Legislative Calendar 10-26-20

Documents:

10-26-20.PDF

2. PROPOSED ORDINANCES

Documents:

PROPOSED ORD, 79-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD. 80-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD. 81-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD, 82-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD, 83-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD. 84-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD, 85-20, PDF PROPOSED ORD. 86-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD, 87-20, PDF PROPOSED ORD, 88-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD, 89-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD. 90-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD. 91-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD. 92-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD, 93-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD, 94-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD. 95-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD, 96-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD. 97-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD, 98-20.PDF PROPOSED ORD. 99-20.PDF

3. PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS

Documents:

PROPOSED RES. 116-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 117-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 118-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 119-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 120-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 121-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 122-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 123-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 124-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 125-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 126-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 127-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 128-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 129-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 130-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 131-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 132-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 133-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 134-20.PDF DDODOGED DEG 435 30 DDE PROPOSED RES. 135-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 137-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 137-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 138-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 139-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 140-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 141-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 142-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 143-20.PDF PROPOSED RES. 144-20.PDF

4. PROPOSED LOCAL LAW

Documents:

PROPOSED LOCAL LAW -20.PDF

5. FULL LEGISLATIVE SESSION, 10-26-20

Documents:

FULL LEGISLATIVE SESSION, 10-26-20.PDF

LEGISLATIVE CALENDAR

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE NINTH MEETING NINTH MEETING OF 2020

MINEOLA, NEW YORK OCTOBER 26, 2020 LEGISLATIVE CALENDAR 1:00PM

Please be advised that public attendance is permitted at this meeting, but due to health and safety concerns associated with the COVID-19 virus and New York State requirements restricting public gatherings, the maximum capacity of the Peter J. Schmitt Legislative Chamber is limited to fifty people, inclusive of elected officials, staff, and attendees. Passes will be distributed on a first come first served basis beginning one half hour prior to meeting and attendees will be given an opportunity to sign in to address the Legislature for a maximum of five minutes. Attendees will be subject to temperature checks prior to entering the chamber, and must adhere to social distancing guidelines and wear a mask while they are in the chamber.

This meeting will also be available for viewing online at http://www.nassaucountyny.gov/agencies/Legis/index.html As in-person attendance is limited, public comment on any item may be emailed to the Clerk of the Legislature at LegPublicComment@nassaucountyny.gov and will be made part of the formal record for this Legislative meeting.

While this meeting is open to the public at a reduced capacity, the Nassau County Legislature is committed to making its public meeting accessible to individuals with disabilities. If, due to a disability, you need an accommodation or assistance to participate in the public meeting or to obtain a copy of the transcript of the public hearing in an alternative format in accordance with the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act, please contact the Office of the Clerk of the Legislature at 571-4252, or the Nassau County Office for the Physically Challenged at 227-7101 or TDD telephone no. 227-8989.

EVERY LEGISLATIVE MEETING IS STREAMED LIVE ON http://www.nassaucountyny.gov/agencies/Legis/index.html

1. HEARING ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. - 2020

A LOCAL LAW AMENDING TITLE 24 OF THE MISCELLANEOUS LAWS OF NASSAU COUNTY, IN RELATION TO EXTENDING THE HOTEL AND MOTEL OCCUPANCY TAX. 239-20(OMB)

2. <u>VOTE ON PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. –2020</u>

A LOCAL LAW AMENDING TITLE 24 OF THE MISCELLANEOUS LAWS OF NASSAU COUNTY, IN RELATION TO EXTENDING THE HOTEL AND MOTEL OCCUPANCY TAX. 239-20(OMB)

3. **ORDINANCE NO. 79-2020**

AN ORDINANCE MAKING CERTAIN DETERMINATIONS PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO ACCEPT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AN OFFER OF PURCHASE FROM THE VILLAGE OF UPPER BROOKVILLE OF CERTAIN PREMISES LOCATED IN THE VILLAGE OF UPPER BROOKVILLE, COUNTY OF NASSAU, STATE OF NEW YORK, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A DEED, CONTRACT OF SALE, AND ALL PERTINENT DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH TO CONSUMATE THE SALE. 293-20(PW)

4. **ORDINANCE NO. 80-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 246-20(OMB)

5. **ORDINANCE NO. 81-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 247-20(OMB)

6. **ORDINANCE NO. 82-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 248-20(OMB)

7. **ORDINANCE NO. 83-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 249-20(OMB)

8. **ORDINANCE NO. 84-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE MEDICAL EXAMINER. 251-20(OMB)

9. **ORDINANCE NO. 85-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 252-20(OMB)

10. **ORDINANCE NO. 86-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFICE OF HOUSING. 253-20(OMB)

11. **ORDINANCE NO. 87-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 257-20(OMB)

12. **ORDINANCE NO. 88-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS. 258-20(OMB)

13. **ORDINANCE NO. 89-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE MEDICAL EXAMINER. 260-20(OMB)

14. **ORDINANCE NO. 90-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH 262-20(OMB)

15. **ORDINANCE NO. 91-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH 263-20(OMB)

16. **ORDINANCE NO. 92-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE OFFICE OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT. 264-20(OMB)

17. **ORDINANCE NO. 93-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 265-20(OMB)

18. **ORDINANCE NO. 94-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH 267-20(OMB)

19. **ORDINANCE NO. 95-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION 268-20(OMB)

20. **ORDINANCE NO. 96-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. 288-20(OMB)

21. **ORDINANCE NO. 97-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES. 289-20(OMB)

22. **ORDINANCE NO. 98-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 290-20(OMB)

23. **ORDINANCE NO. 99-2020**

AN ORDINANCE SUPPLEMENTAL TO THE ANNUAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. 291-20(OMB)

24. **RESOLUTION NO. 116-2020**

A RESOLUTION APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR FEDERAL-AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON FEDERAL SHARE OF COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, (SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #1) ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0760.51, TMC PERSONNEL PHASE 2, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK. 269-20(PW)

25. **RESOLUTION NO. 117-2020**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS, AND THE GREAT NECK CENTER FOR THE VISUAL & PERFORMING ARTS, INC. 254-20(PK)

26. **RESOLUTION NO. 118-2020**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS, AND FRANKLIN SQUARE HISTORICAL SOCIETY. 270-20(PK)

27. **RESOLUTION NO. 119-2020**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY OF QUEENS, NASSAU & SUFFOLK COUNTIES TO USE THE FAIRGROUNDS AT OLD BETHPAGE VILLAGE RESTORATION AS A FAIRGROUNDS FOR TEN YEARS FROM 2021 THROUGH 2030 FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF REPAIRS, IMPROVEMENTS AND OTHER PROJECTS FUNDED BY GRANTS FROM THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND MARKETS. 261-20(PK)

RESOLUTION NO. 120-2020

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. 259-20(PD)

29. **RESOLUTION NO. 121-2020**

28.

A RESOLUTION TO CEREMONIALLY DESIGNATE A PORTION OF THE COUNTY ROAD KNOWN AS COVERT AVENUE FROM THE INTERSECTION OF FIRST AVENUE TO THE INTERSECTION OF FIFTH AVENUE TO BE KNOW AS "MARK FARINA WAY" AND DIRECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO INSTALL CONSPICUOUS SIGNAGE ALONG SAID ROADWAY. 294-20(PW)

30. **RESOLUTION NO. 122-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 245-20(OMB)

31. **RESOLUTION NO. 123-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 250-20(OMB)

32. **RESOLUTION NO. 124-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 255-20(OMB)

33. **RESOLUTION NO. 125-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 256-20(OMB)

RESOLUTION NO. 126-2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 266-20(OMB)

35. **RESOLUTION NO. 127-2020**

34.

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 271-20(OMB)

36. **RESOLUTION NO. 128-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 272-20(OMB)

37. **RESOLUTION NO. 129-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 273-20(OMB)

38. **RESOLUTION NO. 130-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 274-20(OMB)

39. **RESOLUTION NO. 131-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 275-20(OMB)

40. **RESOLUTION NO. 132-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 276-20(OMB)

41. **RESOLUTION NO. 133-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 277-20(OMB)

42. **RESOLUTION NO. 134-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 278-20(OMB)

43. **RESOLUTION NO. 135-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 279-20(OMB)

44. **RESOLUTION NO. 136-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 280-20(OMB)

45. **RESOLUTION NO. 137-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 281-20(OMB)

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020. 292-20(OMB)

47. **RESOLUTION NO. 139-2020**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIMS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AGAINST THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF CEDARHURST ARISING FROM AN AGREEMENT FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OF SEWER TREATMENT RESOURCES SERVICES PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 286-20(AT)

48. **RESOLUTION NO. 140-2020**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIMS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AGAINST THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE ARISING FROM AN AGREEMENT FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OF SEWER TREATMENT RESOURCES SERVICES PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE. 287-20(AT)

49. **RESOLUTION NO. 141-2020**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO AN INTER-MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE PURSUANT TO THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION AND THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW. 296-20(PW)

50. **RESOLUTION NO. 142-2020**

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO AN INTER-MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH THE VILLAGE OF SEA CLIFF IN RELATION TO THE INSTALLATION OF SEWER LINES AND CONNECTION TO THE GLEN COVE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION DISTRICT. 297-20(PW)

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO AN INTER-MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF CEDARHURST PURSUANT TO THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION AND THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW. 298-20(PW)

52. **RESOLUTION NO. 144-2020**

A RESOLUTION TO TRANSFER FUNDS WITHIN THE LEGISLATIVE BUDGET CONTROL CENTER LE 15 ON BEHALF OF THE MAJORITY DELEGATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE. 308-20(LE)

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Nassau County Executive has executed the following personal service contracts, copies of which are on file with the Office of the Clerk of the Nassau County Legislature. These contracts are listed for informational purposes only.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Murphy, Barbara. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000100.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Building Blocks Developmental Preschool. \$.04. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000047.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Westbury United Methodist Church (CV). \$37,500.00. RE: CDBG – Covid -19 ID#CQHI20000044.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and National Development Council. \$250,000.00. RE: CDBG – Covid -19 ID#CLHI20000007.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Islamic Center of Long Island. \$50,000.00. RE: CDBG – Covid -19 ID#CQHI20000039.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Circulo de la Hispanidad(CV). \$100,000.00. RE: CDBG – Covid -19 ID#CQHI20000032.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and 3 Ds Aftercare, Inc. \$20,000.00. RE: CDBG – Covid -19 ID#CQHI20000042.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Village of Farmingdale. \$150,000.00. RE: CDBG ID#CQHI20000036.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Baumann, Mary. \$.01.

RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000077.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Maximum Potential Occupational Therapy, PC. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000094.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Pearlstein, Shelly. \$.01.

RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000093.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Hebrew Academy for Special Children, Inc. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000095.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Al About Kids Mid Island Therapy Associates DBA. \$.03. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000084.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and The Hagerdorn Little Village School. \$.04. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000092.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Just for Kids PT, P.C. \$.01.

RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000091.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Island Trees UFSD. \$33,814.00. RE: Education. ID# CQHS20000102.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Jericho UFSD. \$82,738.00. RE: YDA-Education. ID# CQHS20000103.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and All Island Kids Therapy, LLC. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000102.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and North Shore Child & Family Guidance Center. \$.02. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000105.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Sheri Daniels Associates. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000097.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Communication Therapies and Rehab Managing Associates LLC. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000109.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Town of Oyster Bay. \$150,000.00. RE: CDBG ID#CQHI20000020.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Roman Catholic Church of St. Brigids. \$37,500.00. RE: CDBG-CV Covid19. ID#CQHI20000043.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Nassau Community College. \$202,000.00. RE: CDBG – CV ID#CQHI20000057.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Milestones in Home Care, Inc. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000110.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Physical Therapy Options, PC. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE2000036.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Mental Health Association of Nassau County, Inc. \$185,000.00. RE: OMH-Veterans. ID# CQHS20000101.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Valley Stream UFSD. \$47,965.00. RE: YDA-Education. ID# CQHS20000106.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and United Cerebral Palsy Association of Nassau County, Inc. \$88,000.00. RE: OPWDD. ID# CQHS20000107.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Bellmore UFSD. \$47,424.00. RE: YDA-Education. ID# CQHS20000105.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Human Services and Long Island Families Together. \$37,483.00. RE: OMH-Community Support. ID# CQHS20000104.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Creedon, Francine SLP. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000103.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Giametta Joan. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000111.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Health and Stuttering Therapy and Resource SLP, P.C. \$.01. RE: Preschool Services. ID# CQHE20000108.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Village of Farmingdale. \$30,000.00. RE: CDBG-CV Covid19 ID#CQHI20000051.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and City of Glen Cove (CV). \$180,000.00. RE: CDBG-CV Covid19 ID#CQHI20000054.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Eager to Serve50,500.00. RE: ESG ID#CQHI20000050.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Interfaith Nutrition Network (CV). \$672,580.00. RE: CDBG-CV Covid19 ID#CQHI20000049.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Town of Hempstead. \$.01. RE: CDBG ID#CLHI20000009.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and COPAY, Inc. (CV). \$100,000.00. RE: CDBG-CV Covid19 ID#CQHI20000046.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and Long Island Federally Qualified Health Centers. (CV). \$443,000.00. RE: CDBG-CV Covid19 ID#CQHI20000047.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and LGBT Network. (CV). \$10,000.00. RE: CDBG-CV Covid19 ID#CQHI20000048.

County of Nassau acting on behalf of Housing and Intergovernmental and City of Long Beach (CV). \$98,975.00. RE: CDBG-CV Covid19 ID#CQHI20000058.

THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE WILL CONVENE THE NEXT COMMITTEE MEETINGS ON MONDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2020 at 1:00PM AND

FULL LEGISLATURE MEETING ON MONDAY, NOVEMBER 23, 2020 AT 1:00PM

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 79 - 2020

MAKING CERTAIN DETERMINATIONS PURSUANT TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO ACCEPT, ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, AN OFFER OF PURCHASE FROM THE VILLAGE OF UPPER BROOKVILLE OF CERTAIN PREMISES LOCATED IN THE VILLAGE OF UPPER BROOKVILLE, COUNTY OF NASSAU, STATE OF NEW YORK, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A DEED, CONTRACT OF SALE, AND ALL PERTINENT DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH TO CONSUMATE THE SALE

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau did heretofore acquire title to the property known and designated as Section 24 Block B Lots 878 and 985 on the Land and Tax Map of the County of Nassau, located on or near the terminus of Lawn Lane, Village of Upper Brookville, Nassau County, New York (the "Premises");

WHEREAS the Village of Upper Brookville is a village in the County of Nassau;

WHEREAS the Village of Upper Brookville has requested that the County convey to it the aforesaid Premises for purposes of maintaing the Premises and for incorporation into its inventory of roads in consideration of the terms and conditions more particularly described in the certain contract of sale (the "Contract") by and between the County of Nassau and the Village of Upper Brookville a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Nassau County Legislature;

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 1611 of the Nassau County Charter and acting in an advisory capacity to the Nassau County Legislature, the Nassau County Planning Commission reviewed the proposed action and determined that it is an "Unlisted Action" pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), and further reviewed the Environmental Assessment Form for the proposed action and determined that the evidence before it indicated that the proposed action will have no significant environmental impact and does not require further environmental review; and

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Planning Commission, acting in an advisory capacity to the Nassau County Legislature, passed a resolution for the proposed action, a copy of which is on file in the office of the Clerk of the Nassau County Legislature and incorporated herein, recommending that no further environmental review or action is required on such proposed action.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. That the County Executive be, and she hereby is authorized to execute for, and on behalf of the County of Nassau, the deed from the County of Nassau, as Grantor, to the Village of Upper Brookville, as Grantee, in compliance with the terms and conditions of the Contract, and to execute any and all pertinent documents in connection therewith, and to take such other action as is necessary to effectuate the terms and conditions of the Contract.
- 2. That it is hereby determined pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 <u>et seq.</u> and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the proposed sale of the subject Premises has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and no further review is required.

3. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 80 - 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
AMOUNT					
(in dollars)					
		FUND	DEPT.	OBJ.	AMOUNT
			CODE/Index	CODE	(in dollars)
200,000	NYS – Governor's Traffic	GRT	PD	AA	200,000
	Safety Committee				

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 81 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Human Services.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		FUND	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
654,418	NYS Office For the Aging	GRT	HS	BB	163,604
		GRT	HS	DD	163,605
		GRT	HS	DE	327,209

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 82 - 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Human Services.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
(iii donars)		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
409,484	NYS Office For the Aging	GRT	HS	BB	102,371
		GRT	HS	DD	102,371
		GRT	HS	DE	204,742

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 83 - 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Human Services.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
2,332,283	NYS Office For the Aging	GRT	HS	BB	583,071
		GRT	HS	DD	583,071
		GRT	HS	DE	1,166,141

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 84 –2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Medical Examiner.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 24, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
35,000	NYS Governor's Traffic Safety Committee	GRT	ME	AA	19,000
		GRT	ME	DD	16,000

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this

supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 85 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated July 22, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

Tonowing sums of money to the following decounts.						
	TOTAL	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
	AMOUNT					
	(in dollars)					
			FUND	DEPT.	OBJ.	AMOUNT
				CODE/Index	CODE	(in dollars)
	50,000	NYS – Governor's Traffic	GRT	PD	AA	50,000
		Safety Committee				

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section

617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 86 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Office of Housing.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 21, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
163,333	NYS Division of Housing and Community Renewal	GRT	HI	AA	6,083.53
		GRT	HI	AB	3,889.47
		GRT	HI	DD	153,360.00

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 87 - 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated July 22, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
478,360	US Department of Health and Human Services	GRT	PD	AA	478,360

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 88 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 2, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
AMOUNT (in dollars)					
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT.	OBJ.	<u>AMOUNT</u>
			CODE/Index	CODE	(in dollars)
1,100,000	Mitchel Park	GRT	PK	AA	625,000
	Maintenance Account				
		GRT	PK	AB	250,000
		GRT	PK	BB	15,000
		GRT	PK	DD	10,000
		GRT	PK	DE	200,000

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - § 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 89 –2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Medical Examiner.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 24, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
102,108	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services	GRT	ME	AA	26,500
		GRT	ME	BB	15,000
		GRT	ME	DD	60,608

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 90 - 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Health.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 21, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS		<u>APPROPRI</u>	ATED TO	<u>:</u>
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
131,946	NYS Department of Health	GRT	HE	AA	13,900
		GRT	HE	AB	1,100
		GRT	HE	BB	26,900
		GRT	HE	DD	88,870
		GRT	HE	HH	1,176

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 91 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Health.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 21, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
55,355	NYS Department of Health	GRT	HE	AA	41,622
		GRT	HE	AB	13,240
		GRT	HE	НН	493

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 92 –2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Office of Housing and Community Development.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 24, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
425,724	NYS Housing and Community Renewal	GRT	НІ	DD	425,724

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section

617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 93 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 21, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:				
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)	
50,000	NYS Office of Homeland Security	GRT	PD	AA	39,327	
		GRT	PD	AB	10,673	

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 94 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Health.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 21, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:				
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)	
118,144	NYS Department of Health	GRT	HE	AA	73,574	
		GRT	HE	AB	39,420	
		GRT	HE	BB	5,150	

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 95 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Probation.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 21, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
97,169	NYS Office of Children & Family Services	GRT	PB	DE	97,169

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section

617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 96 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Probation Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated July 22, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
192,084	NYS Division of Criminal Justice Services	GRT	PB	AA	146,584
		GRT	PB	AB	20,370
		GRT	PB	DD	13,130
		GRT	PB	DE	12,000

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 97 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Department of Human Services.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 2, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
<u>AMOUNT</u>					
(in dollars)			<u> </u>		
		FUND	DEPT.	OBJ.	AMOUNT
			CODE/Index	CODE	(in dollars)
348,395	NYS Office For the	GRT	HS	AA	32,920
	Aging Programs – Unmet				
	Needs				
		GRT	HS	AB	7,325
		GRT	HS	DD	24,500
		GRT	HS	DE	283,650

§ 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.

- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - § 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 98 – 2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated July 22, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS	APPROPRIATED TO:			
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
2,600,000	Civil Forfeiture Program	GRT	PD	BB	2,300,000
		GRT	PD	DD	300,000

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section

617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE NO. 99–2020

AN ORDINANCE supplemental to the annual appropriation ordinance in connection with the Police Department.

WHEREAS, Nassau County has received certain revenue; and

WHEREAS, such funds have not been otherwise appropriated; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 21, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has recommended the appropriation of such funds not otherwise appropriated; and,

WHEREAS, this supplemental appropriation is within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law; now, therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. There is hereby appropriated from monies not otherwise appropriated, the

following sums of money to the following accounts:

TOTAL AMOUNT (in dollars)	SOURCE OF FUNDS		APPROPRL	ATED TO	<u>:</u>
		<u>FUND</u>	DEPT. CODE/Index	OBJ. CODE	AMOUNT (in dollars)
465,379	NYS Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Services	GRT	PD	AA	180,903
		GRT	PD	AB	49,097
		GRT	PD	BB	235,379

- § 2. This ordinance may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said ordinance without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said ordinance is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature.
- § 3. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6

N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this supplemental appropriation ordinance is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

§ 4. This ordinance shall take effect immediately.

APPROVING A SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT FOR FEDERAL-AID PROJECT ADMINISTERED BY THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO PARTICIPATE IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION, AND IN THE COST OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION AND INSPECTION OF THE PROJECT, AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT, (SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT #1) ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF SUCH WORK FOR THE PROJECT AND FOR THE PARTICIPATION BY THE COUNTY IN THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE OF THE COST OF THE PROJECT, IDENTIFIED AS P.I.N. 0760.51, TMC PERSONNEL PHASE 2, IN NASSAU COUNTY, NEW YORK.

WHEREAS, a project for TMC Personnel Phase 2, identified as P.I.N. 0760.51 ["the Project"], funded under the Federal-Aid Primary and/or Urban System Program and/or Federal-Aid Highway Safety Program, pursuant to Title 23 U.S. Code, as amended, and the New York State Highway Law and Budget Bills applicable thereto, calls for the apportionment of costs for the program to be borne at the ration of 80% Federal funds and 20% non-Federal funds; and

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau may be reimbursed up to an additional 15% of the costs associated with the construction and construction inspection of TMC Personnel Phase 2 with New York State Marchiselli funding; and

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau approves of and desires to advance the Project, the project total cost up to \$2,000,000 for construction and construction inspection by making a commitment for 100% of the non-Federal share of the construction cost; and

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau approves the New York State

Department of Transportation initiative to have Federal-Aid

contracts let at the Local level; and

WHEREAS, the County will therefore be required to have available sufficient funds to fund the entire construction contract, currently estimated at \$2,000,000, which may be expended prior to federal and/or state reimbursement; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Executive of the County of Nassau be, and is hereby authorized to execute all necessary agreements with the New York State Department of Transportation whereby Nassau County will let the construction contract, and Nassau County will be responsible for the performance of construction engineering services for this Project, a copy of which agreement is now on file with the Clerk of the Nassau County Legislature, and it is further

RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this resolution be filed with the New York State Commissioner of Transportation, it being understood that upon completion of the above Project, the Commissioner of Transportation of the State of New York shall transmit to the County a statement showing the actual costs and expenses of such work, and shall notify the County Comptroller of the amount due from, or to be returned to, the County of Nassau, as the case may be, and that any sum due to the State of New York or

the County of Nassau shall be paid within ninety (90) days after the date of transmittal of said statement, and be it further RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 117 – 2020

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS, AND THE GREAT NECK CENTER FOR THE VISUAL & PERFORMING ARTS, INC.

WHEREAS, Nassau County ("County") has received funding from the State of New York pursuant to State Tax Law section 1202-q, and appropriated said funds to the Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums ("Department") in accordance with said law in order to support programs and activities relevant to the enhancement of cultural growth in the County; and

WHEREAS, the Department has determined that funding shall be awarded to the Great Neck Center for the Visual & Performing Arts, Inc., an existing not-for-profit organization located within the County for the continuation and enhancement of cultural growth in the County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature authorizes the County Executive to execute the said agreement with the Great Neck Center for the Visual & Performing Arts, Inc.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 118 - 2020

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF NASSAU, ACTING ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION AND MUSEUMS, AND FRANKLIN SQUARE HISTORICAL SOCIETY

WHEREAS, Nassau County ("County") has received funding from the State of New York pursuant to State Tax Law section 1202-q, and appropriated said funds to the Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums ("Department") in accordance with said law in order to support programs and activities relevant to the enhancement of cultural growth in the County; and

WHEREAS, the Department has determined that funding shall be awarded to the Franklin Square Historical Society, an existing not-for-profit organization located within the County for the continuation and enhancement of cultural growth in the County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature authorizes the County Executive to execute the said agreement with Franklin Square Historical Society.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 119 - 2020

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY OF QUEENS, NASSAU & SUFFOLK COUNTIES TO USE THE FAIRGROUNDS AT OLD BETHPAGE VILLAGE RESTORATION AS A FAIRGROUNDS FOR TEN YEARS FROM 2021 THROUGH 2030 FOLLOWING THE COMPLETION OF REPAIRS, IMPROVEMENTS AND OTHER PROJECTS FUNDED BY GRANTS FROM THE NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND MARKETS

WHEREAS, the New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets has awarded the Agricultural Society of Queens, Nassau & Suffolk Counties ("Ag Society") grants through the Agricultural Fairgrounds Infrastructure Improvement Program to repair, rehabilitate and improve the buildings on the fairgrounds at Old Bethpage Village Restoration, 1303 Round Swamp Road, Old Bethpage, New York 11804 ("the Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Ag Society has partnered with the Nassau County Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums for the past 49 years in presenting the Long Island Fair at the Property; and

WHEREAS, the grants require a municipal resolution authorizing the recipient to use the fairgrounds at the Property as a fairgrounds for five years following completion of the associated grant work; and

WHEREAS, the grants are annual awards of \$96,153 which require no County matching funds; and

WHEREAS, each annual grant has a five-year work completion window and requires a five-year use allowance after completion of the work for each grant; and

WHEREAS, the grants will fund approximately \$384,612 of needed repair work and improvements to the Exhibition Hall and other structures at the Property that otherwise would not be performed or would need to be funded by Nassau County; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature hereby authorizes the Ag Society to use the fairgrounds at the Property for ten years from 2021 through 2030 following the completion of repairs, improvements and other projects funded by the grants from the New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 120–2020

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT A GIFT OFFERED BY THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOUNDATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Police Department Foundation has presented to the Nassau County Police Department a gift in the form of a kitchen sink and countertop valued at a total amount of \$3,000; and

WHEREAS, the said donation will be used by the Nassau County Police Department to provide a safe and sanitary area for the Sixth Precinct employees to prepare food and clean dishes, which is increasingly important during the current COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Police Department deems the acceptance of such a gift to be in the best interest of the County of Nassau; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the said gift is gratefully accepted and the County Executive is hereby authorized to direct the Commissioner of the Nassau County Police Department to accept the donation and to use the donation in furtherance of the Department's mission.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 121 -2020

A RESOLUTION TO CEREMONIALLY DESIGNATE A PORTION OF THE COUNTY ROAD KNOWN AS COVERT AVENUE FROM THE INTERSECTION OF FIRST AVENUE TO THE INTERSECTION OF FIFTH AVENUE TO BE KNOWN AS "MARK FARINA WAY", AND DIRECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO INSTALL CONSPICUOUS SIGNAGE ALONG SAID ROADWAY

WHEREAS, County Executive Laura Curran and the Legislature of the County of Nassau wish to honor New Hyde Park Fire Department Ex-Captain Mark Farina for his service to the County and the New Hyde Park community, and

WHEREAS, it would be a fitting tribute to Ex-Captain Mark Farina to ceremonially designate a portion of the County Road known as Covert Avenue from the intersection of First Avenue to Fifth Avenue to be known as "Mark Farina Way"; and

WHEREAS, said ceremonial designation is hereby declared a public purpose; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the portion of the County Road known as Covert Avenue from the intersection of First Avenue to the intersection of Fifth Avenue shall hereafter be ceremonially designated as "Mark Farina Way"; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Department of Public Works is directed to install conspicuous signage along the length of the roadway so ceremonially designating the road "Mark Farina Way", and all County agencies and departments are directed to take immediate steps to effectuate this ceremonial designation with signage to be in place no later than December 31, 2020; and be it further

RESOLVED, that such ceremonial designation shall not be construed to change the official name of the roadway from Covert Avenue.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 122 - 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated July 22, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000032 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 32

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	ME – GRT - T2Y4NYS - DD	Medical Examiner - Grant Fund – General Expenses	\$ 3,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 3,000.00
<u>TO</u>	ME – GRT - T2Y4NYS - AA	Medical Examiner - Grant Fund – Salaries	\$ 3,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 3,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2020, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 123 - 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000037 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 37

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	HE - GRT - CV20FED – DD498	Health Department - Grant Fund – General Expenses	\$ 75,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 75,000.00
<u>TO</u>	HE - GRT - CV20FED – BB197	Health Department - Grant Fund – Equipment	\$ 75,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 75,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2020, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 124 - 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 18, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000047 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 47

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	LE-GEN2000-DE548	Legislator – General Fund – Contractual Services	\$ 50,000.00
	EL-GEN3000-AA98Z	Board of Elections – General Fund – Salaries, Wages & Fees	\$ 1,812,677.00
	EL-GEN3000-DD498	Board of Elections – General Fund – General Expenses	\$ 651,845.00
	EL-GEN3000-DE548	Board of Elections – General Fund – Contractual Services	\$ 152,773.00
	TOTAL		\$ 2,667,295.00
<u>TO</u>	LE-GEN2000-DD498	Legislator – General Fund – General Expenses	\$ 50,000.00
	EL-GEN2000-DD497	Board of Elections – General Fund – General Expenses	\$ 2,421,295.00
	EL-GEN2000-DE547	Board of Elections – General Fund – Contractual Services	\$ 196,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 2,667,295.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County

Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2020, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 125 - 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 1, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000029 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 29

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	HE – GEN 5400 – DE547	Health - General Fund - Contractual Services	\$ 5,000.00
	PW – GEN 0152 – MG636	Public Works – Gen Fund – Intermodal Center Subsidy	\$50,782.00
	HI – GEN 1400 – HH598	Homeless Intervention & Emergency Placement – General Fund – Interfund Charges	\$5,000.00
	CL – GEN 1100 – AA98Z	County Clerk - General Fund - Salaries, Wages and Fees	\$85,000.00
	TOTAL		\$145,782.00
<u>TO</u>	HE – GEN 4100 – DE548	Health - General Fund - Contractual Services	\$ 5,000.00
	PW - GEN0152 - MB631	Public Works – Gen Fund – LIRR Station Maintenance	\$50,782.00
	HI – GEN 1400 - DD497	Homeless Intervention & Emergency Placement – General Fund – General Expenses	\$5,000.00
	CL – GEN 1100 – DE547	County Clerk – General Fund – Contractual Services	\$85,000.00
	TOTAL		\$145,782.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County

Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2020, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 126 – 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 18, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000046 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 46

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	PW-SSW-6000-FF561	Public Works – Sewer District – Interest on Bonded Debt	\$ 1,154,526.00
	TOTAL		\$ 1,154,526.00
<u>TO</u>	PW-SSW-6000-GG571	Public Works – Sewer District – Principal on Bonded Debt	\$ 1,154,526.00
	TOTAL		\$ 1,154,526.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2020, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 127 - 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 18, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000048 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 48

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	HE-GRT-BWY3-FSA AB10F	Health Department – Grant Fund – Fringe Benefits	\$ 3,978.00
	TOTAL		\$ 3,978.00
<u>TO</u>	HE-GRT-BWY3-FSA AA97Z	Health Department – Grant Fund – Salaries & Wages	\$ 3,978.00
	TOTAL		\$ 3,978.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2020, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 128 – 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 18, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000045 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 45

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	ME-GRT-ISX9FED-X9-DD	Medical Examiner – Grant Fund – General Expense	\$ 20,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 20,000.00
<u>TO</u>	ME-GRT-ISX9FED-X9-BB	Medical Examiner – Grant Fund - Equipment	\$ 20,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 20,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 129–2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated September 18, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000044 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 44

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	ME-GRT-GSX7FED-X7-DD	Medical Examiner – Grant Fund – General Expense	\$ 2,232.00
	TOTAL		\$ 2,232.00
<u>TO</u>	ME-GRT-GSX7FED-X7-BB	Medical Examiner – Grant Fund - Equipment	\$ 2,232.00
	TOTAL		\$ 2,232.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 130 – 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000033 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 33

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	HE – GRT - T297NYS – AB10F	Health Department - Grant Fund – Fringes	\$ 475.00
	HE – GRT - T297NYS – DD498	Health Department – Grant Fund – General Expenses	\$ 1,094.00
	TOTAL		\$ 1,569.00
<u>TO</u>	HE - GRT - T297NYS – AA97Z	Health Department - Grant Fund - Salary	\$ 1,569.00
	TOTAL		\$ 1,569.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 131 – 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000039 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 39

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	<u>AMOUNT</u>
FROM	HE - GRT – P2X9FED – AB10F	Health Department - Grant Fund – Fringes	\$ 15,387.00
	HE - GRT – P2X9FED – DD498	Health Department – Grant Fund – General Expenses	\$ 736.00
	TOTAL		\$ 16,123.00
<u>TO</u>	HE - GRT - P2X9FED – AA97Z	Health Department - Grant Fund - Salary	\$ 16,123.00
	TOTAL		\$ 16,123.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 132 - 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated October 2, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000040 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 40

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	HE - GRT – BTX9FED –AB10F	Health Department - Grant Fund – Fringes	\$ 12,870.00
	HE - GRT – BTX9FED –BB198	Health Department – Grant Fund - Equipment	\$ 14,000.00
	HE - GRT – BTX9FED –DD498	Health Department – Grant Fund – General Expenses	\$ 10,834.00
	HE - GRT – BTX9FED –DE548	Health Department – Grant Fund – Contracts	\$ 10,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 47,704.00
<u>TO</u>	HE - GRT - BTX9FED –AA97Z	Health Department - Grant Fund - Salary	\$ 47,704.00
	TOTAL		\$ 47,704.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 133–2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated July 22, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000030 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 30

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
FROM	PD – GRT-9791– FED (00) AA	Police Department - Grant Fund - Salaries & Wages	\$ 300,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 300,000.00
<u>TO</u>	PD – GRT-9791 - FED (00) DD	Police Department - Grant Fund – General Expenses	\$ 300,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 300,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2020, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any

mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 134 – 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000042 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 42

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	PD - GRT – 9791 - FED AA98Z	Police Department - Grant Fund - Salaries & Wages	\$ 800,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 800,000.00
<u>TO</u>	PD - GRT – 9791 - FED BB197	Police Department - Grant Fund – Equipment	\$ 800,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 800,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 135 – 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000034 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 34

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	PD - GRT - 9791 – FED AA98Z	Police Department - Grant Fund - Salaries & Wages	\$ 300,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 300,000.00
<u>TO</u>	PD - GRT - 9791 – FED DD497	Police Department - Grant Fund – General Expenses	\$ 300,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 300,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 136 - 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000035 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 35

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	PD - GRT - 9791 – FED AA98Z	Police Department - Grant Fund - Salaries & Wages	\$ 200,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 200,000.00
<u>TO</u>	PD - GRT - 9791 – FED BB197	Police Department - Grant Fund – Equipment	\$ 200,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 200,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 137 - 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000041 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 41

	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	AMOUNT
FROM	PD - GRT – 9791 - FED AA98Z	Police Department - Grant Fund - Salaries & Wages	\$ 500,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 500,000.00
<u>TO</u>	PD - GRT – 9791 - FED BB197	Police Department - Grant Fund – Equipment	\$ 500,000.00
	TOTAL		\$ 500,000.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 138 - 2020

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRANSFER OF APPROPRIATIONS HERETOFORE MADE WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020

WHEREAS, the County Executive, by communication dated August 28, 2020, addressed to the County Legislature, has advised that a transfer of appropriations heretofore made has been requested in order to provide funds to meet certain expenditures authorized within the budget for the year 2020; and

WHEREAS, the transfer has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget and the Office of the County Executive; and

WHEREAS, the said transfer is known as BTCW20000036 as follows:

BOARD TRANSFER NO. 36

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	HE - GRT – C100NYS - AB10F	Health Department - Grant Fund – Fringes	\$ 3,118.00
	HE - GRT – C100NYS – DD498	Health Department – Grant Fund – General Expenses	\$ 720.00
	TOTAL		\$ 3,838.00
<u>TO</u>	HE - GRT – C100NYS - AA97Z	Health Department - Grant Fund - Salary	\$ 3,838.00
	TOTAL		\$ 3,838.00

WHEREAS, the said transfers of appropriations are recommended by the County Executive in said communication and are within the scope of Section 307 of the County Government Law of Nassau County; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Legislature does hereby authorize the said transfers of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2020, as hereinabove set forth; and be it further

RESOLVED that this resolution may be modified to allow for the correction of any mathematical and/or typographical errors subsequent to any approval and adoption of said resolution without the necessity for a vote to be taken by the County Legislature or by the members of any Standing Committee of said Legislature if said resolution is passed by the affirmative vote of a majority of said Legislature; and be it further

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 139 - 2020

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIMS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AGAINST THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF CEDARHURST ARISING FROM AN AGREEMENT FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OF SEWER TREATMENT RESOURCES SERVICES PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau (the "County") filed a notice of claim against the Incorporated Village of Cedarhurst (the "Village") alleging damages arising out of an agreement for the consolidation of sewer treatment resources services, and the Village has agreed to make payment to the County in the amount of \$2,481,352.64 in full settlement of all claims for payments of all sums due to the County under the aforementioned agreement for the period up to and including May 31, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the County Attorney has caused an investigation and analysis to be made of the claims and as a result thereof recommends that the claims be settled in the amount set forth above; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Attorney be and is hereby authorized and directed to settle the claims in the amount as indicated above; and be it further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this settlement is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(29) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 140 - 2020

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO COMPROMISE AND SETTLE THE CLAIMS OF THE COUNTY OF NASSAU AGAINST THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE ARISING FROM AN AGREEMENT FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OF SEWER TREATMENT RESOURCES SERVICES PURSUANT TO THE COUNTY LAW, THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT LAW OF NASSAU COUNTY AND THE NASSAU COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CODE.

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau (the "County") filed a notice of claim against the Incorporated Village of Lawrence (the "Village") alleging damages arising out of an agreement for the consolidation of sewer treatment resources services, and the Village has agreed to make payment to the County in the amount of \$4,959,526 in full satisfaction of the Village's obligations under the agreement and amendment thereto to pay to the County amounts due from 2012 through May 31, 2020; and

WHEREAS, the County Attorney has caused an investigation and analysis to be made of the claims and as a result thereof recommends that the claims be settled in the amount set forth above; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County Attorney be and is hereby authorized and directed to settle the claims in the amount as indicated above; and be it further

RESOLVED, that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. Section 0101 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that this settlement is a "Type II" Action within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(29) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. and, accordingly, is of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO
EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO AN INTERMUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH
THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF LAWRENCE PURSUANT TO THE NEW
YORK STATE CONSTITUTION AND THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau (the "County") and the Incorporated Village of Lawrence (the "Village") are authorized, pursuant to Article 9, Section 1 of the New York State Constitution and Article 5-G of the General Municipal Law, to enter into intergovernmental agreements; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village have previously entered into an intermunicipal agreement for the purpose of consolidating sewer resources (the "Original Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village previously amended the Original Agreement as of July 1, 2009 to provide for alternate financing, as well certain other terms and conditions (the "Amendment No. 1," and together with the Original Agreement, as so amended, the "Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the terms of a settlement agreement that will be simultaneously entered into with this proposed amendment, the County and the Village now desire to further amend the Agreement to provide for the consolidation of real property within the Village into the collection and disposal zone of assessment in the Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Resources District (the "Amendment No. 2"); and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 1611 of the Nassau County Charter and acting in an advisory capacity to the Nassau County Legislature, the Nassau County Planning Commission has previously reviewed the consolidation of the sewer treatment

resources and services of the Village with that of the County (the "Action") and determined that it is an "Unlisted Action" pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), and has further reviewed the Environmental Assessment Form ("EAF") for the Action and recommended that the Legislature, upon its review of the EAF and any supporting documentation, if any, determine that the evidence before it indicates that the Action will have no significant environmental impact and does not require further environmental review; and

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Planning Commission, acting in an advisory capacity to the Nassau County Legislature, passed a resolution regarding the Action recommending that the Legislature conclude that no further environmental review or action is required on such Action; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village believe it to be in the best interest of the taxpayers of their respective municipalities to authorize intermunicipal cooperation with respect to the mutual covenants set forth in the Amendment No. 2, on file with the Clerk of the Legislature; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature authorizes the County Executive to execute the said Amendment No. 2, and any additional documentation in furtherance of the terms of such Amendment No. 2, with the Village in connection with the consolidation of sewer treatment resources and services; and be it further

RESOLVED that pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, the proposed action has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 142 – 2020

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO AN INTER-MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH THE VILLAGE OF SEA CLIFF IN RELATION TO THE INSTALLATION OF SEWER LINES AND CONNECTION TO THE GLEN COVE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau (the "County") and the Village of Sea Cliff (the "Village") are authorized, pursuant to Article 9, Section 1 of the New York State Constitution and Article 5-G of the General Municipal Law, to enter into intergovernmental agreements; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village have previously entered into an intermunicipal agreement in relation to a project for the installation of sewer lines and connection to the Glen Cove Disposal and Collection District (the "Original Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village now desire to amend the Original Agreement to include additional linear feet of sewer lines to serve additional properties (the "Amendment"); and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village believe it to be in the best interest of the taxpayers of their respective municipalities to authorize intermunicipal cooperation with respect to the mutual covenants set forth in the Original Agreement and the Amendment on file with the Clerk of the Legislature; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the Amendment, there is no change to the Legislature's SEQRA review and determination of Non-Significance made in Resolution 219-2019; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature authorizes the County Executive to execute the said Amendment with the Village, in relation to the aforesaid project.

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO AN INTERMUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF CEDARHURST PURSUANT TO THE NEW YORK STATE CONSTITUTION AND THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL LAW

WHEREAS, the County of Nassau (the "County") and the Incorporated Village of Cedarhurst (the "Village") are authorized, pursuant to Article 9, Section 1 of the New York State Constitution and Article 5-G of the General Municipal Law, to enter into intergovernmental agreements; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village have previously entered into an intermunicipal agreement for the purpose of consolidating sewer resources (the "Original Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village previously amended the Original Agreement as of July 1, 2009 to provide for alternate financing, as well certain other terms and conditions (the "Amendment No. 1," and together with the Original Agreement, as so amended, the "Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the terms of a settlement agreement that will be simultaneously entered into with this proposed amendment, the County and the Village now desire to further amend the Agreement to provide for the (i) potential refunding by the County of the outstanding Village sewer debt as listed in the restated debt statement attached to the proposed amendment; and (ii) consolidation of real property within the Village into the collection and disposal zone of assessment in the Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Resources District (the "Amendment No. 2"); and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 1611 of the Nassau County Charter and acting in an advisory capacity to the Nassau County Legislature, the Nassau County Planning Commission has previously reviewed the consolidation of the sewer treatment resources and services of the Village with that of the County (the "Action") and determined that it is an "Unlisted Action" pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), and has further reviewed the Environmental Assessment Form ("EAF") for the Action and recommended that the Legislature, upon its review of the EAF and any supporting documentation, if any, determine that the evidence before it indicates that the Action will have no significant environmental impact and does not require further environmental review; and

WHEREAS, the Nassau County Planning Commission, acting in an advisory capacity to the Nassau County Legislature, passed a resolution regarding the Action recommending that the Legislature conclude that no further environmental review or action is required on such Action; and

WHEREAS, the County and the Village believe it to be in the best interest of the taxpayers of their respective municipalities to authorize intermunicipal cooperation with respect to the mutual covenants set forth in the Amendment No. 2, on file with the Clerk of the Legislature; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Nassau County Legislature authorizes the County Executive to execute the said Amendment No. 2, and any additional documentation in furtherance of the terms of such Amendment No. 2, with the Village in connection with the consolidation of sewer treatment resources and services; and be it further

RESOLVED that pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA"), 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 *et seq.* and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, the proposed action has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and no further review is required.

PROPOSED RESOLUTION NO. 144-2020

A RESOLUTION TO TRANSFER FUNDS WITHIN THE LEGISLATIVE BUDGET CONTROL CENTER LE 15 ON BEHALF OF THE MAJORITY DELEGATION TO THE NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

WHEREAS, the Majority delegation to the Nassau County Legislature, herein identified within the Budget of the Nassau County Legislature as Control Center LE15, has identified the need to transfer funds within Control Center LE15; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, funds shall be transferred within Control Center 15 as follows:

	CODE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
FROM	LEGGEN1500BWR1115	Transfer from EBF Fund	\$4,045
<u>TO</u>	LEGEN1500 AA97Z	Appropriation Transfer - Salaries	\$4,045
	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
FROM	LEGGEN1500 AA97Z	Appropriation Transfer –	\$25,000
		Salaries	
<u>TO</u>	LEGGEN1500 DE547	Appropriation Transfer In –	\$25,000
		Contractual	
	CODE	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
FROM	LEGEN1500 AA97Z	Appropriation Transfer –	\$55,000
		Salaries	
<u>TO</u>	LEGEN2000 DD502	Appropriation Transfer –	\$55,000
		General Expenses	

; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately.

PROPOSED LOCAL LAW NO. -2020

A LOCAL LAW AMENDING TITLE 24 OF THE MISCELLANEOUS LAWS OF NASSAU COUNTY, IN RELATION TO EXTENDING THE HOTEL AND MOTEL OCCUPANCY TAX.

BE IT ENACTED, by the County Legislature of the County of Nassau, as follows:

Section 1. Subdivision G of section 3 of Title 24 of the Miscellaneous Laws of Nassau County, as last amended by Local Law No. 10-2017, is hereby amended to read as follows:

- G. The imposition of the hotel and motel occupancy tax as authorized by this Title shall expire on December thirty-first, two thousand twenty-three.
- § 2. It is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, 8 N.Y.E.C.L. section 0101 et seq. and its implementing regulations, Part 617 of 6 N.Y.C.R.R., and Section 1611 of the County Government Law of Nassau County, that the imposition of a hotel and motel occupancy tax and distribution of revenue pursuant to Title 24 of the Miscellaneous Laws of Nassau County, as amended, are "Type II" Actions within the meaning of Section 617.5(c)(20) of 6 N.Y.C.R.R. ("routine or continuing agency administration and management, not including new programs or major reordering of priorities that may affect the environment"), and, accordingly, are of a class of actions which do not have a significant effect on the environment; and no further review is required.
 - § 3. This local law shall take effect immediately.

1	
2	
3	
4	NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
5	
6	RICHARD NICOLELLO
7	PRESIDING OFFICER
8	
9	
10	LEGISLATIVE SESSION
11	
12	
13	County Executive and Legislative Building
14	1550 Franklin Avenue
15	Mineola, New York
16	
17	
18	Monday, October 26, 2020
19	1:26 P.M.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	LEGISLATOR RICHARD J. NICOLELLO
5	Presiding Officer
6	9th Legislative District
7	
8	LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL
9	Deputy Presiding Officer
10	7th Legislative District
11	
12	LEGISLATOR DENISE FORD
13	Alternate Presiding Officer
14	4th Legislative District
15	
16	LEGISLATOR KEVAN ABRAHAMS
17	Minority Leader
18	1st Legislative District
19	
20	LEGISLATOR SIELA BYNOE
21	2nd Legislative District
22	
23	LEGISLATOR CARRIE SOLAGES
24	3rd Legislative District

25

1	
2	LEGISLATOR DEBRA MULE
3	5th Legislative District
4	
5	LEGISLATOR C. WILLIAM GAYLOR III
6	6th Legislative District
7	
8	LEGISLATOR VINCENT T. MUSCARELLA
9	8th Legislative District
10	
11	LEGISLATOR ELLEN BIRNBAUM
12	10th Legislative District
13	
14	LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON
15	11th Legislative District
16	
17	LEGISLATOR JAMES KENNEDY
18	12th Legislative District
19	
20	LEGISLATOR THOMAS MCKEVITT
21	13th Legislative District
22	
23	LEGISLATOR LAURA SCHAEFER
24	14th Legislative District

25

1	
2	LEGISLATOR JOHN FERRETTI, JR.
3	15th Legislative District
4	
5	LEGISLATOR ANDREW DRUCKER
6	16th Legislative District
7	
8	LEGISLATOR ROSE WALKER
9	17th Legislative District
10	
11	LEGISLATOR JOSHUA LAFAZAN
12	18th Legislative District
13	
14	LEGISLATOR STEVEN RHOADS
15	19th Legislative District
16	
17	MICHAEL PULITZER
18	Clerk of the Legislature
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Full -	1 0	26	$^{\circ}$
上	rull –	$\pm v$	-20-	- 20

- 2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Now we are
- 3 going to go to the full legislature and ask
- 4 the legislators to come into the room. Then
- 5 we have a couple of slips for public comment.
- 6 Then we have a presentation from Farrah
- 7 Mozawalla with respect to the work of the
- 8 Office of Asian-American Affairs.
- 9 Calling the full legislature to
- order and ask that Mike please call the roll.
- 11 MR. PULITZER: Thank you Rich.
- 12 Deputy Presiding Officer Howard Kopel.
- 13 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
- 15 Presiding Officer Denise Ford.
- 16 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
- 17 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Siela
- 18 Bynoe.
- 19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
- 20 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
- 21 Solages.
- 22 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator Debra
- 24 Mule.
- 25 LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.

1	TO 7 7	1 0	26	\sim
⊥	Full -	Τ0-	-⊿७-	- 20

- 2 MR. PULITZER: Legislator C.
- 3 William Gaylor III.
- 4 LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
- 5 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Vincent
- 6 Muscarella.
- 7 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
- 8 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Ellen
- 9 Birnbaum.
- 10 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
- 12 DeRiggi-Whitton.
- 13 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
- 14 Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator James
- 16 Kennedy.
- 17 LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
- 18 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
- 19 McKevitt.
- 20 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
- 21 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Laura
- 22 Schaefer.
- LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator John
- 25 Ferretti, Jr.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
- 3 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
- 4 Drucker.
- 5 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
- 6 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Rose
- 7 Marie Walker.
- 8 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
- 9 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Joshua
- 10 Lafazan.
- 11 LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: Legislator Steven
- 13 Rhoads.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
- MR. PULITZER: Minority Leader
- 16 Kevan Abrahams.
- 17 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
- 18 MR. PULITZER: And Presiding
- 19 Officer Richard Nicolello.
- 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
- MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum
- 22 sir.
- 23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- very much. We have a letter from Ms. Angela
- 25 Perry of 294 Uniondale Avenue, Uniondale,

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- New York that she wanted made part of the
- 3 record. Make sure that the clerk's office has
- 4 that.
- 5 We also have an email from Peter
- 6 Gaffney of Carle Place and also ask that that
- 7 be made part of the record.
- In terms of the public comment,
- 9 Richard Clolery.
- 10 MR. CLOLERY: To the members of
- 11 the legislature, I do understand we are in the
- 12 midst of one of the worst events in this
- 13 county's history, and I do respect this county
- 14 is not getting as much tax revenue as it used
- 15 to. However, when you people start to think
- 16 about next year's budget I want you to think
- on this. You may think that people who you
- probably can't see here asking for
- 19 hand-me-outs or that we're a bit like second
- 20 class citizens, which you may have heard
- 21 before, and yet we live here, we go to school,
- 22 college. We shop at stores, go to the movies,
- 23 until the pandemic came, we pay taxes. We do
- 24 what we do to live here in this county.
- I've also been observing because of

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- the pandemic a number of small businesses are
- 3 closing up shop, quite possibly for good.
- 4 Explain to me how after the pandemic is over
- 5 are you as a county going to encourage those
- 6 old businesses to come back or even the
- 7 possibility of encouraging new big or small
- 8 businesses to come to Nassau County to help
- 9 increase the tax revenue so that vital
- 10 services such as public transportation here on
- 11 the island can be fully funded.
- One other small detail before I
- 13 go. To the Republican members of the
- 14 legislature, how are you feeling about this
- 15 year's election so far? How confident are you
- 16 feeling going forward about your chances of
- 17 you getting either elected or reelected next
- 18 year for the legislature? Think on it while
- 19 you consider your priorities. That's all.
- 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 21 Richard. Pearl Jacobs.
- MS. JACOBS: Good afternoon
- 23 everyone. I'm happy to see everyone is
- healthy and well. I have some follow-up to my
- 25 legislator, Legislator Abrahams. My first

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 question regarding Uniondale is, can you
- 3 please provide the status of the Uniondale
- 4 community's request to remove the bench on the
- 5 corner of Uniondale Avenue and Jerusalem
- 6 Avenue? And we are requesting that all
- benches be removed in Uniondale. Is there any
- 8 updates Legislator Abrahams regarding the
- 9 benches being removed in Uniondale?
- 10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes, Pearl,
- 11 we've sent a request over. There are several
- 12 different departments and unfortunately we are
- 13 still waiting to hear back. But my office
- 14 should have contacted you regarding that
- initial contact with those offices. We have
- definitely addressed your concerns in terms of
- 17 reaching out.
- MS. JACOBS: It's taking entirely
- 19 too long Legislator Abrahams. Like I said,
- we're not against homeless people but it's
- 21 becoming problematic to the community and it's
- 22 also going to be an issue for the homeless
- 23 people that one day we hope not to find one of
- those people on the benches frozen. So we are
- requesting that all the benches be removed in

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 Uniondale. We're not happy that our benches
- 3 have to be removed but until Nassau County
- 4 does something with the homeless population we
- 5 cannot enjoy benches in Uniondale.
- 6 Also, can you provide an update
- 7 regarding the Uniondale community request for
- 8 a moratorium on any further shelter homes in
- 9 Uniondale Legislator Abrahams?
- 10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: This one is
- 11 a little bit more complicated. We actually
- 12 had a call with health and human services.
- Pearl, there's a couple of obstacles with the
- 14 moratorium. As you probably know what's going
- on in other parts of the county, there was a
- 16 legal case that was in regards to that.
- 17 Obviously that has ramifications on what can
- 18 happen.
- I think what's more important to
- what you're trying to achieve is that we
- 21 probably need to have a larger discussion with
- 22 how the Town of Hempstead issues permits as it
- 23 pertains to expanded housing and if those
- housing units become some form of shelter. I
- think that would probably be the more

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 productive conversation. Because health and
- 3 human services does not have the ability to
- 4 tell a shelter provider that they can't
- 5 operate in a particular area. That would be
- 6 unconstitutional.
- 7 I think from that standpoint we
- 8 need to have a much larger discussion -- which
- 9 I will be happy to be part of it -- but
- 10 obviously it would have to include the town
- and the town would have to be in that larger
- 12 discussion to be able to do that.
- MS. JACOBS: Thank you for that
- 14 feedback. Definitely larger conversations are
- 15 required as residents in Uniondale are
- 16 becoming increasingly agitated and, you know,
- definitely not happy about these shelter homes
- that are springing up all over Uniondale.
- 19 Perhaps we need to go to the route of Jericho
- 20 and start lawsuits. Thank you so much.
- 21 Another issue, a very serious issue
- 22 Legislator Abrahams that I'm bringing before
- you, it's the community's request for cameras
- on Jerusalem Avenue. Jerusalem Avenue has
- become a speedway for vehicles. Residents are

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 complaining of the extremely high rate of
- 3 speed of vehicles traveling on Jerusalem
- 4 Avenue. Especially from late evening until
- 5 the early hours of the morning.
- 6 Jerusalem is known as an unsafe
- 7 roadway as a student from Turtle Hook Junior
- 8 High School unfortunately lost his life on
- 9 this roadway years ago where he is
- 10 memorialized. Every year his parents attend a
- 11 Uniondale School District scholarship assembly
- 12 and they present a scholarship to a high
- 13 school student in the name of their son in
- 14 their son's honor. They are indeed angels.
- In addition to the potential tragic
- 16 consequences of cars racing down Jerusalem
- 17 Avenue, residents complain that the noise
- 18 pollution from the racing cars along with the
- 19 explosive sound of the exhaust systems that
- they now have wake them up from their sleep at
- 21 night. This is as an outright noise pollution
- and another offense to residents' quality of
- 23 life.
- I have spoken with our Nassau
- 25 County Police Department and as always they

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- were extremely responsive and have committed
- 3 to place a portable street sign and step up
- 4 police presence on Jerusalem Avenue.
- 5 Thankfully we have a police
- 6 department that not only listens to the
- 7 community's concerns they are also action
- 8 orientated. However, our police department
- 9 cannot be everywhere at all times and the
- 10 portable speed signs serve as a temporary
- 11 deterrent. Only when monetary penalties are
- imposed does people's behavior change.
- 13 Legislator Abrahams, we are
- 14 requesting that you pass legislation to
- install speed cameras on Jerusalem Avenue
- 16 along with visible signage that alerts drivers
- 17 that the roadway is under surveillance. This
- is an appeal from the Uniondale community to
- 19 save lives.
- 20 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.
- 21 Thank you.
- MS. JACOBS: I'm very serious
- 23 about this Legislator Abrahams. We had an
- 24 issue about cameras before on Uniondale Avenue
- 25 but that was a different issue. I know I'm

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 out of time but I do have the footage from
- 3 2014 when County Executive Mangano they had
- 4 put speed cameras throughout Nassau County and
- 5 there was a pushback and the cameras were
- 6 removed.
- 7 But the issue here you know is
- 8 pandering to your voting base. It's not
- 9 good. It's not good pandering to your voting
- 10 base when you have to save the lives of
- 11 children and residents of Nassau County.
- 12 Jerusalem Avenue is a potential death trap so
- we need to do something about this. Thank
- 14 you.
- 15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You're
- 16 welcome.
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 18 Pearl. Legislator Ford, did you want to add
- 19 something?
- 20 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very
- 21 much Presiding Officer. The letter we
- 22 received from Ms. Angela Perry actually was
- 23 the fact that she actually works at
- 24 Christopher Morley Park, the golf course in
- 25 Roslyn, New York. She wanted to bring to our

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 attention and I thank her for letting us
- 3 become aware of it that the workers that work
- 4 at the golf courses, this is one of the only
- 5 type of outdoor exercise or activity that the
- 6 governor allowed to go forward early on in the
- 7 pandemic.
- I happen to know a lot of people
- 9 who are golfers that actually did go out as
- 10 soon as the okay was given. They went out to
- 11 the golf courses and there were many that were
- old people that golf, young people that golf,
- 13 people that have experience in golfing and
- 14 especially those that were new. They just
- wanted to enjoy the freedom of being outside.
- Without these workers being there
- 17 that were deemed essential this activity would
- 18 not have been able to go on. I know she only
- 19 asked that if we could give the golf division
- 20 staff an honorable mention but I think that
- 21 what they really do deserve is a big loud
- 22 thank you to say we appreciate your dedication
- and the hard work you did and the fact that so
- 24 many people could participate the outdoors,
- enjoy themselves and do it safely. I know

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 that by being there your workers and all of
- 3 you were put at risk as well.
- 4 So really, it's really a debt of
- 5 gratitude to those that work here in the
- 6 county and to all those that do work on the
- 7 front lines, whether on a golf or where ever
- 8 else. So Angela thank you very much. Send
- 9 back to let all the people that work with you
- 10 know that really is appreciated all the work
- 11 that you have done for us. I thank you.
- 12 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 13 Legislator Ford. I know that all of us join
- 14 with you in thanking our workers, in this
- 15 particular case the workers at the golf
- 16 courses, but all of the workers that worked
- 17 throughout the pandemic. John Budnick.
- MR. BUDNICK: Good afternoon
- 19 Chairman Nicolello and members of this august
- 20 county legislature. I want to take just a
- 21 moment to talk about very quickly the
- 22 governor's once again proposal to legalize
- 23 marijuana, which I haven't been able to get a
- 24 copy of yet. I do want to point out you need
- 25 to refer to the scientific and medical

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 evidence which clearly and unequivocally shows
- 3 the deleterious, dangerous disease and effect
- 4 of marijuana particularly on young people,
- 5 especially those under 27 years of age, where
- 6 use of it causes the person to have the
- 7 retardation of the maturity of the brain.
- Also, I note that we are in the
- 9 process I hope of completing the new
- 10 courthouse where social services used to be.
- 11 I respectfully suggest naming it after the
- 12 most prominent, they happen to be an
- 13 Italian-American family named Gulotta, who
- 14 through the 1940s, '50s, '60s '70s '80s into
- the 21st century provided an immeasurable
- 16 amount of public service to the people of our
- 17 county and the people of our state and that
- should be recognized and memorialized.
- 19 Also, I bring you greetings on
- 20 Hispanic-American month and once again
- 21 recommended to you, particularly with
- 22 reference to Mitchel Field that something
- there be named after a, quote unquote, unknown
- Hispanic-American hero of World War II and the
- 25 first administrator of the United States

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 Federal Aviation Authority Elwood Pete Ricardo
- 3 Quesada.
- 4 Also we need to get the meats and
- 5 bounds situation of the Mitchel Field
- 6 historically district set up. I've been
- 7 trying to get them from the county executive's
- 8 office and several other places. Not having
- 9 even anybody get back to me in regard to that.
- 10 Also, in the Massapequa area I can
- 11 tell you trying to vote for the last two days
- that the polling places there, particularly
- 13 Oyster Bay town hall south are grossly and
- 14 severely overcrowded. They have lines of
- 15 hundreds of people stretching out into the
- 16 parking lot.
- 17 It is most respectfully suggested
- 18 that you guys consider, excuse me, you folks
- 19 consider contacting the board of elections
- about the possibility, at least in the
- 21 Massapequa area, of utilization of either the
- 22 police academy, the Massapequa community
- 23 center or the North Massapequa community
- center as additional polling places. Or to
- 25 release a good number of additional voting

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 machines to be placed there.
- Next, I have not been able, despite
- 4 requests, to get any kind of crime
- 5 statistics. It was noted in Newsday about two
- 6 weeks ago on the front page that crime is
- 7 down. I respectfully submit that that
- 8 headline was an apparently false statement and
- 9 I believe this legislature should obtain and
- 10 publish for the public the crime statistics at
- 11 least for the years 2018, '19 and thus far
- 12 this year. Thank you very much for putting up
- with me and may the good Lord also bless and
- 14 keep you.
- 15 Also with regard to naming places
- 16 in Mitchell Field. I think I already
- 17 mentioned previously the Fabulous Flying
- 18 Flapper from Freeport Elinor Patricia Smith
- 19 and also Eugene Jacques Bullard the first
- 20 black fighter pilot in World War I from
- 21 America. All these people are currently kind
- of forgotten by our current history. I hope
- 23 that will change. Thank you very much for
- 24 your time and trouble. Good luck.
- 25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- John. We have invited Farrah Mozawalla, the
- 3 executive director of the Office of
- 4 Asian-American Affairs to give a brief
- 5 presentation on the work of her office. I am
- 6 one of the legislators who represents a
- 7 community that has a growing, vibrant
- 8 Asian-American constituency and many of whom
- 9 are first generation. So, the work of your
- 10 office has been very important especially the
- 11 outreach during COVID because trying to get
- 12 information to people in their home languages
- was vitally important. So we appreciate
- 14 everything that you and your office have done
- 15 during the COVID pandemic.
- MS. MOZAWALLA: Thank you
- 17 Presiding Officer Nicolello, Minority Leader
- 18 Abrahams and members of the legislature.
- 19 Thank you for giving me an opportunity to
- 20 brief you on the Office of Asian-American
- 21 Affairs. I am honored to serve as the
- 22 executive director of this newly established
- office first announced by the county executive
- Laura Curran in her 2019 state of the county
- 25 address and subsequently chartered by this

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 body.
- I wish to commend and thank our
- 4 dedicated Asian-American advisory counsel
- 5 chaired by Dr. Ismail Choudry. Our talented
- 6 and engaged members have provided tremendous
- 7 guidance to me, myself and my staff as we
- 8 establish a department focused on serving the
- 9 needs of Nassau's suburbs and growing Asian
- 10 community as outlined by the charter.
- During the past year and a half OAA
- 12 has developed a wide ranging program of
- outreach, engagement and services to meet the
- 14 needs of our Asian constituents. We serve as
- 15 a break between the Asian communities and
- 16 Nassau County government breaking down
- 17 barriers of language and culture to ensure
- 18 greater opportunities for all. Let me offer a
- 19 few highlights of our efforts which continue
- 20 to take shape as we learn more about the
- 21 residents that we serve.
- Number one, information. OAA is
- 23 responsible for ensuring that the county's
- diverse Asian community has timely access to
- 25 critical information about county programs and

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 services. We have issued regular newsletters
- 3 and have an active and popular social media
- 4 presence. Utilizing Facebook, Twitter,
- 5 WhatsApp, WeChat and Instagram. We stay up to
- 6 date on each ethnic community's social media
- 7 platform and with 25 different ethnicities
- 8 living in Nassau County that are Asian I can
- 9 assure you that we have our hands full.
- We work with the CE's communication
- 11 team and county agencies and regularly
- translate information into Mandarin, Hindi
- 13 Bungala and Arabic.
- OAA has played a critical role in
- the county executive's important COVID-19
- 16 response initiatives urging residents to sign
- 17 up for multilingual text bullets. Our team
- has actively promoted the county's health and
- safety measures and assisted with community
- 20 food distribution. We have also supported
- 21 businesses during this challenging period of
- 22 industry closures. Promoted the county
- 23 through SNAFA loan and free PPE kit programs
- 24 and helped guide businesses to reopening
- 25 safely.

Full -	10-26-20
rull –	10-20-20

- We have developed special fact
- 3 sheets on topics of concern to Asian residents
- 4 such as the Know Your Rights document which we
- 5 created in the earlier days of the COVID-19
- 6 pandemic when incidents of discrimination
- 7 against Asian businesses and residents was on
- 8 the rise. Since the start of the pandemic OAA
- 9 has issued over 100 public service
- 10 announcements to the community.
- Number two, responding to
- 12 constituent concerns and inquiries. Our
- office receives many dozens of calls each week
- 14 ranging from questions on social services to
- inquiries about business opportunities to
- 16 calls from victims of domestic violence. We
- work closely with other county agencies to
- 18 address these calls. We refer it to the
- 19 appropriate agency and track the constituent's
- 20 progress. We provide a point of entry and
- 21 access where residents feel safe and
- 22 comfortable.
- Collaborative programs. We played
- 24 a major roll in important countywide
- 25 initiatives like the census 2020 connecting

1	177	10-26-20
⊥	гитт –	エロームロームロ

- 2 nonprofits to micrograds and engaging in
- 3 active social media campaigns to promote
- 4 participation by our Asian residents.
- 5 OAA has partnered with numerous
- 6 county agencies to host programs designed to
- 7 increase awareness about county services and
- 8 opportunity. I am particularly proud of a
- 9 series conducted earlier this year with the
- 10 county executive, Office of Civil Service,
- 11 Office of Minority Affairs and Office of
- 12 Hispanic Affairs promoting job opportunities
- in county government.
- 14 Our recent voter information
- webinar with the Nassau County Board of
- 16 Elections received 1300 views. Following the
- webinar we posted voice-overs in many of the
- different Asian languages on YouTube.
- 19 Celebrating diversity and
- 20 inclusion. OAA takes pride in celebrating our
- 21 diversity and our diverse Asian constituency
- 22 hosting lively programs for important holidays
- 23 such as Eid, Lunar New Year, Diwali and so
- 24 many more. These celebrations allow us to
- 25 honor our community leaders, build

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 relationships and create awareness about our
- office and the services we provide.
- 4 Before COVID we conducted exit
- 5 polls of our attendees to learn more about
- 6 their concerns. And during COVID we have
- 7 adjusted our event formats to ensure resident
- 8 safety conducting drive-throughs and virtual
- 9 celebrations. OAA participates in important
- 10 conversations on diversity, inclusion and
- 11 combating racial intolerance. In May we
- 12 hosted a program with the Holocaust Center.
- More recently OAA's counsel and I
- 14 have engaged with the county executive and
- 15 Police Commissioner Ryder on executive order
- 16 203. I have also served on the committee
- 17 reviewing consultant proposals for the
- 18 disparity study.
- In closing, we have certainly been
- 20 busy fulfilling our mission. In the upcoming
- 21 months OAA will be hiring additional staff to
- 22 round our team to take an active agenda for
- 23 2021 where we look forward to launching
- additional programing to support our community
- 25 senior residents and other exciting

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 initiatives. Thank you so much.
- 3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 4 Farrah. Any questions or comments?
- 5 Legislator Walker.
- 6 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I just have a
- 7 comment. I want to thank you so much. I have
- 8 a very, very large South Asian population too
- 9 in my district. Especially during COVID many
- of them came together and helped provide
- 11 assistance to those in need giving out PPE
- 12 equipment, food drives and so on and so
- 13 forth. They certainly were -- you've been
- 14 very active and many of those who were able
- were certainly very, very active and did a lot
- 16 certainly in my district and I'm sure in
- 17 districts across the county. I just wanted to
- reach out to you and thank you and make sure
- 19 you extend that thank you to all of them.
- MS. MOZAWALLA: Thank you.
- 21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
- 22 else?
- LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Yes. Thank
- 24 you very much. I would like to thank the
- 25 director for her hard work. I would just like

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 to ask if they believe that the budget is
- 3 sufficient to fulfill their mission?
- 4 MS. MOZAWALLA: So, we have --
- our office is a small and tiny team but we
- 6 work extremely hard and we are extremely
- 7 dedicated to our services. Right now we are
- 8 having four full-time and four part-time
- 9 employees. So I think we will be okay.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anything
- 11 else Carrie?
- 12 LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Nothing
- 13 further Presiding Officer. Thank you.
- 14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I want to
- echo Legislator Walker's sentiments and thank
- 16 you for your leadership and thank you for the
- work that your office is doing. You are 100
- 18 percent right. You have tremendous social
- media presence and up until the pandemic hit
- the outreach work you were doing was
- 21 tremendous. As I said before, that outreach
- work was even more important and vital once
- 23 the pandemic hit to reach out to all the
- different communities among the languages that
- you mentioned too.

29

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- MS. MOZAWALLA: Thank you. We
- 3 have a wonderful board that really supports us
- 4 on our initiatives and they do a lot of work
- 5 within our office with the translation
- 6 services. We are extremely grateful to them.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 8 very much. We will invite you back
- 9 periodically for reports on what's happening.
- Jump into the calendar now. There
- is a consent calendar which the Minority and
- 12 Majority have agreed no further debate or
- discussion is necessary. All of these items
- 14 have gone through committees either two weeks
- ago or at least one on the consent has gone
- 16 through the committee a few moments ago. Here
- where we go.
- 18 Item 3, Ordinance 79. Four,
- 19 Ordinance 80. Five, Ordinance 81. Six,
- 20 Ordinance 82. Seven, Ordinance 83. Eight,
- 21 Ordinance 84. Nine, Ordinance 85. Ten,
- 22 Ordinance 86. 11, Ordinance 87. 12,
- 23 Ordinance 88. 13, Ordinance 89. 14,
- 24 Ordinance 90. 15, Ordinance 91. 16,
- ordinance 92. 17, Ordinance 93. 18,

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 Ordinance 94. 19, Ordinance 95. 20,
- 3 Ordinance 96. 21, Ordinance 97. 22,
- 4 Ordinance 98. 23, Ordinance 99. 24,
- 5 Resolution 116. 25, Resolution 117. 26,
- 6 Resolution 118. 27, Resolution 119. 28,
- 7 Resolution 120. 30, Resolution 122. 31,
- 8 Resolution 123. 32, Resolution 124. 33,
- 9 Resolution 125. 34, Resolution 126. 35,
- 10 Resolution 127. 36, Resolution 128. 37,
- 11 Resolution 129. 38, Resolution 130. 39,
- 12 Resolution 131. 40, Resolution 132. 41,
- Resolution 133. 42, Resolution 134. 43,
- 14 Resolution 135. 44, Resolution 136. 45,
- 15 Resolution 137. 46, Resolution 138. 50,
- 16 Resolution 142. And 52, Resolution 144.
- 17 Legislator McKevitt makes a
- 18 motion. Seconded by Legislator Mule. Any
- debate or discussion? Hearing none, all in
- 20 favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
- 21 That carries unanimously. Those items.
- Number one is a hearing on a local
- law amending Title 24 of the miscellaneous
- 24 laws of Nassau County in relation to extending
- the hotel and motel occupancy.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- Need a motion to open the hearing.
- 3 Moved by Legislator Drucker. Seconded by
- 4 Legislator Kennedy. All those in favor of
- 5 opening the hearing signify by saying aye.
- 6 Those opposed? Hearing is open.
- 7 Katy do we have someone to speak on
- 8 the hotel-motel extender?
- 9 MR. PERSICH: Good afternoon.
- 10 Andy Persich, Office of Management and
- 11 Budget. This is just the extension of the
- 12 local law for hotel-motel tax for collections
- that get dispersed in various different
- 14 buckets that the county receives. If you have
- any questions I will be happy to answer them.
- 16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: One of the
- 17 questions I had was in terms of what's
- happening with the pandemic and its effect on
- 19 hotel-motel. Obviously in the depth of the
- 20 pandemic basically all our hotels essentially
- 21 shut down for COVID purposes. But are you
- 22 seeing the numbers rise or the revenues
- 23 starting to flow back into the county?
- MR. PERSICH: We haven't gotten
- 25 the collections in as of yet. But when they

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- were shut down we used to annually collect
- 3 about \$6 million in gross. That's where we
- 4 put a range between three and six million in.
- 5 So we are seeing a decline. I don't see
- 6 uptick in occupancies. I will say we haven't
- 7 seen those numbers yet but they will be
- 8 forthcoming probably later on in the year.
- 9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Many of
- our hotels, probably the majority of them,
- 11 rely upon visitors, commercial visitors and
- 12 with the economy being shut down for that
- length of time the hotels are at risk, many of
- 14 them were closed. So it's a double hit for
- 15 the county obviously not getting the sales
- 16 taxes. The commerce is not going on. Hotels
- are not bringing in people to generate
- 18 income. So it was difficult. Anyone else
- 19 have any questions? Legislator Ferretti.
- 20 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Good
- 21 afternoon Mr. Persich. Just a question. Is
- there a trend, is it trending up in terms of
- 23 the hotel-motel tax? The reason I ask that
- obviously we have a travel quarantine, travel
- 25 advisory from the state which seems to be ever

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 growing to more and more states. I was just
- 3 curious as we reopen and the economy is
- 4 reopening at the same time this travel
- 5 advisory encompasses more and more states is
- 6 the hotel-motel tax trending up, remaining
- 7 constant, down?
- MR. PERSICH: I don't have the
- 9 trends for you. I will get them for you. But
- 10 I will say collections are down dramatically
- 11 this year to last year is what I do know. The
- time period let's say for June they were down
- dramatically. But because they're on a lag
- 14 when we get them it's hard to do. It's like
- 15 sales tax, they come in later on. Maybe
- there's a lot of staycations happening for
- argument's sake that people are coming in.
- 18 A classic example would be the
- 19 Marriott over by the Coliseum. Opened to
- venues people would be staying there. That's
- 21 where we're seeing declines like that. I will
- see if I can get a trend for you but it's kind
- of hard the way it comes in. The big months
- would be probably July, the summer months
- 25 maybe you might see an uptick.

1	דוו]] –	10-26-20
_	r ull	10 20 20

- 2 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you.
- 3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 4 DeRiggi-Whitton.
- 5 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi
- 6 Andy. When you mentioned there were buckets
- 7 what are the buckets?
- 8 MR. PERSICH: Because the way the
- 9 legislation is written you have to segregate
- 10 the monies for different purposes. We have
- 11 three different buckets is best way to
- describe it that the money gets put into. I
- don't have them off the top of my head but
- 14 there's like cultural, tourism and some
- programatic stuff that it gets put into.
- 16 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Are
- 17 some of them already predesignated like I
- 18 think the children's museum?
- MR. PERSICH: Some of them are.
- 20 There are some that are predetermined. What
- those are off the top of my head I don't have
- the list in front of me but they're already
- 23 predetermined. When collections go down so
- does the expense part of the equation.
- 25 I.EGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Do

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 you think you could send us an email to all
- 3 the legislators how the buckets work? I
- 4 understand how hotel-motel is collected and
- 5 what it's used for with the idea of promoting
- 6 tourism and culture but I don't have a clear
- 7 understanding of the mechanisms.
- 8 MR. PERSICH: I will get that for
- 9 you.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
- 11 questions or comments by legislators? Hearing
- 12 none, any public comment? Thank you Andy.
- 13 Motion to close by Legislator Lafazan.
- 14 Seconded by Legislator Ford.
- Mr. Budnick I didn't see your hand
- 16 up.
- MR. BUDNICK: Just very quickly,
- 18 I am quite distressed that you folks aren't
- 19 getting now at the time you're voting on this
- 20 issued answers that should have been
- 21 anticipated by the administration and
- information should have been available to you
- 23 and information on financial status of the
- county should always be available literally
- 25 right here in this room whenever you are

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 having a meeting. And I most respectfully say
- 3 that a large part of that lack lies at the
- 4 door of our current county comptroller and his
- 5 lack of providing data to this body. Thank
- 6 you.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 8 Mr. Budnick. Again, the motion to close by
- 9 Legislator Lafazan. Seconded by Legislator
- 10 Ford. All in favor of closing the hearing
- 11 signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
- 12 unanimously.
- Now we go to item two. It's a vote
- on a local law amending Title 24 of the
- 15 miscellaneous laws of Nassau County in
- 16 relation to extending the hotel and motel tax
- 17 occupancy tax.
- 18 Moved by Legislator
- 19 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
- 20 Schaefer. Any further debate or discussion?
- 21 Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying
- 22 aye. Those opposed? That carries
- 23 unanimously.
- Now we are going to move to item
- 25 29. This is going to be a little

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 complicated. This is Resolution 121 to
- 3 ceremonially designate a portion of the county
- 4 road known as Covert Avenue from the
- 5 intersection of First Avenue to the
- 6 intersection of Fifth Avenue in New Hyde Park
- 7 to be known as Mark Farina Way and directing
- 8 the Department of Public Works to install
- 9 conspicuous signage.
- I will make that motion. Seconded
- 11 by Legislator Rhoads. All in favor of --
- 12 withdrawn. Actually what we now need is an
- emergency because we're going to be amending
- 14 this. So we call an emergency. We need a
- motion to establish an emergency which will be
- 16 made by Mr. Drucker and seconded by Legislator
- 17 Ford. We need to vote on the emergency. All
- in favor of the emergency signify by saying
- 19 aye. Those opposed? Thank you.
- Now we need an amendment in the
- 21 nature of a substitution which designates a
- 22 portion of Covert Avenue as ex-captain Mark
- 23 Farina Way and changes the title of the
- 24 resolution to reflect the same.
- I will make that motion again. Ask

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 that Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton second it.
- 3 Any debate or discussion on the amendment?
- 4 All in favor signify by saying aye. Those
- 5 opposed? Carries unanimously.
- 6 So now it's amended. Now we have
- 7 to vote on the resolution as amended. All in
- 8 favor of the amended resolution signify by
- 9 saying aye. Those opposed? That carries
- 10 unanimously.
- 11 There are several other items at
- 12 the end of the calendar. These are
- 13 resolutions that had just gone through
- 14 committee but there's a request for an
- 15 executive session on these items. What I am
- 16 going to do is I will hold them, we'll do the
- emergency calendar and then we will go back to
- 18 those for the executive session.
- 19 Mr. Pulitzer.
- 20 MR. PULITZER: Yes, sir.
- 21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I quess
- we're going to have to call the emergencies.
- 23 With the consent of the Minority ask that we
- 24 waive the reading of the emergencies because
- 25 this goes on for three pages. Is there

39

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 agreement on that? These are the five items
- on the emergency calendar. 311 of '20. 312
- 4 of '20. 313 of '20. 314, 315 and 316 of
- 5 2020. Actually we'll do the emergencies
- 6 first.
- 7 I need a motion to establish an
- 8 emergency for these items. Moved by
- 9 Legislator Schaefer. Seconded by Legislator
- 10 Bynoe. All in favor of establishing an
- 11 emergency signify by saying aye. Those
- 12 opposed? The emergency is established.
- Now we have to call these items,
- 14 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316. These are
- ordinances to establish assessed valuations in
- 16 school districts in North Hempstead, Hempstead
- 17 and Oyster Bay. A resolution fixing the time
- and date for issuance of tax warrants to the
- 19 towns receivers of taxes. A resolution to
- 20 include a statement of assessed valuations in
- 21 legislative proceedings and an ordinance
- 22 certifying base and adjusted base
- 23 proportions.
- 24 Motion by Legislator -- who's
- 25 home -- Legislator Muscarella. Seconded by

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel. These items
- 3 are now before the full legislature. Do we
- 4 have a speaker on these items?
- 5 MR. MILES: Robert Miles, deputy
- 6 assessor. These are resolutions and
- ordinances submitted every year for the school
- 8 tax warrants. I will take any questions that
- 9 you have.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In terms
- of the legislative action, our action today is
- to either up or down. Can we amend these?
- MR. MILES: No. These are the
- 14 numbers as they are.
- 15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What if we
- 16 don't pass them today?
- 17 MR. MILES: The schools do not
- 18 get the money as requested based on their
- 19 budgets and we cannot give them the monies.
- 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Before you
- 21 get to that point money, the receivers of
- 22 taxes can't send out the bills; is that
- 23 accurate?
- MR. MILES: Correct.
- 25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: By not

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 sending out the bills the money doesn't flow
- in, the school districts don't get the money?
- 4 MR. MILES: Correct. And we do
- 5 this on an annual basis.
- 6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
- 7 questions? Legislator Rhoads.
- 8 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thanks
- 9 Mr. Presiding Officer. Mr. Miles, my
- 10 understanding is that obviously this is a
- 11 ministerial act that's usually performed by
- the legislature on an annual basis, correct?
- MR. MILES: Correct.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The purpose
- of the act as the presiding officer mentioned
- 16 was to establish the tax roll so that
- 17 receivers of taxes can timely send out bills
- 18 that enable school districts to get paid,
- 19 correct?
- MR. MILES: That's the idea.
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The roll that
- 22 we are approving today is the roll for the
- 23 2020-2021 school tax roll, correct? Or
- 24 assessment roll.
- MR. MILES: Yes.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: That would be
- 3 the first one under the county executive's
- 4 reassessment; is that correct?
- 5 MR. MILES: Under the
- 6 reassessment, yes, but we have passed the
- 7 '19-'20 the previous year.
- 8 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I understand
- 9 that we pass it every year.
- 10 MR. MILES: I just wanted to make
- 11 sure.
- 12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The
- difference this year though is that unlike in
- 14 previous years the assessor's office announced
- to the towns last week that there were,
- despite the roll being before the legislature
- today, that the roll is being issued with
- approximately 12,000 errors; is that correct?
- 19 MR. MILES: That is not
- 20 accurate. It is 12,000 SCAR hearing cases
- 21 that are still at the court. It's a judicial
- 22 process. These are not errors.
- 23 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: That's the
- 24 first point of clarification is that the
- assessor in his response I think to the

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 receivers of taxes and to the supervisors had
- 3 termed them as errors.
- 4 MR. MILES: That is not
- 5 accurate.
- 6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The assessor
- 7 was incorrect with respect to that?
- 8 MR. MILES: No. The assessor did
- 9 not describe them as errors. Our office
- 10 reached out to their offices letting them know
- 11 that there are 12,000 judicial hearings still
- 12 at abeyance right now.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But it would
- 14 be fair to say with those 12,000 cases still
- pending we actually don't know what the
- 16 assessment is for those 12,000 homes as of
- 17 yet. It may change as a result of those legal
- 18 proceedings, correct?
- MR. MILES: Right now the burden
- of proof is on the petitioner. So, the
- 21 assessments are accurate and they are correct
- 22 as of right now unless there is a judicial
- 23 order saying otherwise.
- 24 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Well, it's
- certainly the county's position that those

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 assessments are accurate but whether or not
- 3 they are deemed to be accurate is the very
- 4 reason for the SCAR petition, correct?
- 5 MR. MILES: The burden is on
- 6 them.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I understand
- 8 the burden. I'm not asking that. I'm asking
- 9 you is the purpose of the SCAR petition to
- 10 make a determination as to whether the
- 11 county's position that the roll is accurate
- 12 with respect to those 12,00 homes, properties
- 13 is accurate?
- MR. MILES: I'm not going to go
- into litigating the SCAR hearings. I will
- 16 refer you to Article 7.
- 17 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Mr. Miles.
- 18 I'm not asking you for any specifics about the
- 19 process other than the accuracy of the roll as
- 20 it pertains to those 12,000 pending SCAR
- 21 petitions. The purpose of the SCAR hearing is
- 22 to determine whether or not the roll is
- accurate with respect to those 12,000 homes.
- 24 That's the very point of the litigation, is it
- 25 not.?

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 MR. MILES: No.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What's the
- 4 point of the litigation? Enlighten me.
- 5 MR. MILES: Like I said, I will
- 6 refer you to Article 7. It is a judicial
- 7 process. The roll is accurate until
- 8 ordered -- the individual assessment is ruled
- 9 otherwise.
- 10 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So my
- 11 understanding that this process obviously and
- we're dealing with a process that started two
- 13 years ago, correct?
- MR. MILES: I'm sorry?
- 15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We're dealing
- 16 with a process that started two years,
- 17 correct? We're talking about the roll that
- was initially or proposed tentative roll that
- was issued back in 2018, correct?
- 20 MR. MILES: The roll was
- 21 published in 2019. January 2, 2019.
- 22 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But it's a
- 23 process that started -- in other words, the
- 24 notices of tentative assessment went out in
- 25 2018, correct?

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 MR. MILES: Incorrect.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: When did the
- 4 proposed notice of tentative assessment go
- 5 out?
- 6 MR. MILES: January 2, 2019.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: When were
- 8 homeowners first advised of the fact as to
- 9 what their proposed assessment was going to
- 10 be?
- MR. MILES: November of 2018.
- 12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So 2018,
- 13 right? That's when this process -- when
- 14 homeowners were first engaged in this process
- 15 and I know that there were issues and
- questions with respect to whether notices were
- 17 accurate when they were actually sent out.
- We've gone through a litany of complaints and
- 19 discussions with regard to the process. But
- 20 this process started in 2018. So here we are
- 21 two years later and with respect to those
- 22 12,000 properties we still don't have an
- answer as to whether or not the roll is
- 24 actually accurate with respect to those 12,000
- properties. It's fair to say that, right?

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 MR. MILES: No.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: You're saying
- 4 it's not fair to say that because the county
- 5 has taken the position that they're right.
- 6 MR. MILES: No. It's been
- 7 independently discussed that this has been
- 8 accurate. There are several sources that have
- 9 determined that this is accurate. The
- 10 coefficient of dispersion indicates that this
- is accurate and so it is an accurate roll
- 12 determined independently.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But as far as
- 14 the courts are concerned we don't know that
- 15 it's accurate, correct?
- MR. MILES: I'm not going to
- discuss what the court will or will not do.
- 18 That is a judicial process that is done on an
- 19 individual basis.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I understand
- that you really don't want to provide me an
- 22 answer to the question but maybe if I break it
- down a little bit you can. Homeowners have
- challenged the county's position that the roll
- is accurate. 12,000 property owners have done

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 that, correct?
- 3 MR. MILES: Yes.
- 4 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: And they have
- 5 done that through the SCAR petition, correct?
- 6 MR. MILES: Yes.
- 7 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: There will be
- 8 a judicial determination after a SCAR hearing
- 9 as to whether the homeowner is right or
- 10 whether the county is right.
- MR. MILES: Whether the homeowner
- 12 has overcome their burden of proof, correct.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If the
- 14 homeowner loses that petition it would be
- verified that the county was correct with
- 16 respect to that particular individual
- 17 property, correct?
- MR. MILES: Correct.
- 19 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: And if the
- 20 court makes a determination that the homeowner
- is accurate that would establish that the
- 22 county was incorrect with respect to its
- 23 valuation, correct.
- MR. MILES: Per the court.
- 25 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Are you

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 indicating that the county is unwilling to
- 3 accept the court's determination?
- 4 MR. MILES: No. It is a judicial
- order. We are accepting the determination.
- 6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So you would
- 7 agree that if there's a judicial order that's
- 8 issued saying that with respect to those
- 9 12,000 homes that the county's assessment roll
- 10 is inaccurate the county is prepared to accept
- 11 that determination, right?
- MR. MILES: The county's
- 13 assessment roll is accurate until and the
- 14 numbers will remain the same until a hearing
- officer makes a determination. I'm not here
- to assume what a hearing officer will or will
- 17 not determine.
- 18 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I want to
- verify based on your comments today that if
- 20 the court makes a determination that the
- 21 assessment roll is not accurate with respect
- to any one of these 12,000 properties, and
- it's not exactly 12,000, right? It's a little
- 24 over?
- MR. MILES: A little over, a

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 little under.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Which is it?
- 4 MR. MILES: Should be closer to
- 5 11,500 now.
- 6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But we
- 7 actually know how many petitions we're talking
- 8 about at this point?
- 9 MR. MILES: Yes.
- 10 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If the court
- 11 makes a determination with respect to any one
- of these 12,000 homes that the county's
- 13 assessment is wrong that is a final
- 14 determination that the assessment was wrong
- with respect to that one property, correct?
- 16 MR. MILES: Yes. We will adhere
- 17 to the court's opinion.
- 18 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What happens
- if in the case of any one of those 12,000
- 20 properties, what happens at this point, if we
- 21 approve the roll today, what happens with
- respect to the county's potential liability?
- 23 How does that impact the county and its tax
- 24 bills?
- MR. MILES: It will be dependent

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 upon the judicial order, what the level of
- 3 reduction is, and either there will be a
- 4 lowering of the tax bill if we can in time or
- 5 there will be a refund.
- 6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: When are
- 7 these bills supposed to be issued?
- 8 MR. MILES: First bill should be
- 9 issued in November.
- 10 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: November
- 11 1st?
- MR. MILES: Yes.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So you're
- 14 talking about Sunday?
- MR. MILES: Correct. But you can
- 16 also correct second half bills.
- 17 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But with
- 18 respect to first half bills Sunday, November
- 19 1st is the date that those bills theoretically
- 20 should be -- are frozen in time at that
- 21 point. No changes can be made to the first
- 22 half tax bills after November 1st?
- 23 MR. MILES: That is my
- 24 understanding.
- 25 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I think it's

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 reasonable to expect that these 12,000 SCAR
- 3 challenges obviously are not going to be heard
- 4 between now and Sunday, correct?
- 5 MR. MILES: Correct.
- 6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What happens
- 7 in a situation where a homeowner is determined
- 8 to be right yet they've already received the
- 9 tax bill and they theoretically have already
- 10 made payment, what happens?
- 11 MR. MILES: They will either get
- 12 a correction to their second half bill or
- 13 receive a refund.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But if
- they're entitled to a reduction we don't turn
- 16 around and raise the taxes to make up that
- difference on behalf of every other homeowner,
- 18 correct?
- MR. MILES: Correct.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: That's a
- 21 result of something called a county
- 22 guarantee? The county guarantee?
- MR. MILES: That's absolutely the
- 24 county guarantee.
- 25 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: For these

53

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 12,000 properties if there's a determination
- 3 that these 12,000 homeowners are correct, do
- 4 we know what the potential liability is to the
- 5 county?
- 6 MR. MILES: I'm not aware of it.
- 7 It will not be 12,000 homeowners being
- 8 correct. So it's very difficult to determine
- 9 on an individual basis who will receive an
- order in favor of reduction and who will not.
- 11 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I'm not
- 12 asking you to predict anything. I'm asking
- 13 you about total exposure. For example, in
- 14 these SCAR petitions the homeowners put down
- what they believe their assessment should be,
- 16 correct?
- 17 MR. MILES: Yes.
- 18 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So, if they
- 19 put down what their assessment should be we
- 20 should be able to determine based upon those
- 21 claims what the county's potential liability
- 22 is if in fact they succeed in proving that
- 23 they're correct, right?
- MR. MILES: I don't believe
- 25 that -- that's a very difficult calculation to

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- determine hypothetically what that would be.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It's a
- 4 mathematical calculation, isn't it? Has the
- 5 assessor's office looked into that at all? Or
- 6 are we simply approving the roll not knowing
- 7 what our potential liability might be?
- 8 MR. MILES: You are approving the
- 9 roll because this is a ministerial act that's
- 10 performed every year and we need this roll to
- 11 produce the tax bills and for the schools to
- 12 get the money.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I totally
- 14 understand that you've left us with no
- 15 choice. Don't get me wrong. I get that
- 16 fact. I just am trying to find out a little
- information so we know how bad -- hold on, I'm
- 18 not done -- so we know how bad this
- 19 potentially can be for the county and its
- 20 taxpayers that the assessor's office is
- 21 presenting us a roll that may have up to
- 22 12,000 errors on it. I'm just trying to
- 23 figure out what that is.
- MR. MILES: Once again, I think
- you also said this is -- there's no errors.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 These are judicial hearings.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Right. If
- 4 there's a judicial determination that there's
- 5 an error it's an error. It is what it is. So
- 6 with 12,000 of these hearings still pending
- 7 there are potentially 12,000 errors if a court
- 8 makes a determination --
- 9 MR. MILES: It is a judicial
- 10 hearing. It is not an error.
- 11 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If the court
- determines that the county is wrong, right,
- 13 can we agree on that? Or we're going to say
- 14 it's right no matter who says otherwise and we
- 15 have to --
- MR. MILES: We will accept the
- opinion of the court and the homeowner will
- 18 receive the reduction that's ordered by the
- 19 court.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: My point is
- if there's a reduction by the court has there
- been any effort on the part of the assessor's
- office to make a determination as to what the
- county's potential exposure is if each of
- these 12,000 petitions happens?

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 MR. MILES: It's very difficult
- 3 to determine the refund liability.
- 4 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So the answer
- 5 is no?
- 6 MR. MILES: I don't have that
- 7 number for you.
- 8 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I know you
- 9 may not have the number but has anybody even
- 10 bothered to try and figure it out?
- 11 MR. MILES: I would refer you to
- 12 the budget office.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So the budget
- 14 office is making that determination?
- MR. MILES: I am not sure.
- 16 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Does the
- 17 budget office actually have copies of the SCAR
- 18 petitions?
- 19 MR. MILES: They do not have
- 20 12,000 individual SCAR hearings.
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Has anybody
- 22 told the budget office then how many SCAR
- petitions there are and what the homeowners
- are claiming their taxes are supposed to be so
- 25 that the budget office can make that

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 determination?
- MR. MILES: Everyone is aware of
- 4 the 12,000 petitions heard.
- 5 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I'm not aware
- of the 12,000 petitions heard. Is it possible
- 7 for us to be able to get a list of these
- 8 12,000 properties that are still up in the
- 9 air? Because when we send out these bills
- 10 we're telling these homeowners that they owe
- 11 the money, right? There's real dollars that
- 12 have to come out of their pocket even though
- they are still in the process of challenging
- 14 it 24 months after they actually received
- 15 their initial notification of their
- 16 assessment.
- 17 MR. MILES: Per the law,
- 18 correct. Per the law. The roll is
- 19 published. Then there's the SCAR season and
- 20 then you have to go through the judicial
- 21 hearing process.
- 22 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But typically
- these SCAR petitions would have been heard
- 24 before the assessment roll was final; is that
- 25 correct? Before the actual bills go out so

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- that they don't have a potential refund
- 3 situation.
- 4 MR. MILES: Typically when there
- 5 isn't a pandemic, yes. But because the
- 6 deadline was on hold until September 4th, well
- 7 into the time period for us to start
- 8 calculating the warrants, then yes. But this
- 9 year is a very different year.
- 10 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Are you
- 11 saying that the 12,000 petitions that are
- outstanding all came in after September 4th?
- MR. MILES: I'm not saying that.
- 14 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So why
- weren't these 12,000 resolved prior to? I
- 16 understand that you're using the deadline
- extension as an excuse but that only matters
- if these petitions came in after the deadline,
- 19 right?
- MR. MILES: No. There is a
- 21 scheduling. There is a calendaring. The
- 22 court is in charge of scheduling and
- 23 calendaring. The Department of Assessment is
- 24 not in charge of that. We are in charge of
- sending individuals prepared to defend the

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 roll.
- 3 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So you have
- 4 engaged though -- the Department of Assessment
- 5 has engaged though in an attempt to resolve
- 6 these SCAR petitions, correct?
- 7 MR. MILES: Correct.
- 8 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So we've made
- 9 settlement offers to these various homeowners
- 10 with respect to their individual properties,
- 11 correct?
- MR. MILES: Yes.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: And there
- were 88,000 -- actually there's I guess some
- discrepancy because some of the documents from
- 16 the clerk's office indicates that it may be as
- much as 92,000. But somewhere in the high
- 18 80s, low 90,000 --
- 19 MR. MILES: We have received our
- 80,000 number from the courts and they are the
- ones who determine the number of petitions
- 22 that come to us.
- 23 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The clerk's
- office is the clerk of the court, correct?
- MR. MILES: I'm not sure why

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- there's a difference between the clerk and the
- 3 court.
- 4 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I'm not sure
- 5 either. But somewhere between 80 and 90,000
- 6 is that fair to say?
- 7 MR. MILES: There's 80,000. I
- 8 get my numbers from the court.
- 9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I thought the
- 10 testimony that we received during the budget
- 11 hearings was that there was actually 88,000
- 12 and that was directly from the assessor. Now
- 13 there's only 80,000?
- MR. MILES: No. He said 80,000
- 15 and there are 80,000.
- 16 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I don't have
- 17 the record in front of me.
- 18 MR. MILES: Neither I do but I
- 19 know it's 80,000.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We will agree
- 21 to disagree on that point. Whether it's
- 22 80,000, 88,000, 92,000 with all of these
- 23 cases, with the exception of the 12,000 that
- are still outstanding, the assessor's office
- was able to, presumably with the assistance of

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- the court, was able to negotiate some sort of
- 3 resolution to those claims, correct?
- 4 MR. MILES: I'm sorry. I missed
- 5 half of the --
- 6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Not a
- 7 problem. Whatever the difference is between
- 8 the total number of claims and the 12,000 that
- 9 remain outstanding the assessor's office has
- 10 been able to resolve those claims, correct?
- MR. MILES: Maybe I'm not hearing
- 12 you or I just need clarification.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Regardless of
- 14 what the number is, I'm going to say 88
- because that's what I think the assessor said,
- the difference between 88,000 and the 12,000
- 17 claims that remain from the SCAR process that
- 18 difference -- I got to do the math -- the
- 19 76,000 claims those have all been resolved at
- 20 this point, correct?
- 21 MR. MILES: Those that are not
- the 12,000 have been resolved.
- 23 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What is it
- about these 12,000 that made it impossible to
- 25 resolve?

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 MR. MILES: They are not
- 3 impossible to resolve.
- 4 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What is it
- 5 about these 12,000 that have made it
- 6 impossible to timely resolve before we have a
- 7 roll?
- 8 MR. MILES: Some individuals
- 9 didn't accept settlement offers. Some
- 10 individuals we felt did not deserve a
- 11 settlement offer. Some individuals felt that
- 12 a zero offer wasn't the offer that they
- 13 wanted. And some individuals just wanted to
- 14 go to court. And that is their administrative
- 15 right to go to court and if the court wants to
- 16 calendar cases for all those 12,000 we will of
- 17 course abide by the court's calendar.
- 18 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The court
- doesn't have a choice, correct?
- MR. MILES: Yes, the court
- 21 doesn't have -- well, the court can calendar
- 22 the way they would like to calendar and we are
- 23 following the calendar of the court as any
- other litigated process occurs.
- 25 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: During our

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 initial hearings we were informed by the
- 3 assessor that with respect to some of the
- 4 north shore properties that the roll was
- 5 indefensible on some of the higher value
- 6 homes.
- 7 MR. MILES: That is not correct.
- 8 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The assessor
- 9 didn't say that?
- MR. MILES: No.
- 11 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Can you tell
- 12 us with respect to these 12,000 properties
- then where they are geographically located.
- MR. MILES: No. They are
- disbursed throughout the county.
- 16 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Is there a
- 17 concentration in any one particular area?
- MR. MILES: Not that I'm aware
- 19 of.
- 20 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Do we have an
- 21 outside time frame as to when these homeowners
- are actually going to know whether the amount,
- 23 if we approve this roll today, as to whether
- the amount we are asking them to pay is
- 25 actually the amount they owe?

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 MR. MILES: It is dependent upon
- 3 when the hearings are calendared.
- 4 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We have no
- 5 idea how long this could potentially take?
- 6 MR. MILES: I am not aware. You
- 7 would have to talk to the Nassau County
- 8 Supreme Court.
- 9 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The
- 10 assessor's office has been involved in the
- 11 assessing process since 1938, right?
- MR. MILES: Correct.
- 13 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I would
- imagine that over that time there's been a
- 15 certain institutional knowledge and expertise
- 16 as to how these processes work.
- MR. MILES: Except that this year
- there is a global pandemic that pushed our
- deadline back from April 30th to September
- 20 4th.
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I get that.
- 22 But in terms of the resolution of pending
- 23 cases do we know how long it takes to resolve
- 24 cases?
- MR. MILES: It generally does not

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 take this long but it is a pandemic and the
- deadline was pushed back from April 30th to
- 4 September 4th and the court did not start
- 5 hearing cases until June 16th.
- 6 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We're being
- 7 presented with a roll today that has a
- 8 potential exposure, potential errors if the
- 9 court makes that determination, with respect
- 10 to 12,000 individual property owners. We have
- 11 no idea what the potential exposure is to
- 12 county taxpayers, nor do we have any idea when
- we can tell those 12,000 affected homeowners
- 14 when there may actually be a resolution to
- their SCAR process so that we can assure that
- 16 the amount of money that they are being asked
- 17 to pay actually is the amount of money that
- 18 they owe. Am I correct in that
- 19 understanding?
- MR. MILES: You are passing a tax
- 21 roll. Something that is done on a yearly
- 22 basis every year. It's a ministerial act.
- 23 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But every
- single year you do not have 12,000 pending
- 25 SCAR petitions.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 MR. MILES: There were pending
- 3 SCAR petitions many years before this. So
- 4 this is not something that is unprecedented.
- 5 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: 12,000 is not
- 6 unprecedented?
- 7 MR. MILES: Prior to this
- 8 administration there were cases of pending
- 9 litigation, judicial hearings. So this is not
- 10 unprecedented.
- 11 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Is it
- 12 unprecedented to the extent of 12,000
- 13 potential clients?
- MR. MILES: I'm not aware of the
- exact number from the previous years but this
- is not something that is new and the previous
- 17 legislatures have passed the tax rolls before
- and the tax warrants so that the homeowners
- 19 can receive their bills and the schools can
- 20 get the money that they are owed.
- 21 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But this
- level of potential incompetence is new to me.
- 23 So, I appreciate that you can't give me any
- 24 more information that you already have so
- 25 thank you.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 MR. MILES: Thank you.
- 3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I had a
- 4 question for you. You mentioned that you had
- 5 reached out to the tax receivers. Was there a
- 6 telephone call or something like that of that
- 7 nature?
- 8 MR. MILES: Yes. I believe there
- 9 was a call representatives from both offices.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What was
- 11 the purpose of reaching out to the receivers
- 12 of taxes?
- MR. MILES: To confirm that tax
- 14 bills can be corrected if there were judicial
- orders that come in from the court. Rather
- than have the homeowner receive a tax bill
- 17 they can receive -- I'm sorry, receive a
- 18 refund they can receive a corrected tax bill.
- 19 So it is easier administratively for the
- 20 county I believe and it's better for the
- 21 taxpayer that they can receive it in their tax
- 22 bill.
- LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Was there
- 24 a request that the receivers not send out the
- 25 tax bills for the individuals who were in

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 these SCAR proceedings?
- MR. MILES: No. I don't believe
- 4 legally you can ask them to not issue tax
- 5 bills.
- 6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So you
- 7 called them to confirm if the tax bills could
- 8 be corrected?
- 9 MR. MILES: Because you can
- 10 either use a refund or you can do a corrected
- 11 tax bill. We felt that the corrected tax bill
- would be better for the taxpayer.
- 13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Corrected
- 14 tax bill would have to go out when?
- MR. MILES: Remember, there's the
- 16 first half tax bill and the second half tax
- 17 bill. So you can also correct the second half
- 18 tax bill.
- 19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Even if
- 20 the tax bill had been sent out and they had
- 21 paid their taxes you can seek to --
- MR. MILES: No. The second half
- 23 tax bill would have to be corrected.
- 24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: A lot more
- 25 questions there but Legislator Ford.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 LEGISLATOR FORD: So everybody,
- 3 by passing this legislation today, everybody
- 4 will receive their tax bill on time?
- 5 MR. MILES: Yes.
- 6 LEGISLATOR FORD: I just want to
- 7 follow up a little bit --
- 8 MR. MILES: Pursuant to the
- 9 executive order by the governor of course.
- 10 That pushed back the deadlines legally.
- 11 LEGISLATOR FORD: Because
- 12 normally people would have gotten their tax
- 13 bills already?
- MR. MILES: Right. But remember
- 15 the executive order pushed everything back.
- 16 LEGISLATOR FORD: When are the
- tax payments due by all the residents?
- MR. MILES: I believe it's
- 19 December 10th.
- 20 LEGISLATOR FORD: I have somebody
- 21 who was asking. So there will be no delay at
- 22 all that people will not -- so you're not
- 23 asking because I guess there was a
- 24 misunderstanding. I had somebody call me.
- 25 So, the tax bills themselves are delayed being

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 sent out but rather than having to pay in
- 3 November we will be able to pay in December,
- 4 correct?
- 5 MR. MILES: That's pursuant to
- 6 the executive order.
- 7 LEGISLATOR FORD: You can just
- 8 say yes or no. We understand it's the
- 9 executive order. I understand it has to come
- 10 from the governor. That's actually a given
- 11 because we can't change those dates. It's all
- 12 state law, correct? Yes.
- Just going back to the 12,000 that
- 14 Legislator Rhoads was asking about, okay, and
- we didn't know like how many when we look
- 16 at -- and I understand that people had SCAR
- 17 hearings and even when we sent out previous
- 18 years there were still people litigating
- 19 whether or not their assessment was right or
- wrong or whatever.
- MR. MILES: Yes.
- 22 LEGISLATOR FORD: But it seems
- that there were 80,000, so we're still holding
- on to 12,000 that are still are in the process
- of going before a judicial hearing, correct?

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 MR. MILES: They're being
- 3 calendared by the court, correct.
- 4 LEGISLATOR FORD: But out of the
- other 68,000 that have been settled by the
- 6 courts you must know --
- 7 MR. MILES: It had gone to the --
- 8 they had already --
- 9 LEGISLATOR FORD: Settled. One
- way whether or not they accepted the offer by
- 11 the assessor or --
- MR. MILES: Or there was a
- 13 hearing. Because we did do as many hearings
- 14 as the calendar from June until September.
- 15 LEGISLATOR FORD: That I
- 16 understand. How many of those ones that were
- 17 challenged by residents did the residents end
- 18 up getting the settlement they desired?
- 19 MR. MILES: I'm not sure off the
- top of my head.
- 21 LEGISLATOR FORD: We don't keep a
- 22 record to see whether or not to see the
- 23 accuracy of the roll to make sure --
- MR. MILES: I can create an
- analytic form but I don't know that off the

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 top of my head.
- 3 LEGISLATOR FORD: I would like to
- 4 see if you can do that and send it to us
- 5 because I think that would be good for us to
- 6 see where the challenges were, where some of
- 7 the issues were with some of the residents in
- 8 regard to and on what basis they were able to
- 9 be successful in challenging their
- 10 assessment. Thank you.
- 11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 12 Ferretti.
- 13 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you.
- 14 Good afternoon Mr. Miles. A lot of my
- 15 questions were already asked by Legislator
- 16 Rhoads so I guess I just have a couple of
- 17 comments.
- I heard, Mr. Miles, you said
- 19 numerous times how this is a ministerial act
- that's been done so many years before. This
- 21 is not -- 2020 is not just your ordinary
- 22 year. There are residents really hurting.
- 23 Residents are facing very, very tough
- 24 financial times. We've got a lot thrown at us
- in 2020. The idea that this is just some

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 ministerial act and not to mention a
- 3 countywide reassessment for the first time in
- 4 15 plus years. So the idea that this should
- 5 be just passed without any scrutiny, as I
- 6 think you are certainly implying, I think is
- 7 disappointing.
- 8 Additionally, it seems like the
- 9 assessment office is somewhat talking out of
- 10 both sides of its mouth. Legislator Rhoads is
- 11 asking you pretty pointed questions as to the
- 12 12,000 outstanding SCARS and it seems like
- 13 your de facto response was I'm not going to
- 14 guess as to how the court is going to
- 15 adjudicate these SCARs, right?
- MR. MILES: I'm not going to do
- 17 that.
- 18 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: But at the
- 19 same time he asked you what the potential
- liability was and you said that 12,000 won't
- 21 be found to be overassessed. So, in affect
- you are predicting when it fits the argument
- 23 but at the same time --
- MR. MILES: That's not a
- 25 prediction.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: You're
- 3 saying that it is possible that all 12,000 are
- 4 found in favor of the SCAR petitioner?
- 5 MR. MILES: I'm not predicting
- 6 like I said.
- 7 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I just find
- 8 it shocking that there has been no
- 9 communication, no thought as to what the
- 10 potential liability could be to Nassau
- 11 residents. This is not something that really
- 12 should have taken you offguard here. I'm
- 13 shocked that there was no inquiry from the
- 14 budget department. Has there been any
- 15 communication between the Assessment
- 16 Department and the budget department as to
- what the potential liability could be?
- MR. MILES: It is difficult to
- 19 estimate what the refund liability is because
- of the individual nature of each hearing.
- LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Okay.
- 22 Again, I'm going to hold my nose and vote in
- 23 favor of this because as Legislator Rhoads
- 24 said we've been somewhat boxed in a corner but
- it's disappointing that some pretty simple and

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 important questions any year but specifically
- 3 in 2020 are going unanswered today.
- 4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 5 McKevitt.
- 6 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Just to
- 7 follow up a point beforehand where you said
- 8 that the receivers will be sending out the
- 9 first half school tax bill and if there needed
- 10 to be there would be a correction on the
- 11 second half school tax bill; is that correct?
- MR. MILES: Yes.
- 13 LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: I know some
- 14 people actually pay their entire year's tax
- 15 bill at the first bill because there's
- 16 actually a discount they receive when you pay
- 17 it. So for those who choose to pay your
- entire year's worth of taxes at the first bill
- 19 before December 10th how will that then be
- 20 rectified?
- MR. MILES: I don't want to speak
- 22 for the treasurer but there would be a refund.
- 23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Just one
- other follow-up on my part. The conference
- 25 call when your office reached out to the

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 receivers of taxes who was on that call?
- MR. MILES: Several individuals.
- 4 Our accounting division I believe was the
- 5 representative on the call. But I'm not
- 6 sure. I was not on the call.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Was Mr.
- 8 Moog on the call?
- 9 MR. MILES: I am not sure.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
- 11 you. Legislator Walker.
- 12 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I just want
- 13 to get this straight. So now if people's tax
- 14 bills were say they were charged \$2,600 for
- 15 each half. After their hearing they find that
- 16 they overpaid by \$1,000. The next half of
- their bill if they didn't pay it in full would
- then be dropped to \$1,600 rather than the
- 19 \$2,600?
- 20 MR. MILES: I believe that's how
- 21 it works. But we are confirming with the
- treasurer and the receivers' offices.
- LEGISLATOR WALKER: Now, does the
- county then have to make up that \$1,000 to the
- 25 school district because the school district

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- was anticipating 2600?
- MR. MILES: No. The school
- 4 district is always made whole. They either
- 5 receive the money that they're supposed to
- 6 receive always from the town and then we would
- 7 have to pay the refund. But we guarantee.
- 8 LEGISLATOR WALKER: So then we're
- 9 back to the fact that the county quarantee
- 10 again kicks in and again, even though we were
- 11 promised over and over and over that
- 12 everything was correct and bills would be
- 13 correct and you had enough people to do all
- 14 these things, now we're going to have to lay
- out money again in this upcoming year to --
- MR. MILES: Well, legislator, I
- 17 do once again want to reiterate that the
- timeline is generally April 1st to April 30th
- and the deadline to file was moved from April
- 30th to September 4th. And the hearings did
- 21 not occur until June 14th due to the pandemic.
- 22 LEGISLATOR WALKER: But once
- 23 again, every time we questioned anything we
- were promised that everything was fine. We
- 25 had the number of people to do this.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 Everything was going to be right and obviously
- 3 that's not the case.
- 4 MR. MILES: Prior to there being
- 5 a global pandemic and the courts are in charge
- 6 of the calendaring.
- 7 LEGISLATOR WALKER: We asked many
- 8 of these questions during the pandemic also.
- 9 I feel like that's going to be our answer for
- 10 everything. But well, you know, we had a
- 11 global pandemic. We all realize that.
- MR. MILES: I believe all the
- 13 hearings occurred prior to the pandemic
- 14 regarding the strategy for SCAR.
- 15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 16 Drucker.
- 17 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
- 18 Presiding Officer. Mr. Miles, we are all
- 19 aware that this is an unprecedented year COVID
- 20 pandemic. Isn't it true that in previous
- 21 years as mentioned that there were outstanding
- 22 tax challenges before SCAR at the exact time
- 23 that the legislature was asked to approve the
- 24 tax warrants? Isn't that true?
- MR. MILES: Correct. Every year.

- 1 Full 10-26-20
- 2 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: And in those
- 3 previous years the budget department was never
- 4 sought to predict or estimate the refunds that
- 5 might have been necessary; isn't that true?
- 6 MR. MILES: Correct.
- 7 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I didn't
- 8 think so. Thank you.
- 9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
- 10 else? Anyone on remote? Hearing none, thank
- 11 you Mr. Miles. Any public comment? Hearing
- 12 none, all in favor signify by saying aye.
- 13 Those opposed? It carries unanimously.
- So those were the emergencies. We
- 15 have the four items remaining on the calendar
- which we will call and then we will go into
- 17 executive session. 47, 48, 49 and 51.
- 18 Resolutions 139, 140, 141 and 143. These are
- 19 the settlements with respect to the Village of
- the Cedarhurst and the Village of Lawrence as
- 21 well as the IMAs with respect to both.
- 22 Motion by Deputy Presiding Officer
- 23 Kopel. Seconded by Minority Leader Abrahams.
- 24 Those items are before us. Motion by
- 25 Legislator Bynoe to go into executive

Τ	Full - 10-26-20
2	session. Seconded by Legislator Kennedy. All
3	in favor of executive session signify by
4	saying aye. Those opposed? We are in
5	executive session.
6	(Legislature recessed to executive
7	session at 2:44 p.m.)
8	(Legislature reconvened at 3:00
9	p.m.)
10	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We are
11	back out of executive session and we are going
12	to have a vote on these four items, 47, 48,
13	49, and 51. Any debate or discussion? All in
14	favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
15	It carries unanimously.
16	Motion to adjourn by Legislator
17	Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator Drucker. All
18	in favor of adjourning signify by saying aye.
19	Those opposed? We are adjourned.
20	(Legislature adjourned at 3:00
21	p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
5	Public in and for the State of New
6	York, do hereby certify:
7	THAT the foregoing is a true and
8	accurate transcript of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
11	hereunto set my hand this 28th day of
12	October 2020
13	
14	
15	
16	FRANK GRAY
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	