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Leg 2-24-20
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Gaylor would you lead us in the pledge.
(Whereupon Pledge of Allegiance was
recited.)
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Legislator Gaylor. Mike, could you call the roll please.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you. Deputy
Presiding Officer Howard Kopel.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
Presiding Officer Denise Ford.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Siela
Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
Solages.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Debra
Mule.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator C.
William Gaylor the Third.
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LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Vincent
Muscarella.
LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Ellen
Birnbaum.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator James
Kennedy.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
McKevitt.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator John
Ferretti, Jr.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
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Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here. MR. PULITZER: Legislator Rose Marie Walker.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Joshua
Lafazan.
LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Steven
Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Minority Leader
Kevan Abrahams is not present?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Presiding Officer
Richard Nicolello.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
MR. PULITZER: We have quorum sir.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
very much. Welcome to our night meeting of the Nassau County Legislature. Before we get into the business portion of the calendar we have several presentations. Including what we
traditionally do at every meeting which is the top cops. We will do the presentations, have a period for public comment. If you do want to speak please take a slip and present it to the clerk's office at the table and we will have an extended period for public comment and then do the business of the legislature.

As was said, as is customary, we recognize the top cops for the month of February. To start things off, I call upon PBA president first, James McDermott. MR. MCDERMOTT: Good evening. Once again I would like to thank the county leg for having legislative top cops and this one is for February 2020. It's for police officers Richard Fosbeck, Kenneth Petterson and police officer Zac Benigno of the First Precinct.

On February 8, 2020 at
approximately 12:10 p.m. officers Fosback, Petterson and Benigno were dispatch to 3447 Bayfront Drive in Baldwin for a well check on an emotionally disturbed person. Upon arrival, they observed a 29 year old female
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threatening to jump off a dock into the bay. As officers approached the dock, the emotionally disturbed woman jumped into the frigid water. Officers threw the victim a flotation device but the victim, who went into shock, was unable to grab it. Officers Petterson and Benigno then removed their equipment and jumped into the water, but soon realized the current was too strong as the victim was being pulled further out into the bay.

Officer Fosback then located a row boat in a neighbor's yard. All three officers then entered the row boat and rowed towards the victim, who was now approximately 75 yards from the shoreline. When the officers reached the victim, they were able to grab hold of her and bring her partially into the row boat. Officers then began to row back towards the shoreline when they were met by the Marine Bureau, who were then able to pull the victim from the frigid water and into their boat.

The victim was taken to South Nassau Hospital for treatment of hypothermia.
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The victim has since made a full recovery. Due to their vigilance, bravery and quick thinking, officers Fosback, Petterson and Benigno were able to save the life of a 29 year old female victim. The PBA is proud to name them legislative top cops for February 2020. Thank you.

> MR. PALMER: I think it
absolutely goes without saying that we are immensely proud of our officers here today and the fine work they do not only in the instance that was actually demonstrated by the union president here but what they do on a daily basis. On behalf of Commissioner Ryder, who could not be here today, I want to thank the legislature for taking the time out to honor them today and for the public for being here and listening to our story. We're here everyday and we want to be here to serve. Thank you very much.

> LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator

Ford.

```
            LEGISLATOR FORD: Did any of
officers want to say anything?
```
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MR. FOSBACK: I'd just like to thank the county legislators, our PBA president and the chiefs that showed up and support us on a daily basis. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Good evening and thank all of the officers. And I have to say, you work in a great department and you really have excelled in what you did that night. I'm always impressed by the courage and dedication of our officers and to be able to assess the situation within seconds and minutes definitely underscores the training that you get and underscores the people that you are.

I guess we can never comprehend why somebody wants to commit suicide. But by your quick actions you have given this woman an opportunity to perhaps seek help and hopefully change her life and insure a better future.

So in a way, aside from being officers you are also angels that you are helping this woman and hopefully given her family something to hang on to by having this woman and, as I said, hopefully making a
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better future for herself.
Thank you once again. You really have shown such courage, such bravery and dedication. That's why you're the best. Thanks.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Mule.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you
Presiding Officer. Legislator Ford said it so beautifully and so well, but this took place in my district and we are a water community. I don't think most people realize how quickly things can go wrong if someone jumps into freezing cold water. James McDermott mentioned about going into shock, and I think that that really added another layer, another element of the danger and how quickly all of the people who were involved in the rescue and the young woman herself, how quickly they could have died.

So, your bravery certainly should not go unrecognized, and I'm so pleased you are here tonight to be recognized for that. Thank you.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We would like to invite you up for a presentation. Stay right there and we will do the DAI and the other presentations.

MR. MALONEY: Good evening. My name is detective Maloney of the Nassau County Detectives Association. I'd like to thank Presiding Officer Nicolello, Minority Leader Abrahams and the full legislative body for honoring our detectives assigned to the FBI Task Force.

Two detectives from Nassau County Narcotics Vice Bureau, who obviously cannot be here due to their undercover status, are assigned to the FBI Task Force and began an investigation to identify and purchase firearms that were being trafficked from Virginia to New York.

During a nine-month period the detectives purchased 19 firearms, including an AR-15 multicaliber rifle. During these gun buys, the undercover detective acted as an associate and as a driver for a confidential informant. The undercover detective observed
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the gun buys and after the purchase transported the firearms arms to safety. It was learned during the investigation the weapons were purchased in Virginia, then transported to New York to be sold.

The two defendants were arrested and are being charged federally with gun trafficking conspiracy. A search warrant was conducted at one of the defendants' residences and an additional five firearms were recovered.

At the conclusion of the case, a total of 24 firearms were taken off of the street and a major gun trafficking operation was dismantled.

The two Nassau County detectives were the lead investigators of this case and coordinated a multiagency task force which included members of the FBI, ATF, New York State Police, Hempstead PD and Nassau and Suffolk County Sheriff's Department.

These arrests demonstrate the commitment by our detectives to save lives by stopping the flow of illegal firearms to

Nassau County.
I again would like to thank the full legislative body for their continued support of the Nassau County Police Department and the detective division. The detectives who are not present are watching while the legislative session is being streamed live.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you very much.

MR. PALMER: For those detectives that are watching while it's being streamed live, I have to let you know how proud we all are of your efforts. We know you can't be here to get the accolades in person, but know that everyone is behind you and the work you do is incredibly dangerous but incredibly productive to the safety of this county. Thank you to you all and once again thank you to the legislature.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Rhoads.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you Mr. Presiding Officer. To the detectives that are watching at home you have our eternal Leg 2-24-20
gratitude. One of the reasons that as a legislature, $I$ think all of us, I can speak for all 19 of us, look forward to the top cop presentations each month is because it provides us an opportunity to see some of the amazing and outstanding work that our police officers, our detectives, our medics do on an ongoing basis day in and day out.

Because I know that every person that stands up here as an honoree for amazing accomplishments that they have achieved on behalf of the people of Nassau County, there are 2600 other officers and sworn members of the department that are standing behind them who accomplish amazing acts in and of themselves that go unnoticed. These are the kinds of things that you may not have the opportunity to read about in the newspaper but they're the kind of things that we hope the residents of Nassau County recognize and have the opportunity to see every day.

And by us having our top cop presentations at the county legislature it provides the chance for the information to get
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out there about how dedicated, how committed, how professional our sworn officers are and the amazing work they do on an ongoing basis.

To the detectives that will remain unnamed for security concerns, we owe you a tremendous debt of gratitude obviously that we will never be able to repay. The particular instance here, obviously those firearms were being trafficked not for sale through a gun store and not for legal sale or distribution. Obviously they were guns that were being transported from Virginia to New York for illegal purposes, to be purchased illegally and undoubtedly used in some sort of criminal activity.

It's through their hard work and through their dedication that we were able to not only stop the sale of those 15 particular firearms but also to be able to break up a ring, which probably had in the past and could have in the future also provided other firearms into Nassau County.
It's also a beautiful example of how the Nassau County Police Department works
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collaboratively with federal law enforcement, with other law enforcement agencies to make sure that the people of Nassau County are kept safe.

And so to those detectives and to the squad, thank you so much for what you do and it's our honor to have your
representatives here today for us on behalf of the people we represent to say thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Detective Maloney, I'm not sure if you want to come up for the presentations due to the fact that the detectives are not here, but we do have citations for them. Whatever works better for you.

MR. MALONEY: I will accept them for them and they thank you for the comments. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. The next presentation will be for the CSEA Police Medic Unit. We have Kris Kalendar here.

MR. KALENDAR: Good evening
everybody. Kris Kalendar with the police medics.
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On Sunday January 26 , a 16 year old barricaded himself inside of his house in Old Bethpage after a verbal disagreement with his mother regarding the state of his mental well being. He had a history of violence and recently returned home after spending time upstate at a rehab facility.

While he was inside his home, he constructed a homemade explosive device that he threatened to detonate if anyone from the police department entered the home.

Additionally, he sprayed lighter fluid around the home to further deter law enforcement.

Police medic Brandon Leonard, he responded in ambulance 2378 along with patrol units from the Eight Precinct to find his mother outside of her home in a state of emotional distress. She was talking on her cell phone with a tone of panic desperation. When Brandon inquired into who she was speaking to she confirmed that she was speaking to her son who was inside the home in a dangerous mental state.

Police medic Leonard, who is also a
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member of the Nassau County Police Department's hostage negotiation team since 2013, who also has a master's degree in forensic psychology, ask to speak with her son. Brandon led negotiations with the male for over an hour on the phone in coordination with patrol emergency services and the Bureau of Special Operations.

Police medic Leonard successfully de-escalated the situation to the point where the 16 year old surrendered voluntarily and walked out of the home.

Due to Brandon's professionalism, skill and calm demeanor, our Nassau County police officers, police medics and the Old Bethpage community left the situation unharmed and the patient was safely transported to a local hospital for evaluation.

MR. PALMER: Once again, thank you for this. Our medics are our unsung heroes. They save lives every day just as a course of doing business. Their dedication is unparalleled and here is another example of the day-to-day sacrifice that our members put
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forth. He's also part of the hostage negotiation team and they're another unsung hero in our department. You won't hear much about them because it's part of their creed not to be public. But they respond to so many instances of crisis in this county. And day in day out they perform an extraordinary service for everyone here. Thank you to everyone involved and thank you once again for your time.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do they
want to say a few words?
MR. LEONARD: Thank you. I would like to thank you for your continued support day in and day out and for the invitation this evening. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Walker and Legislator Drucker would like to say a few words.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: First of all, I would like to thank you for what you do day in and day out. On this particular Sunday you had an extremely volatile situation that could have really gone very, very, bad. To me a
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kid, 16 years old, a young person, who is in a very, very bad state mentally and obviously his mom in a panic outside. And somehow you were able to bring that situation under control with your training, with your expertise and have a situation that really could have been very, very ugly. Not just for that young man, for his mother but for the community. God forbid he lit that lighter fluid and there was fire everywhere. Just a very, very bad situation.

But with all your training you
managed to de-escalate that situation and bring it under control and hopefully get that young man, he was taken to the hospital, and hopefully get him the additional help that he needs. I cannot thank you enough for that.

As I said, every opportunity I get to thank you is amazing. And certainly to our Eighth Precinct officers that were there also. But this was an extraordinary night that you had a very, very bad situation to deal with. Thank you very much for it. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
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Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
Presiding Officer. Just to echo what
Legislator Walker said I agree 100 percent. I happen to be personally familiar with the circumstances surrounding this incident. I'm very well acquainted with the people involved. I was aware of what was going on with this incident as it was unfolding that Sunday afternoon. What you did was nothing short of a miracle, police medic. You defused a highly volatile, emotionally charged situation.

Again, I'm familiar with this young man and his mother and it was a very difficult situation. When it first presented itself to the squad, to the Eighth Precinct, they didn't know what they were facing. It could have gone terribly sideways. What you did was amazing. To be able to get that young man to walk out without any incident, without any violence, without any damage, went a long way to allowing this family to recover and move forward. Which I have known now is proceeding
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in a very nice way thanks to your efforts. On behalf of this body and everyone else who for unsung heroes like yourself we owe you a debt of gratitude. You saved a lot of lives. You saved a lot of damage. You saved a lot of heartache and misery that afternoon. Thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: For the people who are here for the first time and people who may be watching the streaming version of this, we do this every month. We recognize the top cops. And just tonight alone we've had officers who risked their own lives by jumping into frigid waters to save an individual. We had detectives through their expertise and brilliance breaking up a gun trafficking operation. And we have this police medic before us who helped to de-escalate what could have been a tragic situation.

As I said, we do this every month and we hear these stories every month and these are extraordinary stories but they're only a fraction of what our law enforcement
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personnel, our police medics, our DAI, our correction officers do. We are truly fortunate in this county to have such fine, dedicated men and women and we want to thank you very much for all that you do.

Jim, you want to come up for the first presentation.

Let's keep moving. The next portion of our meeting is dedicated to recognizing Black History Month. I'm proud to introduce today's program in recognition of Black History Month in which we highlight achievements of African-American members of our Nassau County family.

Tonight's honorees are representatives of the larger community. If we really wanted to recognize all those in African-American community who are making this county a better place the Nassau Coliseum would not be large enough to hold the event.

I'm very proud and privileged to honor, with members of the Majority and the Minority, our entire legislature, an American icon, Tuskegee Airman Mr. William Joseph
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Johnson. Unfortunately Mr. Johnson could not be with us tonight. He recently had eye surgery and up until today he was hoping to be able to make it. He is on the mend but he simply could not make it here tonight.

He is the fourth of nine children.
Born in 1925 in North Carolina. He was four years to escape the racism prevalent in the south at that time his family moved to Glen Cove. After graduating from high school in 1943 he joined the Army Air Corp. Growing up on Long Island, the cradle of aviation, Mr. Johnson knew he want to become a pilot. He applied for the US Army Air Force flight training program and began training at Tuskegee airfield in Alabama in 1944.

The all black flight school at Tuskegee, Alabama trained more than 1,000 cadets. During World War II Tuskegee airmen flew more than 15,000 individual sorties in Europe and North Africa and earned more than 150 distinguished flying crosses. The Tuskegee Airmen risked their lives on behalf of this nation to secure victory against

America's enemies.
The most extraordinary part of their heroism, their courage is that they did it for a nation in which racial segregation persisted in which their rights and dreams were routinely denied.

After the war ended in Europe and through the exemplary service of this elite group of airmen, they paved the way for the racial integration of the US military resulting in President Harry Truman executive order in 1948 mandating equality in opportunity and treatment for all in the US armed forces.

When asked about his service Mr. Johnson said, we performed and we proved by our performance what it was all about. It is not the color of your skin but the content of your character that matters. His words are words to live by and he is truly an American hero. Please join me in thanking William Joseph Johnson for his incredible service.

Once Mr. Johnson has fully healed we will invite him back collectively to meet
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with all of us. We would certainly be honored to meet with him and be in his presence.

Our next honoree will be presented by Legislator Debra Mule.

LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you Presiding Officer. I'm delighted and honored to be making this presentation. Dr. Zavilia Williams, affectionately known as Dr. Zo, is the founder, executive director of 3D's Aftercare Incorporated, 3D's Empowerment Circle LLC and 3D's Community Empowerment Center. She is currently serving her 20th year as a New York City Department of Education social worker. Additionally, she is a Girl Scout co-leader for Troop 2305, a nuturing parent program facilitator and she's a member of Zeta Phi Beta Sorority Incorporated.

In 2018, Dr. Zo celebrated the opening of 3D's Empowerment Center located in Baldwin. The center is designed to empower children through programs that promote higher self esteem, a greater sense of self-worth and an understanding of responsibility. She is
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dedicated to encouraging children and giving them tools to make their dreams come true. Dr. Zo's commitment to understanding, uplifting, equipping and empowering others is evident through her multiple social work and public service roles. Dr. Zo has been recognized for her humanitarian service and innovation by several organizations. Most notably, she was named the 2019 person of the year by the Baldwin Herald, a community-based publication as well as the Alan Jamison Black Women that Rocks award and the SBC Woman of Distinction award. Dr. Zo, it is an honor to recognize you for your dedication and commitment to serving our community, youth and leaders of tomorrow.

I want to say I've worked certainly in the community very closely with Dr. Zo and her commitment is palpable to the children. She wants them to have a safe environment to go to after school. She wants them to succeed. And she just took it on as her mission in life after her full-time job she

Leg 2-24-20
does this as another full-time job. She funded it and got it going and it is a big success. I wish you nothing but success and I thank you. Congratulations. Dr. Zo please say a few words.

MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. I just want to say that I'm honored and humbled to be here, and I find it a privilege that I'm able to be intentional about being a rainbow in the clouds of the children in our community. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Dr. Zo, I'd just like to add my background is actually early childhood education. What you do for these little ones, $I$ know you said you give them rainbow in the clouds, but $I$ can tell you that $I$ know just by the smile on your face that they give that you rainbow also. The little ones there they can make such a difference. Their smile. Just the way they you look at you can really make your day. I know you make their day by your smile. Thank you for all you do for them. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator

Vin Muscarella.
LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: I wanted
to state that I have a group of young men here today from Pack 372 Franklin Square, the Boy Scouts of America. We had Chris, Michael, Rory and Joey, who are here to observe some of the goings on of government in action. And if they'd like to come up. Does anybody want to come up and say a few words? Any guys?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're going to politefully decline thank you very much for having us here tonight. Did you want to say something?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you
for having us.
LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: You're quite welcome. Guys, they had a suggestion for a new bill to put in. They wanted to ban homework. I told them that that was something for the state legislature to take on.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you
very much for having us. These boys need to be moving on to Boy Scouts pretty soon. Maybe in a couple of years you'll have them back as
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eagle scouts. Thank you very much.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Next
presentation by Legislator Steve Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you
Mr. Presiding Officer. I think the one theme that you've definitely heard today is the fact that each of us, certainly the people that have been recognized today, have used their unique talents and skills to make their corner of the world a little bit better for their having been here. We've heard stories of the heroism and skill of our police and fire medics, of our detectives. The valor, dedication and sacrifice of heroic Tuskegee Airmen. And the outstanding African-American leadership in our community making a difference in our communities.

I also rise on a point of personal privilege to recognize some outstanding high school students that are also making a difference in their communities and will be making a difference in their communities for years to come.

Now, we have in Nassau County two
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Regeneron science talent search finalists in all of Nassau County. Now, Regeneron science talent search is the nation's oldest and most prestigious science competition for high school seniors providing an important forum for original researched that's recognized and reviewed by a national jury of professional scientists.

Alumni of Regeneron have made extraordinary contributions to science and have earned many of the world's most distinguished science and math honors. Including 13 noble prizes.

Annually over 1800 high school students seniors from around the country accept the challenge of conducting independent science, math or engineering research and completing an entry for the Regeneron Science Talent Search.

The competition recognizes 300 student scholars and their schools each year and invites only 40 student finalists to Washington D.C. to participate in the final judging, display their work to the public and
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meet with notable scientists and government leaders. Each year Regeneron scholars and finalists compete for $\$ 3.1$ million in awards.

As I said, in all of Nassau County we had only two finalists. Both of which are from my home district and both of which are from Bellmore John F. Kennedy High School and we have both of those finalists here tonight.

We'll call them both up at the same time but I would like to recognize Andrew Britton and Katherine Saint George from Bellmore JFK High School. Both seniors.

Now, this tends to be one of the more humbling experiences because it is clear from your projects that both of you are vastly smarter than me. Andrew's report was on marsh restoration, ribbed muscles -- I'm not going to try and pronounce the actual name -- as a revival mechanism to rebuild the coastal salt marshes of Long Island, New York. Tell us a little bit about your project.

MR. BRITTON: First, I just want to say a tremendous thank you for inviting us here. My project was about marsh
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restoration. Try to block the damages from hurricanes. And my project essentially was around the idea that ribbed muscles, which are similar to clams and oysters, could be a key species in rebuilding marshes naturally when compared to synthetic storm surge barriers. One of which is proposed for the Jones Inlet and it's $\$ 300$ million. My solution is extremely cost effective and pretty much costs nothing to do.

And another part of my research was predicting the future and seeing how marshes would evolve over time. And my project suggested that by 2079 all the salt marshes on Long Island could be lost completely. Which kind of shows there's a need to act and my project was the first step and the groundwork for possible action to undertake against hurricanes like Hurricane Sandy.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Unbelievable, fantastic work. Where are you going to college next year?

> MR. BRITTON: I'm not sure yet actually.
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LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Fair enough. Incredibly important project. For all of us who live on the south shore and had to deal with Super Storm Sandy. Obviously your research plays a key role in storm resiliency. Incredibly important. But an amazing job Andrew.

Katherine, her project is the ketogeneric diet. Ameliorates the effects of caffeine in seizures susceptible drosophila melanogaster. Otherwise known as fruit flies. Would have been much easier to say fruit flies. Please tell us a little bit about your project.

MS. SAINT GEORGE: Firstly, it's just such an honor to be recognized her tonight and we both really appreciate you having us.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It's our
honor to have you.
MS. SAINT GEORGE: Thank you. For my study I looked at the impact of the different nutritional profiles, different levels of caffeine exposure, different diets
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on seizure susceptibility in these fruit flies. And I found that the Ketogenic diet, or as it's more popularly known keto, which is a low carbohydrate high fat diet, can counteract the affects of caffeine in these seizure susceptible fruit flies. Caffeine increases seizure susceptibility. Whereas, the Ketogenic diet decreases it. I kind of established that kind of counteracting relationship between the two factors and these findings have implications for quality of life and nutritional counseling of epilepsy patients.

## LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Absolutely

amazing. There is a correlation between caffeine and fruit flies and potential implications for humans?

MS. SAINT GEORGE: It's been known that caffeine does increase seizures. But the study also found that chronic exposure to caffeine as opposed to acute exposure to caffeine can actually have protective effects against seizures.

> LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Absolutely
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amazing. I just again want to congratulate both of you. I had the chance to recognize you at the Jam at the Gym over at Kennedy High School. But your accomplishments are so amazing that $I$ wanted to make sure that you were recognized on a much larger scale here at the county legislature for the contributions that you are making in science and for the contributions that you are making to our community as a whole.

I do also want to mention that we had one semifinalist, also from Bellmore JFK High School, Kennard Gelman, who could not be with us tonight, but her accomplishments are amazing as well. Her project was chondrocyte etecine A2A receptor signaling leads to cellular homeostasis through activation of protein kinase, APKA. I can read the description but $I$ would only be pretending I understood it. I hope to have the chance to speak with the Kennard about her project as well.

> I want to thank you for your passion, dedication. I want to wish you luck

Leg 2-24-20
down in Washington DC because I know you are leaving for the competition next Thursday and hopefully you will be bringing home a championship to Nassau. But best of luck to both of you. Congratulations. I have citations from the county legislature for you both. Great job.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you very much. Congratulations.

This is the public comment portion of our meeting which lasts an hour. That's the time that's used. It's eight o'clock now. If it goes beyond nine we will break and go to the full legislature and come back later.

First speaker is Richard Clolery. MR. CLOLERY: To the members of the legislature, as you're all aware starting next month there will be no more plastic shopping bags given out. This I feel is a great first step but is not the only step that we need to take to combat littering here on the island. Especially stuff that gets into our water.
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Another step is to place garbage cans and maintaining them. Especially in areas where there should be no dumping and yet there is. I've seen of lot of it as I pass by where I live. I go by one of those areas, you know, where it says dump here you get a fine. Anyway.

If people, for whatever reason, fails to use them there should be ticketing enforcement. And my suggestion for the proceeds from those fines should be used to increase funding for the buses so that the lines that were cut can be restored. Just a suggestion. Thank you. Have a good day. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Richard. Steven Edmundson.

MR. CLOLERY: Any other comments from you guys?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No. Thank
you for your comments Richard. Steven Edmundson.

MR. EDMUNDSON: I say hello to the people I know and people I don't know and the people from Facebook. And Denise Ford who
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gave me a citation but spelled my name wrong. We have a homeless problem and I ought to know because I'm homeless and in a shelter run by Daphne Haynes who drives a Jaguar and we're lucky if we get milk, bread and peanut butter in the house.

19 people, I invite you to come down to DSS to find out what these people are doing and not doing because it's not a text book situation. My mother died. I had a four bedroom house in Oceanside. I lived in the Jones Beach Hotel in Wantagh. Steve Rhoads knows because we talked about that place. And now I'm in a homeless shelter tagging my social security check and they want $560, \$ 570$, a month for rent. When we have criminals with the bail reform they're not hanging around East Meadow. They're coming to the shelters.

And the buses. You want to us get a job you got to get these buses into order because they're terrible. The only good thing about the bus you don't have to worry about a terrorist because you never know when the bus is coming. Thank you.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Mr. Edmundson. Liz Boylan.
MS. BOYLAN: Good evening. Liz Boylan, volunteer with the Rockville Centre Coalition for Youth. I have in front of us a placard that was put together based on a conference my coordinator and I, Ruthanne McCormack, attended in Washington D.C. with 3200 members of communities against -- I'm sorry, it's Coalitions Against Antidrug Initiatives. And what we did was we basically were given the mission to come back and to share and educate with you what we were advised. Much of it was very, very sobering.

One of the big problems is that the media does not report on the negative impacts regarding the legalization of nonmedical marijuana. I guess I should have stated that's the reason why I'm up here.

The board I have in front of you is broken up into three sections. It's basically a marijuana advisory. We were given data on marijuana 101. How it's used, the risks, the low perception of risk on the major
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populations using it, which are teens and pregnant women. It's eaten, which has a slow onset but a long impact. It's also smoked, which is a fast onset and short impact. The concentrates that went from four percent potency up to 80 percent today. So there was a lot of data there that was given us that we feel we would like you to take and consider before any further initiatives are taken on this. Our youth are the ones that are suffering.

In terms of the consequences, as you can see, many of the consequences have to do with -- in Oregon for instance, their cannabis office they had a failed audit in January 2019 due to lack of staffing, lack of inspections, lack of appropriate lab tests. Emergency room visits due to -- many were marijuana poisonings -- in 2015 there were 5494 visits to the ER. All cannabis related. In 2016 it went up to 8,532.

I think when people talk about marijuana, you know, nonmedical marijuana, and they think it's just about smoking a few
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joints, it's really about the normalization. If you look at the middle of it, the commercialization and normalization includes social clubs, pot cafes, deliveries. There was actually an online sale where the trucks advertised online but when they actually come they respect your privacy so they're not marked.

One of the other issues we had was -- oh, there's also cannabis resorts. This is kind of normalizing the use of this very dangerous, powerful, potent drug, which in our current legislation has no cap on potency and no cap on dosage. The consequences to that you've heard is impaired drug driving. You know what the statistics are there.

But there's something in particular
I wanted to draw your attention to because I just $I$ read in Newsday and the Long Island Press. There were two mothers who were for legalizing marijuana. But there is a website and a group, I want all of to write this website down, it's called Moms Strong and it's
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Moms Strong dot org. And their whole mission, it's very compelling, we met some of these mothers at the conference, they're telling their stories unmasking the marijuana charade to make the public aware of marijuana harms by sharing the stories of how our youth are experiencing devastating mental and physical health effects from using marijuana. They're a group of moms who have seen the damages to their teenagers and young children. While pot advocates promote their stories how safe it is, they have experienced anxiety, panic attacks and suicides.

They do have a qualifier. Not all weed users have or will experience a horrific consequence from using the potent strains of today's marijuana. But their hope is their website will find parents who have witnessed these horrors and hopefully share their stories and save somebody else.

We have alternatives.
Decriminalization. Make it work. Tweak the law. And we have prevention. Prevention is not prohibition. It's about providing
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information, education and facts to make decisions. Our own surgeon general has come out about the importance of protecting our nation from the health risks of marijuana. It's also about decreasing the misperceptions of the safety of marijuana and danger to protect our youth, who are not the leaders of tomorrow they are our leaders of today. So I ask, since we have limited time, please come look at that placard and please consider some of the information that does not get out into the media on a daily basis. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Liz, I just want to say that I'm privileged to have Liz and Ruthanne, who I believe is going to speak next, Ruthanne McCormack, you might as well come up as well, in my district. It's been an absolute revelation and a wonderful thing to see the work that these ladies have put into just good things. Just trying to make sure that our children grow up safe, happy and healthy.

I know that it takes away from
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anything else that they're doing. It takes time away from their family. They travel. They just came back from D.C. for how long? Ten days. And it's all for this altruistic, wonderful purpose, and I can't say thank you enough for what you all do. What you, both of you do and the volunteers that you bring with you and work with you to accomplish these wonderful tasks. We certainly, all of us here, hope for your continued success. Thank you.

MS. BOYLAN: I will leave the placard over there. Just on your break glance at it, take a look at it and it will provide you with some interesting data.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Ruthanne.
MS. MCCORMACK: Thank you to everyone for letting us speak. I'm going to go over a little bit about what Liz talked about and elaborate more on the fiscal. The cost versus the revenue. All of you have a packet that I put together that has all of the information in it. I'm going to specifically hit on the revenue. Should I start the
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timer?
Question remains what are New York's projected costs to 62 counties, 932 towns, 62 cities, ten native American reservations, over 3,400 local governments, 514 police departments, 950 school districts with 4800 individual schools. Only five percent of the money deposited in the New York State Medical Cannabis Trust Funding shall be transferred to the Office of Addiction Services and Support. Which they will use that revenue for additional drug abuse prevention, counseling and treatment services. Five percent to all those places that need help with treatment and education to prevent our youth from starting drugs and alcohol and progressing into addicts. Which many often do. They start with marijuana. Not every heroin addict -- not every marijuana user says I'm going become a heroin addict. But mostly every heroin addict has started with marijuana.

Only five percent of the money is deposited in the New York State Medical
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Cannabis Trust Fund shall be transferred to the Division of Criminal Justice Services, which can be used for discretionary grants towards personal cost of state and local law enforcement agencies. Only five percent to all of our law enforcement. Think about it. They're already overburdened. How are they going to handle this when this happens?

Governor Cuomo in 2017 he made a statement, February 2017, three years ago, it's a gateway drug and marijuana leads to other drugs and there's a lot of proof that that's true. Today he would ignored the experiences of other states, medical science, law enforcement and substance abuse professionals to ram commercialized weed through the legislature.

Think about it. Think about the kids. We just heard about two young people suffering. We have many, many more that you don't know the stories about. Rockville Centre suicide is an epidemic. Another youth from Oceanside committed suicide a week ago Sunday. A senior in high school with his
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whole life ahead of him. We've had two fatal overdoses in Rockville Centre since the beginning of the year. This marijuana legalization is not going to help make anything better. It's going to cause more problems.

We're having a town hall on March
10th where we hope to educate more of the community about the dangers of this
happening. We're having a speaker, Alex Berenson, who wrote a book Parents Tell Your Children the Truth about Marijuana, Mental Illness and Violence. We had him scheduled in Rockville Centre at the school district. Parents revolted. They said he doesn't have real facts. His data is not backed up by science but it is. We found out they were invested in marijuana industry and part of these moms that want to regulate marijuana. What message are they sending to their kids? One of the moms quoted from Newsday on the ninth, our kids are already vaping marijuana. If it's legal it will be safer. What they're vaping is not safe. At least it
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will be safer. That's not true. In states that are legal the marijuana that's sold in pot shops that are being vaped have caused deaths.

The DEA, they said the cartels want marijuana legalized in states. It will make their businesses grow. Make it easier for them to get in on this legalized trade. We are going to be victimized by more organizations. Our children are going to be victimized by advertisements.

One youth that we met at the conference from a state that's been legalized a few years from Oregon she said, what am I supposed to do when my friend's mom is actually selling pot to high school kids? Who do I tell about that? Is there going to have to be adjustments in our social host law? We already have it for alcohol. We'll have to make adjustments for parents that provide marijuana. What about the kids that bring it to school and give it to their friends. Their friends think it's a cookie. They overdose on it.
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What about scromiting. Scromiting is a syndrome that people are getting where they're screaming and vomiting at the same time due to heavy marijuana use. It's happening mainly to our young people. Moms have spoken about how their kids have lost 30 40 pounds. They'll stop using marijuana and go back to it and it starts all over again.

Please, take a look at Liz's
poster. This actually looks like a Marlboro box but it has all the facts on it. Big tobacco is behind this. Thank you so much for your time.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Ruthanne. Legislator Gaylor.
LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Liz and
Ruthanne thank you for all that you do. It's a monumental task obviously to travel up and down the roads to Albany. But you have to keep doing it. It's that important what you're doing. The legalization of marijuana it's going to come to us again in the form through the state in a budget bill. What I firmly believe is that because of your efforts

Leg 2-24-20
maybe the governor is not going to take out that opt out provision so that Nassau County can opt out of this.

I don't think this is the way that our constituents in our legislative districts want to see the future of our county and our state go. You're doing a great job, no doubt about it. Keep doing what you're doing and keep being vocal.

MS. MCCORMACK: We're hoping
what happened last year they took it out and they didn't come to an agreement at the last minute. That's what we are hoping for again. LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: So am I. As long as we have a voice here in Nassau County I'm standing with you. You're good.

MS. MCCORMACK: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Dean
Bacigalupo.
MR. BACIGALUPO: My name is Dean
Bacigalupo. I am a trustee with the Island Park Public Library. I wish to speak to you this evening in regard to the tax dispute over the Barrett power plant, which is located in
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the library service area.
The Island Park Public Library has maintained a long and successful history of providing quality programs and resources to all members of the Island Park community. From preschool age children to senior citizens as well as individuals of all socioeconomic backgrounds and cultures.

It's not uncommon for our library -- this past year we reported to New York State over 100,00 visits. That's after subtracting our staff coming and going to the library each day. The library has been resilient and tenacious in its goal to serve its residents well. We frequently meet with them. That's why we are having so much success. They let us know what they need and we build those programs into our library program.

As you know, the library suffered significant damage as a result of Super Storm Sandy. Including flooding of the entire first floor. We had to close for many months while the library was painstakingly restored. The
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day the doors reopened to the community is one of great pride and celebration in Island Park. I know Ms. Ford was there to celebrate with us.

> Now the library is facing a new challenge in light of the Barrett power plant tax dispute. Representatives of the library attended the January 15 th meeting held at the Island Park School District at which a proposed settlement agreement between Nassau County and the Long Island Power Authority was presented to the Island Park community. Nassau County Executive Laura Curran was there. She introduced the members on the stage. She stated that she has been working very closely over the past year at putting this settlement together with the members of the LIPA board. It was the first time the Island Park Public Library learned about that.

Prior to the meeting, in fact we sent over 20,000 letters collectively from members of our community to County Executive Curran, to members of the LIPA board of
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trustees and to other key figures to inquire about the impact of the dispute on the library. However, the Island Park Library did not receive a response. The library's representatives were likewise disturbed when at this January 15 th meeting no mention was made of the effect of the Barrett power plant tax dispute on the library. A power point was presented. It showed the impact on the school district and other various government entities. There was nothing on any of those slides about the impact to the Island Park Public Library.

Indeed, when I inquired about this during the question and answer portion of the meeting it was clearly evident that LIPA, specifically Mr. Falcone, had no familiarity with the library. Had no numbers to share regarding the settlement's impact on the library and really was unaware that the library would be affected by this decision. Moreover, while there were several pieces of pending legislation that address the Barrett power plant dispute and other LIPA's
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challenges, these proposals do not appear to directly address the impact on our library or any affected library on Long Island.

Since that meeting the library has obtained information from Nassau County about the projected PILOT payment it might receive under the proposed settlement. Those numbers are cause for alarm. The glide path, as it's called, reduction in the PILOT will create a structural deficit for the library that will be difficult to fill and presents the library with a Hobson's choice of significantly increasing its tax burden on its constituents. Many of whom survive on a fixed income. Or being forced to cut critical programs. Including those that serve seniors, young children and our community at large.

In light of all this, we respectfully request an opportunity for representatives of the library to meet with representatives of LIPA, to meet with representatives of this county legislature or members of the state legislature to ensure that the potential effects of the Barrett

Leg 2-24-20
power plant tax dispute on the library are understood before any proposed settlements are finalized through legislation from Nassau County. The patrons of our public library deserve the same advocacy and representation given to the affected school district and given to LIPA board of trustees.

I want to thank you for your time and for your attention to this critical matter.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Dean, thank you very much. I know you're library very well and I have to say that you do an excellent job and the staff and everybody on the board really has made that library such an integral part of the Island Park community. Even those of us at Logans come over to use your library as well. At times I've even brought my grandson there. We do enjoy especially your reading day and of course last year when you hatched the eggs. That was the highlight when he got to see the ducks or something get hatched.
Just to let you know that right now
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that settlement that was negotiated by the county executive with LIPA basically is in limbo at this point. The state legislature, as you know, is looking at passing legislation that will impact LIPA's ability to recoup the back taxes. Although that was in the settlement negotiated by the county executive that they would give up the county guarantee.

And yes, I think we need to look and I think that since you were not part of the settlement, not part of the negotiations, at all, the legislators who are in the affected areas $I$ think $I$ can speak for those of us here, that we will definitely look into it.

At this point we don't know where we are with this whole issue because we're waiting. We can't do anything. The state is looking at this. And we're just hoping at this point that there is no determination made by the judge in regard because we know that judge is deliberating, especially on the Huntington grievance filed by LIPA. So, right now we don't know. We're not going to call it
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because --
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No. It will not be called. With the senate bill apparently has passed the senate. We are not going to move ahead on the settlement until we have clarification on the state as to whether that bill, the assembly bill whether it gets passed -- signed by the governor, both houses pass it, whether it gets withdrawn. We right now are 100 percent relying on the state action to determine what our next step is. MR. BACIGALUPO: I appreciate that but I think from the public library's perspective we can't -- obviously if it's an imperfect settlement we will have difficult decisions to make with our community. But the process itself should not be imperfect. And I think the Island Park Public Library deserves the opportunity to sit down with the Nassau legislature before any vote is taken. LEGISLATOR FORD: We will reach out to the county executive. But at this point with the settlement $I$ think that will be something that we will bring up to her
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considering when we look if the settlement goes through. But there is a possibility that during this time if the judge does determine or make a decision on these cases brought LIPA there may not be a glide path. I think that people don't understand in regard to this is that LIPA's grieved their assessed value on the plants and it is common belief that they had justification and that their assessed value will be reduced.

I think what the county was trying to do was instead of like if when people grieve their assessment like everybody else in the area would then have to make up the lost revenue in taxes if they're not going to pay anymore. I think what they were trying to do is like to make it so it would gradually go up rather than all of a sudden in October or April --

MR. BACIGALUPO: And I think that's where the library needs to be heard on this because LIPA is guaranteeing about $\$ 80$ million as part of that settlement to affected areas. But to the public library the $\$ 300,000$
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they have taken away will absolutely devastate our program. We don't get state aid. We don't get funding from New York State. That $\$ 300,000$ means programs for seniors, children and other people in the community will be cut. In light of an $\$ 80$ million settlement $I$ don't think a few hundred thousand dollars is something that shouldn't be discussed. LEGISLATOR FORD: I agree with you and thank you for bringing that to our attention. We weren't involved in it, so we're trying to get through all the innuendos and everything level. I know we have some people here from our budget review office that I will ask them to take a look at what we have learned so far with the settlement and we will definitely advocate for you. We want to keep you in business.

MR. BACIGALUPO: You have been an asset to our community and we appreciate all you do. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Pete
Gaffney.
MR. GAFFNEY: Good evening. Pete
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Gaffney, Westbury-Carle Place School District.
At the last legislative full
session I brought two safety issues up. I'm going to bring them up again today because nothing has transpired.

Meadowbrook Parkway underpass at Westbury Avenue it's a garbage dump. When I brought it up and I showed pictures and things like that, the very next day one shopping cart with garbage that was in it and also a bathroom I think a urinal was removed. The rest of the stuff was still there. I was told today that the state ended up cleaning it up. They didn't. They lied. It's really a dangerous area over there.

I've been working with various departments. I call them up and ask them when they're going to clean it. In the spring and in the fall. Sometime it works sometimes it doesn't. Last year there were hypodermic needles that were found. The state police had to get involved because it was on state property. It's a real safety hazard that's over there. People do walk by. Anybody can
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jump out. Especially when the vegetation is overgrown. That's the first thing.

The second thing is the third track project. These guys they know how to build a railroad. But when it comes to traffic safety they don't know what they're doing. I can tell you that from the experience based on the Cherry Lane fiasco that they've done over there. I'm fighting with them right now because they removed all the no parking signs on Cherry Lane. They've put them back but you can't read them.

So, same thing now is happening on Glen Cove Road, which is a county road. I had showed you pictures last time of two handicapped signs over here no parking. They're missing now totally.

I took these pictures today just to show you the safety hazards. Somebody on the north side heading towards where the bridge is going to be built that area is blocked off so at least somebody can cross safely. On the south side, walking north, they have a little sign that says detour. It's in the middle of
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Glen Cove Road. There's no traffic
congestion. There's no notification. Someone who is going to try to cross the street is going to get killed. Here's the pictures. You need to do something.

I asked last time what was the actual presentation that they gave to Nassau DPW. And I asked them to give me a reason of what's actually going to go on and how it's going to affect my community and the safety of all of the residents over there. I got a mumbo jumbo gobbly gook answer over here and they actually said nothing. This is something you need to get involved. Somebody is going to die over there.

I'm going to leave you with these pictures and thank you for your time. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. Melissa Robbins. MS. ROBBINS: Good evening everybody. My name is Melissa Robbins and I thank you all for allowing this opportunity to speak on issues that we care about. I came here tonight to talk about
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the proposal to include legalized marijuana into New York State's budget. As a mother, as a public servant, as a former soldier in the United States Army, I'm a bit floored that here I am fighting against our government to protect our citizens. I never thought that day would come but here we are.

So, legalizing marijuana. Why the urgency? Why the need to push it through right now? Who stands to benefit the most and who will be disproportionately impacted by legalization of recreational marijuana? The great green rush. The great money grab. The amount of revenue that it takes to invest into dispensaries, cultivating, distribution, growing. Who has the infrastructure to invest to get their return on the investment?

We hear time and time again this is about social justice. This is about criminal justice reform. Is it really? If we really care about our black and brown community can we not legalize marijuana. We've seen in history what has happened when big tobacco and big alcohol have gotten into the industry.
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Case in point, Philip Morris once lied and deceived Americans for years and years. Many of us in this room I'm sure have lost loved ones to cigarette use. Tobacco in its rare form will not kill you. After it's commercialized into a cigarette we all know what happens.

Alcohol, it's legal but who wants to get in a car with someone who has been drinking. How many people lose their lives each and every year? You guys, I'm sure you have nieces and nephews and children, you fear for their lives when they're out there driving because someone is being irresponsible with alcohol.

There's no proven test on the market right now that can determine the amount of THC that someone has in their body while they're operating their vehicle. That does not exist. Our law officers if they pull someone over and they smell it, how are they going to properly conduct a test to figure out how much this person has consumed?

> Not only that, look at our black
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neighborhoods. All that we see is alcohol and cigarette advertisements littered all over the neighborhoods. Unhealthy food. Now when we add marijuana to the fold, now all of a sudden we have marijuana dispensaries in our neighborhoods. It's our children, it's our families that will be glazed and dazed and confused and not out there being ambitious and accessing any kind of opportunity for upward mobility. Who is going to be the new drug dealers of America. Is it going to be white men in suits who say it's okay now. It wasn't okay for you then. But it's okay for us now because we say it. We are in suits and you can trust us. This is egregious.

And for anyone in the New York State Legislature to agree with this it's an embarrassment to public servants. People vote them in office to protect their community and to make us look good. How dare they try to deceive us into thinking that legalizing marijuana will generate tax revenue and then we'll take that tax revenue and we'll invest it back into drug prevention. None of us are
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stupid here. We're all very smart and highly intelligent people.

The bottom line is this. This about money. When I'm on Capital Hill all that I hear is the Safe Banking Act. So people with millions and billions of dollars can have their money safe and invest it into the marijuana industry and get the return on their investment. At whose expense? Your community? Your teenager? My community? We will all be harmed in this.

For anyone to say the legalization of recreational marijuana is a good thing for America they are not patriots. It's an assault on our community. It's an assault on our country. And I have no respect for any legislator that tells me that it is okay to legalize it. We haven't even seen enough statistics and data from other states who have legalized. What's the cost? Young black men are still being arrested out in Colorado at high incident rates for public usage. Look at how much money they're saying it's going to project. How much is it going to cost us?
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New York has an opportunity to be here. When you guy sneeze the rest of the country gets the flu. You have an opportunity to lead. Do the right thing by your constituents. Don't allow this to happen on your watch. No one is the going to benefit from this. Our kids are not going to go on to be great thinkers and great leaders. They're going to be high and they're going to be in another state of mind. It will be years before we as Americans realize the damage that we've done. Do the right thing and get behind people like Liz and Ruthanne. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO:
Ms. Robbins, quick question. You're from New York City, correct? That's the address you put down.

MS. ROBBINS: No, sir. I live in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, ground zero of heroin. In my city we see people walking around sticking needles in daylight. So I work here in the state of New York.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I was going to suggest that you run for mayor.
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MS. ROBBINS: I care about our country. I care about all of our people.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you for your service.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Meta
Mereday.
MS. MEREDAY: Good evening. I'm sure it would have been quite interesting if $I$ didn't show up for a night meeting. I'm glad to see that others are here, and I'm hoping that the legislature continues to have others just to make it convenient more residents to be able to participate.

I'm so happy to be able to follow behind the warrior and the soldier who stood before you previously. And I too am here with regard to again our veterans and our underserved veterans in this county and in this country.
I'm still questioning as it
pertains to the business development component. And again, what I'm hoping to see in our upcoming budget with regard to some increases that are actually tangible as it
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pertains to creating business opportunity so that we can create jobs for our veterans. Since we have, collectively with Nassau and Suffolk County combined, the largest veteran population in the northeast corridor.

It saddened me to know that within New York State when others talk about five percent of -- I can wait because I may go over the five minutes but $I$ would appreciate having this time since $I$ still need clarification as to the public comment changes that happened here.

But with regard to the funding
processes from New York State, when we always talk about getting funding from New York State and the New York State budget for the Division of Veteran Services was $\$ 19.1$ billion. Million dollars. I didn't say billion. Million dollars for their Division of Veteran Services for a budget that was over $\$ 100$ billion. So you figure the math. So if we're complaining about not having at least five percent to address services for those with substance abuse, how
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do you think our 200,000 veterans in New York State with the majority of them coming from Nassau and Suffolk Counties feel they're getting less than one percent of the state budget.

Again, I'm hoping that the Nassau County budget does not reflect the dismal numbers coming from New York State as we look towards that process.

I would like to give a positive shout out as they say to the parking issue here. So, I commend the legislature for taking heed to the fact that those of us who do come here have the opportunity to park close as opposed to possibly taking a bus because the way you guys cut down on the busing routes that would have been a problem as well. Parking has become a little bit more accessible. So I do want to acknowledge public safety for doing that.

Let's talk about the roads. Let's talk about the roads. We pay the second highest taxes in the country. The roads are atrocious. I would concur with my colleague,
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Pearl Jacobs from the Nostrand Garden Civic Association in our neighboring community of Uniondale, to take a tour bus for all 19 of you and Mr. Arnold as it pertains to what the condition of the utilities are doing on our county as well as town roads.

It's nice that we see the press releases and the photos ops. We talk about shared services between the towns and the counties but let's start with the roads. Because the only other people that are making money besides sometimes relatives of our politicians, not all of you but some of you, are the mechanics that have to repair our cars because of the treacherous conditions that we have to face to drive around in Nassau County. Which used to be known for its beautiful roads.

So, if I look at this tag line with
the current administration making it affordable and livable and accessible it's a disgrace. We need to do something about that.

> Lastly, I would again like to
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address the fact that veteran services in Nassau County we have to improve upon the services that our Nassau County Office of Veteran Services for what they get is very commendable for the work that they do. But they need more. They need more of the time. They need more of the resources. They need the space. We have a public facility that has been going through more changes than the expression Carter has liver pills. We have an opportunity to possibly address that and also address what we've been lacking in our veteran support.

We need to look outside of the state and look at the federal government since they did a 9.6 increase in the federal funding within the VA budget. Let's start thinking outside the box. We've got all these elected officials. We've got the unions that are looking to do this. We have our veterans that need to do this. The Nassau County Medical Center is more accessible than any other facility in this county. Let's be proactive and make this happen.
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Lastly, I do have cake because one of the other things I do besides coming here, I'm out here in the trenches dealing with the veterans here. And all of you will get a copy of this service that I coordinated yesterday for a veteran who was formerly homeless. He died about six weeks ago. Again, thanks to the efforts of county representatives Ralph Esposito, Paul Broderick in Social Services.

And unfortunately now I have taken on the moniker of helping any of our veterans who are lost and do not have resources for proper burial. Because I refused to let this man be rested in the morgue at South Nassau Hospital.

So together with volunteers and resources, including the Nostrand Garden Civic Association, we were able to give this gentleman a memorial service yesterday in Elmont at American Legion Hall 1033, Murray Reese, US Air Force. You will all get a copy of the program. This is what I prefer to do versus being here to fight for those who go out to fight for us. I also have cake out
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back because I had a whole sheet cake courtesy of Nostrand Garden. So I'm not trying to take it home and I have plates.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
Leader Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you Presiding Officer. Ken, if you could come to the dais. This seems to be a recurring issue with the utilities. I know it pertains to Jerusalem Avenue as Ms. Mereday had mentioned. I will wait for you come.

Hey Ken. I remember at the last meeting you had said there's obviously some public utility work that's occurring on some of the streets in Uniondale. Primarily Jerusalem Avenue. Now we are a month removed from that last time. Do we have any definitive understanding of when work will be completed?

MR. ARNOLD: They can't do final patching in the winter. So everything that the utilities are doing right now part of the problem is temporary patches. Good example is just by my house on Milburn Avenue Nat Grid is
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running new pipes. So they have temporary patches that are all throughout the community. Once the spring comes and they're finished they'll come back and do the final restoration.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The work is done? The work that they were there to rip up the road is completed?

MR. ARNOLD: I'd have to double check with my guys. I believe most of it done. I don't know for a fact that it's all done. I'd have to speak with them.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We should anticipate in the spring that they will come back and do final patching. If the patching is not to the satisfaction of the county, meaning the road is still rough --

MR. ARNOLD: They would have to
repair it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Your office
makes that determination and you can impose that to the utilities?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Fair
enough.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Pearl Jacobs.
MS. JACOBS: Good evening. My
issue is again, like you stated Legislator Abrahams, it's been a month since I've been here. I was promised some feedback and responses which I did not receive from anyone. Here I am back again. Status of Jerusalem Avenue. And my question is, why has the Uniondale community's call for county review of this severely compromised and unsafe roadway go unanswered? You know I asked for the county to come out and assess the situation. That has not happened.

And why doesn't the county hold PSEG and Nat Grid accountable for leaving our roadways in such deplorable conditions? Who in Nassau County is responsible for oversight and sign off regarding these projects $\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ quality control? I have not received any answers whatsoever in the last month I have been here. No feedback. Not even an email that said hi, we're working on it. Nothing.
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Zilch. So I'm leaving these questions here. Will I get feedback this time or just --?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It sounds like we have to confer with the Department of Public Works. Other than what Mr. Arnold just said, we can take a look at your questions and we will review them.

MS. JACOBS: So why hasn't anyone from the county come out to look at it like I asked?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I think Mr. Arnold said he had some of his workers that were there and he had just said that he felt the work was completed and he agreed -MS. JACOBS: It's not completed. We have craters.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Ms. Jacobs, what I'm saying is the work has been completed in regards to what PSEG was there to do. Not talking about the road being repaved.

MS. JACOBS: It was a deplorable job.

```
            LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: His
        response was they put down temporary patches.
```
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I don't know if you heard what he said. MS. JACOBS: It's not a patch. It's deplorable. I don't know what he's looking at. Okay? Because where he's talking about, where I'm referring to is deplorable. And I'm going to state again, it is the observation and opinion of residents that these types of substandard road restorations are primarily performed in areas where the population is overwhelming majority minority. So on to issue two. Legislator Abrahams, what is the status regarding the Uniondale community's request for cameras to be placed on Uniondale Avenue? At last November's joint meeting with your office, the Nassau County Police Department, Nancy Skeet, president of Uniondale Community Counsel and myself, Nancy and I provided a myriad of examples of nuisance crimes and quality of life violations that substantiates our strong requests for cameras to be installed on Uniondale.

My takeaway from that meeting was that the police were very supportive of this
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request and at the time so were you. Everyone at the meeting agreed with documented facts that where camera surveillance is utilized crime rates decrease. You, Legislator Abrahams, closed the meeting stating that you would give the Nassau County Police Department time to research what this project would entail for Uniondale and a follow-up meeting would reconvene sometime in January. We are now heading into March. When will this follow-up meeting be held?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It will
take place as soon as possible.
MS. JACOBS: As soon as
possible? And will $I$ get an email
regarding --
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You will be notified like everyone that is a stakeholder Ms. Jacobs. You will be notified. The bottom line is, we are still in the process of working with the commissioner to make sure that we can put the proper funding as well as the infrastructure in place. As you know from that meeting it was a very complex ability to
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do that in terms of negotiations of where the actual cameras would go and which pole they would go on. Whether the county had authorization to do so.

We will let you know when that meeting takes place. Nothing, I agree, I will reemphasize, nothing will take place without the community stakeholders being at the meeting. That includes yourself as well as all of the stakeholders.

MS. JACOBS: That would be fine but can I ask the question Legislator Abrahams of why don't I receive any sort of feedback, updates, anything from your office?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: There's no feedback to give.

MS. JACOBS: You can say we are still in the process of investigation. You can say something to that effect.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We can give
you those kinds of emails. That's not a problem. But the bottom line is we'd rather do something that's more concrete.

MS. JACOBS: I'd like the lines
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of communication to stay open.
Issue three. The membership of the Nostrand Garden Civic Association will be holding a joint representative forum at our April 6th meeting so that residents can present their concerns to their elected officials in a politically unified form without the frustration of being referred to other elected officials. I'm inviting you to that forum Legislator Abrahams April 6th seven p.m. At the Uniondale library. I will send you a reminder email and this is your invitation. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Our public comment period is over. We are going to move to the calendar.

First item I'm going to call is item 16 Resolution 18 of 2020. It's a resolution to confirm the county executive's appointment of Donald Clavin to the Long Island Regional Planning Counsel.

Moved by Legislator Ferretti.
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Seconded by Legislator McKevitt. The appointment is before us. Supervisor Clavin wants to come up to say a couple of words. MR. CLAVIN: I just wanted to come up and say thank you first and foremost to the county executive for the nomination, the appointment to both you Rich and to Kevan and to all the members of the legislature. As well as the regional planning counsel. It's a very important position. I look forward to working with all my colleagues on it, with my friend John Cameron and everybody about the future of Long Island and about working together. And that's something I will cherish that if everybody is willing to vote for this it will be the only time $I$ will probably get all 19 of you to ever vote for me for anything in my entire life and $I$ will be proud of it. But it's something that $I$ think it sends a great message. Like I said, I first applaud the county executive for reaching out and talking to me about the appointment and I appreciate all of you for your hopeful support. Because there's a lot to be done.
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And my motto is, by working together all of you have demonstrated and all of us can demonstrate we get a lot more done than by fighting. I appreciate this and I appreciate hopefully the support of everybody along the way. But Carrie I do mean it. If everybody votes for me I'm going to frame it and hang it up in my office because it will be a cherished moment in my life. Thank you all very, very much.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. We're looking forward to you serving Supervisor Clavin on the Long Island Regional Planning Counsel. The work they're doing is indispensable and important for our county now and for the future. We have leaders such as John Cameron, Rich Guidino. They've done a fantastic job. But $I$ really think that your input and participation is going to make the counsel even stronger.

Anyone else have any comments?
Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? You got it. 19 nothing.

Now we are going to move to the
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consent calendar. These are items that went through the committees a couple of weeks ago and we agreed between the Majority and Minority that these items do not require any further debate or discussion and they will be voted on in a block.

First item number four Ordinance
11. Number five, Ordinance 12. Six, Ordinance 13. Number seven, Ordinance 14 number eight Ordinance 15. Number nine, Ordinance 16. Number ten, Ordinance 17. Number 11, Ordinance 18. Number 12, Ordinance 19. Number 14, Resolution 16. 17, Resolution 19. 18, Resolution 20. 19, Resolution 21. 20, Resolution 22. 21, Resolution 23. 22, Resolution 24. 23, Resolution 25. That's it.

Moved by Legislator Bynoe.
Seconded by Legislator Schaefer. Those items are all before the legislature. Anyone want to have any debate or discussion? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The items carry unanimously. Back to number one. A hearing on a
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proposed local law to amend the Nassau County administrative code to require the Nassau County Police Department to prepare a quarterly crime report to the legislature and county executive.

Moved by Legislator Gaylor.
Seconded by Legislator Ford.
This law, proposed law, would require a quarterly report to the county executive and legislature regarding certain information in connection with the state's criminal justice reform.

First off, it requires a report on the number of defendants released without bail in Nassau, the charges and description of the alleged crime. This will ask as to who is being released.

For these defendants released without bail the report requires the number of bench warrants issued for failure to attend court. Including the description of the crime allegedly committed by the person who is being released. This requires a report on how many are failing to show up after they have been
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released on bail. Show up in court.
Third category is number of crimes committed by defendants while released without bail, the charges and description of crimes being committed. This relates to how many crimes are being committed by those who are out on bail. Those without bail.

The report does not require and cannot contain information as to the defendants, witnesses, victims. The reports are not required to show where the crimes are committed or the residences of those who are committing them.

Why would we want this data? Basically the case has been made and actually the idea for this came from statements made by some of the sponsors of the state legislation who are arguing that the public and the state the outcry is a rush to judgement. For example, Senator Gianaris, one of the crime sponsors, accused critics of fearmongering with almost no data and just anecdotal stories. And we've all seen in the newspapers weekly, sometimes daily, the stories. So his
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point was we can't just rely on the stories, the anecdotes, you need the data.

More recently the Speaker of the House of the Assembly Heastie stated with respect to whether or not the law should be revised. He stated "You need real data, real information, not cherry-picked stories and sensationalize events to try to paint a picture as to whether the law is working or not. I think we should be cautious and wait for data before we react to anything."

So, the entire purpose of this legislation is to gather that data and to be able to present, if $I$ think what it's going to show, present to our state legislators real data, not just anecdotal stories. Which I think will help us to convince our representatives in Albany that there needs to be a change.

There is an amendment and we can get into that. Does anyone else have any comments at this time? The amendment is an amendment in the nature of a substitution which requires the Nassau County Police
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Department to request information required to complete this local law as reporting requirements from appropriate local, state and federal agencies.

Legislator Rhoads makes that amendment.

Correct that. We cannot make the amendment here during the course of the hearing. We will do that when the item is actually before us.

Does any legislator want to speak on this? Do any members of the public want to speak on this hearing? Hearing none, we will need a motion to close the hearing which is moved by Legislator Drucker. Seconded by Legislator Birnbaum. All in favor of closing the hearing signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The hearing is closed.

Item two is a vote on the proposed local law to amend the Nassau County administrative code to require the Nassau County Police Department to prepare a quarterly crime report to the legislature and the county executive.
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Moved by Legislator Muscarella. Seconded by Legislator Walker. The item is before the legislature. We need an amendment which will require the Nassau County Police Department to request information to complete this local law as reporting requirements.

Moved by Legislator Kennedy.
Seconded by Legislator Schaefer. All in favor of the amendment signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The amendment carries unanimously.

Any further debate or discussion? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

Item three is an ordinance making certain determinations pursuant to SEQRA and authorizing the county executive of the county of Nassau to accept on behalf of the county an offer of purchase from 3915 Austin Boulevard Owners LLC of certain real property located in Island Park.

Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded by Deputy Presiding Office Kopel. This item is now before the legislature. You want to say a few words.
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MR. GALLAGHER: Good evening. I'm Patrick Gallagher. I represent the Department of Real Estate Services in this transaction which is for an ordinance to sell property that was formerly known as the Long Beach Motor Inn.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We had a lengthy discussion in committees. We had presentations at that time. We can have another presentation or simply ask the questions that need to be asked. Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you Presiding Officer. Good evening. I have a question regarding the condemnation sales price. I understand that when it was going through that process the land at that time was valued at $\$ 3.5$ million?

MR. GALLAGHER: Well, it wasn't valued at that. That's what it was actually sold for.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: That's what we purchased it for, $\$ 3.5$ million?

MR. GALLAGHER: Yes.
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Approximately $\$ 3.6$ million.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: What year did that take place.

MR. GALLAGHER: I believe that the payment was from 2017. The settlement of the actual case was in 2015 but I don't think that we paid that money until 2017.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So 2015. We believe that since 2015 the property has lost value to the tune of $\$ 2$ million approximately?

MR. GALLAGHER: No. The purchase price that we paid in the condemnation proceeding was a result of litigation. It's my understanding that the property owner had an appraisal that was around $\$ 10$ million. And our appraisal was somewhere around 6, $\$ 700,000$. So, in a way it was really splitting the baby.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: From 750K to \$10 million, 3.5 was splitting the baby?

MR. GALLAGHER: It was around the middle.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Not quite.
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Even if that were the case, right, and we feel in some way we're splitting the baby, but we believe that this current price is -- what else could have been a part of reducing the value of this land?

MR. GALLAGHER: I mean, it was really dictated by the market. We had three proposals and they all came out with the same purchase price of $\$ 1.15$ million.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So this sales price is being driven more so by what the RFPs, the responses in the RFPs, correct?

MR. GALLAGHER: I would say that, yes.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So we would be willing to leave $\$ 2$ million on the table because of low-end RFP responses?

MR. GALLAGHER: I don't agree with that. We have an appraisal that pretty much matches the dollar value that we are going to sell the property for.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: An appraisal that we did after the RFPs?

MR. GALLAGHER: Yes.
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LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Wouldn't it have made more sense to have -- all right, let me not ask that question that way. Wouldn't it have made sense -- Arnie is telling me to ask the question. Wouldn't it have made sense to actually had an appraisal done before we had the RFPs issued on the street?

MR. WALSH: This is Kevin Walsh, Office of Real Estate Services. Actually we did have an RFP before --

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: An appraisal?
MR. WALSH: There were several
RFPs done. And there was an appraisal done before the more recent appraisal which valued the property at highest and best use. As I guess you learn more about the condition of the property after Sandy I think about 1.6 million. The most recent appraisal we didn't know when it came in if it would come in around that number. As Patrick mentioned, we had done several RFPs. The most recent one required a component of affordable housing. Prior RFPs didn't require that. Obviously we didn't get proposals anywhere near a million
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dollars. Probably because the condition and the challenges to redevelop this property because it's in a FEMA flood zone.

We had appraisal value -- proposals of $\$ 200,000$. A lot of people thinking what could we do with this property. When we did the affordable housing we did some best and final offer requirements. We had three significant proposals that proposed housing. And through highest and best the ranges seemed to come in the $\$ 1,150,000$.

The most recent appraisal we had done was for a property that would develop between 18 to 22 units and it came in at a value comparing other residential similar developments in the county of about $\$ 55,000 \mathrm{a}$ unit. Which is about 1.1 million. We are in range of fair market value for the proposed use we are selling for.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So, I have
real significant reservations for several different reasons about this particular item. Number one, I still can't wrap my head around us spending $\$ 3.5$ million to acquire the
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property and now we're willing to unload it for almost two million plus dollars less than. We're losers here on this deal if we really finalize this item.

Number two, I have a real problem with the idea of a property that originally came down, an item where we were going to build somewhere around -- we were going to settle a case that would yield somewhere around 92 units of affordable units. And in this particular case we're going to entertain a bid and a proposal that would come in to build 18 units of housing and only four of them are affordable. Again, a big loser for this county.

I understand that there's a legal opinion that has been rendered that the Planning Commission did not have to be a part of the contract. I still don't necessarily agree with that. But again it begs the question, you know, what are we doing as a county? What is our policy, our public policy as it relates to affordable housing? We state that we understand that we're losing young
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people and families and seniors in droves to states south of us because people can't afford to be here. That young people can't move out of their parents basements because there's a lack of affordable housing.

But we would take a piece of land that we own, that we purchased in an amount of $\$ 3.5$ million and now utilize that same land to yield only four units of affordable housing. Where is the county's -- I'm left to ask for clarification. Where is the county's commitment to building affordable housing?

I find that this leaves me unable to support this item. I think that we all should look at this item with significant reservations. Because it leaves me to ask why would a property that was going to be part of a settlement be valued at $\$ 3.5$ million where the land was going to be leased, not sold, leased to the developer.

But on the other hand, where we are actually going to sell the property, which while it will have some covenance and restrictions on the deed for those four
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affordable housing units, would be valued less than. When you're going to lease the land it was a 3.5. When you're going to sell the land it's at 1.1. It doesn't make any sense to me. This looks like a loser. To me, this item should be DOA. To me, it should not even be considered. I don't know why we are here today considering it.

I think my colleagues should really -- this is something we should table. I think there are too many unanswered questions here. That's my state on this and if there's anything that you can add that would kind of clarify some of my questions here and my concerns please do. Other than that, $I$ will close by saying $I$ vote no. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I understand some of the concerns raised by Legislator Bynoe when you look at the fact that what we bought the property for and now what we are selling it for there is a discrepancy of about \$2 million. But looking at the history of
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this building and we know that some of the constraints that we had even in regard to the RFPs that were issued was the density as well as the height of the buildings.

I know that Legislator Bynoe referenced another developer who wanted to put in but $I$ think it was closer to 110 units. The building itself, because it would be a FEMA floor, would probably go to be at least four more stories above the FEMA floor.

Because we had seen some depictions of what the building would look like. That was taken into consideration only because the school district is rather small. They have one elementary and one middle school. I don't know whether or not they would have been able to absorb the number of students that would probably move into such a large development.

And also I think there were concerns that since it's right in a residential where there's just mostly homes, it would have been something too big. Wouldn't like really fit into the character of the neighborhood. I think with this
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development it fits right in, 18 to 22.
And I am an advocate for housing. We've heard Mr. Edmundson talk about living in a shelter. We know too many people that need to find places to live safe. Good, clean places to live. And while this is just a small offering, I think it's very significant in the fact that this is something that will maintain what the structure looks like right now. There will be upgrades. The property will be renovated. Be made beautiful.

The one interesting fact is that for the benefits of the residents in Island Park, this developer will not be asking for any PILOT. That they will build it with their own money and it will then take the property that has not been on the tax roll to put it on the tax roll. The residents in Island Park they're not an affluent, rich community. As we know, even with the LIPA settlement they're fearing. We heard from Dean, who is on the board of the Island Park Library, and the impact of even losing $\$ 300,000$ can greatly impact the library and cut a lot of the
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programs.
For me, I think that even though when we look at it we just look at the dollars and cents in everything that we do, every transaction that we do, sometimes we lose the message of being public servants and working for our communities and sometimes we have to look to see what would be the best fit for the community that we represent. And I believe that what you have achieved by bringing this contract to us is probably a very good fit for Island Park, and I think it will help alleviate some of the housing concerns.

There are many, many abandoned homes in Island Park. People probably have walked away from the houses.

But I think that I commend you for the hard work that both of you have done in regard to this. It has been very, very challenging knowing that the lack of responses for some of the RFPs. I think you kept going back to the drawing board until you were able to find something that will definitely benefit the residents in Island Park and the people in
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Nassau County and I will be voting yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you. I just want to go back to address a couple of things. You stated that, and I heard my colleague Legislator Ford mention, FEMA conditions and post-Sandy conditions. But I think it's important that we put on record that you stated that the sale may have taken place in 2015 but money may not have been actually forwarded to complete the sale until 2017. And I would argue that all of that was post-Sandy. So we understood the condition of that land when we made the purchase for $\$ 3.5$ million. So, I'm not sure why it's only now that we are articulating our concerns around the conditions there.

The other thing is that we're talking about the school district and the like. I have to say this because I'm finding that governments and chambers and businesses across this county are not finding it difficult to approve development in other
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areas where kids are being taught in
trailers. But we're finding it hard now to build housing in what is considered to be a high opportunity area where we believe the school district would be burdened. I mean, I don't know how we can even rationalize that as a real position, okay. Because they're looking to build in Hempstead. They're building in New Castle. They're building in areas where these kids are being taught in trailers.

So, to tell me that a school district is being burdened I can't accept that. I can't accept that as a real decision as to why we would reduce the number of units being built in Island Park. This is a high opportunity area, and I believe those units would be a benefit to this region.

I have a real problem about this county's commitment to affordable housing in so much that we even settled the case where we told the developer we will give you $\$ 5$ million even if you build in Suffolk. Even if you build in Suffolk? You want to use county
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dollars, Nassau County taxpayer dollars to settle an affordable housing case, a discrimination case, and tell them go build in Suffolk.

We really have to take a long look in the mirror and figure out what our public policy is. We have to get in a room and have these difficult conversations. Because this is not how that case should have been settled and this is not how this land should be utilized. Thank you. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is there any other debate or discussion?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm trying to think back to 2015, and I remember this building being described as like deplorable conditions and how we were going have to do a lot of cleanup. Do you know if the county spent any money in the cleanup process? Environmental cleanup? MR. WALSH: I'm not sure. I know the Department of Public Works is at the site frequently to deal with -- unfortunately it's vacant. So it's been vandalized. Cleaning up
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the property because of concerns of neighbors and constituents. Things of that nature. But I'm not aware of any work per se the county has done.

## LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:

Wouldn't you take that into consideration before you would think of a price that we would sell the property for, how much money we spent on it?

MR. WALSH: It was an as-is
where-is sale. Somebody is taking obviously the risk of what is unknown. Asbestos, mold, all those things are factors in a redevelopment.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Correct. But if we've cleaned it on top of the three and a half million that we spent $I$ would think that money would increase the value of the property.

MR. WALSH: As I mentioned, I don't believe we spent any money in any kind of cleanup.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I will also just say that when $I$ hear -- I've
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heard a lot of different presentations for different developments and it's interesting how the school districts comes into play depending on what type of development we're going to have. That's concerning to me. I hear sometimes oh, don't worry, this will all be millenials or empty nesters when they want to say that it won't affect the school district. And other times it's a major influence on the school district. Honestly, the apartments are kind of hard to tell the difference sometimes. So I don't like that argument either.

I think what Legislator Bynoe said is absolutely correct. We can't all say we want our children to stay here. We want the next millenials to have place. We want seniors who can't afford their homes anymore to have a place. This, to me, sounds like it would be something that we could have used as that example. It's disappointing. I don't know. I'm coming from an area where there is a tremendous amount of high-end apartments being built. And I just got a report from a
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real estate broker, so I don't know how legitimate, but some of the high-end RXR condos at the Garvey's Museum, I hear there's only 13 percent in contract now. These were supposed to all be sold immediately. The need for high end, not even high income, middle income homes is not great. They're all over the place.

I think we really need to have a place for our kids, next generation. If the schools are impacted and we have more kids that's also -- we will be getting, with no PILOT, we will be getting additional revenue to support those schools. Maybe that would be the jump start they need.

Look, I'm really standing with my colleague, Siela Bynoe, and I think that we really needed to -- this was a great opportunity that was missed.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
Leader Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you Presiding Officer. I support the earlier questioning and statements from my two
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colleagues in regards to the affordable housing component. I sitting here, I'm trying to wrap my brain around a couple of different things.

As Legislator Bynoe mentioned in her questioning and answering, the county purchased the property for three and a half million dollars in 2015. That's a rhetorical question. I'm not asking you for a response. We purchased the property in 2015 for three and a half million dollars. Why did the county entertain or engage in purchasing that property is my question to you?

MR. GALLAGHER: It was a blighted property, and I believe that they thought it would be in the public interest to do a condemnation on it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We determined in 2015 that it was a blight on the community and we did an appraisal at that time which gave us the feeling that three and a half million dollars was warranted?

> MR. GALLAGHER: No. I don't think that we did an appraisal that matched up
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with three and a half million dollars. It was my understanding from speaking with the attorney who handled the condemnation proceeding that our valuation of it was around $\$ 750,000$.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Our
valuation in 2015 of that property, the previous administration's and county attorney and stuff, was around $\$ 650,000$. Maybe I'm just a layman. Maybe you have to explain it to me like I'm a six year old. Why would we purchase it for three and a half million if our valuation is saying it's worth 650? MR. GALLAGHER: To compromise on a lawsuit. We had exposure of up to $\$ 10$ million.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's MHANY?

MR. GALLAGHER: No. This is in the condemnation proceeding.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Could we have withdrawn our condemnation as a proof of the sale?

MR. GALLAGHER: I really wouldn't

Leg 2-24-20
be able to comment on that area of law. It's not my expertise.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: To the
average Nassau County taxpayer trying to explain to them that the county purchased a property because of these issues with the condemnation for three and a half million dollars may be all well and good. Then to turn around obviously with the MHANY settlement and everything else that occurred that Legislator Bynoe asked about, now to turn around in 2020, shortly, four, five years later, and then now sell it for a little over a million. Would you kind of understand their frustration with the county potentially losing two and a half million dollars on a property they just purchased five years ago which they didn't do an appraisal until after the RFP told them what people were willing to pay for it?

MR. GALLAGHER: We would have
loved to have sold it for three and a half million but the highest offer was only $\$ 1,150,000$.

Leg 2-24-20
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I get that. The county received how many RFPs? MR. WALSH: How many RFPs did we issue or how many proposals?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How many
proposals did you receive?
MR. WALSH: We had on the last
RFP I believe three. Three or four.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And you
RFP'd this property how many times?
MR. WALSH: At least two times.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I guess one
on the MHANY one as well.
MR. WALSH: 2015 and August of 2016.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It just seems like it's a really concerning financial deal with the county. Would it hurt to RFP it for a third time?

MR. WALSH: I would argue --
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I guess
what I'm driving at from the real estate standpoint what is the breaking point? If a property, as best we can understand it, we
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paid $X$ amount of dollars, this to me is a tremendous loss. Not just for the reasons that Legislator Bynoe and Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton mentioned but it's a loss financially. Is there some type of methodology or process that we go into thinking we're willing to take a 50 percent haircut or 100 percent haircut or whatever the percentage is we are willing to take a haircut. I'm not too sure I understand. Why are we considering this today unless we are prepared to take haircuts going forward on other properties that have higher values? Or at least from the standpoint of what we paid. Maybe it was never worth $\$ 3.5$ million bucks. That brings us to a big question why we paid that. I can easily get into that. I'm just driving at what is the methodology in terms of how we determine what we are prepared to sell a property for on an ongoing basis.

MR. GALLAGHER: It's done on an appraisal basis. We've had appraisals done and reviewed. Again, this property we
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required a component of affordable housing to meet some of the needs the legislature raised and it's market driven.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But you do see -- I'm getting ready to close -- but you do see the issue with the fact if $I$ were to sell my home wouldn't it make good sense for me, Joe homeowner, to find out what my comps are and what the value of my property is before I put it out on the market and start taking bids?

> I'm throwing out the question. Wouldn't you want to know how much your home is worth before you decided to put it on the market for X amount of dollars? To me, it seems like if we did the appraisal after the fact then really the appraisal could kind of fit the box of what the RFPs are kind of saying. I'm not saying that's what you did. But one could criticize the county for that type of process in terms of how it went out. MR. WALSH: We had done an appraisal before as well. In addition to the one we updated recently.
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LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You did an appraisal before the RFP?

MR. WALSH: Yes.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What did that appraisal say?

MR. WALSH: That appraisal in
2015 came in at about 1.6 million at that time and that's from 2015.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: From 2015
the appraisal said 1.6 million. So we overpaid by $\$ 2$ million bucks.

MR. GALLAGHER: I wouldn't
necessarily look at it that way. We compromised on a lawsuit where we had $\$ 10$ million worth of exposure.

MR. WALSH: On a blighted
property.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We
compromised on a lawsuit that we had $\$ 10$
million worth of exposure?
MR. GALLAGHER: Yes.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let me ask
you. Couldn't we have at that time ask for the county attorney to -- counsel is referring
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me -- don't we need an opinion from the county attorney that would indicate that we could have discontinued that? Did I say that right? Counsel is saying that we could have discontinued that -- I don't know. Delia. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Do you think we could have asked the county attorney a legal opinion as to whether or not we could have discontinued the condemnation act and then possibly have purchased it for less? I think that the threat of the lawsuit being $\$ 10$ million exposure had --

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: One at a time.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Did the county bring the suit for condemnation?

MR. WALSH: Yes.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: The county started the suit for $\$ 10$ million, which okay. Could we have at some point asked the county attorney to discontinue that suit rather than pay the $\$ 3.5$ million?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But either way, whether or not you can answer that, I
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think what counsel is referring to is shouldn't the county attorney answer these questions before we move forward on this item?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'd say no, that that's ancient history at this point whether or not they could have withdrawn the condemnation procedure or not it's irrelevant. They didn't. They settled the case. We are now left with the point we have this property and the county is looking to move it. Whether they could have or should have or anything else with respect to the condemnation that they didn't it's not pertinent to whether we move forward with this.

> LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It's
relevant from the standpoint, Presiding Officer, if the county is truly taking a really hard look at potentially a really bad deal. If there's justification that indicates based off of the 1.6 appraisal as well as the 3.6 purchase price then that would help ease the minds of our side at least in regards to
how we proceed. That's why we are asking the question. I agree, it's water under the bridge. It's done. We are facing what we are facing today.

However, looking at this in a vacuum this looks like a very bad deal financially for the county. I'm not discrediting what Legislator Ford has brought up in regards to the blight on the community. That's not what I'm addressing. I'm looking at it potentially from the standpoint the county purchased the property for $X$, which is three and a half million dollars, and then now is purchasing it for $\$ 2$ million less. We're not talking about something that happened over a 30 year period but something that happened over a four year period. That's the only reason we brought it up.

If there is no potential
entertainment for bringing down the county attorney to clarify then we will move forward accordingly. I just thought it would be best to have that there to question the county attorney.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is there any other debate or discussion?

MR. WALSH: I just want to say again $I$ do stand by the appraisal that was done for the proposal we did receive for the 20 units. That appraisal for that value does match what market would hold for a project. Which I want to point out again, even though there's only four units affordable, this is the first time in my experience from doing as a county on a project where we're also proposing a project that's going to be compliant with the county's model zoning, inclusionary zoning ordinance, to guarantee at least 20 percent are affordable. And that will be something that will be in the deed for 30 years required.

The property will be back on the tax roll as Legislator Ford mentioned. This will be again productive tax roll property. And hopefully meeting a need. That was one of the reasons the county condemned, to provide some affordable, reasonable housing in the area where there was significant storm
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damage. This property was literally under water in Sandy. And it was struggling before then and Sandy really knocked this property out. I don't think even DPW had an idea the amount of damage that was done within the buildings.

And the reconstruction of the property is very limited in the sense that the ground level can only be parking and storage. There can be no living on the first floor because of the location.

And I think Legislator Drucker mentioned in committees, correctly so when I looked at it, it's a significant cost for a developer to take the risk to put significant money in this to meet the standards. So God forbid there is another storm the property will withstand it and it will still be a viable project.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Drucker.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
Presiding Officer. I don't want to beat a dead horse but you mentioned my comment but it
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was really out of context because it seems to me that price really was irrelevant here because when you appraised the property you said in 2015 at 650 or $\$ 700,000$ that was because it was in such deplorable condition from Super Storm Sandy. It was under water. And really isn't it fair to say that in 2020 the condition is no worst, no better, it's the same?

So yet in 2015, when you felt that the property was worth $\$ 700,000$, yet you chose to settle or compromise, as Patrick said, at three and a half million, you really had no real concern about the price. It was more or less trying to save us from some exposure in the liability question. The price really didn't come into play because anyone can see that's a bad deal.

If you're appraising the property $\$ 700,000$ and you sell for three half and million it's really not a good deal. It's disingenuous to tell us now three half was a good price. It wasn't a good price. It was a selling price that you enter into because you
needed to settle that case without giving us exposure to greater liability.

If that's the case, let's fast
forward to now. Price is really not important anymore. The property is in deplorable condition. It was a bad deal from the outset. It's a bad deal now. Why not entertain RFPs from developers who are more interesting in putting in affordable housing? More units. Maybe we'll knock off the price. Instead of 1.15 knock it down to $\$ 900,000$ or $\$ 800,000$. Doesn't matter anymore. We already took a bath on this property but at least we can do some good out of it. And find a developer that's going to put more affordable housing in there instead of four units. It's ridiculous. That's embarrassing. I said that the last time. Why not put out an RFP to get a developer that's more interested in that. I'd rather have the county lose another $\$ 300,000$ but we're going to get more affordable housing units in there. Thank you. LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I just wanted to hopefully put a point to or an end to one
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aspect of this. I'm not going to address the affordable housing aspect and whether or not there ought to be more units. No, no. In terms of the price thing there may be a misunderstanding of the way it works. And I'm no expert on condemnation. The way $I$ understand it is that when the lawsuit for a condemnation, when a condemnation is filed that's game over. The condemnation is done. Price comes later. Would that be fair?

MR. WALSH: That's correct.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It was condemned. That's it. Game over. The county owns it. The price litigation can go on for many, many years sometimes. It can go on. Another building can be built there. It can be sold four times. Doesn't matter. That litigation can still go on. The litigation was settled because it made sense to settle the litigation. Those are two separate issues.

The price that they may have arrived at to settle that litigation may have been wise, may not have been wise. At that
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time that's what the price was and I believe probably this legislature approved it at the time. It was done. Again, that's water under the bridge. I think everyone agrees. All of that has absolutely no bearing on what the price ought to be today. It's just not relevant anymore. It's just totally irrelevant. You can only talk about affordable housing. I get that part. But we spent a lot of time talking a lot about prices. It's just not a sensible issue to talk about.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So, at the present time and I want to thank both of you for giving your presentation. We are going to move to table, request to move to table. We believe it's more prudent to have the county attorney come down and state for the record his opinion as it pertains to that condemnation proceeding. And we believe it would be more prudent to have a clearer understanding of how the county proceeded with the three and a half million dollar payment rather than not understanding.
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No disrespect to my colleague Howard Kopel, but by his own admission he is not an expert. I think we should have someone here from the county attorney's office to speak to this. I don't think I claim to be an expert either. That's why I'm seeking the opinion to ensure that the county's processes in regards to that condemnation proceeding makes sense and still holds true to today.

As I said before, just put yourself
in the position of Joe homeowner and looking at something that happened in a very short period of time. I understand condemnation means that it's game over. We get that. But how they came to determination of a price without having an understanding of whether or not we could have withdrawn the condemnation I'm not too sure how all that came to place.

Also, I would also ask just for the record, $I$ don't believe we have it available today, I would like to see what representations were made to the legislature at that time in regards to the sale of that property. If they were representations that
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indicated, as you said Kevin, that there was an appraisal that was done that said that the property was worth 1.6 then I'm flabbergasted on how those representations were made to the county and we decided to do $\$ 2$ million more. That doesn't make any sense. If I understood you correctly, the appraisal in 2015 indicated that the value of the property was 1.65 . Where did the 1.65 come from?

MR. WALSH: That was an appraisal
I had done around the time of issuing the RFP in late 2015.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: 2015. He just said 1.65 was in late 2015.

MR. GALLAGHER: The valuations that we used in the condemnation proceeding was for $\$ 750,000$.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: $\$ 750,000$.
But not based on an appraisal?
MR. GALLAGHER: It was based on an appraisal and the property owner had a $\$ 10$ million appraisal.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS:
Unfortunately, that makes the situation
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worse. Because I still don't understand -what representations, and I'm not saying either one of you gentlemen made those representations, but what representations were made to give the county the comfort that it was okay to spend three and a half million dollars when there was an appraisal that was for $\$ 750,000$ ? I understand the issue with the condemnation. But how can that be?

MR. GALLAGHER: We weren't involved in the condemnation litigation.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Move to table.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Motion to table by Minority Leader. Seconded by Legislator Solages. All in favor of tabling signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The motion to table fails by a vote of 11 to eight.

Any further debate or discussion on this item? Hearing none, all in favor of item three, ordinance ten signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The ordinance passes by a vote of 11 to eight.
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There remains two items on the calendar. Item 13, Resolution 15 and item 15 Resolution 17. A Resolution authorizing the county executive to execute an agreement between the County of Nassau acting on behalf of the Department of Parks and the Agricultural Society of Queens, Nassau and Suffolk Counties.

Second one is Resolution 17. A
resolution authorizing the Agricultural
Society of Queens, Nassau and Suffolk Counties to use the fairgrounds at Old Bethpage Village Restoration as a fairgrounds for five years from 2026 through 2030.

> Moved by Legislator

DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator Kennedy. Now you can go Eileen. MS. KREEB: Good evening. Eileen Kreeb, commissioner of Nassau County Parks. Resolution 15-2020 is an agreement between Nassau County and the Agricultural Society to make the Society similar to one of our not-for-profit friends groups, just like we have partners presently in the parks
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department. Which would allow them fund-raising opportunities, help us with programing, promotional support. And also a very strong part of these not-for-profit groups is that they are able to apply for grant funding as well as they have received endowments from different families who have left their estates to be used at OBVR. That is what Resolution 15-2020.

And Resolution 17-2020 was the Ag
Society is sanctioned by New York State, which allows them to be our partner for the Long Island Fair for the past 50 years. During this past three years they have applied for different grants because of this recognition by the state and they have been successful in receiving $\$ 384,612$ in grants that we would be allowed to use on the existing fairground building at Old Bethpage Village.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you.
Any debate or discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of these two items? Wait. Hold on. Mr. Rhoads.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Commissioner
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Kreeb, how are you?
MS. KREEB: A little tired.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I
understand. I'm a little bit confused by the terms of the agreement and who ultimately would be responsible for the programing that's going on at Old Bethpage Village Restoration. During the committee sessions we had asked some questions in that vein. Received some information back from the parks department indicating that we wouldn't be losing any of the employees, county employees at Old Bethpage Village Restoration. But I'm still confused about who has ultimate control over the programing and why there needed to be a 30 year term to the agreement.

MS. KREEB: The structure out at Bethpage Village will not change at all. It will still be run by Nassau County employees. We have Bailey Arboretum, Cedarmere. We have many friends groups that help support or supplement the programing that we are able to do as a county. So the Ag Society brings their relationship with Cornell University in
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where we will be partnering with them on 4 H programs and bringing new education to the facility.

As you know, we predominantly
attract the fourth grade class for 19th Century history. We can't survive just on those students coming on a daily basis. So we are always trying to incorporate new ideas and new opportunities, education for the students to grab on to. And not just fourth graders. Now we do Civil War, which is high school. So we are always trying to expand our programs.

During this past February break I partnered with Cornell and we had a when-schools-are-closed-we're-open kind of theme. We had close to two dozen children join our programing, which we normally would have had nothing. Same thing with spring break. Our goal is always to keep expanding that educational opportunity and to appeal to as many students as we can.

> LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Who
ultimately has final control over the programing?
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MS. KREEB: I do. The
commissioner.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Even under the agreement that final control still rests with the parks department?

MS. KREEB: Yes. It has to complement with what our mission is.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What happens
if the partnership doesn't work? One of the concerns is we're going for a 30-year term here and I understand there is a.

MS. KREEB: It's a revocable 30
days notice if it were not to work.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Is that either way or is that solely at the option of the county?

MS. KREEB: Either way.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: One quick question. I know we had issues with I believe the Cradle of Aviation where some things were donated and then there was a question of who owned the properties. Whether it was the friends of.
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MS. KREEB: The county owns the collection.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: At this point the county owns the collection at Old Bethpage. Going forward if new items are donated at Old Bethpage who's going to own those items?

MS. KREEB: Those items would be gifted to Nassau County.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Is
that clarified in something that we can go back? Because $I$ know there's an issue going on with the Cradle.

MS. KREEB: The Cradle, their entire collection was acquired through the Long Island Heritage Group and those collections belong to Nassau County. And the same here. If any collections were purchased to enhance the collection out there they would become Nassau County ownership.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And that's stipulated in this agreement?

MS. KREEB: Yes.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
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Okay. Thank you.

> LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Anyone else?

Then all those in favor of this item? Any opposed? The item passes unanimously. 18 nothing.

That's the last item. Motion to adjourn made by Mr. Muscarella. Seconded by Ms. Walker. All those in favor of adjournment? Any opposed?
(Meeting was adjourned at 9:50
p.m.)
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