safeguard in
- any way whatsoever?
- 21 MR. MARQUES: It didn't. I will
- 22 explain that. When that control was
- 23 initiated, the comptroller's office was made
- 24 aware in three separate instances, three
- 25 separate vendors. What it does is it ensures

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 that the vendor doesn't make any mistakes in
- 3 providing their own bank account information
- 4 and verifies that the numbers provided to us
- 5 are correct and accurate.
- 6 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: You lost
- 7 me. Are you saying you verified the new
- 8 account number that they wanted with the check
- 9 to confirm that there was no error like typo
- in the numbers, is that what you're saying?
- 11 MR. SCHOEN: Correct.
- 12 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: To be
- 13 clear, you verified the new account they
- 14 requested with a check to make sure that they
- 15 didn't improperly enter the new account
- 16 number?
- 17 MR. SCHOEN: And the information
- 18 on check matched the vendor information.
- 19 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Okay. But
- 20 that doesn't stop or provide a level of
- 21 security to prevent what happened here,
- 22 correct? That just prevents an incorrect
- 23 account number on the new account.
- 24 MR. SCHOEN: Prevent a
- 25 sophisticated individual from mimicking a bank

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 check with the correct address and a correct
- 3 account number, no.
- 4 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: You
- 5 indicated that you've already met with the IT
- 6 department; is that correct?
- 7 MR. SCHOEN: No.
- 8 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I thought
- 9 you said in the beginning that you've already
- 10 met with them in terms of new training
- 11 procedures.
- 12 MR. SCHOEN: They've instituted
- 13 new training procedures as well as we have.
- 14 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Has your
- office contacted IT since October 25, 2019
- 16 with regard to training comptroller's
- 17 employees?
- 18 MR. SCHOEN: We've been doing
- 19 internal training and on top of that the IT
- 20 department has been training for all county
- 21 employees on how to identify phishing. And we
- 22 are working with the IT department to have the
- 23 700 form or the vendor information form
- 24 submitted through the vendor portal.
- 25 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Just to be

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 clear, the new procedures and policies that
- 3 you put in place after this attack you're not
- 4 willing to share them right now to the public;
- 5 is that correct?
- 6 MR. SCHOEN: No. I think we
- 7 have.
- 8 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: All of
- 9 them?
- 10 MR. SCHOEN: I believe so.
- 11 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I think we shared
- 12 the general thrust of them in some significant
- 13 level of detail to the extent that we could
- 14 and we also detailed additional levels of
- 15 control that are forthcoming.
- 16 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: How can you
- 17 ensure that this hasn't happened before?
- 18 Strike it. Let me ask you again. Are you
- 19 aware of this ever happening in Nassau
- 20 County? Has the Nassau County Comptroller's
- 21 Office ever been the subject of a scam such as
- 22 this?
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: During my time?
- 24 I'm not aware.
- 25 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I'm not

PRECISE COURT REPORTING (516) 747-9393 (718) 343-7227 (212) 581-2570

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 asking during your time. Are you aware of any
- 3 time the Nassau County Comptroller's Office
- 4 ever being subject to a scam such as this?
- 5 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Broadly speaking
- 6 no.
- 7 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: How about
- 8 any of the other 62 counties in New York
- 9 State?
- 10 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I'm not in a
- 11 position to answer comprehensively for New
- 12 York State. But as we spoke about earlier in
- 13 the presentation, we are seeing attacks
- 14 proliferate. In fact, in this particular
- 15 attack alone there were three to four
- 16 municipalities targeted. Some of which on
- 17 Long Island.
- 18 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Sorry. I'm
- 19 getting a little distracted. I'm not asking
- 20 you to answer for all of New York State. What
- 21 I'm asking you is, are you aware of any other
- 22 counties who have been attacked in a similar
- 23 way? If the answer is you're not aware of it
- 24 that's fine. I'm just asking if any of the
- 25 three of you are aware.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHOEN: We're aware that in
- 3 this instance there were other counties
- 4 attacked in a similar manner.
- 5 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: What
- 6 counties?
- 7 MR. SCHOEN: That's not a level
- 8 of detail we will provide in public.
- 9 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I believe the
- 10 police commissioner -- hang on a moment. I
- 11 believe it was disclosed that there was a
- 12 municipality within Suffolk County that was
- 13 attacked.
- 14 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So it
- 15 wasn't the county then? It's a local
- 16 municipality within Suffolk County?
- 17 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I believe that is
- 18 the case. In addition, this morning I'm aware
- 19 that the county itself disclosed that it was
- 20 attacked. Not in this particular incident.
- 21 In a separate incident.
- 22 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Did your
- 23 office ever contact NIFA with regard to this
- 24 attack prior to the press conference that you
- 25 had on January 11th?

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I don't believe
- 3 so but I will double-check.
- 4 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So there's
- 5 a chance you contacted NIFA with regard to
- 6 this attack? You can't tell me affirmatively
- 7 that you did not?
- 8 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I don't believe
- 9 that we did but I will double-check.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Did your
- 11 office contact anyone outside of Nassau County
- 12 government about this attack prior to January
- 13 11th?
- MR. SCHOEN: Other than the bank
- 15 that would have been involved there would have
- 16 been no one --
- 17 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: They
- 18 contacted you, right?
- 19 MR. SCHOEN: -- that I can
- 20 recall. But when you say contact, you're
- 21 talking about general contacts?
- 22 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: You didn't
- 23 tell us. Did you tell anyone else?
- MR. SCHOEN: Contacts regarding
- 25 the incident. So we would have had to talk to

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 the bank about the incident. That's a
- 3 contact.
- 4 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Other than
- 5 the bank. Anyone outside of Nassau County
- 6 government did you contact them about this
- 7 incident prior to January 11th?
- 8 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I don't believe
- 9 so.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Again, you
- 11 can't say affirmatively you did not?
- MR. SCHOEN: We may have asked
- 13 some information from the vendor but I don't
- 14 recall.
- 15 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I just want
- 16 to be clear here because I think this is
- 17 important. You cannot say whether or not on
- 18 100 percent certainty, I'm asking you
- 19 Comptroller, whether somebody from your office
- 20 or you personally contacted NIFA and informed
- 21 them of this? The fiscal control board of
- 22 this county, you being the fiscal watchdog,
- 23 you can't tell me if you contacted NIFA and
- informed them prior to them approving our
- 25 budget that this incident occurred?

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MR. SCHNIRMAN: No, I cannot say
- 3 that definitively we contacted NIFA. And if
- 4 you would like to delineate what you see as a
- 5 tie-in between this and the budget I'd be
- 6 interested in where you're coming from.
- 7 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Sure. When
- 8 we past the budget and possibly when NIFA
- 9 approved budget, we don't know because you
- 10 don't know if you told them, we were not aware
- 11 of this possible deficiency shortfall in our
- 12 budget. You don't see that as a problem?
- 13 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I think you're
- 14 making a link between payments and budget that
- 15 is incorrect.
- 16 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So if this
- 17 money was not recovered does the vendor get
- 18 paid?
- 19 MR. SCHOEN: Fortunately that's
- 20 not a road we had to go down.
- 21 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: We can
- 22 thank the bank for that. But the question is,
- if the money wasn't recovered would the vendor
- 24 have gotten paid?
- MR. SCHOEN: The vendor would

PRECISE COURT REPORTING (516) 747-9393 (718) 343-7227 (212) 581-2570

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 have had a valid claim against the county and
- 3 money would have been due and owing.
- 4 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Right. And
- 5 who pays that? It's taxpayer money, correct?
- 6 MR. SCHNIRMAN: Correct.
- 7 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So, we
- 8 voted on a budget where there was this money
- 9 in limbo where you did not know if we were
- 10 going to recover it and we were not informed
- 11 of that prior to voting. We also didn't know
- 12 the amount at that time if I'm following this
- 13 correctly. We didn't know it was limited to
- 14 \$710,000.
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: I'm sorry. I'm
- 16 not following that this is relevant to the
- 17 budget of 2020. First of all, this was a 2019
- 18 financial issue. I think you're conflating
- 19 the two things and I don't think they actually
- 20 go together.
- 21 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Then maybe
- 22 I'm mistaken. But if there's a shortfall in
- 23 the 2019 budget wouldn't it come out of the
- 24 2020 budget?
- 25 MR. SCHNIRMAN: If there was a

PRECISE COURT REPORTING (516) 747-9393 (718) 343-7227 (212) 581-2570

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 shortfall in the 2019 budget it would be dealt
- 3 with from a fund balance perspective which is
- 4 an entirely different discussion altogether.
- 5 But it would not necessarily impact the 2020
- 6 budget.
- 7 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Doesn't the
- 8 fund balance go into the budget?
- 9 MR. SCHNIRMAN: The overall fund
- 10 balance of the county -- I don't believe that
- 11 it would impact the budget. Bottom line I
- 12 don't see that these two issues --
- 13 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: That is a
- 14 discussion we could have had.
- MR. SCHNIRMAN: I don't see that
- 16 these two issues are related.
- 17 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: When the
- 18 budget was passed do you know what the
- 19 exposure was? On October 28th did you know
- 20 what the exposure was to the 2019 or 2020
- 21 budget?
- 22 MR. SCHNIRMAN: I believe at that
- 23 point the funds were frozen.
- MR. SCHOEN: The majority of the
- 25 money at that point would have been recovered.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: But can you
- 3 confirm that that was -- we're talking about a
- 4 three-day period here, right? You notified
- 5 the police on October 25th. We passed the
- 6 budget on October 28th. Are you telling me in
- 7 those three days, which, by the way, I think
- 8 two of them were a weekend, but I'm not sure
- 9 about that, that you were able to confirm with
- 10 100 percent certainty that the exposure was
- 11 limited to \$710,000?
- 12 MR. SCHOEN: Yes.
- 13 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: From just
- 14 this incident or did you do an audit where you
- 15 went back and determined if anything else
- 16 happened to other vendors?
- 17 MR. SCHOEN: We confirmed six
- 18 months worth of vendor information forms to
- 19 make sure they were correct.
- 20 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: That's all
- 21 I have for now. Thank you.
- 22 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: To the
- 23 Chair, point of order. Legislator Ferretti
- 24 asked -- presented this email that I learned
- 25 off the record that it was obtained from the

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 police department. I'd just like to know when
- 3 and where did you receive that documentation?
- 4 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm sorry,
- 5 you're asking him?
- 6 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Legislator
- 7 Ferretti mentioned that he received a copy of
- 8 the actual email that was used in this alleged
- 9 scheme.
- 10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You saw it as
- 11 well.
- 12 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I would like
- 13 to know how that document --
- 14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I will answer
- 15 you. Counsel --
- 16 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Our counsel
- 17 didn't receive a copy of it.
- 18 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You want to
- 19 let me finish my sentence or would you rather
- 20 just keep on making speeches?
- 21 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'm asking
- 22 questions.
- 23 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Now, counsel
- 24 requested that the police department show it
- 25 to him. Police department agreed to show it

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 to him on a confidential basis.
- 3 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: He put it on
- 4 the record.
- 5 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It was not
- 6 provided in a confidential basis. It was
- 7 provided to us and we just showed it to you
- 8 too. There's nothing nefarious. We just saw
- 9 it for the first time and we showed it to you
- 10 for the first time. None of the members of
- 11 the committee saw it before now. We just saw
- 12 it for the first time and so did you. There
- 13 is nothing scary about this.
- 14 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Was it the
- 15 first time that Mr. Ferretti saw it?
- 16 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mr. Ferretti,
- 17 was it the first time you saw it?
- 18 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI:
- 19 Absolutely.
- 20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Everybody
- 21 sitting here saw it for the first time. I
- 22 just saw it for the first time.
- 23 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Can we
- 24 receive an official copy? Can our counsel
- 25 receive an official copy?

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Yeah. If the
- 3 PD allows it fine with us. The police will be
- 4 out here and we will ask them for it. Because
- 5 we asked for it and you didn't. It's not that
- 6 complicated.
- 7 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: This is a
- 8 public hearing. Why wouldn't both sides have
- 9 it?
- 10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Because we
- 11 asked for it and we got it and you didn't.
- 12 And when we got it we looked at it and showed
- 13 it to you too. It's really not complicated
- 14 here. You want to make something out of
- 15 nothing you can keep on trying.
- By the way, I just would make one
- 17 point as to what that did show. There was a
- 18 real red flag on that email and I'm not going
- 19 to go into depth on it but this is a very
- 20 simple thing. The signature -- the from of
- 21 the scammer showed that it came from X Corp.
- 22 dot org and right down at the bottom it showed
- 23 the www of that same company, that same corp.
- 24 dot com, which showed that they had a dot com,
- 25 and that conflict that's a real red flag. But

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 it was missed. In any event, Ms. Walker.
- 3 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I just have
- 4 one quick question. Not who you told when or
- 5 whatever. But don't you think before you had
- 6 a press conference with Newsday it would have
- 7 been nice for the legislators, shouldn't even
- 8 use the word nice, should be something that
- 9 this body should know about before we read it
- 10 in Newsday? I mean, I just think that would
- 11 be proper protocol. You are the Nassau County
- 12 Comptroller and you don't feel that's
- 13 important to share with the legislature? We
- 14 see it in a newspaper.
- 15 MR. SCHNIRMAN: We shared it all
- 16 at once and I appreciate your request and
- 17 that's something that will certainly be taken
- 18 into consideration going forward.
- 19 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Could I ask
- 20 you, did you know before you saw it in
- 21 Newsday? Did any of you know before you saw
- 22 it in Newsday?
- 23 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: I would
- 24 just say there are lots of things in this
- 25 county that we don't hear about before we see

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 in Newsday that I've found in the past. It's
- 3 not just this one time. It's several items
- 4 that we don't get informed about.
- 5 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Right. I
- 6 agree. There are many things we see and it
- 7 doesn't make me happy. I just think this is
- 8 extremely important. And for us to find out
- 9 by seeing it in Newsday I have a big problem
- 10 with that. I've stated before I've had a
- 11 problem with it. So I'm stating it publicly
- 12 here that I have a major problem with that.
- So you knew nothing either before
- 14 you saw it in Newsday.
- 15 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Gentlemen,
- 16 thank you so much for coming. We have some
- 17 Advil available if you'd like on the way out.
- 18 But otherwise you are free. I would ask you
- 19 not to leave the room actually because I'm
- 20 told that there may be some further questions
- 21 that may develop. So if you would just hang
- 22 out that would be preferable.
- 23 We have one more witness I believe
- 24 from IT. That would be Nancy Stanton. Just
- 25 state your name and position for the record.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 MS. STANTON: Nancy Stanton.
- 3 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You got that
- 4 already? Go ahead.
- 5 MR. PEREZ: Al Perez, deputy
- 6 commissioner.
- 7 MR. BARROW: Steve Barrie,
- 8 manager, computer operations.
- 9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Do you have a
- 10 statement to make?
- 11 MS. STANTON: I do.
- 12 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Please go
- 13 ahead go.
- MS. STANTON: Good evening.
- 15 Nancy Stanton, IT commissioner. We would like
- 16 to thank you for this opportunity to speak
- 17 here today to discuss efforts that my
- 18 department have put in place to harden our
- 19 infrastructure. I have brought with me today
- 20 senior staff Al Perez and Steve Barrie.
- 21 Let me first begin by letting you
- 22 know that the Department of Information
- 23 Technology takes cyber security very
- 24 seriously. The infrastructure, network
- 25 systems and house data we store and maintain

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 in county are the cornerstones for the
- 3 operation of many departments and
- 4 constituents. Because of this, it is one of
- 5 the most if not the highest priority in my
- 6 department. My department is committed to
- 7 reduce security risks by eliminating potential
- 8 attacks throughout the county.
- 9 During 2018 and 2019, through a
- 10 grant, we were fortunate and pleased to work
- 11 with the Department of Homeland Security for a
- 12 cyber security evaluation. The purpose of
- 13 this cooperative exercise was to assess any
- 14 possible vulnerabilities that we have had in
- 15 our system. This analysis produced a report
- 16 of vulnerabilities and recommendations to
- 17 harden our environments and systems. As of
- 18 today, I'm pleased to say that with the
- 19 support of my staff all critical
- 20 recommendations have been remediated.
- In addition to meeting weekly to
- 22 discuss security measures and weekly activity,
- 23 we have done many things. Some you can see.
- 24 Some you cannot. Here are a few examples of
- 25 what you can see.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 Actively migrating from Windows 7
- 3 to Windows 10. Acquired and deployed user
- 4 employee security training. Implemented
- 5 multifactor authentication across a number of
- 6 departments soon to be countywide.
- 7 Implemented a complex password policy. Lock
- 8 down the use of personal email access.
- 9 Limited web access through web filters.
- 10 Here are a few things you cannot
- 11 see. Implemented stronger application of date
- 12 deployments, browser Windows versions.
- 13 Adopted a more aggressive security update
- 14 practice with Windows and MS office security
- 15 updates. Acquired and deployed countywide AI
- 16 driven security suite and maintain version
- 17 deployments.
- 18 Overhauled completely the way
- 19 Nassau County performs backups. Full server
- 20 machine backups using the latest version and
- 21 all available backup agents automatically are
- 22 in place.
- 23 Server OS security patching is
- 24 currently being implemented. Our firewalls
- 25 now have deep SSL scanning enabled for network

```
1 Finance 1-22-18
```

- 2 vulnerabilities.
- In June of 2019 there was a local
- 4 law 229-19 to enacted through this legislative
- 5 body to provide the following which I will now
- 6 give an update.
- 7 Employee training. This is
- 8 underway. We expect to be complete by the end
- 9 of January. Going forward we will be doing
- 10 this training on a semiannual basis. Also to
- 11 note, we have conducted many cyber security
- 12 seminars to constituents throughout the
- 13 county. We will also begin a program where
- 14 these seminars are offered to employees.
- 15 Number two. Submit an annual cyber
- 16 security risk assessment and response
- 17 analysis. This is in progress. We have
- 18 engaged a vendor to assist with penetration
- 19 testing and reporting. Once a report is
- 20 complete it will be forwarded accordingly.
- 21 Three. Establish a panel of
- 22 security service and incident response
- 23 providers to assist --
- 24 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Ms. Stanton.
- 25 Forgive me. We all appreciate all these

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 things but do you think you might be able to
- 3 confine your remarks to the implementation of
- 4 various controls that would apply to the
- 5 comptroller rather than as a countywide? In
- 6 other words, it's very important what you're
- 7 doing and I don't mean to denigrate that at
- 8 all. But this hearing is pretty narrowly
- 9 focused. So, if you have any light that you
- 10 can shed on the recent event that we've been
- 11 discussing. I assume you've been here. A.
- 12 And B, as to what you may have
- implemented or would like to suggest to
- 14 implement in order to prevent a recurrence
- 15 that would be great. Thank you.
- MS. STANTON: We are working with
- 17 the comptroller's office as far as registering
- 18 vendors, providing passwords so that they can
- 19 only get in. We are working with them on the
- 20 700 form, which they mentioned, to be online
- 21 and in order to access that you would have to
- 22 have a password.
- 23 Employee training. We are already
- 24 doing. You guys mentioned encryption. We
- 25 would be happy to work with them on that.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You haven't
- 3 had any requests for that?
- 4 MS. STANTON: We have several
- 5 departments or agencies using very minimally.
- 6 But we certainly should be --
- 7 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: But there's
- 8 not been any request yet from the comptroller
- 9 specifically for that?
- 10 MS. STANTON: There has not.
- 11 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Is that
- 12 something that you consider important?
- MS. STANTON: Yes. For them yes.
- 14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Go on please.
- MS. STANTON: That's it. We were
- 16 not involved in the recent event.
- 17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You have no
- 18 ideas of -- okay, good. Can you give us any
- 19 good ideas as to what you think ought to be
- 20 implemented based upon what you've heard here
- 21 today beyond whatever we might have
- 22 suggested?
- 23 MS. STANTON: We can talk with
- them with whatever they need and certainly
- 25 help implement whatever they need.

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: A multifactor
- 3 authentication going to them regardless.
- 4 MS. STANTON: They have that
- 5 already. That's in place. It is being rolled
- 6 out throughout the county. The training is
- 7 being rolled out.
- 8 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: The encryption
- 9 obviously. Is there a VPN in place for their
- 10 transactions?
- MR. BARRIE: No. There's no VPN
- 12 in place for their transactions.
- 13 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Would you not
- 14 suggest that there ought to be?
- MR. BARRIE: In what way would
- 16 you think that?
- 17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I quess that
- 18 just an additional layer of protection against
- 19 somebody hacking in, observing emails that are
- 20 going in and out.
- 21 MR. BARRIE: The VPN would be for
- 22 if there were transactions coming in and out
- 23 of the county, not for emails. It would be if
- 24 somebody was connecting --
- 25 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: VPN would

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 not --
- MR. BARRIE: Would not alleviate
- 4 this issue no.
- 5 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Emails would
- 6 not travel through the VPN?
- 7 MR. BARRIE: No.
- 8 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: If you had a
- 9 VPN?
- 10 MR. BARRIE: Our email system in
- 11 Nassau County is in Microsoft Office 365. So
- 12 anything in and out of the county is coming
- 13 over our Internet connection.
- 14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Which is
- 15 adequately protected in and of itself,
- 16 therefore the VPN -- okay. It's protected
- 17 from snooping. Got it.
- 18 MR. BARRIE: Like Mr. Schnirman
- 19 said, it was a phishing attempt on the
- 20 comptroller's office. So last week we
- 21 actively blocked 1100 phishing attempts into
- 22 the county.
- 23 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Can you set
- 24 things up at the comptroller's office, if
- 25 necessary, so that nothing is done from a

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 remote computer?
- MR. BARRIE: Nothing is done --
- 4 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: No financial
- 5 transactions can be implemented through a
- 6 remote computer.
- 7 MR. BARRIE: If financials
- 8 transactions, if they're coming from outside
- 9 the county they can only come through a VPN or
- 10 a secure connection.
- 11 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm talking
- 12 about -- in other words let's say, I'm just
- imaging this, there's no suggestion that this
- 14 has actually occurred just to be clear, but
- 15 should a county employee decide to work from
- 16 home let's say and process payments. By
- 17 coming in through the county system --
- 18 MR. BARRIE: They will be coming
- 19 in through a VPN.
- 20 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: They will be
- 21 coming in through a VPN.
- MR. BARRIE: Correct.
- 23 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Therefore that
- 24 would be safe?
- MR. BARRIE: Correct.

PRECISE COURT REPORTING (516) 747-9393 (718) 343-7227 (212) 581-2570

- 1 Finance 1-22-18
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Anybody?
- 3 Thank you. Now we're done with our witnesses
- 4 and if there are any members of the public
- 5 that would like to comment you're welcome to
- 6 let yourselves be known now. Anybody? We
- 7 have a winner here. You would like to make a
- 8 public comment? Why don't you come right up
- 9 please.
- 10 MS. HESSION: My name is Eileen
- 11 Hession and I come from Long Beach. I'm
- 12 really up here just to say thank you very much
- 13 for having this hearing. I come from Long
- 14 Beach where we are in deep financial trouble.
- 15 It was stated that this was an
- 16 attempted scam but it was actually a
- 17 successful scam, and I want to thank the bank
- 18 who discovered it and reported it. Otherwise
- 19 we'd be out \$700,000.
- In Long Beach we had few financial
- 21 things in place that took care of our
- 22 finances. We were fed much misinformation
- 23 regarding the city's finances. No checks, no
- 24 balances, inadequate safeguards and
- 25 incompetence. Long Beach taxes have risen

Finance 1-22-181 2 substantially. No one has ever taken responsibility for it and no one has ever said 3 4 the buck stops here. 5 I want to sincerely thank you, the 6 legislature, for holding this hearing 7 transparently and looking to strengthen all controls and procedures. Thank you to the 8 9 bank and thank you again to the legislature. 10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you. 11 Anyone else? I'm going to take a motion to 12 adjourn by Ms. Birnbaum. Seconded by 13 Ms. Walker. All those in favor of adjourning? Any opposed? We are adjourned. 14 15 Thank you everyone. 16 (Meeting was adjourned at 5:25 17 p.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	
5	I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
6	Public in and for the State of New
7	York, do hereby certify:
8	THAT the foregoing is a true and
9	accurate transcript of my stenographic
10	notes.
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
12	hereunto set my hand this 25th day of
13	January 2020.
14	
15	
16	
17	FRANK GRAY
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Theodore Roosevelt Executive and Legislative Building 1550 Franklin Avenue, Mineola, New York 11501

FINANCE COMMITTEE OF THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

Hearing on Financial and Payment Security and Controls within the Office of the Nassau County Comptroller

Committee Membership

Howard Kopel – Chair Vincent Muscarella – Vice Chair Thomas McKevitt Rose Marie Walker

Ellen Birnbaum -Ranking Arnold Drucker Joshua Lafazan

AGENDA January 22, 2020 3:00 PM

1.	Hon. Jack Schnirman
	Comptroller
	Office of the Nassau County Comptroller
2.	Nancy Stanton-Molaro
	Commissioner
	Office of Information Technology
3.	PUBLIC COMMENT