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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mike, you
want to do the Rules Committee?
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
MR. PULITZER: Ranking member
Kevan Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Steven
Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Vice Chairman
Howard Kopel.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Chairman Richard
Nicolello.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum sir.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We will do

> Regal Reporting Service $516-747-7353$
Rules - 4-12-21
the contracts portion of the Rules Committee now, and, as we have been doing, we are going to call the items all at once, hear from them and there will be a vote later on on all the items collectively. Let's get started.

$$
A-10, A-18, A-19, A-22, A-24 \text { are }
$$ resolutions authorizing the commissioner of shared services to award and execute purchase orders or blanket purchase orders between the county and Recreational Products, Nassau Suffolk Turf Services, SiteOne Landscape Supply, Traffic Safety Service L.L.C., Beyer Ford.

$$
B-9, B-10, B-11, B-12, B-13, B-14
$$

of 2021, resolutions authorizing the county to award and execute contracts between the county and Triumph Construction Corp., Pizzirusso Landscaping, Macedo Contracting, Mace Contracting, D.P. Civil, Inc.

$$
E-36, E-37, E-38, E-39, E-40, E-41,
$$

$$
E-42, E-43, E-44, E-45, E-46, E-47, E-48, E-49
$$

$$
E-50, E-51, E-52, E-53, E-54, E-55, E-56, E-57
$$

$$
E-58, E-59, E-60 \text {. These are resolutions }
$$

authorizing the county executive to execute
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personal services agreements or amendments to personal service agreements between the county and Milliman, Inc., Nassau County Vocational Education and Extension Board, J.C. Broderick and Associates, Word Power Unlimited, Liro Architects, DeBruin Engineering, Wilson Elser, West Group Law, Montifort Healy, The Safe Center, The Change Healthcare Practice Management Solutions, PKF O'Connor, SVAM International, ITT, Lamb and Barnosky, Bisciglie and Associates, Discover Long Island, RTR Financial Services, AllianceOne Receivables, The Crime Victims Center, Dickinson 1 L.L.C., Bonadio Co., L.L.P.

Motion by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel and seconded by Minority Leader Abrahams to put those before us. All those contracts are now before the committee.

I know there's some people here for several of the contracts. We've gotten word that several of these contracts are going to be tabled because the inspector general is still investigating. I will let you know which ones now in case you're here for those
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you don't have to stick around.
With respect to the Parks Department, A-10 of 2021 American Recreational Products. With respect to the treasurer, E-49 of 2021 PKF O'Connor Davies. With respect to TPVA, Traffic and Parking, E-57 2021

AllianceOne Receivables Management, Inc. And lastly, Human Services, E-60 of 2021 with Bonadio and Associates.

Again, those four contracts the inspector general is still investigating. We're going to table for today. In fact, I will take a motion right now to table. Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. All in favor of tabling those four contracts signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carry unanimously. They're tabled.

Let's go into the contracts starting with Parks. We have other contracts with Parks.

MS. KASO: Tori Kaso with the Department of Parks. $A-18$ and $A-19-21$ are blanket purchase orders for horticultural
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products. A-18 is with Nassau Suffolk Turf Services for the 52 items for which they were the lowest responsible bidder. Then the remaining 29 items are A-19 which is the order with All Pro Horticulture for which they were the lowest responsible bidder.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: These are for horticultural --

MS. KASO: Products. Like fertilizer, pesticides, herbicides. Mostly for the golf courses.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The two contracts combined have a value of about $\$ 2.7$ million?

MS. KASO: Yes, we believe that with A-18 there is a misinterpretation between the department and shared services. But the amount that was given to them was meant to be over the five years but it was then multiplied to over $\$ 2$ million. So it should be comparable to A-19, All Pro.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: A-18 this is a blanket purchase order. It will extend over the course of five years, is that what
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you're saying?
MS. KASO: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And over
the course of the five years the total cost will be \$2 million?

MS. KASO: No. It should actually be closer to what $A-19$ is.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The total cost over five years will be in the range of $\$ 500,000$ or so? $\$ 600,000 ?$

MS. KASO: Yes, 500, \$600,000.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
we're going to need to correct that first one to the correct amount. I think what we will do is we'll table that and move forward with the other contract. Does that present a problem for the Parks Department? We're planning to put a Rules Committee on in two weeks.

Katy, do you want to add something?

MS. HORST: I'm going to try and get that corrected today, if that's all right with you?
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We'll
table it for now and then if we can get it corrected today we'll put it on the Rules Committee later on. Can we do it that way? Let's try to do it that way. For now let's table A-18, 2021. Motion to table Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator Schaefer. All in favor of tabling signify by saying aye. That's tabled for now. Any questions now on A-19? Go on to the next one.

MS. KASO: E-55 is an amendment to the agreement with Discover Long Island through December 31st of this year to allow the department to conduct the solicitation, second, finalized solicitation process. RFP PK 01272106 was issued on January 27th. We received four responses and we're currently in the evaluation process.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm
sorry to do this but can we go back to $A-19 ?$
Is this just for one year or is this also for five years?
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MS. KASO: It's five years.
They're both for five years.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: They
both should really say that. It's a purchase order for 625. It doesn't in any way state that it's for five years. I think if we're correcting one maybe we should correct both.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Actually, that's a good question. Do we usually have a term for the blanket purchase orders? I don't know if someone from the administration can answer that one.

MS. HORST: I believe we've included the max amount of the contract but we don't usually include the term on purchase orders. If it's the request of the legislature going forward we can absolutely include the number of years.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think that we would like to see the term. I think it is important for us to know if we're issuing a blanket order whether it's one year or five years or ten years. I think it's something that the legislators would want to
Rules - 4-12-21
know.

MS. HORST: Sure. I think
they're all for five years but going forward we can absolutely include the number of years that the purchase order is for.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All purchase orders are for five years?

MS. HORST: That's what Mr. Banks just told me. He's working on the correction of the staff summary. But going forward we will include it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is he still here or did he leave?

MS. HORST: He's on the phone trying to get the new staff summary.

MR. BANKS: Good afternoon. These are all five year contracts for both A-18 and A-19.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is it always the case where a blanket purchase order has a five-year term?

MR. BANKS: In most cases, yes sir.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: But not

Rules - 4-12-21
all of them then?
MR. BANKS: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: When we mention the term it says for one year from the effective date the county has the right to extend the blanket purchase order. I just want to make sure if that's it -- so for five years it's going to be divided by five or is it going to total 625? It should just be, I think, made more clear.

MR. BANKS: We can have that adjusted to have that language so it makes it a little bit more understandable. I believe I can get that before you guys conclude for the day.

## LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's

 fine. Then we'll do that with both. Thank you. We had already tabled A-18. So we will table A-19 now. Motion to table by LegislatorDeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator
Bynoe. All in favor of tabling signify by saying aye. Passes unanimously.
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We were on Discover Long Island. Were there any questions about this one, E-55? No. I think you have one more, right? Dickinson.

MS. KASO: E-59 is a use and occupancy permit for filming at OBVR with Dickinson 1 L.L.C. It is for a term of one year with four one-year options to extend if the show gets renewed for another season. The reason it is before you is because -- so the permittee gets individual use and occupancy permits issued to it by the Department of Film Office but we believe that it will be in the amount over the $\$ 25,000$.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The only question $I$ had was, this is being filmed at Old Bethpage, correct?

MS. KASO: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Are they using those historic structures, the interior of those structures to do this filming?

MS. KASO: They build temporary sets and then deconstruct them at the end of the permit. And they do have -- sometimes
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they do use interior shots of some of the house and they'll get individual permits to use those if and when they need them.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So they would have to come back for individual permits if they're going to go into one of those houses?

MS. KASO: They go to the film office for those individual permits.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I would
request that the film office, and I will direct it to them as well as to the Parks Department, but the people in charge of the historical nature and preservation of those properties I request that they be involved with the permit process. Here's the reason. When these movie and television productions come into a building they can take everything off the walls, they can try to transform those locations and we just want to make sure that there is no damage to the historic nature of those properties. MS. KASO: Absolutely. And the Parks Department has the same philosophy.

Rules - 4-12-21
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions or discussion? Legislator Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Quick
question Katy. I was at Old Bethpage
Restoration actually over the weekend. Is that by the Museum of Armor? There's an entire set. It looks like a town.

MS. KASO: That might be the
Guilded Age for HBO .
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It's
something completely different?
MS. KASO: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We did
that agreement several years ago. That's for the Guilded Age. That's been delayed somewhat because of COVID I believe.

MS. KASO: Yes. A lot of productions have been delayed because of COVID.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Schaefer did you have a question? Thank you.

Next contracts are with the police department starting off $A-22$.

MR. FIELD: Good afternoon.
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William Field, Deputy Inspector with the police department.

The first item is $A-22-21$. This is
a purchase order between the county and Traffic Safety Service L.L.C. This is to award a purchase order of water barriers for the Nassau County Police Department's emergency vehicle operating course. Also known as EVOC. This will be at the future center of training and intelligence.

The Department of Shared Services
determined this to be a sole source
procurement. They are the sole vendor who manufactures and sells these water barriers. They are the best to ensure safety of our trainers and trainees on the course and there's no other product equivalent that can provide similar benefits. The maximum amount authorized under this purchase order is $\$ 134,568$ and it will be asset forfeiture funded.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Just a quick
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question for you. You say that there are -is this the only manufacturer of water-filled barriers that exists?

MR. FIELD: I can tell you from the beginning of this they had difficulty finding a provider that can supply this type and this was the one provider that could was able to provide I guess the number and also the type we needed for the course.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm sorry. I guess I don't understand. You're saying that this is the only one that could provide the number that we needed?

MR. FIELD: I guess both the volume and the type we needed. The number we needed.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And the type, when you say "the type" once again, what's the difference between types? Aren't these just simply --

MR. FIELD: They're round barriers. You'll generally see them around some of the major roadways.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I would
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imagine, you go around the country you see these all over the place, there's got to be more than one manufacturer.

MR. FIELD: I believe they were regionally distributed. This was quite some time ago. I guess set up, distributed by regional locations.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: What do you mean by that?

MR. FIELD: As in the distributor, the manufacturer who makes the product.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: This
particular product. But what I'm asking is, is this the only product, this is the only water barrier product that exists?

MR. FIELD: I can't say it's the only one.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Because the backup seems to suggest that it is.

MR. FIELD: To fit the needs I guess of the training course, yes.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: What are those needs and how are they different? Do you have
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any explanation for this?
MR. FIELD: No. I can try to get you further on why they're the only vendor that can provide this for you.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Is there any urgency to have this done today as opposed to a couple of weeks from now? You see, the issue is that we've got a lot of sole source contracts coming before us and we recently started questioning these.

MR. FIELD: This was for the specific type of barrier that was requested for the course, and Mr. Banks just brought it to attention, it's the TSS 590 water barrier.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Is that their model number or is that a type?

MR. FIELD: I believe that's a type that they were looking for to have on this course.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: What is it a federal standard or something like that that's promulgated?

MR. FIELD: I'm honestly not sure. I can get that information for you.
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LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Why don't we do that. Could you do that for us please? MR. FIELD: Definitely will. I can find out right now. Absolutely. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why do we want table it? Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Mr. Banks, can I ask you to clarify this one more time. I know it's a purchase order. It says the county has the right to extend the blanket purchase order for four additional one-year terms. Now, does that mean that the total 134,000 and change is going to be divided by five years or is that 134,000 per year?

MR. BANKS: That's correct.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
What's correct?
MR. BANKS: In this case this is a one-time purchase. This is not a blanket order.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But
it goes back, when it says the term, it says the county has the right to extend the blanket
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purchase order for four additional one-year terms without coming back to the legislature basically. So, are we authorizing $\$ 134,568$ or are we authorizing a half a million dollars?

MR. FIELD: I believe this is a
one-time purchase order for the number of barriers that are needed to supply the course. This is to set up the course at the track, we call it a track, and this is a one-time purchase to do so. They're actually going to be set up by -- the county is actually going to set them up. This is just to purchase the product. The barriers.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I understand that. I'm sure you need it. I'm just talking about the wording on the contract that the county has the right to extend the blanket purchase order for four additional one-year terms. Again, I want to know what we are authorizing. Is it 134,000 a year or a total?

MS. HORST: Sorry. Katy Horst from the administration. It's $\$ 134,000$ over the life of the agreement. So, if they don't
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expend the money in 2021 and they need to do it in 2022 they have the option to renew the contract and spend the same amount of money. But it's only a one-time usage. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:

One-time usage but they have the right to -do you see that where it says the right to extend the blanket purchase order for four additional one year terms?

MS. HORST: I don't know what you have.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
That's in the term section.
MS. HORST: Legislator, do you a page on that?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It seems to be that both blanket purchase orders are five years. I think it would help us, although this has probably been going on and I haven't really noticed it as much, but is this total a total of the entire purchase or where you say it can be extended for four additional one-year terms? What is the amount we're authorizing today?
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MS. HORST: Total amount is the $\$ 134,568$. Whether it takes one year or five years that's the total amount that you are authorizing for this contract to be used for.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So extending the blanket purchase order for four additional terms years would encompass only that $\$ 134,000$ or you'd have to come back to the legislature for additional funding?

MS. HORST: Correct.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So, Katy, that
kind of raises the question of why you just don't make it a five-year term to begin with.

MS. HORST: We're definitely going to have some conversations after today's discussion about how to clarify this going forward so we don't have this confusion.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: As I want to acknowledge first of all that Katy did come over to me just before we started everything because we asked last time to get an update on how these contracts were structured and often why they're extended without being rebid. So, this is probably a good thing to add to that
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submission.
MS. HORST: Absolutely.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Why I think
Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton was perfectly apropos. And the other question as $I$ say is, why not a blanket five year?

MS. HORST: I don't know but we will get back to you.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm sure you will. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You want to table that?

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I guess my
question to you earlier was -- unless he's got an answer.

MR. FIELD: So, the specifications for this actual TSS 590 they're the only manufacturer of the barrier that can withstand a crash in excess of 62 miles an hour.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: That's a good answer I think.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: TSS 590
isn't that the cyborg?
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LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Don't take it personally. We're not questioning --

MR. FIELD: Not at all. I knew there was a reason. I just didn't know the specific specifications. I called and that is -- it's the only barrier that can withstand a 62 mile an hour or faster crash.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let's go to A-24, 2021.

$$
\text { MR. FIELD: A-24, } 2021 \text { is to }
$$

award and authorize two purchase orders between the county and Beyer Ford L.L.C. to purchase Ford police interceptors. This is actually two separate requests. One is for eight 2020 police interceptors. The other is for 56 nonhybrid 2021 police interceptors and 26 hybrid police interceptors. Both purchase orders are being awarded as a result of a cooperative bid between Westchester, Nassau and Suffolk County. The maximum amount authorized under this purchase order is \$4,440,791.18. It is capital funded. I think that's all I have.
Rules - 4-12-21

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Quick question. Is there any sort of grant -- you may not know this -- that would apply for the county purchasing hybrid vehicles as opposed to other vehicles? In other words, is there incentive to be more environmentally efficient?

MR. FIELD: Not to my knowledge. It's definitely something $I$ can have my office look into. This is I believe the second time we're purchasing nonhybrids -- hybrids. We have purchased a couple in past but this is the first larger group of them.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other questions? Go to E-46.

MR. FIELD: E-46-21 is a contract between the county and Safe Center LI, Incorporated. This is an amendment to the existing contract of Safe Center LI. This company, this organization provides the department with very important support services regarding domestic violence and sex and child abuse. Amendment is to only extend the contract for a one-year period with a

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Rules - } 4-12-21 \\
\text { maximum amount of } \$ 250,000 \text {. }
\end{array}
$$

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? E-48.

$$
\text { MR. FIELD: } \quad \mathrm{E}-48-21 \text { is an }
$$

amendment to extend the contract between the county and Change Healthcare Incorporated. They provide ambulance billing services for the police department. The amendment is to cover the term -- extend the term of the previous contract by eight months and a day through February 1, 2021. This covers the gap period in which the new contract will come into effect. It was approved by the Rules Committee February 1, 2021.

They provide us with their recording and transmission of our pre-hospital care reports and also do our billing for ambulance treatment.

## LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any

questions? E-58.
MR. FIELD: E-58-21 is a contract between the county and Crime Victims Center Incorporated. This is also an amendment to an existing contract. The contract provides the
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police department with the goal of preventing child sexual abuse as well as a 24-7
helpline. It also sends literature regarding sex offenders and does an increase outreach for sex offender notifications and does do referrals to the Nassau Coalition Against Child Abuse and Neglect. The contractor has provided these services in the past and they have a similar agreement with Suffolk County.

## LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any

questions? Thank you very much. Next contracts are with the Public Works.

MR. ARNOLD: Ken Arnold, Public
Works. First, I just want to summarize B-9
through B-14. These are projects associated with projects the county took on from the governor's Office of Storm Recovery, Disaster Recovery. These projects came from community board meetings that were set up by the governor's Office of Storm Recovery where people actually gave in project ideas, they put together a report and from those reports these projects were developed.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is there
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state money that's associated with all of these?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes. There is some county money for some of the projects that are little bit bigger and in the county's best interest to move forward on them. And that bond ordinance that we are presenting later today I can discuss the money aspect of that.

So, specifically to B-9 is a contract to correct a flooding issue that has been persistence in the community of Lawrence. Lawrence is on board with this project and has signed off on it since we will be using the golf course as part of the pipe conduit.

There were five acceptable bids and Triumph was the lowest responsible bidder and they have an MWBE of 14 percent.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: When is that starting?

MR. ARNOLD: That will start, we get through today and the contract has to go to NIFA full board meeting, so we hope to start sometime by mid to late summer.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The backup
indicated that there were ten bids received and five were rejected. Is it usual to get that many rejected?

MR. ARNOLD: No. We had a whole selection of people bidding on it that had bid on county projects before and they did their proposals incorrectly. My office rushed out corrections and they were able to correct it in future bids. While the first set of bids, I think two that came in the first day, a lot were rejected. We corrected them for the next following forward and some of these people won bids later on.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other questions on $B-9 ? B-10$.

MR. ARNOLD: $B-10$ is another drainage project that will store backflow valves on storm water outfalls and also improve catch basins on Lido Boulevard. This will help a community in Long Beach by the Regent Street area. There were eight acceptable proposals for this project and Pizzirusso was determined to be the lowest
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responsible bidder. MWBE is at 30 percent for this project.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions?
MR. ARNOLD: $\quad B-11$ is a contract
that will make both traffic safety and drainage improvements to the downtown segment of Long Beach Road in the Village of Island Park. We have worked with the mayor on this project. There were ten acceptable proposals and Macedo Contracting was determined to be the lowest responsible bidder and their MWBE is at 30 percent.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO:
Questions? Keep going.
MR. ARNOLD: $B-12$ is a
construction of a storm water pump station and also the installation of tide flex valves to adjust flooding related to both rainfall and high tides in the area of Cedarhurst by the old treatment plant.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Same schedule?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes. In this case
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the village will retain ownership of the pump station once completed and will operate the pump station. There were eight acceptable bids and Mace Contracting was the lowest responsible bidder. Their MWBE participation is at 15 percent.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO:
Questions?
MR. ARNOLD: $\quad B-13$ is a contract that will address drainage issues again on Lawrence Avenue in East Rockaway. It's the installation of both tide flex valves to stop backflow from high tides and also reinstalling some storm water treatment and other pipe modifications. There were eight acceptable proposals and D.P. Civil was determined to be the lowest responsible bidder and ten percent participation MWBEs.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Questions on that one.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Same question.
MR. ARNOLD: We're pushing them
on the same schedule.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: B-14.

> Rules - 4-12-21

MR. ARNOLD: B-14 is a project
that will reduce tidal flooding at Silver Lake by installing tide flood valves and also improve aquatic habitat by the installation of a fish ladder and storm water treatment devices. There were seven acceptable bidders and D.P. Civil was determined to be the lowest responsible bidder. WMBE at 21 percent.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? The next one would be E-38.
MR. ARNOLD: E-38 is a contract
amendment for the department's asbestos and lead design and monitoring contract. This amendment was associated with design and monitoring services that we need right now for the lead remediation project at the Mitchell Field housing complex and also at the landmark park properties where we have the housing. We are adding $\$ 500,000$ to assist the department in this endeavor.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So Ken, on this one, this is one of those where you've got an initial contract of 400 and now you're going up to 900. It seems to be work
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that's -- it's not a continuation of a discreet project it's rather requirements; is that correct?

MR. ARNOLD: It's a requirements, yes.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So then why is this not being rebid? Why are just we amending an existing contract and more than doubling its size instead of rebidding it?

MR. ARNOLD: This had come up with an unexpected lead issue that has a very tight timeline to address. We have to remediate the Mitchell Field houses that have people under the age of six in 90 days. We're laying out the design and doing the construction almost simultaneously and there's a lot of unknowns when you do the assessments of these homes. So it's in the best interests of the county to proceed under this requirements contract or on-call design services contract to address these types of situations.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So there's no time to rebid is what you're saying?
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MR. ARNOLD: Right. No time.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And it's an emergency?

MR. ARNOLD: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
questions? E-40.
MR. ARNOLD: E-40 is a design
contract to address issues related to the $A-32$
building at the correctional center. There were five proposals submitted. Liro was selected as the highest technically ranked proposer. The fee for these services is \$685,000. The MWBE will be determined as we proceed with the project.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is this
connected to developing a master plan for the property at the correctional center?

MR. ARNOLD: The master plan is facility-wide. We already knew that A-32 had some immediate issues. It's getting done in parallel.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? Legislator Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you
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Presiding Officer. Hi Ken. Can you tell me what was the ranking criteria?

MR. ARNOLD: We do a technical
quality-based selection. We look at the firm's experience, their team's experience, the availability of their teams, their background on similar projects. I believe there's also where their office is located is a five point on location. I'm not sure we removed that in this one but a lot of times we look at that also.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Do you have intimate knowledge on what criteria they ranked highest in?

MR. ARNOLD: I'm not part of the selection committee so I do not know the details other than what the recommendation of award memo outlines.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'd be
interested to what that was because they're not the lowest ranked -- the lowest bidder but you're saying the lowest most qualified bidder.

```
                                    MR. ARNOLD: Technical services
```
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are quality-based selections not lowest bid selection. A lot of times we talk to the vendors to get best and final offers. But it's not always one where we get the cheapest and also the most technically ranked.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I understand
that. I'd just like to know what criteria. Particularly they were highest ranked. Because if it's experience we're going to keep ended up with the same companies.

MR. ARNOLD: Different types of projects have different types of focus. But we can get you -- that memo should outline detail but if it doesn't I'll talk to my staff.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: What I have doesn't.

MR. ARNOLD: I will see what else I can provide for you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other
questions? Last one with you is E-41.
MR. ARNOLD: E-41 is a
construction management contract for the diversion of sewage from the Long Beach sewer
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plant to the South Shore Reclamation facility previously known as the Bay Park facility. This contract will oversee two construction projects. We are bidding a force main and a pump station project separately. Seven firms submitted proposals with De Bruin having the highest technically ranked proposal. Their MWBE 20 percent and SDVOB is at six percent for this project.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO:
Any
questions? Legislator Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
Ken, this falls under the same criteria. MR. ARNOLD: Yes. LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm seeing actually about a $\$ 900,000$ difference. MR. ARNOLD: I believe the memo explains the man hours behind the rationale of what we looked at.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: This is
significant enough that -- what's the time -is there any real timelines connected to this moving forward immediately? Because I really would like to see the ranking on this. This
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is a $\$ 900,000$ difference.
MR. ARNOLD: Construction project has not gone out to bid yet, so we do have a little bit of time. Again, I will tell you that it is a quality-based selection.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Would my colleagues entertain tabling this?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Yes.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'd like to make a motion to table this.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. All in favor of tabling signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

Ken, do you think you can get that
information within two weeks? We will probably wind up with a Rules Committee on the $26 t h$.

MR. ARNOLD: I don't know if I'll make the 26 th. I'm away next week. But I will get to you as soon as possible. I'll make sure it's on my timeline. We can work together on this.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thanks.
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Next contract is with the comptroller's office, Milliman, Inc., E-36.

Hello Sergio.
MR. BLANCO: Thank you for having me here. This is a contract with Milliman, Inc. They perform our actuarial evaluation services specifically related to OPEB, or other post-employment benefits, as well as worker's compensation liability valuations.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? Thank you.
Next one is with the fire commission, E-37, 2021, contract with the Vocational Education Extension Board.

MR. UTTARO: Michael Uttaro, assistant chief fire marshal, Nassau County. This is the contract for 2021. It's a little late getting to you. They are in need of funding for the 2021 season for the Vocational Education Extension Board which teaches basically our 71 volunteer fire departments, emergency medical technicians and others and fire rescue and emergency medical services at the Nassau County Fire Service Academy.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I know
that actually I spoke to Phil Malloy that they're either out of funds or almost out of funds. This is a recurring problem. A couple of years ago we tried to deal with it with a two-year contract and NIFA struck that. Is there any way we can get these agreements before April going forward?

MR. UTTARO: We are definitely working on it. As soon as this is approved we're going to start on the '20-22 contract so we can get it through. It's myself and Bill

Pilzac, who is one of our division supervisors, working on this contract. It is actually an enormous effort. We got it through everywhere it had to go. We had a learning experience ourselves, and we're going to work on getting it done this year so that it is finalized and finished before the end of 2021 for 2022.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That would be great. Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I hope you don't mind, $I$ just want to ask you a
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nonrelated question. How is your department doing during COVID? Do you find that you're able to inspect new businesses and everything or has there been a huge delay?

MR. UTTARO: We were staffed fully throughout the entire COVID pandemic. We worked our guys in two separate shifts to try to space them out to keep them safe. But they all worked from the office. The inspection of construction projects during the height of the pandemic and the spring of last year was suspended because everything was suspended. We have gotten back into the full swing of things.

In the time we were suspended from in-person inspections they were doing plan reviews so we got a lot of backlog done. But construction and plans and everything else have increased exponentially. They're on top of it but there's definitely a big push to open and there's a lot of businesses because of delayed progress and funding that was in place that they're in a big rush to get things rolling. We're keeping up with it as best we
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can.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
was just curious, not to add to your pressure, but I have been approached by certain businesses waiting. Do you feel that's temporary?

MR. UTTARO: It's somewhat temporary but I'm sure everybody has somewhat of the same story. We have a very high volume of plans and inspections that come in. I have a small group of guys that are actually out in the field reviewing plans on certain days and out in the field doing inspections on others.

There's some massive projects going on, as you would know, up in Glen Cove with the waterfront projects and other projects around the county and we're still maintaining doing our COVID enforcement as well. It's been a hustle but they've been keeping with it as best they can. If you have a particular issue and something seriously delayed or in financial jeopardy you can reach out to us and we'll look into it.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
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Thank you for all you're doing. You took on both roles. It doesn't go unnoticed.

MR. UTTARO: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I would
echo that. The fire marshal's office during the height of the pandemic you guys are out every day enforcing the regulations and you did so in a way that was reasonable and measured. You weren't looking to be punitive, you won't putting people out of business, you were giving them warnings and enforcing what you had to. I think you did a magnificent job.

MR. UTTARO: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
else? I think we're good. Thank you.
Word Power Unlimited is the next contract with the county clerk's office and it's E-39.

MR. BUTLER: Good afternoon.
John Butler, fiscal officer for Nassau County Clerk Maureen O'Connell's office. Item E-39-21 is an amendment to extend time with
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vendor Word Power for an additional year of services to provide bulk mail and mailing-related items to our office.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: This is
the informational stuff that the county clerk puts out?

MR. BUTLER: Exactly.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? I think we're good.
Next contract is with the county attorney's office. I think actually there's three with Wilson Elser. Why don't we do all three of those together which is $\mathrm{E}-42, \mathrm{E}-47$ and E-50.

MS. MOLINARES: Good afternoon
Mr. Presiding Officer. This is chief deputy county attorney Jessica Molinares. Also with my colleague DCA Brian Libert.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Nice to
see Brian in person.
MS. MOLINARES: $E-42-21$ is a
contract amendment with the firm of Wilson Elser for special counsel services relating to the matter of Plainview Properties.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Can I stop
you for one moment? With respect to E-42, E-47 and E-50 2021 contracts with Wilson Elser's office, let the record reflect that Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel has left the room. He's not participating in any debate, discussion or vote on these three contracts. You can go ahead.

MS. MOLINARES: E-42 relates to
special counsel services in the litigation known as Plainview Properties. That is an amendment as to funding only.

E-47 relates to special counsel services in the matter of Jackson versus Nassau County. That is an amendment as well for funding.

And E-50 is also an amendment with the matter of Rochester versus Nassau.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
legislators have any questions on these three contracts? Hearing none, actually, what we're going to do with these three is we'll have a vote now on those separately. So, with respect to $\mathrm{E}-42, \mathrm{E}-47, \mathrm{E}-50$ of 2021 all in
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favor signify by saying aye. Any nays? They pass unanimously and you can invite Legislator Kopel back in.

We go to $E-43$ and $E-45$ both of which are with the Westlaw group.

MS. MOLINARES: E-43, Presiding Officer, is a new contract for special counsel services. That's for a federal litigation, federal civil rights to be clear, in the matter of Samil Joseph.

E-45 is for special counsel
services with respect to affirmative
litigation related to the family court matrimonial center construction.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any questions on these two? Backup's pretty clear. We're good. You want to move on to E-44 with Montfort Healy's office.

MS. MOLINARES: $\mathrm{E}-44$ is also for
special counsel services relating to the litigation known as Sharp versus Nassau County. This is a federal civil rights litigation.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The only
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question that we had was it looks like the contract commenced on February 1, 2020. So, it's over a year old. Can you tell us why we are getting it now?

MS. MOLINARES: Yes, Mr. Presiding Officer, unfortunately, admittedly this contract there was some delay in the preparation of the routing of this contract. That is due to administrative error on my office. That's something that we have investigated and have rectified going forward. They're now double and triple checked with respect to that.

After that, however, when the contract did get to the firm there was also just some delays on the firm's end unfortunately in navigating the vendor portal. Also coupled with some difficulty with expiration of certificates of insurance as well as the proper disclosure of ownership, of partners with ownership. So, there was a sort of a combination of various factors that led to this delay.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: But you

Rules - 4-12-21
said you've addressed it within your office in terms of monitoring these contracts and making sure they're moved along in a timely fashion? MS. MOLINARES: Correct. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We will
look forward to seeing that going forward. Any other questions? E-53 with Lamb and Barnosky.
MS. MOLINARES: E-53 also relates
to special counsel services. These are
related to numerous and various EEOC
complaints as well as PESH violations that
were filed against the county. This is a new
contract.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: These
violations are ongoing? Obviously not
resolved yet?
MS. MOLINARES: They are not.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? I think we're good. Thank you.
There's one more. E-54.
MS. MOLINARES: That's with the
firm of Bisciglie. This is an amendment
solely as to funding. This is a now resolved
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matter. We just received the appellate decision $I$ believe March 21, 2021, just a few weeks ago. This is simply just to pay the outstanding invoices to the firm.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: This was
late too.
MS. MOLINARES: What happened
here was, because the appeal had been pending so long, this had been a very inactive case. We had not received any recent billings and, quite frankly, it just was not on anyone's radar across the board. Again, that's another aspect that we have addressed in my office.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do you
have an attorney or support staff who is now focused on getting these contracts to us on a regular basis?

MS. MOLINARES: It's a cumulative
effort but we also do have an assigned deputy
county attorney who is an outside counsel
coordinator whose duties are solely to
interact and have this constant communication with these outside counsel firms.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Just in
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terms of the diarying within your office to get these things to us in a timely fashion, you have I guess this outside deputy county attorney or this deputy county attorney charged with outside counsel. What about the use of technology? Do you have spread sheets, do you have that type of stuff?

MS. MOLINARES: We have. We've actually implemented an outside counsel contract committee that meets regularly that uses spreadsheets as well as the Microsoft planner. Which works as almost a triple check as to the assignments that relate to everyone pursuant to those regular meetings.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I
understand occasionally there may be a contract that falls through the cracks or whatever. You're getting difficulty getting disclosures from law firms. But if you would give us, the legislature, that something is late it would be much better than us getting it six months, seven months, 12 months later. MS. MOLINARES: That is absolutely something that we will work on,
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Presiding Officer, to the best of our ability.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Any questions? Thank you. Next
contract is with Information Technology. There's two contracts. One is E-51 with SVAM International and the other is E-52 with ITT. MS. STANTON: Good afternoon.

Nancy Stanton, IT. E-51-21 SVAM
International, Inc. This new contract is for recruitment services to assist the county in attracting and hiring candidates in select IT titles. Contract term is three years with two one-year renewals for a total of five years. Ceiling of $\$ 80,000$. This is a minority-owned business.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Question I have is, why does the county need to hire an outside entity to recruit personnel?

MS. STANTON: This is
specifically for People Soft developers, which we have been using contractors and we would like to replace the contractors with CSEA full-time employees.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Correct.
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But again, the department is unable to do its own recruitment?

MS. STANTON: We have tried. It's a very specific skill set. Very hard to come by.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In terms of working with civil service once somebody is identified or is offered employment does that part of the obligation fall on the county personnel or does it fall on the outside vendor?

MS. STANTON: No. This will be a civil service title that they would be hired in. And I have spoken several times with Martha Crysel and she's totally on board.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Another question $I$ had is SVAM is already providing supplemental staffing I think?

MS. STANTON: That's correct.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So they're
both providing supplemental staffing and they will be recruiting staff? There's no conflict there?

```
MS. STANTON: They responded to
```
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    the RFP.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What's their incentive to actually perform this contract?

MS. STANTON: I don't know. But they have the most amount of contractors with us. So, I'm hoping they can help us hire.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It's a little unusual, isn't it? Anyone else want to chime in here? Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm thrilled,
I think most of us are thrilled to hear that you're moving in that direction because we have been asking for quite some time for us onboard folks that can bring this skill set to our county government. But I do have to agree with the presiding officer that we may have a an inherent, created an inherent disservice to ourselves because I think there is a conflict, right? I'm not sure what -MS. STANTON: I see this as a disservice to them. LEGISLATOR BYNOE: No. MS. STANTON: No? We will be
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replacing -- the goal is to replace the contractor.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So, how motivated will they be to find folks if they're going to be, you know, losing money on the other hand? I think it would have been better for us if we had a different company acting in that role.

MS. STANTON: We have two
others. The next item on the calendar is one of them and we're waiting for a third one to send in their documents.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Let me
understand this. We're going to have three different companies that we're going to engage to provide one service?

MS. STANTON: To provide hiring. LEGISLATOR BYNOE: For one skill set?

MS. STANTON: Yes. People Soft
skill set. Whether it's developer, a database administrator, yes.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Walk me
through -- Presiding Officer with your
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permission to go on to the other items -- can you walk me through the difference between the three different companies because I don't understand why we hired three.

MS. STANTON: We felt it that
would give us a bigger opportunity to find the best skill set we could find.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So they'll all
be working for the same goal?
MS. STANTON: Exactly.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Now I fully
understand. All three working for the same goal?

MS. STANTON: Correct.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Again, applaud
you. This has been a long time coming but $I$ can't see using this company and paying them the $\$ 80,000$ to do that.

MS. STANTON: It's $\$ 80,000$ over
five years. We have approval to hire three people. Each of these vendors has a different VAR they get. Whether it be 20 percent of an annual salary one time. One is a flat rate. The one that we haven't gotten the paperwork
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yet is a flat rate. Each time we hire from them it's $\$ 8,000$ period and end of it. The one you're going to hear next is 17 percent of the first year annual salary. And SVAM that we're discussing right now is 12 percent or flat fee of $\$ 15,000$ depending on the annual salary that they're coming in on, whichever is less. The most would be $\$ 15,000$.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Is it that
we're potentially hiring some of their staffers that are contracted to work with us now?

MS. STANTON: It's possible. If they would allow that. There are a few that we would like. However, there are some obstacles with several of them that work here now. Which I can get into offline if you'd like.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: The other two companies are they also providing contract staff to us now?

MS. STANTON: One is, one person from ITT. Which is the next one to be heard.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And the third
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one is, what's the name of that entity?
MS. STANTON: Elegant
Enterprisewide Solutions and we're waiting for their paperwork.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I feel like
there's a conflict with SVAM.
MS. STANTON: They really bring
us good talent. I would hate to lose them as an option here.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: It would help
if maybe when the presiding officer asks the question like why would they would be a viable option given that we think there's an inherent conflict if we had a stronger response. It just leads me to believe that they gain and earn money by providing the contract service and I'm sure they earn more money doing that than to start outsourcing the work. MS. STANTON: I can't answer that. We did an RFP, they responded. LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Because they responded doesn't mean that we should engage them if we know there's a conflict. MS. STANTON: This is Donna
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Nyland, project manager who worked on this contract.

MS. NYLAND: Good afternoon. We established a selection committee of four individuals, two from $H R$ and two from IT, to go through all the RFP responses -- I believe we had nine -- and look at the qualifications of all the vendors and we scored them accordingly. We had three that we -- the top three winners is how we chose. SVAM and ITT had a lot of experience placing People Soft staff with other agencies, and so we felt that they would be the best to bring candidates to us when we did have a People Soft opening. That's how they were chosen.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm troubled
by this. There's a conflict. I'm troubled by this. I don't know that $I$ would be able to support this unless I have better
information. I just don't feel comfortable.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Can I make
one other point which is that I'm curious about the incentive of SVAM or $S-V-A-M$. So they find someone who they think is very
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qualified or they think is going to be excellent at this, wouldn't their incentive to be to hire that person as opposed to have the county hire that person?

MS. STANTON: I can't speak for them. I was surprised also. But they bring us very good candidates. We didn't want to knock them out of the running here.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
maybe we'll have to have further discussion with respect SVAM consider it going forward. We have a motion to table.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Presiding Officer, can I ask one question while we're on the topic? Thanks.

I'm just curious because we're looking at three different companies here. If I'm an individual who knows the People Soft software, I'm one of those candidates, am I able to give my information or be a potential employee or be someone that the company would put out to hire by all three companies? You know what I'm saying? I feel like it could be duplicative. Is it like a headhunter type of
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organization?
MS. STANTON: Sure. But we can take whoever brings that candidate to us first.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: So if I am one of those candidates though, I can have my information with all three of those companies?

MS. STANTON: I would think so.
Yes. Sure.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: So there's no specific reason why -- you're saying we would use all three to get the best candidate?

MS. STANTON: Yeah. I'm looking to hire right now three people. I'm not looking for an army. Whoever comes to me first and whoever's best qualified and passes our interview that's the person that will be hired. If they come to us through SVAM first and ITT second well SVAM is going to get it and vice versa.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: I just feel like three is a lot to have to go to and pay
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just to get three candidates.
MS. STANTON: But you're only paying that fee if you hire them. In fact, one of them, which one was it that said if you hire them and it doesn't work out in six months, SVAM said if we hire them and in 90 days it doesn't work out they'll refund the money or find another candidate for us.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: What do the other two do?

MS. STANTON: They didn't say
anything about it.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: They don't
have a refund type of policy?
MS. STANTON: No.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Could you tell me about the pay structure for the positions that we're looking to hire within the county government versus what we're paying these entities to employ them?

MS. STANTON: It works the same way. There's steps. The salary range we're looking at $I$ believe is 90 to 125 depending on their skill set.
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LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have a motion to table on contract E-51. Moved by Legislator Bynoe. Seconded by Deputy

Presiding Officer Kopel. All in favor of tabling that item signify by saying aye. Those opposed? That's tabled. Any other questions about ITT? No. Thank you.

Last contract we have today is with TPVA, E-56, 2021.

MR. RICH: Good afternoon. Dave
Rich, executive director of the Traffic and Parking Violations Agency. Good afternoon legislators.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Good afternoon.

MR. RICH: This current vendor was one of two vendors that was selected from an RFP that was issued back in February of 2020. There were eight proposals. The services are collecting unpaid fines that are owed by the motorists of Nassau County traffic violations, parking violations and photo enforcement violations.

> Rules - 4-12-21

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: My
question with this one is, RTR appears that the fee for collecting debt is 6.5 percent and with the one that is actually not being called today the fee was 13.9 percent. Why wouldn't we just give all the work to RTR?

MR. RICH: There's a couple of reasons. The major one is RTR was our prior vendor. Their contract just expired back in September. It was the same fee structure for both vendors. We always want to be able to look at the performance of any vendor. If we feel that the vendor is not performing we're going to transfer cases over.

Currently on RTR we have them collecting traffic cases, which are a little more complex, even though they're charging a lower rate. But the other vendor actually works in coordination with our boot and tow vendor and also our red light camera vendor. S it's a higher charge for the different interfaces as well.

## LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In any

 event, the only one before us is RTR.Rules - 4-12-21
MR. RICH: Actually one other thing to mention too is that it is actually technically no cost to the county because whatever is charged by the vendor the debt is owed by the debtor also. We send the additional fee back to the debtor for those collection fees.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The
debtors are by and large our residents so that's a concern.

MR. RICH: Yes.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions on this contract? I think we're good.

Now we have these contracts before us for a vote. E-55, E-59, A-22, A-24, E-46, $\mathrm{E}-48, \mathrm{E}-58, \mathrm{~B}-9, \mathrm{~B}-10, \mathrm{~B}-11, \mathrm{~B}-12, \mathrm{~B}-13, \mathrm{~B}-14$, $E-38, E-40, E-36, E-37, E-39, E-43, E-45$, $E-44, E-53, E-54, E-52$ and $E-56$. Any further debate or discussion? Any public comment? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carry unanimously.

Before we go to recess let's vote on those contracts that I just called. All in
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favor -- actually, we did vote already, correct?

Before we go into recess there is one contract that the IG had been investigating that has been resolved. That investigation has been completed, and that is the contract which we had tabled for $\mathrm{E}-49$ of 2021 with PKF O'Connor Davies.

I think what we need is a motion to untable. Moved by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. All in favor of untabling that contract signify by saying aye. Those opposed? It's untabled.

If there's a representative from the treasurer's office here.

MR. JEFFERSON: Good afternoon
legislators. Thank you for hearing this contract. Item number $\mathrm{E}-49-21$ is a contract for accounting and financial statement preparation services with PKF O'Connor Davies. This contract with PKF O'Connor Davies is to assist the treasurer's office with the Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water annual financial statement and required
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filings. The contract covers services for fiscal years 2020 through 2024. The first year cost is $\$ 23,000$ with annual increases of $\$ 500$ per year.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any questions or debate? I think we're all good. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All in
favor of $E-49$ signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously. Now we will go into recess.
(Committee recessed at 3:20 p.m.)
(Committee reconvened at 5:43 p.m.)
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let's call
the Rules Committee back into session. First item of business is those two contracts that we had tabled earlier. Apparently we have received the corrected backup. Those contracts are A-18 and A-19 of 2021. Motion to untable by Legislator Schaefer. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. All in favor of untabling signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously. It's untabled.
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Do we have someone from the administration here on the contracts? This is Nassau-Suffolk Turf Services and Landscape Supply Company as well.

MS. KASO: With All Pro
Horticulture and Nassau-Suffolk for horticultural products.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: My notes here say the corrected backup received in the case of maximum amount for $A-18$ is $\$ 625,000$. The term is one year plus four-year option to renew. A-19 term is one year and plus four one-year options to renew.

MS. KASO: That's correct.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do we still have any questions on these two?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: No, but if it's okay maybe not only for the Parks but whenever we have a purchase order that is for five years or extended more than what's stated I think it should really be more clear for us how many years and what the total
amount allotted would be. All right? It would just clear it up and make it easier for
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us.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other debate or discussion? All in favor of those two contracts signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously. Thank you.

I think we need a motion to suspend the rules. Moved by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. All in favor of suspending the rules signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

Now, I'm going to call the consent items that went through committees earlier. These include 93 of 2021. 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 127, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 141. Now the addendum items. 139, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 149.

Motion by Legislator Bynoe.
Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. Any debate or discussion on those items? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? They carry unanimously.
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Next several items all involve
donations to the Nassau County Police
Department. 104, 105, 106, 107, 108.
Resolutions to accept a gift offered by the -resolutions to accept gifts offered by the Nassau County Police Department Foundation to the Nassau County Police Department.

Moved by Legislator Rhoads.
Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
MR. FIELD: Good afternoon. I'm
104-21 is a donation of six patrol bicycles from the Nassau County Police Department Foundation valued at $\$ 8,400$.

Item 105-21 is to accept a donation
of 12,000 eight ounce bottles of hand sanitizer, also from the Foundation, valued at approximately $\$ 24,300$.

Item 106-21 is to accept a donation of 3200 safety goggles from the Foundation estimated at approximately $\$ 16,000$.

Item 107-21 is to accept a donation
of 20 stationary bicycles from the Foundation. Estimated value of $\$ 10,000$.

And item 108-21 is to accept a
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donation of snow removal equipment as well as some cleaning products from the Foundation. It's valued at approximately $\$ 2,732.47$.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any
questions? Thank you sir. Any public comments? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

The next several items are all home rule messages. 119 of 2021 a resolution requesting the legislature of the state of New York to enact and the governor to approve an act to amend the retirement and social security law in relation to the retirement of members who serve as police medics, police medic supervisors and members who perform police-related medic services in the Nassau County Police Department.

120 a resolution requesting the legislature of the state of New York to enact and the governor to approve an act to amend the retirement and social security law in relation to the providing performance of duty disability retirement benefits for the
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employee titles police medic, police medic supervisor, police medic coordinator, bureau director emergency ambulance and deputy bureau director emergency ambulance in the employ of Nassau County.

121 a resolution requesting the legislature of the state of New York to enact and the governor to approve an act to amend the retirement and Social Security law in relation to establishing a death benefit for deputy sheriffs employed by the county.

122 is a resolution requesting the legislature of the state of New York to enact and the governor to approve an act to amend the Retirement and Social Security law in relation to providing accidental disability retirement benefits for chief, fire marshals, assistant chief fire marshals, division supervising fire marshals, supervising fire marshals and fire marshal trainees in Nassau County.

123 is a resolution requesting the legislature of the state of New York to enact and the governor to approve an act to amend
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the Retirement and Social Security law in relation to providing a heart disease presumption for certain fire marshals in Nassau County.

Moved by Legislator Schaefer. Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. I don't think there's a need to go over the details of these. I think the actual resolutions told you exactly what they were all about. Any debate or discussion? Any public comment? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

124, 125, 126. 124 is a resolution confirming the county executive's appointment of Rizwan Qureshi to the Nassau County Board of Health pursuant to Sections 203 and 902 of the Nassau County Charter.

125 and 126 are resolutions confirming the Nassau County executive's appointments of John Fabian and Michael McDermott to the Nassau County Vocational and Education Extension Board, VEEB.

Moved by Legislator Rhoads.
Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. Any debate or
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discussion on these appointments? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

Now we have two items on the addendum. 128 is a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute an intermunicipal agreement with the Village of Sea Cliff in relation to a project to restore two ballfields at Clifton Park for the village. That's moved by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator Schaefer. Any debate or discussion? Any public comment?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Thank you for doing that.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I
understand this one has been in the works for quite some time.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: The gentleman who came to us I think his son is no longer playing baseball. It was a few years. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any debate or discussion? Any public comment? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed?
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Carries unanimously.
142 is a resolution to require the county to comply with the terms of the settlement agreement between Eric Berliner and others and Nassau County and County Department of Assessment.

Moved by Legislator Schaefer.
Seconded by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel. Does anyone want me to describe this?

This basically, I will do this really briefly, the settlement requires the county to do a number of things. The settlement was apparently reached in October of 2020. The county must remove the calculation ladders or ladder reports from its website and include in plain English narratives and hyperlinks thereon.

The county must publicize 180
factors used by the computer modeling system and must explain how it determined neighborhoods, market areas, neighborhood factors and publish a map of market areas.

That's basically a synopsis.
There's more details to this but essentially
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we are moving by resolution to have the county actually comply with the settlement they reached six months ago. Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: From
what I'm understanding, we are now in compliance, which I sort of have to agree that I wish it was a little bit sooner than it was. Given that -- I almost think this is not necessary maybe at this point. But possibly, I asked my counsel to look into the possibility of us creating legislation that would ensure that this information is not only done now but for the future every time there's any type of reassessment.

So, although I don't think we really need this piece of legislation because I think it is complied with, I hope it is, I would be interested in maybe doing a bipartisan piece of legislation that stated that all this information has to always be available for any future roll.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think we can certainly something consider that
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legislation. Legislator Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We haven't had the opportunity yet to confirm that what the county executive and Department of Assessment put up on the website is actually in compliance with the Berliner agreement. I'm also concerned that the fact that it took six months and it was only because, I mean, it's hard to ignore the coincidence, it's only because this legislation existed that there was compliance with the Berliner settlement.

To be totally honest, when you look at the five year phase-in information, which I know is completely separate, and how as soon as tax bills came out and people were seeing what the impact was in year one of the five year phase-in all of a sudden that information magically disappeared from the website and we've had to fight to get that back on. The fact that it happens to be up on the website now gives me no confidence that it will still be there tomorrow.

So, I think there's still a reason to advance the legislation today and if we
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want to work on codifying something on a permanent basis in a bipartisan fashion we can certainly explore that. But $I$ don't think today's developments have changed the fact that this bill needs to pass now.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
else want to have a say? If not, any public comment? All in favor of this item signify by saying --

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can we have a minute?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Yes.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Our
caucus is going to abstain on this with the hope that what we've been told is that the court order has been complied with and all the information is up to date.

We've also been advised by counsel that there is question as to whether or not the legislature has any right to interfere with another branch of government complying with a court order.

For those two reasons, we're going to abstain. But $I$ feel very strongly about
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assessment as I think everyone knows.
So, I really am serious about the fact that $I$ want to make sure the information is up there and correct, and I would like to look into the idea of this being the standard going forward. That all of this information, all the algorithms, everything is transparent and accessible to the average person, not necessarily having to hire an attorney or anything else.

So, again, we're going to abstain
but we are looking to make sure that everything was updated correctly.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So, let's go to the vote then. All in favor signify by saying aye. All opposed? Abstaining? It passes by a four in favor and three abstentions.

Motion to adjourn by Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator Schaefer. All in favor of adjourning signify by saying aye. Those opposed? We are adjourned.
(Committee was recessed at 6:01
p.m.)
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