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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Call the
this meeting of the Nassau County Legislature to order and ask that Legislator Bill Gaylor lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Whereupon Pledge of Allegiance was recited.)

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Please
remain standing for a moment of silence for all of our residents who are suffering from this virus, those that obviously have contracted the virus, their families, all of our residents who are going through this very difficult time and the anxiety that it has caused. Thank you.

I am going to call the roll.
Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Alternate Deputy Presiding Officer Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
Leader Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
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Siela Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Carrie Solages.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Debra Mule.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Gaylor.
LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Muscarella.
LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Birnbaum.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Kennedy.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
McKevitt.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Ferretti.

|  | LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: | Here. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: | Legislator |
| Drucker. |  |  |
|  | LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: | Here. |
|  | LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: | Legislator |
| Walker. |  |  |
|  | LEGISLATOR WALKER: | Here. |
|  | LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: | Legislator |
| Lafazan. |  |  |
|  | LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: | Here. |
|  | LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: | And |
| Legislator | Steve Rhoads. |  |
|  | LEGISLATOR RHOADS: | Here. |
|  | LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: | We are all |
| here in person or participating via remote. |  |  |
|  | I just would like to | add to that |

I just would like to add to that
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moment of silence to include NBC employee Larry Edgeworth who had contracted the virus and has tragically passed away. Thank you again.

To start things off, I think all of us on behalf of the residents of Nassau County want to extend a heartfelt thank you and our appreciation for the health care workers who are on the front line, who are reporting for work, who are aiding the sick and those who are in need of health care at this time. Very strenuous, very stressful and they're reporting for work every day to make sure their residents are being cared for.

I want to thank you to our police and responders. As is always the case, when those of us who are sheltering in our homes and taking precautions and staying away and social distancing, our police and first responders, although they are getting the protection, although they're following their own protocols to keep themselves safe, they are still out there on the front lines and making sure they respond to emergencies and
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all the different means of assistance that our residents need.

Also to thank you the workers who are providing our essential services both in government, here in Nassau County, and at the other levels of government. Also in the private sector that are keeping our society afloat. From utilities, the food service workers, especially for our government workers, who are providing the services that people need in this time of crisis.

Why are we here with this pandemic going on?

Basically, as the people I have just mentioned, we are doing our jobs. Governor Cuomo made a point of this last week when speaking at the legislature. Our job is a crucial job, especially in an emergency, is that your elected officials meet and do the business of government to keep our government running, to make sure that those emergency items get passed, that the administration gets the support that it needs.

To amplify why we are here at this
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point, over the last few days the administration has come to us with a number of emergencies. It is important that for the continuity of government that we continue to meet on a regular basis. It's important that we be there for those emergencies again to keep government services running not only just during the crisis but when we come out on the other side of this that things be as normalized as possible.

The CDC issued guidelines indicating that for the next eight weeks there will be no gathering of more than be 50 people. Or their should be no gatherings of more than 50 people. So we will continue to meet on a regular basis to do the business of the county but we will continue to do so using all the mechanisms that we can through electronic participation, limiting the involvement of the public in this chamber et cetera.

We have taken a number of precautions today. There are several legislators who are participating remotely.
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We have spaced ourselves out as much as possible. This chamber has been cleaned and recleaned and cleaned as early as this morning to make sure that it is as safe as possible. Each of us had our temperature taken as we walked into the chambers. And again, we have limited the number of staff who are here and the number of members of the administration. The public, unfortunately, is not joining us for the first time in the history of this legislature. At least present. Public can and hopefully are watching via our streaming. We have developed a mechanism for the public to participate in terms of comments by submitting emails to the legislature. We've received a number of them and they will be read in just a few moments.

Before I proceed does anyone want to add anything?

We do have public comment. Two or three of the slips relate to an item which we are going to hold until later but there are one or two that may be of a more generic nature. So do we have someone that can read
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those two emails? There's one by the ACLU and one by Mr. Margolis. He submitted two. One relates directly to the phase-in legislation which we will consider. We will hear that later. But he also considered one as to procedure. We have Chris Boyle will read them.

MR. BOYLE: Chris Boyle with the Majority. This comment was submitted on March 23rd from the Nassau County New York Civil Liberties Union.

To the Nassau County Legislature; I am submitting these comments to the legislature to be entered into the record for the legislative session taking place on March 23, 2020.

As the director of the Nassau
County New York Civil Liberties Union and a member of the Nassau County Jail Advocates I was hoping to write generally about the issues and needs of the Nassau County Correctional Facility and establish a working relationship with the new sheriff. Under the circumstances, these comments will also
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include the topic of COVID-19 and the lives at risk currently inside the jail.

As advocates for incarcerated people, we were encouraged to see some positive media exposure when Mr. Dzurenda indicates that he is open to working with the advocacy community. We look forward to reaching out to arrange introductory meetings.

Under the immediate situation, we encourage Sheriff Dzurenda to make a public statement as quickly as possible outlining a plan for keeping people safe and lowering anxiety inside the facility. As is clear, the level of anxiety for incarcerated people, their families and of course correction officers is known to be very high and information, expertise, solid policy and comprehensive planning will help to alleviate that anxiety. It is vital that the sheriff and the county leaders, including the Public Safety Committee of the legislature, show leadership and provide public information as quickly as possible.
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The Nassau County Jail Advocates and New York Civil Liberties Union are concerned for all people at the facility and particularly those most vulnerable, including people over 50, women who are pregnant, people with substance abuse issues and mental health issues.

We would like to recommend that in addition to creating a plan to reduce the population inside the jail by considering release of vulnerable people to their families, the availability of mental health support be accelerated, access to testing be prioritized to the extent possible, phone calls, stamps and writing materials be made accessible at no cost and video visits be offered. We hope that the temperature checks are being conducted on a regular basis and information and education on the disease is being made available to incarcerated people. We would also request that careful recordkeeping be put in place to be able to report out to the public exactly how many people are being separated or isolated and for
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how long, how many people have been exposed, what are the hygiene and sanitation protocols for the facility and what is the time lapse between a request for a medical visit and the actual visit.

We would also request that communication with families be attended to as anxiety is very high amongst families and there doing time on the outside.

We hope that the sheriff brings new reform lens to his work at the Nassau County Jail as we have heard he brought to the Nevada prison system. We'd like to call the following issues to his attention.

There is an ongoing problem at the jail concerning the grievance process. Currently advocates receive many reports of incarcerated people being threatened if they put in a grievance or ask for a grievance form.

Incarcerated people have to ask for a grievance form from COs which creates a very obvious power dynamic.

One option for grievances, which is
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the first option available, is conducted internally entirely by correction staff. This is an obvious conflict of interest.

There is no centralized location to determine the extent, number or categories of grievances. Incarcerated people have an option to snail mail grievances to the oversight board known as the Board of Visitors. They can send a grievance to the SCOC only after the internal process -- which is already problematic -- has been exhausted. They can call the district attorney's anti-corruption hotline, which relies on legal thresholds. They can call advocates. Many of them exhaust all these options and there is no mechanism to determine and compile data and outcomes.

There is no appropriate protocol or policy regarding the housing of transgender individuals. When asked, the prior sheriff stated that the policy is based on anatomy. That is not an appropriate policy.

There is no public reporting on the number of people requiring substance abuse
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treatment or medication or medication and treatment for mental illness.

There's no routine training of COs concerning de-escalation or training on the special needs of people with mental illness.

There is no public reporting on the number of people kept in keep-lock or the number of hours on average they are kept in keep-lock.

There are virtually no reentry programs with the requisite preplanning to provide an opportunity for success when people leave the jail.

People are being interviewed by ICE agents with no information about their rights to refuse. Language access information is not available.

Families who visit are often standing in line outdoors in weather and visiting hours are shutdown one and a half hours prior to the times posted.

Access to law libraries are limited depending on space-sharing arrangements with the few programs that exist inside the jail.
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Materials in the law libraries are inadequate.
These are only some of the issues that have been identified by Nassau County Jail Advocates. We would like to emphasize that these items outlined as no public reporting also means that the public and advocates assume there is no recordkeeping. The data points listed above, as well as others, should be required by the Public Safety Committee of the legislature and reported on publicly.

Since the 1990s Nassau County Jail
has been subjected to oversight by the Department of Justice and the New York State Attorney General's Office. It has been the site of over 13 deaths between 2011 and 2017. The oversight board has very little authority and the culture inside the jail is known to be abusive.

The New York Civil Liberties Union has been involved with trying to clean up the jail and it's culture since that time. We have conducted interviews and have compiled reports based on those interviews and would
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welcome the opportunity to share them with the new sheriff. We look forward to setting up meetings and we encourage immediate public comments outlining a comprehensive plan during this scary time. Leadership from the top can go a long way toward mitigating anxiety and ensuring safety and prioritizing the value of the lives of those currently incarcerated during the COVID-19 crisis.

That's from the New York Civil Liberties Union.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: There were
two from Mr. Margolis. One had to do with procedure. It's shorter than the second one.

MR. BOYLE: I believe this is
it. This is in regards to the legislative meeting today, March 21, 2020, from Michael Margolis, 97 Northern Parkway West, Plainview, New York 11803.

Dear Legislature, whatever our differences may be please stay safe during this extremely difficult time.

With that being said, I'm aware that the governor has issued an executive
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order for the legislature to operate and hold a meeting without the public, which supersedes the open meeting law. It should be noted that based on the current coronavirus threat to all of us and the need for our legislature, the local government, to operate without everybody potentially becoming sick, the governor's actions make total sense.

However, with that stated, the governor did what he did to ensure local government could operate safely to handle emergency issues during this time. Not for the legislature to prevent and slip in a proposed local law that are not an emergency at the given time without the public being able to present and ask questions, provide rebuttal to responses, et cetera, as the majority of people in Nassau County are self-quarantined to their homes based on the state of emergency and wouldn't even think that there is a meeting occurring.

For example, on the agenda today proposed local law clerk item 79-20 is something that should be addressed as it
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specifically mentions a need to extend a deadline due to the effects of the coronavirus.

However, proposed local law clerk item 21-20 amendment is something that should not be voted on today given the fact that this proposed local law has nothing to do with an immediate need, coronavirus, or is detrimental to the life and safety of all of Nassau County, that should trump every resident's rights.

Further, it should be noted that Presiding Officer Nicolello has repeatedly stated to the public, through the media, that proposed local law item 21-20 amendment would not be voted on until June. Therefore, any vote at this time would clearly be a political move to slip in a law during a time of political game.

I urge you not to vote on anything today not deemed as an emergency as a result of the coronavirus as it will surely add to the legal challenge which can result in the offset of whatever action is taken and
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additional legal expenses and issues for the entire Nassau County. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Chris. There are other emails pertaining public comments which will be read at the time that the items that they relate to are called.

In the mean time, anyone who is
watching who does want to submit a public comment can do so by sending to the following email address. M Pulitzer. M-P-U-L-I-T-Z-E-R at Nassau County NY, that's all one word, Nassau County NY dot gov. I can't guarantee that if you email us now it will be read into the record at this meeting but it will be made part of the record of today's meeting.

As I said, some of the legislators are participating electronically. That is permitted under the governor's order. As well as the fact that we are having this meeting without the public being present.

However, we also need to suspend our rules at least to the extent that it applies to participation by electronic means.
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Notwithstanding the governor's directive, we still have to abide by our rules. I would ask for a motion to suspend the rules to allow legislators to participate electronically.

Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel makes that motion. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Motion passes.

For those who are participating electronically I guess we will handle this like a conference call. When you have something to add to the proceedings just speak up and we will make sure that you get recognized in turn.

We have as part of our regular calendar, aside from the hearing and the proposed local law involving the phase-in, all of the other items have passed through committees two weeks ago, were debated and discussed at that time. Public comment was available at that time on those items. But it has been agreed by the Minority and Majority that these items can be passed at this time without any further debate or discussion by
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the legislature. So I'm going to read those items and then we're going to have a vote. The vote itself will be a voice vote unless I hear any opposition from those participating remotely. Then we will go to a roll call.

Item 3, Ordinance 20. Item 4, Ordinance 21. Item 5, Ordinance 22. Item 6, Ordinance 23. Item 7, Ordinance 24. Item 8, Ordinance 25. Item 9, Ordinance 26. Item 10, Ordinance 27. Item 11, Ordinance 28. Item 12, Ordinance 29. Item 13, Resolution 26. 14, Resolution 27. 15, Resolution 28. 16, Resolution 29. 17, Resolution 30. 18, Resolution 31. 19, Resolution 32. 20, Resolution 33. 21, Resolution 34.

Motion on all those items?
Legislator Schaefer moves that. Legislator Drucker seconds the consent items. Any debate or discussion? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Those items pass unanimously.

Now we are going to go to the emergency items that have been submitted for

Full - 3-23-20
this legislative meeting. I'm going to read -- there's consent on most of these emergency items, so I'm going to read the ones that there's consent on and we will have a vote on those and then read the ones without a consent second.

$$
78 \text { of } 2020 \text { is a resolution to }
$$

authorize the transfer of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2020 .

79, a local law to amend the Nassau County Administrative Code to extend the deadline to file an application for the correction of an assessment for the tentative assessment roll filed on January 2, 2020. 80, a resolution requesting the legislature of the state of New York to enact and the governor to approve and act to amend the retirement and social security law in relation to the retirement of members who serve as police medics, police medic supervisors and members who perform police medic related services in the County of Nassau Police Department.
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82, a resolution requesting the legislature of the state of New York to enact and the governor to approve and act to amend the retirement and social security law in relation to establishing a death benefit for deputy sheriffs employed by Nassau County.

83, a resolution requesting the legislature of the state of the New York to enact and the governor to approve and act to amend the retirement and social security law in relation to providing accidental disability retirement for deputy sheriffs in Nassau County.

85, a resolution to authorize the Nassau County Office of Emergency Management to accept any assistance, including but not limited to gifts or grants of real or personal property, but not including money, from any public or private source for the purpose of responding to and recovering from the novel coronavirus, COVID-19 disaster emergency.
A-14 of 2020, a resolution
ratifying the commissioner of shared services award and execution of a purchase order
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between the county acting on behalf of the Department of Public Works and Winter Brothers Hauling of Long Island.

I need a motion to establish an emergency. Moved by Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator Mule. Any debate or discussion on establishing the emergencies for these items? Hearing none, all in favor of these items signify by saying aye. Correct there is no negative votes on those? They all pass by all 19 members of the legislature.

What I'm going to do is before we go to considering those items, just calling the emergency on the other two that will not receive unanimous consent.

84 is a resolution requesting the legislature of the state of New York to enact and the governor to approve and act to amend Chapter 269 of the laws of 1979 relating to authorizing the county of Nassau to lease certain lands acquired pursuant to the provisions of Article 15 of the Parks and Recreation Law in relation to extending such authorization to lease or permit such
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premises.
81 of 2020 is a resolution
authorizing the county executive to execute a grant agreement between the county acting on behalf of the Department of Parks and the Museums at Mitchel doing business at the Cradle of Aviation.

That's 84 and 81 of 2020.
Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded by Legislator Gaylor. 84 relates to Silver Beach. 81 relates to the museum at the Cradle of Aviation.

We have a motion on an emergency. All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? We are going to do a roll call to establish the votes on these obviously because I can't see and can't determine who is actually voting no on these. To streamline things, all members of the Minority have voted no for these emergencies. All members of the majority have voted yes. So the vote is 11 to 8. We need 13 votes. So those emergencies fail.

> So now we go to the items on the
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emergency calendar where we did establish emergencies. With the consent of the Minority I'm not going to reread these items. I'm simply going to call the item numbers. Clerk item 78, 79, 80, 82, 83, 85 and A-14. Moved by Legislator Ferretti. Seconded by Legislator Lafazan. These items are before us.

Several of the items relate to home rule messages. We have two specifically with respect to the New York Nassau County Deputy Sheriffs. One of which relates to a deficiency in retirement death benefits according to the deputy sheriffs. Another relates to accidental disability retirement benefits afforded to deputy sheriffs. These are home rule messages that require legislation by the state to be signed by the governor of the state of New York. There is another home rule message relating to the police medics relating to a change in the nomenclature, the way they are referred to, so that the law is consistent and there's no issue when these police medics have
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to deal with the various administrations of the state of New York.

Let's see. There is a budget
transfer that we approved last week. We are simply ratifying for emergency services.

There is an emergency to allow the county to accept a gift or gifts of personal property under the circumstances. This relates to when individuals want to give gifts of supplies, masks, gowns, and that type of thing to the county in this emergency situation.

And lastly, there was an emergency having to do with the hauling of trash or debris from county facilities. Again, that's goes to an emergency situation.

Included within this group is an extension of the grievance deadline from April 2nd to April 30th. This will give people additional time to file their challenges to their assessment. As we've said before, our residents are suffering through an incredible crisis situation. Some of our residents have been diagnosed with coronavirus. Some have
Full - 3-23-20
been hospitalized. Others are quarantining at home. Families affected. Others have potentially been exposed and are self-isolating. Our health care workers are going through an incredible stressful time with incredible courage. Same with our first responders. And the general population is going through incredible anxiety about their health, their future of their jobs, the stock market, et cetera.

So as with other levels of government, it's important to give people additional time to do things to protect their rights. Most importantly, the federal government has extended the time to file your income taxes from April 15th to June 15th. July 15th. So this is not the first time we've sought to extend the assessment challenge deadline. Last year we did it after the assessment roll out. We did it after Sandy. It is the right thing to do.

We have residents again who are troubled and are not concentrating whether to file a challenge to their assessment. We have
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residents who use firms every year. Those firms are closed because they are not essential services. So those residents, because of that, could lose the opportunity to challenge their assessment. That's the basis for it.

Does anyone want to weigh in on any of these emergencies? Katy Horst from the administration wanted to say a few words.

MS. HORST: I just want to say this morning the county executive asked ARC to extend the deadline to April 30th as well.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All
right. It's the right thing to do. It will give people until April 30th to file their assessment challenge either remotely, and I certainly encourage them to do it remotely because the hours with respect to when it's being accepted in person can change. Those who need assistance to file it remotely they can call our offices. We can try to do everything that we can if they're not familiar with the technology to try to make sure that their rights are protected.
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Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
also want to mention as of this morning's press conference the particulars involving the drop off of emergency supplies are going to be worked out. I'm sure once the county executive has a list or there's some protocol it will be distributed to all of the legislators. As I really think this is one way we can help each other during this time as long as we respect the social distancing. And if everyone takes a look and sees what they have. If they have extra of this or other things it's a good time to try to help our neighbors as well.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We certainly echo that. Any other debate or discussion? Comments from anyone who is remote? All right. Hearing none, all in favor of these items signify by saying aye. Those opposed? They carry unanimously.

We have taken care of the emergencies. We have passed the consent items. The only item that's left to go is the
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hearing and vote on the proposed local law with respect to the phase-in. So I'm going to call the hearing at this time.

It's item one, a hearing on a proposed local law to amend Title A of Chapter 6 of the Nassau County Administrative Code in relation to New York State Real Property Tax Law Section 485.

Moved by Legislator Ferretti.
Seconded by Legislator Kopel. So the hearing is now open. We need a vote to open the hearing. All in favor of opening the hearing signify by saying aye? The hearing is now open.

What I think we will do is perhaps have the public comment read and then we can go the legislative comments and/or questions.

MR. BOYLE: Chris Boyle with the Majority again. These are comments submitted to the clerk for the record of this public hearing. This is from Rex Margolis, 97 Northern Parkway West, Plainview, New York 11803.

Argument for public comment on
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proposed local law clerk item 21-20 amendment.

Dear Legislature, my name is Rex Margolis. I am eight years old. For the first five years of my life I lived at 16 Peter Lane in Plainview. For the last three years I lived at 97 Northern Parkway in West Plainview. I'm a second grade student at Stratford Elementary School. I love my friends and my home. While this message is for the legislature, $I$ am going to speak to my own legislator, Drucker.

Mr. Drucker, I know who you are and I have been around you several times. I know the pain and stress you have caused my family over the last year and a half. Additionally, all of my friends are aware of what you have done to my family and that we might be forced to leave our home. How could you allow this to happen? Do you not have a heart? What steps have you taken over the last year and a half to help us?

Although I am only eight years old, I know the difference between right and
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wrong. I watch my parents leave early in the morning and sometimes return home even after I am already in bed for the night to be able to provide for my brother and I.

Additionally, I have learned about teamwork through sports and education. Even if $I$ was the best player in my soccer league it doesn't mean my team would win as there is to $I$ in team. No person on a team is truly better than the other as the team wins and loses together. I even now know what taxes are and what it means if you don't pay them.

I have seen you at the JCC and at my school reading books to children. If you think that is going to make up for hurting my family and my friend's family or any family it is not. I know my father has done everything to make you aware of the problem so many will face with the taxes, yet you have done nothing to fix it. Stop saying you're here to help if you don't help. Our community choose you to lead the team but you are not leading because too many players are being left out.

Every second grader knows one plus
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one equals two. How is it that you can't do simple math? If you are able to vote that a family has to pay more than their fair share out of necessity, which you so claim, then you must understand that even a second grader knows that your vote promotes stealing. When my family runs out of money because we can no longer afford to pay our neighbor's share of the taxes, does that mean $I$ can just go into the Shoprite and start eating food? Can I walk into Harmons and walk out with my vitamins without paying? Aren't these necessities? Would you vote to when people run out of money they can steal? It is clear to me at eight years old that you are penalizing some families and rewarding others. My father tells me that yourself currently pay no property taxes or if anything a very small amount.

Further, my father tells me that
you sold a property in Plainview for just short of $\$ 900,000$ that was valued on the old tax roll at or around $\$ 300,000$. And that after the reassessment the taxes on your old
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home would be increasing $\$ 5,000$. Again, even an eight year old can realize you have taken advantage and continue to take advantage of your own people.

While I will not sit here and tell you I am a perfect angel and do not get in trouble, I will tell you that you my parents have taught me to try to learn from my mistakes and for the most part I do.

I am begging you to help my family and all the other families who will be hurt by the Taxpayer Protection Plan. Please vote no even if it is against what your political party wants. There has to be a better plan where all of the residents contribute equally to the problem we face. You could have spent the last year and a half working on this plan but you didn't because it was not what your political party wanted. I am eight years old and I don't care nor should I have to care about politics. I care that my friends and I live in our homes.

Please help my family and all other families that will be hurt. Isn't that what
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you're job is?
That was from Rex Margolis. There's another one from Michael Margolis, 97 Northern Parkway West Plainview, New York 11803.

Dear Legislature, all of you by now know who I am and the problem I and at least seven other Nassau County homeowners are faced regarding the Taxpayer Protection Plan.

For a year and a half I have advised you of the major problem with the Taxpayer Protection Plan and how at least 7,000 newly constructed homes end up subsidizing Laura Curran's plan to fix the tax freeze left by the Mangano administration.

Unfortunately, for a year and a half I have watched you grill the assessor on stupidity, basically where the new market values on homes were coming from, even though every resident could challenge that number through the grievance process. Instead of wasting crucial time on something that meant nothing, you should have been focusing on the issue of the proposed Taxpayer Protection
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Plan's fairness and implementation to all homeowners. Instead, you chose to not to deal with Laura Curran's proposed Taxpayer Protection Plan and the problem you caused to the 7,000 homes with new construction in Nassau County. You ignored my pleas and the pleas of many other homeowners because we are only 7,000 homeowners and not the majority. However, if you actually got the real numbers like you said were waiting on, then you would realize that these 7,000 homes are paying for the majority of the Taxpayer Protection Plan. Or as I call it the taxpayer theft plan.

Presiding Officer Nicolello, you were recently quoted in Newsday as saying that you are now changing the name from the Taxpayer Protection Plan to the Reassessment Phase-In Act of 2020 because you said it wasn't fair to call it a taxpayer protection plan when so many taxpayers would be hurt by the plan.

You also stated that you intended to vote for the plan and had enough votes for
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it to pass. I thought I was dreaming when I read your quote. After 18 months you think changing the name makes it okay? You can put a piece of feces in a box and stamp air fresher on it, that doesn't mean when you open the box it isn't going to smell like you know what. Simply changing the name is not protecting homeowners of Nassau County being hurt.

How can you vote for something that will hurt anybody in Nassau County? This is not a decision to go to war. You took an oath to protect everybody. If you vote yes to this, then when you run for reelection the next time tell the truth. Make sure your slogan reads Nicolello, I vote to hurt you. Moving on, it makes me wonder if any of you have even read this local law and thought about the consequences. Do you realize the language reads exemption? Usually exemption means you don't have to pay. But in this case it could mean you have to pay a lot more than what you are supposed to. Why wasn't that language changed to make it clear
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to the public? Do you care that it uses a tentative assessment roll as part of the key calculation, meaning that every homeowner was denied due process of law to challenge that number?

Do you realize there is no requirement in this local law that you even be a resident of the county or even the state? That the property is not rented or used for commercial purposes? That you are not delinquent on past property taxes? How can you vote that your constituents have to subsidize residents of other states? Or people using their properties for economic gains instead of residential purposes?

By voting yes to this Taxpayer Protection Plan all you are doing is telling people not to move to new construction in Nassau County or not to improve their existing homes. The message you send is, welcome to Nassau County or thank you for improving your home. Now you have to pay 70 to 90 percent more of your fair share of taxes. But don't worry, that will decrease over the next five
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years. By the way, even if we charge you the fair rate of property taxes, we still have some of the highest property tax rates in the county. And as you know, you can't even write off more than $\$ 10,000$ on your taxes. So.

Basically Nassau County is not a place to move unless you make over a million dollars a year. And if you did then why would you move here?

You all chose not to put political party aside and come up with a solution that would work equally for all property owners of Nassau County. From day one I have been told that the reason for the phase-in is because the homeowners going up to their fair share will be forced to sell their homes if the fair share is implemented all at once. To this date, not one economist or any proven data or study has been provided to show as much. Why haven't these types of studies been done by the county?

Which brings me to Legislator
Abrahams. Legislator Abrahams has been a very strong proponent of Laura Curran's Taxpayer
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Protection Plan demanding that the Republicans vote for it. I have heard Legislator Abrahams say, and it is no secret, that his district is home to some of the least affluent homeowners in Nassau County. These are the residents that didn't know how to file a tax grievance, didn't think they could afford an attorney to file a tax grievance or just trusted the system and as such is the district with the highest percentage who will see their property tax values decrease because of the reassessment.

Yet knowing that these residents are less affluent and are paying more than they are supposed to be paying, Legislator Abrahams believes that this is a good plan. Continue to overcharge the poorest people.

Legislator Abrahams, you didn't even ask one question to Assessor Moog to try to protect your overpaying constituents at the meeting on the update of the reassessment several weeks ago. Don't you all have to ask why? Clearly this is not about the people. It is about politics. It is about making sure
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Laura Curran gets another four more years. We all know that the only reason the Republicans have all of a sudden come around on this is because Laura Curran spent $\$ 100,000$ of taxpayer money to send out political mailings demanding the Republicans vote for this plan. The mailings even gave the number to directly call Presiding Officer Nicolello's office. This was a clear political mailing paid for by the taxpayers that boxed in the Republicans.

Again, most of you up here are attorneys or maybe all of you. Did any of you report County Executive Curran to the district attorney for spending $\$ 100,000$ in taxpayer money on clear political mailings? Who exactly are all representing? How can you allow the county executive to use taxpayers' money for the county executive's own political gain?

Now here we are today in the middle of a war known as the coronavirus. Even assuming that we had the data to support the Taxpayer Protection Plan being implemented, that data would be garbage today. The world,
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which includes Nassau County, has been turned upside down in the last two weeks. My job has seized and my occupation has shut down for at least 90 days. Probably more. Unlike all of you, a lot of us will not see any income for months through no fault of our own. Any savings we had for our children's college, for a rainy day was most likely in the stock market, which has been decimated. The majority of your constituents are middle class, so the federal government will do nothing for us. They do not take into account that a family making $\$ 200,000$ in Nassau County is not the same as a family in Idaho making $\$ 200,000$.

In a time of crisis you have all been on social media saying we need to work together as a community and practice social distancing. Although you are ready to vote to hurt 7,000 families because the 19 of you, who are all very intelligent people, couldn't come up with a solution to the problem over the last 18 months. What if the 7,000 families you are prepared to hurt ignored the messages
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of our government and just walked around spreading coronavirus. It would be a major problem and all of a sudden you would remember we exist also.

Supposedly you all took this job to help to create fairness, to keep communities working together. Not to vote for a plan that the presiding officer openly admits will hurt families of the community.

I am prepared to sit down with all of you and create a constitutional plan to protect all homeowners --

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Chris, I think your time is up.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think you have gone well beyond the five minutes. Three minutes actually.

MR. BOYLE: That's all I have.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
there is one from Mr. Orosz. That is a much shorter statement.

MR. BOYLE: This is from Bob Orosz, resident of Garden City, former member of the Residential Assessment Reform Team.
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To Michael C. Pulitzer, clerk of the legislature. My question is for the county legislature on how the county is going to address the potential problems to the base portions of the four classes of properties that determine tax rates for each district during these troubling times? Residential property taxes are based solely on adjusted assessed values while commercial properties also include potential income generated from those properties. Now, if these commercial properties lose their income and sales tax decline, then where will the revenues come from to pay for county services?

History has taught us that when commercial properties receive reductions that these reductions are usually passed on as increases to the residential property owners' tax bills. Has this possible scenario been contemplated by anyone in this administration before the legislature votes on sweeping changes to the tax assessment process? The outcome could be devastating to property owners. Especially the elderly and those
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living on fixed incomes while negatively affecting the residential housing market. How can homeowners be protected from these sweeping changes?

Again, Bob Orosz, Garden City, former member of the Residential Assessment Reform Team.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is
Mr. Moog and/or his counsel available at the moment? I thought they were going to be on the call. Did you want to respond to that at all? Mr. Miles I see you.

MR. MILES: I will answer
briefly. Section 1803 of the Real Property Tax Law limits increases in the adjusted base proportion. So, if this were to occur wherein the commercial values decrease extensively the increase to other classes will be limited by one percent for the adjusted base proportions.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So it
sounds like there's a circuit breaker of some kind to lessen the impacts to other classes when one class drops precipitously?

MR. MILES: Correct.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
very much. Legislator Ford.
LEGISLATOR FORD: So Mr. Miles, as a result of this coronavirus, if we see a number of small businesses go under and not reopen the taxes that would have to be made up by the commercial property taxes will have to be absorbed by the other businesses?

MR. MILES: Right now we're working with the '22-'23 assessment roll based on Glen's loss of the tax status date for '22-'23 is this January. Right now the assessments for '20-'21 are stable and cannot be adjusted by the Department of Assessment legally.

In terms of what would happen regarding decreases in commercial value that would be reflected in the '22-'23. I know that David and the evaluation team is monitoring the situation and we are waiting for the values to come in over the next few months. If there is a decrease -- I'm assuming -- if there is a recession there will be an adjustment made on the '22-'23 because
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it's not final yet. We will take that into consideration. It would probably affect both commercial and residential properties.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So that then in future years you then may change the base proportion so that maybe in the '22-'23 years that the residents may end up.

MR. MILES: Yes. We have to by law.

LEGISLATOR FORD: But what happens if we enter into a recession? I mean it. Like for '21 we're going to be collecting taxes in either October or April of next year, correct? School taxes or whatever. County taxes. And business just don't come back. So they're not paying anything. There's like nothing. Where does that money get made up from?

MR. MILES: You're talking about
the '20-'21 and the '21-'22 roll?
LEGISLATOR FORD: Correct.
MR. MILES: Yeah. Because of the
legal restrictions the Department of Assessment is not able to change the values
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because the tax status date was January 2, 2019. I'm sorry 2018. No, I was right, 2019. I apologize. So many different rolls. But that would require state legislation. We know in the past that the state has come up with legislation to help in times of need and emergency like the Sandy Emergency Bill which is part of Section 1803 of the law. So I would make an assumption that if there is a recession that the state would try to help and they would probably put something under Section 1803.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So are you actually looking at that to have a plan in place so that we can actually try to get that relief from New York State as we did with Sandy?

MR. MILES: We have to review those options. This has kind of come up pretty quickly. We were hoping I guess a few weeks ago I would like to assume that we are all hoping that we can limit the spread and exposure and after a few weeks businesses may be shut down but would start coming back. We
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have to wait to see what the federal government is going to do in terms of sending money to local businesses and government.

MR. MOOG: A lot of what's going on with the valuation will depend on the federal bailout plan. If tenants are made whole on the loans that are being proposed and those loans will be forgiven if they're paying payroll and leases, a lot of the landlords should be made whole during that period of time.

I know the federal reserve bank and the treasury and federal government is doing its best to try to limit the economic fallout from this. One of the options they're using is interest-free loans that can be forgiven if they are being used for payment of leases and payment of payroll. We'd have to really examine this loan bid and we have until December for the next roll. Current roll is locked in place by state law. So it also depends if the state wants to try to relieve any distress from commercial property owners during this period of time.
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LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you
Mr. Moog. I just want to go back when you said the so loans that you're talking about the federal government is considering so that it would be interest fee and possibly forgiven. That is not through the small business association? Is that through another agency?

MR. MOOG: The bailout package is called phase one, phase two, phase three being debated right now in the Senate and in the House. One of the parts, I don't know if it's phase one or phase two of the bailout, is to try to extend loans. Give low interest or free loans to businesses, and if they use part of that loan to pay for their lease or pay for payroll those parts of the loans will be forgiven. In a sense, be able to keep businesses in place, keep landlords whole and keep the workforce paying while this coronavirus quarantine is going about.

Then hopefully once a vaccine or some remedy comes about for the coronavirus and things come back to normal, businesses can
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start quickly rather than businesses going out of business because they can't pay leases and landlord go bankrupt because there's no tenants.

So this is all being debated right now and we are trying to see what the package will be from Washington. Very hopeful, I'm hopeful and hopeful that both the Republicans and Democrats can work out their differences and get that type of package to small businesses and to people simply furloughed or laid off because of the coronavirus. It's very important economically to have people feel secure financially so that when this terrible virus is eradicated or cured we can start resuming going back to normalcy and get back up. That's one of the goals they're doing. I've read a lot of line and I have seen a lot of statements made by the federal reserve bank that that's what they really desire to do.

MR. MILES: Presiding Officer Nicolello, I forgot to announce ourselves on the record. Robert Miles, deputy assessor.
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Dave, I think you should announce yourself.
MR. MOOG: David Moog, county assessor.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much for your response. I agree with you. I hope that we do get this so that our small businesses will get the relief they need.

MR. MOOG: Thank you. We all hope for the best on that.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
very much for responding to the public comment. I think we will have debate, discussion now during the hearing and after that's done we will go back to the vote.

The question came up why we would consider a phase-in now. Mr. Moog was with us on I think March 3rd or March 4th to testify that it needed to be done as early as possible. In March in fact. That the time needed the staff to make the calculations using an antiquated system, that the time for communication with receiver of taxes in other municipalities, that the time was needed to incorporate existing exemptions Mr. Moog made
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the case at the time that you really couldn't wait until later in the year to pass this phase-in. And his testimony actually was before governments started to be reduced to essential staff. We know that for example the Assessment Department will be operating at 25 percent staff for the time being. It may eventually move up when the rest of our society starts to move up to greater staffing. The case has certainly been made that this is something that cannot wait.

As I said, the CDC is recommending that there be no gathering over 50 people for at least eight weeks. This is the situation that we have and based on those facts I believe it's incumbent upon us to act on this today. Otherwise to delay further would effectively kill it in my opinion.

I'm just going to make a statement and we will open this up for questions.

We all who were out amongst the
public last year and this year to discuss this, to host town halls, know that the reassessment was flawed. That there are
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errors and problems that continue to this day and that are compounded I believe by the use of trending for the current year as opposed to modeling. These errors and problems are cemented in.

I also believe that the phase-in
has flaws. We have spent months looking for solutions, looking for assistance from our state government with respect to those people who are decreasing. With respect to the new construction. But we are left with what the state passed in terms of the phase-in last year. We cannot adjust it.

Again, we have supported a bill submitted by Assemblyman Ra. We have advocated, we have urged the state to change this to recognize the realities of those who are decreasing as well as the new construction. But they have not. So we are left with this.

Quite simply, as this reassessment goes into effect and people will be paying taxes on it beginning in October, there are tens of thousands who would see massive,
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sizeable, whatever word you want to use increases in their taxes. We simply cannot allow that to happen. It was a deep concern of ours before with the stability of the real estate market, with hardships to individuals, with the SALT cap, but now even more so. Destabilizing Nassau's communities, especially after this coronavirus, is something that would affect every resident in this county. We cannot allow these economic disruptions. We cannot allow the damage to our communities. And we cannot allow the damage to our county.

Having said that, we, after today, if this passes, we must continue to find a way to assist the people whose assessments and taxes should be decreased. We must continue to find a way for the state and the state must pass legislation on both these fronts. We can't do it ourselves. We must continue to find a way to assist those with new construction that are bearing the brunt of this.
In short, in my opinion, the
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assessment is a bad situation. This bill, this phase-in makes it a little less bad for our residents. Again, this is a matter of protecting our residents and communities.

Now I'll open it up to comments. I know Ellen Birnbaum had a question. Why don't we go to you first.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: I just want to back step. I am in favor of the phase-in and I understand what you just said Rich. But I want to ask the assessor, the annual statements of increment expenses, the ASIE, that are usually due March 31st, I understand that businesses which are closed are having problems doing this right now. Will that be extended as well that deadline?

MR. MOOG: We are instructing the assessors in charge of that program to grant extensions on that. So people having trouble filing, I believe would be filing extensions on that, as the income taxes have been extended to July 15 th, I see no reason why we should not extend it. We will extend it on a 30 day basis and we'll probably extend it
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again from April 30th to May 30th because of the situation and then reevaluate again on May 30th. We will be extending those deadlines. MR. MILES: There are options in the law that provide that the assessor can extend the filing deadline. A lot of business owners have already filed. The few remaining, who still have time, if they are struggling the assessor may grant it on a case-by-case basis.

MR. MOOG: Since the ASIE is usually done in conjunction with people during their payroll taxes and those deadlines have been extended I see no reason --

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Do they actually have to put in a request or is it just being extended?

MR. MOOG: They can do it by computer. They put a request by computer and say we request an extension and we extend. During this period of time I don't see us enforcing any of the fines or penalties for ones that are filing late because of the circumstances.
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LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have several legislators who would like to join in. Legislator Rhoads then Legislator Drucker and Legislator Ferretti and whoever else.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I just had a quick question for Deputy Assessor Miles. You had indicated that the reason that you wouldn't see a shift necessarily or major shift was because of 1803 of the RPTL between the commercial and residential side because it's capped at a one percent increase. Am I correct in your understanding?

MR. MILES: Correct Legislator.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The concern I have is the reason we are considering this phase-in proposal at all today is because the RPTL was -- trying to find the right word -sort of bypassed by the drop in the level of assessment. And that the phase-in plan is a replacement for the protections that the RPTL would have had in place. The curves that Presiding Officer Nicolello was talking about that would have applied to this situation had

Full - 3-23-20
the level of assessment not been dropped.
How does the Department of Assessment or the Curran administration make a determination as to whether it will follow the RPTL or enact a way to be able to I guess skirt around the restrictions of 1803?

MR. MILES: You're talking about '22-'23 roll?

MR. MOOG: You mean for the share of the pie? How many shares --

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I had trouble hearing you, I'm sorry.

MR. MOOG: Are you saying that this concerns the -- the RPTL concerns the share of the pie for each tax class, am I correct?

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Correct. MR. MOOG: You can't skirt that. There's nothing in there that -- we sent the bills out and the wiggle room is on one percent. We do an adjustment on it every year and we are limited by law to one percent. That's what we do. We do follow the law on that.
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LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Just so I'm correct in my understanding, the only way you are able to accomplish getting around the 6-20 rule was by changing the level of assessment in this particular case. That tool would not be available to you for the difference between classes for the '22-'23 roll for example.

MR. MOOG: We changed the level of assessment to bring equity into the assessment roll and not because -- the 6 and 20 was restricting the ability to get equity for the tax roll. It would take $20,30,40$ years to get equity on the new reassessment. It's perfectly legal for the assessor to lower the level of assessment and has done so in Nassau County and in New York City several times. So one thing has nothing to do with the other.

Share of the pie under 1803 is the one percent wiggle room set by the state and we obey that and we follow that to the letter of the law. I see no sort of completing one with the other. One has nothing to do with the other. The share of the pie will be
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upheld and the wiggle room is one percent as set by the state.

MR. MILES: We continue to comply with all sections of the Real Property Tax Law including the 6 and 20 rule, 1805 and 1803.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I appreciate your opinion. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Drucker.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you Presiding Officer. Although it has been my practice over the past year or so not to engage Mr. Margolis because of his abusive, nasty, vitriolic attacks on the totally inappropriate whether it's on social media or I see him in person, his attacks on me today warrant at least a response.

Not to get into specifics so much but to simply say that my district has the largest or the highest percentage of homeowners who will receive a tax increase. 57 percent. I believe my colleague and friend Legislator Walker also shares in that number. 42 percent will receive a reduction in their
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taxes. Mr. Margolis and his son Rex, who by the way I intend to contact the superintendent of schools because that young man at eight years old should go directly to Harvard because I've never encountered an eight year who could write a letter like that.

Be that as it may, Mr. Margolis in his comments states that he and seven others are in a similar position. My mandate, my mission, as all of the other 18 other legislators on this dais, is to do the most good for the most number of people. The bottom line that's what it is and the presiding officer indicated that.

There's flaws, there's comments, there's criticism. But the bottom line is we are elected to do the most good for the most number of people. And people may say well, then for you this is an easy decision. 57 percent of the people in your district are going to receive a tax increase. This law, this bill blunts that impact. And especially with who knew we were going to be facing this crisis, the coronavirus crisis now, the last
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thing people need is to not only have to pay an increase in taxes but to have to pay it now in a lump sum when they might have no job or reduction in income or their business might be closing up is a terribly harsh result.

I have to consider, as all of us do, to consider to do what's best for the most number of people. That does not mean that I am not cognizant and sympathetic of people who are experiencing unfair or inaccurate assessments because of new construction or substantial physical change to their houses. Mr. Margolis doesn't mention the fact that he bought a house for 900 and some odd thousand dollars as well, a new construction house. And the first couple of years that he was in that house he paid less than full value in terms of tax assessment. He also doesn't mention the fact that he forgot to grieve his taxes one year. He assumed that Maidenbaum was going to do it for him automatically. So he missed an entire year of grievance which certainly hurt him. So he was responsible for some of his problems
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as well. The one year that he did grieve he didn't take it to ARC -- didn't take it to SCAR, which might have resulted in some further reduction.

Be that as it may, my point is is that he doesn't represent a very significant portion of the population but I am no less sympathetic to that population because it is unfair. I happen to live in a new construction community. Brand new construction. The property has not been assessed yet. At least the portion that I'm living in. It's been taxed because it's been vacant land. It was just built. Eventually I'm going to be paying a sizeable amount of taxes. And this Taxpayer Protection Plan will impact this community a great deal. But again, I have to be mindful of what I'm doing, what my mandate is to do for the most number of people in my district. But I am not unsympathetic to the new construction, substantial physical change homeowners who really should not be punished.

And I have taken great effort, made
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great efforts with some of my state colleagues and fellow legislators, counsel, to discuss a real viable pathway to amending the state law to add an exemption to get these homeowners who have substantial physical changes and new construction homeowners so they get the benefit of that. There is no reason to discourage people to improve their homes or to buy new construction homes. There is no incentive. In fact, that is the opposite of what this county wants. This county wants people to move here, to make improvements to their houses, to increase the fair market value of their properties. But if they're going to be taxed unfairly that is something that has to be addressed.

I intend to do whatever I can and I'm emboldened and heartened by the fact that the majority and the presiding officer indicated they are also in agreement on that. And I really believe that there is a pathway that we can get this change done.

I have spoken to the county attorney. I have spoken to counsel on both
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sides. We have a way to get this done. There is legislation that can be done and this will be able to help that small segment of the population. According the assessor's office it's about 1700 homes countywide.

I happen again, unfortunately, Legislative District 16 has 20 percent of that number. It is a disproportionate sum but it makes my decision that much more difficult. But again, the bottom line at the end of the day I take comfort and solace in the fact that I was elected to do the best I can for the most number of people. That's all I want to say right now. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Legislator Drucker. Any other legislators? I think now is the time to discuss this in the open hearing as opposed to comments later on during the vote. Legislator Ferretti.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you Presiding Officer. I do have a statement I want to put on the record prior to the vote. This vote is before us today for one reason. County Executive Laura Curran broke her
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promise to this body and every Nassau resident that should would abide by the spirit of the state law in implementing her reassessment. Had she followed the intent of state law, 95 percent of Nassau residents would have been insulated from large tax increases and there would be no need for a phase-in.

That said, we are where we are
today in the absence of the 6-20 protections contained in the state law I believe residents deserve a phase-in that is fair and constitutional. I am not convinced that this phase-in checks either of those boxes.

First, the phase-in relies on a reassessment that has been plagued by a lack of transparency and errors. The underlying reassessment is currently in litigation and to this point, the administration has failed to provide the court with justification as to how the neighborhood factors were determined.

The lack of transparency, multitude of errors and unanswered questions pollute the integrity of the underlying reassessment which necessitates a phase-in.
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When looking at the actual phase-in
bill it is shocking to the conscious that the county executive had the audacity to call this a taxpayer protection plan. Who exactly is this plan protecting? The 54 percent of properties who will see an increase in greater proportion then had the county executive followed the 6-20 rule? Or the 46 percent of residents entitled to a reduction that will not recognize that reduction for half a decade. The reality is this doesn't protect anyone.

One may ask what alternative is
there? The majority has worked with assembly members to create one. Assemblyman Ed Ra drafted and filed a bill which would send aid to mitigate the disastrous effects on those entitled to a reduction who will not recognize it for five years under the phase-in.

Amazingly, the county executive and the assessor have refused to engage in meaningful discussions with the state to pursue this vital bill.

Just as concerning is the effects
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of this reassessment and phase-in are the unanswered constitutional concerns. This phase-in uses an exemption base which is calculated by taking the 2019 tentative assessment and subtracting the 1920 final assessment. The problem here is that the 2019 tentative assessment did not change if there was a successful grievance. So this phase-in will often be based on an erroneous exemption.

> This will lead to similarly
situated property owners receiving different exemptions based upon the magnitude of the Department of Assessment's mistakes. This is definitely not fair and possibly unconstitutional.

These legal questions have not been addressed by the administration. Instead, the county executive is aware of these questions and she's rolling the dice that this will hold up. Even if it does, the problems in unfairness are real and the county executive should work harder with New York State to solve these shortcomings before people pay
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unfair amounts of taxes.
I'm not critical of any of my colleagues on either side of the aisle that chooses to support this phase-in. The county executive has put us in a near impossible situation, forcing a vote on a flawed phase-in that makes her bad reassessment somewhat easier to swallow. We are between a rock and a hard place.

I want a phase-in. I always have. However, the county executive has not put in sufficient effort to put a proposal forward which is fair to all residents and she has failed to provide sufficient assurances that the phase-in will hold up to a constitutional challenge.

> Especially in light of the coronavirus pandemic we are currently facing, all residents deserve a fair phase-in. When one is put before me $I$ will vote on it. Until then presiding officer $I$ will be abstaining. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you. I will make a short statement as well. I
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believe that this bill is flawed. It is clearly unfair that people who have been overpaying for years should now have to continue to overpay for another few years.

On the other hand, if we allow the full phase-in to happen all at once $I$ believe that there are many people who could literally lose their homes. Some of the increases will be shocking to some of the people. Some are small but some are huge and cannot be absorbed at one time. Some people, as I say, will not be able to pay their taxes. And especially in this environment now when we are faced with economic devastation we certainly can't afford to have people absorbing another such shock. So, I think we should continue to work to improve it. I believe that the state legislature it's in their hands. They've got to help out those people who are being hurt over here.

But in balancing and deciding what to do, I will say that people who are due to pay less will pay a little bit less each year and will get to that full reduction a little
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bit too slowly. It's not right. It's not fair. But on the other hand, we do have to protect the others. As I say, that would be a devastating act to impose the full increase all at once. With that I'm going to recognize Legislator Mule.

> LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you.

We've been talking a lot of about fairness. Let's start with how things were a number of years ago. We all agree that the previous system was not fair. It was not fair that people who didn't grieve their taxes had to pay more than their fair share of taxes. Everyone agreed with that. I don't think there's anyone in this room that would disagree with what I'm saying. So a change had to be made. This change was not going to come without pain. So, now we're here facing -- we're facing that pain right now.

No one should have to file in order to make sure that they pay their fair share of taxes. Quite frankly, that's the government's job to make sure there is an accurate roll. Here's what we do know for sure. The
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reassessment that was done has been vetted by a number of different organizational standards. By Newsday. We know about that report. And by everyone's reckoning this reassessment met and exceeded the standards. So, it is a very fair role.

That being said, we know that some people are not being assessed fairly. But those are two separate things. You do a complete reassessment, there are still going to be people that are not assessed fairly. That's why people can still grieve. There's been some take about the 6 and 20. We know because it was testified that had the 6 and 20 gone forward that would have resulted in unfair assessments for 20 years and we would have thrown away the millions of dollars that we paid to get a new assessment roll. We couldn't go with that.

I absolutely support this
legislation. It's not perfect. There is no question. Everything that has been brought up is absolutely true. The people who were overpaying all of these years are still going
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to be overpaying a little bit. Or
incrementally. It's not perfect. But as has been stated by many of my colleagues, it's something that we need to do so that we will not be essentially throwing people out of their homes. So with that, I heartily support this legislation. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Ford.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you. I
agree with many of the statements that my fellow legislators have made in regard to this phase-in. I'm glad that we did change the name of it because I do not believe that it is a Taxpayer Protection Plan. And I do want to acknowledge the work on behalf of our assessor David Moog. You took on a challenge and I appreciate the hard work that you put into it.

But all during all of this we all, all 19 of us, did agree that assessment needed to be done and that was why this side of the aisle joined with that side of the aisle and the county executive to provide the necessary

Full - 3-23-20
funding for those firms to update the information and to try to make it so that we can get as fair of an assessment for each property for each resident throughout Nassau County.

Unfortunately, behind closed doors it appeared, that the level of assessment was changed and we were not made aware of it. Then all of a sudden all these other changes happened. We were trying to get information and unfortunately we weren't always getting the information in a timely manner that we needed to so that we could be able to bring it out to our residents so they have a better understanding of what is happening.

I appreciate Legislator Mule but we are the government and it's our responsibility that we listen to what our residents have to say and bring their concerns and their questions to the administration, administrative branch of the government. It is expected that when we ask questions and we have concerns that we get answers in a timely fashion. Unfortunately at times, for whatever
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reason, those answers have not come and to some of us are still basically waiting. Why certain systems are still not used.

You know, now more than ever, we look at the people that we are concerned. Those that are going to face undue increases in their taxes. Yes, it is good to be able to allow them to phase-in those increases because many of them maybe some of their taxes may go up $\$ 2,000$. Some of the people are going to find their taxes going up maybe $\$ 8,000$. It makes it easier for them and more palatable for them to handle those increases.

But now when we talk about coronavirus and the impact that it's going to have on many of our residents, those that are going to find themselves difficult, you know, they may have lost their jobs. Many of them probably could use the fact that if they have been overpaying their taxes by 4,000 or 5,000 and they know if we didn't pass this plan they would get that money immediately. Okay? And that's one less bill that they would have to pay.
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Then I find that for us I think
that a vehicle, and I had advocated for this in the past, that we take a look at those that are most needy. The people that found themselves, even prior to this whole situation that we have, that those, especially those that are seniors that are Enhanced Stars, those others that can demonstrate a hardship that why they need this money right away to be able to stay in their homes and to be able to continue living here, I think this is something that we should have looked at all along.

Now, more than ever, I think that we need to, after we pass this, that we better all work together and find out what kind of funding -- $I$ know money is going to be tight. But some of these people truly, truly need help and now is the time for all of us to step up to the plate. We all want to be fair to everybody. I think that the only way that we can do it is to listen to what everybody has to say and to try to come out with the best plan. Especially for those that are most
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vulnerable. Thank you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Does anyone who is listening remotely want to contribute or give a comment right now? Just want to give you an opportunity. Anyone else that's here in person? Legislator Rhoads.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you Mr. Presiding Officer. I too have a prepared statement that I might deviate from at times. I give fair warning.

The Curran administration began with the stated goal of fixing Nassau County's assessment system and to make it more fair and transparent for Nassau County residents. That goal received unanimous support, bipartisan support as Republicans and Democrats on the legislature worked with the county executive to provide the funds, the tools and the personnel needed to make that goal a reality.

That bipartisan support ended
however when Laura Curran broke her word and bypassed the protections afforded to all Nassau County property owners under New York State law. The five year phase-in proposal
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that we are considering today was made necessarily solely by the county executive's actions and is nothing more than an imperfect and inadequate attempt to soften the blow of property tax cap increases she has imposed on over 205,000 Nassau County homeowners.

More troubling is that the county executive does so at the expense of over 180,000 other Nassau County homeowners, which the county freely concedes have been overpaying their taxes and are due a decrease.

Sadly, we have no idea, despite
Newsday's reports, whether any of those numbers are even accurate. Nassau County's assessor admitted to posting the wrong assessment roll in January of 2019, which included 18,400 errors in property tax assessments.

85,000 property tax assessments had to be reduced in January of 2019 due to errors. 60,000 property tax impact notices had to be corrected in November of 2018 because the assessor mistakenly used
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preliminary home values instead of final
values to calculate reassessment's impact on taxes.

20,000 assessment disclosure
notices had to be recalculated because the property tax assessment increases exceeded the state's cap on maximum allowable assessment increases.

It was discovered in February of 2019 that the incorrect tax grievance deadline appeared in over a dozen locations on the Assessment Department and the Assessment Review Commission's websites.

A December 2018 robocall
erroneously alarmed 400,000 Nassau County residents that they were in danger of losing their property tax exemptions.

The assessment Department's January 2019 general tax roll was defective, resulting in veterans and senior citizens being overcharged on their tax bills.

20,000 impact notices intended for homeowners were returned to the Assessment Department as undeliverable in late 2018.
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Newsday reported in December of 2018 that thousands upon thousands of homeowners did not receive their tax impact statements in a timely fashion.

Newsday also reported that more than 400 Nassau homes that we know of, were grossly undervalued in a rush to complete the tax reassessment in time for publication of the roll.

Tens of thousands of revisions were made after tax impact notices were mailed to homeowners with no notification that revised notices had been posted online. So those homeowners had no idea that the values had actually changed unless they stumbled upon the posting on the county's website.

As for transparency, the county executive and the Department of Assessment she controls have been nothing short of secretive and evasive not only to this legislature, but more importantly, to the public as to how it analyzed properties, arrived at stated values and even as to the formula used to calculate them.
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The Curran administration utilized unsupported and unsupportable neighborhood factors for which the county is currently being sued, with the court having preliminary concluding that no justification has been provided for how these factors were even determined.

The Curran administration utilized unsupported school district factors with no effort to demonstrate to the public how these factors were determined and in what way the factors mirror actual sales experiences in those districts.

The county assessor flatly refused to abide by his own department's public outreach plan, cancelling every one of over 30 public information sessions in the summer of 2019 designed to educate the public as to how the reassessment was conducted -- I'm sorry this is 2018 -- to educate the public as to how the reassessment was to be conducted and how his department would calculate their home values.

The Curran administration denied
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Freedom of Information Law requests, forcing homeowners to sue Nassau County in order to get disclosure of the formula it used in its reassessment. This was a clear attempt to deny homeowners their right to challenge not just their assessment but the methodology used by the county in determining it. And when it was finally disclosed, key information enabling it to be used was missing.

These errors and the utter lack of transparency shown by the administration have polluted the integrity of this assessment. Because of the lack of transparency, the actual effects of this phase-in cannot be known but will be very apparent as tax bills are received, as escrow statements are adjusted to pay those taxes and as the exemptions become calculated and known to the public. As taxes are reallocated to account for those exemptions these effects will be felt by every taxpayer.

Inexplicably, this phase-in is based upon the tentative roll as of January 2 , 2019 and does not reflect any of the tens of

Full - 3-23-20
thousands of adjustments made by the Department of Assessment to property values and does not take into account the results of any of the 255,000 property tax challenges to the tentative roll that have yet to be finalized.

Therefore, if any of those 255,000 challenges, whether by stipulation, ARC action or by Small Claims Assessment Review decision are successful, the reductions those homeowners are entitled to will not be reflected at all in the phase-in. How is that fair to anyone? Will wealthy homeowners receive oversized phase-in exemptions that they are not entitled to because their assessment will have been reduced due to the county executive's erroneous assessment level? Everyone else is subsidizing that taxpayer. How is that fair?

Equally situated homeowners may receive different exemptions based upon the magnitude of the Department of Assessment's mistakes. Does that sound like taxpayer protection?
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Now, new construction and
substantial reconstruction as a result of Superstorm Sandy recovery is also not included in this phase-in. Why not?

Does this proposal, as raised by
Legislator Ferretti, violate equal
protection? Is it legal? Who knows?
Even with all these challenges we, as legislators, are in an impossible position where we must choose between supporting a phase-in we don't understand based upon tens, if not hundreds of thousands of assessments which are demonstrably wrong that will effectively force Nassau County to overcharge homeowners whose taxes should be coming down, or to allow the county executive's massive tax increase to take immediate effect for over 205,000 homeowners at a time when their health and our economy are already in jeopardy thanks to the spread of coronavirus.

What is most unfortunate is that
this did not have to be the case. The legislative majority has been criticized by the county executive for delaying her rushed
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calls for a vote on this matter and we did so believing that a better deal from Albany was possible for Nassau County taxpayers and we thought we should take the time to explore it.

Since October, I, along with every Republican majority legislator, has been urging the county executive to support Assembly Bill 8585, an alternative phase-in plan introduced by Assemblyman Edwin Ra and supported by Nassau County's Republican assembly delegation which would provide Nassau County residents with $\$ 100$ million in tax relief.

That tax relief would allow Nassau County property owners who will be subject to a tax increase under County Executive Curran's reassessment to have that increase phased in over five years, but would provide residents entitled to a property tax decrease to realize a larger portion of that decrease immediately. This would only help Nassau County taxpayers who routinely send hundreds of millions of dollars in tax payments to
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Albany and receive only a fraction in return in services.

Despite this clear fact, County Executive Laura Curran has not only refused to support this common sense legislation that would help her constituents, but she has actively spoken against it. Nassau County's Democratic senate delegation, the same ones who supported cashless bail reform, have also refused to support it.

It is inexplicable why County Executive Curran will not fight for all of Nassau County's residents by pursuing a phase-in plan that helps some Nassau County taxpayers without hurting tens of thousands more. That failure in leadership is the real story.

Thanks to the county executive's actions, some version of a phase-in must be passed in order to avoid further pain to Nassau County families. But Nassau County families deserve an up or down vote in Albany on a better plan before this legislature is forced into an impossible vote on a flawed
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phase-in which will effectively lock in the county executive's even more secretive and flawed reassessment that has been neither fair nor transparent.

Since the state legislature is still in session and they are at least in session to pass a budget up until April 1st, which was the stated date incidently from Assessor Moog when he needed to have a decision, $I$ urge County Executive Curran to take that time to fight for our residents and seek the up or down vote that our residents deserve.

If an alternative proposal was to
fail up in Albany I would support this phase-in, as flawed as it is, because there is no alternative to blunt the dramatic impact of the tax increases of the Curran reassessment. But until it is clear that a demonstrably better deal for Nassau taxpayers is no longer possible, I must respectfully abstain. Thank you Mr. Presiding Officer.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All right. Legislator Schaefer.
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LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: I will be relatively quick compared to that. I'm sorry. It was very informative.

Just generally speaking I want to say this is not a vote that any of us like taking. I think we can all agree with that. But we are your elected leaders and we must act so that government continues to move forward, especially under the circumstances we are currently in. Votes like this I've learned are the reason that most people don't want our jobs. But we are here to do our jobs and I myself will continue to urge for the passage of that bill in the Assembly, in Albany, bill number 8585 that will help to address the issues of the residents who have been overpaying will be facing.

I'm disappointed that the administration has not fought for that bill or anything even remotely close to it. To date, they haven't done anything like that. But it isn't too late. They can. They really should. I don't know how you can say you're protecting everyone if you're really not if
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half the people are not being protected.
But it's something that $I$ certainly will continue to fight for and $I$ know many of my colleagues certainly in the majority will fight for, and hopefully some or all of the legislators in the minority will as well. I will be voting for this bill under the circumstances as much as I find it a vote I don't want to take I have to do my job and that's what I'm going to do today.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Anyone else who would like to speak on this matter? Hearing none, I need a motion to close the hearing which will be made by Legislator McKevitt. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. All in favor of closing the hearing signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The hearing is closed.

Item two is a vote on a proposed local law to amend Title A of Chapter 6 of the Nassau County Administrative Code in relation to New York State Real Property Tax Law. It is moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded by Legislator Lafazan. Because there are going
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to be abstentions at a minimum on this, we will have to do this via roll call. Those of you listening at home please be ready to vote. Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel. LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Yes. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Alternate Deputy Presiding Officer Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Yes. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority

Leader Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Solages. I'll go back to him. Legislator Mule.

> LEGISLATOR MULE: Yes. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Gaylor.

LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Muscarella.
LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Yes.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Birnbaum.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Kennedy.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
McKevitt.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Ferretti.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Abstain.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator

Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Walker.
LEGISLATOR WALKER: Yes.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Lafazan.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Yes. } \\
\text { LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: } & \text { Legislator }
\end{array}
$$

Rhoads.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Abstain. } \\
& \text { LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I vote }
\end{aligned}
$$

yes. Legislator Solages? He may have stepped away. At this point we have a vote of 16 in the affirmative with two abstentions. So the legislation passes.

We need a motion to close or adjourn the legislative meeting. We have a couple of other public comments which are going to be read into the record now. First let's close the meeting. Moved by Legislator Mule. Seconded by Legislator Lafazan. All in favor of closing the hearing signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The hearing is closed. The meeting is closed. Mr. Boyle.
(Meeting was adjourned at 3:02
p.m.)

MR. BOYLE: Chris Boyle with the Majority again reading in comments submitted
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to the clerk of the legislature. This is from Brian Sullivan, president of the Nassau County Correction Officers Benevolent Association. Sir, I would like it read into the record and hopefully stated verbally that currently no Nassau County jail staff, either uniformed correction officers or civilian medical staff are being screened for symptoms of COVID-19. It is my understanding that new inmates will be swabbed tested for COVID, but as of today, staff entering the facility are not being either tested or screened prior to entry. I have urged the county to do this with no response. This needs to be addressed immediately to stop the spread of this pandemic into our facility by any and all staff entering our facility. Thank you. And again, that is Brian Sullivan, president of the Nassau County Correction Officers Benevolent Association. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I know you have one more to read but just to respond. We will and part of the legislature will be
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following up on that. Those are precautions that should be in place now. I would note for the record that early on we approved the appointment of a new sheriff. Usually he would have been here but under the circumstances we limited the number of people in the room. Certainly we will be in touch with him and the administration about getting those precautions in place.

MR. BOYLE: This next comment is from Susan Lopatkin, mayor of the Village of Kensington writing on behalf of the following villages. Michael Kalnick, mayor, Village of Kings Point. Dan Levy, mayor, Village of Saddle Rock. David Miller, mayor, Village of Russell Gardens. Steven Weinberg, mayor, Village of Thomaston. To the Nassau County Legislature, representative Presiding Officer Nicolello, I write you to make sure you're aware of a significant overassessment condition in the Great Neck peninsula. County assessor David Moog, our legislator Ellen Birnbaum and the Nassau County executive are all aware of this
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matter.
In summary, analysis of the
villages of Kensington, Thomaston, Russell Gardens, Saddle Rock and Kings Point sales as compared to Nassau County assessed values in 2020 and 2021 and '21 and '22 tax rolls reveals a systemic overassessment. Sales data from 2017, 2018 and 2019 for these villages were compared to the Nassau County assessed values for 2020 and 2021 and 2021 and 2022. The results of the analysis found that anywhere between 75 percent to 90 percent of the new assessed values were higher than the actual sales price. In some instances the difference was over 25 percent.

After several meetings and phone calls between these village mayors and Mr. Moog and his staff beginning in January in 2019 and culminating in November 2019, it was clear to all of us that the county was not going to address the crux of our concerns, that the assessed values in our communities, by virtue of the opaque neighborhood factor that was applied, resulted in a gross
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overassessment of many homes and therefore higher taxes as a result.

We have instructed our residents to grieve their taxes as needed, since we were unable as community leaders, to effect any change from the assessor's office. Therefore, over the next five years we hope that the assessed values will be modified such that our residents won't continue to be overtaxed.

Therefore, it is vital that the five year phase-in of the new taxes be put in place so that the overassessment of our village residents not proceed without sufficient opportunity for them to challenge the Nassau County values.

Should you be interested in obtaining the analyses of the home sales versus assessed values, I'm certain that the county assessor can provide them. If his office cannot, any of us will be happy to oblige.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Mr. Boyle. That's the end of the public comment that we have. The legislature meeting万
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is adjourned. We are going to move in the Rules Committee. We have one item on Rules the Rules Committee. All those who are participating by remote hookup who are not on the Rules Committee we'll see you next time.
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