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LEGISLATOR FORD: Good afternoon everyone. I would like to call to order the Nassau County Legislature and the Public Safety Committee. I will ask our Presiding Officer, Rich Nicolello, to lead us in the pledge.

I will ask the clerk to take a roll call and as this is, although it's a Public Safety Committee hearing, it's also involving the full legislature. So can you please call all the names of all the legislators.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you ma'am.
Roll call. Deputy Presiding Officer Howard Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
Presiding Officer Denise Ford and chairwoman. LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.

MR. PULITZER: Legislator Siela Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
Solages.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: He

Full - 2-24-21
will be joining us momentarily.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Debra
Mule.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator C.
William Gaylor the third.
LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Vincent
Muscarella.
LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Ellen
Birnbaum.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator James
Kennedy.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
McKevitt.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Laura
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Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator John
Ferretti.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Rose
Marie Walker.
LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Joshua
Lafazan.
LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Steven
Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Minority Leader
Kevan Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Presiding Officer
Richard Nicolello.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Madam, we have a
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quorum.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much sir. Before we start, we do have a number of emails that we received in regard to public comment in regard to today's presentation and hearing. They will be made part of the record.

First of all, I would like to thank everyone for being here for today's joint hearing of the Public Safety Committee and Nassau County Legislature to receive testimony on the People's Plan reimagining policing and public safety on Long Island. We have a great deal to cover today and I would like to leave as much time as possible for a presentation and question purposes, so I'm going to keep my opening remarks very short before turning the microphone over to Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton for a brief statement.

On June 12th of last year Governor Cuomo issued Executive Order 203 entitled The New York State Reform and Reinvention Collaborative. This order requires local governments throughout the state to work with
Full - 2-24-21
community stakeholders to develop plans to improve existing policies and practices and to address racial bias and disproportionate policing in communities of color. This plan must be adopted no later than April 1st of this year.

Today we will be receiving a presentation on a plan for police reform authored by the Long Island Advocates for Police Accountability, Long Island United to Transform Policing and Community Safety and United for Justice in Policing Long Island.

I should note that this plan for the record is submitted separate and apart from the police reform plan filed by the county executive for the approval of the legislature. We have asked that the county executive reopen the policing community trust to consider the People's Plan and provide her comments as part of this process.

We are pleased to have with us
today the architects of the People's Plan, Fred Brewington, Tracey Edwards, Tyrel Dozier, Susan Gottehrer and Shaniqua Levin. Welcome
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to all of you. We do have a lot to cover, so I will turn over the microphone over to legislator DeRiggi-Whitton if she would like to make a brief statement.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Thank you Madam Chair. I want to thank everyone for all the time and effort that has been put into this. I know it's an extremely important subject. We were all very upset when we witnessed a homicide on television. That's the first time I've ever seen it. First time any other people I believe.

I want to just say starting out that $I$ know a few things might have gotten off on the wrong foot. There was a question as to whether or not a plan was already approved before we heard from everyone. That was a draft. I promise you that was a draft. We've had many hearings. We've had many Zoom meetings.

But I also just want to say a quote that I've heard over and over again. That no person hates a bad cop more than a good cop. Living in Nassau County, a lot of the reforms,
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and I've been through all of these, that are recommended, I can honestly say, and I'm talking about from the state, $I$ can honestly say that many of them are already in place. The training that we do I'm proud of. But I also believe that there's always room for improvements and that's what we want to do and that's what we want to hear and we want to put in as many improvements as we possibly can.

But again, I also just want to make
it clear. I don't think it has to be -- I feel that things have been very divisive over the past year. I hope it doesn't have to be that way. I really hope that we can all work together to come up with some real solutions because I think that's the way it really happens. If $I$ can ever do anything to bridge the gap or help in that I'm here 100 percent. There's no question that $I$ believe all 19 of us believe in our hearts that we want every Nassau County resident to feel safe, to feel respected and be treated with dignity. There's no reason that we can't get to that point in life. So, thank you again
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for your time and we look forward to your presentation.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much legislator. Mr. Brewington the floor is yours but before you begin would you like to introduce our panelists for today's presentation.

MR. BREWINGTON: Madam Chair, thank you so much. And first of all, thank you to the legislators that are here in person as well as those that are on the connection through electronic means. Giving this opportunity for presentation is much appreciated.

I'd like to introduce, as you have already laid out, here at the table we have Tracy Edwards. We have Tyrel Dozier. To my right is Sue Gottehrer. And to her right is Shaniqua Levin. Also in the audience we have Emily Caufman and we also have Julie Owens. That is our team for presentation this afternoon.

With your permission, I'll start with a preamble and then go into the actual
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presentation. Is that all right?
Let me just start by saying while we gives thanks and we do appreciate the statement that was made by legislator DeRiggi-Whitton, thank you so much. We are here to talk about not only those issues of improvement but we're also talking about reformation and reforming and rethinking and reimagining policing as it could be and should be in the 21st century. We are here to be constructive. We are here to offer options and available proposals that would then bring Nassau County in line with a number of police forces that are first world. I think that's what we want to do. We want Nassau County police to be the gold standard for policing in America. There's no reason why that can't happen.

But I do want to start out with a bit of disappointment and I want to be clear about this. That in this chamber right now, and again, there has been a concern that this hearing was, because of COVID, we understand this, safety is paramount, this was advertised
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as being closed to the public. When we arrived, folks at home probably cannot see to my right that those chairs are largely empty, but to my left are chairs which are filled by members of what I understand to be the Nassau County Police Department and/or representatives from their bargaining units. That's a disappointment for one reason. Not because they're here but because the community is not here in equal numbers so that they have the ability to sit in this hallow chamber and hear this presentation as there are literally hundreds of people that put their blood, sweat and tears into this report and plan.

And also, there were many that we told you cannot come because we are going to abide by the rules. My understanding is that some of these individuals have been to a lot of the other hearings. That doesn't change because we had members at the other hearings too. We were told that we could not have members of the public here.

I start with a level of disappointment but that does not stop us nor
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will we be in any way dissuaded by the presence. But I would just suggest that we start off, if we're going to start off with equity, if we're going to start off with respect, if we're going to start off with understanding how important it is that everybody, whether or not it's union and police or civilians, are treated with a fair hand by everybody in government that the rules be equally applied. That's all.

With that, I just wanted to be clear that that is a point of which I'm starting, and I think that Presiding Officer Nicolello looks like he's queued up to address this. I'm not trying to make it a debate. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I agree. I'm not trying to make it a debate. We do want to get as soon as possible into the presentation. But the representatives of the unions are here generally for meetings and we have at our meetings we have members of the administration, we have members of the union representatives and others. It's the general public that's not invited to participate right
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now because of COVID. I'm certain that were it not for the COVID restrictions, Fred, you could have 200 , 300,400 people. We just couldn't do that. It's the general public that's not invited.

But the gentlemen and women who represent the stakeholder unions come to all our meetings and are invited, as well as the administration. That's the policy. It's just the general public that's not invited to hearings and meetings or legislature at this time. With the improvements we're hopeful that that will change in the near future.

MR. BREWINGTON: We hope so to Presiding Officer. Of course, we know that there are many stakeholders in this entire process not just the union folks. Had we known that we could have 12 stakeholders to fill those seats behind us that would have been something we would have appreciated. Getting into the People's Plan.

The People's Plan is an enormous piece of work which is done by members of the community because in Executive Order 203 it
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requires that there be a collaboration of community members and government for the purposes of putting forward a plan that would be then considered by the legislatures. And that is not just Nassau County, that is Suffolk and Westchester and every village and every town that has a police department or police agency. We come to you to present this plan.

It was born out of the seed of the death of George Floyd. It's important that we start by stating his name that led to protests in the streets that were dealt with in a lot of different ways in Nassau County, Suffolk County, across the country. But one of the things that was clear in Executive Order 203 was that every municipality was required to rethink, reimagine and reevaluate how it considers doing policing. Not only with regard to the everyday mundane things that may happen, but how they interact with communities that are most affected and in particular communities of color.

Out of the number whereas's that
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precede the final two paragraphs of the executive order, of those approximately ten of them deal with the issue of race. And I raise that upfront so that we don't have to deal with thinking that it's not important. It is. The differential treatment, disparities and things that may exist in Nassau County are things that we were not afraid to address in the People's Plan.

That document that was provided by the county executive, which was not based on collaboration, which was not based on review, which was not based on input, is not one which addresses that issue forthrightly. It makes mention to it but it does not deal with it. The People's Plan is presented to you today. It's approximately, in it's current form, 311 pages and then those 311 pages there are a number of areas that are covered. They are broken down into 12 sections. Those 12 sections we will try to cover today in thumbnail version. Rather than getting in depth in them, we've given you the People's Plan for some days now
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electronically. We provided a hard copy. I think Mr. Nicolello you have a hard copy we provided to you. I put that in the book myself for you sir. And I just wanted to make sure that this is important. I think you realize that it's important because you called this special session in light of that.

We also say that Governor Cuomo has made this statement very clear. That it is ludicrous to think that a police agency can police and reinvent itself.

As a result of that, the voices of the people came together with the three major organizations that you did mention Legislator Ford and we came together bringing the best and the brightest that Nassau County can offer. People that are doctors with doctorates in all types of things. I can't pronounce half of them. Lawyers, advocates, individuals who are laborers. Individuals who are police officers. Individuals who are retired police officers. People that were as high level as chiefs in some departments. We brought together individuals that were
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straight and gay. We brought together individuals that were Black, White, Asian, Latino and many other persuasions of life that came together for the purpose of bringing you a wholistic plan to change policing and bring it into the 21st Century in Nassau County. If someone can mute their microphone at home that would be great.

Let me just start by saying there is a place in all of this for our humanity. There is a place in all of this for humor and there is also a place in this situation for very, very serious talk. So we start our proceeding with serious talk.

At this point $I$ will ask Emily Caufman to come to the lectern and present to you -- I'm sorry, Tracy Edwards would like to make a presentation first concerning the NAACP .
MS. EDWARDS: Thank you very
much. Good afternoon Presiding Officer Nicolello. Good to see you again. Minority Leader Abrahams I know that you are there somewhere, sir. Legislator Ford, thank you
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very much for convening this and all of the legislative leaders thank you very much for coming this afternoon.

My name is Tracey Edwards. I am the regional director of the NAACP serving under president Dukes, who is our New York State conference president. I'm going to leave you copies of the letter from the NAACP with the clerk and you can read that at the conclusion of this presentation.

A little history. The NAACP was founded in 1909 in response to ongoing violence against black people around the country and we are still at this today. All of us. The NAACP has over 2200 units across the country. Two million members. Our mission is to secure the political, educational, social and economic equality of rights in order to eliminate race-based discrimination and ensure the health and well being of all citizens.

In New York there are 56 units. The NAACP in New York State Conference is working across the state, working to make
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changes in the 500 agencies that have to implement police reform initiatives by April 1st. On Long Island we have ten branches. Five of which are in Nassau County. Those five presidents, who are your constituents, I did not bring today because we were abiding by the rules.

On Long Island our branches are focused, primarily last year and this year, on police reform, economic recovery, voting rights and health. To ensure that the vaccine is available for all communities and educational information for those who are reluctant to take it. It's fitting that we are in front of you today in Black History Month. As a matter of fact, I'm going to be leaving a little bit earlier to go to Suffolk County to participate on a panel on racism. This month many of you have signed resolutions honoring Black Americans in your district, in this county, for their partnerships with you and their accomplishments. But $I$ can tell you with absolute certainty that they would send those
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resolutions back to you if they could live on Long Island with their children, all of our children, receiving the same treatment. We cannot say this today.

There's a deep history in policing across this country but normally we can look away. But because of COVID-19 we could not all look away with the murder of George Floyd. Before today you did not own it. You could deflect. You could point fingers. You could minimize this effort to be antipolice or call many of us radicals. That was before today.

Today you own policing reform and, quite frankly, I am glad you do. I could talk all day about the process in Nassau County. But we're here today and I am thankful that we are here today. You are going to hear the People's Plan from the three organizations and the hundreds of people behind them working hard for months on thoughtful recommendations based on research. Our state president tells us often the words of Carol Mosely Braun that says, we have no permanent friends, we have no
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permanent enemies, we only have permanent interest.

This is not a Democratic issue or a Republican issue. When my grandson gets old enough to drive and if he gets pulled over he's not going to be asked what his political affiliation is. He's just black. And the answer we will no longer accept is to tell him to comply. The answer is we want everyone to be treated the same. The NAACP is not looking for props. We're not looking for newsletters. We're not looking for more sports games. Collecting data is fine, but they should have been doing that anyway.

And we won't want you to blame the racial disparities on the individual police officers and think that body cameras and a little antibias training will fix this. This is not a bad apples theory. This is structural and institutional policies that have been there over time that the officers are following the rules.

So, please don't take the bait that we are the safest county and many of the
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initiatives we were doing anyway, so all we need to do is to make incremental changes to our existing policies. That's not why we're here. We want you to ask yourself does everyone feel safe in Nassau County? Did all of the initiatives work for everyone? I will answer that for you and the answer is no.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I do apologize for stopping you but those that are on the call lost audio so they can't hear you.

MS. EDWARDS: Can you hear me?
You want me to wait?
LEGISLATOR FORD: If you can. MS. EDWARDS: So, there is no dispute that we have racial disparities. The only question is how much in Nassau County. And any racial disparities is too many. This is your opportunity. And politically you will have no more air cover than what you have today because everyone is working on all of these issues. So let's fix it. Let's fix it together. Because the snow is going to melt and we don't want to be back in the streets of Nassau County protesting
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because of the lack of police reform. This is the opportunity. No more piecemeal approaches. We want you to be bold. We want you to be courageous. We thank you for doing this work but you have to cross the finish line.

And as Derrick Johnson, our
national president, says, if we want a just society we must create a different type of criminal justice system. Thank you very much.

MR. BREWINGTON: Thank you
Tracey. For each of the legislators you have a package that is in front of you that is about 17 pages long. It is a package of one pages. It is not the entire plan and I'm holding the entire plan up for you, the 311 pages. But the one pages will be helpful to be a guide for you and we will put one of them up here just so you know what we're looking at. Duane. Those one pages are helpful to you as thumbnails to try and just get you into each of the subject areas.
We're putting this first one up
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which addresses transforming crisis response. We won't put any others up but this is just an example of the one pages that follow each of the first nine chapters, the first nine sections.

The reason why we set it up that way is we want you to read through the whole thing before you get to the one pages. There's a method to our madness.

I will ask Emily Caufman if she would come to the podium and present and we're just going to run in succession going through the People's Plan in short form. Emily.

MS. CAUFMAN: Thank you Fred.
Thank you to everyone here in the chamber for allowing us the opportunity to address you today. My name is Emily Caufman. I'm the Cochair of the Crisis Response Work Group, which is a collaboration between Long Island United to Transform Policing and Community Safety and New York Social Action. My fellow cochair is Alexandra Saint Laurent.

I stand here representing a work group of approximately 20 people made up of

Full - 2-24-21
lawyers, former police officers, first responders, families affected by mental illness and criminalization of mental illness, teachers and social workers and your average community member.

A few months ago our workers came together to examine crisis response systems throughout the nation. What we found is that there are a number of cities throughout the nation that has transformed their crisis response system.

Our working group had the great pleasure on Monday to meet with the Minority caucus. I see a number of you today. Legislator Mule, Legislator Drucker, legislator Bynoe and Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton and I was really encouraged, we were all really encouraged and heartened to see how well received this proposal was. And it is built on the introduction of legislation by Legislator Bynoe and Legislator Lafazan that was voted on unanimously by this legislature to create a mental health task force this summer.
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Our work group was very excited to see the work that was presented, the initial work that was presented in that draft from the task force. And our proposal compliments that, builds on it, finds the gaps, adds in details. So I encourage you to truly take a look at our 36-page proposal. It is science-backed. It is research-based. It is well footnoted. It was made from conversations with cities throughout the country implementing these crisis responder systems.

We have talked extensively with
folks in Austin, Texas and their MCOT program. We've talked with folks in Denver. We've talked with folks in Eugene, Oregon. All of whom are ready and available and a phone call away to help you here in Nassau County how to transform our crisis response system to ensure that it works as well as possible for our police officers, our community members in crisis and our taxpayers.

I will end briefly. Our current
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system is not working for those three groups. Not working as well as it can. A few months ago, I had a conversation with Police Commissioner Ryder when we were starting this research and said, is crisis response, these mentally aided calls, is this where you believe the police force needs to be? He agreed as to officers throughout the country this is not the job of police. Behavioral health crises are a public health issue and require a public health response.

This model that we are proposing saves money. It makes sure community members in crisis are safe and it leads to police efficiencies so we can free up our police officers to do the important police work that they are trained to do and enables our crisis responders, our trained peers, our behavioral health specialists to do the work that we are trained to do. I will leave it there.

Legislator Nicolello, I do hope to be in contact with you in order to get a deeper meeting with the Majority caucus as well so we can go through the details as we
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were able to with the Minority caucus. Because I truly believe you will be excited about the proposals and what it can do for Nassau County. Thanks so much.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We will set that up.

MR. BREWINGTON: Thank you
Emily. In particular looking at the section we asked you to look at the tiers that are referenced here. That talk about how and in what ways the tiers can serve, that would be T-I-E-R, tiers can be utilized for response as has been well documented not only through this plan but through the research done.

Let me turn it over now to
Mr. Dozier to talk about transforming traffic enforcement.

MR. DOZIER: Good afternoon. As mentioned, my name is Tyrel Dozier. I am a member of LIU United to Transform Policing and Community Safety and one of the many community members to help to construct the People's Plan.

> Recently, a few members had the
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privilege to discuss the issue of traffic enforcement with Legislator Drucker and I thank you for your openness and enthusiasm regarding that issue. I'm grateful for the opportunity to discuss this issue with all of you now here and through the screen and hopeful to have more conversations with you all individually to discuss this important issue.

Just like how Emily mentioned, we are advocating for public health responses to public health issues. The plan lays out traffic safety solutions to issues that solely focus on traffic safety. And just to be frank, unfortunately in its current form this is not the case in Nassau County. Unfortunately, this county sanctions the act of pretextual stops, where under the guise of traffic safety, community members are stopped due to a minor traffic infraction so that the officer may investigate an unrelated criminal issue by fishing for evidence based solely on suspicion.

We know that pretextual stops are a
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bastion of racial bias. Based on NCPD's own reported data we know that black community members are twice as likely to be stopped as white community members in Nassau County.

Recently the Massachusetts Supreme Court ruled that the discriminatory enforcement of traffic laws is particularly toxic. It is time for the county to make a much needed statement for justice.

Across Long Island we have come to accept what is truly the unacceptable. The fact that thousands of mothers and fathers have to, in explicit detail, have the talk with your driving age sons and daughters about exactly what to do when being pulled over by a police officer while driving in the hopes that that conversation might potentially save their lives.

I'm certain that some of you here today and on the screen have been on one or both sides of that conversation. I'm certain that many of you have colleagues or neighbors and definitely constituents who knows what it feels like to have that conversation.
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I remembered the first time being on the receiving end of that conversation. I remember my mother's solemn face. And then I remember the first time that $I$ was pulled over for DWB, or driving while black, and the thought going into my head what did she say now? Do I put my hand in the glove compartment and reach for my registration or do I keep both hands just on the wheel.

It has become, in many ways, an unwanted coming of age for the Black home. A tragic right of passage for Black youth and parents alike. We have sanctioned in Nassau County stop and frisk as long as you're in your vehicle and you appear suspicious.

But this plan, and hopefully this legislature, unequivocally makes the statement that driving while Black is not a crime. So, the People's Plan proposal aims to have Nassau County join other forward thinking districts in Maryland, Philadelphia, Virginia, even Texas by restricting police officers from engaging in pretextual stops and warrantless searches. It aims to explore innovative
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options for routine traffic enforcement such as creating unarmed traffic officers whose sole duty is to maintain road safety so that we can get back to traffic enforcement being about traffic safety.

Finally, it aims to promote the collection, regular publishing and analysis of traffic stop data in alignment with the STAT Act so that we have an accurate accounting of what's truly happening in our streets. Thank you.

MR. BREWINGTON: Thank you
Tyrel. Legislators, you will hear terms used one versus in one section that comes up in another section. That's because the People's Plan is interrelated. It talks about a wholistic approach. You're going to hear about the STAT Act in a little bit.

Let me take you on to the other, the next one which is the CCRB, Civilian Complaint Review Board. The Civilian Complaint Review Board proposal is one that has been shown to a number of the legislators that are on this call, and it is an attempt to
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try and bring to bear the fact that right now what we have is internal affairs that is basically serving to do, and this is just background, don't worry, we will give you a copy of what's on the tripod if you like, but if you look in your book or even on your small version of the one pages, the Civilian Complaint Review Board deals with how do we help police police without having them police themselves.

I will just tell you that right now the proposal that is provided by the county in its suggestion talks about trying to enhance or trying to improve what internal affairs has failed to do for over a decade and more.

What we propose is a separate entity called a Civilian Complaint Review Board which is well proven in a number of jurisdictions. What we have done, because we've learned that in some places it is not effective. In some places it does not do the job that it is intended because it becomes a political football.

Rather, what we have done is taken
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the best from many jurisdictions, written it in the form of legislation for you and Legislator Kennedy, we just want to keep you in mind, we write something that can be taken and looked at from a legislative perspective. We dealt with the administration code and the county's charter and gave that to you. Why? Because this is an important document as is all of the People's Plan.

It talks about handling and giving victims or witnesses of police conduct an opportunity to file a complaint other than having to go to the police department, the people that they are complaining against. The people that are they are complaining about. It gives an opportunity for there to be an appointment.

An 11 member board. Five appointed by the county legislature. Five appointed by the county executive and one chair co-appointed by the county legislature and the county executive. It then gives them the opportunity to evaluate, investigate, review and makes determinations about whether or not
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there has been a violation of a citizen's rights at any level with regard to whether or not it's unprofessionalism or even excessive force.

> It has the ability to refer. It
has the ability to have subpoena power. It also has the ability to not only make recommendations but we take that out. To make decisions about what type of discipline should take place and then allow, if indeed the commissioner wants to change that, an appeal process by the commissioner to the Civilian Complaint Review Board for which there would be a two-thirds vote if indeed the discipline is to be changed either greater or lesser.

This plan is well thought out, it's well prepared and it may very well be controversial but it is very important that we have it right now.

Because I will tell you, and I change my hats just a little bit, I have seen the inside and the underbelly of the internal affairs investigations that take place in Nassau County and they do not serve the
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people. They are investigations that are contrary to good policing activity. They are investigations that do not follow-up with regard to witnesses. They are investigations that do not follow-up with regard to victims. And they give very little opportunity for restorative justice, which we talk about in the Civilian Complaint Review Board proposal. Including putting in place mediation instead of making determinations against police officers. If the victim wants to mediate it and is willing to do that that gives the opportunity for someone to look someone in the eye human being to human being and say I made a mistake, I did something wrong and I apologize.

I will tell you that there are many cases that come to my office that have indeed had there been an apology, once it was clear that something wrong had happened, it would have avoided a whole lot of mess. That's the Civilian Complaint Review Board that we provide to you. It is detailed and we ask you to take a look at it.
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At this time $I$ will turn it to over to Susan Gottehrer who is going to present on a number of issues that are found in the People's Plan.

MS. GOTTEHRER: Good afternoon. Can everybody hear me? My name is Susan Gottehrer. I'm the director of the Nassau County New York Civil Liberties Union. I'm also a member of LIU, TCPS and LIAPFA and the Nassau County Jail Advocates and the Long Island Language Advocates Coalition.

I'm going to talk about an umbrella of accountability and infrastructure that we're trying to build in the People's Plan, and I'll give you some highlights from each piece of the accountability section.

But before I do that, I just want to frame this and remind everybody that while we're talking about police reform the People's Plan is talking about public safety in a broader way. Which will also then require that we look at other institutions. We look at all of government and we look at society as a whole. That's the difference between the
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county executive's plan and the People's Plan.

The other thing I want to do is I need to acquaint people with, if you're not acquainted with it, the model of policing that is used in Nassau County because it's going to make a case for the real need for these accountability measures.

So, I'm not quite sure how many people are aware but the model that is used, and it's referenced in the county executive's plan very quickly and in a very short manner, it's called problem-oriented policing. It is really problem-oriented policing and hot spot policing.

Problem-oriented policing is based on what's called crime science. Crime science looks at near term crime and opportunity and it is distinguished very intentionally from the idea of criminology, which is more prone to looking at long term and sociological causes of crime. Crime science can seem like it makes sense if you're going to narrow the focus just to merely crime prevention. Crime
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science is based on preventing in the near term.

An example of that is there is a corner where people are gathering and there is possible nefarious things going on. What's the solution? Put a light on that corner if there is not a light on that corner. So they find that that kind of policing can be effective which it sounds like it is, right?

The part of the issue is, and you can look at the cops' DOJ report, they actually have a lot different publications on this. They also have a manual for the police that is very interesting to read. If you see the difference between crime science and criminology that's a really important distinction and it actually goes to the distinction between the county executive's plan and the People's Plan.

The other part of this crime science and this model is that it's very, very based heavily on data and evidence-based policing, which also sounds good. But it's also based on gathering human intelligence.
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Which explains why the police need to be in all spheres of our society. Part of it is to create relations with the community. Get them invested in solving the problem. Which also sounds great and I don't dispute that it's a good idea for the police to be establishing relationships with community.

However, if that good intention is coupled with the intention of gathering intelligence, human intelligence, then that is quite a paradox and the only way to mitigate the feeling of becoming complicit in your own surveillance is to have a county and police force that has transparency measures and accountability measures. I will remind everybody that accountability and transparency go hand in hand. If you don't have transparency there is no accountability. And at this rate the lack of answerability by this police department is stunning at this point.

So, I'm going to propose to you the measures that we would like to see put in place to create an infrastructure of accountability. Because if you really want to
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build trust this is how you're going to do it.

The starting point is the CCRB. That would be about investigations. That would be about complaints. That would be about the fact that it's beyond believable that anybody can actually utter the sentence that any agency should police itself and take that seriously. That is what is going on and that's what the CCRB would address.

The second piece is a police office of an inspector general. The idea behind this is to create, and you'll see in the accountability measures, we create a second and third set of eyes. So, the inspector general's office would be meant to receive the things that the CCRB receives. Receive data from the police department. They would be empowered to be able to subpoena documents. They would be able to track the complaint process to make sure that the complaints are going through the way they should be going through and communication is happening the way it should be happening.
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So, if you think about the police office of the inspector general's office as a second set of eyes where they receive everything, including the data which will be collected in the STAT Act, which I'll present in a minute, that's the idea behind the inspector general's office.

Couple of things to know about an inspector general's office real quick is that in order for it to be successful it has to be -- the appointed person needs to be perceived as neutral. They have to have expertise that is expected for that office. Meaning there will a criminal justice expert in that office. They have to have discretion in selecting subjects to be reviewed.

The appointment process also has to be transparent and well publicized. And the committee on making that appointment has to be a variety of different positions not only in government but also in the community.

The appointment prior -- the appointee's prior affiliation with board of directors and associations needs to be vetted

Full - 2-24-21
to make sure there's no conflicts of
interest. The position should have a dual reporting role to the executive branch and the legislative branch. And it should be well funded. The police inspector generals' offices or just inspector general's offices in general, when they are not successful it is usually because they are not funded the way they need to be funded. So, there has to be a component in the legislation that also allows the inspector general to submit statements on their funding and their evaluation of their funding. That's another measure of success in those offices. So, that's a nutshell of the inspector general's office.

In the People's Plan is a list of
what we're recommending this inspector general's office oversee. But again, think of it as a third set of eyes.

The second piece of the or the third piece of the accountability is what we call the Right to Know Act. We heard that the -- we know that the authority held by police and their ability
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to render your body to stop moving really feels like -- and the fact that they can apply consequences if they ask you to stop and you don't that feels like an immediate taking away of civil liberties and an immediate feeling of coercion. So, we need to take the idea of stops, as Tyrel is describing, very, very seriously and the Right to Know Act addresses this.

The Right to Know Act has two components. One is when a police officer stops anybody they hand them a card that has their name, their rank, the date, the time, the reason for the stop, the duration of the stop and the outcome of the stop and also where they can issue a complaint if they need to. That's the first component of the Right to Know Act.

The second component is the consent to search piece where the officer must obtain written, or recorded in the case of people with disabilities, voluntary consent to be searched. The officer should also have a checklist that they are required to submit to
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make sure that it is known that they went through this at every stop. Because what we're finding in places where the Right to Know Act does exist already is that officers are trying every which way to get around this. You have to build in accountability measures to their actions out on the street.

And then of course, all of the stops will be incorporated into the STAT Act, which is the data collection bill that we're proposing which brings me to the STAT Act. The STAT Act is a local version of a state-wide bill that was recently passed. It was passed in June of 2020. It's got a whole bunch of categories of data to be collected. So the New York State Legislature did a really good job of that. There are some pieces missing that we would like to see in the STAT Act. So I will just read you a couple of them.

Vehicles and pedestrian stops and searches have to be in there. Frisks and body searches, detentions, arrests or issuance of summons. Data, demographic data, and location
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data has to be in there. Use of force less than lethal use of force, threat of use of force, including if there were any weapons used associated with what level of use of force are we talking about.

Accompanying medical data. Meaning
if there was any level of use of force was there a medical situation and did it end up with a doctor, hospital, what was the outcome there. We're looking at vehicle, pedestrian and bicycle stops. Police presence in schools, which I will get to later but this is going to be important and we are including it in the police presence in the STAT Act.

Complaints. As you are incorporating the CCRB and the office of the inspector general's office and the complaint process is still going on as you are facing it out, we would like those complaints and all the data related with complaints to be incorporated also into the STAT Act. Everything relating to the STAT Act should also be very clearly having personal identification information not included in any
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reports because that's actually been abused in other police departments.

Language access component. How many times were interpreters requested and in what languages. How were the services delivered. We're looking for hate crimes information in the STAT Act. We're looking for surveillance technology in the STAT Act. What surrounds technologies are being used. Where are they being deployed. How are they being deployed. How are they being acquired and what are the vendor contracts and the RFPs related to -- and especially also the storage of any surveillance equipment.

I'm not quite sure if everybody is aware of how technical this gets but the surveillance contracts often times with this equipment comes with an offer from the vendor to store the data and the footage because it's very expensive for municipalities to do that themselves. You have to make sure when you're checking those contracts who has proprietary rights. Often times those vendors do and people don't know that and that's really
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important and that's why we want this also in the STAT Act.

Then there's also an analysis of reporting component to the STAT Act. And also asset forfeiture information as well because asset forfeiture is a source of income and we want to make sure that we're not being incentivized to do a certain kind of policing based on asset forfeiture. That's the STAT Act. I'm trying to go as fast as $I$ can with this.

Public Safety Committee oversight. This one's easy. You're the legislative branch. You have oversight over the executive branch. And there should be biannual hearings where the police commissioner has to come and sit in front of you and you have a big list of questions. Some of it based on the data that's been collected by the STAT Act. You are the third set of eyes. The inspector general's office is the second, you are the third.

> You should be seeing all the complaint process. You should be tracking
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it. You should be seeing and making sure the people are getting communicated with. You should see the data on the stops. You guys should be able to answer any question $I$ ask of you about this police department. That should be the goal of the Public Safety Committee of the legislature. And then issue biannual reports as well. That's the Public Safety Committee piece.

Internal affairs and complaints.
Again, as we said, we're recommending a CCRB and a police inspector general's office. So, while you are phasing those in and phasing out the complaint process we also have some fixes we would like to add to that as well.

We are asking that no investigations be done at the precinct level. Communication with complainant should be scheduled at least every 30 days with all communications forwarded to IG's office and to the Public Safety Committee. All communications should include status of investigation, explanation of disposition and steps taken during the investigation.
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Quarterly reports should be issued. Of course, all personal identifying information for the complainant should be removed in the case of reporting and public disclosure or even possibly to the legislature because we've seen abuses in that case as well. So we would recommend case numbers or other ways of labeling.

So, that's a snapshot of those pieces. There are a couple more accountability pieces. Tyrel is actually going to talk about liability insurance and then $I$ will finish up the last couple of pieces on accountability.

MR. DOZIER: Thank you. As Susan mentioned, we are looking to develop an infrastructure of accountability. In the section regarding -- and part of that is liability insurance. In the section regarding liability insurance we provide a cost saving solution to the county, which most importantly will also help keep community members safe and erode the perceived officer immunity that causes distrust between communities and law
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enforcement.
Each year, and we know this, municipalities pay millions of taxpayer dollars to settle misconduct cases perpetrated by police officers. Therefore, burdening taxpayers and condoning officers that abuse their positions. As you can see by the chart behind me, over the past five years Nassau County has spent $\$ 55$ million settling misconduct lawsuits.

The People's Plan proposes the implementation of mandatory personal liability insurance as a requirement for employment. This would hold police officers accountable and liable for their actions in the field. Additionally, requiring officers to help pay for their own insurance will force officers with histories that indicate dangerous or violent behavior to either adopt safer practices and methods of policing or to leave the profession altogether.

As was mentioned earlier, I believe it was from Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton, that nobody dislikes bad policing more than good
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police.
In this model, the cost of the average premium for coverage would be covered by the municipality. Individual officers premiums would be calculated considering their policing history. So, officers with histories in policing that create a higher premium would be responsible for paying the difference between their premium and the department average. Officers with histories that create lower premium than the department average can receive the difference as additional take home pay. We are literally incentivizing good policing. Thank you.

MS. GOTTEHRER: I'm going to continue with a community survey which is not really too much of a heavy lift and we really hope that you will consider doing this as part of accountability as well. Literally hearing from the community.

So, we are asking for community surveys to be done that address separately people who have had contact with the police in the month prior to the survey and then also
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separately residents. Especially in heavily policed neighborhoods. So we are asking for that.

So, the contact surveys should include victims and complainants measuring how satisfied people were and how they felt they were treated by the police and how the police handled their problem. The resident survey should be random digital dialing residents age 18 or older and targeted oversampling of Latino and Black residents 18 or older.

Surveys designed to measure police -- they should be designed to measure police legitimacy, perceptions of bias-based policing and about thoroughness of which the Nassau County Police Department investigated their complaints as far as the officer that they dealt with.

The survey should not be used to gather data on hot spot or problem-oriented policing. Again, remember $I$ said that $P O P$ policing and hot spot policing are about data gathering. So there would be need to be close oversight over the survey to make sure it's
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not being used for those purposes.
Results analyzed separately and sent to Public Safety Committee of the legislature and the inspector general's office and posted on the county website.

And finally, we also usually
include use of force policies in accountability. I'm going to read you a list of what's in a really good list of use of force policy. It has actually been shown that as soon as these components are put in the numbers drastically come down on use of force in a lot of municipalities that have done this. We notice that in the Nassau County use of force policy some of these components are in there but there needs to be more as well. They found that cities that implemented policies requiring officers to exhaust all other means before shooting have seen a drop in killings by 25 percent. We would also refer you to policies recommended by Eight Can't Wait and Campaign Zero. This is all in the People's Plan.

So, the components that make up a
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really good use of force policy are requiring officers to exhaust all alternatives before resorting to use of firearms. Including requiring use of nonlethal and less lethal strategies. It limits officers to minimum level of force necessary. It contains a duty to intervene. It contains a duty to render and secure aid to anybody who has been at the receiving end of use of force. It contains use of force continuum.

There's a lot of jargon and lingo when it comes to policing. And if you really dive into the literature you will be able to see that use of force policy there's continuums and language around all of this. There's use of force, less than lethal use of force and then's there's also a taser is associated with one particular level of force etcetera.

So, the use of force policies that are recommended deal in this continuum with the right language and the right associations at each level as accompanied by the right level of training. Specific scenarios related
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to this level with this weapon and this training and they're usually role-playing type of trainings. And the best ones are not necessarily just within the academy. They also use civilians. Not experiments on the street please. But they're role-playing trainings and those are considered to be the best kind of training.

Required warning prior to use of a firearm. Requires a sanctity of life statement. That's almost like the first one that shows up in all of them. And how does the department interact with the public. After a deadly use of force incident it should be outlined what are the operating procedures, how long does it take for a municipality to start to having a conversation with the public about a use of force incident. And then disclosure of body worn camera footage in the case of if we do actually get the cameras.

By the way, about the cameras, please look at model policies before you implement anything on the cameras. That's a whole another issue.
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That's the use of force parameters that are usually required for best practices for use of force policies in a nutshell. Please read the People's Plan.

MR. DOZIER: The People's Plan also has a section regarding hate crimes and in it it discusses how crimes motivated by invidious hatred toward particular groups not only of harmed individual victims but as we know it also sends a powerful message of intolerance and discrimination to all members of the group to which the victim belongs.

The New York State hate crime law states hate crimes can and do intimidate and disrupt entire communities, invitiate the civility that is essential to healthy democratic processes.

As we know, hate crimes have been on the increase this past year. Specifically targeting Asian, Jewish, LGBTQ communities as well as Black and Latinx communities.

The effective mitigation of hate crimes requires broad public awareness, understanding and participation. This public
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awareness facilitates risk-based social intervention and mitigation. In addition, the public awareness serves as a force multiplier for the deterrent efforts of resource constrained enforcement agencies. It falls on our law enforcement to facilitate public awareness through accurate classification and effective recording analysis and public reporting of bias incidents.

The People's Plan proposes the NCPD should designate a dedicated trained special investigation unit specifically for these incidents. This includes properly identifying and reporting hate crimes and incidents to bring justice and safety to community. Using all relevant sections of the law. For example, it would say a need to classify all swastika as criminal mischief, which is a hate incident, versus just merely saying it's making graffiti.

Additionally, the unit will map and track hate crimes, nondesignated hate offenses and incidents to see trends, prevent future events and provide an accurate picture of what
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is occurring and where things are happening in our county. The unit will communicate with the public to protect and warn communities and to garner cooperation in the prevention of these crimes and incidents as well as of course support the victims, their families and the communities.

Finally, the People's Plan proposes the development of rehabilitation and prevention programs with government, nonprofits and communities in order to prevent these vial incidents and restore communal trust.

MR. BREWINGTON: Thank you
Tyrel. The next section is improving the treatment of safety for transgender, intersex and non-binary people. Julie Owens.

MS. GREY-OWENS: Good afternoon. My name is Julie Grey-Owens, and I am the executive director of Gender Equality New York, a nonprofit that advocates for transgender, non-binary and intersex New Yorkers. I'm also a member of the Nassau County Jail Advocates. Further, I want you to
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know that $I$ have been training transgender cultural competency to recruits at the Nassau County police academy since 2017. There's a number of important points that you need to know.

In 2019 the National Center for Transgender Equality published a report entitled Failing to Protect and Serve Police Department Policies Toward Transgender People that reviewed the policies of 25 of the largest US police departments and graded them on 17 criteria reflecting areas of interaction between law enforcement and the transgender community. Nassau was one of the 25 top departments and of that 25 departments was the only department that received a failing grade in each and every one of the 17 criteria areas. I have submitted to you copies of the executive summary and the specific report for Nassau County Police Department.

The second point that I would like to make is that in late 2019 a transgender Nassau County resident was arrested and incarcerated improperly. A notice of claim
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against Nassau County was filed. There is currently an agreement to withhold the filing of any formal lawsuit against the county on the condition that the county draft policy documents that will effect meaningful change. Without proper policy, the county is looking at a significant lawsuit.

Up to now there has been no transgender policy for Nassau County police officers. During my training with recruits I am constantly asked questions that I cannot answer because there is no policy to refer back to.

The portion of the police reform policy that County Executive Curran filed last Tuesday contains only a three-page procedure entitled Encounters with Transgender Persons. The document simply lists the steps to arrest and incarcerate a member of the transgender or gender non-binary community. Although it's a good start, it is severely lacking in the following areas.

First, the failure to explicitly prohibit the use of gender identity or
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expression as a basis to stop, question, search or arrest an individual as the sole basis for initiating contact.

Recognition of people with non-binary gender identities and how to interact with them.

Failure to require the use of correct pronouns in interactions with members of the public.

Failure to address transporting transgender arrestees in accordance with their gender identity.

Failure to clearly define and prohibit sexual misconduct on behalf of the members of the department. Establish prevention or accountability mechanisms for officer's sexual misconduct or fully incorporate the PREA lockup standards.

In regard to medical care, failure to make clear that transgender individuals must be treated equally, including with respect to hormone medications.

Failure to provide a policy that allows transgender people to retain all
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appearance-related items like prosthetics, bras, undergarments, wigs or cosmetic items.

Failure to address bathroom use in stations by transgender individuals.

Failure to require regular training on interactions with transgender people for all officers and staff.

The People's Plan lists 38 policy requirements that will provide respectful and equal treatment to those of us who are gender expansive. Each of these requirements support treating individuals in a manner that is appropriate to their personal gender identity as well as treating gender expansive individuals in the same way that you would any person that is not transgender or gender non-binary.

There is an urgent need to create safe and affirming relationships between gender-expansive Long Islanders and law enforcement officers. Professionalism and sensitivity can create an environment of respect and trust. Officers who are supportive of gender-expansive citizens will
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increase lines of communication which may be helpful in solving or preventing crime. Departments and staff that are willing to create fair and thoughtful policies will create a community where all citizens feel safe and trust their law enforcement officers regardless of their sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Thank you. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We didn't catch your name.

MR. BREWINGTON: Julie
Grey-Owens. Susan.
MS. GOTTEHRER: SROs, school
resource officers. We know that Suffolk County has a full blown school resource officer program and Commissioner Ryder has repeatedly said that Nassau County does not. I'm assuming that's because -- and he refers to his budget when he talks about that. However, people need to know that school resource officers, some in some school districts are related to the police department and in some school districts are retired police. In some school districts are hired by
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private security firms. However, that does not give us any information about still their communication with the police and their interaction with the police in the schools. So, I'm going to remind you of all the sort of factors that have gone into our society that has created sort of a perfect storm on the front of schools for children. One, let's remember we have that problem-oriented policing model which means that the police are having relationships. It's part of the model. And also the police are very, very proud of, and I don't blame them, of all the programs that they have with youth. There's a very long list of youth programs that they have. I think that this model is problematic again because of what I said before. It pits these two, one really good intention of creating trust with also this data collection and getting human intelligence. So, keep that in mind.

Also keep in mind that in Police Commissioner Ryder's plan he said we'll have the Homeland Security unit of the Nassau
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County Police Department cooperating with all of the superintendents in all 50 school districts. First of all, what kind of a title is that? Is that a federal and -- what's the nature of that position? And why is that -why do we have a federal level anything dealing with our superintendents having to do with our children?

And specifically it said will be working with them on children's discipline. This is quite stunning if you look at the policing model that we're talking about. Then add to that this county is cooperating with ICE. Add to that the district attorney's Operation Matador gang prevention programs and I have to ask what is going on with communication between schools and police? That's number one.

Number two, we know that suspension rates in schools are really not distributed evenly. There's is such a disparate impact on children of color. We know that. The statistics are in the People's Plan. You can also look up the New York State Education
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Department. So, you put all those together -I mean, you have police in school who might be dealing with children discipline. What you're doing is criminalizing normal childhood behavior.

I don't know how many of you know six through eighth graders. That is normal childhood behavior. That is no place for the police to be. Discipline is for educators and psychologists and people who are child oriented. So this piece is asking put all those pictures together, put the model of policing together, put ICE, put suspensions, put it altogether and we would really like to not have police involved in schools anymore. It's not appropriate.

Number two, there has to be, if there are going to be security officers in the school, there has to be memorandums of understanding. That is now actually mandated by the state. A law was passed last year. Schools have got to have memorandums of understanding that outline what contact these officers will have with children, under what
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circumstances and NYCLU has a model policy that recommends that there be no contact with children. So this policy is also asking, the People's Plan is asking for those MOUs as well.

We are also asking for there to be data collection which you saw in the STAT Act. Meaning any time there is contact between any form of officer whether it be connected with the police department or not that has to be a recorded and reported. So the People's Plan has the details of that. We are also asking Nassau County to stop cooperating with ICE. It's time. There are children that are frightened. There are children that are sitting in schools and watching these uniforms floating around their hallways and they're the children that don't know if they're going to get home and their parents are going to be there or not. This is not appropriate to have officers in the schools. I don't care what the intention is. It's not appropriate.

So, we're asking also for support
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for the New York for All Act, which will disentangle local involvement with ICE. And we are also asking for support of the Solutions Not Suspensions Act which also is a state bill that requests that discipline be done only by teachers and by psychologists and that resources be allocated more toward psychology. And that discipline is more towards a restorative justice lens. That is the SRO piece in the People's Plan. Thank you.

> So, language access. There have been two executive orders for years now on language access. And the language access Coalition, LILAC, has been namely Sheriff Keschner, who is our fearless leader, has been really working hard on this as far as like tests, going into police precincts and testing. Nassau County has a language access plan. The problem is they're not sticking to it. We need the plan to be implemented correctly. So, I just want to give you a couple of examples.

$$
\text { As of January } 2021 \text { the Nassau }
$$

Full - 2-24-21
County Police Department has failed to comply either with the New York State attorney general's technical assistance letter which was sent or with Nassau County's executive orders 67 and 72 which were done under Ed Mangano.

Perhaps even more importantly, the police department has failed to meet its responsibilities under federal law Title 6 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and executive order 13166.

The harm caused is irreparable. When language access is not provided peoples' lives are placed in danger because they cannot get adequate police protection or response to medical. They can't get their benefits. They can't maneuver through their school settings. Or because they face criminal consequences. If you have a language barrier and you're subjected to the criminal justice system or God forbid the deportation system that's very dangerous. Failure to provide language access contributes to mistrust of law enforcement by immigrants.
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So, we have some testing members. In 2020 advocates conducted a round of calls in Spanish to the precincts. They connected to a Spanish speaking officer. Were able to receive some information. However, several others were discontinued or hung up on or told to call 911. Officers did not seem to know how to access interpretation services. In the county executive's plan, the only thing she really talks about regarding language access is language line, which you will also hear Commissioner Ryder talk about. That's all we hear. Language line, language line, language line. And they'll give you data and statistics and they plan on collecting all that. That's great. But also know that it's not always used. It's not the only thing that needs to happen according to these executive orders and these federal laws.

In July 2020 a Latino woman living
in Nassau County contacted the police due to harassment by her landlord. When the police officer responded the woman asked for a translator since she only spoke Spanish. The
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responding officer told her No, this is the United States of America. We speak English here. The woman filed a complaint with the Nassau County PD and still has not received a response. So, let's go back to the complaint process, shall we?

The language access plan must be rewritten. It is missing crucial information and some of the proposals they need to provide data on the website. You cannot use children as interpreters. You need to check the vital documents on the website. They are not being translated. If it's a PDF it's not translated. There's a Google interpretation piece on the website but there are a lot of documents in there that are not translated. Namely, I think the last time somebody checked the police complaint forms were not translated.

So, language ID cards. The department should be distributing language ID cards. There should be signage up in every single precinct. When we test that is not the case for all the languages appropriate for
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Nassau County. And I really just want to emphasize that it has to be implemented. It might be writing. It's not being
implemented. We test all the time. It's not being implemented.

Also, it has to be made clear that people do not self report their own ability to speak Spanish or speak Haitian-Creole. The department has to be on top of that. The department has to certify that. Not self-reporting. Not somebody that I know standing next to me knows a little bit of Spanish. That is not how we do this and that is not legal. And children can't be used as interpreters as well. That's a piece of it. There's a lot in this section and I really encourage you to read it. Thank you.

MR. BREWINGTON: As you can tell, we have been giving you a breeze through of the People's Plan. We are now at page 256. How's that? That's not too bad for the time we've been going. Thank you Legislator Rhoads for the smile.

I just want to say what you heard
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thus far is a comprehensive plan. It is one that is detailed in how to, not we should. It's a plan which talks about how we as a community working together in collaboration, as we should have been doing from day one, can get to a finish line that will make us a better Nassau County. Whether or not we're Democrat, Republican, Independent or anything else. The responsibility for us to get to the finish line lies with us today. We are at historical crossroads.

Let me take you to another one. The one that talks about building authentic trust and legitimacy within the community. If we haven't been talking about anything yet that's what we have been talking about all the time. How do we through transparency and accountability and responsibility and responsiveness build that trust within the community such so that the community is deeply engaged in how its police department is impacting it, impacting its youth and impacting those things that help to lift the quality of life for everybody?
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Whether or not we're talking about
Uniondale and Roosevelt or whether or not we're talking about East Meadow and Massapequa. We should be talking about how do we do that on a level that raises everybody's boat. The legitimacy with communities is extremely important.

I will tell you that PAL and other youth resources are good ideas to the degree that Susan just spoke about. Remember our interrelateness. But it's also important to understand that they are not the panacea nor are they the end of the problem. Because what we do continue to have in the community is a sense, and Tyrel spoke about this earlier, where there are disproportionate numbers of stops of individuals who are persons of color in Nassau County and nobody wants to talk about that. If we don't talk about that we can't then start to deal with accountability because that is the transparency.

In order for us to start to understand that there is a problem we need to acknowledge that there's a problem. Then we
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can talk about it.
It's important to look at this section that deals with building authentic trust and legitimacy within communities because it does deals with five major points.

One, implement community centered policies and practices.

Insure community control of policing. That means that the community gets to help make decisions about policing in its own space.

Evaluate and implement crime prevention programs that give control to communities.

Fourth, address the root causes of criminalized behavior by meeting community members' needs. We often times criminalized things that should not be. I will give you one example. We talked about this earlier with regard to mental health. I have a number of cases where police should not be involved in mental health calls. But because of the person who is having the breakdown or having the problem they then don't want to be dealt
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with. They get scared by the police -- Emily spoke about this -- and as a result of that mental health situation is criminalized.

I have a young man that has a mental capacity of a four year old. He was tased twice. He was hog tied. Taken from his place and then charged with resisting arrest, assault in the second degree, a felony, where he didn't even understand where he was when he walked into a courtroom. Yet, in that situation he was criminalized and scared to death of walking into the county court building right down the street from here. That should never have happened. But had we had the ability to implement any of these things which are interrelated that would have helped build community in the trust of that family and that entire church community that was impacted by that. Instead of being friends the police department made itself enemy. That's not the way it should happen. Moving on to technology and social media. We do not in Nassau, I'll just tell you you're not alone, Suffolk County is way
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behind the ball in terms of using technology and social media and disclosure of information that is collected in social media platforms to tell the community what's going on. Issues with regard to arrests. Issues with regard to actions taken. Issues with regard to police discipline. Issues that deal with what's going on with regard activities that may happen in the community that the police should know about. There's no platform which exists or use of social media that's appropriate.

Another way that Nassau County
fails to use social media and technology is right behind me. This chart, I gave some of you this chart before, which you will find this in the social media section of our report. You will find that at page 267. At 267 you will see that we took the arrest records of Nassau County and looked at them carefully.

If we were doing good both
technology and social media evaluation Nassau would have realized that if they would have just calculated their numbers looking at the
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cohort ratio of arrest of black individuals to white individuals that the proportionate number or the disproportionate number of arrests in Nassau County was 5.3 black persons for every one white person. How can that be when you have approximately nine to ten percent of the community being African-American in Nassau County yet they are making up that large number of arrests by ratio? It's not just talking about total numbers because total numbers are a fallacy. It's a statistical fallacy. You must look at the total number proportionate to the entire population and then do the evaluation.

What you have on page 267 and up on the board shows that the cohort ratio in 2019 was 5.3 for African-American for every one white person. And for the Latino community it was 2.3 for every one white person. That is something that we cannot run from. But if we were doing statistical analysis, going to the data selection that we spoke about before, dealing with the STAT Act, we would have that information available for us for the important
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conversation that you and all the other legislators should be engaging in saying if this is true, how and in what way must we address this from a compelling equity standpoint if indeed in our hearts we care about equity.

So, social media, transparency and the statistical work that needs to be done and made available for accountability and transparency is key. Why? Because what is inspected gets respected. Thank you Dr. Rob Gonzalez who gave us that term. Who just happens to be a former head of the New York City training portion of the academy in the New York City Police Department who helped write this plan.

When we start to go into the others we talk about hiring and training and we talk about education. We give you hard, clear examples that talk about how training is inappropriate in Nassau County. How the curriculum that was never turned over to us in the PACK or the CCT, even though we asked for it, is, in this situation, a failure for all
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of us.
Why? Let me just tell you. If you were to ask any police officer, I will ask any of the 11 that are behind me now, what was the three-fifths compromise they probably couldn't answer you. If indeed police don't understand the origins of policing and the origins of disrespecting why Black Lives Matters slogan is not a debate, it's not a comparison, it is a discussion from an historical standpoint that says that black people in America were considered to be three-fifths a person. As a result of that, even though it was in the Constitution, Section 2, and moved out at a later time, it was debated on the floor of Congress and it was a compromise that came about for purposes of economics and political power at the expense of people. If our police don't know that, if our police are not aware of that, if they have not been schooled appropriately in the academy they go out being filled with what they were taught younger or heard at a kitchen table or what their friends talked while they were in
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high school about how you deal with communities of color. We got to tell the story. If we are not educated amongst ourselves shame on us.

The next section I'm going to talk about is office of wellness. Yes, we in the People's Plan talk about how important it is for us to care for the police as they are to be able to care for the community. What does that mean? Well, let me just use an example.

If Legislator Solages was a police officer, graduated brand spanking new out of the academy, and I know he'd want to be out there doing the great work that he'd do, after a year or two or three guess what happens? He sees the hard work that police are faced with. He sees some of the underbelly of society and that is part of the job of being a police officer.

But that officer is changed. Right now officers are required to have how many psychological evaluations? Any of you know? One. At the time that they enter the academy. They're never required, unless
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something else requires them to do it by some form of discipline, to be evaluated psychologically. I'll tell you, you see somebody get shot. You see somebody get beat. You beat somebody or watch one of your coworkers beat somebody and you have nightmares about it and you don't tell anybody you are not the same person you are when you went into the job.

Therefore, for their officer wellness it is necessary that they have the ability to be evaluated on a regular basis. We talk about that. Why? Because officer wellness prevents officers who are violent prone, it prevents suicides, it prevents problems and concerns that happen in the house and then come on to the house. It prevents hatred to our particular aspect of the community.

We also are suggesting regular check-ins. Every 45 days have a check-in. How you doing? To a psychologist or a social worker just to see if there's anything going on. On top of that, having coworkers to be
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able to say hey look, Johnny over there is having a real problem and we should really try to get him some help before it becomes tragic.

Officer wellness is twofold. Public safety as Susan spoke about but also officer wellness.

Let's go to permanent equity and safety task force and then we're going to sum up.

MR. DOZIER: So Fred said it. He said the People's Plan is about equity. If indeed in our hearts we care about equity, and I believe this legislature does and I know that the communities that make up Nassau County do, surrounding out our proposals is a thought about equity and making a commitment. I ask the legislature to implement a permanent equity and safety task force under this Public Safety Committee because we know that innovation in the space of public safety goes well beyond the deadline of April 1st, when hopefully the People's Plan is submitted to the state.
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Therefore, we propose that Nassau County commission and codify a task force composed of effective community members, nonprofit officials and government officials which would wholistically look at equity and public safety in Nassau County. We know that this is needed. We like to tout that US News ranked the county number one in safety while neglecting to mention that it ranked, it was also ranked number 467 out of 500 in equity by that same publication.

A permanent commissioned task force would research how to improve Nassau's public safety apparatus utilizing an equity focused continuous improvement framework. Its responsibilities would include reviewing data and key safety indicators, soliciting community feedback and dialogue, identifying best practices for investing in communities, promoting equity and ensuring safety and publicly proposing new initiatives to pilot and implement while assessing the impact of those initiatives.

```
    It tells us to let us now, in this
```
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moment, make a commitment to engaging in the long term in this important work and ensuring what we had all stood up and said earlier today justice for all in Nassau County.

MR. BREWINGTON: For our last three minutes I'm going to ask that my sister Shaniqua Levin calls us out with an overview from a personal standpoint.

MS. LEVIN: This summer an enormous amount of Nassau residents joined in the fight against police brutality by taking to the streets to show their support for Black and Brown lives and to demand that the current state of policing change. The governor heard us and created as Executive Order 203, which requires local governments to work with the public to address racial bias and disproportionate policing of communities of color.

In an unprecedented manner, numerous organizations, community leaders and individuals came together to collectively address ways policing on Long Island can be more equitable and community oriented. To
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construct a People's Plan, we invited the public to join work groups.

Now that the protests have died down, the work of structural transformation begins. It's time to change the system because it was never meant to make all of us safe. The current state of policing doesn't prevent crime but merely provides a response to crime. We do not need more officers in our communities. We need programs that will uplift our people, promote equity and help break generational cycles because we've only been out of segregation for 53 years. When America colonizers needed a workforce they used blacks as slaves. When that became illegal the war on drugs became their next tool and then mass incarceration. When white people began to experience an opioid crisis they weren't met with a war but with programs designed to help them. Now is the time to stand up for Black and Brown people and the LGBT plus community and correct the wrongs of America's history. It's time that we change the system. Not just make
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minor adjustments to your current way of working.

Many systems in our country have changed throughout the years and policing shouldn't be exempt. Nationwide systemic racism has built inequitable policies and discriminatory practices to the very foundation of our criminal justice institution. Across the country we have filled our prisons and even here in Nassau with Black and Brown people and expanded policing incarceration while defunding the very systems and programs that would address the root causes of crime.

Long Island has an infamous history of racial segregation and hyper policing of Black and Latinx communities. For too long our communities of color have been the victim of these practices while we failed to repair the harm caused to those left behind. Much of the work police do is merely engaging in the daily harassment of black communities for minor crimes of poverty that shouldn't be criminalized in the first place.
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Out of 10.3 million arrests made per year nationally only five percent are for serious offenses including murder, rape and aggregated assault that threaten public safety. The remaining 95 percent of arrests are for incidents such as traffic violations, marijuana possession, unlawful assembly and even lesser indiscretions.

These statistics evidence that police agencies are spending an overwhelmingly majority of resources on minor incidents that do not actually threaten everyday life but in fact do lead to mass criminalization and incarceration of Black and Brown Americans.

On a day-to-day basis Black people have to worry about their Black sons, their Black husbands, their Black brothers, their Black nephews, their Black cousins, their Black grandchildren. They worry about everybody Black because every time they encounter police officers they fear that their black skin that they were born with will make some police officers scared of them and beat them or kill them. Black people are your
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neighbors, your colleagues, your staff, your employers, your friends and most importantly they are people. We need you to value our lives. Show up for us and take action because we are under attack.

Racism is a pandemic that has been plaguing black people for centuries. In order to enact change we came together and proposed ideas that are community centered and transform the current state of policing into one that is just for everyone. We can no longer accept a model that was developed from a slave patrol system as our present day mechanism for ensuring public safety.

We cannot leave transformation in the hands of police. They have shown that they are incapable of positive structure reform and they cannot police themselves. The police are responsible for way too much. They are not equipped to deal with this broad range of issues. Police are also tasked with finding criminals and to look for them in neighborhoods they know nothing about.

We need people that are actually
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trained in dealing with mental health, substance abuse and houselessness. We need a better system. Not more armed police officers to deal with public safety. The policing system should be designed to work for the people and the people should have a say in what tasks the police are responsible for. Together let's promote transforming public safety by using restorative justice and alternatives that stop the cycle of violence.

If we don't change things our kids' kids and their kids will still have to march in the street, create even more hashtags and call out even more names to remember because someone is scared and doesn't value black skin. Are you willing to be part of another generation that remains complacent with the way Black and Brown lives are treated when it comes to law enforcement? Black and Brown people should feel the same safety and security that white people feel. But as it stands right now we don't.

Let's be the generation that makes an impact. Let's change the fact that Black
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parents have to have the talk with their kids and explain that they must do certain things in order to remain alive during even simple encounters with police.

Now that the plan is completed, we need your support more than ever. We need you to take that energy that was brought to the streets and put the People's Plan in place. We have so many people, organizations and individuals that have endorsed the People's Plan and it was just released last week. We need you to stand up for the People's Plan just as they did.

The plan was created by the people, for the people. Not behind closed doors without the voters from your communities knowledge. The plan has provided you with data, evidence, examples, resources to help you advocate for real police transformation. We have the opportunity to fix the system that is rooted in bias and racism. We deserve a system that will work for all Long Islanders no matter the color of their skin, economic status or where they live. Now is not the
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time to sit back and be neutral and complacent. We must use our voices to stand up for change.

Together let's make the People's Plan the standard of how Long Island police departments deal with community safety.

On December 13th Newsday published an article called For Their Eyes Only. For those of you that say this doesn't happen in Nassau County, we don't have to worry about these things, more than 100 cops involved in serious misconduct cases either remained on the job or continued to work for years before retiring. At least 33 officers have retired with serious misconduct charges pending according to Newsday and they were only able to find six officers who were officially terminated.

At least 49 Nassau and Suffolk cops have been sued more than once. Most often for excessive force. Nassau and Suffolk County have had to pay more than $\$ 55$ million to settle misconduct allegations against Nassau and Suffolk County police departments. The
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actual amount could be higher because settlement agreements have been sealed.

Let's take a look at what happened with Nassau highway patrol officer Joseph Lynch. Nassau officer Anthony Raymond. Nassau officer Trujillo. These cases show you this does happen here in Nassau. These stories of what they did are disturbing in their own right but it's important to remember they are only a fraction of the true extent of violence, abuse and corruption that occurs within the police departments.

It is critical that we take action immediately for the sake of Christopher Wade, Kevin Turner, Michael Vonte, Rondese Hilton-Jones, Matthew Felix, Angel Rivera, Akbar Rogers. All Long Island folks. And all other unnamed victims of wrongful arrest and police brutality and those that deserve a future without living in fear.

It is your turn to do the right thing. Pass legislation not just policy because policies are not good enough. Use your power to make the People's Plan a reality
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for Nassau County. Thank you.
MR. BREWINGTON: Thank you
Shaniqua. I just want to make sure that we footnote this. $\$ 55$ million according to the Wall Street was Nassau County. Suffolk County was close to $\$ 17$ million. So the total there is almost $\$ 70$ million. The numbers are in your book as well as on the chart as we stated before.

We thank you for the time. We thank you for your ear and we thank you for your earnest listening. We stand prepared to answer questions. And $I$ will just let you know that the questions that you have also we will probably refer you to portions because the People's Plan is not the fluff that you've seen before. It is a detailed, well researched intended plan to answer questions not avoid them.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very
much. It actually was very interesting. Sometimes I feel like I got the quick notes on this after reading a good portion of the People's Plan that you sent to us last week.
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Yes, you do include a lot of information and a lot of statistics, numbers and everything that helps us as we read through this.

What we're going to do is, in order to be fair to all the legislators, $I$ know it's going to be hard trying to keep my eye on the screen here for those that are at home, but I'm going to ask the legislators, so we can at least cover everybody, not everybody had an opportunity the last time, I will ask the legislators to keep their questions to at least three questions each until we go through and come back. Just so in all fairness. I will hold off for a minute because I keep talking here. But I'm going to -- I think it's Presiding Officer Rich Nicolello and then just let me know. I'll go to Delia and I'll try to bounce back and forth back.

## LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Just to

echo what Chairwoman Ford said, thank you for the presentation and for the plan itself. Obviously very professionally done. A lot of work went into it. A lot of thought went into it. We appreciate that. Obviously reasonable
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minds may differ on this but it's more than important that we have a discussion among reasonable people about it.

I, obviously with the limitation of
three questions, you can only scratch the surface of some of the things that have been discussed, but I do want to probe into certain things.

First, Susan, with respect to the statement that we do not want the police involved in the schools. In the presentation get cops out of schools. Concern about police floating around in the schools. That apparently has gotten out into the community, this aspect of your plan. I have a letter from the Nassau County Counsel of School Superintendents in support of police in the schools. I have gotten calls from superintendents on their own about this issue. I think other legislators have. It is my impression that, my firm impression, that the schools, the superintendents are close to unanimous in their support of these programs. That
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especially in an era of gun violence and safety in schools, where schools are locked down, there's officers and volunteers at the front door this is of prime importance. They have a great working relationship with the schools.

My question to you is, in
presenting this as part of the People's Plan who did you speak to among the school superintendents or other school leaders in Nassau County about this issue?

MS. GOTTEHRER: We've been in
conversation with a lot of experts relating to student discipline. We know that the suspension rates are not what they should be amongst children of color. And there are superintendents who -- we know the superintendents are in favor of this and part of the reason for this is because of the school safety issue. We understand that.

But what we're trying to get at here is that police should not be disciplining children. If there is -- the school safety plans that were mandated by the governor we

Full - 2-24-21
understand that has with due with the school shootings. There has to be a way to separate the presence of police and the feeling of the need for resource officers and what exactly they're capable of doing actually under those circumstances is another question as well. But we want to make sure that there is a firewall between law enforcement and children's discipline. That is the key right there. So, that's what $I$ can tell you about that. We understand that superintendents are in favor of this but we also -- there are many more ways to go about this and schools need more resources to be able to deal with the many issues that the children and the families are facing.

The other question is, what are the school districts where there are actual police officers and which of the school districts are there not? And you will find a disparity there as well. So, in trying to put together an equitable solution and approaching children the way they really need to be approached that is what we are recommending.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I would
feel more confident if you had relied upon what the school superintendents who are involved with the school, actually leading the schools, as opposed to experts.

Let me say with respect to discipline, my understanding is discipline of a student is the responsibility of a school district. However, sometimes acts which lead to discipline also are criminal acts. In these school districts, under those circumstances, are absolutely obligated to bring the police in once they have knowledge of a criminal act.

MR. BREWINGTON: I hear you and they are when that happens. But having the police criminalize a disciplinary situation simply because they're present and they decide that that's what they're going to do as opposed to discipline is a different issue.

I have spoken to some
superintendents. In my discussions with superintendents they are conflicted in a lot of ways. First of all, when they get a phone
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call from someone from the police department saying we want you to support SROs. They are very concerned that they won't get the support that they need if they don't support it. We should talk about that. I'm not speaking out of school. People are concerned about that. But the other thing is that when we see the disproportionate number of arrests that happen in certain schools versus other schools, and $I$ won't talk from community to community, we must question that. Because the presence of the school resource officers in certain schools in certain levels to deal with certain populations is also part of one of those 'isms that we have a responsibility to evaluate.

Superintendents may all be well being but I'll just tell you that those superintendents that are overwhelming because we know that the percentage of superintendents in Nassau County are not superintendents of color. We know that the number of superintendents in Nassau County that handle students that are largely student populations
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of color are small.
So we need to look at that and then we need to have a real in-depth discussion with those superintendents in certain districts that want to make decisions for other districts because we know school districts don't like that. You know that. They don't like that. But yet you can't speak with one broad brush on that issue. We must then evaluate how it impacts the school to prison pipeline and feeds the Nassau County jail that we all know if we walk in there or when we're allowed to walk in there see a disproportionate number of our Black and Brown people in that jail.

MS. EDWARDS: I just want to add one thing. The Brookins Institute, the study is that Black students are disciplined 3.4 times more than white students for the same offense. For the same offense. Latino students a little bit more but more than white students. You have to separate public safety in terms of school shootings and how this is involved with discipline of students. That's
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what we are talking to you about.
So, there will be some superintendents that want to care for this but please not use the overall umbrella of public safety. There is a difference in how the MOU is written and what is actually going on in the school districts.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Again, when you hear from the superintendents -- I was an attorney for a school district for many years. I saw the interaction between the local POP officer and the school district. It is, from what I'm hearing and what I observed, almost uniformly positive. They want the resources. They want the police in the school districts. They want the police to be able to respond quickly to different events in the community.

So again, you can argue different points of view but I'm going to rely on the people who are in the districts every day, who are leading those districts, whatever their color, whatever their background, whose only concern is the children in their charge.
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I, again, if you're going to propose something I would like to see -- the People's Plan it should be coming up from the roots not from experts.

MS. GOTTEHRER: Are you also talking to the parents and the children speaking of the roots? When you say you're only talking to the superintendents my question would be are you talking to the parents also?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The last few days it was just the superintendents. I will venture that if I go in front of a PTA meeting I think the vast majority of those parents are going to support the act of connection.

MS. GOTTEHRER: I think it depends on the school.

MS. LEVIN: I wanted just to add to that $I$ believe in the report it says even with the shootings that have occurred our schools are still one of the safest places to be. So, we cannot use the fear of something happening to determine the policies that we
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have within the schools. If children are at a disproportionate rate of being impacted by the police officers that are there, regardless of the superintendents that are saying this is something I like, it makes me feel good, you got to take a look at it from the children's perspective.

The fear of does he see me at school and see me doing something, so now I'm out on the streets he sees me there he's going to be overly watching every move I make to see if he should incarcerate me then. So that's something that you also have to remember. The schools are still one of the safest places to be and you can't govern from a place of fear. And if it's a shooting problem that they're worried about then we should be addressing guns not having the police in the school to deal with it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I was
ready to move on but $I$ mean underlying this, in my opinion, is a nefarious concept which is that it is a negative thing to have a police officer among school children. I reject
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that. I think it's a positive thing to have police there. Again, we cannot as a society start attributing or painting all police as negative, as pernicious. I reject that. MS. LEVIN: Who's doing that sir?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You're saying to me it can't happen in the school. MS. LEVIN: There's other places they can be.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why can't a police officer be walking down the hallway? MS. LEVIN: There's other places for them to be. There's community centers. Having the police come in and engaging with them for a day.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why can't you have a police officer floating down the hallway?

MS. GOTTEHRER: Why do you need a police officer floating down the hallway? I don't remember police getting involved in any discipline in my school when $I$ was growing up. Do you? And the other question that I have for you --
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Obviously they're not there every day. They don't have the time to do that. But if a police officer is coming in to visit with the superintendent and is floating down the hallway.

MS. GOTTEHRER: That statement that you made about if there's criminal activity then it should be addressed through a criminal lens. Let me ask if you think that's happening in all the schools? Every single school district, when there's criminal activity do you think always the police are going to be there? I have the feeling the answer is no.

And the other thing I want to offer you is, we have people who helped to write this who do come from the community -- just want to correct that little statement that you made there -- who can meet with you and share much more in depth their experiences and the communities that they come from and can help you walk through this section a little bit more. We actually want to offer that to everybody.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I just
have one other thing I wanted to touch on that's this consent of liability insurance to disincentivize the bad act. I thought about that for a moment and this is part of my concern at the moment, but what $I$ think it would do is disincentivize all acts. I think that's the concern that many of us have which is everyone wants to see reform and every institution needs to be reformed on a regular basis. Law enforcement especially because it's a paramilitary organization. But what you don't want is retreat. You're seeing retreat in the City of New York. You've seen retreat in the city of Chicago, in San Francisco, in Minneapolis. What happens is crime rate goes up and the people suffer.

Me personally, I would say I'm not going to support anything which I think will lead to a retreat as opposed to a reform. I think concepts like liability insurance is interesting as an insurance attorney and it shows thinking out of the box. I think it would lead to not inaction as opposed to not
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just negative action, no action.
MR. BREWINGTON: Mr. Nicolello, I hear you and I thank you for thinking because up until today you hadn't thought about it, right?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's
true.
MR. BREWINGTON: Let's talk about
what the statistics show. If we're using
statistical, analytical basis information that's been studied by the people that know the best, that's one of the things that this body should have a conversation about. Because if you go on your gut reaction I will tell you that when I call you up about a school district and a student that's gone through discipline I know what you're going to tell me, we'll look into and then you're going to circle the wagons as school districts often do and I not going to talk about it.

We now are talking about
evidence-based information that you as a legislator and the head of the whole shooting match shouldn't be going on gut. You should
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be going on evidence-based information that's available and engaging each other in that debate so that we have -- because I'll just tell you, our plan is very different from the county executive's cosmetic thing. Why? Because we went back and found the information that answers your question not based on what I think or on what Susan thinks or what Tyrel thinks but on what the evidence supports so that we can start to make 21 st century decisions not gut decisions.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you.
MR. DOZIER: What we provided was an infrastructure of accountability and the infrastructure of accountability means that the liability insurance is just one piece. So, if you also have a CCRB which would look at issues when they get complaints coming in then they would be able to make the understanding and the acknowledgment of whether there was an actual discipline issue. If it wasn't, then the police officer that engages in the behavior would not have the
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increased liability insurance.
I think what the legislature has to ask itself is over the past five years it has had to pay out at a minimum $\$ 55$ million taxpayer dollars for misconduct. Misconduct that was conducted by our police officers. The police officers that might be behind me, many of them might be very good police officers. I'm not worried about them. They'll get money back from the liability insurance because they'll have lower premiums. But those officers that engage in misconduct why would we allow that or condone that? We shouldn't.

You currently have the IAB process which says that they shouldn't be condoning it. This is saying as an infrastructure of accountability, the liability insurance being one piece, we are not going to condone it. If you are accountable and you have a history of this then you are going to have to be accountable for that history. I think when you take it in the encompassing of the entire infrastructure it looks different.

Full - 2-24-21
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Again, I will turn things over. I want to thank you. It is great to have a reasonable discussion on this. Especially in our society all you see is one extreme and the other extreme and nobody talking in the middle. This is very valuable to us.

MS. LEVIN: Is there something that you liked about the plan? I only heard the things that you weren't comfortable with the plan. Is there something in the plan that you would gravitate to support?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: There are things that we are looking at. I would not want to call any one out in particular. Look, obviously language. There should be adequate support so that people get responses in your own languages, whether it's 911 or the police that are responding to a call. The support services for police that you're proposing right away that seems like a positive idea. There are other things. I would go through this and tell you what $I$ really like. There's more but just two examples.
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MR. BREWINGTON: We are open for more conversations.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you. He got extra time because he is the presiding officer and actually today is his birthday. Give to you some consideration. Don't ever do it again.

I just want to recognize that
Legislator Carrie Solages has been with us for awhile but we didn't have an opportunity to make sure that it was put it on the record.

I'm going to see if the Minority
Leader Legislator Abrahams has any questions. If he comes back or says anything but Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton do you have anything?

## LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I

do. Thank you. And again, I want to thank you for your presentation. I can see how well thought out everything was including your presentation and how quickly you went through 300 pages which is impressive. I think that like so many other things in life it's hard to just say what's good and what's bad.
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Although it doesn't happen all the time, I agree with Presiding Officer Rich Nicolello with the fact that I think to say that we don't want any police in the schools is just a broad brush. Just to give you a background. I was married to a police officer for 20 years. 14 years. I'm no longer married to him. I was with him 20 years. My father, I think you know Fred, was a criminal judge in Nassau County for many, many years.

The bottom line is, there's so much good that happens with the relationship and I'm from Glen Cove and I know the Glen Cove school district and I know Glen Cove having a police officer. In my opinion, just from the reaction that the kids to this officer McDougall was there for many years. He was their mentor. They did confide in him sometimes. But they built up a trust. Let me tell you that trust would not have been there had that information been turned around and used against them quickly.

I'll tell you, sometimes there's opportunities where something's going wrong
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with the kid, with the young girl, and she doesn't feel safe telling her parents. And maybe it's in the home. I've seen that happened where police were able to help kids that couldn't go to their parents because of some things that were going on.

So, to paint it with a broad brush and say I want all police out of schools not only for the safety reason, and my ex-husband was brought into schools that weren't even in our district for safety reasons.

But $I$ think that maybe putting a few protocols in place. For instance, discipline, $I$ don't recall that being part of anything $I$ ever heard of in 20 years. I wasn't a legislator, $I$ was just a wife. But I heard what they did. Discipline wasn't part of it. I was very, I don't know, open to a lot of things that I heard. I'm a mother. I would tell you if $I$ was worried about that. But that discipline wasn't it. A lot of times it was giving an alternative, someone to speak to, someone to ask advice of.

My ex-husband got involved with
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many, many young kids. I remember them buying things for them. I remember coaching. All this stuff. There's a lot of good. So, to just say to get rid of all of them $I$ don't think that would do justice to all our kids. Are there bad decisions that maybe should be looked into? Absolutely. But in Glen Cove I would tell you I know that it's a very positive influence.

Glen Cove is very diverse. I'm so lucky that I grew up there because I grew up knowing everybody and knowing every religion. Once you get to know people you really get to know we all want the same thing. We want safety for our family. We want health for our family. We want happiness. We want our kids to do well and respect us, which doesn't always -- but the bottom line is we're so much more alike than we're different and I think the way to get around that is to be around each other. But that's off the subject.

MS. LEVIN: Can I respond? It's funny that you mentioned Glen Cove because I was born and raised in Glen Cove. I see a
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different Glen Cove than you see. So, when I walked through the hallways and saw those police officers my body was filled with fear, not love, not excitement. Fear for the things that they'll possibly do to me, my brothers and sisters. Fear from the things that I saw in my community.

So, although Glen Cove is very diverse, we also both know that, especially when we grew up, it was very segregated. You lived in your section and I lived in mine. The police treated people in your section differently than they treated the people in mine.

The things that people may feel comfortable or having gone to the police officers for those are the jobs of social workers. Police aren't trained and don't have enough training to deal with that. Social workers who have a master's degree should be dealing with those kind of things. A lot of our schools have one or two.

In our school he was named Mr. Baldwin. Something like that. It was a B.
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If you didn't feel comfortable going to him you had no choice. There was no Black therapist in that school that $I$ would have felt comfortable with. And I remember walking past his office several times seeing the white kids go in there talking to them wishing that I could feel that same comfort level. If we took those funds and put it into giving it to the right people to be in those schools so we have multiple options and choices to go and speak to someone who is actually thoroughly trained to deal with the emotional turmoil that people may be going through in their home or in school we would have better outcomes. Let the police do the police work and not the work of a social worker.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It's interesting because, again, Jack McDougall was his name and I don't know if you were there when he was there but I went through the Glen Cove schools too. The bottom line is they were there for the kids. I really always felt that way. And I know a lot, believe me, I've spent a lot of time up in the Hud giving out
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food. I'm there regularly. I know that -and actually there are reports that also show that many minority communities don't want defunding of the police.

MR. BREWINGTON: Nobody said defunding. Please let's just strike that. You can't strike that. I will allow you to withdraw it.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm glad to hear you say that. Many of them like the support that they receive from the police. I get it. I understand the statistics have to be out there more. I'm sure there's improvements. We started that off right from day one. I'm not saying that. But I want to tell you something else. Again, growing up as a daughter of a criminal court judge, are you kidding me? Do you know the things he would tell me? Like, if someone hits you in you rear don't stop, keep going. Because there are people that do that. They'll find a young girl by themselves and hit them in the rear. Nothing to do with police at all. But there are
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really bad thing that happen out there sometimes. The police are our first line of defense with that.

And I respect the fact that you want to have social workers there and you're going to have a 911 guy tell you if there's a gun or not. Okay, that's great. But what if there is a weapon? How are we going to protect our social workers from a situation that doesn't sound bad at first but we saw just from watching the testimony about January 6th this week things go bad quick sometimes.

I got to tell you, I've heard so many police calls that went bad and I saw my husband run out of the house from eating dinner to running out the front door. It didn't sound that bad in the beginning but it goes quick. For us to say, you know, it would be great if they didn't to have a gun when you pulled someone over. But what if something escalates? I don't think that we can -- the police are handling some really tough situations.

MS. GOTTEHRER: They don't have
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to be everywhere in society. Saying that they should be in a school is -- they don't have the expertise to be in a school.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We are going to agree to disagree.

MR. BREWINGTON: I think one of
the social workers with the mask wanted to respond to that. I just want to take exception to something you said before. You weren't just a wife. You said just a wife. You were not.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I wasn't an elected official at the time.

MR. BREWINGTON: The just didn't need to be there. In my book anyhow.

MS. CAUFMAN: I wanted to come and address the comment. I am a clinical social worker. I've been for over a decade. I've worked in schools in the South Bronx. I've worked in places that are written about as bad schools, as dangerous schools. I've worked in the homes of juvenile delinquent youth as alternatives in incarceration working with their families. I've worked in the child
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welfare system doing in home family therapy for families who had indicated cases of abuse and neglect. Where there were serious mental health issues and substance use. And I will tell you that is where I need to be.

I have a master's degree. I had to get three additional years after that in clinical work. I am trained for those situations. I will show you research after research after research. Including everybody knows about CIT training. That's what we give our police. CIT training to better engage with mental health and substance use. Whether that's occurring with youth under 18. We're talking about youth or we're talking about people on the street. The creators of CIT, who are predominantly law enforcement, have recently, in the most recent report, said the presence of a police officer because of the uniform, because of the badge, sometimes because of the sirens and because they are trained often as they need to be for violent situations to say stern orders, that kind of engagement can escalate situations. We don't
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have to debate whether this is true. There is true research out there. I would be happy to meet with you. To get a team of other folks to meet with you, so we can really, as Fred says, make sure we're doing this from the research and the data and not our guts.

MS. EDWARDS: Legislator
DeRiggi-Whitton, I just want to add one more thing. I am concerned that you used the word defund. I am concerned that Presiding Officer Nicolello used the word retreat. That's not what this is about. You were in a police officer family. I was raised by a police officer. They don't retreat. This is not what we're talking about. You can't let your personal Glen Cove experiences override the data that is in front of you. We're asking you to read the information. To ask the questions based on the information that we have provided you, which is based on research. Because your experience in Glen Cove is not everybody's experience in Nassau County. And we are approaching this from a racial disparity
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perspective. Please let me finish. What we want to do is ensure that everyone has the same experiences in Nassau County and they do not currently today.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
understand that and we started that out. You don't know me. You don't know who my friends are. I was all-county in softball. I was with every different group. I played with incredible athletes that were some of my best friends.

MS. EDWARDS: This is not about my best friend is black story. This is about racial disparity.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: The
bottom line is I've had friends from all races, religion and $I$ was raised by very kind, good people that my mother would love everybody. I was raised that way. I feel that $I$ have that in my heart. You can't tell me that I'm -- I resent that. The bottom line is, we can't just say that it's a bad situation. Maybe in some school districts it's not governed correctly
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having police there. My point is from what I've heard and what I've seen there's a lot of positives and you're not taking any of those into consideration.

MR. BREWINGTON: Sure we are.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I think to say like you said we want the police all out of schools is not a fair assessment, and I think that you really have to see how many lives they've helped. I know how many kids. I was there. I was dropping things off with my husband at the time. I saw the way they hugged him. I saw the love that they have for them. A lot of them really looked up to them and some of them were taking the police test. I saw that side. Again, you can't just say no police. Like, it has to be controlled but it has to be, you know, case by case.

MR. BREWINGTON: I would just encourage you to read the section.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I did look at it a little bit.

MR. BREWINGTON: Don't look at it
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little bit.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm going to ask my last question. Getting back to the social worker. I agree. I have a daughter also that has a medical condition. She has type one diabetes. I agree that there are situations where social work is really important. Because when she is low you would think she's intoxicated sometimes. I've also seen it with other type ones that were pulled over and there were syringes in the front and they're out of it and the first thing someone's going to think is they're OD'ing on heroin where it could be diabetes.

I know that there are certain situations that we need to be educated on and social workers do incredible work. My other daughter is actually starting graduate school for clinical psychology.

The bottom line is, I respect that field. I'm worried about the safety of some of these social workers. I am worried about it because, God help me, sometimes you don't know what type of call you're going on. Yes,
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the 911 is going to ensure that there's no danger. But anything can be used as a weapon sometimes.

I think going out -- I also did my student teaching in Hempstead. My family is from Hempstead. I know that there are -- but the bottom line is things can go quick and that's what the police training comes into play. That if I had a social worker responding to a call that we said there was no weapon and then they get hit with a bat it's not always so easy to determine what the risks are. Does that mean that this person should be incarcerated? No, not if they have a mental illness. But going out on the call is going to be a real -- you're putting these people in danger a lot of times because again you don't know how quickly that situation can escalate.
MR. DOZIER: If I can respond?

To both what you were saying about the safety officers and the social worker is that I understand and I think all of us understand that that fear is very real. But we also have
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to look at the research. We can't rely on our individual fears and anecdotes. We have to look at the research. Now we have a work group that Emily is going to talk to that did the research and they actually found that that fear is unwarranted. Now, we have the fears. Doesn't mean that they're warranted.

As it relates to the SROs, to the police in schools, you said well, these police they're kind people. Most people would say teachers are kind people. They get in front of kids. I work in the Department of Education. I understand. Principals are kind people. They get into it for the kids. Yet the statistics that Tracey just said was that Black youth get 3.9 times disciplined for the same issue than white youth. I don't think that that -- that still means they're kind people. There's a bias that's taking place. The good things that you mentioned about what police officers do in schools is something that anybody can do. We can have a football coach that comes in and engages youth. Why does it have to have someone with
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a badge? What makes the badge special about talking to somebody? A social worker or a counselor is actually trained to get those feelings out. What makes the badge something in particular?

So we're saying if we know within our walls that research says that Black youth are more disciplined. In Rochester, who saw the video? A police officer maced a nine year old in Rochester. Probably going to get fully off. A police officer maced a nine year old. When you saw the video there was another police officer saying can you just mace her already?

Now, maybe you're husband would have never done that but someone with a badge did do that and that's what we are trying to eliminate.

The reality that kids walk through the hallways and they're afraid that you know what? they're going to do kids things. They're going to do teenager things and get into trouble. That's what youth do. We all know it. Yet, that shouldn't mean that they
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rely -- end up behind bars. When we know that young, privileged, particularly white youth, often don't end up behind bars. They often do end up with a slap on the wrist.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm finished with my questions. I just want to mention one quick thing. A lot of the police, I did see the stress and I'm very happy that you have that element in your suggestions. There is a lot of stress involved with that job. They do want to come back to their families. The safety of themselves seeing so much violence and like you called it the underbelly it's real and it's cumulative. I appreciate that aspect of it.

I don't want to see us discourage the good police. I think even what you're saying with school why is it the badge? Because for some reason a lot of kids respect police because they see them as the part of the society that -- I know you're not going like me saying that -- but there is a lot of respect and there is a lot of good that comes from law abiding and protecting. That's what
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the kids want to dress up as. I remember the police, the firemen. There is a lot of good there. I don't want to see us just broad brush it. Again, with the schools, it has to be looked at case by case that's all I'm asking you. I know in Glen Cove we would -- I know.

MR. BREWINGTON: I encourage you to read it.

MS. LEVIN: We all want to feel that.

MS. CAUFMAN: Can I just respond to the case about crisis response because there's a part of that question in there. I will make it very brief.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Please because we're going to move on to the next one.

MS. CAUFMAN: I really want to make sure and truly appreciate your comments. This People's Plan is not disparaging police. We have a very important role in society for police. I want to make that really clear. But they are not the only public safety mechanism. And when we are worried about harm
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happening we have to be worried about harm happening to community members. So, we can go to the lawsuits where brutalization happened. I care about those people as representatives of those community members. I'm certain you care about those people too.

So when we look at the research and Ms. DeRiggi-Whitton $I$ would be more than happy to have an individual meeting with you and we will call up Austin, Texas and we will get on the phone with Denver and we can talk to their police chiefs who are overwhelming positive about transforming crisis response.

I promise you as a social worker I have one time in my last 15 years assessed a situation where there was a weapon and I called the police and thank God they were there. That happens. And if we look at the cohorts program that has happened less than one percent of the time and how much money did we save? How many community members in crisis got the help they needed without being traumatized? And how many law enforcement officials were able to actually focus on the

Full - 2-24-21
true crime we want them to. That's what we're saying.

These are models happening
throughout the country. We implore you, please, police officers are happy with these changes. I don't want you take my word for it. But we have been in contact with these cities. I will get them on the phone so we can all have an intelligent, research-based conversation together.

MS. GOTTEHRER: You can also talk
to specifically the people who did the research and worked with community members on this piece. So, let us know.

LEGISLATOR FORD: We are going to move on to Legislator Steve Rhoads.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I didn't realize $I$ was coming up so fast. Thank you Madam Chairwoman. I do since we were talking about and our masters in social work and I apologize. I wanted to address you by name and I missed it.

MS. CAUFMAN: Emily.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you
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Emily, I appreciate it. I wanted to address the transforming crisis response piece of the plan. I'm a volunteer fireman. I've been an engine captain for nine years. My incident management training and my own personal experience has been when you're responding to an alarm that it's a lot easier to bring in all the forces that you need and send some home than being caught short. I need to brush up a little bit more on the details of the crisis response plan, but an overresponse I understand can certainly be dangerous but so can an underresponse.

So, when you're talking about a tiered approached to our crisis response, what exactly do you mean by that and how does that work?
MS. CAUFMAN: I appreciate the question Legislator Rhoads. I guess before I answer it $I$ would love if we can schedule a meeting and really sit down and go through the details because it's a really important question. I want to be able to provide to you the different assessment tools that we've
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already obtained from how Denver this. Denver, Colorado started a pilot program. I'm not saying on April 1st switch it over and now we have this new system that we've never tried before.

Denver, Colorado started a pilot program back in June. Six months. They collected deep data. Made it all transparent. I'll give you the website. I can put you on the phone with Vinnie Cervantes in Denver. Lovely man. Brought us in to do a presentation with him because Cook County's looking at this and here on Long Island we're looking at this.

That data was so powerful they had a $\$ 200,000$ grant to start this pilot in just a small section. See if it would work. Because public safety is paramount. If anything we would never propose something or want something and if we found it was not safe it has to be scrapped. But what they found in six months was that it was so successful. It saved so many hours of police officers being able to focus on real crime. The amount of
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calls. The Elijah McClains. The suspicious person walking down the street who ended up being murdered or ended up being killed. The Daniel Prudes. We have name after name.

Their model was so successful after six months they were just granted from Colorado $\$ 1.4$ million to expand it. That is what I would really love to sit down and talk about and bring in these other cities. How did they start it? That's ultimately what we are proposing. We have four components. We would propose a model, a pilot and let's research it together. We'll track it together.

If the fears that you or others have ended up being founded we scrap it. But I think what we are going to find is what Denver has found, what Oregon has found, what Austin has found, what LA has found, what New York City's pilot is doing, what Ithaca just found and I'm forgetting all of the rest. Albuquerque is doing something.

My point is, the things we are afraid of they were afraid of too. The fears
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are founded. I don't want to dismiss those because I get it. But there is a lot of stigma on this. And those fears were held by those other cities also and they've turned out to be unfounded.

And truly the switch, not only is
it safer, because $I$ know at the end of the day also you run a county, it is also about business and money, it is cheaper. It's better for everyone. And police prefer it. I spoke with Ryder months ago because he was part of the mental health plan, the task force.

The beginning was I would love to sit down. It's not a conversation we can just have in a few minutes, and I appreciate your openness and your thoughtfulness and I really would love -- I'll bring a wealth of documents. We can meet on Zoom. I'll bring my coffee. I won't have to wear my suit jacket. And I'd really love to go through it because we are proud of this work but it's happening all over and Nassau County should be a part of it.
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LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I will
certainly take you up on that opportunity. I know since we have at least 19 of us either in person or on online.

Just if you can walk me through, like, for example, if a telephone call comes into 911 and the report is a suspected EDP, emotionally disturbed person, somebody acting irrationally in some way. The person who is obviously calling is not the EDP. So, how would the 911 operator be able to assess based on the person that's calling the level of threat? Because let's say you send a nonpolice officer response as the first person that's interacting with this suspected emotionally disturbed person. That EDP has a weapon. Next thing you know that nonpolice officer is dead. How does your plan address that?
MS. CAUFMAN: Again, it's a wonderful question. I will loop this back in a little bit as far as liability insurance. My level of clinical training also requires that I have malpractice insurance. The reason
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for that is because my ability to assess a situation, and I'm not trying to brag, is that high. If $I$ were to get it wrong $I$ can get sued and be out of a job. I feel like there's also a little stigma. Like oh, the cute social workers who like to play with kids. We're really trained clinicians and we're good at what we do.

So, a model like Austin has clinicians and we can go over the five reforms in the component one of the 911 system. The amount of training that goes in, like I mentioned Denver, we have their call scripts, we can get it from Austin also, exactly how they do the call script assessment. So that that 911 operator feels comfortable and confident. And again, we're not switching it over April 2nd. We need to have extensive training to ensure that assessment can be made. But the investment in that training is to ensure -- while I continue to hear the fear of what if the responder ends up dead, we need to look at the other side. Because the response right now leaves too many community
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members, if not dead, I'll give you the dead cases but move out of the dead cases, too many people traumatized, too many people unnecessarily brought to an ER room where we're draining resources. We didn't get into the details and I don't want to take too much time, we're talking about stabilization hubs like Suffolk has with their DASH program. Again, it saves money all the way around.

When these assessments happen from the 911 operator there is consistency all the way up through dispatch. And if I am sent out on a scene, and nobody goes individually, you go also generally we're recommending with a trained peer responder who is the number one best person to communicate with the person in crisis. I'll you all the research on that and where it's happening. Sometimes there's a medic and there's also a trained clinical response.

When I get there I can do scene safety real quick. I've worked in wealthy neighborhoods. I've worked in the projects in Brooklyn and the Bronx. I know how to assess
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a scene. If I need to ensure my own safety because I feel my safety is threatened and/or need extra backup for the person's safety, I'm already in the 911 system. We will walk you through how this is happening.

Again, I really feel like $I$ want to get you on the phone. I can get Austin on the phone tomorrow because I know that legislator had the same fear and I want you to be able to talk person-to-person with the head of integral care, MCOT program, so that they can really show you not how I'm imagining it's going to happen here, they're doing it.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I appreciate the response. Thank you very much and I will certainly take you up on that opportunity. I don't know if you want to have 19 individual meetings.

MS. CAUFMAN: I will have as many as it takes.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Second question is outline obviously it's wonderful that we have the opportunity today to be able to hear the People's Plan and be able to ask
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questions and get some feedback. I know that the county executive had a process by which they developed the plan that was generated through PACK and through the CCBs. Did you ever have the opportunity -- what opportunities were provided for you to make the presentation that you're making to us today as part of that process? MR. BREWINGTON: How much time you got?

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: You don't want me answering that. LEGISLATOR FORD: Not a lot. MR. BREWINGTON: Let me try to give it to you in a thimble. We wrote to the governor because Nassau had tried to turn the PACK system into its task force. PACK was created as a way of trying to quell the voices of protesters to try and work with the relationship having police talk to the protesters. Then the governor's executive order came out and then county executive said okay, the PACK is going to handle that. The PACK was not equipped, was not created and did
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not have the resources to do that. Most certainly did not have the community contacts for that.

We wrote to the governor. After we wrote to the governor the response was -- and I will give it to you in short form -- okay we're going to create the CCT, the Community Collaborative Task Force. I was the cochair of that as a result of us having written to the governor. Yeah, we blew it up. Why did we blow it up? Because it was wrong the way it was being handled.

When we became a CCT we asked for statistics. We asked for data. We asked for copies of reports. We asked for copies of policies. We asked for it all so that we could do the evidence-based information work that we did here in a real fashion using all of Nassau's information. Half of it didn't exist and half of it was never provided. The report that you saw that was handed by my brother Ryder when he sat right here on the 7th I guess it was of January was not a collaborative effort. When he tells you
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that and tells you that it was the work of the CCT and of the PACK that is not a truism. I'm being nice. It was written by police without any vetting, without any showing and without any informal or formal input. There were no notes taken at the PACK meeting. There were no minutes taken at the PACK meeting. When they say they got all these ideas but they're just ideas. And if you look at them -- we critiqued in the very front of our plan everything that's in that first plan when Mr. Ryder sat right here. So take a look at that. But the answer to your question is, it ain't what's it's purported to be.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If I can ask. MR. BREWINGTON: The third question always has to be can I have ten more questions.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It's just a follow-up to the original question which is, obviously -- were these concerns ever brought to the county executive?

```
        MR. BREWINGTON: I don't want to
```

        get overly political and \(I\) know there's going
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to be an election in November at all that stuff. For the record the answer is, yes, letters were written. Even trying to reorganize the mess of our process in Nassau County a structure was given in a flow chart and an executive summary saying how do you connect the PACK to the CCT? How do the use the CCCs as a good base of information? How many community sessions do you have to hold? I'll give it you. It's beautiful color and had some great work from the social workers to help us put that altogether, as well was provided to the county. We were told no, we're not doing that. We're not going to waste time on trying to evaluate how we should really do this to make it make sense.

So, when we heard that and then we saw you -- and I sat right back there where Dwayne Lindsey is seated right now -- and I saw and heard Mr. Ryder say this is a plan that is a collaborative effort I had to stand up and I told Legislator Ford on that day that is not true. Don't put me in that. Don't say
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that that's true when it's not true. You can't sit on this hallowed hall that's supposed to bring justice to all the people of Nassau County and tell them something that's a bold faced untruth. The answer to your question is, was it told to them? More than once.

When given the opportunity to turn around and make a change and say look, we made a mistake. We really didn't do it that way. But how can we really now work this out? They refused to admit that they did the wrong thing that they did and then they refused to make a change.

So, what we did was we said we will show you how to do this. We will bring you a People's Plan and show you that the best way is for the community to rise up collaboratively and bring it to the legislators. Because right now county executive and crew what you did from the beginning was wrong and we asked you to change and you did not.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I appreciate
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that Mr. Brewington. Thank you. My last question --

LEGISLATOR FORD: You already had three.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Wait a
minute. There was a follow-up on one. It's a small question.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I just want to
interject because $I$ know Ms. Edwards has to
leave. But $I$ just really want to put on
record I think that it's important that we make sure that it is quite apparent to everybody that your People's Plan does not in any way reference any type of defunding of the police. I think that's an important fact that we should all recognize and $I$ for one appreciate that. I come from a police family, so I know how important -- how near and dear all of that is to me.

I think you're a daughter of a police officer?

MS. EDWARDS: I am.
LEGISLATOR FORD: There you go. Say it with me I think that that's an
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important fact. I think also the fact that sometimes when we use terminology, and I know the presiding office mentioned about retreat, your plan is not meant to be as a retreat but just basically is moving forward. I just want to make sure that we recognize that while you are still here.

MS. EDWARDS: I appreciate that.
And Presiding Officer Nicolello, you had walked out when I talked about you just so that you'll know. That there are certain words that hit -- it hit hot buttons here. Because what we're trying to do is be collaborative. That's why we're here is to present our plan. You may not agree with every aspect of the plan. That's okay. But we're coming in here in earnest to try to see if we could make progress. So, we don't want to have this dismissed out of hand. We don't want this to be based on personal stories. We all have personal stories. Some great, some not so good.

We are doing this work. We did this work over months and months of time. We
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wanted to work with Nassau County. We did. But it didn't work out, which is why we went on our own and which is why we are here today. So, we don't want to have in tomorrow's headline that we're coming in here to try to not work with you. We are here in a collaborative approach to transform policing and make it better for everyone not just some.

Because at the end of the day we still have to recognize that there are racial disparities in Nassau County and the answer is, how much? And what we don't want is what I said before, we don't want anymore basketball games. Don't want a newsletter. We want structural reform that's going to make it equitable for all children and all families and all communities in Nassau County.

So, I thank you. I do have to leave because I have to go talk about racism. How about that? Thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Safe travels. One quick question and then we'll go to Legislator Mule.
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LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The question really touches upon SROs which we've spoken about already, had some questions on, and really also our POP officers, not only in the schools but our POP officers out in the community. One of the, $I$ hate to call it red flags, one of the red flags that sort of popped in my head was the difference between the information and building trust. And the note $I$ wrote down was that information is a by-product of trust it's not a goal.

It seems as though one of the criticisms of the POP officers and one of the criticisms of having either POP or SROs in the school is that yes, they're building relationships and building trust but then the information that's garnered from that can then be used against those individuals.
Isn't it the case that you're
advancing the position that there is no benefit to building those relationships? Isn't the fact that, you know, Bobby feels comfortable going up to the police officer and saying hey, you know what? Jimmy was -- I saw
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his backpack and he brought a knife to school. Don't you want that relationship? Or if you have our POP officers that are working with a problem in a particular community don't you want those community members making the police officer aware if there's a situation that they should be made aware of so that they can try and work that out before it becomes a tragedy? Shouldn't there be a benefit to that? MS. GOTTEHRER: I think we can hold two thoughts in our heads at the same time, right?

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Hold on a
second. This is government.
MS. GOTTEHRER: In the age of Twitter and really dumbing things down I think it's incumbent upon us and I think as legislators you know this, the devil is in the details. Which means you have to do a deep dive, right? And you have to be able to hold contradictions in your heads at the same time. Which I think you all can. You've all written legislation. You know these things.
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The way I'm trying to talk to you about the $P O P$ program is that yes, there's a benefit, right, about establishing good relationships. I also don't doubt that the police officers and probably the families that benefit from those relationships it's probably a great source of joy as well.

So, I do not mean to detract. I also -- please everybody, like Emily said, this is not about talking about bad policing or eradicating police or any of those things. We have to hold complicated concepts together in our heads.

If at the same time a police officer is able to be in the schools on a regular basis -- I don't want to get into all this of again but you are asking the question specifically about information gathering, right -- the community needs to know -- this is about transparency -- the community needs to know if the model of policing is about gathering intelligence and if a police officer is in the schools and able to see the cliques and the social groups and all of these things,
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and if Nassau County is cooperating with ICE and if the district attorney's office is doing Operation Matador, and if there's a position called the Homeland Security Unit of the Nassau County Police Department that paints a picture of a potential problem. It doesn't eradicate the positive aspect of a relationship that a police officer can have with a family outside the school walls. Obviously we disagree on this but outside the school walls.

Again, if it's about gathering information there's got to be transparency around that. Because we don't want the communities to become complicit in their own surveillance. If part of the model of policing is about gathering human intelligence the communities need to know that when they're asked to enter into these relationships that are -- there are good side benefits to them. But if I'm entering into a relationship with somebody and you're going to then listen to what I'm saying and bring me into your confidence and all of that and we're
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going to end up being close and you can even help me with advice and be a mentor to me, but it also means you're going to things about my life. And if you're connected to an institution -- this is about institutions not about individuals -- if you're connected to an institution that wants to know about my neighborhood, then I need to know what you're doing. Why are we having this relationship? Are you going to be sharing my information about my friends? I need to know if I'm part of that equation. Otherwise, it's not a real relationship.

So again, let's hold these two
things together at the same time. These relationships can be very beneficial. I worked with children my whole life. It's my biggest source of joy. I would like to actually go back to doing it because I think that children are the ones that have it straight on the planet to be honest with you. But we can hold these two things in our head at the same time.

But what does the institution
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want? It doesn't mean that the police, this police officer is a bad person. If doesn't mean there's nefarious intent from that individual at all. But does the community understand that this model of policing is about gathering human intelligence.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I'm not sure
that that's true. I think the model of policing is about building relationships so that walls can be taken instead of walls being put up. The overriding benefit to having POP officers there and the overriding benefit I think to having school resource officers inside the school is that it provides the opportunity for students and it provides the opportunity for members of the community to know the police department as something more than just the police department. But that the police department is made up of people with whom you can interact.

MS. GOTTEHRER: I think they can
take a field trip to police headquarters for that. I don't think we're going to agree on this.
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LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We might
not. I appreciate your answer.
MS. GOTTEHRER: Like I said, I'm offering this to everybody. There are a lots of people that wrote this plan and they were grass roots people. And especially the SRO piece, they've been doing this for a long time and they've been involved with families. So this was grass roots. We invite you to reach out to us and we can put you with the people who did the deep dive on a lot of these pieces.

## LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I can provide

the names of parents that had contacted my office, especially at the time of the school shootings, that wanted us to put police officers in every single school.

MS. GOTTEHRER: I understand. I'm just offering you a deeper dive.

LEGISLATOR FORD: We're going to move on.

> MS. LEVIN: Before me move on
really quick can $I$ just say we have a full plan of lots of proposals. So, it would be
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helpful if we didn't just focus on SROs and focus on all of the other pieces of the proposal as well. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you Madam
Chair. I just want to say thank you for the presentation. This is kind of the third time I'm getting a presentation on maybe bits and pieces here and there, overviews and each time I'm getting new understandings and I'm very appreciative. I'm in the process of reading it. I will tell you I haven't finished it. As you point out there's a lot of information.

But I'm very excited by the opportunity that the executive order provides to all of us to reimagine what policing looks like. I don't think that has to be a bad thing or a scary thing. I think it's a real opportunity for us. We've been doing policing in a certain way and it worked for certain things in certain ways but that doesn't mean we can't look at it and say okay, what else can we do? Let's look outside.

And I loved the fact that you're
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doing everything based on data. And Fred that you -- I can't remember how you said it -- but it was about we all have personal stories and that informs how we see things of course.

I was on a school board. I am a social worker. I'm an MSW. All of that informs the way $I$ see things. But you can't allow personal stories to influence, well, it's going to influence, but to control what happens. You have to look at the data. You have to see what works. You have to see what other communities are doing.

So, I really appreciate that you folks did all of that and $I$ think we need to take a further look.

I'm sorry but I have to talk about SROs and I just want to say that I too receive calls from superintendents and I asked what are you talking about? What does your SRO program look like? First, I just have to say that the reason why we're all getting phone calls from superintendents is because they were asked by certain people to make those calls. So, they did not call of their own
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volition.
I said what does that mean? She said well, the police officers actually are not in our buildings. It means I have the cell phone number of a POP officer and only in the very most serious instances when I absolutely need to have a police officer here I know I can count on that. I said oh, okay. That's not what an $\operatorname{SRO}$ is.

I know that because, again, I was on a school board and there was talk about bringing an $S R O$ in and that's something very, very different.

I think we need to be careful and clear and concise about what we're talking about and make sure that the words that we use have the same definitions because we could be talking at cross purposes and not even knowing it.

MR. BREWINGTON: Just to answer your question, if you would look at page 224 of our plan it starts out by saying, While Nassau County Police Department does not have a formalized SRO program, most schools have
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some form of security officer. That goes to your point. So, I just wanted to be clear. That's why, as my sister Susan said, the devil is in the details or the angels are in annotations.

LEGISLATOR MULE: Then $I$ have a real quick question. The $\$ 55$ million in payouts that you mentioned, I believe you said it was from the Wall Street Journal that you got that information, can you provide us -- is that in the plan where that --

MR. BREWINGTON: Yes, the citation is in the plan.

LEGISLATOR MULE: The citation is
in the plan and we can see -MR. BREWINGTON: It's in the liability section and you'll find that at page -- go forward and I will give you the page in a second.

LEGISLATOR MULE: Is it going to tell us what years, what specific judgments they were, how much? All that type of stuff? Is it that detailed?

MR. BREWINGTON: That study I
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believe dealt with compilations, but I can probably go back to my office and calculate these out.

LEGISLATOR MULE: That would be very helpful. Susan, you talked about that the Nassau County Police uses a POP model, problem-oriented policing. What would you call the model being put forward by the People's Plan?

MS. GOTTEHRER: We're not making recommendations about a specific policing model. We're just dealing with what is. So, we're responding to it in that way. If you're going to continue using the $P O P$ model people need to know what it is. There's also hot spot policing that $I$ have not addressed which we don't have time for here but everybody should look into it. In other words, let's make it transparent what the model is.

Everybody here should take a look at the cop's DOJ report and get familiar with what this model is and Nassau County residents should become familiar with what is their policing model and what is it for and what
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does it do and what's its mission.
I have a very simple question. I don't know if any of you can answer it but the word productivity shows up in county executive's plan. My question is what does productivity -- what is police productivity? How is that measured? What is that? In other words, the People's Plan is trying to say let's educate. Let's take a look at what the models are and what they're based on and the reasons and what is the need for the police to be in all of these different places and this league and that league and this school. All these different places.

When I learned about POP policing it made sense why they have to be in all these different places because it's evidence-based, data driven, human intelligence collecting through the positive part of making relationships with communities, right? That's good. But again, so, again, holding those two pieces.

LEGISLATOR MULE: So the People's Plan works with the POP?
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MS. GOTTEHRER: We take that
there is problem-oriented policing existing in Nassau County. Did we do a deep enough dive to make a recommendation about an alternative model of policing, no.

LEGISLATOR MULE: I just wanted to understand that. But thank you. I'm done.

MR. BREWINGTON: Legislator,
that's on page 173 of the liability insurance section of the People's Plan and it is referencing the Wall Street Journal and the footnote is to Calvert Scott and Frosch Dan, police rethink policies as cities pay millions to settle misconduct claims. Then cited in the Wall Street Journal and we will then research that and get you as much detail as we can because I'm sure that us along with the county treasurer can help you out.

MR. DOZIER: If I could speak just simply to the problem-oriented policing and the idea of intelligence gathering that Legislator Rhoads had brought up even though I he's not here anymore.

What we are saying is that if
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intelligence gathering is a by-product, not necessarily even if it's the focus or the end goal, but if it's the by-product of this type of policing strategy, then that should be made known directly to the public and directly to the people who are interacting with the police so that they're not interacting on false pretenses.

Emily mentioned she's a social worker. As being a social worker, and probably many of you guys in your various positions, you know that you're a mandated reporter. In being a mandated reporter if somebody is going to go up to you and tell you something you say hold up. I just want to let you know that whatever you tell me might be confidential but if it has something to do with A, B, C I'm going to have to report it because I'm a mandated reporter.

If you go ahead and then go forward with telling that then that's fine. But what's not okay is entering into a relationship with people and them not actually recognizing that that information is getting
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filed in an institution. And because a youth is a friend to somebody else that is being taken and potentially developing a file. That's all we're saying. MS. LEVIN: If I could really just add to that too. I will be really brief. I also want to remind you that the governor asked us to examine the bias. So, if we're examining the bias we notice that police shootings happen in white schools as well. But they don't have an amount of policing that students of color in their schools have.

Also look at Parkland. The police officer actually ran out of the school when the shooting happened.

So, I just want to be mindful of that. That we are asking you to actually take a look at the bias practices amongst these policies that they have. To not use your gut but to use your brain to determine how to address bias. We can't ignore the fact that there's bias in policing and that's what the governor is asking you to also take a look at. Thank you.
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LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.
Legislator Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you madam chair. I appreciate it. I had a couple of questions but before $I$ get there $I$ just want to make two comments because $I$ don't want to dwell on the SROs. We've been dealing with that quite a bit. And the POP cops. I agree with Susan. I think the school districts need more resources to deal with this. We really need to concentrate on ending that pipeline from the schools to prison. I agree with you on that.

But I do think there's a
distinction that needs to be made with the POP cops as opposed to the SROs because I too have gotten calls from the superintendents in my district who were praising the POP cops.

I look at it as there's a distinction between intimidation and promoting trust. I think the POP cops promote trust. I think they establish relationships with individuals, with organizations, with leaders in communities and it goes a long way. That's
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the feedback I get.
But $I$ can't comment on what was referred Shaniqua I think you made reference to it and Susan too and Emily did too about some of the school districts that are experiencing it in a different way. To them, those students might be feeling intimidation, not an opportunity to promote trust. I think we need to separate that and look at that in a different lens.

I know in my district $I$ don't think the students if they saw the cops in the school district are going to be fearful that they're going to jail. They may look at it as an annoyance. But in other school districts they might feel differently.

And again, both plans, to be fair we do have to recognize there are positives and there are benefits to each proposal. I'm not going to get into the politics of how you were included or not included or excluded. All I'm saying is I've read the plans. There are positives from the county's plan, the police department's plan. I mean the
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concentration and the recognition that increased bias training is necessary and a lot of attention has to be devoted to that, that's very important.

The commissioner's recognition that we need to do a much better job at recruitment and the fact that we're not getting the recruitment that we need to get and how they can improve in that.

The county's recognition that they got to improve gathering of data regarding every stop and arrest and the questions that the police officers have to ask and document and fill out these reports each and every time that's going to serve us well. However, there are a lot of things about your plan that $I$ like to.

MR. BREWINGTON: Just, by the way, that data collection, the one that surprised me that police commissioner said yeah, we spoke to Fred Brewington and we're going to start doing that now. I said really? But they should have been doing that a long time ago. The ACLU and folks said to
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them that you need to collect that data. There was a resistance to do so. So, right now we're going to see how much they collect.

But I agree that it is a positive thing and it is not reform it's data collection. That is not police reform.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: But it
recognizes a need to improve. The governor want us to get better. The governor want us to improve. This is an improvement.

MR. BREWINGTON: Not a question at all.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: No, no I'm saying but you said it's a modification.

MR. BREWINGTON: No. I said it's not reform. It's not police reform.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: We never did it before it's reform.

MR. BREWINGTON: No, sir, it is not.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: We can disagree on that. By the way $I$ just want to comment, each and every one of you are brilliant in my opinion. The way you speak is
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refreshing. I love your idea about the inspector general. I think that's a great idea. We on the legislature, the Minority caucus fought for years to get an inspector general and we realized how important and how valuable that is, and $I$ can certainly envision it being valuable in terms of law enforcement.

Question one. Commissioner Ryder has gone on record as saying that pretextual stops are an indispensable and very effective tool to apprehend known or suspected criminals before they commit serious crimes. Provided there's a legitimate reason to stop the vehicle. He indicated something like 70 percent of all police officer deaths or serious injuries were sustained during a vehicle stop.

They are of the opinion that this is an indispensable, necessary tool. Assuming hypothetically that much or most of your plan will be incorporated in the final plans that we as a legislature have to approve, is there any scenario that you could work with that
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plan without your proposal to eliminate pretextual stops altogether?

MR. BREWINGTON: First, let me speak from a legal standpoint since $I$ think I'm equipped to do that. Some folks say that I am.

The fourth and 14 th Amendment
require that the concept of pretextual stops not be one that is based on the type of treatment that we see going on. Let's assume that Mr. Drucker that you and I both are driving the top line Cadillac. I'm a Buick man by the way. But you and $I$ are driving a Cadillac and both of our taillights are out. You get pulled over. You get a warning that says Mr. Drucker, your taillight is out. But because the officer who happens to pull me over on Babylon Turnpike in Roosevelt says maybe this guy's got something going on. Step out of the car, sir. Right then not only is my heart pumping Kool-Aid because I'm a Black man in American and I know what could happen, but $I$ also know that there is no reason for that person to ask me to step
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out of the car except for a hunch. That's pretextual. Under the 14 th Amendment and under the Fourth Amendment that is improper. So, when you say that it may be okay or is there any way we can see that, the answer to that question for me is absolutely not because of the implicit bias and the hunch that the officer is playing on with the differential treatment that we see.

And how do we know these numbers are accurate or that concept is accurate? We don't have all the good numbers from Nassau but our sister county to the east did collect this data. And based on our extrapolation, which my brother to left is going to speak about, Nassau County is in worse shape. So, that's why pretextual stops and pretextual searches and pretextual taking people out the car is a problem because they often times lead to criminalizing something that should be a ticket as opposed to something else. Let me pass it on to Tyrel and then I'm sure you'll want to comment. LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I just want
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to say one thing. The acknowledgment of Nassau County Police Department is not that they're pulling over me in a Cadillac and you in a Cadillac because of a broken taillight. They're saying that they're following me or they're following you because they have a hunch that criminal activity is afoot.

MR. BREWINGTON: The Supreme Court of the United States says that the hunch is against the law and you as a legislator I'm sure would want to know that.

MR. DOZIER: If I can quickly
respond. That hunch is exactly the issue. How much bias is based in that hunch?

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: But if it's
based on data. If it's based on police investigative work.

MR. DOZIER: Data actually goes against what that's saying. Unfortunately, Nassau County doesn't really have the data system yet and we discussed this. Doesn't have the data system to really collect accurate data. So, any data that they're providing that says that this is actually not
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racially biased is just not accurate. They have didn't been able to analyze that data.

The thing that they do have says that Black community members are stopped two times as likely as White community members. Now that's the data. That's the data that they have shared with us. That's there's a disparity. They basically came out and said we have a disparity in traffic outcomes. Now we have to ask ourselves is that acceptable? Now across to our other sister county, Suffolk County, they have been under a DOJ settlement agreement. So they have that data. And we can probably assume that maybe there might be some minute differences but really pretextual stops as we know are a major issue across the country. That's the reason why so many different areas are actually going to restrict pretextual stops from police officers.
So, what Suffolk has done is
they've collected that data. And what Suffolk has shown is that even though they stop Black drivers more frequently than they stop White
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drivers they find contraband on Black drivers 29 percent less likely than they do on White drivers.

So, if problem-oriented policing is saying that you're finding less percentage of contraband on Black drivers than you are White drivers, why isn't it the other way around and they're stopping two times -- why isn't Nassau County stopping two times more likely White drivers than Black drivers?

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: But the answer here is in my opinion it's not the method of policing. Again, the common denominator here or the common problem, the root problem is the implicit bias. If you can address the implicit bias aspect of it we may avoid having pretextual stops altogether. MR. BREWINGTON: Then you're blaming the individual officer as to the institution that has the ability to change the activity. That's exactly why we're dealing with reform because implicit bias, I'll just tell you, in order to do implicit bias training what we have in the Nassau County
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Police Department, and all respect to the people that remain back here, is not sufficient. You can't train about implicit bias and not ask somebody to do a deep dive and examine themselves about their own biases that are implicit in who they are. Implicit bias is just not a term, it's a reality. We all have them.

But unless you are forced to exam and step back and say I as a White person have these biases and I now recognize them. That's a tough thing. I'm just going to say it's a tough thing, right? But you got to do that if we're going to talk about eradicating those issues.

So, when you say we can just do away with implicit bias that is a great thought, but I'll just tell you, the police officers I've had in the deposition this morning didn't have a clue in that deposition. Had no clue.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: That's the reform we have to make.

MR. BREWINGTON: But
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institutional is really what you need to look at.

MR. DOZIER: The reason why these counties have adopted the model of eliminating and restricting pretextual stops is because there's not an ounce of evidence that says that implicit bias education works. There's not an ounce of impact evidence that says that by engaging in implicit bias trainings that traffic stops or the likelihood of pulling over Black community members will go down. But there's a lot of evidence that says that you restrict pretextual stops that that does happen.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: But we have to try to address it though.

MS. LEVIN: We can't cure racism. So, one of the solutions is addressing the policies that are there and if pretextual stops is one of the policies that is allowing bias and racism to occur we have to address that system.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Let me get to my second question. Your examples in your
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plan of the CCRBs that are not effective, I believe you state that it's due to a lack of funding, isn't that correct, for the most part?

MR. BREWINGTON: No. It is not completely correct. That is one aspect. LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Do you have any estimates on how much money would be needed to adequately fund a CCRB here in Nassau County?

MR. BREWINGTON: If we had gotten the budget data that we asked for when we were on the CCT and the PACK I could tell you. The answer to the question is we have looked at it but we did not want to put guesstimates in this plan. We have other portions of this plan that are yet to be released because we don't want to put make believe numbers in. LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I personally am in favor of a CCRB because of I'm of the school of thought that perception becomes reality. If you perceive as a public that you are engaged, that your opinion matters, that your knowledge and information matters on
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police misconduct, I think you should be involved in the process. I believe in that perception promotes public trust. It promotes transparency and the perception of transparency.

MR. BREWINGTON: And accountability.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So, I believe in that. However, what is your reaction to this new, the New York State Attorney General office under the auspices of a new department to investigate and issue findings to be carried out by the commissioner? This is by the attorney general's office. That any alleged police misconduct is automatically referred to the New York State Attorney General. Wouldn't that possibly be an effective way to deal with police misconduct as an alternative?

MR. BREWINGTON: The answer to that question $I$ will ask Susan maybe she can talk a little bit about what that suggestion is. But that is not the end all to it.

MS. GOTTEHRER: I actually would
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need to know more about what the mechanism are as far as how are they receiving those complaints. Do we know?

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: This is something that's relatively new.

MS. GOTTEHRER: So, I would need to know more I think about the mechanism. I mean, I think layers of accountability are very, very important. But I wouldn't want to make a judgement on that until $I$ found out more about it.

However, I also think there's something to be said for local legitimacy and accountability. Part of the problem is we're dealing with so many different police departments. Each police department has to be perceived as legitimate. Legitimacy is so key to having trust. If you don't believe that somebody has a right to have authority over you then that means you don't believe them to be legitimate. And legitimacy is built at the local level. So I would never discourage layers of accountability coming from the state. But $I$ would also need to know more
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about it to make a judgement on it. And I would always encourage local accountability as well.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
Susan. I love your three tier approach to handling the emergency crisis response. I think that's great. I really love that idea. In fact, I spoke to you, Emily, a few days back or last week, I don't remember anymore, we talked about this. But before you even get -- and I mentioned this to you Emily when we spoke -- before you even get to classifying a particular 911 call into one of the three tiers, the most important aspect is the starting point and that is the 911 operator. They go through substantial training now already. Now you're asking someone to make quite possibly a life or death decision based on a ten second hysterical call. I can't even imagine the amount of training that will be necessary in order to train 911 operators properly to even start engaging in and dispensing or classifying which tier these calls should go.
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MS. CAUFMAN: I realized in that question that there is a key piece that I've missed. So I'm so glad that you brought that up.

Georgia and Austin, well, Georgia has its own, it's called the G Cal, Georgia line. I don't know if you all are familiar nationally in $I$ believe it's July 2022 there's going to be a new three-digit number that's rolling out across the country, 988, where people can call if they're having a mental health crisis or behavioral health crisis. What we are talking about right now also hopefully every county and city around the country is getting prepared for because that is rolling out. There's a number of cities that are already on board.

So, we would want that three-digit number where people can call specifically for behavioral health crisis. But we also want, because in the middle of a crisis you might not remember another number and 911 is the one you go to. That's what I've been taught since was little.
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Austin is starting to have folks be able -- right now you can say I need police. Is it a fire emergency? Do you need an ambulance? One piece is to ask a fourth question, is this a behavioral health crisis? Because many times it is a family member who is calling saying, and this happens, I mean, God, saw the guy who held a knife and a police would be on the scene if there is a knife but they would have a behavioral health responder with them and we watched this -- and forgive me for forgetting his name -- the mother was running please behind saying please don't kill him. Please don't kill him. He's mentally ill. Many calls we're getting, and this is from youth to adults, is from family members, mothers, sisters, daughters, wives, husbands calling and saying they were schizophrenic. Please.

One issue is people aren't calling
the police and they're not getting their community members the help they need because they're afraid, because we know mentally ill people are 16 times more likely to be killed
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by the police than anyone else.
That is one piece, just to answer your question, is many callers can say $I$ know this person. This isn't strangers on the street saying I don't know what's going on. Most calls are coming in from someone who knows the person and is able to say they are experiencing this. So this the response I need.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: But I'm referring to those incidents where a 911 call will come in and someone is hysterical saying my neighbor is standing on my front yard screaming and yelling and waiving his arms and acting irrationally. I don't know what to do. The 911 operator has to classify it as tier three or whatever that one was. And now you're not sending an armed police officer there. And if it turns bad, which I think some of my other colleagues have pointed out, that's a matter of life and death sometimes. That's really what my concern is.

MS. CAUFMAN: I apologize for cutting you off. I'm chomping at the bit.
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I'm just so passionate about this and about the way it's working around the country. So, I want to be clear. There are absolutely situations where the police will be dispatched and we need the police. In our tier three though they'll never arrive without a behavioral health response.

One of the other things that we ask for, and again, because of the lack of data transparency, and we tried to get this data and were not able to get it, step one, and we'd love to partner with you in working to figure out how this pilot model will work that we can then scale up once you see the evidence is there that it works. Number one is we have to get a sense of which calls are coming in. Is it in fact true that 90 percent of the calls are someone calling because it's a neighbor in their yard and they can't do a proper assessment? Or is it in fact true that that was only one call this year?

In fact, we will all agree that
when we don't know how to do that proper assessment we're going to take safety first
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and we're going to do a tier three response and the police are going to show up at that call with a behavioral health response.

And that 80 percent of the time in fact it's a family member who knows very well what's going on with the person.

That's the first step is we need a full audit of these 911 calls. How they're coded. What the response is. What the outcome. Who called. That data is what will help drive this conversation also. I don't want to do anything that is unsafe. I think you and I are very clear on that.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you
very much. That's it. I'm done.
LEGISLATOR FORD: You're a
sweetheart. Thank you. Am I allowed to say
that to you, that you're a sweetheart?
MR. BREWINGTON: You just did.
LEGISLATOR FORD: I didn't mean
too. I'm sorry.
MR. BREWINGTON: Didn't one of
those Beatles say all we need is love?
LEGISLATOR FORD: Legislator
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Kennedy.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Thank you. I just wanted to say thank you to all of you here today. Obviously very passionate about what you believe, and I think we all know that there are going to be changes. Just obviously, like everyone has said and we've talked about, I think we're going to have to come together to figure out what we can agree upon and where those changes can be made.

It was said before about the police's role in society. Obviously police's role in society is different for everybody because of our experiences in life. Just a quick background about me.

Both of my parents were Irish
immigrants. Came over to this country. My dad was a strict disciplinarian. He was in the army. Went to college on the GI bill. He always told us be polite. Look people in the eye. Show respect to your elders. Respect the police he said because you know what? The police have a tough job. I grew up that way and it's a stressful job and $I$ know that. I
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support the POP cops. Just get that out there of course.

Now, what $I$ want to get to, one question about the PAL. Because in my community, I live in Massapequa, it's part of my district, we have a PAL there and it is great. Everyone comes out to the PAL. Times you can see hundreds of people there. Our relationship with the officer who runs it and some of those gentlemen, retired police officers, it's great. It's good for the community.

I was just curious, what are some of the things that -- for me I think it's fantastic -- I'm curious, what are some of the things that can make those PALs better?

MR. BREWINGTON: Let me take a shot at that. It wasn't PAL when I came up it was PBC, Police Boys Club. I came up through Mr. Falcone, who was in the West Hempstead community, Lakeview, West Hempstead. I sold a lot of cookies for that man because he took me to the swimming pool in Long Beach. It was a good relationship that I had with the PBC. It
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taught me that $I$ was not a boxer because when I put the gloves on and then fought the first person from Franklin Square I said please take these gloves off.

But what we currently have in the PAL is not a replacement for police reform. It is not the panacea. It is an important component of trying to give, particularly certain youth that have no place else to go, no other resource and no other opportunity.

I said earlier at one point I was raised by cops but they weren't my parents. They were the men that worked in New York City and braved the frontier to become detectives as Black men living in Lakeview that taught me everything I needed to learn about cops. Those individuals taught me a very important lesson. But part of what the PAL can do is teach some important lessons.

But it is not the answer for police reform. It is not the answer to turning around the school to prison pipeline. It is not the answer for what happens outside the PAL when someone that's not involved with the
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PAL pulls kids over on Champlain Avenue and Orlando in the community of Lakeview -- I don't know who's got Lakeview over here -- and says empty your pockets boy. And says to them I don't care who you $F$ you say you are. Give me that. Or pushes them off a bicycle and puts the bicycle in the trunk that was just bought by their parents and then the parents are afraid to report that to the police because they don't want an emergency. Because when the police come usually whatever happens turns into an emergency.

There's a difference between PAL and a there's difference between reform that don't necessarily need to be independent of each other because reform does not mean eradicate PAL. It's just don't try and replace reform with PAL. I don't doubt Massapequa has a good PAL. I bet that it's funded real well. Have you ever compared the funding of the Massapequa PAL to that one in Roosevelt?

LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: No, I have not.
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MR. BREWINGTON: Please do.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: I will. Thank you. I know it's not the answer to everything. I just was curious because it is something that in our community brings our community together. There's a basketball court. There is a hockey rink, which somebody else came in. That was not part of the PAL. It's a separate entity but they did that. And there's also a baseball field. I have two kids, little girl in kindergarten, little boy's third grade. Play baseball, play basketball, play hockey, lacrosse everything and many, many people come into that area, that complex. It's a tremendous boon to the community. A tremendous way for all of us to see each other and see the police. I just wanted to say that.

MR. BREWINGTON: I think that's a fair comment. But the other thing, as we start to deal with this book, is that the government started to talk about equity. We know that the Echo Park pool compared to the Lakeview pool are not the same thing. We know
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that the difference between a PAL in a community that is affluent is a different PAL than in a community that is not affluent. I wish I knew how to do hockey but never had a chance. I'm glad that people in Massapequa do. I don't of a hockey rink in Hempstead Uniondale or -- you understand?

LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: It's
actually deck hockey.
MR. BREWINGTON: Is it ice
skating rink or is it just roller?
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Just a
roller rink.
MR. BREWINGTON: We got one of those on Hempstead Avenue and Eagle Avenue. That's the old PBC house. In that regard that's good. But we need to compare apples to apples. Because when we start to talk about how great things are we also need to talk about the impact in those communities in terms of a long run impact.

I don't doubt, Mr. Kennedy, I'm not suggesting what you are saying is inappropriate. I volunteer. I've been
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coaching for 38 years. High school football. All my quarterbacks go to college, right? I make sure that they go one way or the other. But that's the work that some of the police officers are doing right now at PAL. I give them credit for that. That is not the answer to police reform and is not the answer to Executive Order 203.
MR. DOZIER: If I can say, I
don't want to by any means say, Legislator Kennedy, that you're thinking that PAL is a panacea to having disparate outcomes. I understand that a good program might be a good program. That's great. But we have to take the full weight of what we're talking about today.

> Fred brought up that in Nassau County, based on the data that we have, Black community members are arrested 5.3 times more likely than White community members. And if a plan goes through and it's not actually addressing that disparity, and I don't think any of us are going to believe actually in our hearts, for example, PAL is actually going to
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address that disparity.
I'm going to give another number because I did the same analysis that Fred did by only putting in the drug arrests. In Nassau County black community members are arrested for drugs, use or the sale of drugs, 3.9 times more likely than White community members.

Now, if you look up any research across the country, any research about the use of drugs in White communities versus Black communities all of it will say that they use it at similar rates. Yeah, maybe some drugs are used differently slightly. You know, the opioid crisis currently hit the White community very hard.

But 3.9 times more likely to arrest a Black community member, Nassau County Black community member, than a White community member. If we pass, if you all pass a plan that doesn't in your heart thoroughly address that disparity it is a tragedy. It is a tragedy. Because then what we're saying is it's acceptable. It's acceptable for Black
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families to have the talk. It's acceptable for a young Black youth to be afraid. Be afraid to put their hand in the glove compartment. Acceptable for them to go through that right of passage. It's acceptable for a young white youth to be in the park smoking marijuana or doing something that they probably shouldn't do and get a slap on the hand while Black youth gets handcuffs. That's what we're saying.

It's acceptable for a police officers to be in Black communities and seeing all of the dirt and arresting them for it and never be in a White community and see any of that dirt. If I go to one of your houses and I say I'm going to police how clean your house is and I only go to your house and I'm going to do this neighbor and I never go to your neighbors I'm always going to find what's wrong in your house but I'm never going to find what's wrong in your neighbor's house. That's what's happening across the country. You guys aren't unique. But that's still what's happening in Nassau County. This
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plan, the People's Plan, addresses that through structural systemic reform and that is the weight we are carrying right now.

> LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Thank you.

That was my first question. I had another question about the police commissioner has spoken to me about the new police academy and how they're approaching bias training. And it did seem that for specific -- the buildings they're going to be making and the neighborhood that they were going to be setting up, that it would be a way in which that you could approach teaching that training or educating police officers. I'm curious as to what you all think. I'm assuming that you know about this. MR. BREWINGTON: We do. And particularly with regard to sharing with us what the curriculum would be, because I think when somebody said bricks and mortar do not policy make. In this situation, part of what we need to address is, what are going to be the real concrete changes? Have you seen the curriculum? I haven't. No, we haven't.
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In order to answer that question we've got to do an evaluation of what the curricula are going to be across the board. Saying that we're building a new building and we're going to do all these things is a good idea because we don't need to be in our old building with old resources. And Nassau County being what Nassau County is we should try to do the best. But the best also includes looking at the details that we provide in terms of training. Starting at page 270 of our plan that talks about training, hiring and education. I just gave you a very quick synopsis.

When we talk about how to do proper training from the very beginning in terms of field training. Make sure that it's a curricula and it's not happenstance. Just so you learn the old tricks from the old dogs. That's not field training. What that is is culture indoctrination.

We talk about in the work that's done in the academy how that should be done. How that education should take place. I gave
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you one example.
Most police officers come out of the academy if they would try to have an intelligent discussion with a college young man who just came from an African-American studies class in his college there wouldn't be a lot that that police officer, in most situations based on their academy education, would know. That's important. Why? Because everybody knows 1492 and everybody knows 1776. But how many people know about the Colfax massacre? How many people know about Phoenix and what happened there? And why is that important when we start talking about, as my sister Shaniqua said, when slave patrols that then turned into police forces. And how KKK and police departments were, in a lot of ways, in our country synonymous for a long period of time. I'm talking bad about police. I'm talking about history. Because that history allows us to be better in what we do.

When I don't deny that your parents that came to this country were discriminated
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against was because $I$ know the discrimination that Irish people had and we know what potato famines did to an entire country, when $I$ know that and I sit at a table with you I'm not looking at you as James Kennedy. I'm seeing James Kennedy that has life experiences that I can appreciate. So that when I talk to you I don't look at you and talk to you out of place.

I will tell you, I have a young man from Harvard. Dreadlocks down to here. Beautiful dreadlocks. Said to me, I don't know why the police officer pulled me over and said yo, my man, what's up? when he speaks the Queen's English. That's not necessary. That's the implicit bias the training must deal with. Because when you think that you have the liberty to do that and speak to someone simply based on the hue of their skin that's a problem. Or the color of their eyes. That's a problem. Or the brogue of their speech. That's a problem. That's what our training must deal with. That's my answer to you.
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LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Thank you. One other question. The unarmed traffic officers which I know was touched on. Legislator Drucker had mentioned. The number of police officers who died in the line of duty about 70 percent of them were in the middle of a traffic stop. And, of course, I mean, I know, I have family in law enforcement. I have several very good friends who are police officers and if that is -- it's a stressful situation. It can be scary. You're just walking up to a car and you do not know who the person can be. No matter who they are. Just the idea of walking up to a car without being armed, just having it there, if you don't have it there I feel as though -I just don't understand that. I'm just curious.

MR. DOZIER: Again, we talk a lot about the data here and we talk a lot about the research. And first $I$ want to say any police officer being killed in the line of duty is a tragedy. 100 percent. Nobody here on this panel thinks anything else otherwise.
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When we talk about traffic killings when it comes to traffic stops research pans out that one in every 65 million stops results in a killing.

I turn around the question and ask what about all of the traffic stops in which an officer has pulled out that weapon too early? What about all the traffic stops where an officer has utilized force in a manner that was not appropriate?

When you go and dig into it deeper, into the data, you see that normally most of the dangerous occurrences that have occurred as it relates to traffic stops has to relate with the use of police authority. It goes beyond a routine traffic stop which we're talking about. We're talking about can we get your license, your registration. We go back to the car. Maybe give you a citation. Maybe give you a warning.

In some places, they're actually modeling it out where officers just take the license plate of the car and you get the citation in the mail. Making it safe for
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everybody in that situation.
Now, in a situation where a driver is driving recklessly or there's actually significant cause to think that there will be danger, an unarmed traffic officer will always be able, similar to a public health responder, to call an officer that would have the authority to use police authority.

But the idea that we need traffic, we need armed traffic officers because of safety does not pan out in the research. It just simply doesn't pan out by the numbers. In fact, the number of victims that it causes is significantly greater than the number of police fatalities. All of which are a tragedy. The murders of the police officers are a tragedy. But also Sandra Bland was a tragedy. Also Philando Castile was a tragedy. All of these are a tragedy. Most of the time it has to do with police officers using police authority and escalation in a manner that was not appropriate.

I'll just quickly say, there's been a lot about the warrior mentality. Right?
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That police officers have been trained in. About having this warrior mentality. Anything can happen. Yet they're thinking in a blink of a minute and then act.

But who among us haven't been pulled over? Do we really need that type of trigger happiness when we are engaging with traffic safety? We have to ask ourselves is that really necessary? In the vast majority of cases it's not going to be.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.
We're going beyond what we originally had planned but Frank do you need a break at all? We're going to take a five minute recess.
(Meeting was recessed at 7:08 p.m.)
(Meeting reconvened at 7:21 p.m.)
LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you everyone and Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you Madam Chairwoman. Good evening. I had the opportunity on the break to tell you that I thought you did an extraordinary job but I'd like to take the opportunity on the record to thank you and all of the member organizations
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that worked together to put this People's Plan together. It's very thorough. And I will continue to -- I've run through it once. I will continue to spend some more time on it. I wanted to ask a couple of questions. Brief. I'm told I have to hold to. And I will start with officer wellness because it's an area that I have been very interested in and given the -- I won't even go into it but given the stress and strain that is put on our officers as they are out there in the field I think we have a duty to make sure they are at their best while they're out there serving us, the community.

I wanted to ask about some of your research that you would have done as you compiled this part of your study. When you were out there did you find that any of the police organizations that you spoke with regarding officer wellness had an EIS system, an early intervention system? And if they did, how was it factored into the intervals for the officers to meet with mental health professionals?
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MR. BREWINGTON: That section, Legislator Bynoe, is divided up into some subsections and the subsections that address that, do address that, at section one found on page 288 of the plan. It talks about Long Island police agencies must adequately identify the extent of the problem to be able to provide health and wellness program for officers. And we do cite there the appendices. There were only nine police agencies in Long Island that are accredited by the New York Office of Public Safety in addressing some of those issues.

The answer to your question is that in our research that $I$ can see here and I can tell you I did not write this section completely, I had a hand in some of it, but we did not evaluate those earlier assessments programs to the nth degree. But we do believe that in this situation that those early warning signs that can be detected and that there is such a program available is a very good and smart idea for two reasons.

One, not only officer wellness but
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then starting to deal with the fact that we change the police culture. The police culture itself says you can't show weakness. I don't want to use the word retreat. But you can't show weakness. And also, you are always afraid, and I know this because I represent police officers, if they start saying I'm having a psychological problem they're going to lose their gun. We know that. So how do you balance that in the discussion so that we can get officers the help that they need before they take a six or nine millimeter and click, click to the head. How do we deal with that? That's part of the discussion that has to happen. If you don't have that discussion you're not serving the brothers that are back there.

## LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Absolutely.

 So, in speaking with KERF, which is one of the industry organizations regarding police policies and compliance, some time ago we talked about an early intervention system. And I think it's critical. So I was just trying to find out if you've come across anyFull - 2-24-21
of the agencies when discussing this matter if they were in fact using an early intervention system. And I would have loved to have learned a little bit about their data and how they developed their policies around that data. If in fact --

MR. BREWINGTON: That is
discussed, by the way, in one of the articles that we cite that would be helpful found on page 290, footnote two. That also talks about why police officers never seek mental health and then does talk about -- it references resources that are available.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Because ultimately destigmatization is the way to go. I 100 percent agree with you. I will look at that and if there's any additional information that's available at some point $I$ would love to discuss that with the appropriate person.

MS. GOTTEHRER: I just want to
say you can look at the People's Plan and there's plenty of things in different areas of interest that we may not have touched upon, and the way we view this process this is

> Full - 2-24-21
coming from the people and you represent the people also. So, if there are components that are not showing up in the People's Plan we will take a look at that and you should as well.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Absolutely. Was working on it and wanted to see I believe if I could get some information.

MS. GOTTEHRER: I'll take a
deeper dive on that.
MR. BREWINGTON: We're not shy
about writing.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So, once you get that, and $I$ know that you are equally not shy about talking, I'd loved to hear from you guys on that and I will share whatever I find along the way.

MS. CAUFMAN: Legislator Bynoe, just to let you know, myself and Alexandra from New York Social Action $I$ will shoot her a text tonight and get right on that research.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
Appreciate it very much. Then I wanted to talk a little bit about the behavioral
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stabilization centers. I know Suffolk has centers. I believe they work with family services to provide those services. So, in reviewing their structure funding, are they funded directly from the county for those services and is the county in some way receiving a grant for those services?

MS. CAUFMAN: Yeah, it's a great question. We actually have a meeting with the executive director Karen Gorstein and also the head of DASH coming up where we're going to get a little more details about the funding streams. We do have a section on funding. Taking a look at where money may come from with regard to grants. We also are looking to have a meeting coming up and we are working through our channels to try and talk with Michael Dowling from the Northwell system as far as where they may be able to partner as they came out this summer saying racism is a public health crisis. I know they've partnered with the county to help with vaccine rollout.
So, we're really excited to talk
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with them about how they might be able to be a partner in Nassau County as we look to develop those stabilization centers. Which, as I mentioned before, the task force that your legislation helped to initiate, that was one of the recommendations in their plan as well. We do know that Nassau County's infrastructure is not quite at the level regarding this. That Suffolk is. But we want to start the process somewhere and build towards that. Because those stabilization centers are going to save time and resources from overburdening our hospital system.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you. Then my last and final question would be regarding unarmed traffic stops. I see here that Berkley Cambridge in New Orleans are all in the process of having civilian agencies enact these stops. Could you tell me a little bit more about what you learned from those actions in regards to where they are in their pilot and what you have been able to learn?

MR. DOZIER: Both of them are in the development process and I say both because
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it's Berkley and Cambridge are looking at creating civilian agencies, on civilian unarmed agencies which they can actually stop moving vehicles.

New Orleans, if you look at that proposal, we talked about both traffic stops as well as actual accident reporting. So, New Orleans has a group of contractors that go out to minor accidents in order to document and report those.

Our proposal says that we would want to have unarmed traffic officers that would basically be responsible for doing both of those. In New Orleans they found that that -- they're farther along in their implementation and they expect that the cost of it would actually be pretty even. That there wouldn't actually be a cost to the city. And that the model would allow to free up police officers' time from doing what is often an arduous task of going and documentation.

But yes, both Berkley and Cambridge are both in the implement -- in the beginning
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phases of implementation.
Another model that we looked at was the UK highways program which is a little bit farther. But of course it's in UK it's not in America. And they're a little bit farther along. Which they do actually have vehicles that can stop -- they have a group that can stop moving vehicles. But they too do not yet fully give out citations or give out warnings.

So, to be fully honest, both of those things are in the piloting phase in those two arenas.

What we provide in the proposal is actually thinking about not just making it civilian but even exploring the option of having an unarmed unit within the police department that would engage in traffic stops. So, really talking more about how do we get back to focusing on traffic safety and not trying to encompass too much or put too much on police officers.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So at this point there isn't any data that can be
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shared? It's in the rollout phase?
MR. DOZIER: Not yet.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So, the data that supports this is the data that you spoke to earlier which is the one in 60 -- what was it? one in 65,000?

MR. DOZIER: One in 65 million stops resulted in the killing of a police officers.

So, one of the big arguments against, of course, as we heard, one of the big arguments or perceived arguments against having unarmed traffic officers is the idea that this is extremely dangerous and that's just -- I understand it on an emotional level. But when you look at the actual research of it it doesn't actually pan out. So the report talks about how that's just not the most accurate data. I mean that's not the most accurate argument.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I was only given three questions. I will back off and ask these question at another time. I already need some follow-up on an area that $I$ thought
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I would be able to speak to tonight which I have questions around the CCRB. I will follow-up with you off line.

MR. BREWINGTON: More than happy to answer them here or there.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Mine should be easy because I'm not dealing with all the others. I just want to say I appreciate -- I didn't fully read the full report yet. I've been going through and taking notes and everything. But I do appreciate the fact that you did take some time to look at the 911 bureau. You also referenced I guess with the mental health in answering the tier calls with the -- you referenced New York City, with the New York City Police Department in conjunction with the FDNY EMS system. That sometimes they can be utilized, I guess, to augment the police or maybe go out and help with people who are unstable or whatever.

I like that because I think that
that's something that we have -- the 911
bureau I think is very critical with
policing. I think it's a very important
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component and the EMS also falls under the police commissioner. Sometimes I think it's the stepchild. I think that if we can maybe get them trained and bring them up -- like maybe augment their numbers that they can play also another vital role in helping to deliver services in helping with many of our residents especially those that are sick and mentally ill.

MR. BREWINGTON: Can I be
provocative?
LEGISLATOR FORD: Go ahead.
MR. BREWINGTON: That was kind of a warning. My suggestion in that suggestion and for legislators to think about this. We will have new police officers as we start to pilot things in the near future. Classes of police officers. And we will pay police officers a decent salary coming in and then it escalates nicely thank goodness to the hard work of the men in the back. They do a lot of negotiations. I wish somebody would pay me to put on my suit.

Here's a suggestion. As
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legislators think about the cost savings in human life and the sanctity of life as well as dollars and sense. If you were in a situation, and we're not talking about defunding, we're just talking about how we deal with economics. If you have a police officer that's paid at a rate where you can afford two or three social workers and the transition in a certain time period was to cut down on the actual number of officers to beef up that section of the police department that would then answer some of those other calls, you not only save money because you can get a two for one, but you also start to build up the infrastructure where police don't need to be handling -- and somebody referred to it as an EDP, that's an old term, emotionally disturbed person. That's one way of looking at it.

I'm helping and being provocative here because that's the forward thinking that needs to take place. Of thinking how we do transition from police being involved with a person who wants to take his clothes off in
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the middle of Ocean Avenue that goes right into West Hempstead, that little bridge there between Malverne and West Hempstead, and how is that handled instead of criminalizing that person, putting them in a situation where they get the help that they need.

Because once the officers come and force starts to get used instead of someone speaking to them -- and Emily can speak to this -- speaking to them to put their clothes back on and let's figure this out.

We can economically make a better decision in terms of hiring in the future 50 new police officers. Instead we hire 40 or 30 new police officers and they get 60 social workers who are trained in that situation to assist that person from being pulled into a criminal justice system that once they get back on their meds is still not going to get a job because they were arrested.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I understand what you're saying. My feeling is that we don't have enough police officers. But that being said, $I$ think that we can try to find
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that balance. I prefer not to give up the officers on the street because there is the perception of the public that they're there. And I know that when we look at, we heard, different neighborhoods and people's perception of police. But the police do provide so many services. And whether or not they should in their police officer uniforms sometimes it can be frightening for people. For many cases it can be very comforting for people.

> I know that even officers they may have somebody who could appear to be deranged, pulling his clothes off, he's running naked through something, whatever. I don't think a lot of time $I$ think officers are trained not so much to maybe take this guy and arrest him. A lot of times you find that officers will call and perhaps they'll even bring -they can even bring these people to the hospital or, like I said, if we have our own -- with our EMS, our paramedics, they can directly call them and say listen, we have someone that really needs some medical help.
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He really needs to go to a hospital.
So, then you have that partnership where it's not the police taking this person to someplace but it's a more humane way of addressing it.

I think it also boils down to when the police are in training and if it has to be then they have to bring them back in for training afterwards, like maybe eight hours or something, to go over some of these responses when you have these people who may be -- and I'm not saying because we have this crisis mobile unit but I think I'd like to see that expanded where they can work with social workers, you know, to be able to -- you know, my comfort level is I'm afraid that, I respect social workers, but I'm afraid that if you have somebody going to some place and you don't know who's behind that door and you think that it's just somebody who's just having a bad day but it could be --.

So, we're sending somebody in who may be unarmed without backup from an officer who is also trained that what happens if
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something happens to that social worker? We should try to find that balance. And I think that our officers have proven themselves to be able to adjust to so many different settings and so many different situations maybe they're not always perfect. Maybe they will never always be 100 percent perfect.

But I think that I have enough confidence in knowing that if we can work with them and take our academies and train them maybe even better or differently I think then that we can all start making this change for more people understanding their biases and working through them, recognizing them and trying to adjust their attitudes when they meet people. I think that's the important thing.

Then to take a look at equity. If they notice in Elmont the PAL league they got like deflated basketballs but in Massapequa they got state of the art basketball court. To recognize that, to say there's an injustice here and let's try to bring equity.

It's going to be -- I think we
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should let people know. With the cops, when you give them information they may have to act upon it or they have to act upon it. But I really think for me it's going to be a hard sell in all the areas that $I$ represent to tell them that you know, we're going to change the police. We're not going to have as many or whatever. I think I'm going to get a lot of push back on it.

MR. BREWINGTON: I'm not sure the North Park community in Long Beach would think that.

LEGISLATOR FORD: But you know what? The North Park community, I'll just tell you, they used to have two dedicated detectives up there and those detectives knew everybody in those communities. They knew who the children are. Where they lived. Who their parents were. Whatever. Who was part of this family. Who was not.

And what the parents told them and the people that lived up there what they liked about it is that when all of a sudden some stranger came in and they didn't know who it
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was they made inquiries just to make sure that this is not somebody trying to capitalize, trying to sell drugs to these kids. They also questioned even the white kids that went up into that area too.

There was a sense of camaraderie amongst everybody. Then when they were taken away the people did not like it and they saw like a little bit of crime creeping up. That's where I'm saying that $I$ think we have to work with our communities with all of this and, like, if we're going to make changes make sure that they're included in these changes and some of the changes shouldn't be so dramatic.

MR. BREWINGTON: We're not suggesting dramatic changes. We are suggesting community-based involvement in any changes that happen.

You covered so many different what ifs and possibilities and maybes that I don't want to address them all but there is one that I think does bear addressing.

The communities that are not fully
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engaged in making decisions on how policing takes place in their communities either because of just being happenstance or otherwise is exactly why we start talking about what should take place with regard to building those relationships.

I know about Long Beach because those officers I've had on the stand several times. But those officers also need to say that they were not in control of their brethren. They were not in control of some of the beatings that took place in North Park. We cannot just wipe that from -- and it took a long time for Long Beach to hire two black officers. It did. It took a long time and there was a fight about that. So we can't just wipe that history away. We've got to address that and then say why is it that there is that level of insecurity in that community when it comes to its own police force?

And Long Beach is not Nassau County, I know that. But Long Beach should be a sign on to almost everything that's in this People's Plan, as should Rockville Centre and
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Lynbrook and all the rest that have the ability to change itself.

So your point is well taken and I agree that there is benefit to community being involved in how they will be policed.

MS. CAUFMAN: Can I add just one point because $I$ want to clarify with regard to New York City's pilot model that's starting out. They are not doing a co-responder model for all responses. Co-responder models where you have the police go out. I want to be very clear. Our tier three we absolutely involve police if there is the threat of violence to self, other or the presence of a weapon. We need police there but we're not going to have them go out alone. We're going to have with a behavioral response that is dispatched. Trained crisis responders.

What we are talking about is removing police from, so that they can focus on police work and not public health work, is noncriminal, nonviolent calls.

What I invite you to do, Legislator Ford, and we'll do it on Zoom together, I
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would love to have a conversation. Austin is chomping at the bit to talk to us. Because what I'm hearing over and over is this fear. I get it. If I'm being very honest, I'm hearing a fear of homeless people. What if they just turn violent? I'm hearing a fear of people with mental illness. What if the switch flips.

As somebody who has worked deeply with the houselessness population. There are street outreach teams we don't go out with guns. We don't need to -- first of all, we engage human beings as human beings and not everybody is ready to turn violent. That is a misnomer.

But the second piece is, I don't want you to take me on my word for this. I've done this from talking to the people doing this. I will help get us on the phone with the police chief and their officers who say it's working.

Again, we want to start it as a pilot and we want to build it up.

The last point -- so, we are really
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talking about a public health response in these situations because the presence of the uniform it can really triggering. I'll give you a quick personal experience.

I had a roommate. Really good friend who had bipolar disorder. Brilliant. Perfect score on his LSATs. Graduated from Columbia Law School and he had bipolar disorder. I lived with him and he went psychotic. Really psychotic. We had to call 911. I had known that he had a history where the only response was a police response before. In fact, when they came and police came trained to do what police do and barking some orders at them which are very effective in certain circumstances but not when you're dealing with someone in psychosis. He ended up needing to be handcuffed to take him to the hospital. It was one of the most traumatizing incidents of his life.

So as his roommate, someone who
loved him and cared about him when he was going through a psychotic episode and we needed to call 911, it was very clear we need
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an ambulance. We didn't have public health response at the time. I knew police was going to show up. I got my father there. Sixty year old white man. I thought they would respond to him a little better and they did. When the police showed up we said can you please wait until the ambulance gets here? Please don't be the first one to interface with him. You're going to retraumatize him. He said what if he turns dangerous? I said I've lived with him for five years. I promise you he's not dangerous. He's having a psychotic episode. He needs help. I said police, I get you have to be on the scene. Can you please hang back?

The ambulance crew, those first responders, went in. They were able to talk to him. He was psychotic. He was out of his mind. Talking about Hitler. He was talking about everything and anything. I got to be honest, the ambulance crew came with empathy and a different level of training.

One of my most disheartening
Full - 2-24-21
moments was sitting in my living room while they were helping to get my friend into an ambulance without handcuffs and my father was there to help and the two police officers there were joking under their breath about how silly this guy sounded. They are trained for something different. We're not even talking about deaths. We are talking about human response.

I have had 15 years of training. I work with officers who are traumatized. I work with houselessness folks. I work with people with mental illness. I work with children. I work with mom. I work with dad. We have a different skill set to bring and we'd like to offer it into the public health system. And we have models where it's working. All we're asking right now is please get on the phone with us with the models where it's working and let's hear it from them so we can ease some of the fears that I understand where they come from and I would have them too until I really started talking to folks where it's happening.
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LEGISLATOR FORD: We will but I just also want to point out that despite the officers comment, which they shouldn't have made under their breath, they did listen to you and they did show compassion towards your friend where they waited for the EMS rather than handcuff him. This is what I'm saying that not all officers are brutes. So, I think we have to find that balance with something like this. This is a hard one.

There are aspects of the People's Plan that $I$ think are very interesting and should be considered. I'll give you that. It's been very interesting and I'm just going to finish up with my last because I think my last comment would be --

MS. LEVIN: Before you go to that comment can $I$ just address that really quickly? I also just want to add that the police officers need help in this area. They need help from professionals. Imagine Emily, who is a professional, wasn't there how that situation would have turned around. We have one of our steering
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committee members who her sister suffers from mental health issues. The police officers came and they basically said they don't know what to do. There's nothing that they can really do. Then her husband arrived at the scene and learned of what happened he was feeling like some kind of way and the police officers immediately turned their attention to him and started treating him as if he done something wrong. And she had to explain that's my husband. He's just learning that my mother was hit and he's able to react. You're not going to criminalize him now because he's a wreck. Let him have his emotions. So, they can't be doing this alone. They need help. LEGISLATOR FORD: I agree. It should be looked into, so we will go on the call.

But I think my last thing is I guess, it was my understanding that for the LGBTQ community that Commissioner Ryder talked about you go to the police academy and I think work with the sheriff's department,
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corrections, whatever. But you tell a different story tonight. It sounded to me that they were addressing this with the residents and members of our community. Is there not -- I mean, so there needs to be a lot more training and outreach in regard to the officers in dealing with the LGBTQ community?

MR. BREWINGTON: Julie will
address that. I just want to be clear. When we say LGBTQIA plus community we need to understand that there are communities that also are treated differently. Part of this plan deals with what Julie is going to speak to you about. BUT that does not mean that every officer is sensitized as to the LGBTQ community or any other community because of their own biases. But Julie take it away. MS. GREY-OWENS: Wonderful question. I can tell you that my journey with the Nassau County Police Department started back in 2017 when Commissioner Ryder was the acting commissioner. We spoke at that time about the need for a transgender policy. It
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was like yes, we're going to work on it.
At that time $I$ was able to reach and get into the Nassau County Police Academy to begin doing trainings, cultural diversity trainings, which was wonderful and it was a great opportunity for the young people coming in to get a taste of, to get a feeling for, to get an understanding of the LBGQT community and more specifically those of us who are what I call gender expansive. Which is little bit harder for people to understand.

So, during that period of time we continue to ask where are we at with the transgender policy? I started eventually getting to a point where $I$ was being told it's on someone's desk but it has to be approved. Okay, fine. So we would continue that process and continue the process and continue the process.

In 2019 the National Center for Transgender Equality wrote that report and I was a part of it. I knew what was going on. So I alerted the commissioner ahead of time and said this report is coming out. It
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doesn't look good. Do you want to do anything? Do you want to have a heads up? I don't know if you're going to get any kind of media coming after you but we need to process this.

We're now in '20-21 and the first time ever $I$ received a call, $I$ believe it was the day after County Executive Curran put out her program, and they said we're calling you to set up the meeting to go over the transgender policy. I said is it the three-pager that was attached to the Curran proposal? Yes.

Now, we have not had that meeting yet. It's coming up next week. But as I suggested to you earlier, it is a nice three-page document that tells police officers how to arrest and incarcerate transgender people. There is no discussion about little things like -- and this is one of the questions that I always get when I do the training -- what happens when a police officer stops the car and someone who looks like me is sitting behind the wheel and they hand a
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driver's license that looks someone like, a white version of Fred, what do $I$ do as a police officer then? Because obviously they're trying to hide who they are or they're giving me a false license that doesn't belong to that person.

In New York City there was a recent case, I don't know Susan if you saw it through the ACLU, where they actually arrested the trans person for false identification. And guess what happened to that? It turned into a lawsuit and they lost.

But in the mean time, if someone gets arrested we now put them into the process of police, guns, handcuffs, incarceration. When all I wanted to do was go out and get a gallon of milk in my feminine self.

As far as talking about the jails, we obviously have a new sheriff now, new sheriff in town, we have had conversations with that. The last call $I$ received was in November. We'd like to get together. Except for the one problem, they called me the day before they wanted the meeting and I was
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already tied up with trainings. So I said can't make it that day. Let's set up for another one. Okay. I believe next week is March. The last time $I$ heard from them about the policy was in November.

So, the reality is that $I$ have to assume they have a lot of important things that they need to do. I get that. But in my mind every day that goes by that we don't have a policy where officers are being trained on how to deal with my community puts my community members at risk and puts the county at risk from a standpoint of lawsuits and unfavorable media, let's put it that way. I'm hoping that things work in the right direction.

Just to give you an idea, this past week we had a meeting with the sheriff of Suffolk County and we asked him a straight question. How are you incarcerating? How are you placing transgender people in the jails? We're doing it by biological sex. I want to let you know that most trans and non-binary people are not going through for surgery.
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They don't have the money. They don't have any of the kind of assets to be able to do that. Which means that a good portion of our community is being placed, as a trans woman, being placed in a male portion of the prison. Can you only imagine the type of violence and sexual abuse that those people are going to endure in that place?

Perhaps they might even be
progressive and say well, that's not a good idea. What we're going to do is we're going to put them in solitary. I don't want to bring in the idea of how much damage you do to people when you put them in solitary confinement. And you give them no social interaction. No programs. Just in solitary. So, the reality is that there are a lot of hard questions that need to be answered. But it's not going to be answered with a three-page procedure on how to arrest and lock up trans people.

I hope that sort of makes some sense but this is what we're dealing with. So, I guess I understand the
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important things that are going on. And certainly a lot of the discussion we've had today I consider to be extremely important. But as an advocate for my community, there is nothing more important than trying to protect my community from potential of violence and there's nothing I want to see less than either Nassau or Suffolk have anything negative to have to report back to the world that something bad happened that could have been prevented if we just had proper training, if we just had proper regulations to make everybody understand.

And a bigger issue is this.
We talk about racial profiling but there's also gender profiling. I can tell you as a person who has stood up in front of this organization many, many years ago when we were fighting for our civil rights at that time that some of the members of the legislature literally laughed at us. Oh these clowns. These guys in dresses.

The American Medical Association, the American Psychiatric Association, the
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American Psychological Association, all agree that this is a disconnect between our brains and the body that we're born in. This is not a life-style. This is not a choice. This is who we are on a biological level.

It's time for everyone to get on with the program and figure out what is needed so that we can all live in happiness and peace. If we deserve to be incarcerated we should be. But along with that we should not have sexual violence against us. We should not be belittled. We should not be disrespected. We are human beings. We deserve the same rights as any cis gender person. And a cis gender person is someone who matches perfectly with the designation they were given at birth. Hope that helps. LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much. I'm finished. Any other legislators because we can probably wrap this up. We didn't hear anything from anybody on the phones. I think this is it. I really want to appreciate everybody for staying here for so long especially you Frank and I just want to
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end with I guess a statement.
MS. GOTTEHRER: Legislator Ford,
I was just wondering if $I$ could make one comment or suggestion and it has to do with training and also to answer Legislator Kennedy's question before about training.

My question would be for police
training, the question would be, have you consulted with communities of color on what kind of training, right, that they're recommending? That would be one of my questions.

I'd also like to share the
following. First of all, Julie's training everybody should take it. We all have to acknowledge when we're not familiar with something and that's very uncomfortable. It means we are not sure. It means we're going to make a mistake. It also absolutely means we're going to do harm. I can guarantee you I've probably done harm at least three times today as probably everybody has at some point somewhere along the line. That's because there's a lack of familiarity. We're supposed
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to be ascertaining experiences of people that we have no way of ascertaining their experience. Whether it be a male trying to ascertain female experience. White people trying to ascertain people of color experience.

This is a very difficult journey.
I can tell you that $I$ have been doing this work intentionally for about three years now and I still do harm and I still have epiphanies and I'm still not there.

So, I'm going to just let everybody know, please, be aware, be uncomfortable, but start doing the work. Start doing the work of trying to understand what it means for people whose experiences you can't ascertain and you probably never will. But what does that mean as far as your own responsibilities in trying to get there intentionally? It means you're going to be uncomfortable. You're going to make mistakes. You're going upset people. But it's the best work you will ever do.

As legislators, you are responsible for people's lives, and I'm begging you please
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start doing the anti-racist training. We have experts in this room who can point you in the right direction. I've been doing this work for three years. I make the worst mistakes from time to time. There's something called the learning edge and one of the first steps to this, and this is for everybody, is understanding when you're resisting you're having a defensive -- immediately, you can feel it in your body, I'm getting defensive. I don't like what I'm hearing. I'm going to push back on it. That's your learning edge. If you can learn to sit right there, in that discomfort and push back and try to hear what that person is saying, that's the beginning of your journey. But please, try to start the work. We have readings we can give you. Just take trainings. I'm begging everybody, please, just start the work.

MS. LEVIN: If I just may take less than five seconds. I just want to remind you that $I$ know you had lots of calls about things that people did support. I want to
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remind you the stack that I pulled together today is all the people that are in support of the plan, including removing police from schools. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much. This really, $I$ think we all agree, that this was very interesting and eye opening. I think that by having this hearing, not only just reading your record but actually having a hearing with you, so that we can hear, you can present and then we can ask questions. We had our dialogues. Okay? I think that is a step in the right direction.

Maybe some of us were a little uncomfortable tonight and that was the whole point of this. I have to say that there are parts of this that $I$ do think that is warranting more conversation and consideration. I really actually do. I commend the hard work and the dedication of everybody who was involved in this because I think it really was good and I think this is going to keep on moving along and let's see what happens.

```
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MR. BREWINGTON: Thank you so much and thank you to all of you for taking the time and those who remain online and in the chamber God bless you.
LEGISLATOR FORD: I do have to thank our police officers. I can't help it. MR. BREWINGTON: I went and told them that my comments weren't against them it was against the process.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Exactly. We understand that completely. But thank you very much. I really appreciate it. This is now adjourned.
(Meeting was adjourned at 8:15
p.m.)
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