Legislative Calendar

Documents:

4-26-21 CALENDAR.PDF

2. Proposed Local Laws

Documents:

```
PROPOSED LL CI 92-21.PDF
PROPOSED LL CI 93-21.PDF
PROPOSED LL CI 143-21.PDF
PROPOSED LL CI 144-21.PDF
```

3. Proposed Ordinances

Documents:

```
PROPOSED ORD. 30-21.PDF
PROPOSED ORD. 31-21.PDF
APPENDIX A FOR PROPOSED ORD. 31-21.PDF
PROPOSED ORD, 32-21,PDF
PROPOSED ORD. 33-21.PDF
PROPOSED ORD, 34-21,PDF
PROPOSED ORD. 35-21.PDF
PROPOSED ORD. 36-21.PDF
PROPOSED ORD, 37-21,PDF
PROPOSED ORD, 38-21,PDF
PROPOSED ORD. 39-21.PDF
PROPOSED ORD. 40-21.PDF
PROPOSED ORD. 41-21.PDF
PROPOSED ORD. 42-21.PDF
PROPOSED ORD, 43-21, PDF
PROPOSED ORD. 44-21.PDF
```

4. Proposed Resolutions

Documents:

```
PROPOSED RES. 41-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 42-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 43-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 44-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 45-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 46-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 47-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 48-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 49-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 50-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 51-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 52-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 53-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 54-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 55-21.PDF
PROPOSED RES. 56-21.PDF
```

PROPOSED RES. 57-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 58-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 59-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 60-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 61-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 62-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 63-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 64-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 65-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 66-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 67-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 68-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 69-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 70-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 71-21.PDF PROPOSED RES. 72-21.PDF

5. FULL LEGISLATIVE SESSION 4/26/21

Documents:

FULL LEGISLATIVE SESSION, 04-26-21.PDF

LEGISLATIVE CALENDAR

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE FIFTEENTH MEETING FOURTH MEETING OF 2021 MINEOLA, NEW YORK APRIL 26, 2021 1:00PM LEGISLATIVE CALENDAR

Please be advised that due to health and safety concerns associated with the COVID-19 virus, the Peter J. Schmitt Memorial Legislative Chamber will be open to a maximum of thirty-five members of the public. Further, this meeting will be available for viewing online at http://www.nassaucountyny.gov/agencies/Legis/index.html

Public comment on any item may be emailed to the Clerk of the Legislature at <u>LegPublicComment@nassaucountyny.gov</u> and will be made part of the formal record of this Legislative meeting.

While Chamber capacity is limited, the Nassau County Legislature is committed to making its public hearings accessible to individuals with disabilities. If, due to a disability, you need an accommodation or assistance to participate in the public hearing or to obtain a copy of the transcript of the public hearing in an alternative format in accordance with the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Legislature at 571-4252, or the Nassau County Office of the Physically Challenged at 227-7101 or TDD telephone No. 227-8989.

EVERY LEGISLATIVE MEETING IS STREAMED LIVE ON http://www.nassaucountyny.gov/agencies/Legis/index.html

1. <u>HEARING ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2021</u>

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE IN RELATION TO PROHIBITING THE SALE OF ELECTRONIC AEROSOL DELIVERY SYSTEMS OR COMPONENTS OR PARTS WITHIN 1,000 LINEAR FEET OF A SCHOOL, PUBLIC PARK OR PLAYGROUND. 93-21(LE)

2. <u>HEARING ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2021</u>

A LOCAL LAW TO PROHIBIT THE SMOKING OR VAPING OF CANNABIS IN ALL COUNTY OWNED PROPERTIES. 143-21(LE)

3. <u>HEARING ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2021</u>

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND TITLE 64 OF THE MISCELLANEOUS LAWS OF NASSAU COUNTY TO PREVENT THE CONSUMPTION OF CANNABIS BY MINORS AT PRIVATE HOMES. 144-21(LE)

4. **VOTE ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2021**

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY TO EXPAND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS TO PERMITTEES UNDER USE AND OCCUPANCY PERMITS FOR COUNTY PROPERTY. 92-21(LE)

5. <u>VOTE ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2021</u>

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE IN RELATION TO PROHIBITING THE SALE OF ELECTRONIC AEROSOL DELIVERY SYSTEMS OR COMPONENTS OR PARTS WITHIN 1,000 LINEAR FEET OF A SCHOOL, PUBLIC PARK OR PLAYGROUND. 93-21(LE)

6 **VOTE ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2021**

A LOCAL LAW TO PROHIBIT THE SMOKING OR VAPING OF CANNABIS IN ALL COUNTY OWNED PROPERTIES. 143-21(LE)

7. **VOTE ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO.-2021**

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND TITLE 64 OF THE MISCELLANEOUS LAWS OF NASSAU COUNTY TO PREVENT THE CONSUMPTION OF CANNABIS BY MINORS AT PRIVATE HOMES. 144-21(LE)

ORDINANCE NO. 30-2021

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE NO. 174-2018, ADOPTING THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE FIRST YEAR OF THE FOUR-YEAR CAPITAL PLAN, TO COMMENCE ON JANUARY 1, 2019, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 310 OF THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 111-21(PW)

9. **ORDINANCE NO. 31-2021**

8.

A BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECT IDENTIFIED HEREIN WITHIN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AND AUTHORIZING \$49,200,606 OF BONDS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO FINANCE SUCH EXPENDITURE PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW OF NEW YORK AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY. 112-21(PW)

10. **ORDINANCE NO. 32-2021**

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 3.33 OF ORDINANCE 543-1995, WITH RESPECT TO CHILD CARE LEAVE FOR "NON-CONTRACT" EMPLOYEES OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. 141-21(DA)

11. **ORDINANCE NO. 33-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. 97-21 (OMB)

12. **ORDINANCE NO. 34-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 99-21(OMB)

13. **ORDINANCE NO. 35-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 113-21(OMB)

14. **ORDINANCE NO. 36-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 114-21(OMB)

15. **ORDINANCE NO. 37-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION. 115-21(OMB)

16. **ORDINANCE NO. 38-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION. 116-21(OMB)

17. **ORDINANCE NO. 39-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 132-21(OMB)

18. **ORDINANCE NO. 40-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 133-21(OMB)

19. **ORDINANCE NO. 41-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. 134-21(OMB)

20. **ORDINANCE NO. 42-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 135-21(OMB)

21. **ORDINANCE NO. 43-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE AND TO TRANSFER APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN TO RECONCILE THE COUNTY'S FINANCIAL RECORDS FOR THE BUDGET YEAR 2020. 139-21(OMB)

22. **ORDINANCE NO. 44-2021**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 147-21(OMB)

23. **RESOLUTION NO. 41-2021**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIMS OF PLAINTIFFS, AS SET FORTH IN THE ACTION ENTITLED GRAMERCY GROUP, INC. V. COUNTY OF NASSAU, ADV. PROC. NO. 8-19-08160 PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 101-21(AT)

24. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 42-2021</u>

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CAPITAL DISTRICT YOUTH CENTER, INC. IN RELATION TO THE USE OF A REGIONAL SECURE DETENTION FACILITY. 127-21(PB)

25. **RESOLUTION NO. 43-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF A TAX EXEMPTION EXTENSION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU (THE "COUNTY") AND HALANDIA ASSOCIATES-BALDWIN L.P. ("HALANDIA").136-21(CE)

26. **RESOLUTION NO. 44-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF A TAX EXEMPTION EXTENSION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU (THE "COUNTY") AND NEW GREENWICH ASSOCIATES LLC ("GREENWICH"). 137-21(CE)

27. **RESOLUTION NO. 45-2021**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN INTER-MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH THE VILLAGE OF SEA CLIFF IN RELATION TO A PROJECT TO RESTORE TWO (2) BALLFIELDS AT CLIFTON PARK FOR THE VILLAGE. 128-21(CE)

28. **RESOLUTION NO. 46-2021**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS, AND MUSEUMS AT MITCHEL DBA CRADLE OF AVIATION. 103-21(PK)

29. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 47-2021</u>

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS, AND THE AFRICAN ATLANTIC GENEALOGICAL SOCIETY, INC. 110-21(PK)

30. **RESOLUTION NO. 48-2021**

A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S APPOINTMENT OF RIZWAN QURESHI TO THE NASSAU COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 203 AND 902 OF THE NASSAU COUNTY CHARTER. 124-21(CE)

31. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 49-2021</u>

A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S APPOINTMENT OF JOHN M. FABIAN TO THE NASSAU COUNTY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION EXTENSION BOARD ("VEEB"). 125-21(CE)

32. <u>RESOLUTION NO. 50-2021</u>

A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S APPOINTMENT OF MICHAEL MCDERMOTT TO THE NASSAU COUNTY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION EXTENSION BOARD ("VEEB"). 126-21(CE)

33. **RESOLUTION NO. 51-2021**

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO THE RETIREMENT OF MEMBERS WHO SERVE AS POLICE MEDICS, POLICE MEDIC SUPERVISORS AND MEMBERS WHO PERFORM POLICE MEDIC RELATED SERVICES IN THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 119-21(LE)

RESOLUTION NO. 52-2021

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO PROVIDING PERFORMANCE OF DUTY DISABILITY RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR THE EMPLOYEE TITLES POLICE MEDIC, POLICE MEDIC SUPERVISOR, POLICE MEDIC COORDINATOR, BUREAU DIRECTOR EMERGENCY AMBULANCE, AND DEPUTY BUREAU DIRECTOR EMERGENCY AMBULANCE IN THE EMPLOY OF NASSAU COUNTY. 120-21(LE)

35. **RESOLUTION NO. 53-2021**

34.

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO ESTABLISHING A DEATH BENEFIT FOR DEPUTY SHERIFFS EMPLOYED BY NASSAU COUNTY. 121-21(LE)

36. **RESOLUTION NO. 54-2021**

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO PROVIDING ACCIDENTAL DISABILITY RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR CHIEF, FIRE MARSHALS, ASSISTANT CHIEF FIRE MARSHALS, DIVISION SUPERVISING FIRE MARSHALS, SUPERVISING FIRE MARSHALS AND FIRE MARSHAL TRAINEES IN NASSAU COUNTY. 122-21(LE)

37. **RESOLUTION NO. 55-2021**

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO PROVIDING A HEART DISEASE PRESUMPTION FOR CERTAIN FIRE MARSHALS IN NASSAU COUNTY. 123-21(LE)

A RESOLUTION TO CEREMONIALLY DESIGNATE A PORTION OF THE COUNTY ROAD KNOWN AS BROOKSIDE AVENUE BETWEEN MERRICK ROAD AND SUNRISE HIGHWAY IN FREEPORT AS "ERNEST 'ERNIE' KIGHT, JR. WAY", AND DIRECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO INSTALL CONSPICUOUS SIGNAGE ALONG SAID ROADWAY. 138-21(LE)

39. **RESOLUTION NO. 57-2021**

A RESOLUTION SETTING FORTH THE OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES DEEMED TO HOLD POLICY-MAKING POSITIONS FOR FILING YEAR 2021 (RELATING TO CALENDAR YEAR 2020) WHO SHALL BE REQUIRED TO FILE ANNUAL STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE §22-4.3 AND THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW. 102-21(BE)

40. **RESOLUTION NO. 58-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE RELEASE OF THE SURETY BOND AND ESCROW DEPOSIT COVERING IMPROVEMENTS ON THE "MAP OF PARAMOUNT COURT," SITUATED IN THE HAMLET OF WEST HEMPSTEAD, TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD, COUNTY OF NASSAU, NEW YORK. 130-21(PW)

41. **RESOLUTION NO. 59-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 104-21(PD)

42. **RESOLUTION NO. 60-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 105-21(PD)

RESOLUTION NO. 61-2021

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 106-21(PD)

44. **RESOLUTION NO. 62-2021**

43.

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 107-21(PD)

45. **RESOLUTION NO. 63-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 108-21(PD)

46. **RESOLUTION NO. 64-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO REQUIRE THE COUNTY TO COMPLY WITH THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT FOR ERIC BERLINER, ROBERT FINE, MICHAEL ARYEH, AND JILL PESCE, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED V. NASSAU COUNTY, NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF ASSESSMENT, ASSESSMENT REVIEW COMMISSION, LAURA CURRAN, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS COUNTY EXECUTIVE, AND DAVID MOOG, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS COUNTY ASSESSOR FOR NASSAU COUNTY, INDEX NO. 605904/2019. 142-21 (LE)

47. **RESOLUTION NO. 65-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021. 98-21(OMB)

RESOLUTION NO. 66-2021

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021. 109-21(OMB)

49. **RESOLUTION NO. 67-2021**

48.

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021. 117-21(OMB)

50. **RESOLUTION NO. 68-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021. 118-21(OMB)

51. **RESOLUTION NO. 69-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021. 131-21(OMB)

52. **RESOLUTION NO. 70-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021. 145-21(OMB)

53. **RESOLUTION NO. 71-2021**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021. 146-21(OMB)

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ASSESSOR AND/OR THE COUNTY TREASURER AND/OR THE RECEIVER OF TAXES OF THE TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD TO PARTIALLY EXEMPT CERTAIN REAL PROPERTIES SITUATED IN VARIOUS SCHOOL DISTRICTS, ASSESSED TO DESIGNATED OWNERS APPEARING ON THE ASSESSMENT ROLLS FOR THE SPECIFIED SCHOOL AND/OR COUNTY YEARS PURSUANT TO THIS RESOLUTION; PURSUANT TO THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 149-21(AS)

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Nassau County Executive has executed the following personal service contracts, copies of which are on file with the Office of the Clerk of the Nassau County Legislature. These contracts are listed for informational purposes only.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Homeless Services and Long Island FQHC, Inc. \$803,000.00. RE: CDBG-CV. ID# CQHI21000001.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Homeless Services and United Veterans Beacon House, Inc. \$650,000.00. RE: ESG-CV 2nd Round. ID# CQHI20000122.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Homeless Services and Uniondale Community Land Trust, Inc. \$.01. RE: HOME. ID# CLHI20000017.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Homeless Services and Village of Freeport. \$585,000.00. RE: CDBT-46th Year. ID# CQHI20000107.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Homeless Services and Women's Opportunity Rehabilitation Center. \$.01. RE: CDBG. ID# CLHI20000016.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Hispanic Counseling, Inc. \$160,000.00. RE: Youth Development. ID# CQHS21000028.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Glen Cove Boys/Girls Club at Lincoln House, Inc. \$42,000.00. RE: Youth Development. ID# CQHS21000027.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and The Salvation Army. \$414,054.00. RE: OFA Salvation Army B C-1. ID# CQHS21000006.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Catholic Charities of Long Island. \$100,000.00. RE: OFA CC C-2. ID# CLHS21000047.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Great Neck Senior Center. \$252,439.00. RE: OFA SR CTR B C-1. ID# CQHS21000003.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Family & Children's Assoc. \$201,020.00. RE: OFA FCA C-1. ID# CQHS21000002.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and La Fuerza Unida. \$133,866.00. RE: Youth Development. ID# CQHS21000029.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Five Towns Community Center, Inc. \$350,000.00. RE: Youth Development. ID# CQHS21000025.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Family & Children's Association, Inc. \$392,000.00. RE: Youth Development. ID #CQHS21000024.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Catholic Charities of Long Island. \$1,162,500.00. RE: OFA CC C-2. ID# CQHS21000001.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Gateway Youth Outreach, Inc. \$338,071.00. RE: Youth Development. ID# CQHS21000026.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Board of Elections and All American Van Lines, Inc. \$212,342.00. RE: Trucking Voting Machines 2020 Elections. ID# CQEL20000001.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Alexander S. Bardey. RE: 116,900.00. RE: OMH – Forensic Services. ID# CLHS21000048.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Charles Evans Center Inc. \$5,709.00. RE: OMH – ACT /Homeless. ID# CQHS21000044.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Garden City UFSD. \$38,992.00. RE: YDA-Education. ID# CQHS19000158.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and The Salvation. \$50,500.00. RE: OFA Sal Army FFCRA. ID# CQHS21000036.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and City of Glen Cove. \$5,450.00. RE: OFA City of Glen Cove FFCRA. ID# CQHS21000037.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Alexander S. Bardey. RE: 116,900.00. RE: OMH – Forensic Services. ID# CLHS21000048.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Great Neck Senior Center. \$5,900.00. RE: OFA Great Neck Sr. Sr. Ctr. FFCRA. ID# CQHS21000038.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Herricks Community Fund. \$87,592.00. RE: OFA Herricks CF CSE. ID# CQHS21000019.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Hispanic Brotherhood, Inc. \$4,300.00. RE: OFA Hisp. FFCRA. ID# CQHS21000039.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Sid Jacobson Jewish Community Center. RE: OFA Sid Jacobson FFCRA. \$2,950.00. ID# CQHS21000043.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Family & Children's. \$264,000.00. RE: OF AFCA CSE Safe Heap. ID# CQHS21000018.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Homeless Services and Options for Community Living Inc. \$1,106,000.00. RE: ESG CV HP. ID# CQHI20000117.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Homeless Services and Long Island Youth Foundation, Inc. \$40,000.00. RE: CDBG-46th Year. ID# CQHI20000113.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Homeless Services and Long Beach Reach, Inc. \$50,000.00. RE: CDBG. ID# CQHI21000006.

THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE WILL CONVENE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETINGS ON MONDAY, MAY 10, 2021 at 1:00PM AND

FULL LEGISLATURE MEETING ON MONDAY, MAY 24, 2021 AT 1:00PM

PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2021

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY TO EXPAND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS TO PERMITTEES UNDER USE AND OCCUPANCY PERMITS FOR COUNTY PROPERTY.

WHEREAS, this Legislature has enacted laws to provide for registration, increased oversight and public disclosure of vendors operating in or doing business with Nassau County; and

WHEREAS, in the interest of the public good such laws provide greater transparency as to the operations of County government and as to the activities of those entities or individuals that do business, seek to do business or enter into an agreement with Nassau County; and

WHEREAS, it is in the public interest to promote even greater transparency and enhanced disclosure with regard to campaign contribution activity to elected Nassau County officials and candidates for office by those entities that have entered into agreements with Nassau County for the use and occupancy of County-owned property; now, therefore

BE IT ENACTED, by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. The County Government Law of Nassau Cow1ty is amended to add the following sections:

§1122(b). Required Disclosure's under Use and Occupancy Agreements.

Occupant Disclosure

Any person or organization, prior to executing any contract or agreement with the County of Nassau for the use and occupancy of County-owned property, or as otherwise required by 1122(d) shall cause to be filed with the County Attorney the following form:

COUNTY OF NASSAU

USE AND OCCUPANCY OF COUNTY OWNED PROPERTY DISCLOSURE FORM

1.	Name of the Person, Persons, or
	Entity:
	•
	Address:

	City, State and Zip Code:
2.	Entity's Vendor Identification Number:
3.	Type of Business: Public Corp Partnership Joint Venture
	Ltd. Liability Co Closely Held Corp Other (specify)
4.	List names and addresses of all proposed occupants and permittees or any principals; that is, all individuals serving on the Board of Directors or comparable body, all partners and limited partners, all corporate officers, all parties of Joint Ventures, and all members and officers of limited liability companies (attach additional sheets if necessary):
5.	List names and addresses of all shareholders, members, or partners of the firm. If the shareholder is not an individual, list the individual shareholders/partners/members. If a Publicly held Corporation, include a copy of the 10K in lieu of completing this section.

6. List all affiliated and related companies and their relationship to the firm or entity entered on line 1 above (if none, enter "None"). Attach a separate disclosure fom1 for each affiliated or subsidiary company that may take part in the performance of this contract.

	Such disclosure shall be updated to include affiliated or subsidiary companies not previously disclosed that participate in the performance of the contract.
7.	List all lobbyists whose services were utilized at any stage in this matter. The term "lobbyist" means any and every person or organization retained, employed or designated by any client to influence - or promote a matter before - Nassau County, its agencies, boards, commissions, department heads, legislators or committees, including but not limited to the Open Space and Parks Advisory Committee and Planning Commission. Such matters include, but are not limited to, requests for proposals, development or improvement of real property subject to County regulation, procurements. The te1m "lobbyist" does not include any officer, director, trustee, employee, counsel or agent of the County of Nassau, or State of New York, when discharging his or her official duties.
	(a) Name, title, business address and telephone number of lobbyist(s):
	(b) Describe lobbying activity of each lobbyist. See below for a complete description of lobbying activities.

(c) List whether and where the Nassau County, New York Stat	person/organization is registered as a lobbyist (e.g., re):
	must be signed by all proposed permittees prior to ith the County of Nassau for the use and occupancy of
he undersigned affirms and so swears atements and they are, to his/her know	s that he/she has read and understood the foregoing wledge, true and accurate.
ated:	Signed:
	Print Name:
	Title:

The term lobbying shall mean any attempt to. influence: any determination made by the Nassau County Legislature, or any member thereof, with respect to the introduction, passage,

defeat, or substance of any local legislation or resolution; any determination by the County Executive to support, oppose, approve or disapprove any local legislation or resolution, whether or not such legislation has been introduced in the County Legislature; any determination by an elected County official or an officer or employee of the County with respect to the procurement of goods, services or construction, including the preparation of contract specifications, including by not limited to the preparation of requests for proposals, or solicitation, award or administration of a contract or with respect to the solicitation, award or administration of a grant, loan, or agreement involving the disbursement of public monies; any determination made by the County Executive, County Legislature, or by the County of Nassau, its agencies, boards, commissions, department heads or committees, including but not limited to the Open Space and Parks Advisory Committee, the Planning Commission, with respect to the zoning, use, development or improvement of real property subject to County regulation, or any agencies, boards, commissions, department heads or committees with respect to requests for proposals bidding, procurement or contracting for services for the County; any determination made by an elected county official or an officer or employee of the county with respect to the terms of the acquisition or disposition by the county of any interest in real property, with respect to a license or permit for the use of real property of or by the county, or with respect to a franchise, concession or revocable consent; the proposal, adoption, amendment or rejection by an agency of any rule having the force and effect of law; the decision to hold, timing or outcome of any rate making proceeding before an agency; the agenda or any determination of a board or commission; any determination regarding the calendaring or scope of any legislature oversight hearing;

the issuance, repeal, modification or substance of a County Executive Order; or any determination made by an elected county official or an officer or employee of the county to support or oppose any state or federal legislation, rule or regulation, including any determination made to support or oppose that is contingent on any amendment of such legislation, rule or regulation, whether or not such legislation has been formally introduced and whether or not such rule or regulation has been formally proposed.

§1122(c) Disclosure of Campaign Contributions

Any person or organization, prior to the consideration of the Rules Committee of the Nassau County Legislature contract or agreement with the County of Nassau for the use and occupancy of County-owned property, or for contracts or agreements not subject to the review of the Rules Committee of the Nassau County Legislature, prior to the execution by the County Executive, shall file or cause to be filed with the County Attorney as an addendum to the Disclosure Form described in §1122(b) of the County Government Law of Nassau County the following form:

COUNTY OF NASSAU

POLITICAL CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION DISCLOSURE FORM

1. Has the entity or principals as described in section 4 of the Disclosure Form provided campaign contributions within the previous two years pursuant to the New York State Election Law to the campaign committees of any of the following Nassau County elected officials or to the campaign committees of any candidates for any of the following Nassau County elected officials: the County Executive, the County Clerk, the Comptroller, the District Attorney, or any

Comity Legislator? If yes, to what campaign committee?	
	ned by all proposed permittees prior to executing Nassau for the use and occupancy of County owned
The undersigned affirms and so sweal·s that statements and they al·e, to his/her knowledg	
	that the contribution(s) to the campaign committees at duress, threat or any promise of a governmental uneration.
Dated:	Signed:
	Print Name:
	Title:

§1122(d) Disclosure of Campaign Contributions by Existing Permittees

Within thirty days of the adoption of this local law, any permittee who occupies or resides on County property shall file or cause to be filed with the County Attorney both the Use and Occupancy of County Owned Property Disclosure Form set forth in \$1122(b) and the Political Contribution Disclosure Fom1 set forth in \$1122(c).

- §2. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 _N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the adoption of this local law is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) and (27) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - §3. This local law shall take effect immediately.

Introduced by: Presiding Officer Richard J. Nicolello, Deputy Presiding Officer Howard J. Kopel, Alternate Deputy Presiding Officer Denise Ford, and Legislators C. William Gaylor, III, Vincent T. Muscarella, James Kennedy, Thomas McKevitt, Laura Schaefer, John R. Ferretti, Jr., Rose Marie Walker, and Steven D. Rhoads

LOCAL LAW NO. -2021

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY TO EXP AND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS TO PERMITTEES UNDER USE AND OCCUPANCY PERMITS FOR COUNTY PROPERTY.

WHEREAS, this Legislature has enacted laws to provide for registration, increased oversight and public disclosure of vendors operating in or doing business with Nassau County; and

WHEREAS, in the interest of the public good such laws provide greater transparency as to the operations of County government and as to the activities of those entities or individuals that do business, seek to do business or enter into an agreement with Nassau County; and

WHEREAS, it is in the public interest to promote even greater transparency and enhanced disclosure with regard to campaign contribution activity to elected Nassau County officials and candidates for office by those entities that have entered into agreements with Nassau County for the use and occupancy of County-owned property; now, therefore

BE IT ENACTED, by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. The County Government Law of Nassau Cow1ty is amended to add the following sections:

§1122(b). Required Disclosure's under Use and Occupancy Agreements.

Occupant Disclosure

Entity:

Any person or organization, prior to executing any contract or agreement with the County of Nassau for the use and occupancy of County-owned property, or as otherwise required by 1122(d) shall cause to be filed with the County Attorney the following form:

COUNTY OF NASSAU

USE AND OCCUPANCY OF COUNTY OWNED PROPERTY DISCLOSURE FORM

1. Name of the Person, Persons, or

	Address:		
	City, State and Zip Code:		
2.	Entity's Vendor Identification Number:		
3.	Type of Business: Public Corp Partnership Joint Venture		
	Ltd. Liability Co Closely Held Corp Other (specify)		
4.	List names and addresses of all proposed occupants and permittees or any principals; that is, all individuals serving on the Board of Directors or comparable body, all partners and limited partners, all corporate officers, all parties of Joint Ventures, and all members and officers of limited liability companies (attach additional sheets if necessary):		
<u>5.</u>	List names and addresses of all shareholders, members, or partners of the firm. If the shareholder is not an individual, list the individual shareholders/partners/members. If a Publicly held Corporation, include a copy of the 10K in lieu of completing this section.		
6.	List all affiliated and related companies and their relationship to the firm or entity entered		

	on line 1 above (if none, enter "None"). Attach a separate disclosure fom1 for each affiliated or subsidiary company that may take part in the performance of this contract. Such disclosure shall be updated to include affiliated or subsidiary companies not previously disclosed that participate in the performance of the contract.
7.	List all lobbyists whose services were utilized at any stage in this matter. The term "lobbyist" means any and every person or organization retained, employed or designated by any client to influence - or promote a matter before - Nassau County, its agencies, boards, commissions, department heads, legislators or committees, including but not limited to the Open Space and Parks Advisory Committee and Planning Commission. Such matters include, but are not limited to, requests for proposals, development or improvement of real property subject to County regulation, procurements. The te1m "lobbyist" does not include any officer, director, trustee, employee, counsel or agent of the County of Nassau, or State of New York, when discharging his or her official duties. (a) Name, title, business address and telephone number of lobbyist(s):

(b) Describe lobbying activity of each lobbyist. See below for a complete description of lobbying activities.

(c) List whether and where the person/organ Nassau County, New York State):	nization is registered as a lobbyist (e.g.,
8. VERIFICATION: This section must be sign executing any contract or agreement with the Coun County-owned property.	
The undersigned affirms and so swears that he/she statements and they are, to his/her knowledge, true	
Dated:	Signed:
	Print Name:
	Title:

The term lobbying shall mean 11ny attempt to, influence: any determination made by the Nassau County Legislature, or any member thereof, with respect to the introduction, passage, defeat, or substance of any local legislation or resolution; any determination by the County Executive to support, oppose, approve or disapprove any local legislation or resolution, whether or not such legislation has been introduced in the County Legislature; any determination by an elected County official or an officer or employee of the County with respect to the procurement of goods, services or construction, including the preparation of contract specifications, including by not limited to the preparation of requests for proposals, or solicitation, award or administration of a contract or with respect to the solicitation, award or administration of a grant, loan, or agreement involving the disbursement of public monies; any determination made by the County Executive, County Legislature, or by the County of Nassau, its agencies, boards, commissions, department heads or committees, including but not limited to the Open Space and Parks Advisory Committee, the Planning Commission, with respect to the zoning, use, development or improvement of real property subject to County regulation, or any agencies, boards, commissions, department heads or committees with respect to requests for proposals bidding, procurement or contracting for services for the County; any determination made by an elected county official or an officer or employee of the county with respect to the terms of the acquisition or disposition by the county of any interest in real property, with respect to a license or permit for the use of real property of or by the county, or with respect to a franchise, concession or revocable consent; the proposal, adoption, amendment or rejection by an agency of any rule having the force and effect of law; the decision to hold, timing or outcome of any rate making proceeding before an agency; the agenda or any determination of a board or commission; any determination regarding the calendaring or scope of any legislature oversight hearing; the issuance, repeal, modification or substance of a County Executive Order; or any determination made by an elected county official or an officer or employee of the county to support or oppose any state or federal legislation, rule or regulation, including any determination made to support or oppose that is contingent on any amendment of such legislation, rule or regulation, whether or not such legislation has been formally introduced and whether or not such rule or regulation has been formally proposed.

§1122(c) Disclosure of Campaign Contributions

Any person or organization, prior to the consideration of the Rules Committee of the Nassau County Legislature contract or agreement with the County of Nassau for the use and occupancy of County-owned property, or for contracts or agreements not subject to the review of the Rules Committee of the Nassau County Legislature, prior to the execution by the County Executive, shall file or cause to be filed with the County Attorney as an addendum to the Disclosure Form described in §1122(b) of the County Government Law of Nassau County the following form:

COUNTY OF NASSAU

POLITICAL CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION DISCLOSURE FORM

1. Has the entity or principals as described in section 4 of the Disclosure Form provided campaign contributions within the previous two years pursuant to the New York State Election Law to the campaign committees of any of the following Nassau County elected officials or to the campaign committees of any candidates for any of the following Nassau County elected officials: the County Executive, the County Clerk, the Comptroller, the District Attorney, or any

Comity Legislator? If yes, to what campaign com-	mittee?
2. VERIFICATION: This section must be signed any contract or agreement with the County of Nas	
property.	
The undersigned affirms and so sweal·s that he/sl statements and they al·e, to his/her knowledge, tr	
The undersigned further certifies and affirms that identified above were made freely and without dubenefit or in exchange for any benefit or remuneration.	ress, threat or any promise of a governmental
Dated:	Signed:
	Print Name:
	Title:

§1122(d) Disclosure of Campaign Contributions by Existing Permittees

Within thirty days of the adoption of this local law, any permittee who occupies or resides on County property shall file or cause to be filed with the County Attorney both the Use and Occupancy of County Owned Property Disclosure Form set forth in § 1122(b) and the Political Contribution Disclosure Fom1 set forth in § 1122(c).

§2. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 _N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the adoption of this local law is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) and (27) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§3. This local law shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED LOCAL LAW -2021

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE IN RELATION TO PROHIBITING THE SALE OF ELECTRONIC AEROSOL DELIVERY SYSTEMS OR COMPONENTS OR PARTS WITHIN 1,000 LINEAR FEET OF A SCHOOL, PUBLIC PARK OR PLAYGROUND

WHEREAS, there are well-documented dangers posed to children from vaping and the use of e-cigarettes; and

WHEREAS, there is a manifest and overriding public interest in keeping vaping or ecigarette products away from places where children are most likely to congregate; and

WHEREAS, this Legislature wishes to prohibit business establishments from selling electronic aerosol delivery systems and components or parts 1,000 linear feet from a school, public park or playground; now, therefore

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNTY LEGISLATURE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Paragraph E. is added to § 9-25.3 of the Nassau County Administrative Code as follows:

E. No business establishment in Nassau County shall sell at retail any electronic aerosol delivery system or component or part if any part of the building in which the business is situated shall be located less than 1,000 linear feet from any property improved with a school for children who are less than 21 years of age, or from any public park or playground. All business establishments which are currently offering at retail any electronic aerosol delivery system or component or part thereof and are located within 1,000 linear feet of a school, park or playground

shall fully cease and desist from doing so upon a date not later than October 1, 2021, or else they shall be committing a prohibited act in violation of this section.

§2. Severability

If any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or part of this law or the application thereof to any person, individuals, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance shall be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unconstitutional, such order or judgment shall not affect, impair or invalidate the remainder thereof, but shall be confined in its operation to the clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section or part of this law, or in its application to the person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance directly involved in the controversy in which such order or judgment shall be rendered.

§ 3. SEQRA Determination. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L., section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. and section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the adoption of this local law is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) and (27) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§4. Effective Date

This Local Law shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED LOCAL LAW -2021

A LOCAL LAW TO PROHIBIT THE SMOKING OR VAPING OF CANNABIS IN ALL COUNTY OWNED PROPERTIES

WHEREAS, the New York State Legislature has passed, and Governor Cuomo has signed the "Marijuana Tax and Reform Act" legalizing the recreational use and sale of cannabis products in New York; and

WHEREAS, individuals over the age of 21 can smoke or vape cannabis products wherever smoking is allowed under the Clean Indoor Air Act; and

WHEREAS, the Clean Indoor Air Act prohibits the smoking or vaping of cannabis products in most workplaces, restaurants, bars, mass transportation, public transportation terminals, schools, colleges and universities, hospitals and indoor arenas; and

WHEREAS, the smoking or vaping of cannabis products in outdoor spaces remain unregulated; and

WHEREAS, it is in interest of Nassau County residents to prohibit the smoking and vaping of cannabis at all County-owned properties including but not limited to County-owned buildings, sidewalks, parking lots, parks, preserves, playgrounds, and beaches; NOW THEREFORE

BE IT ENACTED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau as follows:

Section 1. Title XX is added to the Miscellaneous Laws of Nassau County as follows:

Title XX

Prohibition against the Smoking or Vaping of Cannabis Products on County-Owned Properties § 1. Prohibition against the Smoking or Vaping of Cannabis Products on County-Owned Properties.

- a. No person shall smoke or vape cannabis or concentrated cannabis as those terms are defined by §222.00 of the New York State Penal Law on any County-owned property including but not limited to buildings, sidewalks, parking lots, parks, preserves, playgrounds, beaches, campgrounds, or any other county-owned open spaces.
- § 2. Penalties. A violation of section one of this local law shall be punishable by a civil penalty of \$200.00.
- §2. Severability. If any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or part of this law or tire application thereof to any person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance shall be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or

unconstitutional, such order or judgment shall not affect, impair, or invalidate the remainder thereof, but shall be confined in its operation to tire clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or part of this law, or in its application to the person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance directly involved in tire controversy in which such order or judgment shall be rendered.

- §3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of tire State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8.N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et. seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the adoption of this local law is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) and (27) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on tire environment; and no further review is required.
 - §4. This local law shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED LOCAL LAW __-2021

A LOCAL LAW TO AMEND TITLE 64 OF THE MISCELLANEOUS LAWS OF NASSAU COUNTY TO PREVENT THE CONSUMPTION OF CANNABIS BY MINORS AT PRIVATE HOMES

WHEREAS, the New York State Legislature has passed, and Governor Cuomo has signed the "Marijuana Tax and Reform Act" legalizing the recreational use and sale of cannabis products in New York; and

WHEREAS, with the removal of cannabis products as regulated drugs from the New York State Public Health Law, Nassau County's Social Host Law must be amended to prohibit any person over the age of eighteen that owns, rents or controls a private residence from knowingly allowing the use or consumption of cannabis products at such residence by any minor; NOW THEREFORE

BE IT ENACTED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau as follows:

Section 1. Title 64, §3(d) of the Miscellaneous Laws of Nassau County is amended to read as follows:

- d. "Drugs" shall mean any substance listed in schedule I, II, III, and IV of the New York State Public Health Law §3306, including but not limited to heroine, hydrocodone, oxycodone, fentanyl, anabolic steroids, and cocaine. "Drugs" shall also mean cannabis and concentrated cannabis as those terms are defined by §222.00 of the New York State Penal Law.
- §2. Severability. If any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or part of this law or the application thereof to any person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance shall be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unconstitutional, such order or judgment shall not affect, impair, or invalidate the remainder thereof, but shall be confined in its operation to the clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section, or part of this law, or in its application to the person, individual, corporation, firm, partnership, entity, or circumstance directly involved in the controversy in which such order or judgment shall be rendered.
- §3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8.N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et. seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the adoption of this local law is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) and (27) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - §4. This local law shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 30 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ORDINANCE NO. 174-2018, ADOPTING THE CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE COUNTY OF NASSAU FOR THE FIRST YEAR OF THE FOUR-YEAR CAPITAL PLAN, TO COMMENCE ON JANUARY 1, 2019, PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 310 OF THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY

WHEREAS, on March 25, 2019, pursuant to Section 310 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, the Nassau County Legislature by Ordinance No. 174-2018 approved and adopted the Capital Budget (the "Capital Budget") of the County of Nassau for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 2019 and ending December 31, 2019; and

WHEREAS, on March 25, 2019, pursuant to Section 310 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, the Nassau County Legislature by Resolution No. 202-2018 approved and adopted the four-year Capital Plan for the County of Nassau for the fiscal years beginning January 1, 2019 and ending December 31, 2022 (the "Capital Plan"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 310 of such County Government Law, the County Executive may propose, at any time subsequent to the passage of the ordinance approving the Capital Budget, an ordinance to amend the Capital Budget; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, based upon a review of the Capital Budget priorities by the Nassau County Department of Public Works, proposes that the amounts described herein be authorized in the Capital Budget for certain projects as hereinafter set forth in Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein; and

WHEREAS, the Office of Management and Budget has recommended this amendment to the capital budget with respect to the amendment contained in this ordinance; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by County Legislature of the County of Nassau as follows:

Section 1. The Capital Budget, as adopted by Ordinance No. 174-2018, is amended to the extent indicated in Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein with respect to the projects highlighted in such Appendix and the amounts for such projects listed under the column headings, "Cumulative Budget (Pre 2019 Budget)", "Expenditures Through 2018", "Carry Forward", "2019 County Debt", "2019 County Self-Funding", "2019 Non-County", and "2019 TOTAL".

Section 2. This ordinance, including Appendix A, may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 31 - 2021

BOND ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR A CAPITAL EXPENDITURE TO FINANCE THE CAPITAL PROJECT IDENTIFIED HEREIN WITHIN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AND AUTHORIZING \$49,200,606 OF BONDS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO FINANCE SUCH EXPENDITURE PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL FINANCE LAW OF NEW YORK AND THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY.

WHEREAS, this bond ordinance is necessary to authorize bonds for the purpose of funding projects contemplated by capital budgets as provided in Section 310 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; and

WHEREAS, all necessary Federal, State, County and local permits, approvals and determinations of environmental impact for the purpose or purposes hereinafter referred to have been obtained or will have been obtained prior to the expenditure of proceeds of obligations to be authorized pursuant to this ordinance; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED, by the affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds (2/3rd) of the total voting strength of the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, New York, as follows:

Section 1. A capital expenditure for financing the cost of various objects or purposes, as described in the preambles hereto and identified under the heading "Project" on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein, in the County of Nassau (hereinafter referred to as the "County"), is hereby authorized upon recommendation of the County Executive, the amount of such capital expenditure to be \$49,200,606 which shall be financed with the proceeds from the issuance of \$49,200,606 bonds.

Section 2. The County may issue its bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$49,200,606 pursuant to the Local Finance Law of New York (hereinafter referred to as the "LFL") in order to finance such objects or purposes or classes of objects or purposes (hereinafter referred to as the "Purpose") described in Section 1 hereof.

Section 3. The County Legislature has determined and hereby states that the estimated aggregate maximum cost of such Purpose, including preliminary costs and costs incidental thereto and the financing thereof, is \$49,200,606. The plan of financing includes \$49,200,606 to be raised by the issuance of bonds authorized by this ordinance, and the levy and collection of taxes on all the taxable real property of the County to pay the principal of said bonds and the interest thereon as the same shall be come due and payable.

Section 4. The County Legislature hereby determines that the periods of probable usefulness (each, a "PPU") of each component Project of the Purpose for which said \$49,200,606 bonds authorized pursuant to this ordinance are to be issued, within the limitations of the applicable subdivision of paragraph a. of Section 11.00 of the Law identified under the heading "LFL" on Appendix A attached hereto and incorporated herein, are identified under the heading "PPU" on said Appendix A.

Section 5. Subject to the terms and conditions of this ordinance and the LFL, and pursuant to the provisions of the LFL, the powers and duties of the County Legislature relative to authorizing the issuance of and to sell bond anticipation notes in anticipation of the issuance and sale of the bonds herein authorized, including renewals of such notes, is hereby delegated to the County Treasurer, the chief fiscal officer. Such notes shall be of such terms, form and contents, and shall be sold in such manner, as may be prescribed by said County Treasurer, consistent with the provisions of the LFL.

Section 6. All other matters except as provided herein relating to the bonds herein authorized including the date, denominations, maturities and interest payment dates, within the limitations prescribed herein and the manner of execution of the same, including the consolidation with other bond issues, and also the ability to issue bonds with substantially level

or declining annual debt service, shall be determined by the County Treasurer, the chief fiscal officer of such County.

Section 7. The faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged for the payment of the principal of and interest on such bonds and notes as the same become due and payable. An annual appropriation shall be made in each year sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on such bonds and notes becoming due and payable in such year.

Section 8. The validity of such bonds and bond anticipation notes may be contested only if:

- Such obligations are authorized for an object or purpose for which said County
 is not authorized to expend money, or
- 2) The provisions of law which should be complied with as the date of publication of this ordinance are not substantially complied with, and an action, suit or proceeding contesting such validity is commenced within twenty (20) days after the date of such publication, or
- 3) Such obligations are authorized in violation of the provisions of the Constitution.

Section 9. This ordinance shall constitute a statement of official intent for purposes of Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2. Other than as specified in this ordinance, no monies are, or are reasonably expected to be, reserved, allocated on a long-term basis, or otherwise set aside with respect to the permanent funding of the object or purpose described herein.

Section 10. This ordinance, which takes effect immediately, shall be published in summary in the official newspaper of said County together with a notice of the Clerk of the County Legislature in substantially the form set forth in paragraph a of Section 81.00 of the Local Finance Law.

Section 11. It is hereby determined pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County that each Project identified on Appendix A attached hereto, if any, and incorporated herein as "Type II", if any, under the heading "SEQRA" is a "Type II Action" within the meaning of Section 617.5(c) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment and no further review is required. A record of each such determination shall be maintained in a file, readily accessible to the public, at the office of the Clerk of the Legislature.

Section 12. This ordinance, including Appendix A, may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of said Legislature.

APPENDIX A

Appendix A

General Capital

Project	Project Title	Amount	PPU	PPU LFL	SEQRA	SEQRA SECTION
60052	Lido Beach Drainage	\$226,749	40	11.00.a.4	TYPE II	617.5(c)(18)
61129	Island Park Streetscape	\$795,067	15	11.00.a.20(d)	TYPE II	617.5(c)(2)
90404	Various County Facilities - Fire Alarm/Protection and Security Systems	\$500,000	10	11.00.a.25	TYPE II	617.5(c)1.2
60045	Park Street Drainage Improvements Atlantic Beach	\$4,325,000	40	11.00.a.4	TYPE II	617.5(c)(2),(4),(18)
41876	Centennial Park Improvements	\$700,000	15	11.00.a.19(c)	TYPE II	617.5(c)(2)
61587	Resurfacing Various County Roads	\$32,500,000	15	11.00.a.20(c)(d)	TYPE II	617.5(c)(4)
61149	Bellmore Avenue Rehabilitation	\$2,000,000	15	11.00.a.20(d)	TYPE II	617.5(c)(2)
61125	Manorhaven Blvd, Manorhaven Road Improvements	\$1,100,000	15	11.00.a.20(c)(d)	TYPE II	617.5(c)(2)
50619	Police Department Ambulance Replacement	\$500,000	10	11.00.a.27-a	TYPE II	617.5(c)(25)

\$42,646,816

SSW

Project	Project Title	Amount	PPU	PPU LFL	SEQRA	SEQRA SECTION
82017	Bay Park - East Rockaway Drainage Improvements	\$2,288,924	40	11.00.a.4	TYPE II	617.5(c)(21)
35131	Cedarhurst Pump Station	\$157,797	40	11.00.a.4	TYPE II	617.5(c)(2)
35132	Lawrence Drainage Pipe Improvements	\$3,812,751	40	11.00.a.4	TYPE II	617.5(c)(21)
82019	Silver Lake Drainage Improvements	\$294,318	40	11.00.a.4	TYPE II	617.5(c)(21)

\$6,553,790

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO.32-2021

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 3.33 OF ORDINANCE NO. 543-1995, WITH RESPECT TO CHILD CARE LEAVE FOR NON-CONTRACT EMPLOYEES OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

BE IT ORDAINED, by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. Section 3.33 of Ordinance No. 543-1995 is amended to read as follows:

3.33 Child Care Leave

- (a) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (d) of this section, child care leave shall be provided without pay or benefits to officers and employees for parenthood. Such leave shall commence within one hundred twenty (120) calendar days of the birth of a child parented by the officer or employee, or one hundred twenty (120) calendar days of the adoption by an officer or employee of a child less than five (5) years of age. Child care leave shall extend up to two (2) calendar years. Leave under this subdivision shall run concurrently with vacation leave, personal leave or any other accrued leave entitlement that is used for such child care purposes as well as with any paid leave taken pursuant to subdivision d of this section. In no event may any leave for child care purposes extend beyond two years, except as provided in subdivision c of this section.(b) Only one (1) marital spouse may be on child care leave at any one time, and no officer or employee shall be eligible for child care leave until after the completion of one (1) full year of actual completed service.
- (c) A department head may elect to extend an additional one (1) calendar year leave of absence without pay to eligible officers and employees for extended child care purposes.

- (d) Child care leave following the birth or adoption of a child as provided in subdivision (a) of this section shall be provided with pay and benefits to officers or employees of the District Attorney's Office for twelve consecutive weeks. This period shall be referred to as the 12-week paid plan. The 12-week paid plan may be used before any approved accrued leave entitlement, including vacation leave and personal leave. An officer or employee of the District Attorney's Office may take child care leave under the 12-week paid plan under the following circumstances:
- (i) The officer or employee has previously been on paid child care leave pursuant to this subdivision no more than two times and it has been at least one year since the officer or employee returned to County service following any prior paid child care leave; and
- (ii) The officer or employee has completed two (2) full years of actual completed service to the County, excluding any prior public service credit awarded pursuant to section 5.2 of this Ordinance for prior service to the State or another municipal subdivision.

Nothing precludes an officer or employee from declining paid leave under this subdivision while receiving the leave entitlement in subdivision (a) of this section, provided that no officer or employee may extend the two-year period of leave for child care purposes authorized in subdivision (a) by taking the paid leave authorized pursuant to this subdivision subsequent to the expiration of such two-year period.

(e) Following a period of child care leave which includes leave with pay and benefits pursuant to subdivision (d) of this section, the officer or employee must serve a minimum of two (2) years of actual completed service to the County. Officers or employees who do not serve the minimum of two (2) years of actual completed service to the County following a period of child care leave with pay and benefits must reimburse the County for the value of said days of paid child care leave taken less than two years prior to separation of service from the County either through

a reduction of their termination pay computed under section 3.6 of this ordinance and/or payment to the County of its monetary equivalent. The value of the paid child care leave taken by the officer of employee shall be computed at the officer's or employee's rate of pay in effect at the time the officer or employee separated from County service. Any officer or employee taking paid leave for child care pursuant to subdivision (d) of this section shall enter into an agreement in a form approved by the County Attorney's Office that requires the officer or employee to comply with the terms of this subdivision.

§ 2. Severability.

If any provision of this Ordinance or the application of such provision to any person or circumstance shall be held unconstitutional or invalid, the constitutionality or validity of the remainder of this ordinance and the applicability of such provision to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

§ 3. SEQRA Determination.

This Legislature, being the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) lead agency, hereby finds and determines that this law constitutes a Type II action pursuant to Section 617(c)(20), (21), and/or (27) of Title 6 of the New York Code of Rules and Regulations (6 NYCRR) and within the meaning of section 8-0109(2) of the New York Conservation Law as a promulgation of regulations, rules, policies, procedures, and legislative decisions in connection with continuing agency administration, management and information collection.

§ 4. Effective Date.

This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 33 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Office of Management and Budget.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated February 17, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

BABU20000012

TOTAL	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
AMOUNT					
(in dollars)		FUND	DEPT.	OBJ.	AMOUNT
		FOND	CODE/Index	CODE	(in dollars)
\$3,000,000	COVID-19 Fund (FEMA	COV	ES	BB	\$500,000
	Pandemic)				
		COV	ES	DD	\$1,500,000
		COV	ES	DE	\$1,000,000

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - § 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 34 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated February 24, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
(iii donars)		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
50,000	District Attorney's Office	GRT	PD	DD	50,000

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section

617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 35 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 15, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

BAPD21000013

TOTAL	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
AMOUNT (in dollars)					
		FUND	DEPT.	OBJ.	AMOUNT
			CODE/Index	CODE	(in dollars)
\$50,000	New York State Division	GRT	PD	AA	\$ 39,035
	of Homeland Security and				
	Emergency Services				
		GRT	PD	AB	\$ 10,965

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - § 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 36 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 15, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

BAPD21000012

TOTAL AMOUNT	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
(in dollars)					
		FUND	DEPT.	OBJ.	AMOUNT
			CODE/Index	CODE	(in dollars)
\$796,601.60	U.S. Department of	GRT	PD	AA	\$796,601.60
	Health and Human				
	Services				

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 37 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Probation.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 18, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
(in dollars)		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
\$ 307,672	New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives	GRT	РВ	AA	\$ 220,000.00
		GRT	PB	AB	\$ 50,893.44
		GRT	PB	DE	\$ 36,778.56

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - § 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 38 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Probation.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 18, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
\$ 575,500	New York State Office of Children & Family Services	GRT	РВ	AA	\$ 94,800
		GRT	PB	DE	\$ 480,700

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 39 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 15, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

BAPD21000014

TOTAL AMOUNT	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
(in dollars)		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
\$202,000	U.S. Department of Justice	GRT	PD	AA	\$ 85,800
		GRT	PD	AB	\$ 23,300
		GRT	PD	BB	\$ 92,900

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - § 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 40 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 15, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

BAPD21000010

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
(iii donars)		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
\$150,000.00	Civil Forfeiture Account	GRT	PD	DD	\$150,000.00

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this

supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 41 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Public Works.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 29, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
\$ 10,295,948	New York State Department of Transportation	GRT	RE	DE	\$ 10,295,948

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this

supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 42 - 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 15, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

BAPD21000011

TOTAL AMOUNT	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
(in dollars)		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
\$81,464	U.S. Department of Justice	GRT	PD	AA	\$61,694
		GRT	PD	AB	\$17,333
		GRT	PD	DD	\$2,437

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - § 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 43 - 2021

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE AND TO TRANSFER APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN TO RECONCILE THE COUNTY'S FINANCIAL RECORDS FOR THE BUDGET YEAR OF 2020

WHEREAS, it has been determined that certain transfers are needed to close the fiscal year of 2020; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 7, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that transfers of appropriations heretofore made and a supplemental appropriation are required; and

WHEREAS, this transfer and supplemental appropriation have been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BT-Year End 2020 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER- YEAR END 2020

General Fund:

BTCW20000063

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	FB GEN 3800- AB10F	General Fund Fringe Benefits- Fringe Benefits	\$10,959,199.07
	SS GEN 7300- XX898	Social Services- Medicaid	\$9,741,480.79
	HE GEN 5100- PP798	Health Department- Early Intervention/ Special Education	\$2,010,462.76
	TOTAL		\$22,711,142.62
ТО	HE GEN 5400- PP797	Health Department- Early Intervention/Special Education	\$9,358,437.46
	BU GEN 3100- AA97Z	Office of Mgmt. and Budget- Salaries, Wages & Fees	\$7,476,199.00
	AS GEN 1100- 87987	Assessment- Other Suits and Damages	\$3,817,393.16
	BU GEN 1800- L7777	Office of Mgmt. and Budget- Transfer for COVID Fund	\$2,059,113.00
	TOTAL		\$22,711,142.62

BTCW20000064

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	HE GEN 5100- PP798	Health Department- Early Intervention/ Special Education	\$2,588,235.86
	TOTAL		\$2,588,235.86
то	BU GEN 1770- NA9NA	Office Mgmt. and Budget- NCIFA Expenditures	\$800,000.00
	SS GEN 6600- WW847	Social Services- Emergency Vendor Payments	\$670,475.48
	CC GEN 1540- DE547	Corrections Department- Contractual Services	\$487,391.96
	CC GEN 1110- DE547	Corrections Department- Contractual Services	\$ 20,964.61
	LE GEN 1000- L6666	Legislature- Transfer to EBF Fund	\$146,650.00
	PW GEN 0150- DF557	Public Works- Utility Costs	\$109,811.11
	LR GEN 1000- DE547	Labor Relations- Contractual Services	\$92,559.10
	CC GEN 1120- AC98F	Corrections Department- Workers Compensation	\$91,875,82
	LE GEN 1500- L6666	Legislature- Transfer to EBF Fund	\$63,675.00
	SS GEN 5300- WW847	Social Services- Emergency Vendor Payments	\$55,423.20
	CC GEN 1540- DF557	Corrections Department- Utility Costs	\$16,942.80
	CC GEN 1510-DF557	Corrections Department- Utility Costs	\$5,614.42
	EL GEN 3000- DD497	Board of Elections- General Expenses	\$19,261.31
	PW GEN 0320- BB197	Public Works- Equipment	\$3,946.94
	CE GEN 1000- BB197	County Executive- Equipment	\$3,231.11
	SS GEN 7200- WW847	Social Services- Emergency Vendor Payments	\$413.00
	TOTAL		\$2,588,235.86

BTCW20000069

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	LE GEN 1500 - BB198	Legislature - Equipment	\$6,903.00
	LE GEN 1500 – DD498	Legislature – General Expenses	\$6,607.77
	TOTAL		\$13,510.77
ТО	LE GEN 1500 – L6666	Legislature – Transfer to EBF Fund	\$13,510.77
	TOTAL		\$13,510.77

BTCW20000065

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	SS GEN 1000- HF597	Social Services- Interdepartmental Charges	\$484,577.00
	TOTAL		\$484,577.00
ТО	PW GEN 0320- HF597	Public Works- Interdepartmental Charges	\$256,061.00
	PW GEN 0644- HF597	Public Works- Interdepartmental Charges	\$228,516.00
	TOTAL		\$484,577.00

Fire Commission Fund:

BTCW20000066

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	FC FCF 1200- AA98Z	Fire Commission Fund – Salaries, Wages & Fees	\$2,106,872.18
	FB FCF 1000- AB10F	Fire Commission Fund – Fringe Benefits	\$1,835,018.42
	FC FCF 1500- AA98Z	Fire Commission Fund – Salaries, Wages & Fees	\$474,558.01
	TOTAL		\$4,416,448.61
ТО	FC FCF 1000- LB611	Fire Commission Fund – Transfer to General Fund	\$4,416,448.61
	TOTAL		\$4,416,448.61

Police Headquarters Fund:

BTCW20000067

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	PD PDH 1500- AA98Z	Police Headquarters- Salaries, Wages & Fees	\$17,358,830.73
	FB PDH 1000- AB10F	Police Headquarters- Fringe Benefits	\$548,668.96
	TOTAL		\$17,907,499.69
то	PD PDH 1100- AC98F	Police Headquarters- Workers Compensation	\$257,853.97
	PD PDH 1153- DD497	Police Headquarters- General Expenses	\$213,064.15
	PD PDH 1100- LB611	Police Headquarters- Transfer to General Fund	\$16,887,912.61
	PD PDH 1100- LB611	Police Headquarters- Transfer to General Fund	\$548,668.96
	TOTAL		\$17,907,499.69

Police District Fund:

BTCW20000068

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	PD PDD 2400- AA98Z	Police District- Salaries, Wages & Fees	\$817,177.03
	TOTAL		\$817,177.03
то	PD PDD 2600- BB197	Police District- Equipment	\$532,271.03
	PD PDD 2600- HF597	Police District- Interdepartmental Charges	\$284,906.00
	TOTAL		\$817,177.03

and

WHEREAS, the said transfer of appropriations and supplemental appropriation is recommended by the County Executive in said communication and is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. The County Legislature does also hereby authorize the said transfer of appropriations heretofore made in order to close fiscal year 2020, as hereinabove set forth; and

§2. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following

sums of money to the following accounts:

BACO20000003

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
\$429,332.02	Disputed Assessment Fund – Revenue	DAF	BUDAF8000	LB611	429,332.02
	TOTAL:				429,332.02

- §3. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- §4. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - §5. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 44 – 2021

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Human Services.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 15, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
\$ 350,000	New York State Office of Children and Family Services	GRT	HS	DE	\$ 350,000

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this

supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 41 - 2021

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIMS OF PLAINTIFFS, AS SET FORTH IN THE ACTION ENTITLED *GRAMERCY GROUP, INC. V. COUNTY OF NASSAU*, ADV. PROC. NO. 8-19-08160 PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.

WHEREAS, Gramercy Group, Inc. (the "Plaintiff") commenced an action against the County of Nassau (the "County"), entitled *Gramercy Group, Inc. v. County of Nassau*, Adv. Proc. No. 8-19-08160, alleging certain violations of their rights, and the County has agreed to make payment to Plaintiff in the amount of \$200,000.00 inclusive of attorneys' fees in full settlement of all possible claims Plaintiff may have against the County in the above titled action; and

WHEREAS, the County Attorney has caused an investigation and analysis to be made of the said action and as a result thereof recommends that it be settled in the amount set forth above; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Attorney be and is hereby authorized and directed to settle the said action in the amount as indicated above, provided that, if any payment arising from said action is to be made from the proceeds of a borrowing, that a bond ordinance to finance such settlement is adopted by this Legislature and any borrowing pursuant to such bond ordinance is approved by the Nassau County Interim Finance Authority, if such approval is required; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Treasurer be and is hereby authorized to pay a maximum of \$200,000.00 by check or checks payable as directed by the County Attorney, said check or checks to be delivered to the County Attorney and thereupon delivered to the attorneys for Plaintiff upon receipt of a Settlement Agreement and Limited Release; and be it further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this settlement is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(29) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 42 - 2021

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH THE CAPITAL DISTRICT YOUTH CENTER, INC. IN RELATION TO THE USE OF A REGIONAL SECURE DETENTION FACILITY.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 218-a of the New York State County Law ("County Law 218-a"), Nassau County is required to provide for adequate detention of alleged or convicted adolescent offenders in a specialized secure detention facility;

WHEREAS, County Law 218-a authorizes counties to enter into inter-governmental agreements to meet the requirements for a county facility for secured detention;

WHEREAS, Albany, Rensselaer, Saratoga, and Schenectady counties entered into a municipal cooperation agreement to create the Capital District Youth Center, Inc. (the "CDYCI"), a not-for-profit corporation controlled by the four counties for the purpose of administering the provisions of County Law 218-a to provide a secure detention facility; and

WHEREAS, Nassau County desires to utilize the CDYCI's detention facility for its eligible adolescent offenders, as well as eligible juvenile delinquents and juvenile offenders, pursuant to and in accordance with County Law 218-a, all as is more particularly set forth in the proposed County Use Agreement ("Use Agreement") between Nassau County and the CDYCI, a copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Legislature.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature hereby authorizes the County Executive to execute the said Use Agreement; provided, however, that the maximum amount authorized by this Resolution is One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00). Additional approval by the Nassau County Legislature shall be required for any increase to this maximum amount authorization.

A RESOLUTION to authorize the execution of a Tax Exemption Extension Agreement between the County of Nassau (the "County") and Halandia Associates - Baldwin L.P. ("Halandia").

WHEREAS, Halandia Associates - Baldwin, L.P., a redevelopment company organized pursuant to Article V of the New York Private Housing Finance Law (the "PHFL") owns and operates the Halandia Shores Apartments, an affordable income senior community located at 2878 Grand Avenue, Baldwin, New York identified as Section 54, Block U, Lots 39 & 42 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map (the "Premises"); and

WHEREAS, the Premises consists of 132 rental units leased exclusively to senior citizens aged 62 and older all of whom are recipients of governmental financial assistance, either in the form of rental subsidies under Section 8 of the Housing Act of 1937 or disability benefits; and

WHEREAS, Halandia and the County entered into a Tax Exemption Agreement dated December 5, 1980 (the "Tax Exemption Agreement") in conjunction with the redevelopment of the Premises for the Halandia Shores Apartments as described herein. The Tax Exemption Agreement provided for an exemption from all County, Town and School District taxes, other than assessments for local improvements, of one hundred percent (100%) of the value of the Premises together with the improvements to be constructed thereon which represented an increase over the assessed valuation of the

Premises, both land and improvements, at the time of the conveyance of the Premises to Halandia and established an annual payment in lieu taxes (inclusive of all real estate taxes) of ninety-five thousand dollars (\$95,000.00) for each calendar year of the term of the agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Tax Exemption Agreement expired on December 31, 2020 and Halandia has determined that an extension of the Agreement pursuant to Article V, Section 125(1)(a-3) of the PHFL is necessary in order to maintain the Premises as an affordable senior citizen housing facility and to provide safe, clean and affordable housing for seniors in Nassau County; and

WHEREAS, Article V, Section 125(1)(a-3) of the New York Private Housing Finance Law provides that "the local legislative body of any municipality may grant an additional tax exemption period for any project......that received a tax exemption under paragraph (a) of this subdivision, upon the expiration of the tax exemption period", and further provides that "the additional tax exemption period may be for a term of forty years,....."; and

WHEREAS, the County acts on behalf of itself, the Town, Special Districts and the School District in assessing real property for the purposes of taxation within the meaning of and in accordance with Section 125(c) and (d) of the PHFL.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AS FOLLOWS:

RESOLVED, that this Legislature hereby approves and the County Executive be and is hereby authorized to execute the Tax Exemption Extension Agreement on behalf of the County with Halandia, a copy of which shall be on file in the Office of the Clerk of the County Legislature of Nassau County, and it is further

RESOLVED, that the County Executive is hereby authorized to execute any and all ancillary documents and to take such other action as is necessary to carry out the purposes of the Tax Exemption Extension Agreement, and it is further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the proposed action has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and that no further review is required, and be it further

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately

A RESOLUTION to authorize the execution of a Tax Exemption Extension Agreement between the County of Nassau (the "County") and New Greenwich Gardens Associates LLC ("Greenwich").

WHEREAS, Greenwich is the successor-in-interest to Greenwich Gardens Associates, a redevelopment company organized pursuant to Article V of the New York Private Housing Finance Law (the "PHFL"). Greenwich owns and operates the Greenwich Gardens Apartments, an affordable income senior community located at 155 Greenwich Street, Hempstead, New York identified as Section 34, Block 360, Lot 864 on the Nassau County Land and Tax Map (the "Premises"); and

WHEREAS, the Premises consists of 294 rental units leased exclusively to senior citizens aged 62 and older all of whom are recipients of governmental financial assistance, either in the form of rental subsidies under Section 8 of the Housing Act of 1937 or disability benefits; and

WHEREAS, Greenwich Gardens Associates and the County entered into a Tax Exemption Agreement dated July 12, 1977 (the "Tax Exemption Agreement") in conjunction with the redevelopment of the Premises for the Greenwich Gardens Apartments as described herein. The Tax Exemption Agreement provided for an exemption from all County, Town, Village and School District taxes, other than assessments for local improvements, of one hundred percent (100%) of the value of the Premises together with the

improvements to be constructed thereon which represented an increase over the assessed valuation of the Premises, both land and improvements, at the time of the conveyance of the Premises to Greenwich and established an annual payment in lieu taxes (inclusive of all real estate taxes) of one hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$125,000.00) for each calendar year of the term of the agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Tax Exemption Agreement expired on December 31, 2020 and Greenwich has determined that an extension of the Agreement pursuant to Article V, Section 125(1)(a-3) of the PHFL is necessary in order to maintain the Premises as an affordable senior citizen housing facility and to provide safe, clean and affordable housing for seniors in Nassau County; and

WHEREAS, Article V, Section 125(1)(a-3) of the New York Private Housing Finance Law provides that "the local legislative body of any municipality may grant an additional tax exemption period for any project......that received a tax exemption under paragraph (a) of this subdivision, upon the expiration of the tax exemption period", and further provides that "the additional tax exemption period may be for a term of forty years,....."; and

WHEREAS, the County acts on behalf of itself, the Town, Special Districts and the School District in assessing real property for the purposes of taxation within the meaning of and in accordance with Section 125(c) and (d) of the PHFL.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AS FOLLOWS:

RESOLVED, that this Legislature hereby approves and the County Executive be and is hereby authorized to execute the Tax Exemption Extension Agreement on behalf of the County with Greenwich, a copy of which shall be on file in the Office of the Clerk of the County Legislature of Nassau County, and it is further

RESOLVED, that the County Executive is hereby authorized to execute any and all ancillary documents and to take such other action as is necessary to carry out the purposes of the Tax Exemption Extension Agreement, and it is further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the proposed action has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and that no further review is required, and be it further

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 45 – 2021

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN INTER-MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH THE VILLAGE OF SEA CLIFF IN RELATION TO A PROJECT TO RESTORE TWO (2) BALLFIELDS AT CLIFTON PARK FOR THE VILLAGE

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau (the "County") and the Village of Sea Cliff (the "Village") are authorized, pursuant to Article 5-G of the General Municipal Law to enter into intergovernmental agreements; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interests of the County share resources in the undertaking of municipal projects and other purposes through joint projects or programs with other municipalities and districts; and

WHEREAS, the Village is interested in undertaking a project to restore two (2) baseball fields at Clifton Park for the Village (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village believe it to be in the best interest of the taxpayers of their respective municipalities to authorize intermunicipal cooperation with respect to the mutual covenants set forth in the proposed Agreement, on file with the Clerk of the Legislature; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature authorizes the County Executive to execute the said agreement with the Village, in relation to the aforesaid Project; and be it further

RESOLVED that pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County said Project is a "Type II Action" within the meaning of Section 617.5(c) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 46 – 2021

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS, AND MUSEUMS AT MITCHEL DBA CRADLE OF AVIATION

WHEREAS, Nassau County ("County") has received funding from the State of New York pursuant to State Tax Law section 1202-q, and appropriated said funds to the Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums ("Department") in accordance with said law in order to support programs and activities relevant to the enhancement of cultural in the County; and

WHEREAS, the Department has determined that funding shall be awarded to Museums at Mitchel dba Cradle of Aviation, an existing not-for-profit organization located within the County for the continuation and enhancement of cultural growth in the County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature authorizes the County Executive to execute the said agreement with Museums at Mitchel dba Cradle of Aviation.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 47 – 2021

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS, AND THE AFRICAN ATLANTIC GENEALOGICAL SOCIETY, INC.

WHEREAS, Nassau County ("County") has received funding from the State of New York pursuant to State Tax Law section 1202-q, and appropriated said funds to the Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums ("Department") in accordance with said law in order to support programs and activities relevant to the enhancement of cultural in the County; and

WHEREAS, the Department has determined that funding shall be awarded to the African Atlantic Genealogical Society, Inc., an existing not-for-profit organization located within the County for the continuation and enhancement of cultural growth in the County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature authorizes the County Executive to execute the said agreement with the African Atlantic Genealogical Society, Inc.

.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 48 -2021

A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S APPOINTMENT OF RIZWAN QURESHI TO THE NASSAU COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 203 AND 902 OF THE NASSAU COUNTY CHARTER.

WHEREAS, the Honorable Laura Curran, County Executive, has transmitted to this Legislature written notification of the appointment of Rizwan Qureshi to the Nassau County Board of Health, to fill a position previously held by the Dr. Abby Greenberg, whose term expired on December 31, 2018, pursuant to Section 203 and Section 902 of the Nassau County Charter; and

WHEREAS, such appointment is subject to confirmation by this Legislature; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, the appointment of Rizwan Qureshi to fill a position on the Nassau County Board of Health previously held by Dr. Abby Greenberg, whose term expired on December 31, 2018, for a term ending December 31, 2023, is hereby effective immediately; and be it further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this appointment is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 49 - 2021

A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S APPOINTMENT OF JOHN M. FABIAN TO THE NASSAU COUNTY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION EXTENSION BOARD ("VEEB").

WHEREAS, the Honorable Laura Curran, County Executive, has transmitted to this Legislature written notification of the appointment of John M. Fabian to replace Keith Scalia on the Nassau County Vocational Education Extension Board ("VEEB"), pursuant to Section 203 of the Nassau County Charter and Section 1101 of the New York Education Law for a term ending December 31, 2027; and

WHEREAS, such appointment is subject to confirmation by this Legislature; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, said appointment of John M. Fabian to serve on the Nassau County Vocational Education Extension Board, is hereby confirmed, effective immediately; and be it further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this appointment is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 50-2021

A RESOLUTION CONFIRMING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S APPOINTMENT OF MICHAEL MCDERMOTT TO THE NASSAU COUNTY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION EXTENSION BOARD ("VEEB").

WHEREAS, the Honorable Laura Curran, County Executive, has transmitted to this Legislature written notification of the appointment of Michael McDermott to the Nassau County Vocational Education Extension Board ("VEEB"), to fill a position currently occupied by Bernard P. Jaffe, whose term expired on December 31, 2020, pursuant to Section 203 of the Nassau County Charter and Section 1101 of the New York Education Law for a term ending on December 31, 2029; and

WHEREAS, such appointment is subject to confirmation by this Legislature; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, the appointment of Michael McDermott to fill a position on the Nassau County Vocational Education Extension Board currently occupied by Bernard P. Jaffe, whose term expired on December 31, 2020, for a term ending on December 31, 2029, is hereby confirmed effective immediately; and be it further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this appointment is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 51-2021

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO THE RETIREMENT OF MEMBERS WHO SERVE AS POLICE MEDICS, POLICE MEDIC SUPERVISORS AND MEMBERS WHO PERFORM POLICE MEDIC RELATED SERVICES IN THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature pursuant to Section Two of Article IX of the Constitution of the State of New York, hereby requests the Legislature to enact and the Governor to approve the following bills:

S. 2934

A. 3476

ENACTED: "AN ACT" to amend the retirement and social security law, in relation to the retirement of members who serve as police medics, police medic supervisors and members who perform police medic related services in the Nassau County Police Department.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 52- 2021

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO PROVIDING PERFORMANCE OF DUTY DISABILITY RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR THE EMPLOYEE TITLES POLICE MEDIC, POLICE MEDIC SUPERVISOR, POLICE MEDIC COORDINATOR, BUREAU DIRECTOR EMERGENCY AMBULANCE, AND DEPUTY BUREAU DIRECTOR EMERGENCY AMBULANCE IN THE EMPLOY OF NASSAU COUNTY

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature pursuant to Section Two of Article IX of the Constitution of the State of New York, hereby requests the Legislature to enact and the Governor to approve the following bills:

S. 3100

A. 6492

ENACTED: "AN ACT" to amend the retirement and social security law, in relation to providing performance of duty disability retirement benefits for the employee titles police medic, police medic supervisor, police medic coordinator, bureau director emergency ambulance, and deputy bureau director emergency ambulance in the employ of Nassau County.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 53- 2021

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO ESTABLISHING A DEATH BENEFIT FOR DEPUTY SHERIFFS EMPLOYED BY NASSAU COUNTY

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature pursuant to Section Two of Article IX of the Constitution of the State of New York, hereby requests the Legislature to enact and the Governor to approve the following bills:

S. 3759

A. 6511

ENACTED: "AN ACT" to amend the retirement and social security law, in relation to establishing a death benefit for deputy sheriffs employed by Nassau County.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 54- 2021

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO PROVIDING ACCIDENTAL DISABILITY RETIREMENT BENEFITS FOR CHIEF FIRE MARSHALS, ASSISTANT CHIEF FIRE MARSHALS, DIVISION SUPERVISING FIRE MARSHALS, SUPERVISING FIRE MARSHALS, FIRE MARSHALS AND FIRE MARSHAL TRAINEES IN NASSAU COUNTY

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature pursuant to Section Two of Article IX of the Constitution of the State of New York, hereby requests the Legislature to enact and the Governor to approve the following bills:

S. 03101

A. 06500

ENACTED: "AN ACT" to amend the retirement and social security law, in relation to providing accidental disability retirement benefits fro chief fire marshals, assistant chief fire marshals, division supervising fire marshals, supervising fire marshals, fire marshals and fire marshal trainees in Nassau County

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 55- 2021

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO ENACT AND THE GOVERNOR TO APPROVE AN ACT TO AMEND THE RETIREMENT AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IN RELATION TO PROVIDING A HEART DISEASE PRESUMPTION FOR CERTAIN FIRE MARSHALS IN NASSAU COUNTY

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature pursuant to Section Two of Article IX of the Constitution of the State of New York, hereby requests the Legislature to enact and the Governor to approve the following bills:

S. 03312

A. 06547

ENACTED: "AN ACT" to amend the retirement and social security law, in relation to providing a heart disease presumption for certain fire marshals in Nassau County.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 56-2021

A RESOLUTION TO CEREMONIALLY DESIGNATE A PORTION OF THE COUNTY ROAD KNOWN AS BROOKSIDE AVENUE BETWEEN MERRICK ROAD AND SUNRISE HIGHWAY IN FREEPORT AS "ERNEST 'ERNIE' KIGHT, JR. WAY", AND DIRECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO INSTALL CONSPICUOUS SIGNAGE ALONG SAID ROADWAY

WHEREAS, Ernest J. Kight, Jr., known throughout his community as 'Ernie', was a Freeport High School Graduate of the Class of 1971 who returned to his beloved Freeport after college and attained his dream job as a Social Studies teacher at Freeport High School; and

WHEREAS, in 1991, Ernie was promoted to Assistant Principal of Freeport High School and in 2008, Ernie was named the Interim Principal of Freeport High School which led to his promotion as Principal one year later; and

WHEREAS, in addition to cultivating academic skills in the classroom, Ernie promoted athleticism as an essential part of the educational experience by becoming Varsity Coach for several sports including, Cheerleading, Badminton and Girls' Basketball; and

WHEREAS, after thirty-two years of employment, in 2012 Ernie retired from the Freeport Public School District, but as an educator firmly committed to continuing service to students as well as his community, he became an elected trustee and president of the Freeport School Board; and

WHEREAS, it would be a fitting tribute to the beloved and respected educator who passed away on February 27, 2021 to ceremonially designate a portion of the County road known as Brookside Avenue from Merrick Road to Sunrise Highway to be known as "Ernest 'Ernie' Kight, Jr. Way"; and

WHEREAS, said designation is hereby declared a public purpose; NOW THEREFORE BE IT

RESOLVED, that the portion of the County road known as Brookside Avenue from Merrick Road to Sunrise Highway shall hereafter be ceremonially designated as "Ernest 'Ernie' Kight Jr. Way"; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Department of Public Works is directed to install conspicuous signage along the length of the roadway to ceremonially designate the road "Ernest 'Ernie' Kight, Jr. Way", and all County agencies and departments are directed to take immediate steps to effectuate this ceremonial designation with signage; and be it further

RESOLVED, that such desgination shall in no case be construed to change the official name of the roadway from Brookside Avenue.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 57 - 2021

A RESOLUTION SETTING FORTH THE OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES DEEMED TO HOLD POLICY-MAKING POSITIONS FOR FILING YEAR 2021 (RELATING TO CALENDAR YEAR 2020) WHO SHALL BE REQUIRED TO FILE ANNUAL STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE § 22-4.3 AND THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW WHEREAS, pursuant to Nassau County Administrative Code § 22-4.3(4)(b), the Nassau County Board of Ethics is required, annually, to determine the officers and employees of County Departments, Agencies, Boards, Commissions, or Entities who hold policy making positions and to make a list of such officers and employees; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Nassau County Administrative Code § 22-4.3, the Board of Ethics is required to file, and has filed, such a list with the Clerk of the County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, said list does not include officers and employees holding titles who are statutorily required to file annual statements of financial disclosure regardless whether they hold policy making positions pursuant to Nassau County Administrative Code § 22-4.3(4)(e); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Nassau County Administrative Code § 22-4.3(4)(b), the County Legislature shall adopt a resolution either ratifying or modifying the list submitted by the Board of Ethics; and

WHEREAS, the County Legislature has, for filing year 2021 (relating to calendar year 2020) considered the Board of Ethics' memorandum to heads of all the County's Departments, Agencies, Boards, Commissions, or Entities regarding who should be considered a policymaker; and

WHEREAS, the heads of the County's Departments, Agencies, Boards, Commissions, or Entities have provided requested information and the identities of certain officers and employees needed to assist the Board of Ethics in promulgating a list of policymakers, by Department, Agency, Boards, Commission, or Entity; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the officers and employees set forth in the list as shown in Appendix A, attached hereto, are hereby deemed to be policymakers required to file an Annual Statement of Financial Disclosure, pursuant to the relevant provisions of Nassau County Administrative Code § 22-4.3; and be it further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau

County, that this appointment is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 58 - 2021

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE RELEASE OF THE SURETY BOND AND ESCROW DEPOSIT COVERING IMPROVEMENTS ON THE "MAP OF PARAMOUNT COURT," SITUATED IN THE HAMLET OF WEST HEMPSTEAD, TOWN OF HEMPSTEAD, COUNTY OF NASSAU, NEW YORK.

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Planning Commission, by resolution, has approved the "Map of Paramount Court" location upon the filing of a Surety Bond and Cash Escrow deposit, conditioned upon the completion of the public improvements on land included in said map;

WHEREAS, the dates of such resolution, the name of such map, the names of the Principal and Surety, and the amounts of the Surety Bond and Cash Escrow deposit have been duly filed in the office of the Nassau County Planning Commission;

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Planning Commission, by resolution, has certified to the Legislature that the public improvements so required by the Nassau County Planning Commission have been completed to its satisfaction and has indicated that the Principal and Surety should now be released from their obligation thereunder and that the Surety Bond and Cash Escrow deposit, plus interest and less administrative costs, if any, be released to said Principal; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature does hereby consent to and authorize the release of the Principal and Surety on the following Surety Bond and from any further liability thereunder, and further consents to the release to the Principal of the following Cash Escrow deposit:

Date of Resolution	<u>Plat/location</u>	<u>Principal</u>	Amount of Surety Bond	Cash Escrow Deposit
3/4/21	"Map Paramount Court"	746 Hempstead Realty, LLC	\$129,649.66	\$6,071.64

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 59 - 2021

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the attached gift agreement, the Nassau County Police Department Foundation (the "Foundation") has offered to the Nassau County Police Department ("the Department) a gift in the form of six (6) patrol bicycles, valued at a total amount of \$ 8,400.40; and

WHEREAS, the said donation will be utilized by the Nassau County Police

Department in its bicycle unit to continue to keep the communities that it serves safe;

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Police Department deems the acceptance of such a gift to be in the best interest of the County of Nassau; now therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 60 – 2021

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the attached gift agreement, the Nassau County Police Department Foundation (the "Foundation") has offered to the Nassau County Police Department ("the Department) a gift in the form of 12,000 bottles of hand sanitizer valued at \$24,300.00; and

WHEREAS, the said donation will be utilized by the Nassau County Police Department to support the Department and its officers' safety during the COVID-19 pandemic;

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Police Department deems the acceptance of such a gift to be in the best interest of the County of Nassau; now therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 61-2021

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the attached gift agreement, the Nassau County Police Foundation (the "Foundation") has offered to the Nassau County Police Department ("the Department) a gift in the form of 3,200 safety goggles valued at a total amount of \$16,000.00; and

WHEREAS, the said donation will be used by the Nassau County Police Department to protect the Department members while they perform their essential duties on behalf of the County of Nassau during the COVID-19 pandemic;

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Police Department deems the acceptance of such a gift to be in the best interest of the County of Nassau; now therefore, be it

_			

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 62–2021

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the attached gift agreement, the Nassau County Police Foundation (the "Foundation") has offered to the Nassau County Police Department ("the Department) a gift in the form of twenty (20) stationary bicycles, valued at a total amount of \$10,000.00; and

WHEREAS, the said donation will be utilized by the Nassau County Police

Department in its precinct gymnasiums to support the health and wellness of the

members of the Department;

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Police Department deems the acceptance of such a gift to be in the best interest of the County of Nassau; now therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 63–2021

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the attached gift agreement, the Nassau County Police Department Foundation (the "Foundation") has offered to the Nassau County Police Department ("the Department) a gift in the form of snow removal equipment and cleaning products, valued at \$ 2,732.47; and

WHEREAS, the said donation will be utilized by the Nassau County Police Department at its Firearms Training Range to maintain its facility safe and well-maintained;

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Police Department deems the acceptance of such a gift to be in the best interest of the County of Nassau; now therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO.64 -2021

A RESOLUTION TO REQUIRE THE COUNTY TO COMPLY WITH THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT · AGREEMENT FOR ERIC BERLINER, ROBERT FINE, MICHAEL ARYEH, AND JILL PESCE, INDIVDIUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OHTERS SIMILARLY SITUATED V. NASSAU COUNTY, NASSAU COUNTY DEPARTMNET OF ASSESSMENT, ASSESSMENT REVIEW COMMISSION, LAURA CURRAN, IN HER OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS COUNTY EXECUTIVE, AND DAVID MOOG, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS COUNTY ASSESSOR FOR NASSAU COUNTY, INDEX NO. 605904/2019.

WHEREAS, in 2019, plaintiffs commenced *Eric Berliner, Robert Fine, Michael Aryeh*, and *Jill Pesce*, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated v. Nassau County, Nassau County Department of Assessment, Assessment Review Commission, Laura Curran, in her official capacity as County Executive, and David Moog, in his official capacity as County Assessor Nassau County, Index No. 605904/2019 ("Berliner") and asserted claims challenging the County's reassessment of Class I real properties for the 2019 Tentative Assessment Roll ("reassessment'); and

WHEREAS, on or about October 14, 2020, the Berliner parties entered into a settlement agreement pursuant to which the defendants, including County Executive Laura Curran, agreed to undertake certain actions that would provide greater transparency of the reassessment process, including but not limited to providing plain-English narratives on the assessment website, and publicizing the approximately one hundred eighty variables that assisted mass appraisal modeling in the reassessment and coefficients for those variables in each Market Area (including neighborhood coefficients); and

WHEREAS, the defendants failed to undertake these actions, and as a result, Nassau County residents have been denied the ability to better understand how their properties were reassessed by the Curran Administration; and

WHEREAS, Nassau County residents deserve transparency in all areas of governance, including the reassessment process, which was largely opaque and confusing, and resulted in

higher tax bills for sixty-five percent of residents; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that within sixty days of the date that this resolution is approved by the Legislature, the defendants shall accomplish the following pursuant to the Berliner settlement:

- 1. Revise the County's assessment website and any mailed disclosures, by specifically eliminating the "Calculation Ladders" or "Ladder Reports," by including on the assessment website plain-English narratives and hyperlinks substantially as set forth in Exhibit "B" of the settlement agreement ("Exhibit 'B"'), and by consolidating and streamlining public access to the assessment website;
- 2. Make public all the approximately one hundred eighty independent variables (predictors) that were used in the computer assisted mass appraisal modeling in the Reassessment and the coefficients (or multipliers) for those variables in each market Area (including the neighborhood coefficients);
- 3. Provide narrative on the assessment website explaining in plain English how the modeling was accomplished substantially as set forth in Exhibit "B";
- 4. Explain in plain English on the assessment website how the "Neighborhoods" and the "Market Areas" were determined substantially as set forth in Exhibit "B";
- 5. Publish maps on the assessment website showing all the Market Areas and Neighborhoods used in the Reassessment and explain how the neighborhood coefficients were determined in each Market Area; and be it further

RESOLVED, that a status report detailing the County's progress shall be submitted to the Legislature thirty days after the date that this resolution is approved by the Legislature; and be it Further

RESOLVED, that this resolution shall be effective immediately.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 65 - 2021

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 15, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2021; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW21000006 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 6

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	DA-GRT-8VX9-FED-BB198	District Attorney- Grant Fund- Equipment	\$ 2,022.00
	DA-GRT-8VX9-FED-BB198	District Attorney- Grant Fund- Equipment	\$ 10,253.00
	DA-GRT-8VX9-FED-DD498	District Attorney- Grant Fund- General Expenses	\$ 38,934.00
	TOTAL		\$ 51,209.00
<u>TO</u>	DA-GRT-8VX9-FED-AA97Z	District Attorney- Grant Fund- Salary, Wages & Fees	\$ 2,022.00
	DA-GRT-8VX9-FED-AB10F	District Attorney- Grant Fund- Fringe Benefits	\$ 10,253.00
	DA-GRT-8VX9-FED-AB10F	District Attorney- Grant Fund- Fringe Benefits	\$ 38,934.00
	TOTAL		\$ 51,209.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2021, as hereinabove set forth; and

be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 66 – 2021

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 18, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2021; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW21000007 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 7

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	HE-GRT-A491(20)-AA	Health Department- Grant Fund- Salaries	\$ 5,886.00
	HE-GRT-A491(20)-DD	Health Department-Grant Fund-General Expenses	\$ 2,616.00
	TOTAL		\$ 8,502.00
<u>TO</u>	HE-GRT-A491(20)-AB	Health Department-Grant Fund-Fringe Benefits	\$ 8,502.00
	TOTAL		\$ 8,502.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2021, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 67 - 2021

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 15, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2021; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW21000005 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 5

	<u>CODE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	PD-GRT-4FY4-NYS-AA98Z	Police Department-Grant Fund-Salaries & Wages	\$925.00
	TOTAL		\$925.00
<u>TO</u>	PD-GRT-4FY4-NYS-AB10F	Police Department-Grant Fund-Fringe Benefits	\$925.00
	TOTAL		\$925.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2021, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any

mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 68 - 2021

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 25, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2021; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW21000011 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 11

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	EM-GRT-IIX9NYS-DE	Office of Emergency Management- Grant Fund- Contractual Services	\$ 79,959.64
	EM-GRT-IJ20NYS-DE	Office of Emergency Management- Grant Fund- Contractual Services	\$ 400,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 479,959.64
<u>TO</u>	EM-GRT-IIX9NYS-BB	Office of Emergency Management- Grant Fund- Equipment	\$ 79,959.64
	EM-GRT-IJ20NYS-BB	Office of Emergency Management- Grant Fund- Equipment	\$ 400,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 479,959.64

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2021, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 69 – 2021

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated April 1, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2021; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW21000008 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 8

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	EL-GEN-2000-DD498	Board of Elections- General Fund- General Expenses	\$ 33,530.00
	CL-GEN-1100-AA98Z	County Clerk- General Fund- Salaries, Wages & Fees	\$ 210,000.00
	DA-GEN-1100-AA98Z	District Attorney- General Fund- Salaries, Wages & Fees	\$ 750,000.00
	FB-GEN-3800-AB10F	General Fund- Fringe Benefits	\$ 3,931,987.00
	FB-PDH-1000-AB10F	Police Headquarters- Fringe Benefits	\$ 350,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 5,275,517.00
<u>TO</u>	EL-GEN-3000-DD497	Board of Elections- General Fund- General Expenses	\$ 33,530.00
	CA-GEN-1100-DD497	Consumer Affairs- General Fund- General Expenses	\$ 100,000.00
	RM-GEN-1000-BB197	Records Management- General Fund- Equipment	\$ 210,000.00
	DA-GEN-1100-BB197	District Attorney- General Fund- Equipment	\$ 500,000.00
	DA-GEN-1100-DD497	District Attorney- General Fund- General Expenses	\$ 250,000.00
	PW-GEN-0260-DD497	Public Works- General Fund- General Expenses	\$ 950,000.00
	PW-GEN-0150-DE547	Public Works- General Fund- Contractual Services	\$ 1,151,400.00
	PD-PDH-1484-BB197	Police Headquarters- Equipment	\$ 350,000.00
	HS-GEN-1501-DE547	Human Services- Contractual Services	\$ 1,730,587.00
	TOTAL		\$ 5,275,517.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County

Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2021, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 70 - 2021

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 29, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2021; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW21000010 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 10

	<u>CODE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	PD-GRT-9791-FED-AA98Z	Police Department - Grant Fund - Salaries & Wages	\$ 350,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 350,000.00
<u>TO</u>	PD-GRT-9791-FED-DD497	Police Department - Grant Fund – General Expenses	\$ 350,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 350,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2021, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any

mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this budget transfer is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is a class of actions which does not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 71 - 2021

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated March 29, 2021, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2021; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW21000009 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 9

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	PD-GRT-9791-FED-AA98Z	Police Department-Grant Fund-Salaries & Wages	\$ 50,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 50,000.00
<u>TO</u>	PD-GRT-9791-FED-DE547	Police Department-Grant Fund-Contractual Services	\$ 50,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 50,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2021, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this budget transfer is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is a class of actions which does not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 72 -2021

A resolution to authorize the county assessor and/or the county treasurer and/or the receiver of taxes of the

Town of Hempstead to Partially Exempt

Certain real properties situated in various school districts, assessed to designated owners appearing on the assessment rolls for the specified school and/or county years pursuant to this resolution; pursuant to the real property tax law, the county government law of Nassau County and the Nassau County Administrative Code.

Resolved, that the County Assessor and/or the County treasurer and/or the Receiver of Taxes of the **Town** of Hempstead be and hereby are (is) authorized and directed to act upon the clerical errors on the specified properties as are more particularly described in the County Assessor's petition(s) no(s) 0505-2020,0518-2020,0010-2021,0032-2021,0039-2021,0043-2021,0061-2021,0079-2021 copies of which are annexed hereto and made a part of this resolution and which are on file with the Legislature of the County of Nassau.

1	
2	
3	
4	NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
5	
6	RICHARD NICOLELLO
7	PRESIDING OFFICER
8	
9	
10	LEGISLATIVE SESSION
11	
12	
13	County Executive and Legislative Building
14	1550 Franklin Avenue
15	Mineola, New York
16	
17	
18	Monday, April 26, 2021
19	1:20 P.M.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	LEGISLATOR RICHARD J. NICOLELLO
5	Presiding Officer
6	9th Legislative District
7	
8	LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL
9	Deputy Presiding Officer
10	7th Legislative District
11	
12	LEGISLATOR DENISE FORD
13	Alternate Presiding Officer
14	4th Legislative District
15	
16	LEGISLATOR KEVAN ABRAHAMS
17	Minority Leader
18	1st Legislative District
19	
20	LEGISLATOR SIELA BYNOE
21	2nd Legislative District
22	
23	LEGISLATOR CARRIE SOLAGES
24	3rd Legislative District
25	

1	
2	LEGISLATOR DEBRA MULE
3	5th Legislative District
4	
5	LEGISLATOR C. WILLIAM GAYLOR III
6	6th Legislative District
7	
8	LEGISLATOR VINCENT T. MUSCARELLA
9	8th Legislative District
10	
11	LEGISLATOR ELLEN BIRNBAUM
12	10th Legislative District
13	
14	LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON
15	11th Legislative District
16	
17	LEGISLATOR JAMES KENNEDY
18	12th Legislative District
19	
20	LEGISLATOR THOMAS MCKEVITT
21	13th Legislative District
22	
23	LEGISLATOR LAURA SCHAEFER
24	14th Legislative District

25

1	
2	LEGISLATOR JOHN FERRETTI, JR.
3	15th Legislative District
4	
5	LEGISLATOR ANDREW DRUCKER
6	16th Legislative District
7	
8	LEGISLATOR ROSE WALKER
9	17th Legislative District
10	
11	LEGISLATOR JOSHUA LAFAZAN
12	18th Legislative District
13	
14	LEGISLATOR STEVEN RHOADS
15	19th Legislative District
16	
17	MICHAEL PULITZER
18	Clerk of the Legislature
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Welcome to
- 3 the meeting of the Nassau County Legislature.
- 4 We're going to get started. First thing we do
- 5 is have the Pledge of Allegiance and I would
- 6 like to ask Legislator Tom McKevitt who is
- 7 having his 50th birthday this week to lead us
- 8 in the Pledge of Allegiance.
- 9 Thank you Tom. Mike you want to
- 10 call the roll?
- 11 MR. PULITZER: Yes. Thank you
- 12 very much. Roll call. Deputy Presiding
- 13 Officer Howard Kopel.
- 14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
- 16 Presiding Officer Denise Ford.
- 17 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
- 18 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Siela
- 19 Bynoe.
- 20 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
- 21 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
- 22 Solages.
- LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator Debra
- 25 Mule.

1	Full -	4-26-21
_	rull –	4-20-21

- 2 LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator C.
- 4 William Gaylor the Third.
- 5 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
- 6 MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
- 7 Legislator Vincent Muscarella.
- 8 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
- 9 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Ellen
- 10 Birnbaum.
- 11 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
- 13 DeRiggi-Whitton.
- 14 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
- 15 Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator James
- 17 Kennedy.
- 18 LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
- 19 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
- 20 McKevitt.
- 21 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator Laura
- 23 Schaefer.
- LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator John

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 Ferretti.
- 3 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
- 4 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
- 5 Drucker.
- 6 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
- 7 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Rose
- 8 Marie Walker.
- 9 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
- 10 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Joshua
- 11 Lafazan.
- 12 LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
- 14 Legislator Steven Rhoads.
- 15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
- MR. PULITZER: Minority Leader
- 17 Kevan Abrahams.
- 18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: And Presiding
- 20 Officer Richard Nicolello.
- 21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum
- 23 sir.
- 24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
- you. First order of business today will be

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 public comment and it's a pleasure actually to
- 3 have in person public comment again because it
- 4 has been a while since we have been able to do
- 5 that. Just a couple of things before we start
- 6 that.
- 7 I know there might be people here
- 8 to speak on the contract with respect to the
- 9 Nassau County Equestrian Center. That is not
- on the calendar for the full legislature.
- 11 It's on the Rules Committee calendar, which
- will be after the legislature's business is
- concluded. So we will have a full meeting of
- 14 the Rules Committee and you'll have a full and
- 15 fair opportunity to speak at that time.
- We have two speakers here to speak
- with respect to the two laws that are on the
- calendar with respect to the cannabis, I would
- 19 suggest that you wait until we start the
- 20 actual calendar call and speak on the item
- 21 when it's called.
- We do have some general public
- 23 comments so I will call those right now.
- 24 First off Heidi Sanft, Nostrand Garden Civic
- 25 Association.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- MS. SANFT: Good afternoon. My
- 3 name is Heidi Sanft. I'm the first vice
- 4 president of the Nostrand Garden Civic
- 5 Association in Uniondale. Glad to see
- 6 everybody without your masks on. Better days
- 7 are ahead.
- I'm here to inform you about two
- 9 pressing quality of life issues that created
- 10 an untenable situation in the Uniondale
- 11 community last year which must not happen
- 12 again. The first was an explosive,
- 13 never-ending barrage of fireworks that went on
- 14 close to four months.
- The second was the proliferation of
- 16 cars with aftermarket violations to their
- mufflers and exhaust systems.
- 18 Last year, beginning in early
- 19 spring and lasting until the fall, the
- 20 communities of Uniondale, Roosevelt and the
- Village of Hempstead had a nonstop barrage of
- 22 explosive fireworks going off every night.
- 23 This went on every day for months. Residents
- would call our civic association complaining
- 25 that they could not sleep at night and would

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 go to work in the morning with just two or
- 3 three hours of sleep. Several of these
- 4 residents were nurses who were working 12 hour
- 5 shifts seven days a week.
- One resident informed us that she
- 7 moved in with a friend in New Jersey for a few
- 8 months just so that she could sleep at night.
- 9 Another elderly resident, who is a veteran who
- 10 suffers from PTSD, his daughter had to drive
- 11 from Georgia to Long Island and back to
- 12 Georgia in order to remove her dad from the
- mayhem that was occurring in his community,
- 14 which was Uniondale.
- We did contact Commissioner Ryder
- last year concerning this issue and as always
- the commissioner was extremely responsive. We
- 18 understood that the Nassau County Police
- 19 Department at that time was inundated working
- 20 to control the endless protests. The
- 21 commissioner did allocate resources to address
- the explosive firework tax on our communities
- and the situation eventually improved somewhat
- but this took many, many months.
- 25 Our second concern is the issue of

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 cars that speed down our streets that do not
- 3 have mufflers with exhaust systems that have
- 4 been modified. The ear deafening sounds
- 5 coming from these cars sound like gunshots.
- 6 The cars race up and down our main streets and
- 7 residential streets and this happened last
- 8 spring, summer and fall. And now that the
- 9 weather is warming up this unacceptable
- 10 behavior is happening again. Noise pollution
- is a public health crisis and these issues are
- 12 causing stress.
- With spring now upon us, our civic
- 14 association is taking a proactive approach so
- that residents that reside in Uniondale,
- 16 Roosevelt and the Village of Hempstead can
- enjoy the warm weather. They should be able
- to sit in their backyards without the fear of
- 19 explosive fireworks falling into their yards
- 20 and on their roofs. Children should be able
- 21 to play in front of their homes without fear
- of being hit by a racing car. Our animal
- companions should not be put in the position
- where they must hide in fear night after night
- 25 from the relentless noise out in the street.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 People should not need to run away from their
- 3 own homes to stay in other neighborhoods
- 4 because of this criminal behavior.
- 5 Every resident of Nassau County is
- 6 entitled to a decent quality of life. This
- 7 behavior is unacceptable. We cannot allow it
- 8 to be the new normal by doing nothing. If we
- 9 do nothing it indicates that it's okay and
- it's definitely not okay. We researched the
- law and it appears that these two issues fall
- under the laws of New York State. I have two
- more minutes, right? Total five minutes,
- 14 right? Can I continue?
- 15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You can
- 16 continue. Just wrap up when you have a
- 17 chance.
- 18 MS. SANFT: What I will do is sum
- 19 up then. We did research and the current
- 20 fines are far too light. Far too light. For
- 21 these cars that are jacked up, they remove the
- 22 mufflers and jack up the exhaust systems and
- 23 it sounds like bullets going off, the maximum
- fine is \$150. That's not enough for
- 25 terrorizing a community.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- We are requesting for your help in
- 3 either strengthening the existing state laws
- 4 or that you create new legislation for Nassau
- 5 County so that the noise pollution stops.
- 6 Unfortunately, the only way that some people
- 7 take the law seriously is if they are hit in
- 8 the pocket. The matter is urgent. We need
- 9 you to take action now please. The well being
- of law abiding residents is depending on it.
- 11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
- 12 you. I can assure you that those two issues
- 13 are not just affecting Uniondale. My own
- 14 communities the exact same issues were
- plaqued, especially last summer but year round
- with respect to the mufflers.
- On the one hand it's enforcement.
- 18 We will certainly be communicating to the
- 19 Nassau County Police Department to do greater
- 20 enforcement on both of these issues. The
- 21 fireworks, the mufflers and sound systems from
- these cars is ridiculous. But we will also
- 23 advocate with the state legislators. The
- 24 fines that are set with respect to the
- 25 mufflers I believe or the sound emanating from

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- the vehicle is state law. So, we would not be
- 3 able to supersede them. But to the extent we
- 4 can work with them to beef up those fines we
- 5 will definitely be happy to do so.
- 6 MS. SANFT: Just so you know, we
- 7 have also written to Senator Kevin Thomas and
- 8 Assemblywoman Taylor Darling spoke about
- 9 this. We spoke with the mayor of the Village
- of Hempstead about this. It's very serious
- 11 quite frankly. With what happened last
- 12 spring, summer and fall in my neighborhood I
- don't feel like I live on Long Island anymore,
- 14 and for me to make a statement like that
- 15 publicly it's really sad. Thank you.
- 16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And you're
- 17 right, the fireworks went on for months. Part
- of that is attributable to COVID. People were
- 19 home and just more time on their hands to do
- 20 things like that. So, hopefully that will
- 21 ease up somewhat. But again, we can't allow
- that to disrupt the lives of our residents.
- MS. SANFT: We understand you're
- home. We understand you have a lot of time on
- 25 your hands. But then there is something

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 that's called a criminal activity and that
- 3 needs to be explored too. I cut my
- 4 presentation down a lot. Because right now
- 5 it's just considered a penalty the fireworks
- 6 issue. It's not a penalty it's a crime.
- 7 Thank you.
- 8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
- 9 you.
- 10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, if I
- 11 can say something. First Heidi how are you
- 12 and hope you and your family and everyone is
- doing well.
- 14 Second, I just want to reemphasize
- everything that Rich had said. I totally
- 16 agree that obviously it's a law enforcement
- issue. The only thing I wanted to add is that
- 18 I have spoken to counsel on our end and we're
- 19 going to look into seeing, if possible, it
- 20 sounds like you're right, Rich, that we
- 21 probably will be superseded by the state but
- 22 we're just going to look to see if we can
- 23 supplement anything with the law. And if it
- comes to it where we need to speak to the
- 25 state we gladly would.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- I agree with you Rich that the
- 3 fireworks issue is not just germane to one
- 4 community. I visited families all throughout
- 5 Nassau County and up in your neck of the woods
- 6 in Williston Park and everywhere and it seems
- 7 to be an issue everywhere. Uniondale,
- 8 Hempstead, Freeport. Obviously the areas that
- 9 I represent. But I do recognize it as a
- 10 countywide issue. As well as the mufflers and
- the sound systems I hear that just as well. I
- 12 believe it's a decibel issue.
- And this might be a good question
- 14 for our police department in terms of how do
- they gauge the decibels of those loud mufflers
- or sound systems when patrol cars are out on
- 17 the street.
- But Heidi, we will remain vigilant
- in making sure that the police department is
- aware. Especially as the warmer months come
- 21 up and we start to see these cars and
- 22 fireworks start to creep out more and more we
- will remain vigilant and hopefully we will
- have a better late spring going into summer.
- 25 But we will have a conversation with the First

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 Precinct as it pertains to our particular
- 3 area, the Uniondale-Baldwin area and Roosevelt
- 4 area, Hempstead area, today.
- 5 MS. SANFT: Thank you Legislator
- 6 Abrahams. If I can just say one more thing.
- 7 Right now possessing fireworks is just
- 8 considered a violation. That's the law. I
- 9 checked it out. And in our opinion it should
- 10 be elevated to a crime because that's what it
- 11 is. Especially when it sounds like
- 12 explosives. There were times last summer,
- 13 spring and fall, I've never been in a war
- 14 zone, but I felt like maybe that's what it
- 15 sounds like.
- 16 Then with these cars where it
- sounded like bullets going off. The first
- 18 time I heard that I was on my living room
- 19 couch watching television and I thought what
- is that? Because, guite frankly, I've never
- 21 heard bullets going off. Then I realized that
- these kids are thinking that this is cool.
- 23 It's a need for attention that is terrorizing
- the community. And I understand people need
- attention but there are ways to get it where

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- you're not terrorizing people, animals.
- 3 That's all I have to say. But
- 4 thank you Legislator Abrahams. Thank you to
- 5 everyone for listening.
- 6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 7 Heidi.
- 8 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you
- 9 Heidi.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Pearl
- 11 Jacobs, Nostrand Garden Civic Association.
- MS. JACOBS: Pearl Jacobs,
- 13 Nostrand Garden Civic Association. I have a
- 14 100 year old grandmother that I see regularly
- and I adhere to very stringent guidelines.
- 16 Thank you Heidi so much. That's my vice
- 17 president Nostrand Garden Civic Association.
- 18 She is absolutely correct, it's like living in
- 19 a war zone. I did not feel like I was living
- on Long Island as well. You see what's going
- on in New York City. We do not want Nassau
- 22 County to become like New York City. It's a
- shame.
- I'm going to hold up this sign and
- 25 my sign says Nassau County segregated

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 roadways. It's a very strong statement, and I
- 3 had to really think about bringing this sign
- 4 here but my spirit told me to bring the sign
- 5 here. Nassau County segregated roadways.
- 6 It is my strong opinion and it's my
- observation as I drive all through Nassau
- 8 County that our roadways are being repaired
- 9 and maintained in a segregated manner. I've
- 10 been writing my legislator for two years. I
- 11 have emails going back two years regarding
- 12 Jerusalem Avenue in Uniondale. And now we
- 13 have Uniondale Avenue that's deteriorated.
- 14 It's been torn up by PSEG, Nat Grid, the sewer
- 15 system that was going through our community.
- 16 I have been writing for two years about our
- 17 roadways in Uniondale.
- But as I travel through Salisbury
- 19 Park Drive it was in good condition before but
- 20 now it was just restored to pristine
- 21 condition. Stewart Avenue in Garden City, it
- was in good condition before. It's restored
- 23 to pristine condition. Merrick Avenue, it was
- in good condition before. Now it's restored.
- 25 Even the service road on Hempstead Turnpike in

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- the vicinity of Levittown is being restored.
- 3 But we cannot get two roads in Uniondale
- 4 restored.
- I have emails going back two
- 6 years. And you know what? I don't even get
- 7 responses back. I don't receive responses
- 8 back anymore. I did receive a response back
- 9 about three weeks ago from Commissioner Arnold
- 10 regarding the overpass on Jerusalem Avenue
- 11 that was in terrible repair. I have emails
- 12 going back two years regarding that. I sent
- an email. Then recently I received an email
- 14 about two weeks ago saying oh yeah, the
- overpass on the Meadowbrook portion of
- 16 Jerusalem Avenue is in extremely poor
- 17 condition and in need of immediate repair.
- 18 But I was telling them this two years ago. I
- 19 told them this two years ago.
- So my issue is why are roads in
- 21 predominantly Republican or other zip codes
- being repaired, they were in good condition,
- and our roads in Uniondale, in Roosevelt, in
- 24 minority areas are not being repaired, not
- being looked at, not being addressed? People

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 calling my civic association all the time
- 3 telling me that their tires are being blown
- 4 out. Their front ends are being compromised
- 5 because of the roadway conditions in our
- 6 community.
- So, I'm going to ask my legislator,
- 8 Kevan Abrahams, why this continues? Our roads
- 9 continue to languish in our community? We
- 10 have no investment in our community. I just
- 11 want to ask.
- 12 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: First and
- foremost Heidi I don't know if I agree with
- 14 your --
- MS. JACOBS: This is Pearl.
- 16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm sorry,
- 17 Pearl. First and foremost Pearl there's no
- 18 camera on you so I apologize. I don't know if
- 19 I agree with the premise that there is some
- deviant way in terms of how roads are being
- 21 done. That they are being done Republican
- versus Democrat or God forbid anything more
- than that. I would first disagree with your
- 24 premise.
- The second thing is, I mean, from

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- our standpoint, yes, we can always do a better
- 3 job with roads. And I do remember in all
- 4 those cases where each and every one of those
- 5 cases we brought the situation to DPW and DPW
- 6 assessed the situation and at those times
- 7 determined that the road did not need to be
- 8 fixed or repaved or whatever the issue.
- 9 But to say what you're saying now
- on the heels of us just getting, just two
- 11 years ago, Uniondale Avenue done, streetscape,
- 12 I challenge to say that there's not too many
- districts in Nassau County that were able to
- 14 get that level of streetscape done in their
- 15 respective district. I see the CRPs and the
- 16 community revitalization projects that come
- through and I haven't seen that level of
- 18 project that has occurred in any community.
- 19 Not just Uniondale or minority communities.
- So, I do take some question with
- 21 the premise, and I do agree with you, one
- thing I will say is I do agree with you that
- there are several roads, but primarily
- 24 Jerusalem Avenue, that are in great need of
- 25 disrepair. I have a strong issue with the

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 fact that you are bringing it up based off of
- 3 any level of Republican, Democrat or Black and
- 4 White discrimination or segregation as you
- 5 presented it.
- 6 MS. JACOBS: You know what
- 7 Legislator Abrahams? I'm not bringing it up
- 8 on a Republican or a Democrat. Because you
- 9 know what? I am neither. My whole issue is
- and you bringing up the Uniondale
- 11 streetscaping as I knew you would today, it
- 12 took 12 years, 12 years of advocation from the
- 13 Nostrand Garden Civic Association, 12 years,
- 14 to have one street in Uniondale redone.
- 15 That's nothing to be really proud of. 12
- 16 years. I can go back and show you the
- paperwork. I can show you 12 years they have
- one street, one main street in Uniondale
- done. I knew you were going to bring that up
- today so that's my answer to you.
- 21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: There's
- 22 only three main streets, four main streets
- 23 throughout Uniondale. There's Nassau Road --
- MS. JACOBS: Why would it take 12
- years to get one street in Uniondale

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 repaired? I'm sticking with my segregated
- 3 roadways mantra until I see different in my
- 4 community.
- 5 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We will
- 6 just be safe to say we can agree to disagree.
- 7 MS. JACOBS: We can agree to
- 8 disagree. We have no investment in our
- 9 community. Our communities are languishing.
- 10 Okay? Everywhere you turn around we have
- 11 vacant lots. We have blight. Okay? I take
- 12 the same issues I take to the town. It rings
- on deaf ears. Other than the Uniondale
- 14 streetscaping we have received nothing in
- 15 Uniondale. And that's a fact. We can agree
- 16 to disagree.
- 17 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I hear what
- 18 you're saying.
- MS. JACOBS: In fact, I was on a
- 20 conference call, a Zoom call with County
- 21 Executive Curran last week and I brought up
- the same issue. It's going to be my tagline.
- 23 Nassau County segregated roadways. Until our
- roadways get restored in Uniondale.
- 25 It took my civic association to

25

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- work with Nat Grid and PSEG. We worked with
- 3 Nat Grid to get Uniondale Avenue restored to
- 4 some sort of drivability. We worked with PSEG
- 5 to get a pole restoration project done in our
- 6 community. Our poles were cracked. Our poles
- 7 were leaning. We had junction boxes. I had
- 8 to get Verizon to come out and fix the
- 9 juncture boxes that were hanging in front of
- 10 the schools. Nobody did that. We did that.
- 11 We fight for our own community.
- But I'm coming to you now to say
- 13 publicly that we are a community that is not
- 14 invested in. It's taxation without
- 15 investment. Taxation without representation.
- 16 And we can agree to disagree until I see
- 17 something different. Thank you.
- 18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Next
- 21 speaker is Meta Mereday.
- MS. MEREDAY: Unlike Pearl, I'm a
- 23 caregiver and also back on the front lines
- 24 addressing COVID. I'm in Queens with a
- 25 critical care physician. As a matter of fact,

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- you won't see my glorious presence after I
- 3 finish this because I literally have to get
- 4 back to that office.
- I have signs here. I was out front
- 6 earlier today with regard to a water action
- 7 I'm hoping that many of you know about.
- 8 Otherwise you've been silent in the support or
- 9 lack of same for the 26 percent rate hike with
- 10 New York American Water that's impacting many
- 11 Nassau County residents. I'm among the ones
- who have not decided to flee in the middle of
- the night or who have unfortunately have died
- 14 from COVID-19.
- This one say New York Assembly,
- only you can stop the rate hike. And that's
- impactful -- and this might have been my the
- 18 shortest speech but sorry it's not today.
- 19 It's impactful because this 26
- 20 percent rate is going to further jeopardize
- 21 and compromise the vulnerabilities of many of
- our residents. Our seniors, the veterans who
- 23 my heart always goes out for. I'm sure as
- 24 many of you do. But I know sadly for many of
- you you couldn't do the pancake breakfasts and

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 parades that you could normally use for your
- 3 campaigns in this past year. But many of us
- 4 are still out there.
- 5 To echo what Heidi and Pearl from
- 6 Nostrand Garden in Uniondale said, we do have
- 7 an issue on the south shore with regard to
- 8 residents who feel that they have no say so
- 9 they feel why should they pay taxes since we
- 10 pay the second highest taxes in the country
- 11 and our roads, our water system, all of the
- 12 resources and services that are due us for
- what we pay for have been compromised.
- 14 Neglected, forgotten, just disinterested
- 15 parties who seem to continue to make their
- side deals, private hustles and continue to
- bring in their friends, relatives and let's
- 18 just say their higher paying associates and
- 19 law firms for their benefit but to the
- 20 detriment of residents.
- 21 Many of us have felt that our words
- 22 here, our time coming here, that we're not
- getting paid for, but we have to pay the price
- in the taxes and increase we need to go to a
- 25 higher authority. Yes, I'm a praying person

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- and I pray every day. Pray for many of you
- 3 because that's what the belief tells me to
- 4 do. But one of the options that we've decided
- 5 we needed to pursue is the Supreme Court of
- 6 the United States because it becomes now an
- 7 infringement on our individual rights.
- I find it amazing that we have a
- 9 whole amendment, the Eighth Amendment, that
- 10 prohibits the excessive use of fines and bails
- 11 for someone who has been noted as a criminal
- but it's okay for our government officials to
- tax, surcharge, fine and process fees us to
- 14 death in this county. For services that we
- 15 still can't still seem to get via phone, via
- 16 fax or via email.
- 17 The 14th as well as the Fifth
- 18 Amendment provides some satisfaction,
- 19 allegedly, that no citizen should be deprived
- of life, liberty and the pursuit of
- 21 happiness. You cannot pursue happiness when
- you have to worry about the mortgage company
- 23 sending the sheriff to evict you. Which is
- happening to many of our residents who as we
- 25 speak are working two and three jobs. We

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 still have residents whose homes have been
- raised and they're already in foreclosure with
- 4 the mortgage and paying rent on a facility and
- 5 a space that they can no longer afford to live
- 6 in. But we're still here and we still fight.
- 7 Our individual rights have been
- 8 infringed upon. There's a due process issue
- 9 in the 14th Amendment. I would believe that
- 10 if the Supreme Court is even entertaining
- 11 lawsuits and frivolous litigation from the
- 12 former occupant of the White House, whose name
- I have never and will never say, if they're
- 14 entertaining his lawsuits I would like to
- believe that the hard-working, overtaxed
- 16 underrepresented citizens of this county
- should have a voice and we're going to pursue
- that with all of the justices on both sides of
- the aisle, on any side of an ideological
- 20 theory because it has to do with the
- 21 infringement of our constitutional rights,
- 22 which has to stop somewhere.
- 23 And, unfortunately, we know that in
- Nassau County, as well as the Town of
- Hempstead, you can't seem to win a lawsuit. I

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 just see in this document here that you had to
- 3 settle another one as it had to with the tax
- 4 assessment. And I've had conversations with
- 5 the county executive who also refuses to
- 6 respond to her constituents. I can literally
- 7 walk to her house but we cannot get a response
- 8 from her office.
- 9 I will say that I have been in
- 10 touch with my legislator, Debra Mule, and I'm
- 11 glad that she's here and her staff has had the
- 12 courtesy to respond to me. I have reached out
- to the commissioner, Commissioner Ryder. He
- 14 has also had the courtesy to respond to me and
- we're working on things on the ground level to
- 16 address the pressing issues in our community.
- 17 If I can just wrap it up, because
- 18 I'm not here to do any debates or whatever,
- because the facts will be as the facts will be
- in the highest court of this country and you
- 21 will all be notified in some form or fashion.
- But right now we have a critical
- 23 situation in this county. The lack of
- infrastructure projects notwithstanding. The
- lack of diversity. The lack of inclusion.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 The lack of equity, let alone equality, is not
- 3 something that people are going to continue to
- 4 sit quietly idly by and you can watch the news
- 5 and think things are going to happen outside
- of Nassau County. There's a bubble brewing in
- 7 this county and if we don't do something about
- 8 it real soon, please, please do not act
- 9 shocked when you have a news camera in front
- of you saying how could you let this happen?
- 11 Because all of you are responsible and silence
- 12 breeds consent. And do not think that the
- residents have not decided to band together,
- 14 just like we're banded together and stood out
- 15 front to address this water rate hike, and I
- 16 didn't see any of you coming out to say
- anything about it and it's impacting the
- 18 majority of your residents as well.
- 19 Our roads are atrocious. Our
- veterans are suffering and there's no
- 21 discovery with regard to addressing the lack
- of opportunities for our veterans and minority
- businesses in this county. And we're all
- 24 going to suffer the consequences for your
- 25 inaction. Thank you.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 3 Meta. Does anyone else have any public
- 4 comment that doesn't pertain to an item? Why
- 5 don't we go into the calendar. The first --
- 6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, real
- quick. I was just advised by Michelle Darcy,
- 8 our finance counsel who took a look at the
- 9 capital plan -- and I know Ms. Jacobs will
- 10 probably take credit for this as well -- but
- 11 we are scheduled to do the Jerusalem Avenue
- 12 and the Nassau Road repaving and restriping of
- those roads as well. Just to let the body
- 14 know.
- MS. JACOBS: Since you said I
- 16 take credit, can I ask you a question
- 17 Legislator Abrahams? 2023, is this slated for
- 18 2023?
- 19 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It's
- 20 scheduled, as I said before, scheduled for
- 21 this fall.
- MS. JACOBS: I was told it was
- 23 scheduled for 2023. So this fall will be a
- 24 big improvement. As far as taking credit, I
- don't take credit. I work for my community.

33

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 I wish I didn't have to. I wish I didn't have
- 3 to use all my contacts that I made when I was
- 4 an operations manager for Verizon to call and
- 5 say take care of my community but I do it.
- 6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I
- ⁷ understand. Thank you.
- 8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
- 9 you. We're going to go to the consent
- 10 calendar. These are items that went through
- 11 committees a couple of weeks ago and it's been
- 12 agreed by the Majority and Minority that no
- 13 further debate or discussion is required on
- 14 these items at this time. So they will all be
- 15 called together.
- 16 Item 8, Ordinance 30. Item 9,
- 17 Ordinance 31. 10, Ordinance 32. 11,
- 18 Ordinance 33. 12, Ordinance 34. 13,
- 19 Ordinance 35. 14, Ordinance 36. 15,
- 20 Ordinance 37. 16, Ordinance 38. 17,
- 21 Ordinance 39. 18, Ordinance 39. 19,
- 22 Ordinance 41. 20, Ordinance. 42, 21
- 23 Ordinance 43. 22, Ordinance 44. 23,
- 24 Resolution 41. 24, sorry, skip that one. 25,
- 25 Resolution 43. 26, Resolution 44. 27,

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 Resolution 45. 28, Resolution 46. 29,
- 3 Resolution 47. 30, Resolution 48. 31,
- 4 Resolution 49. 32, Resolution 50. 33,
- 5 Resolution 51. 34, Resolution 52. 35,
- 6 Resolution 53. 36, Resolution 54. 37,
- 7 Resolution 55. 38, Resolution 56. 39,
- 8 Resolution 57. 40, Resolution 58. 41,
- 9 Resolution 59. 42, Resolution 60. 43,
- 10 Resolution 61. 44, Resolution 62. 45,
- 11 Resolution 63. 47, Resolution 65. 48,
- 12 Resolution 68. 49, Resolution 67. 50,
- 13 Resolution 68. 51, Resolution 69. 52,
- 14 Resolution 70. 53, Resolution 71. 54,
- 15 Resolution 72.
- Motion by Legislator Mule.
- 17 Seconded by Legislator Ferretti. Any debate
- of discussion on those items? Any public
- 19 comment? Hearing none, all in favor signify
- 20 by saying aye. Those opposed? Carry
- 21 unanimously.
- Now we move on to number one which
- is a hearing on a proposed local law to amend
- the Nassau County administrative code in
- relation to prohibiting the sale of electronic

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 aerosol delivery systems, components or parts
- within 1,000 linear feet of a school, public
- 4 park or playground. Legislator Kennedy makes
- 5 a motion to open the hearing. Seconded by
- 6 Legislator Birnbaum. All of favor of opening
- 7 the hearing signify by saying aye. Those
- 8 opposed? The hearing is open.
- 9 This is a local law which prohibits
- 10 business establishments from selling
- 11 electronic aerosol delivery systems and
- components or parts thereof within 1,000
- linear feet from a school, public park or
- 14 playground. Penalty for violation is \$500 to
- 15 \$1,000 for the first violation. \$1,000 to
- 16 \$2,000 for the second violation. This local
- 17 law is virtually identical to a local law that
- 18 has already been passed by the Town of
- 19 Hempstead with respect to the same subject
- 20 matter.
- Just want to note for the record
- that we have received public comment from
- James Calvin, president New York State
- 24 Association of Convenient Stores. Just give
- this to the clerk's office and make sure it's

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 made part of the record for today's hearing.
- Any discussion? Any public comment
- 4 on this item? Hearing none, motion to close
- 5 the hearing by Legislator Kennedy. Seconded
- 6 by Legislator Birnbaum. All in favor of
- 7 closing the hearing signify by saying aye.
- 8 Those opposed? The hearing is closed.
- 9 We move on to item five which is a
- vote on this proposed local law to amend the
- 11 Nassau County administrative code in relation
- to prohibiting the sale of electronic aerosol
- delivery systems or components or parts within
- 14 1,000 linear feet of a school, public park or
- 15 playground.
- Moved by Legislator Kennedy.
- 17 Seconded by Legislator Birnbaum. Any debate
- of discussion at this time? Hearing none, all
- in favor signify by saying aye. Those
- 20 opposed? This carries unanimously now the
- 21 next hearing is a hearing on a proposed local
- law to prohibit the smoking or vaping of
- 23 cannabis in all county-owned properties.
- 24 That will be moved by Legislator
- 25 Gaylor. Seconded by Legislator Kopel. That's

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 a motion to open the hearing. We have a
- 3 motion. All in favor of opening the hearing
- 4 signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The
- 5 hearing is open.
- I do know we have speakers on this
- 7 item. Before I call them up I'm also going to
- 8 state for the record and make as part of the
- 9 record public comment that has been submitted
- on this particular item by JLT Boylan, who I
- 11 believe is Liz Boylan. And the other one is
- 12 submitted by a number of members of the
- 13 Rockville Centre Coalition for Youth.
- 14 Ruthanne McCormack. As I said Liz Boylan.
- 15 Susan Blauner who is here also. These are
- 16 made part of the public record for this
- hearing and I'd like to call up at this time
- 18 Liz Boylan.
- MS. BOYLAN: Thank you Presiding
- 20 Officer, Nassau County Legislature. On March
- 31, 2021 Governor Cuomo signed into law the
- 22 Marijuana Regulation and Taxation Act know as
- 23 MRTA, legalizing the commercialization of
- 24 adult use marijuana in New York State. Under
- 25 this law individuals 21 and over are now

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- legally allowed to possess up to three ounces
- of marijuana and 24 ounces of marijuana
- 4 concentrate and can smoke and vape cannabis
- 5 wherever smoking is allowed under the Clean
- 6 Indoor Air Act, referred to as the Act. In
- 7 addition up to 12 home grows and five pounds
- 8 of pot are allowed per household.
- In response to this new law, we,
- 10 the Rockville Centre Coalition for Youth and
- 11 the Saving Lives Five Towns Drug and Alcohol
- 12 Coalition, understand that the Nassau County
- 13 Legislature has introduced a number of
- 14 ordinances that will prohibit the sale of
- 15 electronic aerosol delivery systems or
- 16 components or parts within 1,000 linear feet
- of a school, public park or playground.
- 18 Second, prohibit the smoking or
- vaping of cannabis in all county-owned
- 20 properties.
- 21 Third, prevent the consumption of
- 22 cannabis by minors at private homes, the
- 23 Social Host Law, and amend the county
- 24 government law of Nassau County to expand
- 25 disclosure requirements to permittees under

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 use and occupancy permits for county
- 3 property.
- 4 Our drug-fee coalition have, as its
- 5 primary mission, the reduction of substance
- 6 abuse with a primary focus on reducing youth
- 7 substance abuse. Therefore, we, the Rockville
- 8 Centre Coalition for Youth and the Saving
- 9 Lives Town Drug and Alcohol Coalition support
- 10 the Nassau County proposed laws to limit
- and/or prohibit the use of marijuana,
- 12 especially by our youth. These laws are
- 13 necessary to keep our air clean and protect
- 14 our residents, children and guests from being
- 15 exposed to this type of behavior.
- In addition, we respectfully
- 17 request that the Nassau County Legislature
- include places of worship and day care centers
- in their proposed laws above and include the
- 20 same restrictions for advertising of marijuana
- 21 near schools, public parks, playgrounds day
- 22 care centers and places of worship.
- In enacting MRTA, a cannabis was
- 24 added to the Clean Indoor Act which
- 25 establishes a baseline where cannabis can be

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 smoked or vaped. It's the guide to New York
- 3 State's Indoor Clean Air Act can be viewed
- 4 online on the New York State Health Government
- 5 website.
- 6 Under MRTA the define smoking
- meaning the burning of a lighted cigar,
- 8 cigarette, pipe or any other matter or
- 9 substance which contains cannabis including
- 10 the use of an electronic smoking device that
- 11 creates a an aerosol or vapor.
- 12 In 2017 New York State expanded
- 13 Article 13E of the Public Health Law, also
- 14 known as the Clean Indoor Air Act. The act
- 15 prohibits smoking and vaping in almost all
- 16 public and private indoor workplaces including
- 17 restaurants and bars to protect workers and
- 18 the public from exposure to harmful secondhand
- 19 tobacco smoke and vaping aerosols.
- 20 Localities may continue to enforce
- local laws regarding smoking and vaping.
- 22 However, these regulations must be at least as
- 23 strict or more strict than the Act. And
- unless specified otherwise in the Act, all
- businesses, establishments and organizations

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 must prohibit indoor smoking and vaping as set
- 3 forth in the Act.
- 4 Of utmost importance is that no
- 5 smoking or smoking signs and no vaping or
- 6 vaping signs must be clearly and prominently
- 7 posted in these areas.
- 8 With respect to the Nassau County's
- 9 park system over 6,000 acres are managed
- 10 providing for tremendous recreation and
- 11 entertainment experiences for residents and
- 12 visitors. I've attached a list of the comment
- there and it's really incredible what Nassau
- 14 County has to its residents. It's our hope
- that these venues, especially with the summer
- 16 coming, will continued to be enjoyed without
- being jeopardized by the undesirable impacts
- of commercialized marijuana that have plagued
- other states such as a California, Colorado,
- 20 Massachusetts, Oregon and New Jersey.
- This new law is very troubling.
- There is no cap on potency. There is no limit
- on the number of retail dispensaries and the
- 24 locality. Young children are easily
- 25 influenced. There is no accurate roadside

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 test for marijuana impaired driving. And as
- 3 marijuana legalization increases this becomes
- 4 the next big killer on our roads.
- 5 We respectfully request that you do
- 6 pass these laws. And I would like to just
- 7 acknowledge thank you for your consideration.
- 8 Two years ago, under County
- 9 Executive Curran, she commissioned a study
- which was led by Legislator Lafazan,
- 11 Commissioner Ryder and the hearings were so
- 12 compelling. And many of the Minority
- communities they came up and said if you put
- 14 this in our neighborhoods you're going to put
- our kids in a hole they can't get out of.
- And many of the proponents of this
- 17 law they think about what they are going to do
- 18 for disadvantaged communities, what they're
- 19 going to do for them. They're not thinking of
- about what they're going to do to them.
- I would like to acknowledge, I know
- 22 a number of you have been involved in the Shed
- the Meds program. I think Legislator Abrahams
- 24 he just commissioned this opioid study. Some
- of you have been doing Narcan training. I

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 know that Legislator Lafazan is very much
- 3 involved with opioid training. Legislator
- 4 Kopel and Gaylor you listened to us two years
- 5 ago.
- And every week when I go to church
- 7 and they announce the names of the dead I hold
- 8 my breath. We have lost so many youths. Ten
- 9 years ago, let me just say this, I went to a
- wake of a little girl who was in the school
- 11 plays at my kids' elementary school. And
- 12 there she was. She looked like she was
- 13 sleeping and I touch her arm and she was
- 14 cold. It was the most upsetting sight. And
- 15 maybe it was selfish because I was thinking I
- don't want my child there.
- And as I was leaving I had a dad
- 18 come up to me and said Liz, my son tried to
- 19 help her. My son was an addict trying to help
- 20 her. I'm looking, dear God, is this the
- invasion of the body snatchers?
- One last message before I came here
- I had a teacher say to me, oh Liz, the parents
- 24 are smoking pot with their kids. To all of
- 25 the parents out there, please do your work

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 first. Do the research. Find out what this
- 3 is doing to children's brains. This is not
- 4 the marijuana of Woodstock. The THC is very,
- 5 very powerful. Almost as much as 98 percent
- 6 and it comes in many forms.
- 7 Children have dreams to become
- 8 teachers and astronauts and scientists. Don't
- 9 turn those dreams into nightmares. Do not do
- this to your children. And if you do decide,
- 11 find out what the consequences are before you
- decide to engage in such an activity.
- We have options. You're not alone
- 14 if you feel the need to do this and we have
- options. And Nassau County, and I will give
- 16 Rene Fichter is such a jewel and an asset who
- 17 runs the Nassau County Heroin Prevention Task
- 18 Force. Almost 100 people are involved with
- 19 that task force. And to listen to them month
- after month about what's been going on. It's
- 21 compelling. And I ask any of you please
- 22 attend those meetings. Thank you for
- listening and thank you for continuing to save
- our youth, the leaders of today.
- 25 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Liz, first of

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- all, I'd like to thank you for your years of
- dedicated leadership and by extension all the
- 4 members of the group. I've been lucky enough
- 5 to be involved with you and the group. Most
- 6 of you are volunteers. You've told me
- 7 heartbreaking stories in addition to the one
- 8 that you just mentioned now of young people
- 9 who get caught up in drugs. And many of us
- 10 have seen this in our own lives whether of
- 11 children or parents or contemporaneous. I had
- 12 a friend growing up who didn't make it past
- 13 21. It was heartbreaking. And by the way,
- 14 Susan, you are included in this as well.
- The work is critical. No one is
- 16 going to pretend that what we are going to do
- 17 here in Nassau County with these bills is
- 18 going to stop young people from getting
- 19 marijuana. Unfortunately, the state has
- 20 preempted us. There's not that much we can do
- 21 in that regard.
- But what we are doing is we're
- 23 making a statement here for our children and
- that statement is we don't approve. We, as
- the leaders of the county and as adults, we're

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 telling our children we're making a strong
- 3 statement this is not right. It may be legal
- 4 and you may see other people doing it but not
- 5 in our house. These are our houses. This is
- 6 our house sort of speak, county property,
- 7 county parks, and we don't need children
- 8 thinking that it's okay. It's not okay.
- 9 You've made a very powerful and
- 10 compelling statement. Thank you.
- MS. BOYLAN: If I just may share
- 12 another story. I know I don't want to be
- taking up too much of your time. It does come
- down to education and prevention and it comes
- into the home. I've been to a number of wakes
- of young children. Children in my own
- 17 neighborhood. It used to be remote. Now it's
- 18 really hitting home.
- I also had the experience of a
- young man who had this beautiful voice, he was
- 21 a great kid but he was misguided. Chubby
- 22 kid. Gets into high school, graduates and he
- 23 discovers marijuana. Marijuana allowed him to
- lose weight. He started attracting girls. He
- felt good about himself. You know the story.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 He ends up becoming an addict. He lost his
- 3 soul. He lost his humanity. He robbed. He
- 4 went to prison. Then he went into recovery.
- 5 Came out. Doing okay. What happens? Falls
- 6 into using drugs again.
- 7 So, I visited the Nassau County
- 8 detention center my very first time and that
- 9 was guite an experience. And the visitors
- were treated just as much as suspect and I can
- 11 understand that.
- 12 When I walked in it was a
- 13 frightening experience. The young man came
- out looking very frightened and we sat down
- and I told him tell me your story. And I said
- 16 how did you start? He says Mrs. Boylan, I
- started with marijuana. I wanted to be cool.
- 18 I wanted a girlfriend. I am so sorry.
- So we prayed and prayer is also an
- option. The churches, the synagogues, places
- of worship they always get the end result.
- 22 But I'm not allowed to talk about it in the
- 23 public. But let me be allowed to talk about
- it in public because prayer does help.
- The boy, we prayed. I said to him

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- I know you're not in with a bunch of choir
- 3 boys, but if you ever decide to fall back,
- 4 close your eyes and see your mother's tears
- 5 and you're going to get through this.
- 6 Last I heard he was moved to a
- 7 halfway house looking for a job and I'm
- 8 praying I don't hear his name in church.
- 9 Thank you and please continue your
- work in your communities. We do need your
- 11 help. It's not easy but it's not impossible.
- 12 Thank you for all that you're doing. All of
- 13 you, including County Executive Curran. Thank
- 14 you.
- 15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
- 16 you. Before I call the next speaker up I just
- 17 wanted to mention I know there's some of the
- individuals who are still on the Microsoft
- 19 Teams event today who were appointees of the
- 20 county, including Rizwan Oureshi to the Nassau
- 21 County Board of Health, John Fabian and
- 22 Michael McDermott to the Nassau County
- 23 Vocational Education Extension Board, your
- 24 appointments were part of the consent calendar
- 25 today. So you have already been officially

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 appointed and we congratulate you and look
- forward to working with you. In case you are
- 4 still hanging on waiting for that appointment
- 5 we already did that as part of the consent
- 6 calendar today. You're good to go. Thank
- 7 you.
- Next speaker is Susan Blauner,
- 9 Savings Lives from the Five Towns Coalition.
- MS. BLAUNER: Good afternoon and
- thank you for allowing me this few minutes to
- 12 speak. As you just said, I'm Susan Blauner.
- 13 I'm the director of the Saving Lives Five
- 14 Towns Drug and Alcohol Coalition under the
- 15 auspices of the Marian and Aaron Gural JCC.
- I am here today to support the
- 17 legislature to ban all marijuana on all Nassau
- 18 County beaches, parks, preserves and golf
- 19 courses and to update the Social Host law to
- 20 include cannabis.
- 21 I'm mainly here today to speak from
- the heart. As those who know me, I'm from
- 23 California and I spent 40 years there also as
- the director of a large coalition in Los
- 25 Angeles. We were honored many times by the

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 Los Angeles City Counsel for the work that we
- 3 did.
- 4 Unfortunately for California we saw
- 5 what happened to the communities and to our
- 6 children. And what happened in the
- 7 communities there was, first it started out,
- 8 yes, the medical marijuana. Then it went to
- 9 having retail shops on every other corner.
- 10 And you couldn't go anywhere without seeing
- 11 the green cross sign. So much so that if you
- even went to a doctor, let's just say you had
- splinter in your finger, they were already
- 14 offering you a cannabis card to go next door
- 15 to buy marijuana.
- Nassau County, and from what my
- 17 representation of the youth and the families
- of the Five Towns, this is a wonderful
- 19 community. I chose to move here to Nassau
- 20 County. I love it here. I consider this my
- 21 home now. I do not want to see happened here
- 22 what happened in California. It is wonderful
- 23 to see that the legislature has taken this up
- 24 knowing that the state law supersedes on many
- accounts, but to know that you are doing

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 everything in your power to protect our
- 3 families and our children.
- 4 All I can say to you, just as Liz
- 5 has just so eloquently said, our two
- 6 coalitions work very, very closely together
- 7 Rockville Centre for Youth and the Saving
- 8 Lives Five Towns. How many families I've held
- 9 hands with? How many children have been
- 10 affected by cannabis use disorder? How many
- 11 kids have gone to residential facilities and
- have become addicted. Not just to marijuana,
- that's only the gateway. They go on to other
- 14 drugs. Some of them are able to go into rehab
- 15 and come out. There are kids that have done
- 16 that. And then there are the families that
- 17 I've sat with who are crying and either have
- 18 sat, in our case, have sat shiva for their
- 19 children.
- I have been with families who their
- 21 kids have died and then a few weeks later the
- friends of those kids have died. So, knowing
- that we spent hours and hours and hours, we
- 24 put in long days working for our coalition
- work. We do it with our heart. We do it with

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- our soul. And we know that you as the
- 3 legislature are doing the same to protect our
- 4 kids and our families. So, thank you very
- 5 much and please move ahead with this ban.
- 6 Thank you.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 8 Susan. You mentioned the state law and how it
- 9 supersedes the county law and we have to be
- 10 very careful in what we draft that it doesn't
- 11 conflict in any way, shape or form with what
- the state has passed. Unfortunately, we've
- identified a conflict. The penalty section of
- this assesses a \$200 fine for a violation.
- 15 State law limits the fine violation to \$25.
- So, what we're doing today is we
- will not be calling the item for a vote. We
- will be amending it in time for the next
- meeting of the full legislature, as well as
- looking at potentially expanding its reach.
- 21 As one of the suggestions that was made by
- your group and with Rockville Centre for Youth
- 23 Coalition.
- We're going to take another look at
- 25 this. It will definitely be passed by the

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 next meeting. But we want to make sure we've
- dotted our I's and crossed our Ts and that
- 4 there's no conflict. As I said, we will
- 5 change that penalty section and we will also
- 6 be looking at ways to perhaps making this a
- 7 little bit broader in reach.
- MS. BLAUNER: Thank you very
- 9 much. Thank you to all of you.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
- else on the legislature have any comments?
- We're going to have to close the hearing.
- 13 Motion to close the hearing by Deputy
- 14 Presiding Officer Kopel. Seconded by
- 15 Legislator Ford. All in favor of closing the
- 16 hearing signify by saying eye. Those
- opposed? The hearing is closed.
- As I said, we're not going to call
- 19 the item today. That will be on as amended at
- 20 the next meeting of the legislature. But we
- are going to go ahead with the Social Host
- 22 law. That is item three. It's a local law to
- amend Title 64 of the miscellaneous laws of
- Nassau County to prevent the consumption of
- 25 cannabis by minors at private homes.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 Motion by Legislator Gaylor.
- 3 Seconded by Legislator Mule. That's a motion
- 4 to open the hearing. All in favor of opening
- 5 the hearing signify by saying aye. Those
- 6 opposed? Passes unanimously. Actually, the
- 7 hearing is open. Confusing my terms here.
- 8 This is a local law that prevents
- 9 the consumption of cannabis by minors at
- 10 private homes. Obviously the Social Host law
- 11 has been in effect for a while, but with the
- 12 changes to state law with respect to the
- marijuana act we needed to revise and change
- our own Social Host law to make sure in
- addition to the other drugs and alcohol that's
- 16 not permitted in homes where parties are being
- hosted that cannabis has to be included in
- 18 that category.
- Anyone else want to add anything?
- 20 Any public comment? Hearing none, we will
- 21 move on to a motion to close the hearing.
- 22 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded by
- 23 Legislator Walker. All in favor of closing
- the hearing signify by saying aye. Those
- opposed? Carries unanimously. Hearing is

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 closed.
- We go to item number seven for a
- 4 vote. A local law to amend Title 64 of the
- 5 miscellaneous laws of Nassau County to prevent
- 6 the consumption of cannabis by minors at
- 7 private homes.
- 8 Motion by Legislator Schaefer.
- 9 Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. Any further
- debate or discussion? All in favor signify by
- 11 saying aye. Those opposed? That item carries
- 12 unanimously.
- Thank you two for coming out and
- 14 for your tireless support in this area. We
- 15 really appreciate it.
- 16 Item three is a hearing on a
- 17 proposed local law to amend -- we covered that
- 18 already. Item four is a vote on a proposed
- 19 local law to amend the county government law
- of Nassau County to expand the disclosure
- 21 requirements to permittees under use and
- occupancy permits for county properties.
- Moved by Legislator Rhoads.
- 24 Seconded by Legislator Ferretti.
- This item has to be amended. These

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 are the two amendments. One will limit the
- 3 application of the law to individuals using
- 4 county-owned property for residential purposes
- 5 for a fee of over \$25,000.
- 6 Second amendment is that it will,
- 7 the law will also not apply to residents at
- 8 federally or state subsidized military or
- 9 veterans housing. Specifically with respect
- 10 to Mitchel Field Complex for veterans of
- 11 military housing. Those are the proposed
- 12 amendments.
- We need a motion to amend. Moved
- 14 by Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator
- 15 Ferretti. Any debate or discussion on the
- 16 amendments? Hearing none, all in favor of the
- amendments signify by saying aye. Amendments
- 18 pass unanimously. Now, does anyone want to
- 19 have any debate or discussion on the item as
- 20 amended? Legislator Rhoads.
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The purpose
- of the law is to close a loophole that exists
- in the extensive disclosure requirements that
- the county legislature has passed over the
- last several years, and this stems from a vote

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 that we took on the Rules Committee back on
- 3 January 11th of this year to allow a use and
- 4 occupancy permit in the name of Smith DeGroat
- 5 for the rental of the Mille Fleurs property in
- 6 Sands Point Preserve to private individuals.
- 7 Because the agreement was in the name of Smith
- 8 and DeGroat the disclosure was not required of
- 9 campaign contributions that were made by the
- individuals who would actually be residing in
- 11 the home.
- 12 It came to the attention of the
- Rules Committee and the county legislature
- 14 after the vote was taken that that particular
- property, the individuals who were renting it,
- the Hagedorns, actually had made nearly
- 17 \$120,000 in contributions to County Executive
- 18 Curran over the course of the -- Curran's
- 19 campaign -- over the course of the last four
- years. Including \$45,000 in contributions
- 21 that were made on the day that the Rules
- 22 Committee was considering this particular
- 23 contract.
- We don't know and as a result of
- this particular loophole there's no way for us

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 to find out probably what we would have done
- 3 had we known about that information. We'd
- 4 certainly inquire about the relationship
- 5 because certainly at first impression it
- 6 certainly doesn't look right. And I'm sure
- 7 that taxpayers, in the interest of full
- 8 disclosure, I'm sure that taxpayers want to
- 9 know that there was no relationship between
- 10 the granting of this particular contract or
- 11 advocacy of this particular contract on the
- part of the administration in exchange for the
- 13 contributions that were made over an extended
- 14 period of time. In particularly the \$45,000
- in contributions that were made on the day or
- 16 at least posted on the day of the
- 17 legislature's vote.
- While it's too late for us to get
- 19 that information with respect to this
- 20 particular contract, moving forward we wanted
- 21 to make sure that that loophole was closed and
- that's what this legislation attempts to do.
- 23 It applies to any county-owned properties that
- are being used for residential purposes. With
- 25 the amendments it restricts the applicability

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- of this to make sure that we're excluding use
- 3 and occupancy permits for Little Leagues and
- 4 for a variety of other purposes for which use
- 5 and occupancy permits particularly for our
- 6 parks are routinely engaged in. It applies to
- 7 residential properties where the value of the
- 8 contract is in excess of \$25,000. And
- 9 obviously, based on the second amendment, will
- 10 not apply to veterans housing at Mitchel Field
- or any other county property for that
- 12 purpose.
- But I think that this adds to an
- 14 important layer of transparency which was
- exposed because of the Hagedorn contract, the
- 16 Smith and DeGroat contract, and I think
- 17 furthers our efforts to quarantee to
- 18 homeowners that we're doing everything that we
- can, to taxpayers, that we're doing everything
- we can to be transparent and to ensure that
- 21 county property and county money is being used
- 22 for the correct purpose.
- 23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
- else want to be heard on this item? The only
- thing I would add is that just two or three

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 years ago we had gone through a thorough
- 3 review of our contracting process. We had
- 4 greatly expanded the requirements that all
- 5 sorts of vendors disclose all sorts of
- 6 information to the county. This, as
- 7 Legislator Rhoads indicated, closes a loophole
- 8 with respect to this particular area of the
- 9 county business as being done.
- The concept at the time and the
- 11 concept still is to make sure that everything
- that the county is doing is completely above
- board and beyond reproach. Again, the facts
- 14 of this one would raise questions and
- contribution being made on the day of the vote
- which is why we're here today.
- 17 Anyway, anyone else? Any public
- 18 comment? Hearing none, all in favor signify
- 19 by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
- 20 unanimously.
- 21 Item 24 Resolution 42 is a
- 22 resolution authorizing the county executive to
- 23 execute an agreement with the Capital District
- 24 Youth Center Inc. in relation to the use of a
- 25 regional secured detention facility.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 Moved by Legislator Walker.
- 3 Seconded by Legislator Drucker. That item is
- 4 before us. I know that there are some
- 5 questions.
- 6 MR. SCHIRILLO: George Schirillo,
- 7 fiscal officer Department of Probation.
- 8 MS. REYER: Also appearing
- 9 virtually Arianne Reyer, counsel for the
- department.
- 11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I just
- 12 have a question. I think there are other
- 13 questions from legislators. If we don't
- 14 approve this today, if there's a delay on
- 15 this, is there any danger in terms of us not
- 16 being able to place someone who has to be
- 17 placed?
- MR. SCHIRILLO: Arianne, do we
- 19 have anybody?
- MS. REYER: Technically yes
- 21 because we do have adolescents offenders who
- 22 can be arraigned at any time. We don't know
- when an arrest is going to be made. But at
- our last request the Capital District was at
- 25 capacity. Even if it did pass today I

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 couldn't quarantee that they would offer us a
- 3 spot for adolescent offenders regardless.
- 4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
- one of the concerns up here was that we have a
- 6 hearing on this week to go over this issue and
- 7 in terms of the timing approving it before the
- 8 hearing I think there's a little concern that
- 9 we wanted to have information and more of a
- 10 hearing and more of a grasp for the full
- 11 picture before we go ahead.
- MS. REYER: I understand but
- every day that passes there is the chance that
- an adolescent offender will be arraigned and
- will be left in a position without a bed. Our
- 16 adolescent offender that was arraigned on
- 17 Friday was left without a secured specialized
- 18 bed.
- 19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Where did
- that offender get placed?
- MS. REYER: That offender was
- over the age of 18. So, that offender was
- 23 able to be housed at the jail. But if
- 24 somebody else who was 16 was arrested and
- arraigned we would be left in the same

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- difficult position that we often are that we
- 3 don't have any specialized secured detention
- 4 facilities.
- 5 MR. SCHIRILLO: Another aspect of
- 6 this facility is that this is the only one of
- 7 the six in New York State including the Nassau
- 8 County JDC that requires a contract with the
- 9 county of resident of the person coming into
- 10 the county. The other five do not. This is
- 11 again somewhat of a location of last resort if
- 12 we cannot get placed anyplace else. The
- complication with it again is that it's a
- 14 consortium of five other -- a municipal
- 15 agreement that requires any county seeking to
- 16 place residents there to have a specific
- 17 contract with them. Nassau County does not
- 18 require that of any residents coming into our
- detention center. Nor do Westchester, Erie
- 20 Onondaga or Monroe. So, if this is a facility
- that has space and it's closer than obviously
- 22 Erie, Monroe or Onondaga we would still not be
- able to place a child there and have to place
- them even further away.
- 25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 Walker.
- 3 LEGISLATOR WALKER: It just
- 4 concerns me though that you -- I mean I
- 5 understand and I understand why this is at
- 6 least is closer. I really wish we had
- 7 someplace here very close by so families could
- 8 at least see their children. But you stated
- 9 just a few minutes ago that right now this
- 10 place is also full. So, you already -- like
- we're adding another place to the list that
- really doesn't have room for anybody.
- MS. REYER: If someone were to be
- 14 moved and released they would at least be able
- 15 to consider our new admission. Without the
- 16 contract in place they won't even consider
- anyone outside of the consortium. And as
- 18 Mr. Schirillo said, that is unique to the
- 19 Capital District.
- 20 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Okay.
- 21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
- 22 else? Legislator Bynoe.
- 23 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you
- 24 Presiding Officer. I share the concerns of
- 25 many of the legislators up here, and I know

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- we've talked about it in our caucus given the
- 3 precarious situation that we find ourselves in
- 4 at the moment. I feel like we have no other
- 5 choice because we don't want to send them into
- 6 counties that are even further than the one
- 7 proposed to us today. But it's with great
- 8 reservation that we do that. But we believe
- 9 that it's probably the only thing we can do at
- 10 this moment.
- 11 And I'm very happy that we will
- 12 have a hearing this week and hopefully it will
- start the work that is so desperately needed
- 14 to address this issue in trying to have a more
- 15 regional approach to housing those young
- 16 people. So, our hands are forced on this
- particular issue I believe at this time.
- 18 That's my sentiment on behalf of this caucus.
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 21 Ford.
- 22 LEGISLATOR FORD: I agree
- 23 Legislator Bynoe. I mean, basically our backs
- 24 are up against the wall with this.
- 25 Considering the circumstances and even by

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 passing this right now the Capital District
- 3 Youth Center really has no beds available.
- 4 So, this is just such a situation which has
- 5 compelled us now to have this hearing, which
- 6 will be on Thursday, and we anticipate to try
- 7 to work out where the problem is and why there
- is a problem and how do with go from there?
- 9 Because I think this is something we can't
- just leave to the hands of everyone else. I
- 11 think we really have to take a much more
- 12 active approach in dealing with this situation
- because I think all of us, Legislator Walker
- said it, some of these children are 15, 16, 17
- 15 year old kids that are, like, they don't know
- where they're going to be staying. And to be
- separated from their families so far away does
- 18 not sit well with me.
- I know we look at the fact that
- 20 maybe they have committed crimes. But in some
- 21 cases, I don't know, right now the solution of
- 22 how we handle this is just not sitting well
- with me at all. So, that's why we're looking
- 24 forward to this hearing.
- MR. SCHIRILLO: And we concur

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- with that as well. As you know, this has been
- 3 an ongoing problem that really came to a
- 4 forefront with Raise the Age. But this is one
- of the solutions that we have at this point in
- 6 time with the available facilities. It
- 7 shouldn't be an end game that we stop with
- 8 this. But where we do need a facility to
- 9 place a child that's what we're up against.
- 10 That's what we're dealing with. We do concur
- 11 with everything that's been expressed as far
- 12 as the frustration with it.
- 13 LEGISLATOR FORD: It is very
- 14 frustrating. It's heartwrenching. I know,
- even for me, when we had some of the younger
- 16 people even in jail before we raised the age
- parents calling up about their young ones
- 18 trying to work out something to help them, I
- don't know, just to reach out to make sure
- 20 they were taking their medication and
- 21 whatever. It's heartbreaking. I'm looking
- 22 forward to this hearing on Thursday. Okay.
- 23 Thank you.
- 24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
- legislators? Thank you. Hearing no further

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 comment, all in favor of item 24 signify by
- 3 saying aye. Those opposed? Passes
- 4 unanimously.
- 5 Last item before the full
- 6 legislature is Item 46. A resolution to
- 7 require the county to comply with the terms of
- 8 the settlement agreement for Eric Berliner et
- 9 al against the County of Nassau.
- Moved by Legislator Ferretti.
- 11 Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. That item is
- 12 now before us. Does anyone have any comments,
- discussion, debate? Legislator Rhoads.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I know at the
- 15 committee hearings there was a discussion
- 16 about recent changes that were made on the
- 17 county website and whether those changes were
- in conformance and compliance with the
- 19 Berliner settlement. What essentially the
- administration has chosen to do is essentially
- 21 remove the ladder reports from the county
- 22 website and replace it with nothing. Which
- 23 somehow in a way the administration has
- 24 managed to find a way to be even less
- transparent with the public at a time when

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 homeowners are preparing their SCAR petitions,
- 3 at a time when homeowners are making the
- 4 decision and preparing their grievances of
- 5 their taxes.
- 6 The purpose of the Berliner
- 7 settlement was to make the county more
- 8 transparent and explain in a way that
- 9 residents can understand how exactly the value
- of their home was being calculated. 180 some
- 11 odd different neighborhood factors, variables
- that are used by the Department of Assessment
- in order to calculate their home value.
- 14 Things which residents need to be able to
- understand in order to effectively challenge
- 16 that value.
- We've been making an argument ever
- 18 since this reassessment process started back
- in 2018 that we wanted to be fair, open and
- transparent because homeowners not only have
- 21 the right to challenge their value but also
- 22 have the right to be able to challenge the
- 23 process by which our Department of Assessment
- went through in order to calculate that
- 25 value.

1	- 11	1 06 01
⊥	rull -	4-26-21

- 2 It's bad enough that residents
- 3 actually had to sue the Department of
- 4 Assessment and the administration in order to
- 5 force disclosure of that information. But
- 6 when the county turns around and agrees as
- 7 part of a court-ordered resolution to that
- 8 litigation to do certain things to see now
- 9 that the county has somehow found a way to
- 10 make it even worse and comply with only
- 11 portions of the agreement. The portion of the
- 12 agreement that they have complied with is the
- one that actually takes more information away
- 14 from taxpayers and has replaced it effectively
- with nothing at a time that's critical for
- 16 taxpayers, as I said, they're preparing their
- 17 SCAR petitions and preparing their grievances
- 18 for the next tax year.
- So, there was question as to
- 20 whether this litigation was necessary. The
- answer to that question, now that we've had an
- opportunity to research it, is clearly yes,
- and we will continue to fight for transparency
- and we're hoping that by force of law, though
- this didn't seem to work quite so well when we

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 passed the restoration of five year phase-in
- 3 values back in December, it took four and a
- 4 half months to get that back up and we're
- 5 still not sure that that information is
- 6 actually accurate.
- 7 But this at least will give the
- 8 force of the law to the terms of the Berliner
- 9 settlement in the hopes that the
- 10 administration will do what they are legally
- bound to do. We'll see if that actually
- occurs. But these are the steps that we can
- take and I would urge my colleagues to take
- 14 those steps to protect taxpayers.
- 15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 16 Drucker.
- 17 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
- 18 Presiding Officer. You know, rehashing the
- 19 need for the lawsuit is totally unnecessary
- because, as Legislator Rhoads, you understand
- 21 lawsuits very often both sides have compelling
- 22 arguments and case settles. A settlement
- 23 agreement is an agreement which both sides
- 24 recognize they are getting what they want.
- This particular decree, the settlement decree,

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- the settlement agreement, contemplated all of
- 3 the issues that were raised, contemplated all
- 4 of the issues you just expressed Legislator
- 5 Rhoads and they were enumerated.
- 6 Yes, the county was, the
- 7 administration was tasked with complying with
- 8 that in each and every respect and this caucus
- 9 has received a briefing from our legal counsel
- that the administration is in compliance with
- each and every item that they were tasked to
- 12 do.
- I believe we have Rob Miles. I'd
- 14 like you to come up Mr. Miles on behalf of the
- 15 administration to articulate and explain how
- the county has complied with each and every
- item on the consent settlement and this bill
- 18 right now is just a political gesture to
- 19 further, you know, bad mouth the
- 20 administration. But if we're in compliance
- 21 now at this point in time what's the point of
- 22 the legislation?
- Rob Miles, can you explain for this
- body how the administration has complied with
- each and every term of the settlement decree?

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 MR. MILES: Yes Legislator. Rob
- 3 Miles, deputy assessor. Going to Legislator
- 4 Drucker's point, there's actually a web page,
- 5 a tabulation on the Nassau County Department
- of Assessment site. It's specifically stated
- 7 reassessment methodology. There's a
- 8 description of the methodology, it's quite
- 9 clear, giving a history of the reassessment
- and the process of reassessing within the
- 11 paragraphs of the methodology.
- We have the maps listed, the
- valuations maps, the neighborhood maps, the
- 14 coefficients, the modeling, the 180 plus
- 15 factors that are in there and everything
- 16 that's requested that we comply with not just
- the ladder reports. We complied with
- 18 everything I believe April 8th. So, the
- argument that the homeowners didn't have this
- 20 information during the SCAR filing period is
- 21 inaccurate.
- LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you.
- MR. MILES: If the legislators
- 24 are having a difficult time finding the tab I
- 25 can list the tab for you if you'd like to take

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 a look.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I would love
- 4 for you to actually do that Mr. Miles. And
- 5 we've had our own individuals, our own counsel
- 6 and certainly our own staff trying to follow
- 7 this information on the department website and
- 8 we've had difficulty finding it. What's the
- 9 expectation that taxpayers are going to be
- able to find it when people who actually know
- and understand the process can't?
- MR. MILES: I'm not sure why your
- 13 staff is having a difficult time. You have a
- 14 very intelligent staff that I work well with.
- 15 So I'm not sure what the issue was.
- 16 LEGISLATOR FORD: That being
- said, can you just tell us on the assessment
- page what tab it is?
- MR. MILES: If you scroll to the
- left of the assessment home page on the bottom
- is the reassessment methodology. Within it is
- the description that I've explained. And then
- at the bottom, if the homeowner doesn't feel
- like clicking through all the links and
- reading everything is everything that I listed

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- before. The market areas, the neighborhoods,
- 3 the coefficients, the models and the property
- 4 factors.
- 5 LEGISLATOR FORD: So, I'm here
- 6 on -- I'm looking at Long Beach. So, I see
- 7 the map, the one of one. I hit on the map but
- 8 it didn't allow me to bring up my neighborhood
- 9 then I could see. It just showed me --
- MR. MILES: You have neighborhood
- 11 maps as well on there. There's a market area
- map and a neighborhood map along with the
- 13 other items.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Mr. Miles, is
- this on the Department of Assessment home page
- or is this on My Nassau Properties?
- 17 MR. MILES: The Department of
- 18 Assessment homepage.
- 19 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So, it's not
- on My Nassau Properties where we direct every
- 21 homeowner to go?
- MR. MILES: The land record
- viewer? Are you describing the land record
- 24 viewer?
- 25 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Yes.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 MR. MILES: The site links the
- 3 land record viewer. All information required
- 4 by the homeowner regarding exemptions,
- 5 applications, brochures, we direct everybody
- 6 to the Nassau County Department of Assessment
- 7 and there is a link to the land record
- 8 viewer. This home page has been in use for a
- 9 very, very long time.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Mr. Miles,
- is there a link on the land record viewer to
- 12 this information?
- MR. MILES: There's a link from
- 14 the land record viewer to the home page.
- 15 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Where on
- 16 the land record viewer is that link?
- 17 MR. MILES: I can't see it while
- 18 I'm looking at you all but I'm pretty sure at
- the top of the page there's a home page site.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Is there any
- 21 instruction that's provided to homeowners what
- 22 information they can access through that
- 23 link?
- MR. MILES: I'm sorry. Can you
- 25 repeat that?

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: You're
- 3 indicating that there's a link on the top. Is
- 4 there anything that explains to homeowners
- 5 what information they can access if they click
- on that link to get to the county assessor's
- 7 website?
- 8 MR. MILES: It's in the
- 9 tabulation itself. It actually reads as a
- 10 flow-through. From the history of the
- 11 reassessment, the market areas, the
- 12 neighborhoods, the coefficients, the
- 13 contractors that were used to help do the
- 14 reassessment.
- 15 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Mr. Miles,
- on the land record viewer page where you're
- saying there's a link to the Department of
- 18 Assessment website on the land record viewer
- 19 page is there a link to this specific tab?
- MR. MILES: The research
- 21 methodologies?
- 22 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Yes.
- MR. MILES: Not that I'm aware
- 24 of.
- 25 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Is there

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 anything on the land record viewer that
- indicates that there is this methodology tab?
- 4 That it exists?
- 5 MR. MILES: I don't believe it's
- 6 on the link. It's on the Department of
- 7 Assessment web page that we have been using
- 8 for many, many years. That's where we guide
- 9 our taxpayers to go look at exemption
- 10 brochures, pull up applications. Everything
- 11 that you would need one stop shop for the
- 12 assessment department.
- 13 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: If
- 14 constituent X is looking to grieve their
- 15 assessment -- now that's not through the
- 16 Department of Assessment it's through the
- 17 Assessment Review Commission, correct?
- MR. MILES: Correct.
- 19 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So you go
- to the land record viewer and you're viewing
- 21 your information. I think what you just said
- is there's nothing on the land record viewer
- 23 that notifies a constituent that this tab
- 24 exists, correct?
- MR. MILES: On the land record

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- viewer site?
- 3 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Correct.
- 4 MR. MILES: Not that I'm aware
- of. But the main page is the Department of
- 6 Assessment page that links the land record
- 7 viewer page.
- 8 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I
- 9 understand what you're saying. Me personally
- 10 I go to the land record viewer page multiple
- 11 times a week for constituents. I never go to
- 12 the Department of Assessment website for
- 13 constituents.
- 14 MR. MILES: That's unfortunate
- because the Department of Assessment page has
- 16 all the information for exemptions, has all
- the information regarding trending. And the
- 18 trending information that was requested by
- 19 this body is on the Department of Assessment
- web page which I did not hear complaints about
- 21 before.
- 22 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do you
- 23 have information about how many hits there are
- on the Department of Assessment page as
- opposed to the land record viewer?

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 MR. MILES: I don't have that
- 3 information.
- 4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The
- 5 department should because I can tell you right
- 6 now, and Legislator Ferretti was saying he
- does it on behalf of constituents, that 99.9
- 8 percent of the residents out there who are
- 9 looking for information, who are savvy enough
- 10 to go to the website are going to their page.
- 11 They're going to the land record viewer.
- 12 They're not to the Department of Assessment
- 13 page.
- 14 Let me ask you this. Is there a
- 15 specific link on the land record viewer to
- someone's neighborhood so that they can see
- what the neighborhood factor was? Is there a
- 18 specific link there?
- 19 MR. MILES: No. But it's listed
- in the Department of Assessment homepage.
- 21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's the
- 22 problem. The average resident, you're saying
- okay, you have to find this link in your land
- 24 record viewer and then you go to the
- Department of Assessment website and then you

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 have to navigate to find this information.
- 3 It's almost as if we're making it as difficult
- 4 as possible.
- 5 MR. MILES: I'm sorry, Presiding
- 6 Officer, I disagree wholeheartedly. The
- 7 Department of Assessment home page is utilized
- by our seniors, by our veterans, by people who
- 9 want to go and pull exemptions. They go to
- 10 the Department of Assessment home page. When
- 11 people were asking about the trends, we got
- 12 calls about the trends, they looked at the
- 13 Department of Assessment home page. That's
- 14 where they access the information.
- 15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: When they
- 16 call about exemptions I can see doing that
- because they're not going to land record
- viewer because that's a different subject. I
- 19 can see going to the Department of Assessment
- 20 home page. For those specific residents
- asking about trending, yeah, I would see them
- 22 going because the trending would not be in the
- 23 land record viewer.
- 24 But again, my experience with your
- average constituent is they're going to look

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 at their own property. Again, I think what
- you've done is you've tried to comply somewhat
- 4 with the law, with the settlement but you did
- 5 in such a way that's difficulty for residents
- 6 to actually find it.
- 7 MR. MILES: I'm sorry, Presiding
- 8 Officer, I disagree with you. I can't
- 9 disagree with you more. When you talk about
- 10 the trending you say oh, well, it's a
- 11 different subject. No, it is not. The trends
- 12 are by school district. The trends are by a
- different political subdivision. It is not a
- 14 different subject. It is the same subject.
- 15 That's why you want to put the same subject
- 16 matter on that page.
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let me ask
- 18 you this. When was the settlement with
- 19 Berliner?
- MR. MILES: I believe it was some
- 21 time in October. But I see the electronic
- 22 filing was December 23rd.
- LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So I guess
- you're going to have us believe it's
- 25 coincidental that this information came up on

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 the Department of Assessment website a day
- 3 after we filed this legislation? It took you
- 4 six months.
- MR. MILES: I think it took us
- 6 four months.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Sort of
- 8 proves the point, doesn't it?
- 9 MR. MILES: I don't believe it
- 10 does. This should not be a rushed -- this
- 11 should not be rushed. This is information
- that the taxpayers is receiving. This is not
- 13 something to rush.
- 14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It should
- 15 not be rushed is right.
- MR. MILES: I don't recall seeing
- anything in the order that says it has to be
- 18 complied by a certain date.
- 19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So it was
- 20 a coincidence that this information was up the
- 21 day of or the day after we filed?
- MR. MILES: The department has
- been working on this for much longer than the
- legislation that this body produced.
- 25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So it's a

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 coincidence?
- MR. MILES: No, it is not. I
- 4 mean it is a coincidence. We've been working
- on this for much longer than the legislation
- 6 that was provided.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 8 Rhoads.
- 9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Is there
- anything, Mr. Miles, that prevents you from
- 11 actually putting an explanation and a link to
- 12 the Department of Assessment website on the
- 13 land record viewer?
- MR. MILES: I'd have to go back
- 15 to IT. I'm sure they can figure out --
- 16 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We control
- both sides, right? They're both county
- 18 sites?
- MR. MILES: Right.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So there's no
- 21 reason why the same way we can't link to the
- 22 land record viewer from the Department of
- 23 Assessment website we should be able to do the
- 24 reverse, right?
- MR. MILES: Not that I'm aware of

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 but I would have to talk to the IT department.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Because
- 4 that's something that we would want to do
- 5 since most of the traffic goes to the land
- 6 record viewer, correct?
- 7 MR. MILES: I'm not saying that.
- 8 I don't what the hits are remember.
- 9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I think
- 10 common sense would, at least from our own
- 11 experience and our dealings with our own
- 12 constituents, common sense would dictate that
- they go to the land record viewer because
- 14 that's supposed to have the most relevant
- 15 information.
- MR. MILES: I'd hope you guide
- them also to the Department of Assessment web
- 18 page that has the trending information and the
- 19 exemption information.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I think you'd
- 21 make it available in one place instead of
- 22 having to send homeowners back and forth to
- 23 different locations. But apparently that's
- 24 not how this works. If you can look at that I
- would certainly appreciate it.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 And to be perfectly honest my other
- 3 concern is the fact that, yes, if information
- 4 happens to be on the Department of Assessment
- 5 website, as we've seen from our own experience
- 6 with respect to the phase-in values, that
- 7 information can disappear overnight. So, then
- 8 it's a fight to get that information
- 9 restored.
- I have absolutely no problem
- 11 codifying the terms of the Berliner
- 12 settlement. Again, I will review the
- information that's on the Department of
- 14 Assessment website, but I do believe it should
- 15 also -- a link to that information, an
- 16 explanation should be placed on the land
- 17 record viewer as well. And I want to ensure
- 18 that this information continues to be
- maintained and this isn't a short term fix on
- 20 the part of the Department of Assessment
- 21 brought about by the existence of this
- 22 legislation.
- 23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- Ford, Legislator Mule, Legislator Ferretti.
- 25 LEGISLATOR FORD: Good

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 afternoon. If a homeowner wants to grieve
- 3 their assessment would they use the
- 4 reassessment methodologies to be able to get
- 5 the information that they need or is this
- 6 just --
- 7 MR. MILES: They would go to the
- 8 ARC web page. The arrow web page to do a
- 9 grievance.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FORD: That's on your
- 11 website?
- MR. MILES: That's on the
- 13 Assessment Review Commission's website.
- 14 LEGISLATOR FORD: Do you have a
- link on this page to let residents know?
- MR. MILES: To the Assessment
- 17 Review Commission website?
- 18 LEGISLATOR FORD: I understand
- 19 that. I'm asking you, with the assessment
- department, because as you said, a lot of
- 21 people utilize this. I agree with you that a
- lot of people do because we direct them to get
- the forms and get information. I'm asking if
- 24 somebody -- if I wanted to grieve my
- 25 assessment and I don't know where to go I

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- would automatically go to the assessment page,
- 3 correct? Department of Assessment? If you're
- 4 going to grieve your assessment.
- 5 MR. MILES: You don't go to the
- 6 Department of Assessment to go grieve the
- 7 assessment. You go to the --
- 8 LEGISLATOR FORD: I know that. I
- 9 understand that. What I'm asking you is, is
- there anything here that lets a resident know
- 11 that if they themselves wanted to grieve their
- assessment that they don't use this page and
- if you want to then you would go to the
- 14 assessment review?
- MR. MILES: I believe there's
- 16 something in the home page that states that
- there's a separate commission that you would
- 18 go to file.
- 19 LEGISLATOR FORD: I am going to
- 20 check because if we're looking at this because
- 21 even when I looked at the reassessment
- 22 methodologies that you have here that I found
- 23 it is very cumbersome. There's a lot. I
- understand it. I think for the regular
- 25 homeowner, I myself am not a lawyer, so pretty

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 much a lot of people may have some difficulty
- 3 truly understanding it.
- 4 MR. MILES: It's not in legalese
- or lawyer language. I think it's actually
- 6 quite clear. There's information in there
- 7 that's very helpful and very clear as to the
- 8 history. It's a flow-through. It goes
- 9 through the history of the reassessment. It
- 10 goes through the processes of the
- 11 reassessment.
- 12 LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm looking at
- 13 that now.
- MR. MILES: It's not in
- 15 legalese. I think it's quite clear.
- 16 LEGISLATOR FORD: How does it
- 17 really let a resident know specifically how
- 18 you came to assess their home at the value
- 19 that you did?
- MR. MILES: I think it says what
- 21 the methodology was within the paragraphs on
- the web page.
- LEGISLATOR FORD: But what you do
- is -- but there's like, under the ladder
- report residents were able to go on and take a

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- look. They could, say, like I could look on
- 3 my block and I would see the value of my
- 4 house. If I had like a swimming pool that
- 5 there was a certain value added to that. If I
- 6 had a larger driveway then whatever. Like in
- 7 Long Beach they add a certain value to the
- 8 homes that are raised because of that bottom
- 9 level that can be used for parking. So there
- was a certain value in many cases. You add
- like 50 or \$60,000 to the value of a house
- 12 because of that.
- So, residents would be able to say
- 14 this is how you came upon how my house was
- 15 valued. But where is the chart or where is
- 16 the information that makes it rather simple
- for people to be able to?
- MR. MILES: Per the settlement
- the ladder report was to be removed and that's
- what we did.
- 21 LEGISLATOR FORD: So what did you
- 22 put in place so that the residents can
- 23 easily --
- MR. MILES: I think we have
- everything that was required in the

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 settlement, which was property factors, the
- 3 coefficients, the neighborhood maps and the
- 4 market area maps. We did everything that was
- 5 required in the settlement agreement. And
- 6 that's in place specifically of the ladder
- 7 report.
- 8 LEGISLATOR FORD: You did
- 9 everything for the settlement but really all
- in all what did you really do for the
- 11 residents?
- MR. MILES: A, I think we do a
- lot for the residents. But B, I don't think
- 14 the conversation --
- 15 LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm asking
- 16 about this. I know that you do. I'm just
- 17 asking, where is the help for the regular
- 18 residents looking at their assessments.
- MR. MILES: I think the website
- 20 is quite clear.
- 21 LEGISLATOR FORD: A lot of my
- residents are saying it isn't because they
- 23 want to know how do we come up with these
- values? You're educated in this. It's easy
- for you to say well, it is quite clear because

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 you live and breathe it. But for people who
- 3 don't live and breath it I dare to say that
- 4 you're falling short on this. This is why I'm
- 5 going to support this legislation.
- 6 MR. MILES: But we're also here
- 7 to discuss if we complied with the
- 8 legislation. I believe that's what you're
- 9 insinuating is we didn't comply with the
- 10 settlement. I think that's what we are
- 11 insinuating.
- 12 LEGISLATOR FORD: I don't know
- about that. I'm not 100 percent certain that
- 14 you are but thank you.
- 15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 16 Mule.
- 17 LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you
- 18 Presiding Officer. Mr. Miles, it's my
- understanding that the settlement said to
- 20 revise the Department of Assessment website
- 21 not to the land record site; is that correct?
- MR. MILES: Correct.
- 23 LEGISLATOR MULE: So we can all
- 24 be 100 percent clear. Have you followed all
- of the items that were in the settlement?

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 MR. MILES: Absolutely.
- 3 LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you.
- 4 Therefore, I will not be supporting this piece
- 5 of legislation.
- 6 MR. MILES: Thank you Legislator.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 8 Ferretti.
- 9 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Mr. Miles,
- 10 who runs the land record website? What
- 11 department?
- MR. MILES: Department of
- 13 Assessment and supported by the Department of
- 14 Information Technology.
- 15 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: The
- 16 Department of Information and Technology
- supports every website in the county, right?
- MR. MILES: Probably.
- 19 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Do you
- 20 know?
- 21 MR. MILES: I don't work for the
- 22 Department of Technology.
- 23 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I'm just
- 24 asking if you know?
- MR. MILES: I don't know.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: When we
- 3 talk about the Department of Assessment
- 4 website the land record website is included in
- 5 that, correct?
- 6 MR. MILES: It's a link from the
- 7 Department of Assessment web page.
- 8 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So it's
- 9 part of the Department of Assessment web page,
- 10 right?
- MR. MILES: Part of the main
- 12 page.
- 13 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Why was
- 14 there not a link on the land record viewer
- 15 page to this sublink, this Department of
- 16 Assessment website with the new information?
- 17 Why not?
- MR. MILES: Because we put it on
- 19 the main page. As Legislator Mule said, the
- 20 settlement says put it on the main page.
- 21 Looks like we did that.
- 22 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Have you
- looked at this page?
- MR. MILES: Have I looked at the
- home page?

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: No. Have
- you looked at the tab with the methodologies?
- 4 MR. MILES: I have looked at
- 5 them.
- 6 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Have you
- 7 clicked the links with the different
- 8 coefficients.
- 9 MR. MILES: Absolutely.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: And you can
- 11 read that?
- MR. MILES: I can read -- the
- coefficients that was required of the -- are
- 14 we discussing whether we can read the code or
- if we're complying with the settlement?
- 16 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I get to
- 17 ask the questions. So my question to you is
- if you can read it. If you can understand
- 19 what I'm looking at right now.
- 20 MR. MILES: I'm not answering
- that question. The reason why we're here is
- 22 whether or not we complied with the settlement
- and we did.
- 24 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So you're
- 25 not going to answer whether you can understand

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- what's on your website; is that correct?
- MR. MILES: I am not a coder.
- 4 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: That's
- 5 fine. So I guess you don't understand it.
- 6 MR. MILES: I do not do mass
- 7 appraisal market analysis.
- 8 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Neither do
- 9 most constituents. So when they click on this
- 10 link you know what they see? Gobbledegook.
- 11 Because that's what I see and that's what you
- 12 see. Just like when I click on the map that
- 13 you guys put up with the different
- 14 neighborhood factors it's all gobbledegook.
- 15 There's nothing there. What you did was you
- 16 took down the ladder report on the land record
- viewer, which is actually somewhat useful and
- 18 you replaced it with gobbledegook code,
- 19 correct?
- MR. MILES: Legislator, one, we
- described everything in the paragraphs. You
- 22 can read through the paragraphs.
- Second, the discussion is whether
- 24 we complied with the reassessment not if I'm
- 25 an expert at SPSS market analyses. That's not

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- the question here. The question was, did we
- 3 comply with the order and we have. And we
- 4 describe the process of the reassessment
- 5 methodologies and I'm saying this over and
- 6 over again and it's on the website and it's on
- 7 the right web page.
- 8 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I think my
- 9 reading of that settlement the intent
- 10 certainly was to bring more transparency and
- 11 more information to residents and what the
- 12 result is less transparency and less
- information to our residents. So, I don't
- 14 think that we are in compliance with the
- spirit of that settlement agreement and I will
- 16 be voting in favor of this.
- 17 And I think what we did -- not what
- 18 we did because we didn't do it -- but what the
- administration did was they put something that
- they can claim on the face did comply with the
- 21 settlement. They hid it in a small little tab
- on a site that nobody goes to and when you
- 23 click on it you pull up gobbledegook. So I
- don't think that that's complying with the
- intent of that settlement and like I said, I

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- will be supporting this and really --
- MR. MILES: I'm sorry. You're
- 4 saying the veterans and the seniors who almost
- 5 exclusively use the assessment page nobody
- 6 reads the home page?
- 7 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I didn't
- 8 say nobody.
- 9 MR. MILES: Yes, you did.
- 10 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Did I say
- 11 nobody? It's not zero but it's certainly far
- less in my experience than people that use the
- land record viewer. It's not a heavily
- 14 trafficked website. Far less than the land
- 15 record viewer from what I have seen. And you
- can't tell me how many hits for each one so
- you don't know. That's based on my
- 18 experience.
- MR. MILES: I'm sorry. It isn't
- 20 nobody to me.
- 21 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you
- for looking out for the residents of Nassau
- 23 County. But I will be supporting this
- legislation and I hope that my colleagues do
- 25 as well.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Deputy
- 3 Presiding Officer Kopel.
- 4 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: If I
- 5 understand your position it's that there's
- 6 already been compliance with this order,
- 7 right?
- 8 MR. MILES: Yes.
- 9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It's not that
- 10 you can't do what we're requesting it's that
- 11 you won't do what we're requesting?
- MR. MILES: I don't think I've
- ever said that I won't do.
- 14 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Why not do it
- then and make this simple? It's not
- 16 technically hard for you to do this. It's a
- fairly simple thing to do. I don't think that
- 18 you can argue the point that it would enhance
- understanding by people. It certainly won't
- 20 hurt. So why not go ahead and do it? Where's
- 21 the opposition?
- MR. MILES: I'm starting to lose
- the main line of the argument. I think the
- argument was whether or not we complied with
- 25 the settlement and I think it is yes.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm going
- 3 beyond that. You say that you've complied in
- 4 technical sense. Good. Let's just say for
- 5 argument's sake we grant that position. Let's
- 6 just say. Why not go further? Why not do
- 7 exactly as we're suggesting here?
- 8 MR. MILES: I'm sorry. So, then
- 9 if we all agree that.
- 10 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I didn't say
- 11 we agree. I said for argument's sake.
- MR. MILES: I'm sorry,
- 13 Legislator, you said if we agree that you did
- technically comply with the settlement then
- 15 I'm trying to figure out how this legislation
- 16 isn't moot.
- 17 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Because, you
- 18 know, you're being a little bit deliberately
- 19 obtuse, I'm sorry.
- MR. MILES: I don't think I am.
- 21 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Okay. Very
- 22 simple. It doesn't hurt to make a couple of
- enhancements to this and you can do it. Why
- not do it? Forgetting about the settlement.
- Why not go ahead and do what we're

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 suggesting? Why don't you want to?
- 3 MR. MILES: There's nothing that
- 4 I'm saying that we won't do. We will continue
- 5 to improve the assessment website, the
- 6 assessment systems. But the main question
- 7 here, did the Department of Assessment comply
- 8 with the settlement and it did.
- 9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: All I'm
- 10 getting from what you're saying is that you've
- 11 complied in the strict legal sense. Whether
- or not we agree is beside the point, but
- you've complied in a strict legal sense and
- 14 you won't do anything further.
- MR. MILES: That's not what I'm
- 16 saying.
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 18 Walker.
- 19 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I quess
- 20 everyone of us up here and I think on both
- 21 sides of the aisle we do everything to try to
- 22 assist our residents and to help them
- understand especially in a process that's hard
- 24 sometimes for us to understand.
- You're saying that you did follow

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- everything in the settlement and you put it on
- 3 the website is wonderful. But if it's there
- 4 in the way it's written no one can
- 5 understand. I don't do code at all. I
- 6 understand language that's understandable to
- 7 most people. Most of our homeowners they are
- 8 not going to understand that at all. You
- 9 yourself, who work in assessment, said well, I
- don't understand code. So you complied with
- 11 what you were told to do so you feel that's
- 12 fine. But if it doesn't make sense to people
- and if they can't understand it what was --
- 14 you complied in your own way. So, you did
- what you were told to do and you're fine with
- 16 that. Doesn't help our residents but you're
- 17 fine with that.
- MR. MILES: We put a very
- descriptive description of how everything
- occurred was. It's on the web page. It's not
- in legalese. And this is by far the most
- 22 transparent assessing jurisdiction in the
- 23 state. There's no other assessing
- jurisdiction that produces the information
- 25 that this assessing jurisdiction produces.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 Please look at the other assessing
- 3 jurisdictions in the state. It does not come
- 4 close. That is an absolute fact.
- 5 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I'm sure most
- of them don't have the same amount of problems
- 7 that we have, that our residents have to deal
- 8 with. 24-7 we are constantly still getting
- 9 phone calls about problems, about issues that
- 10 are still wrong, about checks that you stood
- 11 here at a hearing and promised they would have
- 12 and they still don't have for people who
- overpaid because of mistakes that were made
- 14 there. We could say we have the most
- wonderful in the world but I don't think our
- 16 residents see that and I don't see that
- 17 either.
- And yes, you said we didn't have to
- 19 rush. I think our whole problem is the fact
- that we rushed to begin with to get this whole
- 21 assessment system fixed that we're in more
- 22 mess than we can imagine.
- 23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I do have
- 24 a follow-up. As Legislator Ferretti pointed
- out, you click on some of these links and you

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 get gobbledegook. It's a great descriptive
- 3 term. I want to back up a little bit.
- 4 If I'm a residents going to my
- 5 Nassau Property dot -- looking at the land,
- 6 the viewer there, which is what most people
- 7 do. Look, if you want to know what's going on
- 8 with your assessment you're going to go to
- 9 your property. You're going to see what
- 10 information up. What's now there to help a
- 11 resident determine how the Department of
- 12 Assessment arrived at their own individual
- 13 property's assessment? What's still remaining
- on the land track viewer?
- MR. MILES: I think you can see
- 16 the comparable market analyses that are still
- 17 up. The department never removed the
- 18 comparable market analyses, which is the main
- method that is utilized for filing a grievance
- and filing a SCAR. That was never removed.
- 21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All
- 22 right. So now that everything else is gone --
- MR. MILES: Everything else is
- only the ladder report Presiding Officer.
- 25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: How do you

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 compare your home to another home in the
- 3 viewer?
- 4 MR. MILES: The comparable sales
- 5 analysis that is still remaining up there as
- 6 we speak.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Correct me
- 8 if I'm wrong, wasn't the whole point that the
- 9 Department of Assessment wasn't using
- 10 comparable sales to determine assessments?
- 11 Wasn't that what we told residents?
- MR. MILES: But I thought we were
- talking about filing a petition and filing at
- 14 ARC etcetera, etcetera.
- 15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm
- 16 talking about a resident who wants to know why
- is my house -- what are they telling me my
- house is worth 650 and I know it's only worth
- 19 500? That's what most residents want to
- 20 know. What's there to tell them how you
- 21 arrived at their assessment?
- MR. MILES: The reassessment
- 23 methodologies points it out. It points out
- the market areas, the neighborhoods, the
- coefficients, the property factors. That's

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- what the residents would use to understand the
- 3 reassessment methodology.
- 4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: If they
- 5 want to find out what the neighborhood factor
- 6 is and they go to the Department of Assessment
- 7 website and they get gobbledegook? Is that
- 8 how it works?
- 9 MR. MILES: It's not
- 10 gobbledegook.
- 11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It
- certainly is to 99 percent of the residents.
- I mean, again, look, I think the point that's
- 14 being made over and over again is somehow the
- 15 Department of Assessment, which settled a
- 16 lawsuit which was intended to make the
- assessment process more transparent, has taken
- that settlement and now made itself less
- 19 transparent.
- I want to thank Legislator Drucker
- 21 for inviting you up today because we would
- 22 have had none of this back and forth without
- you being here. But it's frustrating for us,
- 24 it's frustrating for residents to be honest
- with you. Again, look, you've come before us

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- a number of times and you've stood there and
- you've answered the questions and had back and
- 4 forth. So I'm not making this personal
- between us. We appreciate the fact that you
- 6 do that. All right Robert?
- 7 MR. MILES: I hope it isn't.
- 8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It's not.
- 9 Anyone else have any questions? Legislator
- 10 Birnbaum. Then Legislator Rhoads.
- 11 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you
- 12 for being here Mr. Miles. I've listened to
- the discussion back and forth and it's odd
- 14 that we're complaining that there's too much
- information now on the website. I went to the
- 16 home page of the Department of Assessment and
- 17 I read the description. It's summaries of how
- 18 you did everything. There's many links that
- would bring the average person to what they're
- 20 looking for. And there's a difference between
- 21 your Department of Assessment and the
- 22 Assessment Review Commission.
- I think the whole lawsuit was
- 24 against the Department of Assessment with the
- information, and I want to commend you for all

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- the transparency and the information you have
- 3 provided. I don't think the common person
- 4 could understand coefficients and exactly how
- 5 the math went into the reassessment. But yet
- 6 it's there because you had to put out this
- 7 information. Otherwise I don't even think you
- 8 would bother with all these mathematical
- 9 computations. But that's what was asked of
- them and they did and now we're complaining
- 11 there's too much information.
- So, there's difference between
- 13 assessment and Assessment Review Commission.
- 14 I think if the average homeowner wants to find
- out how the assessment was arrived at they do
- 16 study maybe the Department of Assessment. But
- mostly they'd be on the Assessment Review
- 18 Commission page to look at comps.
- But I think for the sake of the
- argument of this piece of legislation, your
- 21 department has completely complied with what
- 22 you were supposed to do. And if we are
- 23 requesting other information and modifications
- to the website I'm sure that Mr. Miles said
- 25 he's willing to work with us. But for the

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- legislation before us today it's moot. It's
- 3 been done. There's more than enough
- 4 information that's on that page.
- I haven't looked at other counties
- 6 or other areas in the state to say it but I'll
- 7 take your word for it that we probably have a
- 8 very complex website because everybody in this
- 9 area at our legislature has been asking for
- more information and you've been giving it.
- 11 So thank you.
- 12 Yes, it may be complicated and
- difficult for people to understand. Maybe
- 14 that's why it wasn't there in the first
- 15 place. But yet all the explanation is
- 16 provided for how the reassessment took place.
- 17 So, I want to thank you for that and all the
- work you've been doing to try to get this
- 19 website as thorough as it is.
- MR. MILES: Thank you Legislator.
- 21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 22 Rhoads.
- LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you Mr.
- 24 Presiding Officer. I respectfully disagree
- with Legislator Birnbaum in virtually every

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 respect. The bottom line is that the county
- 3 was responsible under the terms of the
- 4 settlement to include a plain English
- 5 narrative explaining the information that's
- 6 contained on the website. And yes, the words
- 7 that you put on the website are in plain
- 8 English. However, they don't explain the
- 9 information that's contained in the
- 10 hyperlink. You click on a hyperlink for
- 11 market coefficients and you receive a series
- of letters and numbers that are
- incomprehensible to the average person.
- If the idea behind the lawsuit was
- that there was supposed to be transparency and
- 16 the idea behind what we're stating is our
- objective, and which the county executive
- 18 supposedly shares in, that we want residents
- 19 to be able to understand how is the
- information that you put up on your website
- 21 helpful and how is it in any way compliant
- 22 with the terms of the settlement?
- The bottom line is, Mr. Miles, I
- don't need you to be a coder. Right? I'm not
- a coder. The whole point of the lawsuit was

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 that I should be able to go on the website,
- 3 click on the link and get an explanation for
- 4 exactly how it was that the Department of
- 5 Assessment considered my home's market, the
- 6 different coefficients that it used, the
- 7 different markets, the model variables that it
- 8 used. But when you a click on those links you
- 9 receive -- there's information there but it's
- information that no one other than a coder can
- 11 understand. So I'm going to ask you,
- 12 Mr. Miles, I'm going to ask what I'm holding
- 13 now is a -- and I apologize that my fellow
- 14 legislators can't see it --
- MR. MILES: Legislator Rhoads is
- testifying with me on behalf of DOA.
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Now we'll
- 18 get to the bottom of this.
- 19 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We were
- 20 trying to figure a way to get it up on the
- 21 screen and we can't. So when you click on the
- list of Nassau County 2021 model variables,
- which is information that's now contained on
- the Department of Assessment website not the
- 25 land record viewer but the Department of

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 Assessment website, this is a printout of the
- 3 information that's contained there. Is there
- 4 any place on the Department of Assessment
- 5 website that explains what each of these terms
- 6 actually is?
- 7 MR. MILES: The 180 plus?
- 8 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Yes. The
- 9 plain English description of what it actually
- 10 is.
- MR. MILES: I don't think so
- 12 Legislator. But it is -- 180 as specified in
- 13 the lawsuit.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It says a
- 15 plain English description.
- MR. MILES: Of the reassessment
- methodologies and everything that occurred in
- 18 it.
- 19 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: This is the
- 20 part of the methodology?
- 21 MR. MILES: It is and it's been
- 22 explained in the -- sorry, this is an odd
- exchange.
- 24 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It's like
- 25 being in a deposition.

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- So, when you click on the
- 3 information for model variables you'll concede
- 4 though that for each of these, econ unit ID,
- 5 do you have any idea what econ unit ID is?
- 6 MR. MILES: Yes.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: You know,
- 8 right, because you work in the Department of
- 9 Assessment?
- 10 MR. MILES: But I think many of
- 11 these are quite plain and easy to read.
- 12 Neighborhood label. The neighborhood, the
- 13 address's legal code class. Acres. I don't
- 14 think that's something that you need to spoon
- 15 feed to -- if a homeowner doesn't know what an
- 16 acre is that's a problem.
- 17 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What's an
- 18 NBHD label?
- MR. MILES: Neighborhood.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What's an
- 21 NBHD old?
- MR. MILES: That's specific to
- the code.
- LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If you're not
- from the Department of Assessment you may be

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 able to interpret some of the 180 variables
- 3 but the idea was that you wanted to provide an
- 4 explanation so that the average person can
- 5 actually understand what those variables are
- 6 and --
- 7 MR. MILES: But the explanation
- 8 is in the -- I don't know how this goes.
- 9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But you would
- 10 concede though that there is not an
- explanation for each of the items that's in
- 12 the 2021 model variables?
- MR. MILES: No, it's not for
- 14 each.
- 15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So now, when
- 16 you click on the valuation for individual --
- 17 MR. MILES: Right. This is the
- 18 modeling. This is the coefficients. I mean,
- 19 you can utilize this to create an SPSS model.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: How?
- MR. MILES: Do you know how to
- use SPSS?
- 23 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: No. Is there
- 24 any explanation though for the information
- 25 that's --

- 1 Full 4-26-21
- 2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All
- 3 right. I don't know how fruitful this is for
- 4 the rest of us.
- 5 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Not a
- 6 problem. What I'm going to do is, so you can
- 7 see, we only have one copy but I just wanted
- 8 to ask my question first before I publicized
- 9 it.
- 10 You would concede that anywhere on
- 11 the Department of Assessment website, Mr.
- 12 Miles, that there is no explanation for what
- this information actually means, right?
- 14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All
- 15 right. Again, gentlemen, this is not getting
- 16 taken down on the transcript because of the
- way you're doing it.
- MR. MILES: It was interesting.
- 19 Thank you for coming up here.
- 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Are there
- 21 any other questions? Anyone at home or
- 22 remote? Thank you Mr. Miles.
- MR. MILES: This is one of the
- 24 most interesting -- I mean I enjoyed seeing
- 25 Legislator Rhoads up here.

2	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We can
3	send him to your office too if you want if you
4	enjoyed it that much.
5	I think the debate is over ladies
6	and gentlemen. Let's go to a vote on this.
7	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
8	Those opposed? Passes by a vote of 11 to
9	eight.
10	Motion to adjourn by Legislator
11	McKevitt. Seconded by Legislator
12	DeRiggi-Whitton.
13	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If it's okay,
14	Mr. Presiding Officer, I'd just like to give
15	these in to the clerk.
16	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's
17	fine. All those in favor of adjourning
18	signify by saying aye. Those opposed? We are
19	adjourned. We're going to go right into the
20	Rules Committee.
21	(Legislature was adjourned at 3:21
22	p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

Full - 4-26-21

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
5	Public in and for the State of New
6	York, do hereby certify:
7	THAT the foregoing is a true and
8	accurate transcript of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
11	hereunto set my hand this third day of
12	May 2021.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	FRANK GRAY
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	