

1

2

3

4

5

6 NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

7

8 RICHARD NICOLELLO

9 PRESIDING OFFICER

10

11 RULES COMMITTEE

12

13 LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO

14 CHAIR

15

16

17 Theodore Roosevelt Building

18 1550 Franklin Avenue

19 Mineola, New York

20

21

22 December 3, 2018

23 1:24 P.M.

24

25

1

2 A P P E A R A N C E S:

3

4 LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO

5 Chair

6

7 LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL

8 Vice Chair

9

10 LEGISLATOR STEVEN RHOADS

11

12 LEGISLATOR DENISE FORD

13

14 LEGISLATOR KEVAN ABRAHAMS

15 Ranking member

16

17 LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

18

19 LEGISLATOR SIELA BYNOE

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Call the
3 Rules Committee to order. To start things off
4 I would request that Colonel Bill Gaylor lead
5 us in the Pledge of Allegiance. Please remain
6 standing afterwards.

7 (Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I
9 regretfully announce that Legislator James
10 Kennedy's mother passed away suddenly, my
11 understanding, over the weekend. I ask that
12 we have a moment of silence and that you keep
13 James and his family in your thoughts and
14 prayers. Thank you.

15 If you would remain standing for a
16 moment. Legislator Ford reminds me that we
17 lost President Bush as well over the weekend.
18 An outstanding leader of our country, a man of
19 dignity, grace and courage, who served our
20 country well, a true statesman and American
21 hero. Keep his family in our thoughts as
22 well. Thank you.

23 What we are going to do with the
24 committees the Rules Committee will go first.
25 We will be considering the contract portion of

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 our agenda. Following that we will be having
3 a brief meeting of the Inspector General
4 Committee that will be in public, will be
5 taking action with respect to the appointment
6 of an inspector general or recommendation for
7 the appointment of an inspector general
8 today. And then we will be having a joint
9 meeting of the Rules and Planning Committee
10 after that in which any legislator will be
11 eligible to participate in order to consider
12 the Coliseum leases.

13 To start things off we will move to
14 the contracts on the calendar. I'm going to
15 call several contracts together because they
16 relate to similar services. Actually, Mr.
17 Clerk could you call the roll please.

18 MR. PULITZER: Thank you. Rules
19 Committee roll call. Legislator Siela Bynoe.

20 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.

21 MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
22 DeRiggi-Whitton.

23 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
24 Here.

25 MR. PULITZER: Ranking member

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 Kevan Abrahams.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.

4 MR. PULITZER: Substituting for
5 Legislator Laura Schaefer is Legislator Denise
6 Ford.

7 LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.

8 MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
9 Legislator Steven Rhoads.

10 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.

11 MR. PULITZER: Vice Chairman
12 Howard Kopel.

13 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.

14 MR. PULITZER: Chairman Richard
15 Nicoletto.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.

17 MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum
18 sir.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
20 very much. I'm going to call several items
21 together that relate to similar services.
22 A-1, A-54, A-55 A-56 2018. These are all
23 resolutions authorizing the director of Nassau
24 County Office of Purchasing to award and
25 execute contracts between the county and

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 Agilent Technologies, Inc., Morrell Instrument
3 Company, Inc., I. Miller Precision Optical
4 Company, Inc. and Foster and Freeman, USA Inc.

5 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded
6 by Legislator Bynoe. All those items are
7 before us.

8 MS. DOOLING: Karen Dooling,
9 assistant director of the crime lab. The
10 first item, A-1-18, is for Agilent. This is
11 for the instrument relocation for all of our
12 instrumentation in our chemistry control
13 substances section.

14 The second item, A-54-18 as well as
15 A-55-18, is to procure the microscopes that we
16 need for the different sections of the
17 laboratory.

18 And A-56-18 is for some of the
19 items and the software that we will need for
20 the trace analysis section.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The
22 \$64,000 question is when will the crime lab be
23 open?

24 MS. DOOLING: First quarter of
25 next year.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Will there
3 continued to be services provided out of the
4 county after it is opened?

5 MS. DOOLING: Currently the
6 services that we have available are forensic
7 biology, latent prints for processing and
8 comparison. Chemistry controlled substance
9 we're handling lab 50 percent of the volume
10 right now because of the space limitations
11 that we have. And we will be adding trace
12 analysis. We will be adding firearms,
13 ballistics, crime scene reconstruction section
14 and digital forensics. The newer disciplines,
15 once we get staff hired, generally it takes
16 about two years to accredit a new forensic
17 discipline. Between one and a half and two
18 years. You have to hire the staff, train them
19 and you have to have your accrediting body in
20 to inspect the new discipline.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So what
22 will be different after the first other than
23 physically locating the crime lab?

24 MS. DOOLING: What will be
25 different is we will be in the new location.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 We will have enough space to move forward to
3 take the additional 50 percent of the volume
4 in chemistry controlled substances, which is a
5 big accomplishment, and we will be moving
6 forward with putting on the other disciplines
7 because we can't do that right now because of
8 space constraints.

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: For some
10 length of time after the crime lab is reopened
11 we will continue to have to use outside
12 services to do those disciplines?

13 MS. DOOLING: For some of them,
14 yes.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
16 questions? Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

17 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
18 Regarding this would be A-1-18, we just have a
19 question about the transportation services.
20 In the backup, it's highlighted, it says this
21 quote does not include transportation
22 services. Does that mean that there will be
23 an extra cost or does that just mean there
24 will be no transportation services.

25 MS. DOOLING: No, there's no

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 transportation services. This includes the
3 transportation.

4 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:

5 Thanks for clarifying that.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
7 Ford.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: When we open up
9 the new location do we have the staff ready to
10 be moved into there as well?

11 MS. DOOLING: We have current
12 staff that will be moved in and we are
13 continuously hiring new staff. So right now
14 we are working on hiring the firearms section
15 of the laboratory and then working with budget
16 we are phasing in the other employees.

17 LEGISLATOR FORD: So then you
18 will be able to anticipate how many more
19 people you will need whether or not you need
20 to then at the next budget cycle or so to
21 submit --

22 MS. DOOLING: Sorry. A number of
23 new employees have been budgeted for in next
24 budget cycle to staff the new disciplines.

25 LEGISLATOR FORD: We have an

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 active list that we are able to get the
3 candidates or do we still have to give the
4 test and maybe establish a list?

5 MS. DOOLING: They are
6 noncompetitive titles. So we've been
7 interviewing for hires.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
10 debate or discussion? Hearing none, any
11 public comment? Thank you. All in favor
12 signify by saying aye. Those opposed? These
13 pass unanimously.

14 A-59 of 2018, resolution to
15 authorize the director of Nassau County Office
16 of Purchasing to award and execute a contract
17 between the county and Jack Doheny Companies
18 Inc.

19 That's moved by Legislator
20 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
21 Rhoads. That item is before us. Is there
22 anyone here to speak on the contract between
23 DPW and Jack Doheny, Inc.?

24 MR. COTUGNO: Good afternoon.
25 Rich Cotugno from Public Works. The three

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 flush trucks that we are buying is associated
3 with the operational maintenance of the sewer
4 system, which is presently we have a contract
5 operator which is Suez. The vehicles
6 themselves are 1996 flush trucks that are
7 beyond their useful life. And over the last
8 few years a lot of maintenance, upwards as far
9 as an average over the last three years of
10 about \$60,000 has gone into these trucks.

11 The intent was to again initiate an
12 initial order of three flush trucks to replace
13 those vehicles.

14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That seems
15 to make sense. How many miles were on those
16 vehicles?

17 MR. COTUGNO: It's not so much
18 the mileage it's the equipment. It's a '96
19 vehicle. It's also got a tank and the pumping
20 systems. So, it's a lot of wear and tear on
21 that equipment. Typically you would start
22 looking to at least evaluate replacement when
23 the vehicles are 15 years old. These are 22
24 years old and were originally identified in
25 2010, when the county had the operation, to

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 initially replace them.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
4 you. Any questions? Legislator Ford.

5 LEGISLATOR FORD: Do they handle
6 basically the same capacity or do you find
7 with the newer trucks that they will run more
8 efficient?

9 MR. COTUGNO: They are more
10 efficient because of the pumping systems they
11 have on them. They are efficient as far as
12 the cleaning system, as far as the maintenance
13 where they're looking to clean any grease
14 buildup that has built up on the sewer lines
15 in the street. They also respond to homeowner
16 stoppages. Should a stoppage be identified
17 that would be a Suez and/or county
18 responsibility, these are one of the vehicles
19 that would go there and correct the condition
20 right then and there.

21 LEGISLATOR FORD: That's
22 interesting. How many flush trucks do we have
23 in the county?

24 MR. COTUGNO: There's about eight
25 in one district, meaning Cedar Creek, and

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 seven in Bay Park.

3 LEGISLATOR FORD: What are their
4 ages for those trucks? Are they like 1996 as
5 well or hopefully newer.

6 MR. COTUGNO: We have others that
7 are 2002. There's some 2006 and also 2012.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: Hopefully we
9 will look into getting --

10 MR. COTUGNO: The intent would be
11 to get on a program of replacement, and that's
12 what we originally identified that we wanted
13 to do. But getting the funding in place and
14 also getting the requisitions out and the bids
15 back because they are specialized equipment.

16 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
18 Bynoe.

19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you
20 Presiding Officer. Sir. Not getting off that
21 easy. My question pertains to the contract
22 with Suez. Does it account for the county
23 actually making a purchase of this equipment?

24 MR. COTUGNO: Yes. When the
25 contract was originally signed there were 68

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 vehicles that were transferred over to Suez
3 for the operation and maintenance of the
4 system. I'm going to say that the conditions
5 of those vehicles they were old as far as what
6 we transferred over to them. And
7 contractually it identifies that the county,
8 through a capital project, is responsible for
9 the replacement. And we also go through and
10 follow the procedures set up by Purchasing.

11 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: We are
12 responsible for the 68 vehicles that we have
13 transferred over to them?

14 MR. COTUGNO: Yeah. That's the
15 vehicles they accepted. Once we go through
16 the system there will be some vehicles that we
17 may not replace. They may be able to
18 consolidate them.

19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Is the county
20 doing any kind of quality control to determine
21 if they are utilizing these vehicles
22 properly? That they are servicing them
23 properly?

24 MR. COTUGNO: Suez is responsible
25 for the maintenance on all those vehicles.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 They have records that they are performing the
3 maintenance. They also maintain the labor
4 costs that are associated with each vehicle.

5 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: All right.

6 I've not had an opportunity to look at that
7 contract to confirm what I'm hearing today. I
8 don't know how the other members of this
9 committee feel. I sure would like an
10 opportunity to confirm what I just heard and
11 look at it to ensure that we are in fact
12 responsible for the replacement of those
13 trucks.

14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's
15 fair.

16 MR. COTUGNO: We already have the
17 funding in place for the purchases of these
18 vehicles.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let me ask
20 you this. It's going to take us a couple of
21 weeks to do our due diligence so that we can
22 confirm on our own that this is in fact a
23 county responsibility as opposed to amend the
24 responsibility. If you have to wait a couple
25 of weeks for approval that's not going to

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 prejudice the county, correct?

3 MR. COTUGNO: No. We can do
4 that.

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: A motion
6 to table.

7 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Motion to
8 table.

9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Seconded
10 by Legislator Ford. All in favor of tabling
11 signify by saying aye. Those opposed? That
12 item is tabled.

13 A-60 of 2018, a resolution
14 authorizing the director of the Office of
15 Purchasing to award and execute a contract
16 between the county and Cream-O-Land Dairies,
17 Inc.

18 Moved by Legislator Rhoads.
19 Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

20 MS. HALL: Good afternoon. Narda
21 Hall, correctional center. A-60-18 is for
22 Cream-O-Land Dairies LLC. The bid was
23 advertised in Newsday, posted to the Nassau
24 County bid solicitation board where five
25 vendors viewed the bid. Minority Affairs was

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 given a copy of the bid. One vendor located
3 in Forest, New Jersey submitted a bid. The
4 other major milk company, Elmhurst Dairy
5 located in Queens, New York, closed for
6 business in August of 2016.

7 Of the 20 milk processing plants in
8 New York as recently as 25 years ago none
9 remain in the city or Nassau, Suffolk,
10 Westchester, Rockland or Putnam County.
11 Predominantly the city's milk comes from
12 further upstate, New Jersey and Pennsylvania.
13 Cream-O-Land is the vendor of milk for 90
14 percent of the schools on Long Island and New
15 York City including the East Meadow School
16 District and the Nassau County Medical Center
17 in East Meadow. Which makes deliveries to the
18 medical center valuable and cost efficient.

19 Historically Cream-O-Land has held
20 milk requirements contracts with Nassau County
21 with satisfactory performance. The estimated
22 cost is \$159,125 for a period of one year.

23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
24 very much for that presentation, Ms. Hall.
25 You answered probably most of the questions

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 that we had. It's surprising to know that all
3 of those milk suppliers are no longer in
4 existence in our region downstate.

5 Legislator Ford.

6 LEGISLATOR FORD: The other dairy
7 products that you get for the correctional
8 facility do you get also them from
9 Cream-O-Land?

10 MS. HALL: Yes. It's for milk,
11 orange juice, one percent milk, low fat,
12 chocolate milk.

13 LEGISLATOR FORD: Perfect. Thank
14 you.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
16 debate or discussion? Any public comment?

17 MS. MEREDAY: Meta J. Mereday.
18 My question again has to do with regarding the
19 input from the Minority Affairs Committee. I
20 believe there's still a search I believe for a
21 director for that committee. I'm noticing
22 that again we are getting to the end of the
23 year and there has been no items from that
24 committee on this agenda for any type of
25 discussion or review.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 So when the statement is made that
3 it was handed to the Minority Affairs
4 Committee was there any response? Did
5 anything come back? Anyone listings?

6 And lastly, why aren't we utilizing
7 any particular dairy farms that may still be
8 located in upstate New York? They can't
9 afford to operate in this region considering
10 we pay the second highest taxes. Westchester
11 County pays the first highest taxes and
12 Suffolk County is the eighth and not a lot of
13 people are drinking milk. But let's consider
14 the fact that we should still keep these
15 contracts as best as possible in New York
16 State.

17 It's a two-part question even
18 though I'm told this is not debate or
19 interrogation. I'm going to put it on the
20 record. One, what was the response, if any,
21 from the Minority Affairs Committee? And two,
22 why aren't we utilizing companies or vendors
23 within New York State? Thank you.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
25 you. I see Mr. Schlernoff approaching the

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 mic.

3 MR. SCHLERNOFF: Both Suffolk and
4 Westchester County utilize New York State
5 contract and the amount that they charge for
6 the skim milk, which is the largest used item,
7 is .1669 per half pint. We get a price of
8 .164. So we are getting a lower price than
9 the state contract calls for.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Also as
11 was mentioned, they have an existing
12 relationship with the school districts. So
13 they have a presence here.

14 MR. SCHLERNOFF: So it's cheaper
15 for them to deliver it.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
17 you. Any other public comment? All in favor
18 signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
19 Carries unanimously.

20 E-119 2018 is a resolution
21 authorizing the county executive to execute an
22 amendment to a personal services agreement
23 between the county and the Federation of
24 Organizations.

25 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 by Legislator Bynoe.

3 MR. HALL: Brian Hall from Human
4 Services. This is an amendment to our 2018
5 contract from the New York State Office of
6 Mental Health. \$1,418. It's 100 percent
7 grant money. It's the COLA increase for 2018.

8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
9 questions? Any public comment? Thank you
10 Mr. Hall. All in favor signify by saying
11 aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

12 Item 120 is a resolution
13 authorizing the county executive to execute an
14 amendment to a personal services agreement
15 between the county and IIT, Inc.

16 That's moved by Legislator Bynoe
17 and seconded by Legislator Ford.

18 MS. STANTON: Nancy Stanton, IT.
19 E-120-18. IIT is the supplemental staffing
20 vendor. This amendment is to exercise the
21 original two year renewal option from January
22 15, 2019 through January 2020. We are also
23 looking for an additional \$700,000 in funds
24 which we will encumber \$350,000 now.
25 Currently we have four consultants working

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 through this vendor. Two with People Soft,
3 one is for GIS and one is for Legislator
4 Lafazan's mobile app.

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Question
6 is, you've represented before that you are
7 developing a plan to bring some of that
8 supplemental staffing in house. Where are we
9 with the plan? When can we expect it?

10 MS. STANTON: I have a plan. I
11 have six PRFs approved. I had identified two
12 people to come on board. I'm just waiting for
13 their paperwork to go through. We put an ad
14 in the Chief to see if we can bring more
15 candidates in to replace the People Soft
16 people.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What's the
18 PRF?

19 MS. STANTON: To hire personnel.
20 Budget has approved it and it's in the works.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do you
22 have a longer term plan other than just
23 piecemeal to begin to staff up?

24 MS. STANTON: Oh yes. The goal
25 is to replace with CSEA workers. We're

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 struggling a little bit with People Soft
3 because it's a very unique skill set but we
4 will get through this.

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
6 questions? Minority Leader Abrahams.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are you
8 Commissioner? I know at the last committee
9 meeting or the one before that we had talked
10 about the staffing and you talked about
11 hiring. I just wanted to get a sense of where
12 you were with that. We had talked about
13 obviously these contracts coming forward and
14 at the time you had discussed that I guess we
15 would be in some type of a transition with the
16 hiring. Are you able to elaborate on that?

17 MS. STANTON: Yes. We have
18 approval to hire six people at this point. I
19 have two people identified. I'm just waiting
20 for their paperwork to go through and we will
21 continue to interview.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you have
23 the ability to have 60 slots and you're in the
24 process of interviewing. So when do you think
25 you will be able to conclude the interviewing

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 process?

3 MS. STANTON: I'm waiting for
4 candidates. We put an ad in the Chief this
5 weekend.

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you
7 haven't started interviewing?

8 MS. STANTON: We interviewed
9 approximately 12 people already. For one
10 reason or another they didn't fit what we
11 needed. But I did find two people that I
12 could hire.

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I have
14 nothing further for now.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
16 Ford and Legislator Bynoe had questions.
17 Legislator Bynoe then Legislator Ford.

18 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hi. Good
19 afternoon. I think it would be helpful to
20 this body and the entire body to receive a
21 breakdown or receive a summation of how many
22 contractual workers are actually laboring
23 within your department. Because six positions
24 sounds great but if we have 30 out six doesn't
25 sound like much.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MS. STANTON: I have 20 people
3 right now. However, seven of them will be
4 gone by April 1st because they are working on
5 small projects. And the others, once we go
6 into production, we will be able to
7 consolidate them and with the six we can
8 hire.

9 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: If we can get
10 some level of a written plan so we can follow
11 along.

12 MS. STANTON: No problem.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I echo
14 that request to all committee members.
15 Legislator Ford.

16 LEGISLATOR FORD: So this is
17 right now we have contract workers and with
18 the CSEA it's not necessarily competitive,
19 people don't have to take a test, you will be
20 able to just --

21 MS. STANTON: There are a few
22 titles that they will come in as provisional
23 and then a test will be given.

24 LEGISLATOR FORD: When you say
25 you advertised in the Chief is that both in

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 the newspaper and on their online services or
3 is it just one or the other?

4 MS. STANTON: I believe it's
5 both.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
7 Leader.

8 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just a
9 quick follow-up. You mentioned you
10 interviewed 12 but they didn't really meet the
11 criteria you were looking for. Have you
12 engaged any obstacles, any issues that you
13 want to make the committee aware of in regards
14 to hiring?

15 MS. STANTON: No. It's just the
16 technical needs were not there. We are
17 looking for a very specific developer, People
18 Soft sort of developer kind of person and it's
19 very hard to find.

20 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: There were
21 no obstacles in regards to the starting salary
22 or anything along those lines?

23 MS. STANTON: I was able to get a
24 salary waiver.

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
3 questions? Thank you Ms. Stanton. Any public
4 comment? Hearing none, all in favor signify
5 by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
6 unanimously.

7 E-121 of 2018, a resolution
8 authorizing the county executive to execute a
9 personal services agreement between the county
10 and the New York Coalition for Transportation
11 Safety.

12 Legislator Bynoe moves that and
13 Legislator Ford seconds it.

14 MR. MISTRON: Christopher
15 Mistron, Nassau County Traffic Safety.

16 This particular contract is with
17 New York Coalition of Transportation Safety.
18 They provide the money. Is full grant
19 funded. The monies is actually dedicated for
20 use with handicapped individuals, to assist
21 families with special need children for
22 everything from child safety seat program. We
23 are one of the few fitting stations in New
24 York State that actually has a person on hand
25 that can do special needs fittings.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 As a matter of fact, he's been at a
3 number of the legislative events, Mark
4 Happacker, who works for the New York
5 Coalition. New York Coalition happens to be
6 run by a I'll identify as a female staff and
7 grant ownership, as well as they also provide
8 services with the grant funds for everything
9 from special needs with even bicycle programs,
10 bicycle helmets and so on.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It's
12 towards the end of the year. I'm assuming the
13 money has already been spent?

14 MR. MISTRON: The money is on
15 hand and waiting to be spent. For the most
16 part the money has been spent. There is one
17 more program to do.

18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: This will
19 reimburse us?

20 MR. MISTRON: Correct. All the
21 money is on hand. It's kind of unique with
22 all of the traffic safety programs the money
23 actually comes up upfront. It was already
24 received and is sitting there.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 great. Any questions? Minority Leader
3 Abrahams.

4 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Chris, I
5 know this is not a question for you but I do
6 have an overall question which I was going to
7 ask Mr. Cleary. I don't know if you can add.
8 We were always under the impression when we
9 looked at contracts -- this contract is 11
10 months late which I understand with the
11 process in getting ti through. This is not
12 really more directed at you even though you
13 are at the podium. We were under the
14 impression when we were looking at contracts
15 that were late we would compared them to the
16 late list and then deducting them off the late
17 list. It was our hope that that list would
18 eventually evaporate and be not existent.
19 However, it seems like the list keeps growing
20 or there's stuff that's added.

21 I know this is not for you and I
22 don't know if Mr. Cleary going to be able to
23 answer. I don't know if he is here. But we
24 were hoping to get an update of where the list
25 is because we no longer' -- it is on the

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 list. But it seems that this contract was
3 beginning in January 1, 2018, which I'm not
4 too sure, maybe in our due diligence we missed
5 it, I'm not too sure why it would be on the
6 list.

7 MR. MISTRON: I can tell you that
8 the appropriation for this grant, as well as
9 the DWI grant, while it was submitted back in
10 the beginning of the year somehow got where
11 ever. And this grant was actually not
12 approved until September.

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Is there a
14 grant that you are working on now that would
15 cover the term of January 1, 2019 to December
16 31, 2019?

17 MR. MISTRON: Yes.

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: In essence,
19 even though it won't be before us today,
20 that's a contract in order for it not to be
21 late it would have to be here today?

22 MR. MISTRON: You said '19? I
23 can't appropriate the money until January for
24 that, correct?

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What I'm

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 saying is, is there a grant that the county is
3 going to receive which would cover the term in
4 the future that would have to get approved by
5 us today?

6 MR. MISTRON: No. Anything for
7 '19 will be approved in '19. But the
8 paperwork for it to be put together it will
9 have a grant code of '19.

10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So we will
11 have an opportunity in January to approve
12 something that will have of a future date of a
13 term?

14 MR. MISTRON: Yes. I'll be very
15 honest with you, I'm still playing a little
16 bit of catch-up.

17 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I don't
18 mean this to be directed at you. Trust me,
19 there are dozens of contracts on this late
20 list. It wasn't directed at you. But it is
21 our hope that we would see the list dwindle.
22 Not remain the same. But that's a much larger
23 conversation that includes many other
24 departments. But thank you.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 questions? Any public comment? Thank you
3 Chris. All in favor signify by saying aye.
4 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

5 E-122 of '18, a resolution to
6 authorize the county executive execute an
7 amendment to a personal services agreement
8 between the county and Smith and DeGroat Real
9 Estate.

10 Legislator Ford moves that.

11 Legislator Bynoe seconds it.

12 MR. WALSH: Good afternoon
13 legislators. Kevin Walsh from the office of
14 Real Estate Services. This is an extension of
15 an existing contract of services for Smith and
16 DeGroat for developing of an inventory and
17 evaluation of county real estate assets. This
18 was a one year contract of \$100,000. The
19 terms of the initial contract contemplated a
20 one year renewal. Which was exercised. And
21 additional funds were added to this contract
22 of \$28,500 to provide extra services in
23 connection with producing a database of county
24 real estate assets.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 questions? Minority Leader Abrahams.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It looks
4 like from our reading of this contract it's
5 for the review of inventory and evaluation of
6 county real estate assets. I guess at some
7 point should the county choose to sell them?
8 It's reviewing all it's assets and putting a
9 value on them?

10 MR. WALSH: For various
11 purposes. Hopefully for county real estate.
12 Just to give you a measure, the county has
13 approximately 2900 parcels. If you take all
14 the parcels, be it a park, county facility,
15 county building. Many things we learned -- we
16 knew we had sliver parcels. We didn't realize
17 how many we had. Approximately over 200 small
18 properties. You see them come to body on this
19 occasion. We're looking to see how many of
20 those are really out there and to see if we
21 can get them to a point where we can redevelop
22 them, sell them to adjoining owners. Some
23 could have other development potential.
24 That's why this contract probably went a
25 little bit over. We were really were not

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 surprised but there were even things that were
3 some surprises or properties that we had that
4 we weren't even aware of.

5 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Again, this
6 is another one with the terms. Look, I don't
7 fault you guys in the current administration
8 because it precedes you. But the original
9 contract term was December 14, 2015 through
10 December 13, 2016. And then the original
11 contract gave the county the option for
12 renewal which it sounds like you renewed it to
13 2017. Did you come back to the legislature
14 for a vote?

15 MR. WALSH: No. My understanding
16 is at that time when we renewed it from '16 to
17 '17, that's what this is doing, typically
18 when a renewal was in the contract, built in
19 that was approved by this body, it was at the
20 discretion of the county to exercise the
21 renewal, which we did, to finish the
22 services. But my understanding now is the
23 policy is even if it says contemplated in the
24 agreement that's why we are coming back to
25 this body. I believe this contract was

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 started in the full routing process.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Original
4 contract '15 '16 -- I'm sorry.

5 MR. WALSH: This contract did
6 expire in '16 and we did exercise the
7 renewal. We're also adding additional funds
8 of 28,000 to complete the services of this
9 project.

10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If I'm
11 understanding this correctly, the contract was
12 approved for '15 to '16. Then county wanted
13 to extend it. Do a one year option. Now you
14 are before us in December '18, two years after
15 you extended it seeking the renewal.

16 MR. WALSH: We're just looking to
17 complete the services that were provided in
18 early '17.

19 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I got you
20 but it's two years late.

21 MR. WALSH: Correct. It is late.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm not
23 trying to give you a difficult time. This
24 will be the last time we approve contracts
25 without getting a very substantive list. I

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 don't know if the Presiding Officer agrees.
3 We need a very substantive list of why things
4 are late and what is late and that list it
5 needs to be updated for us. It needs to be
6 updated every time you come to this body for
7 approval.

8 To me, this is egregious. Granted
9 this was a contract that precedes the county
10 executive. She wasn't even sworn into office
11 when this stuff was materializing. But we
12 need to get a handle on this now. This is two
13 years.

14 Granted, I understand the need for
15 the county to have the services and I'm going
16 to recommend to our side to support it. But I
17 think the way we are going with the system, if
18 contracts are late starting in January they
19 are not going to get approved by our side. We
20 just can't go forward with this anymore.

21 It was my hope that when we
22 developed the late list that we would see that
23 list dwindle. It seems like that list if not
24 staying the same it's growing. So that's the
25 message that we will bring back. We will

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 support it today and then from that
3 standpoint, come January, we need to see a
4 more comprehensive list and understand why
5 things keep getting added to the list.

6 I would think that as you move away
7 from the previous administration if we are
8 doing our due diligence to ensure that the
9 contracts are being sent down timely that list
10 should dwindle. But thank you.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We would
12 as well look to see that more comprehensive
13 list. That's a good idea. I think we have
14 not been focusing as much on this maybe on the
15 presumption that the list would dwindle as we
16 did toward the end of the previous
17 administration. Maybe by doing that it's
18 loosened up a little bit and that's not our
19 intention. So maybe the message going out is
20 we are going to be paying attention now as
21 much as we did in the past to these contracts
22 being late.

23 Let me ask you something about this
24 one in particular. You said that as a
25 renewal, I guess in the past renewals were not

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 being brought to the legislature. There was a
3 change in the approach that now the renewals
4 are being brought to the legislature?

5 MR. WALSH: That's my
6 understanding. This contract is also unique
7 in the sense that it really was a broad scope
8 of services. One of the things we learned as
9 we were going through the process of building
10 a new data base we're really not working with
11 a static list things. For example, things
12 changed when we were doing reviews. We
13 realized during the course of a year if we
14 worked on a property for example that was
15 subdivided new lot numbers were created. So
16 we had to make those changes. We wanted to be
17 as accurate as possible with the goal of
18 developing a database that could be used by
19 various county departments that would be
20 useful for my office, DPW, maybe other
21 departments as well who are often -- I get
22 many calls from legislators in fact, Kevin, do
23 you have information about this particular
24 county owned parcel and I think it's going to
25 be a great tool.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No one up
3 here is arguing with the merits of what this
4 does. We are going to approve it. But we are
5 arguing with the fact that it's the end of
6 2018 and the renewal was granted in 2016.
7 Every department, to the extent they are
8 processing contracts, has to tag these things
9 to get them to us in a timely fashion.

10 MR. WALSH: Understood.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
12 discussion?

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: From our
14 understanding it was not on the list. It is
15 on the list. Just for the record it is on the
16 list. However, Kevin, can you explain really
17 quick the amendment not only goes back but it
18 adds \$28,500. Can you explain what the
19 \$28,500 additional is for?

20 MR. WALSH: It was based on an
21 hourly rate. As we really got into this there
22 were a lot of things we learned. It's always
23 changing, the county database. We're trying
24 to see if we can get this database to
25 coordinate with other databases of the county

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 like GIS. So we asked the vendor to come in
3 for several of the meetings, try to work with
4 us, help us develop something that was
5 helpful.

6 Example, we thought we might need a
7 location for a new salt dome. So we had the
8 vendor work with us. How can we use our new
9 inventory to help us identify locations in
10 certain areas. It didn't end up being a
11 little bit more over on the hours but this is
12 a project that should be close to completion.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Actually
14 this issue is one that comes up on a regular
15 basis, over the years keeping an inventory of
16 properties. Now that the properties have been
17 identified and inventoried who is maintaining
18 that? Things change over time.

19 MR. WALSH: You're right. It
20 will be our office working with DPW. My goal
21 is get it directly in line with the county's
22 GIS. Which I find to be a very useful tool
23 showing you where properties are. That ties
24 in some assessment records and so forth.
25 Treasurer has a list also. This is just

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 unique of county-owned properties to help
3 constituents and help the legislature as well.

4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
5 questions?

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just to
7 add, this is not really for Kevin. I think it
8 would be prudent -- I do remember a time when
9 Mr. Cleary was here and present at all of our
10 meetings. I would ask that the administration
11 ensures, at least on Rules contract days, that
12 Mr. Cleary is present as well.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We agree
14 wholeheartedly. Any public comment?

15 MS. MEREDAY: When it was
16 questioned, when it was added that this could
17 be beneficial to the constituency, how in fact
18 would the residents be able to get access to
19 this list when it's ever completed?

20 Secondly, does this include the
21 open lands as well that are county owned?
22 Some of which are considered toxic. Including
23 the property that's north of Baldwin. That's
24 county property?

25 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I would say

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 it includes all county property. So the Coves
3 Neck property that you are referencing south
4 of Southern State, that area, yes.

5 MS. MEREDAY: Would it include
6 that destination in terms of if all these
7 additional add-ons and I'm hearing that there
8 were things added on beyond the scope of work
9 from the original contract, which is curious
10 to me, but was that a destination with regard
11 to the initial contract as to the type of
12 property that it is?

13 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Based on
14 what Mr. Walsh was saying, the county owns all
15 different types of property. Park. Obviously
16 contaminated properties among other things.
17 Infrastructure buildings. And what I
18 understand, based on his commentary, that it
19 would identify each parcel of land as what its
20 purpose is. So in the event it's a park, it's
21 a park. If what the Coves Neck property is
22 that's what it is. If it's a building
23 infrastructure, a building in DPW it would
24 include that.

25 MS. MEREDAY: So that the fact

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 that it's contaminated and possibly someone
3 could potentially be looking for some funding
4 because it seems Suffolk County seems to be
5 able to identify funding for its open lands
6 that is contaminated but we have requested
7 some type of review and investigation about
8 this property in that region and we are told
9 that it's been too costly or it's too costly
10 to consider. But no one has ever given us an
11 actual number as to how much it would cost to
12 remediate that property and to possibly bring
13 it back to some type of development or into a
14 true park.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's
16 definitely a topic that we can explore. This
17 is just going to give us, from what I
18 understand, gives the county the ability to
19 understand how much assets they have and being
20 able to put them into a format where they can
21 understand what type of purpose they have.

22 What I think what you're talking
23 about Ms. Mereday is something probably more
24 appropriate for our capital plan. Where we
25 can ensure and do a study and determine what

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 level of remediation we can do there. I'm an
3 advocate that I think that property should
4 remain in its current state. I don't think
5 you can do anything of structure there. I
6 think we should do the remediation necessary
7 to keep it in its natural state, which is
8 wetlands, park land, so on and so forth.
9 That's what I would envision. I wouldn't
10 envision anything else other than that. I
11 couldn't see infrastructure or buildings being
12 put on there.

13 MS. MEREDAY: We agree. But
14 right now you just can't on it because it's
15 toxic.

16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But I would
17 say that's where I think the capital plan
18 would come in and be able to do an initial
19 study to determine what remediation we would
20 do as a county to be able to make the land
21 safe for residents to utilize it. Similar to
22 what they do with Coves Neck across the
23 street.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
25 public comment? Hearing none, all in favor

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 signify by saying aye. Those opposed?

3 Carries unanimously.

4 E-123, a resolution authorizing the
5 county executive to execute a personal
6 services agreement between the county and
7 Sierra Cedar Inc.

8 Moved by Legislator Rhoads.

9 Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

10 MS. STANTON: E-123-18 is for a
11 new contract for Sierra Cedar Sierra Cedar is
12 our People Soft hosting vendor. This is a
13 three year contract with a two year renewal.
14 We are asking for 3.35 over five years. We
15 will be disencumbering 500,000 from the
16 existing Sierra contract that we were up for
17 in October.

18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
19 questions?

20 LEGISLATOR FORD: Good
21 afternoon. What exactly is this, the Nassau
22 County's People Soft Human Resources Project?

23 MS. STANTON: This system will be
24 replacing our antiquated mainframe, which is
25 the payroll, benefits, absence management,

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 time and leave systems we have now.

3 LEGISLATOR FORD: So then
4 everything will be moved over to this new
5 system?

6 MS. STANTON: One system,
7 correct.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm going to
9 assume that these systems are utilized by the
10 employees. Will there be training involved?

11 MS. STANTON: Yes. There has
12 been massive training.

13 LEGISLATOR FORD: You are also
14 then -- it's just \$3 million for this
15 software?

16 MS. STANTON: This is just for
17 the hosting agreement, 3.35 over five years.

18 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Real
20 quickly, the benefits I'm assuming would be
21 efficiency. Obviously it's newer technology.
22 But any cost savings for the county as well?

23 MS. STANTON: We will retiring
24 antiquated equipment with people supporting
25 it. You can't get people anymore to support

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 it. There will be savings, yes.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
4 Bynoe.

5 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: You actually
6 touched on my question. You say that we will
7 be retiring equipment and individuals who
8 supported that equipment. Does that mean --

9 MS. STANTON: People are retiring
10 that support it.

11 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: People are
12 going to retire?

13 MS. STANTON: They are retiring,
14 yes.

15 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And this has
16 been discussed with the CSEA?

17 MS. STANTON: Absolutely.

18 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Could you
19 provide the body with the number of
20 individuals under title that are terminating
21 services based on this system?

22 MS. STANTON: I could. I don't
23 have it off the top of my head. I know of
24 three or four that are looking to retire in
25 the next six months.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: You're saying
3 there's how many?

4 MS. STANTON: Off the top of my
5 head I know of three or four people that will
6 be retiring in the next six months.

7 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And we're not
8 going to have to backfill at all because of
9 this system.

10 MS. STANTON: That's what we're
11 trying to do with the People Soft people. The
12 developers that I spoke about a few minutes
13 ago.

14 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
16 questions? Hearing none, any public comment?
17 Thank you Nancy. All in favor signify by
18 saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
19 unanimously.

20 E-124-2018, a resolution
21 authorizing the county executive to execute an
22 amendment to a personal services agreement
23 between the county and Aetna Life Insurance
24 Company.

25 Moved by Legislator

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
3 Rhoads.

4 MS. HUBER: Kerrin Huber from the
5 Office of Human Resources. This item,
6 E-124-18, is to amend the Aetna contract for
7 the health insurance provided to county
8 employees at no cost to them. These county
9 employees will be employees that were hired
10 after the NIFA agreements in 2014.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What does
12 the amendment do?

13 MS. HUBER: It amends the Aetna
14 health insurance contract for an additional
15 year.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
17 questions?

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you
19 Presiding Officer.

20 If I'm understanding this correctly
21 under the original contract the payments were
22 as follows, \$666.03 for individual coverage
23 per month. Family coverage was \$1,496.50 a
24 month. Under this amendment it would revise
25 it to \$841.76 for an individual coverage and

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 \$1,891.36. It seems like it's going up. I
3 guess my general question is, what is the base
4 plan? I don't believe we have been briefed on
5 that.

6 MS. HUBER: The base plans that
7 are offered to the county employees
8 currently?

9 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes.

10 MS. HUBER: We have Empire, which
11 is under the NYSHA plan. That increases every
12 year approximately nine to ten percent
13 depending on the rates of the health
14 insurance. That currently is a cost to the
15 county of over \$2,000 per family and over a
16 \$1,000 for an individual.

17 Then you have Hip, you have Vitra.
18 Which are much more expensive than our current
19 Empire plan. Just for example if somebody was
20 to choose the Hip Plan out of pocket they
21 would pay about \$500 in addition to above what
22 we pay, what the county pays for Empire.

23 So this Aetna contract was done
24 specifically to provide employers with a
25 no-cost insurance to them. Both union and at

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 will employees. And it is still cheaper than
3 our current Empire plan.

4 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.
5 Nothing further.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
7 Ford.

8 LEGISLATOR FORD: It was
9 referenced for the employees hired after 2014,
10 correct?

11 MS. HUBER: Yes.

12 LEGISLATOR FORD: The employees
13 that were hired prior to 2014 can they select
14 the Aetna or is it that everyone hired after
15 2014 is now on the Aetna plan?

16 MS. HUBER: The employees hired
17 prior to 2014 are not allowed to opt into this
18 Aetna plan. Those that were hired after 2014
19 could either opt into paying 15 percent
20 towards the Empire plan or this Aetna plan at
21 no cost to them.

22 LEGISLATOR FORD: Then the
23 employees prior to 2014 --

24 MS. HUBER: Either pay five or
25 ten percent or nothing if they're union.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR FORD: With the Hip
3 they have the option -- those hired after 2014
4 don't have an option to select Hip.

5 MS. HUBER: They most certainly
6 can select Hip but it's at an additional cost
7 to them above what the county currently pays
8 for Empire.

9 LEGISLATOR FORD: So everybody
10 prior to 2014 only has the option of either
11 Hip or Empire?

12 MS. HUBER: They actually have
13 about five. So it's Hip, Empire, Aetna, Vitra
14 and then there's another Aetna contract that
15 we have. But everything other than the Empire
16 is much more expensive to the county
17 employers. So a majority of the employees do
18 pick either Empire or Aetna.

19 LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.

20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
21 questions? Any public comment? Thank you
22 very much. All in favor signify by saying
23 aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

24 E-125, a resolution authorizing the
25 county executive to execute an amendment to a

1 Rules - 12-3-18
2 personal services agreement between the county
3 and RR Health Strategies LLC as
4 successor-in-interest to Complete Management
5 Solutions.

6 Moved by Legislator
7 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
8 Rhoads.

9 MR. BLANCO: My name is Sergio
10 Blanco. I'm here from Nassau County
11 Comptroller's Office. I'm here to present a
12 no-cost term extension with RR Health
13 Strategies. They provide claims review,
14 processing and audit for reimbursement of
15 claims submitted under the Smart Savings
16 Program. Now, this program saves the county
17 upwards of approximately seven and a half
18 million dollars annually.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: For the
20 record why don't you tell us what Smart
21 Savings is.

22 MR. BLANCO: The county passed an
23 ordinance back in about 2006 which required if
24 there are two employees working for the county
25 they can only have one family plan and we

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 would reimburse the other employee \$2,000.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So it cuts
4 down the cost of paying for two family plans
5 if we have two employees working for the
6 county?

7 MR. BLANCO: Correct.

8 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
9 questions? Any public comment? Minority
10 Leader Abrahams.

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We are
12 reading here, there was an RFP issued in March
13 2008 but there were no responses received by
14 the county. Does the comptroller's office
15 have any input on why there were no
16 responses?

17 MR. BLANCO: We're not sure. We
18 do know when this was originally RFP'd there
19 were no responses at that time either. There
20 had to be an extension and at that point only
21 one proposal was received, the current
22 vendor. We also, in an effort to increase
23 participation, we advertised this not only in
24 Newsday but the New York State Contract
25 Reporter and were unable to receive any

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 responses. That being said, we are only
3 seeking an 18 month extension because we do
4 seek to do another RFP again next year and we
5 are hopeful that with working with procurement
6 compliance we can get some greater compliance.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That was my
8 follow up. You do anticipate doing another
9 RFP next year?

10 MR. BLANCO: Yes.

11 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Nothing
12 further.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
14 Rhoads.

15 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thanks Mr.
16 Chairman. Is there a particular reason why
17 the comptroller's office can't do this
18 themselves?

19 MR. BLANCO: Currently we do not
20 have the staff that has medical claims in
21 terms of the approved positions we have in the
22 office that can do this kind of medical claims
23 billing. Though what we pay the vendor is
24 very low, in 2017 we paid less than \$3,000
25 total for these services. In 2018 thus far we

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 spent exactly \$5,400 for the services. It
3 also should be noted that the hourly rate for
4 this vendor has remained unchanged since 2009.

5 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It seems as
6 though if we are paying an outside vendor for
7 it and especially if we're not paying a large
8 amount of money to the outside vendor it would
9 seem to be something that one of our own staff
10 people can get trained in and perform that
11 function on behalf of the comptroller's
12 office. I understand why you don't have a
13 person in place now and why this contract is
14 necessary now. But moving in towards the
15 future we should be should looking at taking
16 that in house and getting an individual the
17 training that they need. Not hiring somebody
18 new, getting somebody existing the training
19 that they need to be able to perform that
20 function for us.

21 MR. BLANCO: That is certainly
22 something we will take under consideration.

23 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: How much did
24 this save the county over the year, if you
25 know?

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MR. BLANCO: In 2018 we have
3 saved so far \$7,495,934.92. Now if you
4 include the excess reimbursements in 2018,
5 that is the amount we've had to reimburse
6 employees who would have had these expenses
7 otherwise covered, was 12,213. And if you
8 include the excess reimbursement of '17 which
9 was \$13,484.46 the estimated savings is
10 \$7,470,236.90.

11 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So it's
12 extraordinarily productive. If we brought it
13 in-house I guess we would have to probably
14 make it a part-time position. Doesn't seem
15 like -- well, \$44,000 we pay for a full-time
16 position.

17 MR. BLANCO: Definitely look at
18 all options.

19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
20 Bynoe.

21 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm sorry.
22 Hi. How are you? How much did we spend last
23 year?

24 MR. BLANCO: On the expenses or
25 for excess reimbursement?

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: The expenses
3 on this contract.

4 MR. BLANCO: We've spent in the
5 entirety of 2017 \$2,925 20.

6 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: You shared
7 another year.

8 MR. BLANCO: This year we have
9 had more expenses. We spent \$5,400.

10 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So I don't
11 think, just my opinion, that we should spend
12 the time trying to bring this in if we are
13 incurring such low costs associated with the
14 contractual services that they are providing
15 to the extent we are saving \$7 million. Even
16 when we factor in the reimbursement to the
17 families of the \$2,000 per year I think it is.

18 MR. BLANCO: That's correct.

19 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I think this
20 contract is worth it's weight in salt. Thank
21 you for the data.

22 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
23 questions? Thanks Sergio. Any public
24 comment? All in favor signify by saying aye.
25 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 E-126, a resolution authorizing the
3 county executive to execute a use and
4 occupancy agreement between the county and
5 Hobieswim Corporation.

6 Moved by Legislator Bynoe.

7 Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

8 MR. NUGENT: Brian Nugent, Nassau
9 County Department of Parks, Recreation and
10 Museums. This is a use and occupancy
11 agreement between the county and Hobieswim to
12 operate a swim shop at the Nassau County
13 Aquatic Center. This was based on a December
14 17 RFP in which 23 vendors viewed the
15 document. Ten downloaded but only two bid.
16 The Parks Department did go out of its way to
17 send the bid out to various local vendors as
18 well as national vendors. This will be for
19 \$35,000 per year and a percentage of the gross
20 on a sliding scale.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
22 questions? Any public comment? Legislator
23 Bynoe.

24 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Good day Mr.
25 Nugent. Hobieswim is utilizing the space to

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 do what exactly?

3 MR. HALL: It's a swim shop.
4 It's put in one of the excess where catering
5 used to be. When it was built, I guess it was
6 built for the Goodwill Games, they might have
7 used that space then. Since then it hasn't
8 been vacant. We've actually had year to year
9 permits and when we looked at it we decided
10 that we needed to RFP this. So in December we
11 sent out an RFP and that's how we are here
12 now. And we will give a multiyear contract.

13 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you very
14 much.

15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
16 Leader.

17 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If I
18 understanding this contract correctly, it's an
19 agreement with Hobieswim for them to utilize
20 space in the aquatic center?

21 MR. HALL: Correct.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How has the
23 county determined that this is actually a fair
24 deal for the county?

25 MR. HALL: We went out to RFP.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 We actually think it's a good deal.

3 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: They're
4 paying what's commensurate with what we should
5 get for that square footage?

6 MR. HALL: Yes.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I just
8 think it will probably be more prudent if we
9 had the Office of Legislative Budget Review do
10 an analysis on this agreement before we
11 proceed. That's my opinion.

12 MR. NUGENT: I'm fine with that.

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
14 Leader has made a motion to table. Seconded
15 by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. All in favor
16 of tabling this item signify by saying aye.
17 The item is tabled. Thank you Mr. Nugent.

18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I see
19 Maurice in the back. Maurice, do you mind
20 coming to the podium for a second. I want to
21 make sure you have an understanding of the
22 expectations. Maurice, if it's possible, I
23 would like you to take a look at the agreement
24 and compare it to insure the county is getting
25 a fair amount in terms of this agreement.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 And, if possible, compare it to any other
3 potential agreements. I want to make sure
4 that the county, this is the best deal for the
5 county.

6 MR. CHALMERS: Maurice Chalmers.
7 No problem. We will take a look at it and go
8 back to the department and do the comparison
9 that you are looking for.

10 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I know
11 there were two proposals that were received.
12 I don't want to limit it to those two
13 proposals. Obviously this was the better of
14 the two. Ultimately, there could be a
15 decision that we don't do anything with it
16 right at this moment and potentially RFP it
17 for another day. I want to make sure before
18 we lock ourselves into an agreement that goes
19 for a period of time that the actual agreement
20 is fair for years to come us.

21 MR. CHALMERS: No problem. We
22 will take a look at it.

23 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm going
25 to call the next several items together

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 because they are all very similar contracts
3 with the county.

4 E-127, E-128, E-129 and 130 are
5 resolutions authorizing the county executive
6 to execute personal services agreements
7 between the county and Louis McLean Associates
8 Engineers and Surveyors, NV5 New York
9 Engineers, Architects, Landscape Architects
10 and Surveyors, Lockwood, Kessler and Bartlett,
11 Inc. and Liro Engineers Inc.

12 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded
13 by Legislator Rhoads. All those contracts are
14 before the committee.

15 MR. SALLIE: Sean Sallie, Deputy
16 County Executive, Nassau County Department of
17 Public Works. These four contracts that are
18 before you today are for the DPW civil site
19 engineering unit for professional services to
20 augment the work that the department does in
21 terms of the civil site engineering work.
22 That work includes preparation of design plans
23 for projects such as resurfacing and other
24 infrastructure improvements. These four
25 contracts are on an on-call basis.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 The contract contemplates the
3 development of task orders by the department
4 that will be issued to the on call firms for
5 particular projects as those needs arise and
6 the on call firms would be required to submit
7 a response to those task orders. At that time
8 the department would review and select one of
9 the on call firms to perform the work.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
11 Mr. Sallie. Any questions?

12 LEGISLATOR FORD: Good
13 afternoon. These contracts, any work that you
14 are going to be giving to these various firms,
15 usually then a determination has to be made
16 first by CSEA to see if they can do the work.
17 And if they can't then an agreement is made so
18 you can then offer the work to these
19 particular firms?

20 MR. SALLIE: Yes. That is part
21 of the process. At the time a need is
22 identified as part of the encumbrance process
23 the notification to CSEA as far as whether or
24 not the work can be done by union employees
25 that back and forth occurs and no work happens

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 until that is revolved.

3 LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm hoping
4 though that DPW and the administration will
5 start looking at if there seems to be a
6 pattern of the same type of work that CSEA
7 can't do that one of these firms can do it
8 that if it's a certain type of job that we
9 start looking at this and work with civil
10 service to come up with that appropriate title
11 so that we can start bringing those
12 employees. So that we actually have the
13 county workers doing the work rather than
14 having to always -- because I know sometimes
15 the work can be delayed because you may come
16 up with a project, then you have to send it
17 over to CSEA, CSEA then has to take a look at
18 it and then they have to sign off on it. It
19 adds time to getting some of these projects
20 done which has to be done. Which has to be
21 done. We have to make sure CSEA -- but I think
22 that if we can identify if there is like
23 repetitive jobs that we can then bring
24 somebody in and have it done in-house it would
25 actually expedite many of these projects and

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 make is so the jobs get done sooner. Thank
3 you.

4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
5 Leader Abrahams.

6 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you
7 Presiding Officer. I notice I think on item
8 128-18 it indicates that the MWBE utilization
9 form that the dollar amounts are TBD. When
10 the other contracts actually have percentages
11 tied to them. Why is that the case on 128?

12 MR. SALLIE: I do not have that
13 in front of me. My understanding of these
14 contracts is that with the proposals there is
15 no specific scope of work attached to the
16 initial proposal and responses to bring the on
17 call firm on board. Once work is identified
18 and task orders are issued those responses
19 need to include an MWBE utilization form to
20 then evaluate compliance with utilization
21 goals.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Counsel is
23 referring to the fact that aren't they putting
24 in utilization plans for who they would use
25 for subcontractors when they respond to this

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 RFP? Then also just to add, I see the TBDs
3 are also on item 130-18 as well.

4 MR. SALLIE: Apologize for the
5 delay. So, in some of the proposals the prime
6 consultants identified subs for the contract
7 that would be used as task orders were
8 issued. In some cases the prime consultants
9 indicated in their proposals that they would
10 reach out to potential subs at the time that a
11 task order was issued for a particular scope
12 of work. I think that clarifies why some of
13 the utilization plans were completed and some
14 were not for the initial on call contract
15 proposal.

16 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: They will
17 submit their utilization plans going forward
18 after we approve a contract?

19 MR. SALLIE: As an example, if
20 the county identifies an engineering need,
21 let's say a resurfacing project, and there's a
22 need for the design services, the county would
23 prepare a scope of services and issue that
24 scope of services to all of the on call
25 firms. Those on call firms would be asked to

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 provide a response with a response to the
3 scope of work and a cost. And in that
4 response that prime contractor or consultant
5 would include the MWBE utilization form and
6 how they would meet those goals for that
7 particular task order.

8 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It occurs
9 after this legislative body votes on the
10 agreements?

11 MR. SALLIE: Right. After these
12 contracts are approved, if they are approved,
13 and prior to the county awarding an assignment
14 pursuant to a task order.

15 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How does
16 this legislature know that it actually
17 occurs?

18 MR. SALLIE: The requirement to
19 submit the MWBE utilization plan is part of
20 the contract itself. The department should
21 not be making a selection of an call firm
22 without first reviewing the utilization form.

23 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How does
24 this legislative body know that that took
25 place? By what levels?

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MR. SALLIE: If the contract
3 would be approved, the county goes out with
4 task orders. The consultants responds to
5 those task orders and the department is making
6 a decision.

7 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Who comes
8 back to the legislature? I know it's not
9 required to, but who comes back to let us know
10 that when that does happen that certain
11 utilizations were met through MBEs or WBEs or
12 so on and so forth?

13 MR. SALLIE: My understanding is
14 that there wouldn't be a particular touch
15 point for bringing that information per the
16 contract to the legislature. I think we would
17 need to be reporting that utilization to the
18 Office of Minority Affairs. But I don't think
19 there's a mechanism in the contract to bring
20 that information back.

21 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The Office
22 of Minority Affairs is looking for an
23 executive director. We would have to touch
24 base with the staff that's there to see if
25 they have the capacity to even track this.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 I would ask, if it's possible,
3 maybe we can pull out the ones that have TBDs
4 and vote on the rest and wait until we get
5 more information on at least 128 and 130.

6 MR. SALLIE: If I may, I wanted
7 to point out the prime consultants that did in
8 fact submit an MWBE utilization form, it's
9 sort of premature for them to even predict
10 what the utilization would be not having the
11 information for a particular task. So, I just
12 wanted to point that out because the firms
13 that did submit a utilization plan that
14 information or that proportion may very much
15 change when a task order is submitted and that
16 firm submits a response to that task order.

17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I have a
18 more fundamental question. We are retaining
19 these firms for professional engineering
20 services, to provide design and design related
21 support services for various engineering
22 projects. You're talking about
23 subcontractors. If we are retaining engineers
24 to provide on call services how could they be
25 subcontracting out their work?

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MR. SALLIE: If it's a particular
3 specialty that the prime firm can manage,
4 however, it may not have that expertise as
5 part of their firm, they would typically go
6 out and seek a subcontractor that could do
7 that work. I can use survey as an example.
8 The engineering firm may not have an in-house
9 surveyor or surveying unit. However, that
10 engineering firm is skilled at doing the
11 design work. So they would sub with a
12 surveyor to go out and do the survey. That
13 survey work would be brought back and the
14 prime engineering firm would do the design
15 phase.

16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why don't
17 we do this? Why don't we table these? We
18 have another meeting before the end of the
19 year. We'll schedule a Rules Committee
20 meeting and you'll get back to us with the
21 information that we need in terms of the
22 process, the MWBE and that information and
23 then we can call a Rules Committee meeting on
24 the 17th. Does that work for you guys?

25 MR. SALLIE: Not a problem at

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 all.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'll make
4 a motion to table. Seconded by Minority
5 Leader Abrahams. This goes to all four. All
6 in favor of tabling signify by saying aye.
7 Those opposed? Motion to table carries
8 unanimously.

9 U-27 of 2018 is a resolution
10 authorizing the county executive to execute a
11 personal services agreement between the county
12 and New York Coalition for Transportation
13 Safety.

14 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded
15 by Legislator Rhoads.

16 MR. MISTRON: This item is for
17 assistance with the DWI program. The money is
18 being used for -- New York Coalition provides
19 services to help write the plan with us with
20 the administrators and the different parts of
21 the program. Especially the educational
22 programs. They provide an educator that
23 actually goes into the schools to speak about
24 DWI programs and the effects and so on.

25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 questions? Any public comment? Thank you
3 Chris. All in favor signify by saying aye.
4 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

5 Last contract on today is U-28 is a
6 resolution authorizing the county executive to
7 execute a personal services agreement between
8 the county and the Long Island Marriott
9 Hotel.

10 Moved by Legislator
11 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
12 Rhoads.

13 MR. BRODERICK: Paul Broderick,
14 Deputy Commissioner, Department of Social
15 Services. The contract before you is between
16 the Department of Social Services and the
17 Marriott Corporation in the amount of
18 \$14,384. This contract is to support a
19 children's party, holiday party, for 170
20 children in foster care under the
21 commissioner's care, their families and their
22 children. Do you have any questions?

23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You do
24 this every year, right?

25 MR. BRODERICK: Correct.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
3 questions? Any public comment? All in favor
4 signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
5 Carries unanimously. Thank you
6 Mr. Broderick.

7 We are done with contracts. We
8 will put the Rules Committee in recess and
9 call the Inspector General Search Committee.

10 (Recessed at 2:44 P.M.)

11 (Reconvened at 2:55 P.M.)

12 (Committee reconvened at 7:30 P.M.)

13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Rules
14 Committee is back in session. We need a
15 motion to suspend the rules. Moved by
16 Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator
17 DeRiggi-Whitton. All in favor of suspending
18 the rules signify by saying aye. Those
19 opposed? Carries unanimously.

20 We have a bunch of items on the
21 consent calendar. I'm not going to read the
22 descriptions. I'm simply going to call the
23 clerk item numbers. These items have gone
24 through committees earlier. It's been agreed
25 by the majority and minority that there needs

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 no further discussion in committees.

3 Starting with clerk item 440 of
4 2018. All of these are 2018. 440, 540, 577.
5 Skipped to page three. 584. Next page. 589,
6 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598
7 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 609, 610, 612, 613,
8 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622,
9 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 639, 640.
10 Skipping to the addendum. Everything on the
11 addendum is going to be consent. 629, 630,
12 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 643,
13 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649 and 650.

14 Any debate or discussion? Any
15 public comment? We need a motion. Deputy
16 Presiding Officer Kopel makes that notion.
17 Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. Any debate or
18 discussion on these items? Any public
19 comment? All in favor signify by saying aye.
20 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

21 Item 578 is a resolution changing
22 the official name of the chapel in building A
23 at the Nassau County Correctional Center to
24 the Reverend Lillian Frier-Webb Church on the
25 Inside Spiritual Center.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 Moved by Legislator

3 DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator

4 Bynoe. Thank you for your patience.

5 MS. LEWIS: Good evening. I'm
6 Debbie Lewis, Deputy Undersheriff Nassau
7 County Sheriff's Department.

8 MR. GOLIO: Michael Golio,
9 captain with the Sheriff's Department.

10 MS. LEWIS: This is to rename the
11 chapel in A building in the correctional
12 center in honor of Reverend Lillian
13 Frier-Webb. She served the Nassau County
14 Correctional Center through her work at the
15 correctional facility for 25 years. She had a
16 commitment in helping individuals in the
17 correctional center reclaim, restore and renew
18 their lives through prison ministry and the
19 furtherance of nondenominational spiritual
20 counseling and guidance.

21 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
22 questions? That's fantastic. We are thrilled
23 you are doing this.

24 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Presiding
25 Officer, I would like to add that aside from

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 serving as a chaplain at the correctional
3 facility that the Reverend Webb also led a
4 church out in the Port Washington at the same
5 time. She was a total, dedicated servant and
6 this would be a significant honor.

7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
8 comments by the legislators? Any public
9 comment? Thank you very much for the
10 presentation. Thank you your for patience.
11 All in favor of this signify by saying aye.
12 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

13 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 585, 586
14 587, 588 these are resolutions to authorize
15 the county assessor and/or county treasurer
16 and/or receiver of taxes of the Town of Oyster
17 Bay, Town of North Hempstead, Town of
18 Hempstead and City of Glen Cove to correct
19 erroneous assessments and to partially exempt
20 certain real properties involving real
21 properties situated in various school
22 districts.

23 Moved by Legislator Bynoe.
24 Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. These
25 items are before us.

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 MR. MILES: Deputy county
3 attorney Rob Miles. These are just standard
4 correction or error petitions in front of you.

5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
6 questions? Thank you. Any public comment?
7 All in favor signify by saying aye. Those
8 opposed? Carries unanimously.

9 Next one is the last one. 641,
10 2018. A resolution to accept gifts offered by
11 the Nassau County Police Department Foundation
12 to the Nassau County Police Department.

13 Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded
14 by Legislator Bynoe. Commissioner, again we
15 apologize for you having to spend your time
16 here.

17 COMMISSIONER RYDER: Pat Ryder,
18 Nassau County Police Commissioner. Item 641
19 is a donation from the Nassau County Police
20 Foundation for architectural and engineering
21 designs that went towards the Nassau County
22 Police Academy. The value is \$3,538,000.
23 It's broken up into three parts. Contract
24 between the Foundation and Tactical Design for
25 \$882,200. A contract between the Foundation

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 and Cameron Engineering for \$55,800. And a
3 contract between the Foundation and the
4 Spector Group, the architectural services, for
5 \$2.6 million.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We
7 discussed with you we do have some questions
8 about the donor making the determinations as
9 to who is going to provide the services but
10 rather than ask those questions today we will
11 get answers over the next two weeks.

12 COMMISSIONER RYDER: That'd be
13 great.

14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I don't
15 know if you have anything to add to this.

16 COMMISSIONER RYDER: I know
17 Specter was a full -- by the county. Went out
18 to bid and came back. That was the lowest
19 chosen bidder. Cameron was also gone out with
20 DPW gave us back three bids and again the
21 foundation chose Cameron as the lowest
22 bidder. And then the top one, which was the
23 Tactical Design, that did not go out to bid.
24 That was because Tactical Design has specific
25 specialty in designing -- worked with the New

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 York City Police Department -- in designing
3 just tactical designs for academies.

4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
5 ultimately the question is going to be whether
6 it's the county that makes the determination
7 as to who gets the bid as opposed to the party
8 donating the monies.

9 COMMISSIONER RYDER: We will put
10 it all in writing for you sir.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We will
12 talk with you in the next two weeks.

13 MS. NAGALON: Alexandria Nagalon
14 with the Police Foundation. The bids -- I
15 know did a memo to the leg, I don't know if
16 you got it -- but the bids for Spector was a
17 full county bid that went out through DPW, on
18 the bid board and the county had a say. There
19 was a committee of people from the county,
20 DPW, the Police Department Foundation involved
21 in selection. So the Foundation didn't select
22 Spector on its own. It was a county bid
23 process. Full soups to nuts bid.

24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I
25 understand what you're saying but the issue

1 Rules - 12-3-18

2 remains. Even though it was a county process,
3 the entity that makes this choice I think
4 probably has to be the county. I don't think
5 you can have a private entity making a
6 determination even though the bids went
7 through the county process. But we will look
8 at this over the next couple of weeks.

9 COMMISSIONER RYDER: Thank you.

10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
11 you. Any other discussion? Any public
12 comment? All in favor signify by saying aye.
13 Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

14 Motion to adjourn. Moved by
15 Legislator Ford. Seconded by Legislator
16 Rhoads. All in favor of adjourning signify by
17 saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
18 unanimously.

19 (TIME NOTED: 7:40 P.M.)

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
Public in and for the State of New
York, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
hereunto set my hand this eighth day of
December 2018

FRANK GRAY