NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

Richard Nicolello, PRESIDING OFFICER

FULL LEGISLATURE

1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York

May 23, 2018 1:20 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

RICHARD NICOLELLO Presiding Officer

HOWARD KOPEL
Deputy Presiding Officer

JOHN FERRETTI

THOMAS MCKEVITT

C. WILLIAM GAYLOR III

STEVEN RHOADS

JAMES KENNEDY

LAURA SCHAEFER

ROSE MARIE WALKER

VINCENT MUSCARELLA

DENISE FORD

KEVAN ABRAHAMS

DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

SIELA BYNOE

CARRIE SOLAGES

ELLEN BIRNBAUM

ARNOLD DRUCKER

JOSHUA LAFAZAN

DEBRA MULE

DENISE FORD

MICHAEL C. PULITZER Clerk of the Legislature

LIST OF SPEAKERS

JENNIFER SCHLESINGER
PETE GAFFNEY
ROBERT ROBALSKY
JOANNE BORDEN
JUDY SANFORD GUISE
SHELLY GOLDMAN
MARIA BARD
JORDAN CHRISTIANSEN
ELIZABETH MACLEOD
LESLIE RAYNOR
SUSAN BROCKMAN
JAY PELTZ
JOANNE MOORE
NICK SERANDIS
HELEN KALAU
KIMANI PANTHIER
MS. HARRIS
META MEREDAY
MICHAEL COHEN
LEONARD KRILOV
MICHAEL SEILBACK
ROBERT LEE

LIST OF SPEAKERS (cont'd)
CAROL MESCHKOU
SARAH HENRIS
JYOTI AGVAWAL
KATHERYN UNGER
JESSICA TURNQUIST
LORNA LEWIS
SANA RAOOF
TANYA MOORE
SHETAL SHAH
VANDANA SHARMA
JESSICA VARGHESE
EVE KRIEF
JUAN VIDES
JAMES ERWIN
JACK LEVINE
DAVID FAGAN
RACHEL BOYKAN
SHANI BRUNO
GABRIELA GUZMAN
REGINA WILLIAMS
ISMA CHAUDHRY
MELROSE CORLEY
NADINE BURNETT

LIST OF SPEAKERS (cont'd) LUCAS SANCHEZ . WILLIAM WATSON . . 121 KYLE ROSE-LOUDER . 121 LOUIE MENDEZ . 121 META MEREDAY . MIKE SANTORAMO MS. HARRIS . DOMENICA CALIFANO 130 DEBRA WHEAT WILLIAMS . 135 JOHN PRIEST 134 JACK MCCLOY . 138 MIKE UTTARO 142 KEVIN WALSH . 144 LISANNE ALTMAN . 147 PETER MONTALVO JACK MCCLOY . 158 MS. HARRIS CHRIS CHAFFEE 166 SHARON PERSAUD MICHAEL SETZER . JUDY SANFORD GUISE ANDY PERSICH . 192 RICH GUARDINO 193

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you all for attending 1 2 today's legislative meeting. We are going to start as we always do with a Pledge of Allegiance, after which we will 3 have a moment of silence. But please stand and will 4 5 Legislator Colonel Bill Gaylor please lead us in the 6 pledge. 7 (Pledge of Allegiance recited.) 8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, we're going to have a moment of silence for the victims of the school shooting 9 10 in Santa Fe, Texas. Unfortunately, this is something we're 11 doing way too often. We have moment of silence for the victims, their families and all the students who are 12 13 exposed to that trauma. 14 Okay, we do the roll call, correct? Let's do the 15 roll call. 16 CLERK PULITZER: Thank you Presiding 17 Officer. Roll call. Deputy Presiding Officer, 18 Howard Kopel? 19 CLERK PULITZER: Alternate Deputy 20 Presiding Officer, Denise Ford? 21 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here. CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Siela Bynoe? 22 23 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here. CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Carrie A. 24

25

Solages?

	FOLL LEGISLATORE 5 25 2010
1	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
2	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Debra Mule?
3	LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
4	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator C. William
5	Gaylor III?
6	LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
7	CLERK PULITZER: Thank you. Legislator
8	Vincent Muscarealla?
9	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
10	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Ellen
11	Birnbaum?
12	LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
13	CLERK PULITZER: Thank you. Legislator
14	Delia DeRiggi-Whitton?
15	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
16	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator James
17	Kennedy?
18	LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
19	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
20	McKevitt?
21	LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
22	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura
23	Schaefer?
24	LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
25 l	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John

Ferretti Jr.? 1 LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here. 2 3 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Arnold Drucker? 4 5 LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here. 6 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Rose Marie 7 Walker? 8 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here. 9 CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Joshua 10 Lafazan? 11 LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here. CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Steven 12 13 Rhoads? CLERK PULITZER: Minority Leader Kevan 14 15 Abrahams? MINORITY LEADER ABRAHAMS: Here. 16 17 CLERK PULITZER: Presiding Officer Richard 18 Nicolello? 19 RICHARD NICOLELLO: Here. 20 CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum, sir. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, thank you 21 22 very much. Once again, welcome to our legislative meeting. The order of business is a 30 minute 23 24 public comment period. Followed by the hearings 25 and consideration of the various items on our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

agenda. After we're finished if we have not completed the public comment period, we will go back to public comment. I have a bunch of slips for public comment, some of which relate to the Tobacco 21 legislation which will be considered once we start the business of the Legislature. I'm going to hold the comments on that issue until we have the public hearing on the Tobacco 21 legislation. Aside from that I still have a number of slips. I request that you try to abide by the 3 minute rule as much as possible, so that we can get through as many of these slips as possible before the 30 minutes elapse of public comment. It's 20 after 1 now, so we'll be completing public comment by 10 minutes to 2 and going into the business of the Legislature.

For starters, I would like to call up Jennifer Schlesinger from Secure Our Schools-Nassau County.

JENNIFER SCHLESINGER: I appear here today on behalf of a large and growing group of concerned citizens known as Secure Our Schools-Nassau County. We are here to demand that you our representative pass legislation implementing a School Resource Officer (SRO) program whereby the

Nassau County Police Department will train and employ qualified School Resource Officers who would carry firearms during their duties. These SRO's would be available to any school in Nassau County that opts into the program. Under this program school districts can contract with the Nassau County Police Department to obtain SRO services. Schools are soft, easy targets who want to do harm and gain notoriety. The communities across the nation that have been devastated by the occurrence of school shootings never expected to fall victim to such a heinous crime. It is crucial that we be prepared and proactive in protecting the lives of our most precious and defenseless population, our children.

We have witnessed in recent school shootings that SRO's have prevented fatalities and mitigated losses. Schools in Nassau County deserve the same level of protection afforded to many schools around the country where SRO's are currently in place. In an active shooter situation every moment counts. Each minute that passes until the arrival of local police can mean the loss of a life. With a properly trained SRO on site and immediately respond to and engage an

active shooter and save lives.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As I'm sure you're aware, in March the New York State Senate passed a comprehensive school safety package to improve security and keep students safe. Which includes state funding to school districts outside of New York City to hire SRO's or the option for schools to contract with the state, a county, city, town, or villages for SRO services. We are hopeful that the senate package will be passed by the State Assembly and signed into law by Governor Cuomo. We expect our county legislators to support these measure and to follow their lead on the county level by acting swiftly to establish the infrastructure within the Nassau County Police Department necessary to make SRO's available to Nassau County schools. We believe that the state, county, and school districts can work together to establish and fund an SRO program.

While we strongly support a variety of other school security measures that may be pursued by our government and local school districts it is imperative that each school in Nassau County have the option to obtain the services of an SRO provided by the Nassau County

Police Department, whom shall act as a deterrent and first responder on school grounds.

It is improper for school districts to be charged with the responsibility of hiring and managing private security firms that provide security personnel that will carry firearms in the course of their duties on public school property. The Nassau County Police Department, along with the services they typically provide, must adjust to this new but very real threat of school shootings.

To reiterate we are asking you, our legislators, to introduce and subsequently pass legislation instituting an SRO program through the Nassau County Police Department. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. Did you want to respond?

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Sure. It's not really so much a response. Ms. Schlesinger, I want to thank you for coming down and expressing so well your concerns. I mean, the issue you're talking about is one which every single legislator I'm sure is concerned. Either as a parent or grandparent, an aunt or uncle. You know, the issue of school security is something

that touches each and every one of our lives.

What you're asking for is easy to ask for but

it's very difficult to implement. Both from a

financial standpoint as well as from a personnel

standpoint. Essentially what you're asking for,

to put a resource officer in every school

building, would require us to increase the size

of our police force, probably by about 20 percent

if we were just going to put one resource officer

in each school.

But we are certainly happy to take those concerns- I don't mean to speak for the entire Legislature, but to work with the administration to see if there is some way that we can come up with an adequate solution working with the individual school districts and working with the state. Because I think all three have to be partners in whatever solution there is going to be to this growing problem.

Also there has to be some sort of consensus on the part of the district level, as to whether they would like to opt in to that type of a program if it were offered. The county has the ability to mandate. In other words even if were to have 450 officers that we could assign

today to go into each and every school building in Nassau County, we as a county don't have the right to put them into those buildings. The state has control over education. The state could mandate that the schools through armed security within school buildings, or the individual districts on their own can make that choice. But they have to be partners in the solution and we're happy to work together with them to try and find an adequate solution for that.

What I will do right away is, to the extent, I spoke with Commissioner Ryder specifically about your issue. Nancy Caplan was there, we had our Commissioner's Community Council meeting in Mineola on Monday. So we had an opportunity, the three of us to sit down to address it. What I will ask the commissioner to do is, I'll ask him to the extent that he hasn't already, to make sure that he reaches out to each of the 56 district commissioners to set up a meeting with them so that not only can he review the individual school security package in each of their districts, but that he can specifically address the issue of armed security and what the police department can offer those districts to

compliment the package that they already have.

I will also say that Nassau County has already taken steps in the Rave app which actually has been offered to each and every school district that Rave app reduces the response time by the Nassau County Police Department approximately in half. If there were an active shooter situation it bypasses the 911 operator to get the signal directly to the dispatcher so that you're eliminating the middle man. So our response time, which is typically about 5 minutes, is automatically cut in half. By about 2 and ½, by getting cars on the road faster.

The other component to the Rave app is that not only do you get the signal faster but for those who subscribe to the system, and Pat Ryder would be able to tell you this himself, typically he's here but as you may have heard there's another event going on about 10 miles east of here with the president coming into town, So obviously that takes priority, it allows the police department to access the internal security cameras at whatever building their responding to. So not only do they get the signal faster, but

the responding police officers can see in real time using that building's own cameras what's taking place inside the building to plan a more effective response.

In addition, there 177 patrol cars on posts, patrol cars that are out 24 hours per day. The police commissioner has already ordered that each of those 177 patrol cars make a visit to a school building every day to speak with the administrator of that school and do a walk of the building. What that does is at least familiarizes the police officers on that post with that school building, with the administrators in the building and introduce themselves as a resource, so that they can begin to build a relationship.

But much more needs to be done, I completely agree with you and we're promise that we're going to work together to try and find an effective solution. But unfortunately it's not a quick one.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Alright, we'll move on. What we're going to do is extend the public comment until 2:00. That was a very informative response by Legislator Rhoads and all of us would like to respond, especially when our

constituents come up, but let's let the people get their time to speak so we can get to as many people through this as possible. Next speaker is Pete Gaffney.

PETE GAFFNEY: Good afternoon. My name is Pete Gaffney. I reside in Westbury, Carle Place school district area and just good afternoon to everyone.

What I want to say first is thank you for repaving a one block stretch from Glen Cove Road to Jamaica Boulevard. It makes a big difference.

Now we just have to work on the other five areablocks area on Westbury Avenue just to help out business community.

With that being said, what's happening right now with the third rail project that's going on, we're actually going to have a community meeting— our civic association is having a community meeting tonight. So, if anybody is interested and see what kind of crazy changes that they're doing without telling Nassau County residents— I know everybody is busy but please if you'd like to come it's going to be at 7:30 at Carle Place High School.

Next thing I want to talk about is just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

public safety, two roads in particular. Glen Cove Road and also Old Country Road, in the retail business community section. On Glen Cove Road it was resurfaced, completely redone, approximately two or three years ago. I was corrected. I said that it was two years ago. There's over 50 surface transverse cracks that are on the roadway already. It's already starting to deteriorate. The phrase "see something, say something" I'm going to tell everybody I'd like to get that changed. I'd like to say, "see something do something." So I put a call into public works to see if they would go in and re-patch the road, just because we're already starting to see cracks in the roadway where they're creating pot holes. There's over 50 of them there.

Secondly, Old Country Road, it's a mess, you know? By the way, I know about six weeks ago, our County Executive asked for a detailed listing of all our roads. I wonder how that's going. I haven't heard anything yet.

But anyway, just getting back to Old Country Road, it's a mess. You know, people don't want to come there. The traffic is ridiculous, the road is in absolute bad shape and what's

happening is that's going to affect that whole business community from Roosevelt Field, all the way down to the old Fortunoff Mall, which that whole little area is getting redeveloped. There's going to be an additional approximately about 400,000 square feet of retail space put in there. Well, I mean, these businesses are not going to attract customers if they can't drive there and get there. So, something needs to be done and we need your help to get that taken care of.

And by the way, did you know there's not one "no U-turn signs" on Old Country Road? That's ridiculous. There needs to be something done to just reduce traffic mitigation. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Mr. Gaffney. Robert Robalsky.

ROBERT ROBALSKY: Alright, good
afternoon. Of the three fees that appear on red
light camera tickets issued in Nassau County, I'm
not questioning a \$50 fee that applies to the
vehicle's owner as identified by the license
plate. The driver responsibility and public
safety fees, however, are specifically referred
to the actions of the driver of the vehicle at
the time of the infraction. Apparently, the owner

is assumed to have been the driver. But there's absolutely no evidence in a red-light camera video that identifies the owner as the driver. Both may or may not be the same person.

Nevertheless, the two added fees are imposed upon the owner. Unjustifiably I believe, considering that most vehicles have multiple drivers with legitimate access to them. Nassau County offers no proof or evidence that the owner and driver were the same person by any legal standard. Not by a preponderance of or any available evidence and certainly no beyond a reasonable doubt.

Most tellingly, however, I believe the ticket itself indicates that no points are added to a driver's license for red-light camera violations. Not because of the beneficence of Nassau County, but rather because the driver is not known. This would not be the case of course when an infraction ticketed at the scene by a police officer, the driver then being identified. The ticket on the one hand acknowledges that the driver is not known by not adding points to their license, but then in self-contradiction, imposes a monetary penalty to that same driver's actions

and to a separate party at that, the owner.

Unsubstantiated liability by proxy, how is that justice? A red-light camera video provides only limited evidence and liability. The \$50 fine covers that. The added two fees specifically relate to the actions of an unidentified party. Those fees therefore are improper and unsupportable when blindly assigned to the vehicle's owner in the ticketing process.

Further, these fees require full payment as indicated with no right to challenge the fees on an individual basis. Yet may not even apply to the vehicle's owner, the ticketed party nonetheless.

While Nassau County has the power to adopt and amend local laws relative to fixing, collecting, and imposing fees, should this be allowed to supersede constitutional guarantees or common sense? And can one party legitimately be held liable for infractions committed by someone else? The county attempts to fill its coffers by trampling on the rights of its citizens and has chosen to completely disregard the need for evidence when assigning liability here. That's tyrannical, and it demands remediation. Thank

you.

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Mr.

3 | Robalsky. Joanne Borden. Thank you.

ROBERT ROBALKSY: Excuse me?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Just thank you.

The next speaker is Joanne Borden.

JOANNE BORDEN: Good afternoon. I'm here today, once again to ask you for transgender human rights. I'm not asking you to believe the scholars and scientists that say we are born transgender. I'm not asking you to believe that God created us this way. I'm not asking you to believe that we do not have the power to alter His will. I'm not asking you to believe our brain carries the sex that can vary from our physical appearance. I'm not asking you to give transgender people special treatment.

I am asking you to give us a law that protects us from harmful discrimination. Protects from being fired from our job, evicted from our apartment, refused service in restaurants for no other reason than we are transgender people.

Theodore Roosevelt implied that all men should be treated equally when he said that no man is above the law and no man beneath it.

Abraham Lincoln, the first Republican president, said this nation was dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal. Thomas Jefferson said every human being born on this continent has a right to equal, indeed, identical treatment in the machine of the law. If you think those are two old, Ronald Reagan said, "A legislator's first duty is to protect the people, not run their lives."

So, I am simply asking you to perform your duty and protect us from harmful discrimination like you do for everyone else. My message is not complicated, in fact it is quite simple. By merely adding the definition of the word gender to our law, you will add us to that law. You will be giving us no more, but no less than everyone else has in Nassau County. So, in conclusion, I ask you to pass a transgender human rights law now. Thank you for listening.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Joanne.

Judy Sanford Guise.

JUDY SANFORD GUISE: Hi. I didn't expect to be called so early. I'm Judy Sanford Guise and I live in North Valley Stream and I live on the N1 Route. I know that you are going to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussing at some point today what may be request for not adding funding but facilitating additional funding. And I would suggest that before any additional funding would ever be considered that the not-nice bus company, otherwise known as Nice run by Transdev, be expected to provide services that are close to minimal expectation of what should be a public mass transit system. My secret hope is if that you all would require them to provide minimal service they would go away. Because to me this is a company that any regular fare rider would say to you, if they could afford to be here, that this company is eager to provide the least service possible for the greatest profit. And that does not mean serving us.

I know that supposedly there is an oversight committee. I don't know who is on the oversight committee. I'd like to know, you know, who they are and how they oversight and whether when they meet do they use the not-nice bus system to get there.

Some examples would be, as I said I live on the N1. The N1 doesn't seem to care about whether anyone can work in New York City or not

or get there on time. The first bus that works Monday through Friday is supposed to start at 7:25. That's not enough time to get anybody to the Long Island Railroad in Valley Stream in order to catch a train that will get anybody into New York City early enough to make it to work at the usual 9:00. The 7:25 is the earliest, it doesn't connect with the Long Island Railroad. It may get there at 7:46. The last train from Valley Stream that could get to Manhattan in time leaves at 7:43.

Unless you take a cab, and it seems to me that the- someone says a joke, "the only people benefitting from this bus company is All Island cab and I thought that was so silly until people kept saying it. And then I realized all Long Island- well, I guess at this question: Is the chair of the taxi and limousine commission still the owner of All Island Taxi? Because that might relate to certain other problems we have.

I have some- after my time, well I'm willing to answer my suggestion as to what you can do. We can service- imagine trying to get somewhere and you only have a bus that runs every 90 minutes, but it's running late so you could be

waiting for an hour for a bus and then find out it's going in the opposite direction and you have a 50 minute wait for it to come back so you can get somewhere. So, it's not unusual to go Green Acres to spend your money and increase the revenue of Nassau County and spend three hours waiting for a 20 minute bus ride home. People should not have to live that way.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms.

Guise. If you had recommendations or anything

like that we'll be happy to accept them as well.

JUDY SANFORD GUISE: Yeah, they're not written they're all verbal.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Next speaker is Shelly Goldman.

SHELLY GOLDMAN: Hello. Thank you for allowing me to speak today. I am here to speak on the issue of plastic pollution and more specifically, single-use plastic bag laws that we would like to see enacted.

I am here today as a resident of Nassau County. I live in Merrick. I am here to ask you to consider and pass a bill that will have a huge impact on our environment and save money at the same time. I am here because I know it is the

right thing to do. I am here because I care and because I know it works. A bill that discourages wasteful, harmful single-use plastic bags and at the same time encourages reusable bags. How can that be bad?

We know it's working here in Long Beach and in Seacliff. We know it is working in Suffolk County. It is working in California and in Santa Fe, New Mexico where I have a second home. We cannot wait for our legislators in Albany to pass a bill. We have to do it now. Every day we delay, more plastic ends up in our waters harming our wildlife and as litter in our parks and along roadways.

Need I also mention the excessive cost of disposal. This is not a plot by retailers to make more money as I read one commenter in Newsday stated. I thought it was kind of funny. This is coming from individuals like myself who have educated themselves and understand that we must act. And we can. It is a simple act to change our current culture of waste and to make a huge difference in our communities. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms. Goldman. Maria Bard.

MARIA BARD: Thank you. Good afternoon to all. I have lived in Baldwin for more than 40 years and I work as a scientist a SUNY Medical Center for almost my entire life. Now I am retired. I am here today to support a proposal legislation that will require many a store to charge five cents for disposal of plastic bags. Introduced by my legislator Mule and I would like to take this opportunity to stand here in person.

We have plenty of articles published by the scientific community demonstrating that sea birds, marine mammals, sea turtles, can accidentally eat plastic hard garbage with devastating consequences. There is also growing evidence of microplastic. This is mini particles of greater plastic being eaten by important species at the bottom of the food chains including sea food species such as muscles.

Nowadays many countries have banned or put a tax on the use of plastic bags. Just to say a few: England, Italy, Germany, Denmark, Ireland, China, Cambodia, etcetera. I'm not going to read all of them because that information can be found.

We, the scientific community, I consider

myself to be there, are devoted to improve at different levels the well-being of nature. Human life depends on keeping our oceans clean. The oceans are crowded with an amazing rate of potential food sources. Each plant and animal play its own role in sustaining the world's largest ecosystem. We have an obligation as a society to stop the plastic contamination of the seas. If we want to survive as a species on our planet, it is important to switch to reusable bags making it impossible for any plastic or single-use checkout bag to end up contaminating our ecosystem. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms. Bard. Jordan Christiansen.

JORDAN CHRISTIANSEN: Hi, I'm Jordan Christiansen. I'm with Citizens Campaign for the Environment. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. I am on the same topic as the previous two speakers. So, we're here to support the bring-your-own-bag bill, the five cents fee on plastic and paper bags, and what we're really here to do is urge you to stop stalling the bill and let it have a public hearing and let it have a vote. We've seen this bill be successful in

Suffolk County. I'm going to be giving you some survey results of a survey we did around Earth Day. And the results are huge. We're seeing plastic bag use go from 70 percent to 30 percent. We are seeing reusable bag use up to 43 percent from 5 percent. We're seeing this lobby successful in Long Beach and Seacliff and all over the country. And more and more we're just seeing people really interested in this topic.

What we don't want to do is be, you know, playing a game of hide and seek with a critical piece of legislation. People care about this, they understand that plastic pollution is a huge issue. If we don't do anything by 2050 we're going to have more plastic in our oceans than fish.

At here in Long Island, we rely on the health of our waterways, we rely on our fisheries, we rely on the tourism and recreation from the estuaries in our bays. And all of those things are in perils and we can't just be sitting and stalling and sitting in our hands. What we need to be doing is at the very least having a public discussion. This is a democracy. We shouldn't let these things die in the dark. And

we're not asking you to universally support it.

We're not asking you to have absolutely no
concerns about it. What we really want to do is
just have a conversation about it because we know
that this is on the minds of a lot of your
constituents. It's on the minds of, you know, not
only Nassau County residents, but residents all
over the state. And the conversation is happening
everywhere else and we need it start happening
here.

So, again, just urging you guys to have a public hearing and vote on this. And we would love to discuss it with you more. But if we're a democracy we should be allowed to talk about an issue and be allowed to talk about it on the record. Thanks.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms. Christiansen. Elizabeth MaCleod.

ELIZABETH MACLEOD: Good afternoon. My name is Elizabeth MaCleod. I'm from Long Beach, New York and in support of the passing of the single-use plastic fee bill. I'm a volunteer with the Surfrider organization, central Long Island chapter, a student at Columbia University, and a

lifelong environmental advocate and activist.

It is time for Nassau County to take a stand in minimizing single-use bags. Similar bills have been successful in Long Beach, Seacliff, Suffolk County in reducing waste and single-use plastic bags, increasing awareness in the community. A study San Jose, California, a 2011 ban instituted there has led to plastic litter reduction of approximately 89 percent in the storm drain system, 60 percent in creeks and rivers and 59 percent in streets and neighborhoods.

A plastic bag tax was also implemented in Ireland in 2002 and has reportedly led to a 95 percent reduction in their litter from plastic bags.

The majority of single-use plastic bags are made from polypropylene, a material that is made from petroleum natural gas. Continuing to use these non-renewable resources to make plastic bags is short-sighted. Using our fossil fuel endowment to make something that has a life span of approximately 12 minutes and pollutes indefinitely is illogical, particularly when there is a ready and simple alternative- the

reusable bag.

Plastic bags and their associated plastic pieces are often mistaken for food by animals, birds, and marine life like fish and sea turtles. The consumed plastic then congests the digestive tracts in these animals and can lead to health issues such as infections and in death by suffocation. Animals can also easily be entangled in this plastic. These plastic fragments in the ocean such as those plastic bags can absorb pollutants. When marine organisms consume plastics in our oceans these chemicals can make their way through the ocean's food web and ultimately into humans who eat fish and other marine organisms.

I believe that Nassau County is ready to rise above the single-use plastics to protect our waterways and beautiful coasts to combat this area's human health issues that single-use plastics expose, to end the death and injury of marine life, and to stop wasting precious resources. Thank you for your time and let's be a part of the change to improve the environment for our future generations. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms.
MaCleod. Leslie Raynor.

is Leslie Raynor. I'm from Glen Head, New York and I'm here representing two environmental advocacy groups, All Our Energy and the Coalition to Save Hempstead Harbor. I'm here also to speak in support of the fee on single-use bags. As many have said, this fee has gone into effect not only in parts of Long Island very successfully, parts of the USA, but many countries all over the world. In Scotland, the Scottish Parliament passed a similar law in October 2014 and within one year the number of plastic bags given out had been slashed by 80 percent.

Anybody who reads the news or social media, or magazines knows that right now plastic pollution is really gaining momentum. The issue is on everybody's minds. And this kind of a proposal is almost low hanging fruit. There are so many things we don't know how to solve.

Styrofoam is an issue, plastic cutlery, take-out containers are all more complicated. But this is a way we can have a big impact in a relatively easy manner.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's easier for the merchants. They don't have to purchase bags, they don't have to store bags, and in the meantime those bags will not be produced which causes more pollution using fossil fuels, transporting them using more fossil fuels. So, I urge you to put this bill up for a vote and allow more public comment on it. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms. Raynor. Susan Brockman.

SUSAN BROCKMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Susan Brockman. I live in Lynbrook, New York and I too am here to speak on the issue of single-use plastic. I want to share with you a visual. I don't know if you've seen the latest issue of National Geographic Magazine, it's a pretty frightening, horrifying image, but as Ms. Raynor just pointed out, it brings to our attention it is an issue that is on everyone's minds. It's in many publications that you can access and I've been speaking with some of the legislators about this issue recently. And I understand that many of you are concerned- you understand that pollution is bad. I think we can all agree on that. You think something should be done, that is something you would all agree with.

But I get the sense that there is some concern that there might be push back and some loud grumbling from your constituents that this is something that feels like attacks.

We want to be really clear about the legislation that Debra Mule has proposed. It's a fee, it is a fee that is has kept by the store owner. So, we can easily tell folks it's not a tax. It's not something that's going to your coffers of the government.

But more importantly I want you to know there are a couple of excellent surveys in Long Island Business News poll on May 16th, a poll of 250 respondents, 62 percent of the respondents said that they agree there should be a ban or a fee on plastic bags.

And also there is an online poll- an ongoing online poll that is Nassau County Suggestion Box. I don't know if you've ever heard of it. It's a great way to see what folks are thinking about and currently there are over 1,300 responses there to the question, "Would you support Nassau County placing a five cent fee on plastic bags?" 51 percent of respondents said yes, they would support that.

Again, I know your concern is what your constituents would be comfortable with, and I think you can see from these numbers that there is support.

I also have something from- a quote from a merchant, which I think might be helpful to you. Joseph Brown, who is Senior Vice President and Chief Merchandising Officer at King Kullen, said, "We run reports showing how many plastic bags have been shipped to the stores compared to how many were shipped prior to the new bag fee on paper and plastic in Suffolk County. The bill has worked extremely well in reducing bag usage. We have experienced a 75 percent decline. The Suffolk bill should be a model for this state as opposed to the bill recently announced in Albany. A plastic ban without a fee for paper is not nearly as effective as the Suffolk bill."

So, I hope as other speakers have said that you'll allow this topic to come for further conversation where there is a number of us who are really happy to share more data, more surveys, more information with you so that you can be comfortable with your decision. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Brockman. Next speaker is Jay Peltz.

JAY PETLZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. My name is Jay Peltz and I'm the general counsel and Senior Vice President of government relations with the Food Industry Alliance of New York. The Food Industry Alliance does government relations on behalf of grocery, drug and convenient stores throughout the state.

We support legislation introduced by Legislator Mule, which would require a minimum five cent fee on distribution of plastic and paper bags. Thank you, Legislator Mule, for your thoughtful leadership on this issue. Modeled on a similar Suffolk County Law which went into effect on January 1 of this year, the Suffolk County law has worked very well. To date plastic bag distribution is down over 70 percent in the county. Paper bag distribution is down as well. It is very important. Paper is worse for the environment than plastic. Often with a plastic bag ban, you get the unintended consequence of a surge in paper bag use. That is not happening in Suffolk. Paper bag use is way down. Reusable bag use is way up. And very importantly, the law being implemented collaboratively by

representatives of environmental groups, organized labor, the retail food industry, the county Legislature and county health department all serve together on a plastic bag working group that assists in education and outreach effort as well as assessing the impacts of the law. With that kind of collaboration between the public and private sectors you can't go wrong.

So, we look forward to working with government stakeholders on moving this legislation along and we'd be happy to answer any questions you might have. Thank you for your time.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Mr. Peltz. Joanne Moore.

JOANNE MOORE: Joanne Moore and I am from Long Beach. I am a volunteer with All Our Energy, which is a non-profit 501k, 3 organization. Our specialty is community outreach for the environment. We were responsible for the law which passed in Long Beach last year and also for work with Seacliff to pass their law this year.

As a resident of Long Beach, I have

noticed there is less plastic bags laying around. I spoke with Russ Greene a couple months after it was passed last year. He was the manager of Stop and Shop. He told me- informally he told me they were down 75 percent. I've spoken with the person in a CVS store in Long Beach who is behind the pharmacy counter when I was picking up medication and she told me they hardly use any plastic bags anymore in that section of the store.

I'm really hopeful that the Nassau County
Legislature will act on some bill to reduce
plastic bag usage in Nassau County. I know you
might say I or my spouse, we bring our own
reusable bag or I reuse my own plastic bags.
Anyway, what I tell people who say that to me is
we wouldn't need to be here if everybody did
that. Too many people do not do that. You may say
I don't want to tell others what to do or I don't
want to tell merchants to charge for a previously
free bag. I tell you that people will not change
their habits unless you take action.

And, of course we all know what the hidden costs of free bags are. Sorry my voice is shaking. You know, just the charge for the free plastic bag, the hidden costs include plastic bag

clean up, clearing up blocked sewer drains, result in flooding. The cost of reducing the single-use plastic bags from fossil fuels are in case paper from trees has huge costs to the environment. It uses a lot of water also. These bags are used for a short time and thrown away. I want to tell you that All Our Energy is very passionate about reducing plastic bags, carry-out bags in general. I want to tell you that there is life after a carry-out bag law is passed. Just ask Suffolk County, just ask Long Beach, just ask Seacliff. Happiness is evidence and happiness quotient has survived in those communities. We will work with you. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms.

Moore. That will be the last speaker for now. We do have a number of other slips and we will be opening it up to public comment after the full Legislature. But we do have items of business and there are people here for those items that-especially with respect to the Tobacco 21, we have a number of speakers on that issue as well. What I'm going to do first-actually the first three things I'm going to do right now is I'm going to call a consent calendar, which are items

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that are agreed that went through committee that both sides have agreed can move along that occurred- had that hearing, through committees. There is consent between both sides on these items. I will then call the item with respect to the academy and then the consent, and then the Tobacco 21. So, I'll move this along as quickly as I can.

Item 10, ordinance number 41, item 11, ordinance 42, 12, ordinance 43, item 14 ordinance 44, item 14 ordinance 45, item 15 ordinance 60. Item 15 is resolution 60. Item 16 is resolution number 61. Item 17 is resolution 62. Item 18 is resolution number 63. Item 19 is resolution 64. Item 20 resolution 65. 21 resolution 66. 22 resolution 67. 23 resolution 68. 24 resolution 69. Item 40 resolution 85. Item 41 resolution 86. Item 42 resolution 87. Item 43 resolution 88. Item 44 resolution 89. Item 45 resolution 90. Item 46 resolution 91. Item 47 resolution 92. Item 48 resolution 93. Item 49 resolution 94. Item 50 resolution 95. Item 51 resolution 96. Item 52 resolution 97. Item 53 resolution 98. Item 54 resolution 99.

Legislator Ford moves those items

seconded by Legislator Bynoe that is before the Legislature. Before I make the motion, any discussion or public comment all in favor signify by saying aye. Aye.

(Aye.)

All those opposed?

(No verbal response.)

The consent items pass unanimously.

I am now going to jump to item 9 ordinance 40. Before I even call that I'm going to call that Legislator Schaefer is recusing herself, she is leaving the chamber as I speak. She will not be voting on this item- will not participate in the debate or discussion.

ordinance pursuant making certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality
Review Act in authorizing the County Executive to execute an amendment to consent to an assignment of a lease between the county of Nassau as landlord and Belzona Molecular Inc. as tenant on the premises located at 100 Charles Lindbergh Boulevard.

Do we have a motion? Move by Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator Mule. That is

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

before the Legislature. Do we have a presentation on that, correct? I just want to say few-

NICK SERANDIS: Nick Serandis, Deputy County Attorney. This matter concerns the assignment of a lease which the county has in the Uniondale School District. It is proposed that the lease be assigned by the current tenant to the Academy Charter School for us as a charter school within the confines of the Uniondale School District under the terms that the original lease with the county dating back to the 1980's, the lease cannot be assigned to an entity that may become tax exempt. Which the Academy Charter School would be tax exempt. Therefore, we will have an application to amend that portion of the lease to permit the assignment of the underlying lease to the Academy Charter School for use as the charter school and only for that not-forprofit use at this time.

In response to some questions that came up at the committee meeting, I did contact the Department of Assessment to indicate that any loss in tax revenue from the reduction from the removal of this property will be primarily affected to other four properties, which is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commercial, and they can land within the district with minimal effect upon class one the residential, class two, the condos and coops, as well as class three. But because of the volume of class four property within the Uniondale School District, which include both the remainder of the Mitchell Field leases and other properties, the overall effect on the taxpayers would be not significant. It's about \$380,000 worth of school tax on the site. Will advise that a portion of the premises, about 20 percent, will remain on the tax roll and for a couple of years for use of current tenants who are not not-for-profit tenants. And at that point, so that the- there wouldn't be 100 percent- there will probably only be 80 percent removal of tax. Probably reduce the school tax removal to about \$300,00 other than the \$370,000 that's currently in the district. And it will also provide for us to consent to this assignment as well as the amendment of the lease. The attorney for the charter school is in the chamber if you have any questions-LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you Mr. Serandis. I appreciate you getting back to us. So

the public knows, we asked many questions to Mr.

Serandis at the committee meeting and I just want 1 2 to ask if the Chair can incorporate those questions and those responses into this full 3 meeting. But I want to thank you for coming back 4 to us with sufficient answers to our questions. 5 6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, do we-7 anyone else want to speak on this side? 8 Is there anyone here from the Academy 9 Charter School here who would like to speak, or 10 no? 11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We're good? 12 Alright. Okay. 13 HELEN KALAU: Good afternoon 14 Legislators. 15 KIMANI PONTHIER: And thank you for the 16 opportunity to speak to you today. 17 HELEN KALAU: My name is Helen Kalau. 18 KIMANI PONTHIER: And my name is Kimani 19 Ponthier. 20 HELEN KALAU: Everyday adults make speeches about our generation. They talk about 21 issues like education and how we use our 22 23 smartphones. They talk about whether we are going

to driverless cars and dependent artificial

intelligence. Or whether or not we're going to

24

25

1 stay out here on Long Island because of how 2 expensive it is here.

KIMANI PONTHIER: Our generation is a topic of a lot of discussion amongst adults.

HELEN KALAU: Well...

KIMANI PONTHIER: Here we are.

HELEN KALAU: Representatives of that generation.

KIMANI PONTHIER: We are here to let you know that these representatives are really just focused on one thing.

HELEN KALAU: Our education. We are not here to talk about any other school or to compare them to our school. But we know that for us that Academy Charter School has allowed us opportunity to succeed and is preparing us for college. We also believe that other kids like us deserve a chance to be at our school.

KIMANI PONTHIER: Our parents and teachers know that without an education and an understanding of the world around you, there is no future.

HELEN KALAU: That is what the Academy has built. A school that is dedicated to one purpose - educating people like us and those

1 students who are from younger grades.

KIMANI PONTHIER: The academy does more than teach History, Science, Math, and English.

HELEN KALAU: They teach respect. Self-respect. Respect for others and respect for education.

KIMANI PONTHIER: You see this in classrooms, in the hallways.

HELEN KALAU: And in how people talk to each other.

KIMANI PONTHIER: We have administrators and advisors here this morning who can attest to why building a new school will enable the Academy to reach even more students than just me.

HELEN KALAU: Or even me.

KIMANI PONTHIER: Or the rest of us in this hall. We are here to talk about what this organization and building means to us. This request by our school isn't just about a building, or some paperwork or a contract on your desk.

HELEN KALAU: It's about us.

KIMANI PONTHIER: On behalf of students like us, we as that you approve our school's request that will continually enable them to

create world-class competitive scholars who will 1 2 learn today, lead tomorrow, and serve in the future. 3 HELEN KALAU: As Academy stars we pledge 4 5 to be here every day on time. 6 KIMANI PONTHIER: We will keep ourselves 7 and others safe. 8 HELEN KALAU: We will strive to have a 9 positive attitude. 10 KIMANI PONTHIER: We will always try to 11 do and be our best. 12 HELEN KALAU: We respect ourselves and 13 others. 14 KIMANI PONTHIER: We will accept 15 responsibility for our actions. HELEN KALAU & KIMANI PONTHIER: Today we 16 17 will learn, tomorrow we will lead and serve. 18 Thank you. 19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you very 20 much for that very professional presentation. 21 Legislator Bynoe. 22 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you Presiding Officer. Good afternoon. I feel as though I need 23 24 to spend a couple of minutes explaining my vote

that I will move within a couple of minutes.

25

Young people from the Academy, I want you to know that my vote will not reflect my commitment to you. My vote today will reflect my labor to the public-school system. I am a former public-school board member, and while I respect the fact that we might need alternatives to serve in public-school districts and systems, I do believe that the state government has a responsibility to fund charter schools separate and apart from the public-school operating budget. I believe that the Academy is doing an admirable job in educating you. It's evidence by your presentation today and by my visit to your school to see the environment in which you are able to excel in.

Unfortunately, today, based on the fact that I have labored in the effort of public school education, and I feel that the state must find a different way to fund charter schools. I will have to pose my vote as a no. But it is not against you. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you

Legislator Bynoe. Any other public comment?

PATTY HARRIS: Good afternoon. My name
is MS. Harris. I am a resident of Uniondale. I

commend that the charter school is coming to

Uniondale, but there are questions that need to be answered. As far as I know that the charter schools have introduced a lot of the parents who are within the school. But outside of the school, a lot of the residents did not know about it and we were not informed. So, what I would like to do is to ask the people from the charter school to come to a Nostrand Gardens meeting and to sit with the community and ask could they speak to us and let us know more about the school. We're not opposing the change of adding the school to the district because that's parents' choice. But what we are asking is how we are going to merge together because that is taxpayers' money that is being spent.

Now the location of the school, this is the first time we're hearing about this. Even our legislators hadn't let us know about it. Or our town has not let us know. There is not enough information coming to the public for Uniondale to know about this. And I know outside districts are welcome to the school.

But as far as I'm concerned, I'm here about Uniondale because it's coming out of our taxpaying money. Now I'm all for parents to have

a choice. We don't even know exactly what grade, we don't even know exactly what the building is like. We don't even know how they're accepting the students. Because if you're accepting students outside of the public school, everybody should have a chance. We don't even know anything about it. So, I ask the charter school to please contact—they can come get a card from me, so we can talk about the charter school.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority Leader Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Ms. Harris? If you don't mind, thank you. A couple things. First, I think your request for the charter school to come meet with you and meet at the (inaudible)

Association is completely appropriate and acceptable and they should do so. Second, this body is not overseeing the approval of the charter application.

MS. HARRIS: We're not either.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm sorry?

MS. HARRIS: We accept that it's going to be in the district because we know it's a done deal anyway. All I'm asking is-

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But if I may-

1 okay.

MS. HARRIS: -the lack of the
Legislators not letting us know that this was
happening. The Legislators, boards, everybody-

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's what I'm trying to tell you. I'm trying to explain to you the process, if you give me a chance-

MS. HARRIS: Go ahead.

you the process. This is a State approval. It goes through the State Department of Education.

The State Department of Education granted the charter for Academy Charter to establish a charter school in Uniondale. What we are overseeing is there's a property that had a land lease that the county operates, that the school wants to occupy the property. Not the approval of the charter, not the approval of the lottery system to select students. That is all done by the State Department of Education.

Now to be fair to the charter school, this was all very well publicized. It was in Newsday, I saw it in October. The application went in earlier last year. This has been well-known, based on my conversations with Uniondale

school district people, they have known about it.

MS. HARRIS: That's the school district people. That's not the community.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But the school district people are represented by the community. The school board is voted in by the community. Are they not?

MS. HARRIS: Honestly, the school board is right on that list where they're not letting us know either.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. So, that being said, this has been all well-publicized throughout Newsday, throughout local periodicals, throughout papers, throughout social media. It's not uncommon nor is it new news that it's coming up now.

MS. HARRIS: Okay, let me ask you this. Is it knowledgeable to know where it was supposed to be located? Because as I was to understand, everybody went to the district, the board. I went to Dr. Lloyd, I went to the school board and nobody knew exactly where it was going to be at.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The exact location was probably determined several months ago. But the exact location to some degree is a moot

point. The issue is that it's going to be in the 1 Uniondale School District. 2 MS. HARRIS: We know that. Yeah, we know 3 that. 4 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So, whether it's 5 6 on Charles Lindbergh or it's on Uniondale Avenue, 7 as long as they meet the criteria for 8 establishing a Department of Education facility, 9 that the issue doesn't really make a difference 10 of what exactly the location it will be. Unless 11 you find a point of why, that's an irrelevant 12 point. 13 MS. HARRIS: No, no, I know. I just find 14 that it's a lack of communication to the 15 community that lives within Uniondale. That's what I find. I'm all for-16 17 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But Ms. Harris, 18 let me just be clear-19 MS. HARRIS: -I'm all for the charter 20 school to come-21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But there's no lack of communication because as I said before, 22 23 this has been well-publicized. 24 MS. HARRIS: Well, let me say this to 25 you. I have been to many, many, many meetings

with the school district, the board meetings, 1 2 everything. They're acting like they're oblivious too. Now, they're acting that way because they 3 did not want to know because they want the money 4 5 out of our taxes. We understand that. But I 6 believe that when we ask questions to- I'm sure 7 they have asked questions to you- and I was told 8 they asked you. I was told that Dr. Lloyd has 9 spoken to you personally and stated words about 10 the charter school and he said you said nothing. LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm sorry, so he 11 12 said I said nothing? MS. HARRIS: Yes, yes. I spoke- yes, Dr. 13 14 Lloyd has mentioned that in his meeting at the 15 last school board meeting. LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm not even 16 17 following the question. 18 MS. HARRIS: He states that he didn't 19 know anything about it and he spoke to you about

it and he said that you said you knew nothing about it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I knew nothing about what?

MS. HARRIS: About the charter school coming to Uniondale.

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Of course I knew about the charter school coming to Uniondale.

MS. HARRIS: Listen-

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Ms. Harris, we are spinning around in circles. The charter school, it's been publicized in Newsday. Newsday publicized that the charter school was coming to Uniondale back in October. This has been wellknown since early 2017. Now, if folks didn't see those articles in the newspaper, okay that's fair, maybe not everybody reads Newsday. But it's been in social media, it's been talked about, it's been everywhere. So, so I think, I think everybody knew that the charter school was coming. I mean there's no way that the Department of Education could approve the application and then suddenly the school miraculously appears in fall of 2018. This goes through a process. There's a very lengthy process that it goes through. So-

MS. HARRIS: Well, honestly. Most of the community- most of the people that I spoke to in Uniondale's community stated that they just found out within a year. Within a year. And-

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But you just said

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people don't know about communication. You said 2 people didn't know.

MS. HARRIS: No, we found out when we asked questions and they kept saying, "No, there's nothing coming here." Now since the school bond has passed and since the budget has not passed they have been talking about it now because they're stating all this money is coming out of there.

> LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.

MS. HARRIS: All we're asking for is the charter school to come and sit down with Nostrand Gardens and have a community forum.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's a great idea.

MS. HARRIS: We're welcoming the school. We are. We're welcoming it, because that's a good choice to have another chance.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's a great idea. Absolutely. That's a great idea. So the folks in the charter school, Mr. Weinhardt is nodding his head. I suggest maybe right after you get the opportunity to speak, meet Mr. Norton as well as folks from the Academy Charter School. I think that's a great idea.

MS. HARRIS: Can I ask how many students 1 2 are going to be in the school to start off with? LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Ms. Harris you're 3 asking questions that this body has no 4 responsibility nor do we have any control over. 5 6 Those are questions that should have been posed 7 to the New York State Department of Education. Or 8 quite frankly, to your state representatives that 9 represent you as it pertains to the State 10 Department of Education. These issues and those 11 concerns, they've all been vetted, and all those 12 concerns have already been established. So, I 13 think the more appropriate time to have done that 14 was when those applications came up before that body. This body does not oversee the lottery 15 16 system, the charter school approvals, nothing of 17 that magnitude. This body is considering that 18 land lease that is controlled by the county and 19 whether or not to go forward with it. That's it. 20 Whether that land lease was going to go to a gas station or a charter school, we would do the same 21 22 consideration. But I think your questions are more directed at this time to the Academy Charter 23 24 school, rather than directing them to this body.

MS. HARRIS: Okay. This building that is

25

1 | up for lease, what was it before?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It was, I believe it's a building that had a lab corp companies in it.

MS. HARRIS: Okay, thank you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You're welcome.

Ms. Harris? You want to meet with those folks?

They're right there.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, I take itMs. Mereday? You have a comment?

META MEREDAY: I sure do. Meta Mereday, Baldwin Resident. My concern following the presentation has to do with the fiscal impact considering that is in an area that is under a lot of scrutiny. I do commend Legislator Bynoe for sharing with the public because too much seems to be happening in the county behind the scenes and it gets a little interesting when you have to either read about what is going on in the county through Newsday or find out who's been arrested in the county through Newsday. But we need to get a better handle on how the Legislators who are elected are representing and communicating to the residents. I didn't elect the writers, the editors the journalists that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

work for Newsday. The taxpayers who are leaving this county in droves elected all of you. So, if we don't hear from you, directly from you, if you're not attending our community meetings and you're not interacting with us at a regular basis, please don't wonder why you do not get reelected. As been evidenced across the country, and don't think that Long Island is isolated in that mindset.

During the presentation it was referenced that 20 percent of the land I guess would remain on the tax rolls for potentially profit based entities. Who would be responsible for doing that outreach? Because again, this is what I've also heard, and I hear quite well, even though I'm going through expansive pain in my back which was definitely exacerbated today trying to come over here and I hit what must have been a crater on Meadow Avenue near Washington Street, that shot pain all through my 911 responder, spinal degeneration body here, what is the fiscal impact? How are we going to get resources that can benefit our veterans who are leaving in droves? Our seniors who are living in fear? Our young people who can't get jobs during the course

1 of the day?

And I- since for the record, and I'm taking nothing away from charter schools, private schools or whatever. I stand here proudly as a graduate of the Roosevelt School District public schools. If we also support charter schools we need to give as much emphasis and as much support to our public schools since our school taxes are over two-thirds of the taxes that the second highest property tax payers in the country, those of us in Nassau County have to pay.

So, I think, and again, we need to start stepping back and looking at all these deals that we're cutting.

That we're always saying, "This is a one-time operation," because it doesn't seem to be a one-time operation when someone else in this county is arrested for something that has to do with corruption, cronyism, patronage or nepotism.

Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other public comment? Hearing none, I'm going to call for a vote on this. All in favor signify by saying aye. Aye.

(Aye.)

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, Siela?
Siela is a nay. Ellen, okay. Alright so, we have
Delia and Siela as no's. We have Ellen, Arnie,
and Josh as abstentions, and Debra. Okay. So, we
have eleven votes for the majority, we have two
votes in the negative, and have what five? Five
votes in the abstentions, right? Did I get that
right? Oh, it's four? Okay. I'm sorry. So, we
have twelve votes for, two votes against.

Okay, let's go back and make sure we have this correct on the record. Okay we have- who's voting yes on the minority side? Okay, that brings us to a total of twelve. We have two no votes, correct? And then we have four abstentions. Okay, thank you very much that item passes.

For any of our visitors from the Academy, we invite you to stay and watch our proceedings, but we will not be offended if you felt you needed to get back to your schools.

Item 1 is a hearing on a proposed local law. It's a local law to amend a Nassau County administrative code in relation to prohibiting the sale of age restricted products to individuals under the age of 21.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moved by Legislator Drucker, seconded by Legislator Kennedy. That item is before us. I have a number of slips, so what we are going to do is go right to the public comment on this item. Michael Cohen will be the first speaker.

Thank you very much for MICHAEL COHEN: the opportunity to speak. I attend here and speak here in three roles, one as a parent, one as a member and president of the Syosset School Board. I am not representing the views of the Syosset School Board in any manner as we have not discussed this matter as a board yet. Obviously, we are here to strip regulations within the schools regarding smoking. But finally, as a physician, as a Vice Chairman of Anesthesiology Cardiothoracic Anesthesia at St. Francis and Good Samaritan Hospital for 32 years now. While you'll be hearing from some pediatricians, pulmonology specialists, and scientists who have very real data on these matters. Probably both medical, scientific lab and social. I can speak as someone who sees every day with my eyes, hands, the ravages of the effects of long-term smoking. I'm not seeing teenagers. I'm seeing 40 year-olds, 50 year-olds, 60 year-olds, 70 year-olds. And it is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an absolute curse. Nobody should smoke. Whatever genetic predispositions we all have, there is no doubt in my mind, as I'm sure you'll hear later, that cigarette smoking just accelerates it beyond the imagination. When I see, and this is on a personal level, when I see a 15 year-old kid, a 13 year-old kid, a 20 year-old kid - a young adult, and particularly women and I think about all the issues relating to breast cancer, now I believe lung cancer is the second leading cause of death amongst women. I wish I could just grab them and bring them up to an ICU or to an openheart room and- or a vascular surgical room and see what happens because of smoking. People's lives are just turned upside down in the medical sense.

And finally, for teenagers, they're making these just incredibly naïve decisions, probably mostly for social pressures, I don't know all the rationale. But if they could only see what life becomes after a thirty or forty-year, fifty-year pack per year history of smoking. When someone literally- we see people in the malls walking around with oxygen cannisters and they barely can breathe, having lung cancer

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and a piece of your lung chopped out. That's the easy way out. But if becoming an emphysematous or a chronic bronchitic and living for 20 and 30 years basically home-bound and bed-bound, that's the ultimate curse of this. And I wish these students, these young people could get a sense of that before they try. I thank you for your time.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Dr. Cohen. Leonard Krilov.

LEONARD KRILOV: Good afternoon and thank you for the opportunity to address the Legislature. As mentioned my name is Leonard Krilov and I guess I am the first of the battery of pediatricians to address you on this topic. I am the Chairman of Pediatrics at the Children's Medical Center at NYU Winthrop Hospital, just across the street. And as a pediatrician and a parent here in Nassau County, I urge you to support this measure raising the age of tobacco purchase to 21 years. The adverse health impact, loss of life, and work ability from smoking in the United States is well documented. This cost is born both by the individual, but also by society from decreased productivity, increased burden and cost to the health care system.

The strongest argument in my mind for 1 2 raising this age of purchase of tobacco products in any form to 21 years of age is the observation 3 that if one does not become addicted to nicotine 4 before the age of 21, they are unlikely to ever 5 6 become addicted or use nicotine products. 7 Teenagers are uniquely susceptible to the 8 addictive nature of nicotine as their nervous 9 system connections are still developing through 10 adolescence. And the impulsiveness seen in these 11 ages, which has been documented neurologically on medical scans as well as of from obvious 12 observations that those of us who have been 13 14 through teenage years can attest to, keeps them 15 from appreciating a long-term consequence of any 16 short-term effects or pleasure they may get from 17 trying something as a tobacco product. 18 Additionally, younger teens and children often 19 live in the same household as those in the 18 to 20 21 year-old age range and can be exposed to the 21 dangers of secondhand smoke.

Furthermore, younger teens who begin smoking at even younger ages at 12 to 15 years of age, have the highest rates of remaining smokers. And where do they get their cigarettes? Often

22

23

24

25

from the older friend from the 18, 19 year-old who would go purchase it for them.

So, I hope with all these observations and the data you will further hear that you will see the wisdom of this legislation and support raising the age for all tobacco products to 21 years of age. If we can't abolish them, it's a good start. So, thank you for this opportunity to advocate for this legislation and for your time.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Dr.. Michael Seilback.

MICHAEL SEILBACK: Good afternoon. My name is Michael Seilback, and I'm the National Assistant Vice President for State Public Policy for the American Lung Association. As I testified before the committee weeks ago, I am happy to be back here talking about Tobacco 21. We started talking about this issue back in 2014 with Legislator Judy Jacobs passionately discussing this issue in the first hand heart-ache she endured watching her husband Sidney lose his life after many years of smoking. Since that time, Tobacco 21 has made a lot of progress. We've seen five states and Washington D.C. pass the law. We've seen 20 localities in New York State pass

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the law. We knew that Nassau County could be the $21^{\rm st}$ county passing Tobacco 21 and I know that sometimes all of you guys like using some of those phrases so 21 and 21, I like it.

More than 13 million New York State residents are covered by Tobacco 21 laws including some in the villages right here in Nassau. But all of Nassau County residents deserve this protection. You've heard data about preventing those from ever starting to smoke. You've heard data about social sources of tobacco and how youth get them from older friends. We know that especially with the increasing use of e-cigarettes and the use of Juuls, that our youth are using tobacco products at an alarming rate. We've done a great job of reducing tobacco rates and now because of e-cigarettes, we're starting to see that increase. And we are very anxious that this increased use of not only e-cigarettes will also lead, as we are starting to see, to traditional cigarettes as well.

A 2015 report from the National Academy of Medicine found that raising the minimum age for the sale of tobacco products to 21 would significantly reduce smoking rates. In fact, it

said that it can prevent about 223,000 deaths among people born from 2000 to 2019, including 50,000 fewer dying from lung cancer. They found that tobacco use would decrease by 12 percent by the time today's teenagers were adults if the minimum age of sale was increased to 21 years old.

The other good thing about this is the public supports it overwhelmingly. A CDC study found that 75 percent of adults, including adult smokers, supported increasing the sales age to 21. And just last month a poll here in New York showed that 72 percent of New Yorkers support passing a state law to increase the sale age. And again, that also included smokers.

We know that the tobacco industry is going to continue pedaling their deadly products to our youth, and we need all of you to stand up for your youth and prevent another generation of dying from these deadly products. Thanks a lot.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Just one thing in response to- this covers not only tobacco products, but does cover e-cigarettes, vapes, vaping products, Juuls, etc. So, that's covered within this legislation. Robert Lee.

ROBERT LEE: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. My name is Robert Lee. I'm both a resident and I work in Nassau County. As a pediatrician, I'm very concerned about the increase in tobacco products use amongst teenagers. It's not just tobacco smoke, we're talking about e-cigarettes, vaping, Juuls- these are more and more of a concern in their schools. And as a pediatrician caring for kids and teenagers it is a concern for me because by raising tobacco to 21 years of age we are able to prevent many of these teenagers from being addicted to nicotine at an earlier age. And what signs tell us is these kids are very susceptible to the effects of nicotine at an earlier age.

Furthermore, I am very concerned that cigarette use has tripled in Nassau County since 2011. Teenagers begin to smoke between the ages of 12 to 15 and they are usually obtaining these cigarettes from older friends, siblings in school. So, I really want to urge the legislators to really support and vote for tobacco 21. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Dr. Lee. Carol Meschkou.

Leader Nicolello and esteemed Nassau County
Legislators. In the wave of reintroduction, I'm
Carol Meschkou, Manager for the Tobacco Action
Coalition. TAC is one of 25 community grants
funded by our New York State Department of
Health, Tobacco Control Program. Our fiscal agent
is the Lung Association, whom you've heard from a
few minutes ago. I had the pleasure to speak
earlier at the Rules Committee to Legislator
Drucker and his press conference and prior with
Judy Jacobs on this topic. As you've had many
other speakers, I'm going to stick to a few
salient points and I'm just going to randomly
read off and I hope we don't cover them.

Alarmingly the Surgeon General calls smoking a pediatric epidemic. Traditionally, our impressionable youth is often referred to by the tobacco lobby as replacement smokers.

Approximately 96 percent of all smokers began before the age of 21, that's almost case in point. In a statement from the FDA Commissioner, Scott Gottlieb, M.D. on new enforcement actions and the youth tobacco prevention plan to stop youth access of Juuls and other e-cigarettes he

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, "Protecting our nation's youth from the dangers of tobacco products is among the most important responsibilities of the USFDA and is an obligation I take personally." Many vape users now intake more nicotine than when using traditional cigarettes as one pod equals a pack of cigarettes which they weren't smoking traditionally. Tobacco companies intentionally market the kids and young adults to recruit replacement smokers and protect company profits. They are known merely as users who are to become the age of 21. Increasing tobacco age to 21 will help counter the effects of the tobacco companies targeting young people at a critical time when many move from experimenting to regular smoking. Tobacco companies place most of their advertisements in stores where 75 percent of teens shop at least once per week. The stores located near schools containing three times the amount of advertisements.

A study by the CDC revealed that 50 percent more high-school, middle-school youths vape than smoke. Vaping can cause popcorn lung. I apologize for speeding through this. If current trends continue, 5.6 million of today's youth

will die prematurely from a smoking related illness. As Michael said, the battle is never over. Just as we started to address traditional smoking we now have this emergence of ecigarettes and vaping.

So, I thank you very, very much for the opportunity to testify on this subject and I know you'll hear much more from our esteemed colleagues. So, thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Carol.

Next is Sarah Henris from Nassau County Region of

PTA.

SARAH HENRIS: Thank you very much. My name is Sarah Henris and I represent Nassau Region PTA, I live in Bayville. As the largest child advocacy organization in the nation, PTA advocates for programs and legislation that improves the lives of all children. Nassau Region PTA itself represents over 77,000 members and approximately 325 unit PTAs throughout Nassau County. Since 2013 we've been advocating for regulation and legislation protecting youth from the dangers and health hazards of nicotine addiction. Especially as it pertains to electronic nicotine delivery systems, also known

1 as e-cigarettes, Juuls, e-cigars, etcetera.

We're very grateful for the opportunity to address the Nassau County Legislature at this meeting on the propose legislation, Tobacco 21.

Nassau Region PTA supports legislation that would raise the age prohibiting the sale of tobacco and electronic nicotine delivery systems to individuals under 21. And you heard from far greater resources and professionals on the reasons why.

At this time, the Nassau Region PTA would like to inquire about the use of some specific language within the proposal, the electronic aerosol delivery system. The reason that we question the exactness of that language, electronic aerosol, is because the FDA, who has the authority to regulate tobacco products, uses a differing terminology. And as we researched these, as parent volunteers, it's the terminology we learned it to be electronic nicotine delivery systems.

In August 2016, in the final rule of the FDA deeming tobacco products to be subject to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act as amended by the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco

Control Act. The term that they used is ENDS, or electronic nicotine delivery system. Will the use of the language that you're using limit the enforcement of age of sale laws, such as Tobacco 21, from effectively preventing youth under 21 from obtaining existing or future tobacco and electronic nicotine products? We want to see this to be able to be enforced across the board.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You're raising a good point, a valid point and we'd like to have both minority and majority lawyers take a look at that. It's our intention to move ahead with this today.

SARAH HENRIS: Perfect.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And then if we need to amend it down the road we will do so. We appreciate the issue. We can contact the minority, the majority or your individual legislator and we'll get our counsels looking at it.

SARAH HENRIS: Okay, thank you so much.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, thank you.

Jyoti Agvawal

JYOTI AGVAWAL: Hello, my name is Jyoti Agvawal. I've been a resident of Syosset since

2009 and I am also the President of the South Grove PTA, one of the elementary schools in Syosset. I speak here today on behalf of myself as a taxpayer in the county as well as a representative of our PTA.

This past weekend I met a mom whose kids attend a local Nassau County middle and high school. She stated that her seventh grader's classmates have begun to vape and that her older child in high school is afraid to use the bathroom due to the amount of tobacco usage. This needs to stop. Our children attending public schools have a right to use the bathroom void of tobacco smoke. She also questioned how are these kids getting their hands on these tobacco products.

I urge you to increase a minimum legal sales age, MLSA, to purchase tobacco products including electronic nicotine delivery systems or, ENDS, to 21. This is why: Over 3,800 people in the U.S. under the age of 18 try their first cigarette of which over 1,000 become daily smokers and 90 percent of lifetime smokers began before 18 while they're more susceptible to habit formation. Why is this? As you've heard from

previous speakers the tobacco industry is directly marketing their products to appeal to our youth by flavoring their e-products, producing them in color enticing kids. Disguising the delivery devices to common USB drives and making them easily concealable. Further, they're designed to emit little to no smoke or odor.

It's clearly an epidemic when 600,000 middle school students and three million high school students smoke cigarettes. Smoking tobacco remains the single largest cause of preventable disease and death in the U.S.

South Grove PTA worked on a resolution that was submitted to New York State PTA. I will submit the resolution in its entirety to be included in the record along with a dozen letters written in support of the Tobacco 21 amendment. PTA works so hard in promoting the health and safety, mental awareness, nutrition and well-being of our children. Increasing the age to purchase tobacco products to 21 is an evidence-based policy intervention known to reduce youth smoking by approximately 50 percent. 90 percent of persons who purchase cigarettes for distribution to minors are under 21. Raising the

MLSA to buy cigarettes including ENDS, could
potentially eliminate minors' ability to buy from
other high school students.

The American Academy of Pediatrics, the Surgeon General, and the American Lung Association recognize the benefits of raising the MLSA. Are we really in a position to argue with them? Further, raising the MLSA to 21 will send a message to the tobacco company that we won't tolerate the marketing of tobacco products to kids. And it also sends the message to your constituents that their representatives care about parental concerns as well as protecting the youths from harmful tobacco products. Let's get moving Nassau, it's been far too long. Help PTA and parents protect their kids. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Jyoti. Katheryn Unger.

KATHERYN UNGER: Members of the board of legislators, my name is Katheryn Unger and I am here representing the Preventing Tobacco
Addiction Foundation. Tobacco 21 laws prevent kids from accessing, using and becoming addicted to tobacco and nicotine products. So, let's talk about why this policy works and why it's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

important. 95 percent of adult smokers started using tobacco products before the age of 21, and I know that's something you've heard over and over again but it's really important. Many of those smokers transition from experimental smoking to regular, daily use during the ages of 18 to 21. We know that most kids get their tobacco products from older friends and classmates who have legally purchased this product. 80 percent of high school seniors are 18 when they graduate, and 20 percent are 19. A 16 year-old is much more likely to have a 18 yearold in their friend group than a 21 year-old. So, raising the minimum legal sale of tobacco and ecigarettes to 21 puts the legal purchasers outside of these social circles of most high school students.

Tobacco 21 has taken on a new urgency in the wake of the vaping epidemic in our middle and high schools. Some may argue that the Juul is a fad, that it's passing. But addiction is not passing, it is often permanent. These vaping products, the Juul in particular, are easy to obtain and they're easy to conceal. The Juul is the fastest growing e-cigarette on the United

States market holding over 50 percent of the market share. Juul pods attract kids because they come in multiple flavors, including crème brulee, cool mint, and fruit medley just to name a few. And each pod contains as much nicotine as one pack of cigarettes.

Science tells us that the adolescent brain continues to develop into the mid-20s and is especially sensitive to the effects of nicotine, which you've heard from our esteemed Dr.s. Yet most kids are unaware that these products even contain nicotine, or they significantly underestimate the danger of nicotine.

You may have heard arguments that ecigarettes help people quit using cigarettes, and that may be true for a very small group of people, but population-based studies continue to show that there is no net positive impact on public health. This is because for every one adult who uses an e-cigarette to help them quit, 81 adolescents and young adults, who have never smoked cigarettes, may begin using e-cigarettes. We know kids are initiating on e-cigarettes and transitioning to traditional cigarettes.

Since enacted, Tobacco 21 has estimated to reduce overall smoking rates by

12 percent, decrease smoking initiation rates in

15-17 year-olds by 25 percent and is estimated to save the lives of around 2,148 Nassau County kids alive today. The age when young people first experiment with, or begin using tobacco can reduce the risk that they will become addicted smokers. Thank you for allowing public testimony today. I urge to pass this common-sense legislation.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms. Unger. Jessica Turnquist.

JESSICA TURNQUIST: Hi. My name is

Jessica Turnquist and I've been a resident of

Syosset since 2013 and I have two daughters in

the Syosset School District. I will be making a

statement on behalf of a collaboration with a

fellow parent, Eric Steinberger who also lives in

Syosset and who also has two daughters in the

Syosset School District.

We ask you to raise the legal age of purchasing tobacco products from 19 to 21 following the example set by other counties in New York and other states around this nation. It

is a common-sense measure that serves to protect children from the harmful effects of smoking and provides numerous and other indirect benefits.

Tobacco companies have been targeting youths since their inception as they are well aware that starting people smoking when they are young maximizes profits. They have a long history of misleading and outright lying to the public about the dangers of and addictiveness of their product. They focus their marketing on youth through movies, colorful packaging, flavoring their products, making them more compact and easier to use.

By increasing the legal age of tobacco products to 21 it makes it that much more difficult for middle and high school-aged kids to get their hands on them. There are products that are geared and marketed specifically to kids such as the Juul and e-cigarette products that is shaped to purposefully look like flash drives, Sharpies, and other common classroom items so that kids can carry them out in the open in public schools. Vaping is more attractive for teens as it is easier to use vape products without detection due to a lack of tobacco smell.

They can be reused and refilled and are affordably priced.

Another interesting fact about the Juul is that it contains more nicotine than an average e-cigarette product. 5 percent nicotine by volume as compared with an e-cigarette cartridge at 2.4 percent nicotine volume.

Big tobacco knows that 95 percent of adult smokers started smoking before they turned 21. Ages 18-21 are the years when people go from casual smokers to addictive full-time smokers. These are the replacement smokers that big tobacco need to maintain its products. They are replacing the dead and the dying consumers of their product.

There is widespread country-wide support as well as national bipartisan support for raising the legal age to 21. A recent poll conducted by the research firm Global Strategy Group in April 2018 found that 72 percent of New York voters favor raising the minimum age for sale of cigarettes and other tobacco products to 21. The indirect benefits to New York and the U.S. as a whole are incalculable. By increasing the legal age of purchase to 21, statistics show

that there will be less full-time smokers. This means a reduction in health care costs, cost burdens to health insured and a healthier U.S. population. We need to protect our children from these harmful products. Please, raise the legal for tobacco products to 21 and follow the example that is already being set across this nation. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms. Turnquist. Dr. Lorna Lewis.

DR. LEWIS: Thank you Legislators for the opportunity to address you. I have several friends sitting I'm looking at. I represent New York School of Superintendents in New York, as well as having the pleasure of serving on the board of the American Heart Association. I thank you for the opportunity to address Tobacco 21.

I'm here to ask you to join some forward-thinking communities around the state in passing this law. Suffolk County has already made a leap to protect the next generation as has Schenectady, Albany, Orange County, Sullivan County. There are 13.2 million residents who are protected by this Tobacco 21 law. The tobacco

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

industry has always preyed on our young people, knowing full-well that the earlier they can entice our children into this unhealthful behavior the long-term dollars they will reap.

Today we see our children engage in the use of new phenomena, the vape pen. I've heard you speak about the e-cigarettes. Let me give you some practical experiences. Those things look like a jump drive. We have- in our schools we have chrome books for all of our children. These children who have access to e-cigarettes can heat up their vapes, whatever that - the Juul, they can stick it into the chrome books, they can heat that device up to incredible temperatures. They then stick it into the pen. If they're wearing a coat this is what it looks like, and they do that sitting in the classroom. Nobody knows that they have done this, it is odorless, it is vape-less you see nothing. And that's the reality of these new cigarettes. And I have to tell you that this mass budget that we just approved last Tuesday had- my own district had about \$50,000 in it for this new device called Fly Sense that we have now installed in all of our bathrooms- will be installing in all of our high school bathrooms in

order to detect the use of vape. It is a real phenomenon in all of our schools. Many other districts have gone ahead. Just think about this, if my district is spending \$50,000 only in my one high school we have 56 districts just in Nassau County. That's money that we did not need to spend that we are spending.

Passing to the age of 21 will thwart the tobacco industry's efforts to engage our youths and put some distance between them and their 21 year-old counter-parts.

I want to acknowledge my own Legislator

Drucker for the work he's been doing on opioid

and I just want to say that this is a gateway to

other forms of drugs. It begins as a smoker.

Thank you.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Alright, the next speaker we have is Dr. Sana Raoof. I hope I got that right, forgive me if I didn't.

SANA RAOOF: My name is Sana Raoof. I am resident of Muttontown, a proud graduate of Jericho High School and an MVP H.D. student at Harvard Medical School. Having completed my PhD on new therapeutics for drug resistance lung cancer, I can tell you all with confidence and

expertise that lung cancer is a disease that we should dream to prevent.

Cigarettes contain over 3,000 toxic chemicals, including rat poison, hydrogen cyanide - which was used in gas chambers in the Holocaust, ammonia and insecticide. Cigarettes are the only legal products that when used as directed kill half of their legal consumers, which amounts to over 0.5 millions Americans per year.

As we have heard, cigarettes are a pediatric disease. Although adults die from tobacco related diseases, they all began smoking when they were young. 90 percent of smokers began before the age of 18, and 90 percent of the supply came from people between the age of 18-20. This represents a huge opportunity. Tobacco 21 specifically cuts off the supply of cigarettes to kids right at the age when they're most likely to begin smoking.

Strikingly, nearly all addictive smokers said that they did not plan to smoke again after their first exposure to cigarettes. Yet one quarter of American high schoolers who smoke once will smoke the rest of their lives, pointing to

the absolute necessity of preventing that initial exposure when brains are underdeveloped and vulnerable to addiction.

We already know that Tobacco 21 is incredibly effective. In 2005, Needham,

Massachusetts became the first place in the U.S. to implement Tobacco 21, and within five years cigarette smoking amongst Needham high schoolers fell by 50 percent despite the fact that kids could have just driven to neighboring suburbs to buy cigarettes.

In the case of Nassau County, a lot of our neighbors have already implemented the policy, and so once Tobacco 21 passes here kids will no local options to by cigarettes before the age of 21. Tobacco 21 is popular. Over 135 cities including New York City have raised the tobacco age sale to 21 and 75 percent of American adults favor this policy.

But most importantly, Tobacco 21 is our responsibility. National and state senates, including the New York State Senate are financially sponsored by tobacco lobbyists and protecting children with Tobacco 21 has historically only been possible thorough local

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

movements and it's therefore your job. I've brought pages of information about Tobacco 21 and relevant statistics and letters of support from the American College of Chest Physicians for you all to consider and I hope that today we pass Tobacco 21 in Nassau County.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Okay, thank you. Tanya Moore?

TANYA MOORE: Hi, thank you. My name is Tanya Moore. I'm here as a concerned parent. I'm a graduate of Syosset High School and I'm here to talk about the potential health and other situations that we can prevent for our children. Smoking under standard tobacco and now the latest and the sneakiest is e-cigarettes. As a former student of Syosset High School, years ago we were granted a lot of freedom as our children are today. Our Syosset High School had an open campus policy and at 18 we were allowed to sign ourselves out of the school if we had a free period. Our high school had a designated courtyard for smokers and yes we took advantage of every opportunity granted to us as 18 year-old students. We also took advantage of our friends and their siblings if they had access to things

1 | that we were too young to obtain on our own.

I stand before you as a mother of a third grader at South Grove Elementary School. She's a bright child, she's competing globally in robotics competitions, excelling with her out of state soccer team, and she's an outstanding student both academically and musically. I'm not raising a child who's easily influenced.

That being said, she's only eight and sadly there's room for movement. My daughter needs permission slips signed to attend school activities. She's placed at the peanut lovers table because of her sandwich. Peanut issues are regulated by our schools and the health ramifications to a child with nut allergies is more harmful for children this age. But the nut allergies are regulated but there's no Surgeon General warning posted on the side of a Skippy jar.

At every age most social interactions impact our daily decisions. We are- and they are all impeded with safety of personal responsibility waivers. Keeping tobacco and standard smoking cigarette age at 18 is absolutely ridiculous- at 19, is absolutely

ridiculous. This is a cake walk for our children to buy and gain access and potentially becoming hooked on nicotine. We should not be here having a conversation. To me it's a no-brainer. Our elected officials should move to access age from 19 to 21 when there's absolutely no benefits for smoking except for the tax that's generated for the sales.

As a parent, it's my responsibility to sign permission slips for my child to attend a school event. But smoking? Sure, have at it.

She's 19, she'll walk into 7-Eleven and purchase a cigarette. If not, just ask her older friends with legal access.

Although South Woods and Syosset High School have a no smoking policy, we can all acknowledge the unacknowledgeable ongoing issues with tobacco use. Perhaps the raise of the legal age of tobacco availability from 19 to 21, we as parents, care-takers, educators, and legislators take some action for the betterment of our dear vulnerable community - our children. Well, there's more, but anyway, thank you so much and I really hope that this can move forward.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you. Let me

just- we've got a bunch more. Let me just point out that, my sense is that the matter has very wide support on both sides of the aisle. And of course, the policy is that whoever wants to speak can do so. But I urge you to cover new territory if you'd like to and just consider what I've just said. That being the case, the next one on the list is Dr. Shetal Shah. Is that right? And again forgive me if I've not pronounced this correctly.

SHETAL SHAH: Sure. So, my name is Dr.

Shetal Shah and I'm a lifelong resident of Nassau

County and I serve as Vice President of - a

Legislator Chairman of the Long Island chapter of

the American Academy of Pediatrics which

represents 1,400 pediatricians across Long

Island, 500 of whom live and work in Nassau

County. Although it seems no one is working

Nassau County right now because all 500

pediatricians are here.

It's again a pleasure to speak to you about this legislation that will raise the age to purchase tobacco, including electronic cigarette paraphernalia, to 21 years of age. Again, as people have said, making our laws consistent with New York City and Suffolk County. As you've heard

from others, Hawaii, California, Massachusetts, and New Jersey have all followed suit, as have large cities and as you've heard again, 135 municipalities nationwide.

In the interest of being respectful to everyone's time, I want to pause and remind people that it was approximately 10 years ago that my beloved Nassau County representative and your respected colleague, Judy Jacobs and I outlined this legislation on the back of her lunch napkin. I remember vividly because I was scheduled for this meeting and I did not have child care for my eight-month old and I had to cancel. And Judy, always maternal as ever, said, "Just bring him along." That son is now entering middle school and I can think of no better legislative tribute than to pass this bill in her name.

There is widespread support for this legislation across all segments of Nassau County. The American Academy of Pediatrics as well as physicians in the Nassau Pediatric Society, Cohen Children's Hospital, NYU Winthrop, as you heard from Dr. Leonard Krilov, are all here. Internal medicine and oncological physicians are here.

They're here along with parent-teacher associations and myriad of anti-tobacco advocates.

To give you an idea of the momentum, because the counties that have passed this in New York State have already been mentioned, I would point out that Westchester County was discussing Tobacco 21 legislation yesterday. It's a medical fact that raising the age of smoking to 21 will reduce the number of teen smokers by 55 percent. And if we use data- this is something new. If we use data from the Center for Disease Control, National Youth Risks Behavior Survey, and the New York State Department of Education, and filter those through the medical models that are input in the institute of medicines, 330 page 2015 report.

One of the great things of having a lot of pediatricians is that we have a lot of epidemiologic expertise. We can estimate confidently that between 2,800 and 5,600 fewer teenage nicotine users in Nassau County will result every four years as a simple result of passing this legislation.

I also want to point out that for many

years when I would come to this body, I would hear, "Old enough to die and vote and old enough to drink and smoke." The claim was that 18 year-olds are adults and are able to die for their country in the military, they should be allowed to smoke. I would like to point out that the Secretary of the Navy has now said that maybe personnel will comply with Tobacco 21 legislations and all shore based bases in which the states have the law. Thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you and bearing in mind that you're all preaching to the choir, once again. Vandana Sharma, would you still like to-you would. Okay.

VANDANA SHARMA: Ladies and gentlemen,
I'm Vandana Sharma. I am a resident of Bellmore
on the south shore. I have two children that
attend the Bellmore schools. I have an 18 yearold who's currently graduating as a senior in
high school. And my youngest is eleven, she's
going into middle school. So, I'm here as a
concerned parent, as an attorney, and as an
advocate for child health and welfare. I support
this legislation and I wanted to read out loud to
you an email that the principal of our high

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school has sent out. He's sent out several. So, this encapsulates the issue at our high school at this current time. His name is David Seinfeld:

"Dear Kennedy families-

This is dated April 19th.

"I want you to know that we continue to struggle with the vaping problem at Kennedy High School and schools throughout the country. I have listed our comprehensive effort below that I sent out in an email in January. In the few short months since my last message, more information has come out about the extraordinary dangers of the vaping and the ease in which illegal THC vapes can be purchased. Perhaps the scariest piece of this whole puzzle is the reports that speak to the ease of addiction that vaping is causing. I share with you after having been around for some time that this vaping worry is one of the most serious health concerns I can recall facing. The ease of use, which seems to have led to greater regularity of use, the new normal of the device readily available in stores, the unknown dangers associated with long-term use, the covert use of the device for tobacco and marijuana products, the legalization of marijuana

in some states, and the highly addictive nature of such products leads me to have grave concerns for the well-being of the children who have started to use. Let's work together to address this issue."

He then commendably goes on to list various education initiatives that he is doing in the high school with the faculty, with the parents, and with the children. He needs to be commended and I ask you, the Legislature of Nassau County to, similarly, assist so that we can proactively stop this health scourge to young adults who may irreversibly harmed. And I think you for your time.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Jessica Varghese.

JESSICA VARGHESE: Good afternoon. My
youngest son turned one today. The world he has
entered here is way different than the one we as
his parents grew up in. I have a daughter
starting middle school this year which will be
filled with immense choices and decisions she
will have to make related to social circles,
extra-curriculars and experimention with new
things. I'm sure I will not be able to protect
her from everything, but I sure want to try and

make things a little bit more difficult for her to try detrimental habits such as smoking. The teenage years are such a vulnerable time and we as adults and parents should do our best to protect our kids as much as we possibly can.

I am speaking in support in raising the minimum sale age of tobacco and other nicotine delivering systems to 21 years old. I believe this is the most important action we can take as responsible adults to prevent further damage to our young people. Smoking causes many preventable diseases and we as concerned citizens and law makers should do the right thing by doing everything possible to maintain and encourage good health practices with this next generation.

As a nurse for over 20 years, a parent to four young children, and a PhD graduate, I still feel very strongly that passing this law will help enable them to live healthier lives. There are so many awful things in the world that our kids have to face such as online, social media bullying. If we can take away at least one difficult choice for them, shouldn't we do that?

Each day approximately 2,100 young adults who are occasional smokers become daily smokers.

The added burden to an already overloaded health system is immense. Let's work together to create legislation that is backed by evidence based research which shows the brain development in teens is most susceptible to addiction. There are various immediate and long-term risks with teen smoking. Once introduced to smoking, it is very difficult to quit. Let's prevent premature smoking related diseases from taking the lives of those we love. The benefits of increasing the minimum legal age to 21 years would be the smartest and most impactfully legislation for the future of healthy Long Islanders. I believe the right decision will be made and I thank you for the opportunity to present this data.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms. Varghese. Shetal Shah. Oh we did? Okay. Dr. Eve Krief?

DR. KRIEF: Hi. My name is Dr. Eve Krief and I'm a pediatrician. And I actually live and work in Suffolk County where we actually passed Tobacco 21 back in 2014. And I actually have a statement here from Suffolk County Legislator William Spencer who asked that I read this statement to you today:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I support and encourage Nassau County law-makers to raise the legal age for the sale of tobacco products to 21. Increasing the tobacco purchasing age is about saving lives and preventing addiction. The facts reveal that 90 percent of adult smokers become addicted before they were 18 and 90 percent of cigarettes purchased for them were done so by those between the ages of 18 and 20 years old. This indicates that youth smoking is not driven by illegal tobacco sales, but by legal sales. Legal purchases of tobacco products by the 18 to 20 age group are currently the most significant source of tobacco products for 12 to 17 year olds. The higher minimum age of 21 places legal purchases of tobacco products outside the social circle of most high school students, thereby directly reducing the supply available to adolescents. When looking at other municipalities that

When looking at other municipalities that have raised the legal age to purchase tobacco products, the data shows that smoking and cancer rates decrease. Needham, Massachusetts, for instance, raised the tobacco purchasing age to 21 in 2005 and their policy has led to substantial decrease in smoking rates that have remained low

since then. Additionally, cancer rates have decreased in Needham and when compared to cancer rates of surrounding counties, cancer is afflicting fewer people.

The benefit to increasing the tobacco purchasing age in Nassau County will further decrease long-term tobacco addiction in the region, as those in Suffolk County who are not yet 21 will not have the ability to travel the short distance to Nassau County to buy tobacco products. Public health should be our top priority.

But the economics of this measure will prove to be beneficial for Nassau County as well. While a miniscule amount of the county sales tax revenue would be lost in the near future, it pales in comparison to the billions of dollars in long-term healthcare savings that would otherwise be directed towards treating the illness caused by tobacco addiction.

For the aforementioned reasons, I strongly encourage Nassau County to act to increase the tobacco purchasing age to 21 and create regional uniformity. It will improve the quality of life for Nassau residents and save

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 lives. Best regards, Legislator William Spencer,
2 M.D."

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. Ana Leverton.

Okay. Thank you. Juan Vides.

JUAN VIDES: Hi everybody, thank you for allowing me to speak today. My name is Juan Vides. I'm a resident of Oceanside. I'm not going to (inaudible) the issue because most of it has already been done for the respect of the people here. I just want to say that our youths deserve this law, the life-saving law of today because it will be impactful. The Institute of Medicine reported that in 2015 they found that the tobacco use would decrease by 12 percent by the time the teenagers were adults if the minimum age was increased by 21. Now this is very important, I need you to hear all this. The same report found that there were 25 percent decrease in smoking rates among those 15 to 17 years old. Let that sink with you, okay? That we need to change this law. This is a group which legally isn't allowed to smoke in the first place and for a good reason. Scientific evidence has told us that the brain is not fully developed until the age of 25

and specifically in related areas it's addiction. Please take the opportunity to put the end of devastating conditions tobacco causes and to the roughly 26,000 annual deaths of tobacco and related illness. Please vote in favor of Tobacco 21, thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Mr. Vides. James Erwin.

JAMES ERWIN: Good afternoon county

legislators and beautiful people of this Nassau

County. My name is James Erwin. I am from Bergen

County, New Jersey and I have been to hundreds of

meetings like this. Where I come from, they call

me the smoking guy. So, the smoking guy is here

with everyone today. Legislator Drucker, thank

you and please give my thanks to Ms. Mary for

calling me. I was in touch- his office was in

touch with me several years ago. I started this

campaign in 2012. My mom was a nurse in Englewood

Hospital for 45 years and since, at your behest

sir, I'm going to give my information this way.

I'm going to use the legislators for my

demonstration.

My father died right when I was born of pancreatic cancer. Two of his brothers passed

away from cancer, both from lung cancer from smoking. His sister died three years ago from smoking. Her husband died the following year from smoking. Their daughter died from COPD the same year their mother passed. I don't know if anyone knows the group The Five Stairsteps, they sang a beautiful song. It's called Ooh Child.

Those are my first cousins. The lead singer of that group, the beautiful Clarence Burke, I love you, passed away from lung cancer. I have two beautiful— and that's just on one side of my family that was wiped out. Just wiped out, devastated by cancer and smoking. I have a cousin that I used to place bets for when the Kentucky Derby, the Belmont States and the Preakness rolled around. He died from lunch cancer eight years ago. His wife, man, also died from that same disease the year before. So, I think we have about 20 legislators if I'm correct, right? Okay, so. I think at that point you wouldn't even have a quorum of just my family members if they were elected officials in this town.

I'm here today out of love. I drove from New Jersey. I'm glad that this legislation is going to pass, albeit a little late. I advise

everybody if you know someone that smokes, please urge them for the residents of this county that's 1.3 million and change, you have about 100,000 young folks. When you lose someone to smoking which is the most- the deaths are 100 percent preventable. 50 percent, so there were 218 people in here earlier. The kids have left, that's half the room would be gone. Half the legislators. Please, I thank you. Urge folks to get their themselves tested. I'm a cancer survivor, I was diagnosed with prostate cancer last year. Get checked out, tell people to be healthy, get healthy. I love you, God bless.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you, James. Wendy Levitt. Wendy Levitt? She left? Okay. Jack Rachel Meltzer. Done? Jack Levine?

JACK LEVINE: Good afternoon, thank you for the opportunity to speak in favor of Tobacco 21 legislation. I've lived in Nassau County since 1978. I'm a pediatrician. I am specially trained in developmental behavioral pediatrics and I'm the Director of the Center of Autism at Nassau University Medical Center. I wanted to- I know that you've heard from the many people and you'll probably hear from more about how vulnerable

what's going on.

adolescents are to the addictive effects of

nicotine and how the tobacco companies take

advantage of this. I wanted to take a few minutes

just to talk about just the neuroscience behind

all of this, so that we all understand exactly

The adolescent brain is not fully developed. I mean, I think- not until the age of 25, I think any of us who've raised teenagers attest to that. At this time of adolescent brain development is a very vulnerable time. The reason for it being that it's a kind of interplay between the emotional side of the brain and the rational side of the brain. And so the limbic system, or the amygdala, which is a risk taking and emotional part of the brain, is growing in leaps and bounds during adolescence. This is fueled by the hormones of puberty. But don't worry, it'll calm down in time, not until they're much older than 18 years of age, 19 years of age.

On the other hand, you have the prefrontal cortex, or the front of the brain which
is kind of the rational thinking area, the CEO of
the brain, the part of the brain that inhibits
impulsivity. And it's gearing up for adult life

and adult decisions. It's making new connections, it's getting rid of connections that aren't necessary. But it's finest hour is yet to come. It's going to be fully developed for a while. Now in the face of this, tobacco and nicotine increase dopamine secretion in the brain.

Dopamine is a neurotransmitter, it's a chemical in the brain that makes you feel good. So, you get dopamine if you do things that you enjoy and things like that.

Also, nicotine increases other nicotine receptors in the brain. So, when you get nicotine into your body you start making other areas of the nerves that become more sensitive to nicotine and want more nicotine.

Now, what happens is when you have increased dopamine from cigarette smoke, they fill up with every cigarette, you know, it gets into the blood system in about 20 seconds, and this causes a brief, pleasant sensation which dissipates. But then the body starts making less of its own dopamine because there's so much of this artificial triggering coming in from nicotine. So, the brain starts seeking it out.

And now the limbic system, which is the

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

risk taking system, is looking to get more and 1 2 more dopamine and get more and higher and higher and seeking out more cigarettes. At the same 3 time, we know that nicotine affects the 4 5 connections in developing the pre-frontal cortex. 6 We know this from a lot of different studies in 7 animal studies and in humans. What happens when 8 nicotine affects this, it decreases the 9 inhibition and clear thinking which can lead to 10 more impulsive behavior and lifelong addictive 11 behavior. So, basically what happens is-okay. 12 Basically, what happens the nicotine wants the 13 brain to get more dopamine-14 CLERK PULITZER: Sir, your time has 15 expired.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Please just wrap it up. No, no, you can conclude.

JACK LEVINE: Okay. What happens is then the brain becomes sensitized to nicotine seeking out more of this dopamine high, and therefore you have lifelong addicted teenagers. And the tobacco companies have new customers. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, thank you.

Dr. David Fagan.

DR. FAGAN: Good afternoon ladies and

gentlemen of the Legislature. I am going to be strictly respectful of your time. I've been a resident of Nassau County since 1969, a graduate of West Hempstead High School and I have lived in the city of Long Beach since 1994. We passed this legislation, as Legislator Ford knows, in the city of Long Beach in December. I'd like to see the county do the same thing. I am a pediatrician. I am a resident of the Nassau Pediatrics Society and I am Vice Chairman of Northwell Health Cohen's Children's Medical Center. We support this legislation. Thank you for your time.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you very much. Rachel Boykan. I don't know if that's correct, I apologize if not.

RACHEL BOYKAN: Not quite, but I'll correct you. It's Rachel Boykan, thank you so much. I'm a pediatrician in Suffolk County where it's mentioned we've had this legislation for a couple of years. And I will be brief, I have no papers I'm just going to speak off the cuff on stuff that maybe we haven't heard. I'm also a member of the executive committee of the American Academy Pediatric Section on Tobacco Control. So,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I work at a national level with people from the start of this whole thing in Needham, Massachusetts. So, I've been really involved with this project and I really support it. A couple of things to highlight. It's very, very important, I'm glad we're- that you're including- I'm assuming this is going to pass because you've sort of suggest that, so I'm going to congratulate you in that because I think this is really, really key. Especially in the light of the vaping epidemic that we have and one of the reasons that's so important is that the data is really very conclusive now. The vaping, and this was highlighted in the recent report of the Society of Medicine in just last month, that vaping- teens who vape, who use electronic cigarettes and related products do go on to smoke combustive cigarettes. So, although we have seen combustive cigarette tobacco rates - smoking rates among kids are lower than they have been in decades. But they are going to go up because of the vaping epidemic.

Vaping is probably pretty dangerous too, we just don't have the data to say exactly how that is. We know a lot of the bad things about

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it, certainly not the least of which is the nicotine addiction. And it's a really strong addiction. So, this is really important.

I also want to commend you for what I'm assuming what would be the passing of this because if you look at what's happened in this country starting in Needham, localities that pass this encourage the states to pass it at the statewide level. That's how this has happened in the now six states that have this. And really, if we're going to really combat this as a country we have to do this at a federal level. So, this is a real step in the right direction not just for this county and for the people who live here and who care about the kids here and everyone here, but for our country. And if you think about that, that's a really, really big thing. This comes up in the New York State- New York State level and even federally. I urge you to keep pushing this forward because this issue needs to keep going. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you and that is the last speaker on this item. I want to thank everyone of our speakers for their remarks.

We're fine. You'll have an opportunity to speak.

You'll have an opportunity to speak after the 1 2 Legislature. We're done on Tobacco 21. But I want 3 to thank all the speakers for their patience and 4 for their very thoughtful comments. We all 5 appreciate their remarks. I'm going to have a 6 motion to close the hearing. Moved by Legislator 7 Muscarella, seconded by Legislator Drucker. The 8 hearing is closed. 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: May I be heard 10 though for a second? 11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You want to speak 12 on the item? 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I would. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We're going to 14 15 vote on the item in a moment. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But I'd like to 16 17 speak on it before we vote on that. 18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Well, yes. Yes 19 absolutely. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. 21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We're going to 22 call the item, it's going to be amended slightly, 23 and then we're going to go ahead. And you can 24 have- you can speak at that point.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can't we speak on

25

1 | that?

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You can speak at

3 | the end of the (inaudible.)

4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We want to speak

5 on Tobacco 21.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You want to speak

7 on Tobacco 21?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Did you put a

10 | slip in?

8

9

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Not on this item.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, on a few

different items. On this item also and a few

15 others.

what? Unfortunately, I'm not going to be able to entertain those comments you can speak at the end of the meeting. There are reasons we have to proceed now and we're going to. The motion to

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No, you know

close the hearing was seconded. All in favor of

22 closing the hearing signify by saying aye. Aye.

(Aye.)

All those opposed? The hearing is closed.

25 " We are going to now call the item which is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

local law to amend the Nassau County Administrative code in relation to prohibiting the sale of age restricted tobacco products to individuals under the age of 21. That is a local law. Anyway, moved by Legislator Drucker, seconded by Legislator Lafazan. It has to be amended. The amendment in the nature of a substitution will be to expand the definition section of the legislation to include herbal cigarette and nicotine water. It will also specify this local law will be enforced pursuant to Article 1 of the Nassau County Public Health Ordinance and authorizes the Department of Consumer Affairs in addition to the Department of Health to enforce this legislation. The amendment is moved by Legislator Muscarella, seconded by Legislator McKevitt. All in favor of the amendment signify by saying aye.

All in favor of the amendment signify by saying aye. Aye.

Those opposed?

The item is amended. It is before us. Legislator Drucker, you have the floor.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you Presiding Officer, I appreciate it. First of all, I'd like

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to thank the abundance of terrific speakers today who have come out and have taken the time to speak on this issue, which just by the sheer number of speakers is an important issue that is obviously not a political one. It has been stalled for years for political reasons. As I stated in our last session, while I am extremely heartened and gratified it has finally recognized the urgency of attacking this legislation, so as to bring Nassau County up to the enlightened state displayed by all of our surrounding neighbors who had no trouble recognizing the need to make it more difficult for teenagers to pick up this nasty habit. Which only guarantees one thing - a lifetime of debilitating health and illness and an abbreviated life. Quite a few of which could have already been spared this addiction had this law been passed years ago when the late great Judy Jacobs introduced it and I reintroduced it last year. And each time it went nowhere, not even getting into committee for discussion, which is how this legislative body is supposed to function. Let's just hope that by the passage of this bill it is a harbinger of a new era of collaboration and bipartisanship that I

know the residents of this county desperately want. Legislator Kopel was quick to state to the speakers today, you're preaching to the choir. There was no choir for quite a few years. Thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you for those gracious comments. Legislator Lafazan.

LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Thank you Presiding Officer. I thank my colleague, Legislator Drucker and the many advocates who spoke today to stand up for this vital piece of legislation. And as a point of personal pride, thank you to my home community in Syosset for leading the way here.

Having had the unique opportunity serving on the Syosset School Board as a teenager, I know both that abusive tobacco products for minors is atop the largest threats to our student population today. And that the proliferation of both Juuls and e-cigarettes has made access far too easy and detection far too hard. At the height of Nassau County's opioid epidemic, which is the greatest natural disaster of our time, failing to pass this bill would be a true body blow to any positive momentum made.

Tobacco 21 is a public health victory,

which is why I was thrilled to support this bill in committee and will proudly cast my yes vote today. And like Dr. Shah noted, I just want to take a moment to thank the late Legislator Judy Jacobs, who is smiling down upon us all from heaven today. I met Judy in 1999 in Ms. Griffin's Kindergarten class in elementary school. I was five. 19 years later I am able to sit in this chair at 24 and cast my vote on an item that meant the world to her. When I see her picture on that wall of the chamber, I am reminded that we walk on the shoulders of giants and I implore my colleagues to pass this bill. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Alright, that's it. Thank you for the legislators. We are going to now vote on the item as amended. All in favor signify by saying aye.

All those opposed? Carries unanimously.

Alright, we are moving to a number of

appointments, and I thank all of those appointees

who are here who will be serving in a volunteer

capacity for sitting through our meeting so

patiently, and we're going to move this group

together, except for one which I'm going to call

last. I'll do that last. Alright, we have item

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 1 2 38, and 39 which are all resolutions to confirm the County Executive's appointments to the 3 Minority Affairs Council, including Isma 4 5 Chaudhry, Reverend William Watson, Lucas Sanchez, 6 Reverend Stephen Michael Lewis, Gabriella Guzman, 7 Regina Williams, Charles Dickens III, Shani 8 Bruno, Robert Socolof, Rabbi Art Vernon, Kyle 9 Rose-Louder, Nadine Burnett, and Melrose Corley.

Moved by Legislator Birnbaum, seconded by Legislator Schaefer.

Now all those appointments are before the Legislature. I would advise anyone who wants to speak to do so. There's a lot of you, so I would appreciate it if you- I'm asking the nominees, if you don't mind.

Okay, alright. But any of the nominees who like to say a word or two, we'd like to limit it as much as possible since there's so many of you. You're welcome to do so.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: But could they all come up?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Yeah why don't you come on up.

25 "SHANI BRUNO: Okay, good afternoon. Yes,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's been a long day. Thank you so much for hearing us, for considering- oh I'm sorry, Shani Bruno, thank you- for considering our nominations to the Minority Affairs Council.

So, I live in Nassau County, beautiful Baldwin. I'm a wife, mother of two, I hold a PhD from New York University and I'm entering my tenth year as a full-time professor of Africana Studies at Nassau Community College. As well as teach a variety of ethnic and area studies courses, the only black studies degree conferring institution in the county. I'm also the chair of the International Education Committee of the college's academic senate. So, between the two avenues of academic instruction and institutional service, I'm also an advocate for people in my community, specifically children. Primarily as a PTA leader at district level stakeholder advocate and general parent volunteer. One of the most important things to me, so it's not just children of course but its women, people of color, non-Christian faith people, people of foreign birth, people in the LGBTQ community, people living with disabilities, just to be a voice for constituents who may not be seen but need to be heard. So,

thank you very much for your consideration. 1 2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, am I right that Shani is speaking for everyone? 3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You were so 4 5 eloquent we thought that maybe you covered 6 everything. 7 GABRIELA GUZMAN: Good afternoon, 8 Presiding Officer Richard Nicolello, Minority 9 Leader Kevan Abrahams, and the Nassau County 10 Legislature. And I just wanted to say thank you 11 for this nomination. I appreciate the support 12 that I've had today with friends and family and 13 also the organization I belong to. I've been a 14 resident of Nassau County for 17 years and I 15 graduated Hempstead High School. I just wanted to say how thankful I am for this opportunity. 16 17 Thanks. 18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms. 19 Guzman. 20 REGINA WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, Nassau County Legislator. Regina L. Williams, just want 21 22 to thank you for the opportunity to serve. Thank

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Regina.

ISMA CHAUDHRY: Isma Chaudhry. I just

23

24

25

you.

wanted to thank the legislators and the County

Executive and I'm looking forward to serving on

the minority- Isma- Okay. And I'm looking forward

to serving the Minority Council. Thank you very

much.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Isma.

MELROSE CORLEY: Good afternoon my name
is Melrose B. Corley and I want to thank you for
the opportunity to serve on the Minority Affairs
Council. Thank you.

NADINE BURNETT: Good afternoon. My name is Nadine Burnett and I thank you so much for the opportunity to serve on this committee. And my daughter for staying here for the two and half hours.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Great, thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Alright, thank you. Thank you for your patience.

LUCAS SANCHEZ: Good afternoon. Lucas Sanchez. I just want to say thank you for this opportunity as well. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you.

WILLIAM WATSON: My name is William Watson. I'd like to thank the County Executive and the legislative body for this opportunity.

Thank you.

KYLE ROSE-LOUDER: Good afternoon. Kyle Rose-Louder, DCE for Health and Human Services.

Just wanted to thank you all for the opportunity.

I'm looking forward to working with this council and moving it forward. So, thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, thank you Kyle. Alright, I need public comment.

MS. MEREDAY: I filled out a slip, I'll be quick.

Sure, let me have it Ms. Mereday.

LOUIE MENDEZ: I filled out a slip, so it's there.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay.

LOUIE MENDEZ: Good afternoon. My name is Louie Mendez. I'm a Nassau County resident. Thomas McKevitt is my legislator. at this time I would like to thank you Honorable Richard Nicolello, Presiding Officer and Minority Leader Kevan Abrahams and all the other legislators. I'm a former Deputy Director of the Nassau County Office of Minorities and I'm a project director of the Nassau County Office of Minority Affairs between 2002 and 2010. There's about six or seven legislators here who were my colleagues during

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that time as legislators at the time, including yourself Presiding Officer.

The Minority Affairs charter is very clear on the opportunities that the county affords the minority communities, which is over 30 percent of the county population. The people behind me have been chosen I'm sure through a process by which those who are the best and brightest can represent the community, and I support the County Executive in those who made this possible for them to come forward. The charter mandate for the Minority Affairs Council is significant and impactful. They could impact, and the executive director that they will choose eventually through the County Executive, will impact the minority communities of the County of Nassau. That means several mandates, including contracting opportunities, making sure that during your contracting revamping the minority components are mandated to be a force. That's an important piece for Nassau County residents.

The delivery of services to the minority communities throughout Nassau County is also chartered in the Nassau County charter. Most

importantly is so that Nassau County looks like the way the people that live in it, the way the people that govern. And that is an important component.

It is an honor and a pleasure to see those individuals here. I wish that you would know each one of their stories individually. But once they get approved, you will have the opportunity to engage them, and I hope you do so by finally opening up a hearing in the Minority Affairs Committee that has long been waiting for that to be opened. I hope that going forward that happens. I would think that we're in great hands with the people behind me and I hope that you help them move the county minority community forward. Thank you so much.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Louie and thank you for your leadership in the community. Meta Mereday.

MS. MEREDAY: Meta J. Mereday, Baldwin resident. I would like to say first of all that I am slightly encouraged with the fact that there is a Minority Affairs Committee again. I still don't seem to have any reconciliation with regards to what happened to the last committee,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the charter, or any reports. There seems to continue to be a lack as far as the study and the support and the resources. It's nice that we have 15, I believe, volunteers for a committee. But how many people are actually on the staff for this department?

Secondarily, as most of you know, and for the additional people in the audience because there's usually an echo in this room as far as public participation when the major decisions take place here, one of the components of the Minority Affairs Committee, at least the way it's established in Nassau County, is the component about services disabled veteran owned businesses. And I won't waste your time going over what's on your own site as far as Nassau County with the key objectives of the law as it pertains to service-disabled veteran-owned businesses that this county continues to not enforce. So, I want to give the benefit of the doubt, even though I am a little disheartened that this body did not choose to question any of the candidates before the public as you grilled other committees, commissioners, and things of that nature as if to say that it is just okay that we have this list

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and we're just going to approve it and keep it moving and see what happens.

Considering the Veteran-Owned Business Act which took two years to get on the books in 2014 is still gathering dust, and we still have no numbers. I've asked for over two years to get percentage, some numbers, some background, some data as to the minority business development participation in the county. I have not received that. And I know that we have very little, if any, service-disabled or veteran-owned business activity within the county.

So, again, since we pay the second highest taxes in the country- I don't know. It seems that we cannot win lawsuits in Nassau County or in our related townships. Maybe the related veteran business organizations should actually sue to get the information or the input. But I prefer that I'm not encouraging anyone to do that because it really just increases our taxes. And those underserved communities will continue to be underserved. So, as these committee members stand behind me I am hopeful because I'm just very disappointed by the group that sits before me. That we will actually do

something that's going to be inclusive of our service-disabled veteran-owned businesses since the majority of those who are going into the military are people of color. Thank you.

Presiding Officer. I just have two quick things to say. One, I want to recognize the fact that the CEO of the Nassau EOC is here, Ms. Iris Johnson to be recognized for the great work that she does on behalf of the residents of Nassau County.

And then secondly, if someone from the administration is here- I don't know, Mike if you could speak on this particular issue or if you have to get to someone else, I believe one of the previous members of the Minority Affairs Council, Evangelist Reginald Benjamin, I do not see his name on this list. Can someone from the administration provide an update? From what I understand, I believe he was asking to be considered for this body as well.

MIKE SANTORAMO: Mike Santoramo. The Reverend Benjamin will be submitted later. When we got the- we were able to look at the resume. When we got the resume, it was after we had

submitted other resolutions. There are a couple 1 2 of other names that we'll be submitting. Hopefully for the June calendar. 3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So, there'll be 4 more names in addition to, Reverend Benjamin? 5 6 MIKE SANTORAMO: Correct. 7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Do you have 8 an idea, Mike on what that number will be in the 9 end? 10 MIKE SANTORAMO: Not total, in the end. 11 I think that we're looking at, right now 12 considering for the next go-around, I think we're 13 considering three names, right now. 14 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Thanks Mike. 15 Nothing further. 16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Without any further debate or discussion. 17 18 MS. HARRIS: Hello, my name is MS. 19 Harris. I am the widow of the late Commissioner 20 Melvin Harris Jr. who was a part of the Minority Affairs at the time of his death. I would like to 21 22 say I'm honored on this department choosing people that worked with my husband at the time 23 24 before he passed. And I wish them success in

helping the minority to grow into this county.

25

Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. There was another hand up I believe?

DOMENICA CALIFANO: Domenica Califano. I

am proud of the minority leader for them trying to become something here, to be noticed.

Hopefully that you are all willing to work with them and don't use selective enforcement or be corrupted, like on some contracts that you all did back in Operation VIP SPLASH that I've been speaking about for many, many years here. You picked and chose minority leaders, not all of them to be on some of these contracts. You have Rob Walker in Newsday yesterday, his mother's-

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do you want to speak on this item?

DOMENICA CALIFANO: I am speaking about the contracts and stuff-

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We're not speaking about contracts. If you want to speak about the Minority Affairs Council, that's not, then-

DOMENICA CALIFANO: Am I hitting a nerve that you're blocking me out from speaking? I am speaking about minority leaders and about who you

1 | pick on some contracts and who not to-

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No, you're not.

No, you're not.

other discussion?

DOMENICA CALIFANO: Yes, I was.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No, you're not.

Alright. So, if you have nothing to say about

this than we'll call you back up later for public

comment. Alright, I want to again- do we have any

LOUIE MENDEZ: I have one procedural question based on the charter. If I may, Presiding Officer?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Sure.

three permanent votes. That's the CASA vote, that's the EOC, and that's the Office of Women Services as per the charter. So, the question that I have with in line with Legislator Abrahams is, what will be the final number of the Minority Affairs Council? Right? You can only have so many otherwise who's a minority could be in the council. So, my concern would be to find out what the final number would be in order for us to be able to move ahead with an agenda. Sorry, I know Santoramo is coming up.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MIKE SANTORAMO: That's a good question. 1 2 As it pertains to the Minority Affairs Council, 3 Mike Santoramo again, we have a representative here, Melrose Corley from the EOC. We have a 4 5 representative who is on the CASA Board 6 submitted. That's Lucas Sanchez. And as far as my 7 understanding, is there is no longer a women's 8 services department or that was consolidated into 9 another department at some point in time in the 10 previous administration. If I'm incorrect- I 11 understand what the charter mandate is but am I-12 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We can look at 13 that going forward. But basically, at this point

we have a number of appointments today that we're going to move on.

MIKE SANTORAMO: Okay. So, we have

representatives for two of those and as far as what we believe exists when we are fulfilling the requirement.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay. Legislator Solages.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you very much Presiding Officer. Good afternoon. Good afternoon to the CEO the EOC, Ms. Iris Johnson. Nice to see you here. Last year my colleagues and I held an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

event in Elmont called the State of Black Nassau County and what I was trying to do in that event was trying to do one of the mandated roles of the Office of Minority Affairs, which is to somehow put together an assessment of the economic-socio factors that are affecting minorities here in Nassau County and beyond. And I ask that all of the new persons that we appoint today- that I look forward to confirming your appointment- but that you please also conduct within that office we're trying to promote some sort of study or studies that will show what is happening to the many minority communities here in Nassau County in terms of economic-socio factors, the cost of living, health issues, and other issues that are important to these communities. So, you have a very large task before you, but I wish you well and I'm very confident that you will accomplish that. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you Presiding

Officer. Good afternoon to all of the appointees.

I'd like to be the first to offer some

congratulatory remarks as I suspect that you will

be confirmed. I'd also like to ask that body, the

council, to help me in modifying a piece of legislation that I submitted and filed a couple years back that would allow for microloans for minority-owned businesses and also for service-disabled veteran-businesses. I believe that microloans will go a long way in being able to ensure that all companies have an opportunity to equally compete for business here in Nassau County. I'd like to refile that piece of legislation and I'm hopeful that my colleagues here will be supportive of that piece of legislation. But before refiling it, I'm looking to engage with you and have some input from your council. Thank you, and again congratulations.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. Any other discussion? Okay, none. All in favor of the appointments signify by saying aye.

Those opposed? They carry unanimously.

Congratulations and thank you. Next item will be item 27, resolution number 72. It is a resolution to confirm the County Executive's appointment of Debra Wheat Williams to the Minority Affairs

Council. Please note that Minority Leader

Abrahams is recusing himself. He has left the chambers and will not participate in the debate,

discussion, or vote. We have a motion to-Legislator Kopel makes that motion, seconded by Legislator Ford. Do you want to say a few words, or no?

DEBRA WHEAT WILLIAMS: No, just thank you and it would be an honor to serve.

for your service. Hearing no other discussion, all in favor signify by saying aye. Aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously. Congratulations.

Item 2 is a local law to amend the Nassau County charter to adjust the qualifications of administrative employees of the fire commission.

Moved by Legislator Rhoads, seconded by Legislator Birnbaum. Open the hearing. All in favor of opening the hearing signify by saying aye. Aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously. The hearing is open.

JOHN PRIEST: Thank you Presiding

Officer and members. The item before you is- I'm sorry it's John Priest, Assistant Chief Fire

Marshal, Nassau County- the item before you is to allow us to properly define and to clear up an area of our hiring that was vague. All inspectors, fire marshals, those doing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inspections require five years of service in a volunteer fire department in order to take the civil service test for those titles. There has always been a part in our law that says those being hired for clerical did not require that. That was vague. We were looking for a legal analyst, a budget analyst, and we were originally told that people like that would need five years of service in the volunteer fire service. That's not what the law was. So, the law allows us to make that a little more clear and to allow the hiring of individuals for administrative positions who would not necessarily be fire department members and also gives the Chief Fire Marshal the discretion to determine whether or not particular positions require fire service expertise.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you

Assistant Chief Priest. Any questions? I think
that covered it very good. Any public comment? A
question from Legislator Birnbaum.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Has this been a problem in the past that there have been positions that couldn't be filled because people didn't have experience?

JOHN PRIEST: It has only come to light recently in the last couple of years. We've had some retirements and for example, to try to hire a secretary to the Chief Fire Marshal. Suddenly it was a discussion whether or not that would need five years of service in the volunteer fire service. So, it has become an issue recently as it's been discussed.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, thank you.

Any other debate or discussion? Any public

comment?

JACK MCCLOY: Jack McCloy, Baldwin resident. With regards to this situation I would like to get clarification as to whether these administrative additions will be qualified for reduced taxes within the district, or are they to be outside the parameter? My understanding is those getting tax breaks need to be qualified. Will these new additions be getting the same tax breaks as those who are qualified? Can I hear an answer please?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Assistant Chief? He's right behind you.

JOHN PRIEST: Certainly sir. Employment by the Fire Marshal's Office does not qualify

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anyone for the tax breaks. The requirement is an active member or life member of a volunteer fire department.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, thank you. Any other public comment? Hearing none, we have a motion to close the hearing. Moved by Legislator Ford, seconded by Legislator Rhoads. All in favor of closing the hearing signify by saying aye. Aye. Those opposed? The hearing is closed. We will go to the local law, which is item 5. A local law to amend a Nassau County charter to adjust the qualifications of administrative employees of the fire commission. Moved by Legislator Rhoads, seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. This item is before the Legislature. Any discussion at this point? Any public comment? Hearing none, all in favor of this local law signify by saying aye. Aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

Item 3 is another hearing. A local law to amend the Nassau County administrative code to prohibit the use and sale of sparkling devices.

Motion to open the hearing by Legislator

Schaefer, seconded by Legislator Ford. All in favor of opening the hearing, signify by saying

1 aye. Aye. Those opposed? The hearing is open.

MR. PRIEST: Thank you sir, members.

John Priest Assistant Chief Fire Marshal. I am joined on this item by Assistant Chief Fire

Marshal, Mike Uttaro and ex-Chief John Hennig, the current Chairman of the Nassau County Fire Commission.

Commission stated that there were approximately 11,000 injuries as a result of the use of hand-held sparkling devices. That was reported for the year 2016, the last year we had proper numbers. It should also be noted that the vast majority of all fireworks injuries suffered by children 5 years of age and under are from sparkling devices, hand-held devices. All of these fireworks were prohibited for sale until 2015 when the state allowed their sale at certain times. We are asking Nassau County to opt out of that state law.

It should be noted that these fountain type sparklers are used- besides used by children- they are used in catering halls.

They're commonly known as fountain type. They burn at over- between 1,200 and 1,600 degrees

Fahrenheit and easily can set fire to decorations and combustible materials. As a matter of fact we had fire marshals in a catering hall on a purely coincidental inspection as a waitress lit one of these sparkling devices and darned near lit the

entire table on fire from the sparks.

We had another issue where fire marshals, again on a routine inspection, found an entire case of these sparkling devices stored on top of an energized transformer in the electrical room. And when asked why they would put fireworks on top of an electrical transformer, they said that the box had gotten wet and the transformer was warm, and they wanted to dry off the box.

The Fireman's Association in the State of New York and the New York State Fire Marshal's association are very much in favor of this and Suffolk County has already opted out of the law and request that you do the same.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I just have one question and we'll open it up to the other legislators. Our choice today is to opt out or not opt out. We can't change the definitions or remove certain devices or include other devices, correct?

MIKE UTTARO: Correct. Mike Uttaro,
Assistant Chief, Nassau County Fire Marshal. Yes,
the previous law was a law that was put on the
book and you had to opt into the law. Which
Nassau County did not do. The law changed last
year and now you have to opt out of the law or
else you will be legally able to sell them.
Currently because the state law does not provide
just sparklers or a specific device. The devices
as a whole. Sparkling devices, period.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, thank you. Legislator Solages?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you,

Presiding Officer. Just briefly, how many

particular accidents do we have in Nassau County

last year with respect to these sparklers? Are

you aware?

MIKE UTTARO: Last year of course they were illegal. But we don't have any statistics as far as how many actual injuries. But as we all watched the news every year around the time of July 4th, where the sales would be allowed July 4th and New Year's Eve, there is always a story that we watch on the news or read in the newspaper regarding some type of injury from

these type of fireworks.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I understand. Just to note, in addition to catering halls, these sparklers are also used at night clubs when people celebrate their birthday and for other purposes so please be aware of that.

MR. UTARRO: Yes. Well those just to clarify too without going off the point, the items you use in these night clubs sometimes they're lit on top of bottles of alcohol and other types- those are illegal outright. Since the fire in Rhode Island that killed 100 people, those have never been permitted in Nassau County and we actively go after these catering halls to let them know, advise them, educate them, and at times issue violations to ensure that they do not use these devices inside of any building in Nassau County.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other debate or discussion? Thank you Assistant Chief Uttaro. Do we have any public comment? Hearing none, let's have a motion to close the hearing. A motion to close the hearing by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel, seconded by Legislator Bynoe. All of those in favor of closing the hearing signify

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by saying aye. Aye. All those opposed? The hearing is closed. We'll go to a vote on the local law which is item 6. A local law to amend the Nassau County administrative code to prohibit the use and sale of sparkling devices. Moved by Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator Schaefer. The local is before the committee. Any debate or discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by saying aye. Aye. All those opposed? Carries unanimously. Items 7 and 8, I'll read these quickly. These are ordinances making certain determinations pursuant to SEQRA, a grant of easements to the Long Island Electric Utility SERVCO, LLC as agent of and acting on behalf of the Long Island Lighting Company doing business as LIPAA as well as to Keyspan Gas East Corporation d/b/a National Grid regarding county owned property located in Uniondale. Moved by Legislator Kennedy, seconded by Legislator Lafazan. The items are before the committee. Good afternoon KEVIN WALSH:

KEVIN WALSH: Good afternoon

Legislators. I'm Kevin Walsh with the Office of

Real Estate Services. Both the items you called

are utility easements in the Mitchell Field area

on Charles Lindbergh Boulevard. The first

easement is an easement for PSEG to erect a new substation in property merely adjacent to the existing Suez Trigen Plant to serve the growing needs in the Hub. And the second easement is for National Grid along the Charles Lindbergh Roadway just in front of the PSEG easement for a gas regulator infrastructure, in the right of way of the county property. Both these easements have been approved by Nassau Community College because this is land that's really helping for the purposes of the college. And both of these are permanent easements where the county has procured appraisals and we'll be getting fair market value for the value of these easements.

question that we have. With the cancellation of the lease or the option on the lease, and the indication that we are going to be considering different alternatives on the Hub property. And understand that these have to do with energy generation, how do we know what energy generation is going to be necessary? And whether these easements are going to be sufficient if we don't know what's going to happen on the Hub?

KEVIN WALSH: Well, we know already some

of the growth in the area. For example, one of the big needs of a user is the Memorial Sloane Kettering Project which is already under construction and expansion out of the college itself with the life sciences building, some of the other construction. There are representatives here from PSEG if you'd like, I can get some more detail on that.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Well again, is the county representing that they feel that the proposed energy generation will cover any potential development of the Hub?

KEVIN WALSH: I don't know the substation need has been a need that's been looked at with the county for quite a period of time trying to find the location. The appropriate location to meet the needs and the growth of the Hub area in general.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: If there are different and additional needs, could we amend or change the easement at a later date?

KEVIN WALSH: We could amend an easement if the area was necessary to be expanded and soforth. No one's reached out to me saying that's necessary at this point. The piece of land we're

looking at is less than an acre. It's currently 1 2 under-utilized land. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Can you take the 3 easement away? Is it revocable? 4 5 KEVIN WALSH: There are termination 6 rights in the easement, yes. 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And the 8 termination, do you know what those are? 9 KEVIN WALSH: I would need to review. 10 I'm sure there's some- for cause for things. 11 Plus, the plants have to be approved by DPW to 12 make sure its consistent with county 13 infrastructure as well. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, somebody 14 15 would like to address this? 16 LISANNE ALTMAN: Legislator, how are 17 you? 18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Good. 19 LISANNE ALTMAN: Lisanne Altman, PSEG 20 Long Island. How are you? LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Hey, Lisanne. How 21 22 are you? Welcome back. LISANNE ALTMAN: We have a project 23 24 manager here, Pete Montalvo. I just want to make 25 sure we correct the record. We're not going to be

generating electricity there. So, the- and he can explain the purpose of the substation to step down the power. So, there's no generation going on per se.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay.

LISANNE ALTMAN: So, yeah. I just want to make that clear. Or so, right now what you have is a low-constrained area there and you're going to build a police academy and you've already gotten Memorial Sloane Kettering. And so, if we were not able to build a substation to step down the power, you'd be in a situation where you would not have enough power in this area. So, I don't want the committee to think that PSEG Long Island doesn't have enough power, we have plenty.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Right.

LISANNE ALTMAN: But when you get in a low-constrained area you need to build a new substation, so you can step down the power so that you can bring it to the new customer that you're going to have there. Okay.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay. I don't know if you want to add.

PETER MONTALVO: Sure, I can add. Peter Montalvo with PSEG Long Island. So, this station

that we're proposing is for the developments that are in process, and that's the load that we are expecting. The surrounding two substations are at maximum load right now as they currently stand. So, the construction that is in process is what we are participating in building a substation for. If anything changes further on, this was originally for the proposed plan of the 40 acre development and that's what our sub-proposed substation will cover. However, if there is additional beyond the 40 acre plan and more there will be a need to talk about where we can maximize our load. Our load is for 48 MVA is what we are-

LEGISLATOR FORD: First I have to say,
Lisanne it's a pleasure to see you. Miss you. So,
what you're saying with the substation that
currently- the one that you want to build will
accommodate the developments of the 40 acres of
land?

PETER MONTALVO: Right.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Right now we have enough energy for the Coliseum, for Sloane Kettering, and so forth. So, then the proposed developments of the Hub area- this is what you're

planning for. So, I guess the concern would be that currently we do not know what's going to be built in that area. So, do you anticipate- I'm sure you must have models that you work off of that you would know if you had so many units of housing, businesses, so forth that you would need a certain amount of- to be able to provide power basically to all of these things. Do you have room in your plan? Like if it was something that added- do you have room to expand? Or do you have to then build another substation?

exactly right now, not knowing what exactly the load will be. Usually we request a load letter to say this is how much power we need by this much time. So, yes, there is additional power. There is a max of 48 MVA that we are allowed. But a possibility of expanding or an additional substation is there because this substation may not be enough if the load increases substantially. You know, depending on what the development of a 70 acre, or something like that would-

LEGISLATOR FORD: So, then I'm going to guess that when we look forward to an RFP and

we're looking to see different ideas of what we might have for the Hub, that they'll bring you into the discussion. Because power distribution is going to be paramount in what they want to develop. Because our concern would be that if they're going to build something we would like to have it done basically as soon as possible, not rushing anything. But in order not to have anything held up because then we have to then find another location for another substation to try to work that in. So, I'm hoping that you will be able to be at that table when they're discussing what they're going to be doing and what they're going to develop at that Hub.

PETER MONTALVO: Yes, yes. I think that would be great to be part of the planning process and understanding if there is a location that can be worked on in the development or things to be worked on for us to accommodate a possible new substation and new lines coming from different areas. I think that would be very beneficial for everyone involved.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you

Presiding Officer. I notice this is more of a

technical issue and first of all, Lisanne it's

good to see you again. I think I'm one- I think me, Denise, Vinny, and Rich actually served with you. We're still holding on. I did have a technical question. I noticed- I don't know if it was something that maybe was conveyed from PSEG Long Island or it was something conveyed by the administration, their backup, but several parts of the backup it mentions where you're building the substation as East Garden City. I just want to clarify for the record, that's Uniondale. It keeps coming up in different avenues that keeps referencing East Garden City. But every time it's not corrected it's a document that goes out that believes it is East Garden City, when its actually Uniondale.

KEVIN WALSH: That's correct,

Legislator. I know a lot of times when I'm

submitting packages be it to this body, I've been

putting Uniondale/East Garden City. I think

technically the census may still show East Garden

City even though it's going to be formally

Uniondale at some point. It should reflect

Uniondale/East Garden City. That's usually the

way I've been presenting.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: He said- I'm

sorry, I missed what you said-1 2 KEVIN WALSH: I don't know if it's formerly- is it formerly? I don't know the 3 answer, my understanding is-4 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, it's 5 6 Uniondale-7 KEVIN WALSH: It is Uniondale? Okay-8 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It's definitely 9 Uniondale. East Garden City is-10 KEVIN WALSH: This is formerly-this is 11 Uniondale. 12 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Oh, okay. Oh... 13 Oh, I'm sorry I thought you said formally. Forget it. I gotcha. 14 15 KEVIN WALSH: Yes. 16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes, what you said 17 is right. I'm sorry- again, I just wanted to make 18 the note for the record. But thank you for that clarification. 19 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: East Garden City 21 doesn't exist. 22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, it doesn't. I 23 don't want to get in a debate in this discussion, 24 but East Garden City does not exist. It's a town 25 that's been created by realtors and some cases

has been perpetuated by certain levels of government. But it does not have a zip code, it does not have a post office, it does not exist.

It is being created for other reasons which I don't really want to get into on the floor. But it's been created- Uniondale goes all the way up to the Stewart Avenue line and the school district goes all the way up to Old Country Road, so. This property is definitely within those confines. So, I just want to be sure and correct the record that it is Uniondale, not East Garden City.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think- Walker has a question?

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Just to- I know you said that, should you see the development that is planned there and if you needed to look for a spot to an additional substation or add, wouldn't it be easier to wait to see what's there and then have the amount of power that you need? That you know you need? Instead of guessing, hoping- you know that you would at least need 40 but if you need more, then you have to figure something else out?

LISANNE ALTMAN: Pete can add to this,

but the need is now. And these substations take a while to plan, maybe a year or so and then to also build them. So, we wouldn't want the county or any of that surrounding area be in a situation where we don't have power for you. And that's really what it would come down to. So, it's really, extremely important that we install this substation, get it up and running. Like I said, your police academy needs to be built, MSK is finishing up, and it's of extreme important that we get this done.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: So, in your planning process it takes a year to plan, if information came in that we are now looking to do this type of development there and you realize that we would need more before it's actually done, you could possibly come back to us and add to your existing- in your planning, add to it-

LISANNE ALTMAN: I'm going to let Pete answer that, but I mean, I don't think that we could add on to that substation. We'd have to build another one, right?

PETER MONTALVO: As of right now, our goal is to build a two bank transformers for this proposed 40 acre development. And our in-service

date- just to show you how long it takes from planning to design. And completion is for June of '20 for the first phase and June of '22 for the next phase. So, that just goes to show how long we are out. So, the decisions that need to be made now about the land- like we just went through a project, Mitchell Gardens and a distribution route as a mandate just for this summer to make sure that we were able to supply those other two load pockets that are at maximum level and so the need is now really to make this decision.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Schaefer has a question.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: I just have a quick question. You referred to it earlier as stepping down the power? What does that mean? That sounds different from what you're saying. Could you clarify for me?

PETER MONTALVO: So, stepping down means taking something of a higher voltage and bringing it down to a lower voltage. So, what we have that is generated is from other areas. This substation will take 138,000 volts and step it down to 13,000 volts. Alright? So, it brings it down to a

distribution level and that is what you see in 1 2 the streets most likely. Through your houses is 13,000 on the wooden poles that are 35 feet high 3 4 and then into your house will eventually be 5 120,000 volts. 6 LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: So, it just kind 7 of redistributes it? 8 PETER MONTALVO: That's all it is. It's 9 a distribution station. LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Thank you. 10 11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other 12 questions? Thank you. Thank you Lisanne, it's 13 great to see you back. 14 LISANNE ALTMAN: And before I leave, I 15 just want to thank your real estate folks, 16 they've been tremendous and your DPW people have 17 gone above and beyond to help us. So, thank you 18 to them. 19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Great, thanks. 20 KEVIN WALSH: Thank you legislators.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Mr.

Walsh. Any public comment?

21

22

23

24

25

JACK MCCLOY: Jack McCloy, Baldwin resident. I'd like to clarify what the gentleman just said. He said that the voltage coming into

the house is 120,000 volts. That's incorrect. 120 volts current comes into a house. And my concern is that in Newsday it's been well documented that the County Executive has been considering various proposals for this parcel. I think Legislator Walker is right on the money with regards to, "let's give an opportunity to size-up what's going to be built first, how much power it's going to be needed for the project, and then put the proposal together for the right size package for what's going to be proposed."

Right now, I applaud your thinking that why should you go ahead with developing this site for a particular power range when you don't know what the power range is going to be? You have Mike Santoramo right here, right now. I think he could bring the information back to the County Executive and say, "Let's get an idea what's going to be built in there?" And make the decision maybe at the next session or as late as the session after that. It doesn't have to be decided today. I think that the decision to be made has a coin flipness to whether you're making a right decision if it goes into today's session. My suggestion is it be bumped. Thank you.

1 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm sorry, Presiding 2 Officer.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Sure.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: That actually bubbled up a question for me. I may have missed it if someone else asked this question, but I would ask that you indulge me. With Sloan Kettering- I'm sorry, Ms. Altman and the gentleman from your company, Peter? Thank you. Good afternoon. So, with Sloane Kettering coming, in the immediate we would not have enough capacity to be able to support them, is that correct?

PETER MONTALVO: That is correct.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Alright, any other questions? Thank you, again. There was another public comment.

MS. HARRIS: MS. Harris, Uniondale. I would like to know with that saying that coming to the substation that there would need to be more power. Would that affect the homes? Where they would have to do more poles? Because maybe they can take down the broken poles that they have in Uniondale.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Peter, you may

want to answer that? The first part of the question was whether it would affect the homes in the area.

PETER MONTALVO: It would not affect the homes in the area. There is a distribution aspect going down to 13,000 volts that we will be replacing certain overhead lines and underground lines. But those areas are specified where we'll be replacing that conductor and any poles along that reconductor are considered to be replaced. We don't have any additional plans to replace any poles that aren't affected specifically by this project.

MS. HARRIS: The broken ones will not be affected?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I think Ms. Harris brings up a good point in regard to- maybe the ones there that are not going to be impacted, but generally, and I can't speak for all the legislators, but generally it seems like there's a lot of broken poles, two poles strapped together situations all throughout the county. I mean, I see them in my district, I see them all throughout the county. What is the best way for-I know our office we call. I see a good friend of

mine, Chris Chaffee who's here from PSEG that we call and harass him all the time about this kind of stuff. But what's the best way for us to communicate, because we get these concerns from our constituents quite a bit. I know it's off topic and it has nothing to do with the substation, but since Ms. Harris brought it up during public comment, I think it's worthy of a response.

PETER MONTALVO: Okay. I mean I have one response is that a lot of times when we're replacing the poles we install that second pole and we will switch our lines onto the new pole. Whereas the old poles have to stay because they might have cable or TV, or telephone lines that are still on them which we are not responsible for.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Ah.

PETER MONTALVO: So, Verizon will be on the hook for taking their lines and putting on the new pole and removing that shortened pole. A lot of times that doesn't happen right away. I would say a lot of times there is a very large lack in that. That might be the answer to a lot of questions. I think it's a very common-

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I guess the question is- so a pole gets broken, you guys put up a new pole, you strap your old pole to the new pole and then what ends up happening is you switch your stuff over to the new pole but Verizon, Optimum, they have to switch their stuff over. Are they then responsible for taking down that old pole?

PETER MONTALVO: Yes. It is the last one off that is responsible.

LISANNE ALTMAN: Can I add something?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It just creates

like a nightmare, somebody's going to say, "I

wasn't last, I wasn't last."

EISANNE ALTMAN: So, just so I could explain. There is a state system called ENGINES and I'm going to try to remember what it stands for. It's some kind of joint utility, something or other. And so, it spells out exactly how utilities have to handle these situations. I mean, if you ask my opinion it's not a very good set-up. And so, it actually impacts folks either where we've done a FEMA project, or we come through and do any kind of project or where we do something in the community and do some kind of a

1 repair.

And so, what happens is, as Pete said, we do our work, we top off the old pole and then you're going to have Cablevision and Verizon which theoretically have 30 days to remove their service and then the last guy off the pole is supposed to get rid of the pole.

But as you can imagine with the issues that Verizon has had over the last few years with the strike and cutting back personnel, when Verizon is the last guy on the pole, sometimes it's a real problem. And so, this is actually something you could bring up to your state legislators as well, because there really should be a better- to me, having to work with it, there should be a better way to do this. And for you, I mean if there are specific areas you're concerned about I'm going to give you my card and call me and let's work on them together, okay? Okay.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you, Lisanne.

MS. HARRIS: Because of this, since the streetscaping has come along, does that mean that other things are going to happen with this project, where they're going to be putting poles?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Ms. Harris'
questions is tied to- there's going to be a
beautification project off of Uniondale Avenue,
which is south of the area being designate. Will
there be any impact to the corridor of Uniondale
Avenue due to this substation being put in?

PETER MONTALVO: I'm not familiar with the streetscaping project, me personally. I don't know if Chris is.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The streetscape project is basically a beautification project, but I guess her question is more tied to, could potentially any poles be impacted by this substation being installed on Charles Lindbergh? So, if you know the community, Charles Lindbergh is north of Uniondale Avenue. Uniondale Avenue feeds into what is Earl Overton, which feeds into the college. And then from there, you would eventually get into Charles Lindbergh by making that left. I guess the question is, the county is getting ready to embark on a streetscape, actually we're looking to break ground hopefully by next month. So- I can't hear you Ms.

MS. HARRIS: I'm sorry, she stated that maybe the underground, that they'll have to do

1 stuff underground too so that we're all tied in 2 with that, crossing over.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm just being honest, Ms. Harris- No, no, no. They don't know what the streetscaping is. If we have to pay for the undergrounding in the county, it's about 1.2 million per block. It increases the price of the project tremendously. And maybe that's something we consider down the road, but I would rather put that money into other beautifications of Uniondale as well. But, Chris were you going to say something?

CHRIS CHAFFEE: Yeah. Good afternoon legislator. It's nice to see many of you. Just to follow up on that question specifically- sure Chris Chaffee- we don't have a final design that we could tell you one way or another whether or not Uniondale Avenue would be impacted. But what I can promise you is that when there is a final design we'll be happy to talk to well beforehand.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Chris I'll have you come out and we'll meet with Nostrand Gardens and we'll sit down with them and figure out if it is impacted. If it's not impacted, then-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CHRIS CHAFFEE: Right. Absolutely.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Appreciate it.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I just want to point out, Ms. Harris I know that you were talking about the poles and just to let you know that we had passed legislation so that we are going to start fining utilities for not in a timely manner removing these damaged poles. And we've hit some road blocks in trying to get enforcement and so forth. But the good news is that we've been meeting with our county attorney, Jarred Kasschau who has agreed to help us to try to facilitate this and to become a little bit more proactive and aggressive in our approach that we can get it. Because it is a common problem and I know that, in most cases, PSEG will breathe easier because they know that they are always the first ones. They replace the pole and they're the ones who first move their electric from the damaged pole to the new pole and it always seems that it will be Verizon, because they're the lowest of the utilities that are on the poles that have to remove their wires. So, we'll be hopefully soon sending out letters and putting Verizon on notice that they will be fined for not- maybe I'd feel

little sympathetic for them since they don't have enough people, but they shouldn't have let so many technicians go because they knew for years we were going to start going after them on this.

So, hopefully we'll start seeing some movement on this and it'll get rid of a lot of the unsightly and unsafe poles that are in your neighborhoods.

MS. HARRIS: Well, I believe it's long enough since Sandy that these poles have been up. So, I think that for some reason they should be fined.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Oh, I agree with you 100 percent and we are going to definitely start going after them. Thank you though.

META MEREDAY: Meta Mereday, Baldwin resident. With regard to this-

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I just want to finish, just before the-

CHARIMAN NICOLELLO: Go ahead, sure.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I just had one quick question before public comment. It's good to have you back here. I'm sorry to kind of bombard you, but I've never seen anything like this. But they just put a new telephone pole in on Prospect Avenue in Seacliff, it's in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

middle of the sidewalk. It was just put in. So, if someone could just take a look at that. I've really never seen anything like it before. I'd really appreciate it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay. Public comment?

MS. MEREDAY: Meta J. Mereday, Baldwin resident. I'm hoping since there seems to be concern from this side and this side that this issue could possibly be tabled. And I'm also concerned that this issue was incorporated against any initial plan. I guess everybody was just so happy to support something that had to do with the Hub, and I was one of the few people in that discussion that asked for some consideration, let's step back, let's review some things. I mean again, that was before since the governing body for this entity seems to be Newsday. That was kind of before Newsday came out with, you know the indictments and certain things with our elected officials. But again, Newsday is not my elected official. All of you are. So, I'm basically holding you all responsible to address this scenario to its best outcome so you don't have to keep coming back for easements and

waivers and grievances and changes that become taxes and surcharges and additional costs, and program cuts for the residents here.

Because particularly if we're looking at the infamous word, or that acronym called PILOTS, which is becoming to me a new form of a DEBITS and term a DEBETS as "Deal Exceptionally Bad Expanding Taxpayers Suffering," DEBETS. So, I'm hoping that we can address that. And coming off of a Town of Hempstead meeting yesterday where they have to find out, I believe they said over \$82 million in judgments that involve Nassau County taxing poles at it pertains to a number of utilities including PSEG, I just find it interesting that this discussion is coming up about this broken poles because again, the burden and responsibility is falling on the taxpayer.

So, it would be hopeful, again we're supposed to be more inclusive and progressive and proactive in 2018. It seems we're going down the same roads. And the bad roads to begin with, because I'm happy to hear that Uniondale is finally getting their streetscaping, but if I can tell you about the utilities that are already dug up, Grand Avenue which took us a number of years

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to actually get those roads done. It's really back almost to the conditions that they were previously in. The potholes and the craters that are being encouraged- just coming over here today, I passed at least four utility related-LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, you know, 7 we're talking about the easements.

META MEREDAY: I am talking about the easements.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You keep repeating the same things over and over again.

META MEREDAY: I am talking about the easements. How are you going to prove something that you don't have a full idea of what is going on in the Hub? To be specific, you need background information and I have a right to speak which you precluded me having before. So, now that I have my additional time since you decided to include yourself into my speaking.

As I said, I am hoping that you do take a step back and you feel free to read your own record of this meeting when I said that. And take a step back from putting this easement in process until you have all of the facts. Or you're going to be repeating the same thing to

CLERK PULITZER: Madam, your time has expired.

META MEREDAY: I'm sure it has, but does that relate to the discussion? I just want to be clear.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You did make your way back there. Thank you.

META MEREDAY: I appreciate you commenting on that. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr. McCloy you already spoke, but you have something you want to say?

JACK MCCLOY: Yes, regarding the poles.

I just wanted to mention quickly that the poles on my block had been changed to the new higher poles over six months ago. They've removed the old poles. I called PSEG, they said that the other utilities have to move theirs to the new poles. But I think over six months is an exceptionally long time to wait. I contacted my legislator over a month ago. Nothing has happened. Ms. Ford, I will contact you because if there is a fine that should be imposed to them

waiting for an unreasonable about of time, that certainly applies to my block. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Call the items for a vote. Now, all in favor of these items signify by saying aye. Aye. Those opposed? The items carry unanimously. The next two items, one has to do with the contract with Transdev and then following that we're pulling an emergency with respect to a budget transfer affecting the Long Island Regional Planning Council.

So, we're going to item 56, ordinance number 26. An ordinance to authorize the County Executive to execute an amendment to a contract with Transdev Service Inc. for the management, operation, and maintenance of a Nassau County bus system. A motion to untable by Legislator McKevitt, seconded by Legislator Ferretti. All in favor of un-tabling signify by saying aye. Aye. All those opposed? The ordinance is now back on the table. Mr. Setzer is here?

SHARON PERSAUD: Sharon Persaud, good afternoon, The Department of Public Works. This is an amendment to the existing contract between Nassau County and Transdev. It reflects an adjustment to the 2017 approved and fully funded

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

budget. And it also reflects an adjustment to the remaining years on the contract, depending on funding availability. Mr. Setzer is here for any extended questions that you have concerning this amendment.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We'd like to hear a presentation, from you Mr. Setzer, about what this is in addition to the presentation we just had.

MICHAEL SETZER: Okay, I thank you Presiding Officer, legislators. This is an amendment that raises the ceiling of the not-toexceed amount so that it corresponds to the funds that have actually been budgeted and appropriated in 2017 and 2018. And provides sufficient room in the remaining three years of the contract so that if the county experiences good fortune in funding in terms of money from fare box, money coming from the state, or from any other sources, there is room to commit those funds through the budget process to adding or maintaining service. This is essentially a housekeeping issue that trues up the contractual terms with the actual available funding but doesn't provide any funding itself. It simply makes it possible for available funding

to be applied.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this is a little bit confusing and I've asked the question why do we even have this and that's a good question. When the contract law was negotiated back in 2011, the MTA was packing up and moving out, we were getting ready to move in. It was understood by the county that future funding couldn't predict even one year in advance, let alone five or ten years in advance. And so, the contract had to provide a very flexible approach, so that as funding became known in each year, we could adjust the service level to whatever funds were available. And without going into detail, that has proven to be the case every year. Those not-to-exceed amounts were added in at the last minute by the county for some technical reason and they have provided all of the misunderstanding that we're talking about now in that the not-to-exceed amounts have every year been insufficient to match up to the funds that were available to the county. So, every year you have acted on an amendment to raise that not-to-exceed amount because the alternative would be to have less service while

If I could just make one quick comment,

leaving money on the table most in Albany, and nobody here would think that was a good idea.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: These are, which you're describing as not-to-exceed amounts, so they're not binding in terms of the funding the county must provide. We don't have to meet those numbers every year.

MICHAEL SELTZER: Correct.

to in case we have additional funding to go up to those numbers.

MICHAEL SELTZER: Yes, that's correct, Presiding Officer.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Alright, so I think that's one of the major issues. Anyone else have questions? Legislator McKevitt.

very much. So, just really to reiterate. What we're really doing here is I guess for the past years is really just rectifying the books.

Because what we had was, you're asking what those numbers were but as you're closing out 2017, you saw what the actual amounts were, so you're trying to raise that to that amount? And I guess for future years trying to match as well so you

don't have to keep coming here year after year 2 for acceptance of help keeping up with booking issues?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Yes, that's exactly right legislator.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator

Solages?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you. In your letter dated May 17th, 2018 which was sent to the legislators here to clarify certain issues that were discussed when this item was tabled, on the last page, you state that under the title, the subject matter of the appropriateness of Transdev profit margins, you state that, "this perennial question can be approachable factually and contractually. Transdev is allowed to earn a little less than 5 percent." What do you mean by, "is allowed to earn?" Is there an actual cap that you are to make?

MICHAEL SELTZTER: Yes, there is. In, I think it was 2015, when the county decided to exercise the option for the second five years in the contract, the County Executive also made as an addition of that, that we could earn 5 percent

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the first, I think it's \$123 million of revenue and 4.5 percent on any revenue beyond that. The original contract simply set reasonable profit in that extension, the County Executive quantified what reasonable level profit meant.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Have you earned more than 5 percent profit in the last two years?

MICHAEL SELTZER: We have not. On that same page is a table that shows the actual earnings we have budgeted, and this may cause some confusion, we budgeted every year the allowable amount, the 5 percent or slightly less. But our actual results have every year been less than that and the reason for that it's been less than that is adverse events that happened during the year. Costs are being higher than expected, revenue is being lower than expected, all fall on the private partner, Transdev. So, in every year, in fact you'll notice in the first year of 2012, we not only didn't turn a profit we actually lost money and if you'll recall 2012 that's the year Sandy arrived at the end of October. So, fare box revenue went to nearly zero for the last two months of 2012.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Have these figures

been confirmed by the Comptroller's Office?

2

MICHAEL SELTZER: I'm not aware if they

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Part of the

3

have or not, but they've been shared rather

4

frequently with the county staff.

5

6 questions I guess, and the last session where

7

this item was tabled concerning discontinued

8

service for some major areas of Nassau County

С

9 where there is a large need for public

10

transportation, in $\ensuremath{\text{my}}$ district the N1 and N4 on

11

any given day between 9:00 and 12:00 in the

12

morning/afternoon, I can see that the bus is at

13

standing capacity and that indicates to me that

14

there are not enough buses. That's just in my

And also, there have been many

15

district alone I have to observe that.

16

17 complaints, one clearly noted by Ms. Guise who

18

.8 was here today, about there not being a

19

particular service so that individuals who work

20

in New York City who may reside in Nassau County

21

may get to work on time at 9:00. There is no

22

coordination between the bus lines and the public

23

transportation in the city. And can you please

24

25

speak to those issues? Because that's my concern here, you know what I mean? We want to make sure

that your system succeeds. But all do respect,

you know based on the constituent complaints the

service is not satisfactory, not even at a

minimum. Can you please address that?

MICHAEL SELTZER: Yes, legislator. I
would say you and I are in complete agreement.
The amount of service provided is insufficient
for the demand that actually exists. Not only in
your district but in many parts of the county. We
are passing passengers up every day. We're not
providing a high quality, comfortable ride
because there is not enough service. The amount
of service that is provided is the result of
funding decisions made here, made in Albany, made
in Washington- we take the available funds and
convert that into service and if I had my way,
there'd be a lot more service. But those
decisions are not Transdev's.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: From the profit table that you've addressed, is there any room that we could see that we could provide more service?

MICHAEL SELTZER: The profit margin that we've managed to earn is a very meager one. It's hardly excessive by any business standards so I

don't think that's a source for adding service in the future. We work closely with transit systems around the state and with the county to prevail on the state Legislature to increase the transit funding. Over half of the budget revenue comes from the state program and I think that's our best opportunity. However, in the most recently adopted state budget just at the end of March the increase for all the downstate non MTA systems was 1.9 percent, which doesn't even keep pace with our costs.

that. But my question to you is with this amendment that is before us today, is there- you said before that part of the purpose is to make sure that there is no money left on the table to try to access in terms of state funding or county funding. Is there any funding that is being left on the table that we could try to petition to try to obtain for the system?

MICHEAL SELTZER: Legislator, I don't believe there is. The state budget that was just approved provides funding all across the state.

All the downstate systems, other than the MTA, got just a 1.9 percent and that's all that's

available at the state level. I'm not aware of any additional funds being available from the county. The federal program is pretty well established. It's about \$6 million per year, it's not very big and it's very consistent. And the other major source is the fare box and the ridership is what it is. And then the fare itself is determined by the MTA. I don't anticipate any change in the fare box revenue this year. The MTA adjusts the fare level, the Metro card, which most our riders use, adjusts the metro card in odd numbered years. So, they may do something next year, but I don't have any idea what that may be.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Thank you sir.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Bynoe?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you, Presiding

Officer. Good afternoon.

MICHAEL SELTZER: Good afternoon,

20 | legislator.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I know you're running a business and I don't begrudge you an opportunity to make a profit. To echo some of my colleagues' sentiments I have to say that I see a correlation, right? Service is going down and our

ability to provide adequate buses on certain lines and cutting out routes, but at the same time your profit is going up. So, yes, in year 2012, you didn't earn a profit and thankfully in 2013 you did earn a profit. And then you just kept going up. It went from \$1.1 million in 2013 to \$3.4 million in 2014. In 2015, \$4.6 million and in 2016 \$5.25 million. And I think it was in that year that hit record lows in the service that we were offering our residents here in Nassau County. There is a correlation. There's profit going up and service coming down.

So, I really struggle with this contract that Nassau County entered into and I've said it before and I'll have to say it again that I believe that this issue is bubbling up out of the response to the RFP that you initially responded to. I believe that at some point what you said you could do and what ultimately you could do were two different things. And so that's always been my concern when we extend these contracts. Like when the previous county executive extended the contract he extended it allocating additional funding to our subsidy to you. And so that's when we really stared cutting services and that's why

I'm actually concerned that we're considering extending the ceiling, not extending by way of going to give you additional financial support or it's going to cost the county additional money.

But I actually think this was an opportunity to sit down at the table again and look at how we can get a better situation for our residents.

The only person winning here is Transdev. Our residents are losing and we're losing. And that's been my problem from the very beginning and I'm sorry. It's not a reflection specifically, maybe on how you're managing the current bus system, but I do think that it is definitely a system of how you responded to the original bid. I don't think what you said you could do initially you've been able to achieve. And based on that I can't support an extension on this contract for this purpose.

I really wish the current administration would see this from my lens, or at least stop and pause and go back to the table and try to renegotiate some different terms because I have another issue, which is the corporate overhead. Because I do know there is some benefit to NICE bus by way of that corporate overhead which is

another line item which comes to several million dollars as well. I'm sorry, to Transdev. Not just to NICE bus, it's also a benefit to Transdev, that corporate overhead line. That's several million dollars as well. So, at the end of the day, this margin of risk is not the only financial benefit that Transdev is getting.

So, I'm just putting it out there that, I know we wanted to press the gas pedal, but my foot is still on the brake. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other legislators? I don't think there was a question, it was a statement basically. I see that a member of the public has a comment? No? Alright. Thank you, Mr. Setzer.

MICHAEL SETZER: Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you, Ms. Persaud. We appreciate it. Hearing no further discussion-

JUDY SANFORD GUISE: This is the part of the meeting for which I stayed all day. I would-Judy Sanford Guise, N1 rider, and user of the bus system. Last Monday it was 14 different buses. I would ask-

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Direct your

1 comments.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDY SANFORD GUISE: My question I have is about your lost revenue. If he or anyone would like to hang out with me at Green Acres on a Saturday afternoon and watch the number of people who bail because they can't wait three hours for a bus, who then hop on the Q5 or the Q8 and find another and way to go back- a lot of people who spend money in Nassau County come from other places. And they're lack of service is preventing the people from coming here and spending their money. But here are some people who actually come to Green Acres and then can't leave. But they come from Far Rockway, they come from other places, and that is now lost revenue to us and to them and to Transdev, or whatever, because the people bail and spend their fare money on the MTA because they have an option and the rest of us are stuck.

But, again, on the issue that was mentioned as far as they are underserving. I understand that the claim that the only lines that are making a profit are N4 and N6 and I've been on each of them. Because I travel at different times of the day, I see different

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things. And I don't understand why a bus needs to- at overcapacity. And there are certain reasons why. When a bus gets full it goes slower and slower and slower and so the next bus that come may be half empty, but that is not a good way of serving people. It's dangerous, it's not good for the drivers who incidentally don't even get potty breaks. And so, you have just- it's a horrendous, horrendous situation that every taxpayer in Nassau County is paying towards this service. Those of us who are riding buses are paying for it, many of us because we waited, end up paying a third time because transfers expire, and people have to pay again. There's something wrong with how this system is running. There's something wrong about buses that are consistently- I won't bore you with my life when I try to get Manhasset in four buses and it took two and half hours because buses were late and then you miss a bus and you miss a bus and you miss a bus. That's just me trying to get to a Dr. There are people who are doing this every single day and it's impossible to see people coming home at 8:00 at night from Jamaica Center who are traveling east and it's dangerously full after

8:00 at night because they have cut back the run 1 2 and that's how they're maintaining their profit. You know, maybe there are too many Q5's from the 3 MTA's going east into Nassau County. But it's 4 impossible to stand there and watch people-5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay, your time 6 7 has expired ma'am. 8 JUDY SANFORD GUISE: Thank you. 9 MICHAEL SELTZER: Presiding Officer, if 10 I may? Please? 11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: To what? 12 MICHAEL SELTZER: There was a public 13 comment upon the administration as to what level 14 of checks and balances do we have here currently 15 that can address some of these concerns that are 16 being presented by this constituent. 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You can ask that 18 offline. I think we're done with this. 19 META MEREDAY: Are you cutting off 20 public comment for this one too? LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Go ahead. You 21 22 have a comment about everything else, so why not this one? 23 24 META MEREDAY: I just want to be sure. Meta Mereday, Baldwin resident. As it pertains to 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Transdev, I think first of all, what is your other option? You know you can easily say they're not doing this they're not doing that. Again, if you're not getting the data you're not getting the information in regard to what the needs are, I mean okay. Let's take a show of hands. How many people sitting before here has actually ridden the bus? Let's' start there. Who've had to wait for the bus? Okay, one, two, three- alright, cool. But you should all, as she's mentioned, stand out there in Green Acres. Stand out there in Hempstead in the heat when you have no shelter from the rain or whatever, you know, along Uniondale. Manhasset even. If you can survive the potholes, let's start there, but then waiting for the bus.

But it's not just Transdev. I mean if you don't study the issue, and this has been an issue for years, but you will spend thousands of dollars for like, in Baldwin that will make one lane coming and going in front of a fire department and around the corner from the police station, but you'll spend \$100,000 to study that. But you won't study the needs of the residents and, guess what? Funding options on the state and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

federal level that could address and subsidize some of the issues as it pertains to transportation.

I for one have presented this to a number of you who sat before here. Well, some of you, some who didn't get reelected, but that's whole another story. Because I see some new faces here this year and who's to say after certain elections there may be another group of new faces. But the ideas and the struggles of residents who can't even get there, let alone to their jobs because they don't have the two incomes. They can't afford to get Uber. Some people from the legislation that you passed earlier, the five cent campaign for the bags in the stores, some of them can't get to the stores. So, if we're going to start doing something, let's stop throwing Transdev under the bus. You all gave yourself a raise, you don't think the people that work for Transdev think they have bills? That they have kids that they need to put through college? Let's start looking at what you're doing with our tax dollars as it pertains to this vital scenario.

You funded \$500,000 for Long Island

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Railroad, because the governor wanted to have the third rail project. Why can't you fund an actual study, to have an actual, even a summit, or something that will bring residents together. Community leaders, business leaders together. So that we can see what we can do from a public and private sector stand point. And guess what? Because I know, you may sit here and say, "Oh my God, here she is again. Oh, but hey, she's going to talk about the veterans." Yes, and there's funding that you're missing out on for our veterans, for our seniors, for our young people because you just want to surcharge and tax the residents to death. There is another funding stream- it's called a grant. Okay? Every state and federal agency has some form of grants. New York State spent over \$1 billion to generate 209 jobs with Start Up New York. I think we could have done a lot better with even a tenth of that. Let's start looking at more proactive and aggressive resources for the veterans and all of our residents who depend on this type of transportation and stop just throwing Transdev under the bus. Be a part of the solution, not continue to perpetuate the problem. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. I will call the item now. All in favor signify by saying aye. Aye. Those opposed? Two names? It passes fifteen-two. We would now ask the clerk if you would please call the emergency.

CLERK PULITZER: The emergency is resolution 10-2018, Emergency resolution number 10-2018 declaring an emergency for immediate action and a resolution to authorize the transfer of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2018.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Mr.

Pulitzer. A motion to establish the emergency

made by Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator

Drucker. All in favor of the emergency signify by

saying aye. Those opposed? The emergency is

established. Mr. Pulitzer you now have to call

the item.

CLERK PULITZER: Clerk item 237-18 is a resolution authorizing the transfer of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2018.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you.

Motioned by Legislator Muscarella, seconded by

Legislator Bynoe. The item is before the full

1 | Legislature.

ANDY PERSICH: Andy Persich.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Oh, I'm sorry.

ANDY PERSICH: It's alright, I've been called worse legislator. This item is moving money from utilities to fund Long Island Regional Planning Council which is part of the restoration. They're funding needs became dire recently, so we had to more this expeditiously to get it on the calendar quickly for them, so.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr. Guardino is here? Do you want to add something?

RICH GUARDINO: Good afternoon, Rich Guardino. I'm the executive director of the Long Island Regional Planning Council. First of all, thank you all for your courtesy and patience in dealing with everyone here this afternoon. As many of you may be aware, we are currently administrating several state grants, including one for the Long Island Nitrogen Action Plan, which is a multi-year program to reduce nitrogen in both subsurface and surface waters. It's an important environmental initiative. We were not funded in 2017. We're hoping to be funded in 2018. We've actually gotten to the point now

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where if we don't receive funding- we have a very small staff, and we will be facing some lay-offs and that's why we have requested this emergency legislation for transfer of funds.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority Leader Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Hey Rich, how are you? I guess you found out in 2017 that you weren't going to be funded for- in 2016 you found out you weren't going to be funded for 2017?

RICH GUARDINO: In 2017 it was initially in the budget. When it went through the process with NIFA the appropriation was eliminated, and so, yes it was at the end of 2016 that we found out we weren't going to be funded for 2017. We were hoping something would happen in the course of year to give some relief. It never happened. Once again, it was in the budget for this year, at the end of 2017 for the 2018 budget. It was initially in there. Going through the NIFA process, it was eliminated again. The County Executive put it forth in supplemental and fortunately I think it's now available. So, we've basically gone about a year and half without funding.

LEGISLATOR AGRAHAMS: So, obviously this has always been like a joint regional thing between us and Suffolk. Has Suffolk also withheld their funding, or have you still been continually getting their funding?

RICH GUARDINO: Suffolk is committed. We have been funded by them. We didn't go back to them last year because the funding wasn't available from Nassau County. We didn't feel it was fair to ask them to come to the table without the funding from the sister county. Obviously once we have this resolved here we'll be back to them. The indication is that they feel very positive about the work that we're doing. Steve Bellone is a former member of the Regional Planning Council and he's indicated he would support once he has a commitment from Nassau.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. And then my last question Rich. Could you just give us - I know you had talked about the study of the nitrogen water - could you give us some issues that guys have worked on over the last couple years? I guess so that we could get a greater understanding of -

RICH GUARDINO: Yeah, the Nitrogen

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Action Plan I think it probably is one of the most important environmental initiatives since the Pine Barrens. It's a multi-year program. We're partners with the DEC, we work very closely with the Nassau County Public Works, the Department of Health. There are a number of initiatives in both counties. Certainly, the great work Nassau County has done in terms of the Bay Park Diversion is a critical part of that. It will actually eliminate millions of tons of nitrogen to Reynolds Channel. We're also taking a look in terms of fertilizer and that type of pollution, what it causes. Storm water runoff. You're all familiar with the deleterious effects of nitrogen in the water where there's fish kills. It also has an impact on our wetland. Certainly, we experienced with Sandy the fact that the wetlands were diminished had an impact as well. It also impacts our shellfish and there's actually been a 90 percent decrease in the shellfish areas over the past 10 years as a result of nitrogen pollution. So, it's a critical issue. Water is the life blood of Long Island and it impacts every part of our quality of life here. And that's the major initiative.

One of the things we'll be looking to do in the very near future as a result of administering a grant is take a look some of the data gaps that we have in Nassau County and the south shore estuary. We want to look at what the base line is now. Certainly, take a second look at it after some of these things go online.

Whether it's the diversion. We're also instrumental in working very closely with your Department of Public Works to get a grant to look additionally sewering- a state grant, additionally sewering in Nassau County.

Among other things we've done, in respecting your time here this afternoon, the Department of Commerce requires that there be a comprehensive economic development study done in order for institutions to access grants from the Department of Commerce. We submit annually and report to them, which is a condition precedent for any institution that wants to apply for grants with the Department of Commerce. We recently completed the tax alternatives study. It was a year-long study to look at tax alternative to property tax. It's a 150 page report. I will not go into the details here. Certainly, it's

available on our website and we've declared to talk with any of you individually in the committee about some of the analysis that was done there. Certainly, it is important in terms of Nassau County because it does go into some great detail about both sales tax and property taxes.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Just to echo what you just said. You nicely gave us a full briefing as well as the administration on your tax alternatives study.

RICH GUARDINO: And we're available to anyone here this afternoon who would like to sit and talk with us about it. The report is available on the website. We're glad to send it to you individually, meet with any of the committees here in the Legislature.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Just real quick. I know that the assembly passed a bill reducing the amount of nitrogen allowed in fertilizer and now it's in the Senate.

RICH GUARDINO: Absolutely, there's actually a hearing, I got an email while I was

here this afternoon. There's a hearing with 1 2 Senator Hannon and I can send you the details-LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Right, so 3 you're supporting that effort? I just wanted to 4 5 make sure. 6 RICH GUARDINO: Yeah, we're a part of 7 that effort. We actually have on our website, 8 we're going to put together a so-called 9 fertilizer analysis so that folks can go to our 10 website and take a look at their application, 11 take a look at what they're buying and see if 12 they're overfertilizing putting nitrogen into 13 their lawns. That's part of the analysis that 14 we're doing. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Thank you 15 16 for supporting that. 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Yes, Legislator 18 Birnbaum? 19 LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Does your group do 20 educational outreach to stores and gardeners? 21 Like how do you spread the word? I know you 22 produce reports, but who gets them? 23 RICH GUARDINO: Yes. One of the things

that we did as a result of some of the funding we

have is we've upgraded our website and we're on

24

25

social media. We were fortunate enough to be able to bring EpicFive, which is a pretty well-known company here on Long Island, to upgrade the website. If you go to that website, you're going to see research studies, we have a monthly newsletter- I would be glad to add you all to that- which talks about all the various initiatives that are going on right now. And again, we're working very closely with both the counties.

One of the things, and again, I realize it's been a long afternoon, we certainly appreciate your patience and courtesy, but one of the things that's happened in Suffolk County is the innovative alternative septic systems. It's very important out there because they have 360,000 septic systems. Nassau County has had the benefit of sewering, but on the North Shore there are areas. We're going to work very closely with the Health Department and with your Department for Public Works, talking about the pilot programs that they have out there with these new systems that can reduce nitrogen. Because in many places, especially on the north shore, the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

study. It would be prohibitively expensive to do 1 2 a sewering in many of those areas. So, these innovative alternative systems are a good way to 3 4 go. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other 5 6

questions? Thank you, Richard. Thank you for your patience.

RICHARD GUARDINO: Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any public comment? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

We have one more item on the full Legislature, but it is on a Rules Committee Calendar first. So, we're going to quickly move into a Rules Committee to move the two items if we can and then we'll go back into the full Legislature. So, I'm going to put the Legislature in recess and call the Rules Committee to order.

(Recess at 5:11 p.m.)

CLERK PULITZER: Do it with a roll call?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Yes, please.

CLERK PULITZER: Rules Committee roll

call. Legislator Siela Bynoe?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.

	FULL LEGISLATURE 5-23-2018 201
1	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator, Delia
2	DeRiggi-Whitton?
3	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
4	CLERK PULITZER: Ranking member, Kevan
5	Abrahams?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	Kevan?
8	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I said here.
9	CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura
10	Schaefer?
11	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Designate
12	Legislator Kennedy sitting for Laura Schaefer.
13	CLERK PULITZER: Okay. Legislator
14	Kennedy?
15	LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
16	CLERK PULITZER: Thank you. Legislator
17	Steven Rhoads?
18	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
19	CLERK PULITZER: LEGISLATOR, Howard
20	Kopel?
21	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
22	CLERK PULITZER: Chairman, Richard
23	Nicolello?
24	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
25	CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. We have two items on the Rules Committee Calendar. I am going to call them both together if necessary, we can vote on them separately. Item 236-18 is a resolution authorizing the County Executive to execute an inter-municipal agreement with the Town of Hempstead in relation to procuring and installing a recreational improvement project at Wynsum Avenue Park. E-62-2018 is a resolution authorizing the County Executive to execute a personal services agreement between the County, acting on behalf of the Department of Human Services, Office of Youth Services and Long Beach Aware. Moved by Legislator Rhoads, seconded by Legislator Kennedy. Those two items are before the committee.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just a question for the administration regarding item E-64-18. It has come to our attention in reviewing the contract for Long Beach Aware that Mr. Alfonso Albright is a principal or works for Long Beach Aware. Could you give us some insight on that particular-?

TRISTA BREIL: Actually, I cannot give some insight on that. That's something I would

1 | have to bring back to the office.

issue with Mr. Albright. Our concern is obviously, we just want to make sure that the administration has done its due diligence to ensure that Mr. Albright hasn't been involved in any of the procurement that have been tied to Aware as he's a Commissioner of Corrections for the county. So, we just want to make sure that we have cleaned that slate to make sure it's going forward. If the administration can get back to us I'd appreciate it in making sure that that has been done. Hey, Mike.

MIKE SANTORAMO: Hey, Mike Santoramo. We spoke with your council earlier today and I spoke with our Deputy County Executive for Compliance, and you can be assured that Mr. Albright has nothing to do with any procurement in the county.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Just what we wanted to hear. Thanks, Mike.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Good, thank you. Nice (inaudible) answers. We like that. Any other questions?

(No verbal response.)

25 " Any public comment?

(inaudible)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

META MEREDAY: Meta J. Mereday. My question has to do with the municipal agreement as far as what is the cost of the project, what does it actually entail, and as it pertains to the diversity inclusions since the county, as far as I know doesn't have an inspector general to kind of go over these contracts overall in light of past activities within the county. I mean someone has to ask these questions. You might have the documents before you, but the residents are at a disadvantage not knowing what is happening. So again, the cost of the project, the inclusion involved, and what does it entail? It says installing a recreational improvement project. I mean are you adding a swing? Slide? What does this mean?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have the perfect person to answer at least some of those questions.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you Mr.

Chairman. Ms. Mereday the overall cost of the project is estimated to be about \$230,000.

\$100,000 of that will be coming from a grant through the state government that was originally

secured by Senator Venditto and is being processed now by Senator Brooks. The money is being given to the Town of Hempstead. The Town of Hempstead will control compliance, obviously with their internal procedures.

META MEREDAY: Well, unfortunately the Town of Hempstead, I've been told this on numerous occasions for the number of years that I've been going to the town, is not under any obligation to really emphasize any type of benchmarking or, you know, fair outreach as it pertains to minority women, service-disabled. Best efforts is an ad in Newsday and notification on their website. So, I would not be impressed by hearing that the Town of Hempstead is responsible for the enforcement as it pertains to inclusion. When the county at least has some mandates.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Well, the issue that we have, Ms. Mereday is the fact that Wynsum Park is actually a Town of Hempstead facility. Nassau County would not be able to do any of the construction on a Town of Hempstead facility. That's why there's an intermunicipal agreement between the two.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

META MEREDAY: I understand that, but within the negotiations and the language, being that I'm not a lawyer, but most people say I really need to (inaudible) of them. I need to have some clarity as it pertains to the rights when we're talking about funding that's coming from this. We're talking about state grants which I would say has some inclusion from a federal connection, which means that there are some compliance issues that I would hope someone is taking into consideration, because there is no track record as it pertains- there are no numbers unless, again you have the information and the rest of us do not. There's no numbers that speak to any involvement inclusion of certain servicedisabled veteran-owned minority LGBT as it pertains to contracting in Nassau County. This is 2018. So, who is going to be responsible. She's signing- if the County Executive is signing an agreement as the representative for this county, based upon trying to be inclusive, when do the residents really see where that inclusion is coming from, that we're getting the opportunities, or it's just going to the same people that you see every month.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Ms. Mereday, this point of clarification and (inaudible) correct me if I'm wrong. Everything that we have here, because I've already stated this a couple of times, Ms. Mereday, everything we have here is posted online, correct?

(Yes, sir.)

So, Ms. Mereday, I would refer you to go online to make sure that what you want to see what we have, we don't have any special documents. So, whatever we have is posted online before the meeting.

META MEREDAY: Okay, well of this that you read- I mean because you have you, you have staff you have other people, you have attorneys you things of that nature.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Yes, they prepare recommendation and thoughts on things, yes.

META MEREDAY: I understand that. I don't always have the time to read every piece of paper, every document. But I do know that when I went to the site I have not seen the inclusion and knowing, again what is on the site as pertains to service-disabled veteran-owned businesses in the county is not fulfilling what

1 || i:

is on its own site.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Ms. Mereday, I just want to correct the record, because you said that what we have up here, almost implying like you don't have something there.

META MEREDAY: Okay.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Okay. So, just to correct the record, everything that we have up here, the contract itself that I'm looking at, the public has access to. So, we're not hiding it.

META MEREDAY: Okay. But are you comfortable with the fact that there is-what is the oversight? Are you comfortable if I go to look at this contract-?

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I'm comfortable based on my review as well as our staff review, I am comfortable in voting for this contract, yes.

META MEREDAY: And that there is going to be inclusion and there is going to be benchmarking and it's going to involve- when the program for the county does not even have sufficient staff for the input for this (inaudible.)

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: That is something

that requires greater input from the administration. Which, that could be the reason we hold up every contract in the county. And we could completely stop business in the county. We could do that too. But if you're asking me if I'm comfortable with this agreement, yes. We are comfortable with this agreement, and I plan to vote for it. That being said, I'm not taking umbrage with your issue and whether or not there is minority or veterans future work that's planned for this contract. My point was that, I've hear you say it a couple times at these legislative meetings, that-

META MEREDAY: I said maybe-

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Ms. Mereday, I never interrupted you, please.

(Okay.)

All I'm saying is that you said that we have stuff up here that you don't have there. That implies like we have some special documents which we do not have. Everything that we have up here, the public has. That's all I wanted to do to correct for the record, because I've heard you say that a couple times. So, there is nothing special that we have up here that the public does

not have. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

META MEREDAY: Okay. Then I will look at the contract so that I will as you say, and I did say you might have information. That's a whole completely different kind of than saying that you have it.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: You did say might. But there is no might.

META MEREDAY: Okay.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: There is no might.

META MEREDAY: But you do have this that says that's outlined what the county is supposed to do as it pertains- now I have this. This is what the county is supposed to do as it pertains to service-disabled veteran-owned businesses-

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Ms. Mereday-

META MEREDAY: As you said- I didn't interrupt you, but you're interrupting me.

(Okay, go ahead.)

I did say, this is what you have and this what you are not doing. These are grounds right here.

(Okay.)

But why add to another litigation that the county is more than likely going to lose.

```
That's all I'm saying. Thank you.
1
2
             LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Gotcha, no problem.
     Thank you.
3
             LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay. Hearing no
4
5
     further discussion, I'm going to call a vote on
6
     these two items. This is just Rules Committee
7
     members. All in favor signify by saying aye. Aye.
8
             (Aye.)
9
             Those opposed?
10
             (No verbal response.)
11
             They carry unanimously. Motion by
     Legislator Kennedy, seconded Minority Leader
12
13
     Abrahams to adjourn or close the hearing- adjourn
14
     rules. All in favor signify by saying aye. Aye.
15
             (Aye.)
16
             Those opposed?
17
             (No verbal response.)
18
             Rules is adjourned.
19
             (Meeting adjourn 5:21)
20
              (Reconvened at 5:21)
21
             LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Go back into the
22
     Full Legislature, calling back into session. We
    have that one item which we just passed in Rules,
23
24
     which is item 55 on the Full Legislative
25
     Calendar, resolution number 100. A resolution
```

authorizing the County Executive to execute an inter-municipal agreement with the Town of Hempstead in relation to procuring and installing a recreational improvement project at Wynsum Avenue Park. Moved again by Legislator Rhoads, seconded by Legislator Ford. The item is before us. Any discussion or debate? Any public comment? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously. We have some slips for public comment yet to go but I'm going to make the motion to adjourn, seconded by Legislator Walker. All in favor of adjourning the Legislature signify by saying aye. Aye. Those opposed? Okay, we are adjourned.

(Meeting adjourned.)

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The remaining public comment. Dennis O'Reilly. I apologize for the fact that you had to be here all day.

DENNIS O'REILLY: I used to be a marathon runner. I admire all the issues you guys have to deal with here. My name is Dennis O'Reilly. I want to voice my support of the proposed legislation put forth by Debra Mule, Legislator of my district, and strongly in support of our County Executive Laura Curran, to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

require retailers to give customers of either using their own reusable shopping bags for free or incurring a five cents charge for every single use plastic bag they require.

I live in the Town of Hempstead in Baldwin and am a member of SPLASH, a volunteer organization whose mission, as many of you know, is to clean up Long Island waterways, including bays in the south shore. We recently inaugurated our 2018 season on our specially designed SPLASH boat at the Marina in Freeport at 8:00 a.m. armed with our usual array of nets, buckets, metal pickers and hooks. Three hours later returned with our three 55 gallon barrels filled to the brim with assorted floating debris. In addition to large pieces of lumber and Styrofoam a significant portion of our catch, almost always consists of one-time, disposable plastic items. Cups, straws, beverage bottles, balloons, and thin retail carry bags. These are plastic products whose lifespan can be counted in seconds or minutes.

Last fall, one of my fellow crew members suggested I read a newly published book called "Junk Raft." Until I read this book, I assumed we

at SPLASH were basically on a mission to make the bays look pretty, which was enough for me since I am a boater and use the beaches quite a lot.

However, I was stunned by citations in this book of newly revealed scientific research that demonstrates without a doubt that all that plastic floating in the ocean, including Long Island Bays is not just litter. It eventually becomes a toxic substance to marine life. What these studies prove is that left to own's device, plastic doesn't go away but simply breaks down to smaller and smaller toxic microparticles. So small, that they eventually get absorbed into the tissue of marine life, including the fish we eat.

So, let me go back to my experience in the bays off of Freeport every Friday morning. What I pointed out before, was the amount of plastic debris that we capture and remove from the water. What I didn't mention is the incredible amount of tiny plastic pieces that we leave behind and will never be able to pick up. There is almost not a trip that goes by that I don't tug against the plastic bag for example enmeshed in the region mud on a bay island. That can't be removed completely because it turns to

tatters. And so, I leave most it behind and then there are all those tiny white pieces of Styrofoam and other plastic that I don't bother to pick up because there are way too many of them.

CLERK PULITZER: Sir, your time has expired.

DENNIS O'REILLY: Let me make one more point-

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Absolutely, sure.

DENNIS O'REILLY: So, I have come to the conclusion that we can't just pick up the garbage. We have to deal with our plastic problem at the source.

With the legislation that is being proposed buy Legislator Mule, we have a tool at our disposal to do just that, by encouraging all Nassau residents to reduce the use of single-use plastic bags there will be that many fewer bags in our waterways. And we know that similar laws in Suffolk County and Long Beach have proven they're effectiveness in reducing the use of plastic bags. So, why not in Nassau? And to those who view this bill as just another tax on an already overtaxed population consider two things-

CLERK PULITZER: Sir, your time has expired.

DENNIS O'REILLY: One minute. While I do not know what it costs us to dispose of the mounting number of single-use plastic bags in our county, I do know this. It's not free. And second, this bill is not mandating the consumers pay five cents per bag. We don't have to pay that fee. It simply requires that we think about what we're doing and make a choice, because all we have to do to avoid that cost is change our behavior and bring our own tote bags. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. O'Reilly. Jean Monihan? Jack McCloy?

JACK MCCLOY: Thank you members of the Legislature. I'm going to speak to you about red light cameras. And if you're thinking you've heard it all before, this is a game changer. At the March legislative session when the candidate for the traffic and parking violations director position was being considered, I asked that he would be looking into the fair timing of yellow light duration for red light cameras. I was told that the director would simply be implementing the legislation as it stands, and the yellow

light timing is determined by the Department of Public Works. It was suggested at that meeting that if I had concerns about the yellow light duration, I should speak with those at the DPW.

Legislator Carrie Solages- thank you- who expressed interest in my concern about proper yellow light timing. Mr. Solages spoke with Kenneth Arnold, Commissioner of Nassau County DPW and Harold Lutz, Nassau County Director of Traffic Engineering, on Wednesday, April 25th during a four way conference call. I explained that the yellow light duration should be calculated by using a specific formula as mentioned by the National Highway and Traffic Safety Administration. That formula is corroborated by Edmunds, the leading National Highway Safety Organization, Wikipedia, and Google.

Mr. Arnold and Mr. Lutz stated that

Nassau County uses a formula stated in report

731, issued by the National Cooperator National

Research program. I want to repeat, Mr. Arnold

and Mr. Lutz both stated that Nassau County uses

a different formula stated in report 731, issued

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by the National Cooperative Highway Research

Program. I downloaded and printed that report and found the formula the county uses to be incorrect.

Now, I expected that the DPW folks would simply state that they considered report 731 to be accurate and no changes would be forthcoming. That is why I contacted the Mathematics Department at St. John's University, Queens College, SUNY Binghamton, University of Delaware, Hofstra, and Harvard with every one of them confirming that the formula that I deemed to be the correct formula is in fact the correct formula. The DPW is wrong and this needs to be corrected. I ask for a full review by the entire Legislature to correct the yellow light minimum duration for red light cameras and immediately halt issuance of all related summonses until the yellow light correct timing can be put into effect. The red light cameras in Nassau County as currently configured, are entrapping otherwise responsible drivers. Please, I ask you to take a look at the detailed report that I'm willing to give to you and realize that Nassau County has these red light cameras timed wrong. I sat down

personally with Mr. Solages-

2

CLERK PULITZER: Sir, your time has expired.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JACK MCCLOY: -and I will be happy to sit down with any of you that feel you are concerned. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR COLAGES: Legislator Colello, briefly? I know it's almost time for us to leave.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have five more people who have waited for five and a half hours. Let's have them speak and if you want to respond to Mr. McCoy you can do it at the end. Alright? If they're here. Scott Bochner? Maybe not. Deena Epstein? Okay. MS. Harris? She was here before. She spoke. Laurie Pappas? Domenica Califano.

DOMENICA CALIFANO: Hello. Domenica Califano. First of all, I just want to bring something up about the e-cigarettes smoking. I think that is amazing. I was at the Town of Hempstead meeting yesterday and it was so confusing about how they were going to enforce the law of giving tickets out by schools and stuff, by parks- it was very confusing. Hopefully they will enforce the law that no one will be allowed to smoke by schools and parks and

wherever else it was going to be. The other thing that's very disturbing, is you're all against the smoking, e-cigarettes and tobacco and stuff, but all these shops are opening up all over Island Park and Long Beach. Councilman D'Esposito said to us yesterday that all the shops in Island Park, two of them were closed down. We went there last night and they were still open, very close to schools.

One other thing that's a little disturbing, why Nassau County is always behind time and Suffolk County is ahead of us, we have a very big drug problem. Last night I was laying down in bed watching News 12, the Suffolk County Police, Sheriff's Department is giving away free drug tests to all parents that you're able to go to a Police Department and receive these drug tests, go home and have you child- excuse me? Suffolk County is, that you're able to get free tests. Denise Ford, you're saying Nassau County is doing it too?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We just want you to finish your comments. Because otherwise you're going to use up all your 3 minutes.

DOMENICA CALIFANO: The other thing that

I found disturbing, reading the paper yesterday, 1 2 you have Rob Walker. I've been coming here for many, many years complaining about bad contracts 3 from a few \$100,000 contracts, \$14 million 4 5 contracts that Operation VIP SPLASH got. Rob Walker's mother votes on a lot of these contracts 6 7 and bids which she never takes herself off of-8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You're making it 9 personal. I'm going to cut you off. If you're 10 making it personal with anyone up here-11 DOMENICA CALIFANO: I'm not making it personal, I have facts-12 13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It'll be over. 14 You're the last speaker. We'll be done. If you 15 make it personal. 16 DOMENICA CALIFANO: So, you're violating 17 my constitutional rights? 18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You have no 19 constitutional right to attack somebody 20 personally. 21 DOMENICA CALIFANO: I'm not attacking 22 anyone. I'm only speaking the truth and the facts that we have. 23 24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: If you make it 25 personal then this meeting is over.

DOMENICA CALIFANO: I'm not making it personal. Okay, you took minutes away from me.

Now are you going to add minutes back?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No.

DOMENICA CALIFANO: Okay. Anyway, I have a great deal of concern that these contracts are coming through and they're still coming through. And there's bad contracts out there. And some reviews are not overlooking them and allowing this money to go. Operation VIP SPLASH, you just heard the gentleman here, six of their people are out on disability, getting full disability but they're able to jump off boats, go into water and clean the wetlands and waters and stuff. It's horrendous.

The other thing was, I helped Nassau

County in Oceanside by Oceanside High School and stuff. Kids are OD'ing every single day. They need to speak about it more in our schools. We're talking about 21 years old, but we have a very big drug problem here. It's very scary what goes on and the Nassau County Police Department not helping the adults in Oceanside to get the drug dealers off the streets. I had-

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Alright, you're

done.

DOMENICA CALIFANO: Could I finish?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You can sum up, and then you're done.

DOMENICA CALIFANO: You're good. By the way, I just wanted everyone to know that what's going on in the 4th Precinct of the Nassau County is horrendous. There is bullying going on there.

Nassau County Jail, you're going there to visit people, you're getting sexually harassed. The inmate tells and puts a complaint in, they retaliated against the inmate and had them on lockdown. We are women in Nassau County. We're going to the county jail to visit people and stuff and we're getting sexually harassed-

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you very much. Thank you for your comments. It's appreciated.

DOMENICA CALIFANO: -maybe someone could reach out to us and help us women out. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator

Solages, I think you had something you wanted to say.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I appreciate it. I would like to follow up on the comments made by

Mr. McCloy. I find that your research, by the way, has been much appreciated that you reached this many universities to see what equation they use and how much time should be allotted. How much more time are you asking for on the yellow lights? Is it just a second, or half a second?

JACK MCCLOY: I want the correct formula to be applied to what the yellow light minimum duration should be. And the reason I reached out to professors at the universities I mentioned is because the specific report that Mr. Lutz and Mr. Arnold mentioned, report 731, report 731 of the National Cooperative Highway Research Program uses an incorrect formula to determine what the yellow light minimum should be.

Let me point out that the yellow light minimum depends on what traffic speed is in that area and also whether it's on an incline or not. My point is that because they use a formula that is incorrect, the result is incorrect. I ask that the proper formula be adopted, which is in the details that I submitted to you, because we had more time to discuss it, I'm willing to submit that to any of you other legislators because the formula that's being used is an incorrect

1	application to determine yellow light minimum
2	timing.
3	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr. McCloy, you
4	can provide your findings to the clerk and
5	they'll distribute it to everybody else here. I'm
6	assuming you're giving it to the administration.
7	JACK MCCLOY: I will give the detailed
8	follow up material to everyone on the Legislature
9	as I already submitted to Mr. Solages. Thank you.
LO	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you.
L1	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you Presiding
L2	Officer.
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
ם כ	I control of the cont

CERTIFICATION

I, FRANK GRAY, a Notaty Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic

IN THE WITNESS WHEREOF, I have Hereunto set my hand this 11th day of

FRANK GRAY