1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE
7	
8	RICHARD NICOLELLO
9	PRESIDING OFFICER
10	
11	RULES COMMITTEE
12	
13	LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO
14	CHAIR
15	
16	
17	Theodore Roosevelt Building
18	1550 Franklin Avenue
19	Mineola, New York
20	
21	
22	Monday, May 4, 2020
23	1:35 P.M.
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	LEGISLATOR RICHARD NICOLELLO
5	Chair
6	
7	LEGISLATOR HOWARD KOPEL
8	Vice Chair
9	
10	LEGISLATOR STEVEN RHOADS
11	
12	LEGISLATOR LAURA SCHAEFER
13	
14	LEGISLATOR KEVAN ABRAHAMS
15	Ranking member
16	
17	LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON
18	
19	LEGISLATOR SIELA BYNOE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	D 7	F 4 00
⊥	Rules	5-4-20

- 2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We will
- 3 jump right into the Rules Committee. Again,
- 4 we have the Rules members who can vote.
- 5 Everyone else can participate in terms of
- 6 questions, discussion, et cetera. Rules
- 7 Committee. I'll call the roll. Legislator
- 8 Kopel.
- 9 LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 11 Rhoads.
- 12 LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
- 13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 14 Schaefer.
- 15 LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
- 16 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
- 17 Leader Abrahams.
- 18 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
- 19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 20 DeRiggi-Whitton.
- 21 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
- 22 Here.
- 23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- Bynoe.
- 25 LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.

1	D 7	F 4 00
⊥	Rules	5-4-20

- 2 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Item 109
- 3 of 2020. A resolution providing for the
- 4 issuance of a warrant directing the treasurer
- of the county of Nassau to pay the supervisors
- 6 of several towns and to the treasurers of
- 7 several villages and cities within the county
- 8 the sums as apportioned by the legislature
- 9 based on a report filed by the treasurer and
- 10 county clerk showing deposits for mortgage
- 11 taxes for the quarter beginning January 1,
- 12 2020 through March 1, 2020.
- Moved by Legislator Bynoe.
- 14 Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. Any
- debate or discussion on this item? All in
- 16 favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
- 17 Carries unanimously.
- 18 111 of 2020 is a resolution making
- 19 certain determinations pursuant to the
- 20 New York State Environmental Ouality Review
- 21 Act and authorizing the county executive on
- 22 behalf of the county to execute a first
- 23 modification of lease agreement between the
- county as tenant and BC Holdings of NY, Inc.
- 25 as landlord in connection with certain

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 premises at 160 North Franklin Street,
- 3 Hempstead, New York.
- 4 Moved by Legislator Bynoe.
- 5 Seconded by Minority Leader Abrahams.
- 6 Katy, is there anyone here to speak
- 7 on this item?
- 8 MR. PAVEL: Hello. Craig Pavel,
- 9 deputy county attorney on behalf of the Office
- of Real Estate services on this item.
- 11 This is a lease for approximately
- 12 5,700 square feet of space for the WICS
- 13 program. And this lease will continue the
- 14 term of the duration of the lease will be for
- 15 another five years. This is our first
- 16 extension.
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
- 18 questions? Hearing none, all in favor signify
- 19 by saying aye. Carries unanimously. Thank
- 20 you.
- 21 Three items 112, 113 and 114 of
- 22 2020. These are resolutions authorizing the
- 23 county executive to execute grant agreements
- between the county and the Museum of American
- 25 Armor, Friends of Cedarmore, Inc. and the Long

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 Island Children's Museum.
- Moved by Legislator Schaefer.
- 4 Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. Any questions
- 5 on this item? Hearing none, all in favor
- 6 signify by saying aye. Those opposed? They
- 7 carry unanimously.
- We are going to jump into the
- 9 contracts portion of the meeting.
- 10 A-3 of 2020 is a resolution
- 11 authorizing the commissioner of shared
- services to award and execute a purchase order
- between the county and Tyler Technologies. We
- 14 need a motion on that. Motion by Deputy
- 15 Presiding Officer Kopel. Seconded by Minority
- 16 Leader Abrahams. This is before us. Anyone
- 17 to speak on this contract with Tyler
- 18 Technologies?
- MS. STANTON: This is Nancy
- 20 Stanton, IT commissioner. A-3-2020 Tyler
- 21 Technologies. This PO is for annual
- 22 maintenance and support of the Adapt system.
- The PO is for \$605,000 annually. The term is
- 24 January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020.
- This Adapt product is extensively used by the

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 assessment department, ARC, treasurer and
- 3 county attorney.
- 4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I don't
- 5 know if there is anyone from assessment is on
- 6 via remote or not.
- 7 MS. STANTON: Yes. I have Robert
- 8 Miles here with me.
- 9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Robert,
- 10 can you hear us?
- MR. MILES: Yes, I can hear you.
- 12 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The
- question I had was this. As recently as March
- 14 when you were making the arguments as to why
- the phase-in had to be passed earlier rather
- than later the assessor indicated that the
- department had to deal with this outdated
- 18 technology. Specifically the Adapt system.
- 19 So now we are entering into a contract to
- 20 perpetuate that system. Is there any
- 21 alternative at this time to move away from
- 22 Adapt?
- MR. MILES: Not currently. It
- houses everything that we need and we have a
- lot of applications that are linked to the

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 Adapt system. So it would be very difficult
- 3 right now to transfer to another portal
- 4 application database. It's everything. So it
- 5 would be very difficult to transfer from that
- 6 program to something else. It's not that it's
- 7 never been discussed before. But it really is
- 8 an all-inclusive program. It not only affects
- 9 assessment but the treasurer's office uses it
- 10 extensively as well. So we'd have to make
- 11 sure they're on board with any change we do.
- 12 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I would
- 13 ask the Assessment Department, treasurer's
- office, IT to at least begin the process of
- 15 looking to transition away to another
- 16 program. To a program that's not, quote
- unquote, antiquated. That can fulfill all the
- 18 needs of our assessor's department. I think
- that's a process that should at least be begun
- 20 at this time.
- 21 MR. MILES: We have been looking
- 22 into it. Still trying to find something
- 23 that's cost effective and time effective.
- 24 Creating a whole new system for us would be --
- it's a tough project. It's not an easy

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 project. It would be costly. But if fiscally
- we are sound and comfortable with the project
- 4 itself and the IT department and the
- 5 treasurer's office is on board then we will
- 6 keep looking. We looked in the past and we
- 7 will continue to look. We do want to go
- 8 forward with more innovative processing.
- 9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
- 10 what we would like to see going forward is a
- 11 report on how this process develops and what
- 12 progress is being made by that committee and
- 13 those department.
- MS. STANTON: Okay. We will.
- 15 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
- 16 DeRiggi-Whitton.
- 17 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi.
- 18 Can you just explain why there is a \$23,000
- 19 increase for this year?
- MS. STANTON: There were
- 21 additional modifications that were made done
- on time and material that weren't part of
- 23 this.
- 24 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
- You're getting additional services from the

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 provider?
- MS. STANTON: Yeah. I believe
- 4 there is an annual increase also.
- 5 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
- 6 Okay.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
- 8 questions? Hearing none, all in favor of this
- 9 item signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
- 10 Carries unanimously. Thank you.
- I neglected to point out earlier
- 12 that there were public comments submitted,
- which will be made part of the record,
- 14 including comments from John Durso, the
- president of Local 338 and the president of
- 16 the Long Island Federation of Labor. A
- 17 comment from John Budnick. And a comment from
- 18 Pete Gaffney. So they will be made part of
- 19 the record. If it requires a response
- 20 somebody from the legislature or our staff
- 21 will be getting back to you.
- I called that first contract
- 23 individually. I'm going to call the rest as a
- 24 group to streamline this a little bit.
- 25 First is A-26 of 2020. A

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 resolution authorizing the commissioner of
- 3 shared services to award and execute a
- 4 purchase order between the county of Nassau
- 5 and Millennium Products Group. Then I'm going
- 6 to go to E-52, E-56, E-61, E-63, E-64, E-65,
- 7 E-66, E-67, E-68 and E-69. These are
- 8 resolutions authorizing the county executive
- 9 to execute personal services agreements or
- 10 amendments to personal services agreements
- 11 between the county and Cedarmore Corporation,
- 12 Change Healthcare Practice Management
- 13 Solutions, Gannett Fleming Engineers, H2M
- 14 Architects, NV5 New York, Liro GIS and
- 15 Greenman Pederson and the Nassau County Health
- 16 Care Corporation and the Leadership Training
- 17 Institute.
- 18 That's moved by Legislator
- 19 Schaefer. Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. So
- 20 all those contracts are before us.
- We will move on now to contract
- 22 A-26 with Millenium Products Group, which is a
- 23 contract through Emergency Management.
- MR. PERSICH: Andy Persich. Good
- 25 afternoon. This is a contract with the vendor

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 that we found to get PPE equipment. It's a
- 3 wholesaler. As this thing was evolving we
- 4 were running out of vendors we could get
- 5 equipment from. So this became the prime
- 6 choice to get some of the PPE equipment.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I did have
- 8 a question which is, the masks being purchased
- 9 are almost a dollar each according to the
- 10 backup. I believe the ones that we are
- wearing, the cloth masks, is that price is
- that a good price? Is it high for this
- 13 supply?
- MR. PERSICH: I can't tell you
- 15 pricing-wise. What I do know in the midst of
- 16 what was happening on these -- we had these
- daily phone calls that occur. Getting the
- 18 equipment drove the price up. So I wouldn't
- 19 be surprised that somewhere in the interim
- that these were probably driven up by pricing
- 21 because of demand. We've heard a lot of press
- 22 conferences on this about what's happening in
- this market arena. I think what happened is
- in the middle of the crisis there was limited
- supplies that we could get and there was a lot

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- of debate or discussion about where we were
- 3 going to procure stuff and this became the
- 4 primary source at a pricing scale that may not
- 5 be at the current levels but at that time it
- 6 was probably a good deal.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
- 8 else have any questions? Anyone at home?
- 9 LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Actually I
- 10 do. Do you see a need to purchase more of
- these going forward from the same company or
- what are we looking at now for the future?
- MR. PERSICH: I don't believe
- 14 that's the plan. I think this was a
- 15 short-term fix. There's a lot of debate and
- 16 discussions now once we go into the recovery
- mode, legislator, that we will be looking to
- 18 procure these through whether it be a state
- 19 contract or something else. We all know that
- 20 supply is going to be a big issue. I think
- 21 I'm hearing a 90 day supply. I don't think
- we're there yet but I just want to make you
- aware that OEM has a plan to stockpile some
- things but where that's at right now I don't
- 25 know.

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Okay.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
- 5 else? Thank you. All in favor signify by
- 6 saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
- 7 unanimously.
- Next item is E-52, contract with
- 9 Cedarmore Corporation. Anyone here or
- 10 participating remotely to speak on this?
- MS. HORST: Katy Horst from the
- 12 administration. Brian Hall should be on the
- 13 line for this contract.
- 14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Brian are
- 15 you there?
- 16 Are you able to answer any
- 17 questions?
- MS. HORST: I can do my best.
- 19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The one
- 20 question that I had was, I'm not sure why this
- 21 program is broken out from some of these other
- 22 youth service agencies that we have. For
- example, we have contracts with youth services
- 24 agencies that we deal with every year. So I
- wasn't sure why we would want to have an

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 additional contract on top of that as opposed
- 3 to having these monies flow into our regular
- 4 youth service providers.
- MS. HORST: Just so I understand,
- 6 the question is why an additional provider?
- 7 If you give me a minute I will get Brian Hall
- 8 on the phone and get an answer for you. If
- 9 you want to move on.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We can
- 11 hear you now.
- MR. HALL: That was an RFP that
- we did last year for all the youth service
- 14 contracts. This is a three-year contract
- 15 we're giving out. I'm not sure, what was that
- 16 last question?
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I thought
- this seems to be on top of what we usually
- 19 have in terms of our regular youth service
- 20 agencies. I was unsure as to why we didn't go
- 21 through those agencies that we fund and we
- 22 have existing relationships with.
- MR. HALL: Actually, we went
- through, like I said, the RFP process and they
- were a local affiliate there and they met the

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 qualifications so we went with them. As far
- 3 as previous agencies running that area, they
- 4 would have been picked for whatever reason.
- 5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
- 6 Brian. Any other questions?
- 7 LEGISLATOR WALKER: I have a
- 8 question Presiding Officer. This agency is a
- 9 new agency?
- MR. HALL: Yes, it's a new agency
- 11 for human services but they've been around.
- 12 LEGISLATOR WALKER: But they
- haven't been part of the county?
- 14 MR. HALL: I'm not sure if
- there's any contracts as part of the county.
- 16 As far as human services go with these youth
- 17 contracts they haven't had one. We don't have
- any other contracts with our aging or chemical
- dependency. I'm not sure about the whole
- 20 county if there's another contract out there.
- 21 LEGISLATOR WALKER: So this
- 22 agency who did they replace in the area that
- they are now providing services to?
- MR. HALL: I don't know if it's
- 25 so much as replacing as much as maybe

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- enhancing. I don't know really why because
- 3 I'm not a program guy but I do know that we
- 4 had a problem with one of the other agencies
- 5 that was in Freeport and they actually dropped
- 6 out of the running for it. So that left an
- 7 opening.
- 8 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Okay. But
- 9 this was an agency -- they needed someone else
- 10 to fill that spot to be able to --
- MR. HALL: Yes. Cover that area,
- 12 right.
- 13 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Thank you.
- 14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
- 15 questions? Hearing none, all in favor signify
- 16 by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
- 17 unanimously.
- 18 The next two that I called were
- 19 E-56 and E-69 having to do with agreements
- with Change Healthcare Practice Management
- 21 Solutions and Change Healthcare Technology
- 22 Enabled Services. Those are contracts through
- 23 the police department.
- MR. FIELD: Good afternoon.
- William Field, deputy inspector from personnel

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 and accounting bureau.
- The first item is item E-56-20.
- 4 It's an amendment to an existing contract
- 5 between the county and Change Healthcare
- 6 Management Solutions Incorporated. It's to
- 7 extend the contract to May 31, 2020. A new
- 8 RFP was issued in 2019. The department is
- 9 currently working on an award in order to
- 10 ensure a smooth transition to the new
- 11 contract. We have this amendment in place.
- 12 It is a revenue-generating contract to offset
- expenses for the services provided. We also
- 14 are endeavoring to encumber \$354,400 to pay
- vendor fees as per the contract. Do you have
- 16 any questions?
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
- questions on this one? Let's move on to the
- 19 second E-69 69.
- MR. FIELD: Item E-69-20 is a
- 21 contract between the county. It is the new
- 22 contract for the recording and transmission of
- 23 prehospital care reports, billing and
- 24 collection of fees for the department's
- 25 Emergency Ambulance Bureau. The RFP was

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 issued in 2019 as I said before. The
- department received four proposals. An
- 4 evaluation committee was formed consisting of
- 5 the members of the department's Emergency
- 6 Ambulance Bureau as well as the county
- ⁷ attorney's office.
- Proposals were ranked and scored.
- 9 The contract was awarded to the highest
- scoring proposer which is Change Healthcare
- 11 Technology Enabled Services. Similar to the
- 12 previous E-56 item, this is a
- 13 revenue-generating contract which assists in
- 14 offsetting expenses for the services
- 15 rendered. And we are wishing to encumber
- \$750,000 to pay vendor's percentage of net
- 17 revenue.
- 18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The first
- one is really more or less to finish out the
- 20 existing contract?
- 21 MR. FIELD: Yes, sir. It goes
- 22 through May 31, 2020.
- 23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The end of
- this month. With respect to the second
- 25 contract, we have questions about how

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 aggressively the vendor is pursuing residents
- 3 as to who is making determinations as to
- 4 hardship status. Some of us were here when we
- 5 first started doing this. It was always the
- 6 focus and intention was to recapture some of
- 7 the monies from insurance companies that would
- 8 pay for the ambulance trips to and from the
- 9 hospital. It seems that it's morphed into
- 10 something different in terms of going after
- 11 residents whose taxes already pay for this
- 12 service.
- So we have -- again, this is with
- 14 respect to the second contract -- we have
- 15 questions as to how this is being
- 16 administered, how it can be better
- administered in such a way that we can achieve
- 18 the original objective which again is to
- 19 recoup insurance monies as opposed to going
- 20 after residents. Many of whom are in hardship
- 21 especially now with the COVID epidemic and the
- 22 number of calls that were made and again with
- the economic distress in the background of
- 24 that.
- So, I don't know if I have any

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- questions for you. That's just a background.
- 3 Does anyone have any questions for Inspector
- 4 Field? Anyone on video? If not, I think what
- 5 I would like to do is just table E-69 and go
- 6 ahead with E-56. Motion to table E-69 by
- 7 Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator
- 8 Bynoe. All in favor of tabling E-69 signify
- 9 by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries
- unanimously. We're good. Thank you.
- Next series of contracts are with
- 12 the public works department. Ken Arnold is
- here. Contracts with Gannett Fleming, H2M NV5
- 14 and Greenman Pederson.
- MR. ARNOLD: Good afternoon. Ken
- 16 Arnold, public works. E-61 is a construction
- management services contract for various pump
- 18 stations in Glen Cove. Eight firms
- 19 submitted. Gannett Fleming was the most
- 20 qualified firm with a contract total
- 21 \$884,000. Their WBE participation is at 20
- 22 percent.
- 23 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Just I
- have something to state on the record. The
- 25 resolution is for a personal services

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 agreement and not an amendment to a personal
- 3 services agreement. Just so the record is
- 4 clear on that. Any questions for Ken on this
- 5 item? Anyone via video? Okay.
- 6 MR. ARNOLD: E-63 is a design
- 7 services contract for the design services for
- 8 two Glen Cove pump stations. Four firms
- 9 submitted. H2M was the most qualified firm by
- 10 \$260,000 for the design services. Their WBE
- 11 goal is 20 percent.
- 12 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
- 13 questions? Hearing none, let's move on.
- MR. ARNOLD: E-64 is a contract
- 15 amendment for our on-call civil design
- 16 contract with NV5. We're looking to amend the
- 17 contract by \$4 million which will have a new
- 18 cap of \$5.5 million. There's current
- encumbrances in this amendment for resurfacing
- 20 design, Centennial Park Bannister Creek
- 21 Bridge. This contract will expire -- it's not
- 22 being amended for expiration but the current
- 23 contract will expire in 2024.
- 24 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: This is a
- 25 sizable increase in the amount due to or

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 potentially to be paid to NV5. It's for
- 3 on-call design and related services?
- 4 MR. ARNOLD: Yes.
- 5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Are there
- 6 other firms that do this for the county?
- 7 MR. ARNOLD: We anticipate
- 8 amending all the on-calls at a similar
- 9 amount. We are looking to get us through
- until 2024 and resurfacing alone we're
- 11 probably running a million dollars, a million
- 12 and a half dollars of design services out to
- the contractors. We want them all in play.
- 14 Just because they have the cap capacity
- doesn't mean they're going to get all that
- 16 work. But without the capacity of the cap I
- 17 would have to amend contracts before I could
- award design services. So we're looking for
- 19 capacity for all these firms.
- 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is this
- 21 extending the time?
- MR. ARNOLD: No. We're staying
- within the same time frame of the original
- 24 contract.
- 25 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What I

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- don't get is that it was initially, it was
- 3 last year that you came before us and asked
- 4 for a certain amount of money for these
- on-call services and within a year you're
- 6 looking to increase that by \$4 million for
- 7 this contractor and potentially other of the
- 8 engineering firms.
- 9 MR. ARNOLD: We were looking at a
- 10 million and a half per year and we realized
- why do we have to keep coming back and doing
- this every year. We just figured we'd extend
- it out for the length of the contract.
- 14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The
- difference is you want to avoid having to come
- 16 back each year for this particular on-call
- 17 services contract?
- MR. ARNOLD: Yes.
- 19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is this
- 20 unusual to extend an on-call contract out for
- this many years?
- MR. ARNOLD: This current
- 23 contract is I think it was a three-year
- 24 contract with two one-year extensions. So the
- 25 money we're putting in gets us out to the

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 extension. We are making the contracts a
- 3 little bit longer because of the
- 4 administrative burden of putting contracts
- 5 through. We're trying to eliminate as many
- 6 trips as possible.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Actually
- 8 it's not as much of an extension of the
- 9 contract as it is just adequate funding there
- 10 for the duration of the --
- MR. ARNOLD: Yes. It doesn't
- mean each firm will get all of it. But I need
- to have capacity in the contract so they all
- 14 can bid. And if timely want to award a
- 15 contract I don't need to come back and change
- 16 capacity.
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do the
- 18 other firms get roughly the same amount of
- work roughly?
- MR. ARNOLD: One thing to note is
- one firm just was added to the list. Nelson
- 22 and Pope had gone through some extra
- 23 compliance review. They're I think the sixth
- firm that's in the loop. They were not part
- of last year's work because they were not yet

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 approved. There's probably three firms that
- 3 get most of our resurfacing work, which is the
- 4 majority of the work, but all of the firms are
- 5 getting a piece of the pie.
- 6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
- 7 else have any questions? Legislator
- 8 DeRiggi-Whitton.
- 9 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: How
- 10 much do you normally like spend per year on
- 11 this contract?
- MR. ARNOLD: We have a lot of
- 13 newer contracts that are out. We've stepped
- 14 up our bridge program. So we're putting out
- 15 bridge work that we have not done in the
- 16 past. Resurfacing, all our designs are going
- through this now. We do very little designs
- 18 through separate contracts. Both Centennial
- 19 Park and Christopher Morley Park are going
- 20 through these design on-calls. On top of that
- 21 we have our typical smaller designs that are
- beyond our in-house capacity that come through
- 23 here.
- So, I believe last year I think we
- were somewhere around \$6 million worth of work

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 in total went to the five firms.
- 3 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
- 6 questions? Hearing none, all in favor signify
- 7 by saying aye. Passes unanimously. Last one
- 8 for you 66 right? No, 65.
- 9 MR. ARNOLD: My apologies. 66 is
- 10 a contract for traffic and management center
- operations. We had two bidders on this.
- 12 Greenman Pederson was selected as the lowest
- 13 responsible bidder. It's a three-year
- 14 contract with a cap of \$3.5 million. The 2020
- encumbrance is for \$900,000. We get 80
- 16 percent reimbursement on this contract. This
- 17 contract, these are the people that are in our
- 18 command center monitoring 24-7 the conditions
- of our roadways.
- 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Has the
- 21 CSEA taken any position on this?
- MR. ARNOLD: Yes. They claim the
- work. We wrote back to them saying this
- historically was never done by county staff.
- 25 So I anticipate at some point there will be a

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 grievance hearing on this issue.
- 3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What is
- 4 the urgency on this?
- 5 MR. ARNOLD: I think our current
- 6 contract we're in an extension right now.
- 7 Without having this contract we could end up
- 8 with a lapse in service because I think we
- 9 extended our existing contract to cover a time
- 10 period. I don't know exactly when the current
- 11 contract expires. I don't have it with me
- 12 today.
- 13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Could you
- 14 find that out while we're here?
- MR. ARNOLD: Sure. I can make
- 16 some calls to find that out.
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We will
- 18 come back to this one. Let's move on to E-65,
- 19 2020. I think that's with Information
- 20 Technology. It's Liro GIS, Inc.
- MS. STANTON: Good afternoon.
- 22 Nancy Stanton IT E-65-20 Liro GIS. This
- 23 amendment is to extend the current contract
- for one year through February 28th. We use
- 25 this vendor for monthly GIS data maintenance

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- and tax map tools migration for assessment.
- 3 Our original contract term was
- 4 March 1, 2015 through 2020. We do not have
- 5 any renewals left on this contract. Part of
- 6 the delay in this renewal was due to Liro GIS
- 7 changing their name from Liro GIS Survey to
- 8 Liro GIS and getting them to complete the
- 9 appropriate forms. This is just an extension.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
- 11 questions? Thank you Nancy.
- MS. STANTON: Thank you.
- 13 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have
- 14 two more contracts left with the Department of
- 15 Probation. E-67 and E-68. Nassau County
- 16 Health Corporation is E-67 and E-68 is
- 17 Leadership Training Institute.
- MR. DIMAGGIO: Good afternoon
- 19 legislators. My name is Dominick Dimaggio.
- 20 I'm the attorney for the Department of
- 21 Probation.
- 22 As far as contract 67-20 that is
- 23 for retroactive payments for health care
- services provided to all the detainees at the
- 25 juvenile detention center. It is for services

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 that were provided January 1, 2013 through
- 3 September 30, 2018. There is a state
- 4 reimbursement of 49 percent on the services
- 5 and it is a retroactive contract to cover for
- 6 those payments.
- 7 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
- 8 questions on that?
- 9 MR. DIMAGGIO: At present there
- 10 is a contract for the services for the
- detainees in effect through '20 of '23. That
- was initiated on October 1, 2018 to reach the
- 13 new Raise the Age standards that are required
- 14 by the state. So we do have a present
- 15 contract in effect for the services at the
- detention service through 2023.
- 17 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
- questions on the first contract? Legislator
- 19 DeRiggi-Whitton.
- 20 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can
- 21 you explain why -- I know it's retroactive --
- 22 but why it's this late?
- MR. DIMAGGIO: Sure. I did
- 24 attach a retromemo with the contract but I
- will just give you a brief summary of it. My

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 predecessor, who had retired December 31,
- 3 2016, I was provisionally appointed August 6
- 4 of 2017. I don't have an explanation for why
- 5 it was never initiated. But when I did take
- 6 over there were a number of retroactive
- 7 contracts which we have successfully cleared
- 8 up the vast majority of them. In fact, we're
- 9 pretty much caught up after this contract. So
- 10 I have been working diligently to clear up any
- 11 old contracts that were due.
- When I did become aware that these
- were due, I did have the juvenile detention
- 14 center director as well as the accounting
- 15 staff in the department verify that the
- 16 services were provided and the appropriate
- amounts are being billed to the county before
- 18 I initiated the payment for this contract.
- 19 I.EGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It's
- 20 six and a half years late. How did the
- 21 hospital pay for things the last six and a
- 22 half years? How were they paid for their
- 23 services?
- MR. DIMAGGIO: I'm sorry I had a
- 25 train. I didn't hear your guestion.

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can
- 3 you just explain how the hospitals that
- 4 provided the services were paid for the last
- 5 six and a half years?
- 6 MR. DIMAGGIO: They weren't paid
- 7 at this point. It's money due. It's a total
- 8 of approximately \$49,000 due and it dates back
- 9 from January 13 through, like I said,
- 10 September 30th of '18. And they are still due
- this money. So it's actually for payment for
- 12 retroactive services already provided.
- 13 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We
- 14 had a contract in front of Rules back in
- 15 September 2019 where we went through the
- 16 Nassau Health Care Corporation for 2018
- through 2023 contracts for these services.
- 18 This wasn't included at that time?
- MR. DIMAGGIO: No, it wasn't
- 20 because we processed that contract on an
- 21 expedited basis because it met all the new
- 22 standards for the Raise the Age services that
- had to be provided with the new law which took
- effect October 1 of 2018. I also had to
- verify that these services were provided and

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- that the appropriate amounts were charged back
- 3 from those dates. Obviously it was a number
- 4 of years ago. So I did a double check through
- 5 the JDC director as well as the accountant to
- 6 verify that the amounts were correct.
- 7 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So,
- 8 do you think there is anything in place that
- 9 would avoid something like this from happening
- 10 for six and a half years? Does anyone review
- the charges and see why we're so far behind?
- MR. DIMAGGIO: Like I did say,
- 13 specifically with NHCC the present contract is
- in effect through 2023. So we are actually
- ahead of the game with that. All the other
- 16 contracts I have been working diligently and
- am pretty caught up. There were a number of
- 18 contracts that I'm sure most of the
- 19 legislators remember. I'm working my way
- 20 through it. I'm pretty much caught up at this
- 21 point.
- 22 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
- 23 Good. I'm glad you to support it but I think
- 24 six and a half years is a new record. Just
- 25 going forward we just want to be a little bit

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 more on top of it. Thank you.
- MR. DIMAGGIO: I absolutely
- 4 understand. And like I said, I don't have an
- 5 explanation for why it wasn't paid. It was
- 6 prior to my even being employed by the county,
- 7 many of these charges. I didn't start until
- 8 March of 2014 with the county itself.
- 9 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All
- 10 right. Dom, I don't think that's a record you
- 11 wanted to set.
- MR. DIMAGGIO: All I'm saying is,
- many of these bills were prior to me even
- 14 being here. But I am on top of it now that it
- is my position and I will be following up to
- 16 make sure that obviously it never happens
- 17 again.
- 18 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
- 19 you. You want to jump into the next one, 68.
- MR. DIMAGGIO: Sure. That is a
- 21 contract. It's a fully funded grant contract
- 22 which is provided for parolees for their
- 23 successful reentering into society and this is
- to prevent them from re-offending. It's
- funded 100 percent state grant reimbursement.

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- 2 It's a pass-through. It's between ourselves
- and LTI, which is a new vendor now providing
- 4 the services. Our prior vendor, FCA, informed
- 5 us in June of last year that they no longer
- 6 wanted to provide the services. An RFP was
- 7 initiated and LTI is now the vendor that's
- 8 providing these services.
- 9 This is slightly retroactive as
- well. I'd just like to make a note that many
- 11 times with these grant contracts our letters
- and the authorization for funding comes very
- 13 close to the time or after the time in which
- 14 the services actually begin. But many times
- built into the system because of the way the
- funding comes through there is a slight amount
- of retroactivity that occurs in these grant
- 18 contracts. Especially with the Department of
- 19 Probation.
- 20 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
- 21 questions? Thank you Dom. Ken, I see you are
- 22 back in the room. You want to go back to
- 23 E-66?
- MR. ARNOLD: This contract is
- 25 actually a retroactive contract I was just

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- told by my project manager. We have to keep
- 3 continuous service of the TMC to keep our
- 4 funding. The current contract expired in
- 5 January. This was to pick up that contract
- 6 and we would be paying the vendor
- 7 retroactively once this contract is approved.
- 8 I apologize for the misinformation. I did not
- 9 realize that.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
- we, the legislators, need to take a look at
- this over the course of the next two weeks.
- 13 For this reason, potential CSEA grievance on
- 14 this claiming this should be done by their
- workers. So I think we need at a very minimum
- to do our due diligence to take a look at
- these titles and see if we have them or not.
- MR. ARNOLD: We don't have these
- 19 titles in the department. We've never had
- 20 these titles. It's always been done by a
- 21 contract. It's kind of like the red light
- 22 camera program. It's always been done by a
- 23 contract. There are other things that we go
- 24 back and forth with the union all the time
- on. But in this case I'm comfortable saying

- 1 Rules 5-4-20
- that we've never done this work and had people
- 3 operating this facility that were union
- 4 employees.
- 5 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It's a
- 6 retroactive contract. If it's delayed for an
- 7 additional two weeks --
- 8 MR. ARNOLD: That's fine. I just
- 9 wanted to state our piece.
- 10 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: To clarify
- 11 the record.
- MR. ARNOLD: I understand you
- want to go back and look at it.
- 14 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: With E-66
- we need a motion to table. Moved by
- 16 Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Deputy
- 17 Presiding Officer Kopel. All in favor of
- 18 tabling signify by saying aye. Those
- 19 opposed? Carries unanimously.
- Now for the rest of the contracts
- 21 that have not been tabled. That would be
- 22 A-26, E-52, E-56, E-61, E-63, E-64, E-65, E-67
- 23 E-68. With respect to those contracts all in
- 24 favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
- 25 Carries unanimously.

1	Rules 5-4-20
2	Motion to adjourn by Legislator
3	DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
4	Schaefer. All in favor of adjourning signify
5	by saying aye. Those opposed? It carries
6	unanimously. Thank you.
7	(Committee adjourned at 2:15 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, FRANK GRAY, a Notary
5	Public in and for the State of New
6	York, do hereby certify:
7	THAT the foregoing is a true and
8	accurate transcript of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
11	hereunto set my hand this 12th day of
12	May 2020
13	
14	
15	
16	FRANK GRAY.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	