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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Welcome to
the meeting of the Nassau County Legislature. We're going to get started. First thing we do is have the Pledge of Allegiance and I would like to ask Legislator Tom McKevitt who is having his 50th birthday this week to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Thank you Tom. Mike you want to

```
call the roll?
```

MR. PULITZER: Yes. Thank you

```
very much. Roll call. Deputy Presiding
```

Officer Howard Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
Presiding Officer Denise Ford.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Siela
Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
Solages.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Debra
Mule.
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LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator C.
William Gaylor the Third.
LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Vincent Muscarella.
LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Ellen
Birnbaum.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator James
Kennedy.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
McKevitt.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator John
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```
Ferretti.
```

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Rose
Marie Walker.
LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Joshua
Lafazan.
LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Steven Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Minority Leader
Kevan Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
MR. PULITZER: And Presiding
Officer Richard Nicolello.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum
sir.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. First order of business today will be
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public comment and it's a pleasure actually to have in person public comment again because it has been a while since we have been able to do that. Just a couple of things before we start that.

I know there might be people here to speak on the contract with respect to the Nassau County Equestrian Center. That is not on the calendar for the full legislature. It's on the Rules Committee calendar, which will be after the legislature's business is concluded. So we will have a full meeting of the Rules Committee and you'll have a full and fair opportunity to speak at that time.

We have two speakers here to speak with respect to the two laws that are on the calendar with respect to the cannabis, I would suggest that you wait until we start the actual calendar call and speak on the item when it's called.

We do have some general public
comments so I will call those right now. First off Heidi Sanft, Nostrand Garden Civic Association.
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MS. SANFT: Good afternoon. My name is Heidi Sanft. I'm the first vice president of the Nostrand Garden Civic Association in Uniondale. Glad to see everybody without your masks on. Better days are ahead.

I'm here to inform you about two pressing quality of life issues that created an untenable situation in the Uniondale community last year which must not happen again. The first was an explosive, never-ending barrage of fireworks that went on close to four months.

The second was the proliferation of cars with aftermarket violations to their mufflers and exhaust systems.

Last year, beginning in early spring and lasting until the fall, the communities of Uniondale, Roosevelt and the Village of Hempstead had a nonstop barrage of explosive fireworks going off every night. This went on every day for months. Residents would call our civic association complaining that they could not sleep at night and would
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go to work in the morning with just two or three hours of sleep. Several of these residents were nurses who were working 12 hour shifts seven days a week.

One resident informed us that she moved in with a friend in New Jersey for a few months just so that she could sleep at night. Another elderly resident, who is a veteran who suffers from PTSD, his daughter had to drive from Georgia to Long Island and back to Georgia in order to remove her dad from the mayhem that was occurring in his community, which was Uniondale.

We did contact Commissioner Ryder last year concerning this issue and as always the commissioner was extremely responsive. We understood that the Nassau County Police Department at that time was inundated working to control the endless protests. The commissioner did allocate resources to address the explosive firework tax on our communities and the situation eventually improved somewhat but this took many, many months.

Our second concern is the issue of
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cars that speed down our streets that do not have mufflers with exhaust systems that have been modified. The ear deafening sounds coming from these cars sound like gunshots. The cars race up and down our main streets and residential streets and this happened last spring, summer and fall. And now that the weather is warming up this unacceptable behavior is happening again. Noise pollution is a public health crisis and these issues are causing stress.

With spring now upon us, our civic association is taking a proactive approach so that residents that reside in Uniondale, Roosevelt and the Village of Hempstead can enjoy the warm weather. They should be able to sit in their backyards without the fear of explosive fireworks falling into their yards and on their roofs. Children should be able to play in front of their homes without fear of being hit by a racing car. Our animal companions should not be put in the position where they must hide in fear night after night from the relentless noise out in the street.
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People should not need to run away from their own homes to stay in other neighborhoods because of this criminal behavior.

Every resident of Nassau County is entitled to a decent quality of life. This behavior is unacceptable. We cannot allow it to be the new normal by doing nothing. If we do nothing it indicates that it's okay and it's definitely not okay. We researched the law and it appears that these two issues fall under the laws of New York State. I have two more minutes, right? Total five minutes, right? Can $I$ continue?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You can
continue. Just wrap up when you have a chance.

MS. SANFT: What $I$ will do is sum up then. We did research and the current fines are far too light. Far too light. For these cars that are jacked up, they remove the mufflers and jack up the exhaust systems and it sounds like bullets going off, the maximum fine is $\$ 150$. That's not enough for terrorizing a community.

Full - 4-26-21
We are requesting for your help in either strengthening the existing state laws or that you create new legislation for Nassau County so that the noise pollution stops. Unfortunately, the only way that some people take the law seriously is if they are hit in the pocket. The matter is urgent. We need you to take action now please. The well being of law abiding residents is depending on it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. I can assure you that those two issues are not just affecting Uniondale. My own communities the exact same issues were plagued, especially last summer but year round with respect to the mufflers.

On the one hand it's enforcement. We will certainly be communicating to the Nassau County Police Department to do greater enforcement on both of these issues. The fireworks, the mufflers and sound systems from these cars is ridiculous. But we will also advocate with the state legislators. The fines that are set with respect to the mufflers I believe or the sound emanating from
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the vehicle is state law. So, we would not be able to supersede them. But to the extent we can work with them to beef up those fines we will definitely be happy to do so.

MS. SANFT: Just so you know, we have also written to Senator Kevin Thomas and Assemblywoman Taylor Darling spoke about this. We spoke with the mayor of the Village of Hempstead about this. It's very serious quite frankly. With what happened last spring, summer and fall in my neighborhood $I$ don't feel like I live on Long Island anymore, and for me to make a statement like that publicly it's really sad. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And you're right, the fireworks went on for months. Part of that is attributable to COVID. People were home and just more time on their hands to do things like that. So, hopefully that will ease up somewhat. But again, we can't allow that to disrupt the lives of our residents.

MS. SANFT: We understand you're home. We understand you have a lot of time on your hands. But then there is something
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that's called a criminal activity and that needs to be explored too. I cut my presentation down a lot. Because right now it's just considered a penalty the fireworks issue. It's not a penalty it's a crime. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, if I can say something. First Heidi how are you and hope you and your family and everyone is doing well.

Second, I just want to reemphasize everything that Rich had said. I totally agree that obviously it's a law enforcement issue. The only thing $I$ wanted to add is that I have spoken to counsel on our end and we're going to look into seeing, if possible, it sounds like you're right, Rich, that we probably will be superseded by the state but we're just going to look to see if we can supplement anything with the law. And if it comes to it where we need to speak to the state we gladly would.
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I agree with you Rich that the fireworks issue is not just germane to one community. I visited families all throughout Nassau County and up in your neck of the woods in Williston Park and everywhere and it seems to be an issue everywhere. Uniondale, Hempstead, Freeport. Obviously the areas that I represent. But $I$ do recognize it as a countywide issue. As well as the mufflers and the sound systems I hear that just as well. I believe it's a decibel issue.

And this might be a good question for our police department in terms of how do they gauge the decibels of those loud mufflers or sound systems when patrol cars are out on the street.

But Heidi, we will remain vigilant in making sure that the police department is aware. Especially as the warmer months come up and we start to see these cars and fireworks start to creep out more and more we will remain vigilant and hopefully we will have a better late spring going into summer. But we will have a conversation with the First
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Precinct as it pertains to our particular area, the Uniondale-Baldwin area and Roosevelt area, Hempstead area, today.

MS. SANFT: Thank you Legislator
Abrahams. If $I$ can just say one more thing. Right now possessing fireworks is just considered a violation. That's the law. I checked it out. And in our opinion it should be elevated to a crime because that's what it is. Especially when it sounds like explosives. There were times last summer, spring and fall, I've never been in a war zone, but $I$ felt like maybe that's what it sounds like.

Then with these cars where it sounded like bullets going off. The first time I heard that $I$ was on my living room couch watching television and I thought what is that? Because, quite frankly, I've never heard bullets going off. Then I realized that these kids are thinking that this is cool. It's a need for attention that is terrorizing the community. And I understand people need attention but there are ways to get it where
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you're not terrorizing people, animals.
That's all I have to say. But thank you Legislator Abrahams. Thank you to everyone for listening. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Heidi.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you Heidi.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Pearl

Jacobs, Nostrand Garden Civic Association. MS. JACOBS: Pearl Jacobs,

Nostrand Garden Civic Association. I have a 100 year old grandmother that I see regularly and I adhere to very stringent guidelines. Thank you Heidi so much. That's my vice president Nostrand Garden Civic Association. She is absolutely correct, it's like living in a war zone. I did not feel like I was living on Long Island as well. You see what's going on in New York City. We do not want Nassau County to become like New York City. It's a shame.
I'm going to hold up this sign and my sign says Nassau County segregated

Full - 4-26-21
roadways. It's a very strong statement, and I had to really think about bringing this sign here but my spirit told me to bring the sign here. Nassau County segregated roadways.

It is my strong opinion and it's my observation as I drive all through Nassau County that our roadways are being repaired and maintained in a segregated manner. I've been writing my legislator for two years. I have emails going back two years regarding Jerusalem Avenue in Uniondale. And now we have Uniondale Avenue that's deteriorated. It's been torn up by PSEG, Nat Grid, the sewer system that was going through our community. I have been writing for two years about our roadways in Uniondale.

But as I travel through Salisbury
Park Drive it was in good condition before but now it was just restored to pristine condition. Stewart Avenue in Garden City, it was in good condition before. It's restored to pristine condition. Merrick Avenue, it was in good condition before. Now it's restored. Even the service road on Hempstead Turnpike in
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the vicinity of Levittown is being restored. But we cannot get two roads in Uniondale restored.

I have emails going back two years. And you know what? I don't even get responses back. I don't receive responses back anymore. I did receive a response back about three weeks ago from Commissioner Arnold regarding the overpass on Jerusalem Avenue that was in terrible repair. I have emails going back two years regarding that. I sent an email. Then recently $I$ received an email about two weeks ago saying oh yeah, the overpass on the Meadowbrook portion of Jerusalem Avenue is in extremely poor condition and in need of immediate repair. But I was telling them this two years ago. I told them this two years ago.

So my issue is why are roads in predominantly Republican or other zip codes being repaired, they were in good condition, and our roads in Uniondale, in Roosevelt, in minority areas are not being repaired, not being looked at, not being addressed? People
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calling my civic association all the time telling me that their tires are being blown out. Their front ends are being compromised because of the roadway conditions in our community.

So, I'm going to ask my legislator,
Kevan Abrahams, why this continues? Our roads continue to languish in our community? We have no investment in our community. I just want to ask.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: First and foremost Heidi I don't know if I agree with your --

MS. JACOBS: This is Pearl.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm sorry, Pearl. First and foremost Pearl there's no camera on you so I apologize. I don't know if I agree with the premise that there is some deviant way in terms of how roads are being done. That they are being done Republican versus Democrat or God forbid anything more than that. I would first disagree with your premise.

The second thing is, I mean, from
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our standpoint, yes, we can always do a better job with roads. And $I$ do remember in all those cases where each and every one of those cases we brought the situation to DPW and DPW assessed the situation and at those times determined that the road did not need to be fixed or repaved or whatever the issue.

But to say what you're saying now on the heels of us just getting, just two years ago, Uniondale Avenue done, streetscape, I challenge to say that there's not too many districts in Nassau County that were able to get that level of streetscape done in their respective district. I see the CRPs and the community revitalization projects that come through and I haven't seen that level of project that has occurred in any community. Not just Uniondale or minority communities. So, I do take some question with the premise, and I do agree with you, one thing I will say is $I$ do agree with you that there are several roads, but primarily Jerusalem Avenue, that are in great need of disrepair. I have a strong issue with the
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fact that you are bringing it up based off of any level of Republican, Democrat or Black and White discrimination or segregation as you presented it.

MS. JACOBS: You know what
Legislator Abrahams? I'm not bringing it up on a Republican or a Democrat. Because you know what? I am neither. My whole issue is and you bringing up the Uniondale streetscaping as I knew you would today, it took 12 years, 12 years of advocation from the Nostrand Garden Civic Association, 12 years, to have one street in Uniondale redone. That's nothing to be really proud of. 12 years. I can go back and show you the paperwork. I can show you 12 years they have one street, one main street in Uniondale done. I knew you were going to bring that up today so that's my answer to you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: There's only three main streets, four main streets throughout Uniondale. There's Nassau Road -MS. JACOBS: Why would it take 12 years to get one street in Uniondale
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repaired? I'm sticking with my segregated roadways mantra until $I$ see different in my community.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We will just be safe to say we can agree to disagree.

MS. JACOBS: We can agree to
disagree. We have no investment in our community. Our communities are languishing. Okay? Everywhere you turn around we have vacant lots. We have blight. Okay? I take the same issues I take to the town. It rings on deaf ears. Other than the Uniondale streetscaping we have received nothing in Uniondale. And that's a fact. We can agree to disagree.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I hear what you're saying.

MS. JACOBS: In fact, $I$ was on a conference call, a Zoom call with County Executive Curran last week and I brought up the same issue. It's going to be my tagline. Nassau County segregated roadways. Until our roadways get restored in Uniondale.

It took my civic association to
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work with Nat Grid and PSEG. We worked with Nat Grid to get Uniondale Avenue restored to some sort of drivability. We worked with PSEG to get a pole restoration project done in our community. Our poles were cracked. Our poles were leaning. We had junction boxes. I had to get Verizon to come out and fix the juncture boxes that were hanging in front of the schools. Nobody did that. We did that. We fight for our own community.

But I'm coming to you now to say
publicly that we are a community that is not invested in. It's taxation without investment. Taxation without representation. And we can agree to disagree until I see something different. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.
Thank you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Next
speaker is Meta Mereday.
MS. MEREDAY: Unlike Pearl, I'm a caregiver and also back on the front lines addressing COVID. I'm in Queens with a critical care physician. As a matter of fact,
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you won't see my glorious presence after I finish this because I literally have to get back to that office.

I have signs here. I was out front earlier today with regard to a water action I'm hoping that many of you know about. Otherwise you've been silent in the support or lack of same for the 26 percent rate hike with New York American Water that's impacting many Nassau County residents. I'm among the ones who have not decided to flee in the middle of the night or who have unfortunately have died from COVID-19.

This one say New York Assembly, only you can stop the rate hike. And that's impactful -- and this might have been my the shortest speech but sorry it's not today.

It's impactful because this 26 percent rate is going to further jeopardize and compromise the vulnerabilities of many of our residents. Our seniors, the veterans who my heart always goes out for. I'm sure as many of you do. But I know sadly for many of you you couldn't do the pancake breakfasts and
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parades that you could normally use for your campaigns in this past year. But many of us are still out there.

To echo what Heidi and Pearl from Nostrand Garden in Uniondale said, we do have an issue on the south shore with regard to residents who feel that they have no say so they feel why should they pay taxes since we pay the second highest taxes in the country and our roads, our water system, all of the resources and services that are due us for what we pay for have been compromised. Neglected, forgotten, just disinterested parties who seem to continue to make their side deals, private hustles and continue to bring in their friends, relatives and let's just say their higher paying associates and law firms for their benefit but to the detriment of residents.

Many of us have felt that our words here, our time coming here, that we're not getting paid for, but we have to pay the price in the taxes and increase we need to go to a higher authority. Yes, I'm a praying person
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and I pray every day. Pray for many of you because that's what the belief tells me to do. But one of the options that we've decided we needed to pursue is the Supreme Court of the United States because it becomes now an infringement on our individual rights.

I find it amazing that we have a whole amendment, the Eighth Amendment, that prohibits the excessive use of fines and bails for someone who has been noted as a criminal but it's okay for our government officials to tax, surcharge, fine and process fees us to death in this county. For services that we still can't still seem to get via phone, via fax or via email.

The 14th as well as the Fifth
Amendment provides some satisfaction, allegedly, that no citizen should be deprived of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. You cannot pursue happiness when you have to worry about the mortgage company sending the sheriff to evict you. Which is happening to many of our residents who as we speak are working two and three jobs. We
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still have residents whose homes have been raised and they're already in foreclosure with the mortgage and paying rent on a facility and a space that they can no longer afford to live in. But we're still here and we still fight. Our individual rights have been infringed upon. There's a due process issue in the 14th Amendment. I would believe that if the Supreme Court is even entertaining lawsuits and frivolous litigation from the former occupant of the White House, whose name I have never and will never say, if they're entertaining his lawsuits $I$ would like to believe that the hard-working, overtaxed underrepresented citizens of this county should have a voice and we're going to pursue that with all of the justices on both sides of the aisle, on any side of an ideological theory because it has to do with the infringement of our constitutional rights, which has to stop somewhere.

And, unfortunately, we know that in Nassau County, as well as the Town of Hempstead, you can't seem to win a lawsuit. I
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just see in this document here that you had to settle another one as it had to with the tax assessment. And I've had conversations with the county executive who also refuses to respond to her constituents. I can literally walk to her house but we cannot get a response from her office.

I will say that I have been in touch with my legislator, Debra Mule, and I'm glad that she's here and her staff has had the courtesy to respond to me. I have reached out to the commissioner, Commissioner Ryder. He has also had the courtesy to respond to me and we're working on things on the ground level to address the pressing issues in our community. If $I$ can just wrap it up, because I'm not here to do any debates or whatever, because the facts will be as the facts will be in the highest court of this country and you will all be notified in some form or fashion. But right now we have a critical situation in this county. The lack of infrastructure projects notwithstanding. The lack of diversity. The lack of inclusion.
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The lack of equity, let alone equality, is not something that people are going to continue to sit quietly idly by and you can watch the news and think things are going to happen outside of Nassau County. There's a bubble brewing in this county and if we don't do something about it real soon, please, please do not act shocked when you have a news camera in front of you saying how could you let this happen? Because all of you are responsible and silence breeds consent. And do not think that the residents have not decided to band together, just like we're banded together and stood out front to address this water rate hike, and I didn't see any of you coming out to say anything about it and it's impacting the majority of your residents as well.

Our roads are atrocious. Our veterans are suffering and there's no discovery with regard to addressing the lack of opportunities for our veterans and minority businesses in this county. And we're all going to suffer the consequences for your inaction. Thank you.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Meta. Does anyone else have any public comment that doesn't pertain to an item? Why don't we go into the calendar. The first -LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, real quick. I was just advised by Michelle Darcy, our finance counsel who took a look at the capital plan -- and I know Ms. Jacobs will probably take credit for this as well -- but we are scheduled to do the Jerusalem Avenue and the Nassau Road repaving and restriping of those roads as well. Just to let the body know.

MS. JACOBS: Since you said I
take credit, can $I$ ask you a question
Legislator Abrahams? 2023, is this slated for 2023?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It's
scheduled, as I said before, scheduled for this fall.
MS. JACOBS: I was told it was scheduled for 2023. So this fall will be a big improvement. As far as taking credit, I don't take credit. I work for my community.
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I wish I didn't have to. I wish I didn't have to use all my contacts that $I$ made when $I$ was an operations manager for Verizon to call and say take care of my community but I do it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I
understand. Thank you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. We're going to go to the consent calendar. These are items that went through committees a couple of weeks ago and it's been agreed by the Majority and Minority that no further debate or discussion is required on these items at this time. So they will all be called together.

Item 8, Ordinance 30. Item 9, Ordinance 31. 10, Ordinance 32. 11, Ordinance 33. 12, Ordinance 34. 13, Ordinance 35. 14, Ordinance 36. 15, Ordinance 37. 16, Ordinance 38. 17, Ordinance 39. 18, Ordinance 39. 19, Ordinance 41. 20, Ordinance. 42, 21 Ordinance 43. 22, Ordinance 44. 23, Resolution 41. 24, sorry, skip that one. 25, Resolution 43. 26, Resolution 44. 27,
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Resolution 45. 28, Resolution 46. 29, Resolution 47. 30, Resolution 48. 31, Resolution 49. 32, Resolution 50. 33, Resolution 51. 34, Resolution 52. 35, Resolution 53. 36, Resolution 54. 37, Resolution 55. 38, Resolution 56. 39, Resolution 57. 40, Resolution 58. 41, Resolution 59. 42, Resolution 60. 43, Resolution 61. 44, Resolution 62. 45, Resolution 63. 47, Resolution 65. 48, Resolution 68. 49, Resolution 67. 50, Resolution 68. 51, Resolution 69. 52, Resolution 70. 53, Resolution 71. 54, Resolution 72.

Motion by Legislator Mule. Seconded by Legislator Ferretti. Any debate of discussion on those items? Any public comment? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carry unanimously.

Now we move on to number one which is a hearing on a proposed local law to amend the Nassau County administrative code in relation to prohibiting the sale of electronic
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aerosol delivery systems, components or parts within 1,000 linear feet of a school, public park or playground. Legislator Kennedy makes a motion to open the hearing. Seconded by Legislator Birnbaum. All of favor of opening the hearing signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The hearing is open.

This is a local law which prohibits
business establishments from selling electronic aerosol delivery systems and components or parts thereof within 1,000 linear feet from a school, public park or playground. Penalty for violation is $\$ 500$ to \$1,000 for the first violation. \$1,000 to $\$ 2,000$ for the second violation. This local law is virtually identical to a local law that has already been passed by the Town of Hempstead with respect to the same subject matter.

Just want to note for the record
that we have received public comment from James Calvin, president New York State Association of Convenient Stores. Just give this to the clerk's office and make sure it's
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made part of the record for today's hearing.
Any discussion? Any public comment on this item? Hearing none, motion to close the hearing by Legislator Kennedy. Seconded by Legislator Birnbaum. All in favor of closing the hearing signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The hearing is closed.

We move on to item five which is a vote on this proposed local law to amend the Nassau County administrative code in relation to prohibiting the sale of electronic aerosol delivery systems or components or parts within 1,000 linear feet of a school, public park or playground.

Moved by Legislator Kennedy.
Seconded by Legislator Birnbaum. Any debate of discussion at this time? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? This carries unanimously now the next hearing is a hearing on a proposed local law to prohibit the smoking or vaping of cannabis in all county-owned properties.

That will be moved by Legislator Gaylor. Seconded by Legislator Kopel. That's
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a motion to open the hearing. We have a motion. All in favor of opening the hearing signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The hearing is open.

I do know we have speakers on this item. Before I call them up I'm also going to state for the record and make as part of the record public comment that has been submitted on this particular item by JLT Boylan, who I believe is Liz Boylan. And the other one is submitted by a number of members of the Rockville Centre Coalition for Youth. Ruthanne McCormack. As I said Liz Boylan. Susan Blauner who is here also. These are made part of the public record for this hearing and I'd like to call up at this time Liz Boylan.

MS. BOYLAN: Thank you Presiding Officer, Nassau County Legislature. On March 31, 2021 Governor Cuomo signed into law the Marijuana Regulation and Taxation Act know as MRTA, legalizing the commercialization of adult use marijuana in New York State. Under this law individuals 21 and over are now
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legally allowed to possess up to three ounces of marijuana and 24 ounces of marijuana concentrate and can smoke and vape cannabis wherever smoking is allowed under the Clean Indoor Air Act, referred to as the Act. In addition up to 12 home grows and five pounds of pot are allowed per household.

In response to this new law, we, the Rockville Centre Coalition for Youth and the Saving Lives Five Towns Drug and Alcohol Coalition, understand that the Nassau County Legislature has introduced a number of ordinances that will prohibit the sale of electronic aerosol delivery systems or components or parts within 1,000 linear feet of a school, public park or playground. Second, prohibit the smoking or vaping of cannabis in all county-owned properties.

Third, prevent the consumption of cannabis by minors at private homes, the Social Host Law, and amend the county government law of Nassau County to expand disclosure requirements to permittees under
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use and occupancy permits for county property.

Our drug-fee coalition have, as its primary mission, the reduction of substance abuse with a primary focus on reducing youth substance abuse. Therefore, we, the Rockville Centre Coalition for Youth and the Saving Lives Town Drug and Alcohol Coalition support the Nassau County proposed laws to limit and/or prohibit the use of marijuana, especially by our youth. These laws are necessary to keep our air clean and protect our residents, children and guests from being exposed to this type of behavior.

In addition, we respectfully
request that the Nassau County Legislature include places of worship and day care centers in their proposed laws above and include the same restrictions for advertising of marijuana near schools, public parks, playgrounds day care centers and places of worship.

In enacting MRTA, a cannabis was added to the Clean Indoor Act which establishes a baseline where cannabis can be
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smoked or vaped. It's the guide to New York State's Indoor Clean Air Act can be viewed online on the New York State Health Government website.

Under MRTA the define smoking meaning the burning of a lighted cigar, cigarette, pipe or any other matter or substance which contains cannabis including the use of an electronic smoking device that creates a an aerosol or vapor.

In 2017 New York State expanded Article 13E of the Public Health Law, also known as the Clean Indoor Air Act. The act prohibits smoking and vaping in almost all public and private indoor workplaces including restaurants and bars to protect workers and the public from exposure to harmful secondhand tobacco smoke and vaping aerosols.

Localities may continue to enforce
local laws regarding smoking and vaping.
However, these regulations must be at least as strict or more strict than the Act. And unless specified otherwise in the Act, all businesses, establishments and organizations
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must prohibit indoor smoking and vaping as set forth in the Act.

Of utmost importance is that no smoking or smoking signs and no vaping or vaping signs must be clearly and prominently posted in these areas.

With respect to the Nassau County's park system over 6,000 acres are managed providing for tremendous recreation and entertainment experiences for residents and visitors. I've attached a list of the comment there and it's really incredible what Nassau County has to its residents. It's our hope that these venues, especially with the summer coming, will continued to be enjoyed without being jeopardized by the undesirable impacts of commercialized marijuana that have plagued other states such as a California, Colorado, Massachusetts, Oregon and New Jersey.

This new law is very troubling. There is no cap on potency. There is no limit on the number of retail dispensaries and the locality. Young children are easily
influenced. There is no accurate roadside
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test for marijuana impaired driving. And as marijuana legalization increases this becomes the next big killer on our roads.

We respectfully request that you do pass these laws. And I would like to just acknowledge thank you for your consideration. Two years ago, under County Executive Curran, she commissioned a study which was led by Legislator Lafazan, Commissioner Ryder and the hearings were so compelling. And many of the Minority communities they came up and said if you put this in our neighborhoods you're going to put our kids in a hole they can't get out of.

And many of the proponents of this law they think about what they are going to do for disadvantaged communities, what they're going to do for them. They're not thinking of about what they're going to do to them. I would like to acknowledge, I know a number of you have been involved in the Shed the Meds program. I think Legislator Abrahams he just commissioned this opioid study. Some of you have been doing Narcan training. I
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know that Legislator Lafazan is very much involved with opioid training. Legislator Kopel and Gaylor you listened to us two years ago.

And every week when I go to church and they announce the names of the dead I hold my breath. We have lost so many youths. Ten years ago, let me just say this, I went to a wake of a little girl who was in the school plays at my kids' elementary school. And there she was. She looked like she was sleeping and I touch her arm and she was cold. It was the most upsetting sight. And maybe it was selfish because I was thinking I don't want my child there.

And as I was leaving I had a dad come up to me and said Liz, my son tried to help her. My son was an addict trying to help her. I'm looking, dear God, is this the invasion of the body snatchers?

One last message before I came here I had a teacher say to me, oh Liz, the parents are smoking pot with their kids. To all of the parents out there, please do your work
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first. Do the research. Find out what this is doing to children's brains. This is not the marijuana of Woodstock. The THC is very, very powerful. Almost as much as 98 percent and it comes in many forms.

Children have dreams to become teachers and astronauts and scientists. Don't turn those dreams into nightmares. Do not do this to your children. And if you do decide, find out what the consequences are before you decide to engage in such an activity.

We have options. You're not alone if you feel the need to do this and we have options. And Nassau County, and I will give Rene Fichter is such a jewel and an asset who runs the Nassau County Heroin Prevention Task Force. Almost 100 people are involved with that task force. And to listen to them month after month about what's been going on. It's compelling. And I ask any of you please attend those meetings. Thank you for listening and thank you for continuing to save our youth, the leaders of today.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Liz, first of
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all, I'd like to thank you for your years of dedicated leadership and by extension all the members of the group. I've been lucky enough to be involved with you and the group. Most of you are volunteers. You've told me heartbreaking stories in addition to the one that you just mentioned now of young people who get caught up in drugs. And many of us have seen this in our own lives whether of children or parents or contemporaneous. I had a friend growing up who didn't make it past 21. It was heartbreaking. And by the way, Susan, you are included in this as well. The work is critical. No one is going to pretend that what we are going to do here in Nassau County with these bills is going to stop young people from getting marijuana. Unfortunately, the state has preempted us. There's not that much we can do in that regard.

But what we are doing is we're making a statement here for our children and that statement is we don't approve. We, as the leaders of the county and as adults, we're
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telling our children we're making a strong statement this is not right. It may be legal and you may see other people doing it but not in our house. These are our houses. This is our house sort of speak, county property, county parks, and we don't need children thinking that it's okay. It's not okay.

You've made a very powerful and compelling statement. Thank you.

MS. BOYLAN: If I just may share another story. I know I don't want to be taking up too much of your time. It does come down to education and prevention and it comes into the home. I've been to a number of wakes of young children. Children in my own neighborhood. It used to be remote. Now it's really hitting home.

> I also had the experience of a young man who had this beautiful voice, he was a great kid but he was misguided. Chubby kid. Gets into high school, graduates and he discovers marijuana. Marijuana allowed him to lose weight. He started attracting girls. He felt good about himself. You know the story.
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He ends up becoming an addict. He lost his soul. He lost his humanity. He robbed. He went to prison. Then he went into recovery. Came out. Doing okay. What happens? Falls into using drugs again.

So, I visited the Nassau County detention center my very first time and that was quite an experience. And the visitors were treated just as much as suspect and I can understand that.

When I walked in it was a
frightening experience. The young man came out looking very frightened and we sat down and I told him tell me your story. And I said how did you start? He says Mrs. Boylan, I started with marijuana. I wanted to be cool. I wanted a girlfriend. I am so sorry.

So we prayed and prayer is also an option. The churches, the synagogues, places of worship they always get the end result. But I'm not allowed to talk about it in the public. But let me be allowed to talk about it in public because prayer does help.

The boy, we prayed. I said to him
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I know you're not in with a bunch of choir boys, but if you ever decide to fall back, close your eyes and see your mother's tears and you're going to get through this.

Last I heard he was moved to a halfway house looking for a job and I'm praying $I$ don't hear his name in church.

Thank you and please continue your work in your communities. We do need your help. It's not easy but it's not impossible. Thank you for all that you're doing. All of you, including County Executive Curran. Thank you.

## LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank

you. Before I call the next speaker up I just wanted to mention $I$ know there's some of the individuals who are still on the Microsoft Teams event today who were appointees of the county, including Rizwan Qureshi to the Nassau County Board of Health, John Fabian and Michael McDermott to the Nassau County Vocational Education Extension Board, your appointments were part of the consent calendar today. So you have already been officially
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appointed and we congratulate you and look forward to working with you. In case you are still hanging on waiting for that appointment we already did that as part of the consent calendar today. You're good to go. Thank you.

Next speaker is Susan Blauner, Savings Lives from the Five Towns Coalition.

MS. BLAUNER: Good afternoon and thank you for allowing me this few minutes to speak. As you just said, I'm Susan Blauner. I'm the director of the Saving Lives Five Towns Drug and Alcohol Coalition under the auspices of the Marian and Aaron Gural JCC.

I am here today to support the legislature to ban all marijuana on all Nassau County beaches, parks, preserves and golf courses and to update the Social Host law to include cannabis.

I'm mainly here today to speak from the heart. As those who know me, I'm from California and I spent 40 years there also as the director of a large coalition in Los Angeles. We were honored many times by the
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Los Angeles City Counsel for the work that we did.

Unfortunately for California we saw what happened to the communities and to our children. And what happened in the communities there was, first it started out, yes, the medical marijuana. Then it went to having retail shops on every other corner. And you couldn't go anywhere without seeing the green cross sign. So much so that if you even went to a doctor, let's just say you had splinter in your finger, they were already offering you a cannabis card to go next door to buy marijuana.

Nassau County, and from what my representation of the youth and the families of the Five Towns, this is a wonderful community. I chose to move here to Nassau County. I love it here. I consider this my home now. I do not want to see happened here what happened in California. It is wonderful to see that the legislature has taken this up knowing that the state law supersedes on many accounts, but to know that you are doing
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everything in your power to protect our families and our children.

All $I$ can say to you, just as Liz has just so eloquently said, our two coalitions work very, very closely together Rockville Centre for Youth and the Saving Lives Five Towns. How many families I've held hands with? How many children have been affected by cannabis use disorder? How many kids have gone to residential facilities and have become addicted. Not just to marijuana, that's only the gateway. They go on to other drugs. Some of them are able to go into rehab and come out. There are kids that have done that. And then there are the families that I've sat with who are crying and either have sat, in our case, have sat shiva for their children.

I have been with families who their kids have died and then a few weeks later the friends of those kids have died. So, knowing that we spent hours and hours and hours, we put in long days working for our coalition work. We do it with our heart. We do it with
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our soul. And we know that you as the legislature are doing the same to protect our kids and our families. So, thank you very much and please move ahead with this ban. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Susan. You mentioned the state law and how it supersedes the county law and we have to be very careful in what we draft that it doesn't conflict in any way, shape or form with what the state has passed. Unfortunately, we've identified a conflict. The penalty section of this assesses a $\$ 200$ fine for a violation. State law limits the fine violation to \$25.

So, what we're doing today is we will not be calling the item for a vote. We will be amending it in time for the next meeting of the full legislature, as well as looking at potentially expanding its reach. As one of the suggestions that was made by your group and with Rockville Centre for Youth Coalition.

We're going to take another look at this. It will definitely be passed by the
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next meeting. But we want to make sure we've dotted our I's and crossed our Ts and that there's no conflict. As I said, we will change that penalty section and we will also be looking at ways to perhaps making this a little bit broader in reach.

MS. BLAUNER: Thank you very
much. Thank you to all of you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
else on the legislature have any comments?
We're going to have to close the hearing.
Motion to close the hearing by Deputy
Presiding Officer Kopel. Seconded by
Legislator Ford. All in favor of closing the hearing signify by saying eye. Those opposed? The hearing is closed.

As I said, we're not going to call the item today. That will be on as amended at the next meeting of the legislature. But we are going to go ahead with the Social Host law. That is item three. It's a local law to amend Title 64 of the miscellaneous laws of Nassau County to prevent the consumption of cannabis by minors at private homes.
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Motion by Legislator Gaylor.
Seconded by Legislator Mule. That's a motion to open the hearing. All in favor of opening the hearing signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Passes unanimously. Actually, the hearing is open. Confusing my terms here.

This is a local law that prevents the consumption of cannabis by minors at private homes. Obviously the Social Host law has been in effect for a while, but with the changes to state law with respect to the marijuana act we needed to revise and change our own Social Host law to make sure in addition to the other drugs and alcohol that's not permitted in homes where parties are being hosted that cannabis has to be included in that category.

Anyone else want to add anything?
Any public comment? Hearing none, we will move on to a motion to close the hearing. Moved by Legislator Ford. Seconded by Legislator Walker. All in favor of closing the hearing signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously. Hearing is
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closed.
We go to item number seven for a vote. A local law to amend Title 64 of the miscellaneous laws of Nassau County to prevent the consumption of cannabis by minors at private homes.

Motion by Legislator Schaefer.
Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. Any further debate or discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? That item carries unanimously.

Thank you two for coming out and for your tireless support in this area. We really appreciate it.

Item three is a hearing on a proposed local law to amend -- we covered that already. Item four is a vote on a proposed local law to amend the county government law of Nassau County to expand the disclosure requirements to permittees under use and occupancy permits for county properties.

Moved by Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator Ferretti.

This item has to be amended. These
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are the two amendments. One will limit the application of the law to individuals using county-owned property for residential purposes for a fee of over $\$ 25,000$.

Second amendment is that it will, the law will also not apply to residents at federally or state subsidized military or veterans housing. Specifically with respect to Mitchel Field Complex for veterans of military housing. Those are the proposed amendments.

We need a motion to amend. Moved by Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator Ferretti. Any debate or discussion on the amendments? Hearing none, all in favor of the amendments signify by saying aye. Amendments pass unanimously. Now, does anyone want to have any debate or discussion on the item as amended? Legislator Rhoads.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The purpose
of the law is to close a loophole that exists in the extensive disclosure requirements that the county legislature has passed over the last several years, and this stems from a vote
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that we took on the Rules Committee back on January 11th of this year to allow a use and occupancy permit in the name of Smith DeGroat for the rental of the Mille Fleurs property in Sands Point Preserve to private individuals. Because the agreement was in the name of Smith and DeGroat the disclosure was not required of campaign contributions that were made by the individuals who would actually be residing in the home.

It came to the attention of the Rules Committee and the county legislature after the vote was taken that that particular property, the individuals who were renting it, the Hagedorns, actually had made nearly $\$ 120,000$ in contributions to County Executive Curran over the course of the -- Curran's campaign -- over the course of the last four years. Including $\$ 45,000$ in contributions that were made on the day that the Rules Committee was considering this particular contract.

We don't know and as a result of this particular loophole there's no way for us
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to find out probably what we would have done had we known about that information. We'd certainly inquire about the relationship because certainly at first impression it certainly doesn't look right. And I'm sure that taxpayers, in the interest of full disclosure, I'm sure that taxpayers want to know that there was no relationship between the granting of this particular contract or advocacy of this particular contract on the part of the administration in exchange for the contributions that were made over an extended period of time. In particularly the $\$ 45,000$ in contributions that were made on the day or at least posted on the day of the legislature's vote.

While it's too late for us to get that information with respect to this particular contract, moving forward we wanted to make sure that that loophole was closed and that's what this legislation attempts to do. It applies to any county-owned properties that are being used for residential purposes. With the amendments it restricts the applicability
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of this to make sure that we're excluding use and occupancy permits for Little Leagues and for a variety of other purposes for which use and occupancy permits particularly for our parks are routinely engaged in. It applies to residential properties where the value of the contract is in excess of $\$ 25,000$. And obviously, based on the second amendment, will not apply to veterans housing at Mitchel Field or any other county property for that purpose.

But I think that this adds to an important layer of transparency which was exposed because of the Hagedorn contract, the Smith and DeGroat contract, and I think furthers our efforts to guarantee to homeowners that we're doing everything that we can, to taxpayers, that we're doing everything we can to be transparent and to ensure that county property and county money is being used for the correct purpose.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone
else want to be heard on this item? The only thing I would add is that just two or three
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years ago we had gone through a thorough review of our contracting process. We had greatly expanded the requirements that all sorts of vendors disclose all sorts of information to the county. This, as Legislator Rhoads indicated, closes a loophole with respect to this particular area of the county business as being done.

The concept at the time and the concept still is to make sure that everything that the county is doing is completely above board and beyond reproach. Again, the facts of this one would raise questions and contribution being made on the day of the vote which is why we're here today.

Anyway, anyone else? Any public comment? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

Item 24 Resolution 42 is a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute an agreement with the Capital District Youth Center Inc. in relation to the use of a regional secured detention facility.
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Moved by Legislator Walker.
Seconded by Legislator Drucker. That item is before us. I know that there are some questions.

MR. SCHIRILLO: George Schirillo, fiscal officer Department of Probation.

MS. REYER: Also appearing virtually Arianne Reyer, counsel for the department.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I just
have a question. I think there are other questions from legislators. If we don't approve this today, if there's a delay on this, is there any danger in terms of us not being able to place someone who has to be placed?

MR. SCHIRILLO: Arianne, do we have anybody?

MS. REYER: Technically yes because we do have adolescents offenders who can be arraigned at any time. We don't know when an arrest is going to be made. But at our last request the Capital District was at capacity. Even if it did pass today I
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couldn't guarantee that they would offer us a spot for adolescent offenders regardless.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think one of the concerns up here was that we have a hearing on this week to go over this issue and in terms of the timing approving it before the hearing I think there's a little concern that we wanted to have information and more of a hearing and more of a grasp for the full picture before we go ahead.

MS. REYER: I understand but every day that passes there is the chance that an adolescent offender will be arraigned and will be left in a position without a bed. Our adolescent offender that was arraigned on Friday was left without a secured specialized bed.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Where did
that offender get placed?
MS. REYER: That offender was
over the age of 18. So, that offender was able to be housed at the jail. But if somebody else who was 16 was arrested and arraigned we would be left in the same
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difficult position that we often are that we don't have any specialized secured detention facilities.

MR. SCHIRILLO: Another aspect of this facility is that this is the only one of the six in New York State including the Nassau County JDC that requires a contract with the county of resident of the person coming into the county. The other five do not. This is again somewhat of a location of last resort if we cannot get placed anyplace else. The complication with it again is that it's a consortium of five other -- a municipal agreement that requires any county seeking to place residents there to have a specific contract with them. Nassau County does not require that of any residents coming into our detention center. Nor do Westchester, Erie Onondaga or Monroe. So, if this is a facility that has space and it's closer than obviously Erie, Monroe or Onondaga we would still not be able to place a child there and have to place them even further away.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
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Walker.
LEGISLATOR WALKER: It just
concerns me though that you -- I mean I understand and I understand why this is at least is closer. I really wish we had someplace here very close by so families could at least see their children. But you stated just a few minutes ago that right now this place is also full. So, you already -- like we're adding another place to the list that really doesn't have room for anybody.

MS. REYER: If someone were to be moved and released they would at least be able to consider our new admission. Without the contract in place they won't even consider anyone outside of the consortium. And as Mr. Schirillo said, that is unique to the Capital District.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Okay.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone else? Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you Presiding Officer. I share the concerns of many of the legislators up here, and I know
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we've talked about it in our caucus given the precarious situation that we find ourselves in at the moment. I feel like we have no other choice because we don't want to send them into counties that are even further than the one proposed to us today. But it's with great reservation that we do that. But we believe that it's probably the only thing we can do at this moment.

And I'm very happy that we will
have a hearing this week and hopefully it will start the work that is so desperately needed to address this issue in trying to have a more regional approach to housing those young people. So, our hands are forced on this particular issue $I$ believe at this time. That's my sentiment on behalf of this caucus. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Ford.
LEGISLATOR FORD: I agree
Legislator Bynoe. I mean, basically our backs are up against the wall with this. Considering the circumstances and even by
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passing this right now the Capital District Youth Center really has no beds available. So, this is just such a situation which has compelled us now to have this hearing, which will be on Thursday, and we anticipate to try to work out where the problem is and why there is a problem and how do with go from there? Because I think this is something we can't just leave to the hands of everyone else. I think we really have to take a much more active approach in dealing with this situation because I think all of us, Legislator Walker said it, some of these children are 15, 16, 17 year old kids that are, like, they don't know where they're going to be staying. And to be separated from their families so far away does not sit well with me.

I know we look at the fact that maybe they have committed crimes. But in some cases, I don't know, right now the solution of how we handle this is just not sitting well with me at all. So, that's why we're looking forward to this hearing.

MR. SCHIRILLO: And we concur
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with that as well. As you know, this has been an ongoing problem that really came to a forefront with Raise the Age. But this is one of the solutions that we have at this point in time with the available facilities. It shouldn't be an end game that we stop with this. But where we do need a facility to place a child that's what we're up against. That's what we're dealing with. We do concur with everything that's been expressed as far as the frustration with it.

## LEGISLATOR FORD: It is very

frustrating. It's heartwrenching. I know, even for me, when we had some of the younger people even in jail before we raised the age parents calling up about their young ones trying to work out something to help them, I don't know, just to reach out to make sure they were taking their medication and whatever. It's heartbreaking. I'm looking forward to this hearing on Thursday. Okay. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other legislators? Thank you. Hearing no further
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comment, all in favor of item 24 signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Passes unanimously.

Last item before the full
legislature is Item 46. A resolution to require the county to comply with the terms of the settlement agreement for Eric Berliner et al against the County of Nassau.

Moved by Legislator Ferretti.
Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. That item is now before us. Does anyone have any comments, discussion, debate? Legislator Rhoads.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I know at the
committee hearings there was a discussion about recent changes that were made on the county website and whether those changes were in conformance and compliance with the Berliner settlement. What essentially the administration has chosen to do is essentially remove the ladder reports from the county website and replace it with nothing. Which somehow in a way the administration has managed to find a way to be even less transparent with the public at a time when
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homeowners are preparing their SCAR petitions, at a time when homeowners are making the decision and preparing their grievances of their taxes.

The purpose of the Berliner settlement was to make the county more transparent and explain in a way that residents can understand how exactly the value of their home was being calculated. 180 some odd different neighborhood factors, variables that are used by the Department of Assessment in order to calculate their home value. Things which residents need to be able to understand in order to effectively challenge that value.

We've been making an argument ever since this reassessment process started back in 2018 that we wanted to be fair, open and transparent because homeowners not only have the right to challenge their value but also have the right to be able to challenge the process by which our Department of Assessment went through in order to calculate that value.
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It's bad enough that residents actually had to sue the Department of Assessment and the administration in order to force disclosure of that information. But when the county turns around and agrees as part of a court-ordered resolution to that litigation to do certain things to see now that the county has somehow found a way to make it even worse and comply with only portions of the agreement. The portion of the agreement that they have complied with is the one that actually takes more information away from taxpayers and has replaced it effectively with nothing at a time that's critical for taxpayers, as I said, they're preparing their SCAR petitions and preparing their grievances for the next tax year.

So, there was question as to
whether this litigation was necessary. The answer to that question, now that we've had an opportunity to research it, is clearly yes, and we will continue to fight for transparency and we're hoping that by force of law, though this didn't seem to work quite so well when we
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passed the restoration of five year phase-in values back in December, it took four and a half months to get that back up and we're still not sure that that information is actually accurate.

But this at least will give the force of the law to the terms of the Berliner settlement in the hopes that the administration will do what they are legally bound to do. We'll see if that actually occurs. But these are the steps that we can take and I would urge my colleagues to take those steps to protect taxpayers.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Drucker.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you Presiding Officer. You know, rehashing the need for the lawsuit is totally unnecessary because, as Legislator Rhoads, you understand lawsuits very often both sides have compelling arguments and case settles. A settlement agreement is an agreement which both sides recognize they are getting what they want. This particular decree, the settlement decree,
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the settlement agreement, contemplated all of the issues that were raised, contemplated all of the issues you just expressed Legislator Rhoads and they were enumerated.

Yes, the county was, the administration was tasked with complying with that in each and every respect and this caucus has received a briefing from our legal counsel that the administration is in compliance with each and every item that they were tasked to do.

I believe we have Rob Miles. I'd like you to come up Mr. Miles on behalf of the administration to articulate and explain how the county has complied with each and every item on the consent settlement and this bill right now is just a political gesture to further, you know, bad mouth the administration. But if we're in compliance now at this point in time what's the point of the legislation?

Rob Miles, can you explain for this body how the administration has complied with each and every term of the settlement decree?
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MR. MILES: Yes Legislator. Rob Miles, deputy assessor. Going to Legislator Drucker's point, there's actually a web page, a tabulation on the Nassau County Department of Assessment site. It's specifically stated reassessment methodology. There's a description of the methodology, it's quite clear, giving a history of the reassessment and the process of reassessing within the paragraphs of the methodology.

We have the maps listed, the valuations maps, the neighborhood maps, the coefficients, the modeling, the 180 plus factors that are in there and everything that's requested that we comply with not just the ladder reports. We complied with everything I believe April 8th. So, the argument that the homeowners didn't have this information during the SCAR filing period is inaccurate.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you.
MR. MILES: If the legislators
are having a difficult time finding the tab I can list the tab for you if you'd like to take
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a look.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I would love for you to actually do that Mr. Miles. And we've had our own individuals, our own counsel and certainly our own staff trying to follow this information on the department website and we've had difficulty finding it. What's the expectation that taxpayers are going to be able to find it when people who actually know and understand the process can't?

MR. MILES: I'm not sure why your staff is having a difficult time. You have a very intelligent staff that $I$ work well with. So I'm not sure what the issue was.

LEGISLATOR FORD: That being said, can you just tell us on the assessment page what tab it is?

MR. MILES: If you scroll to the left of the assessment home page on the bottom is the reassessment methodology. Within it is the description that I've explained. And then at the bottom, if the homeowner doesn't feel like clicking through all the links and reading everything is everything that I listed
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before. The market areas, the neighborhoods, the coefficients, the models and the property factors.

LEGISLATOR FORD: SO, I'm here on -- I'm looking at Long Beach. So, I see the map, the one of one. I hit on the map but it didn't allow me to bring up my neighborhood then I could see. It just showed me --

MR. MILES: You have neighborhood maps as well on there. There's a market area map and a neighborhood map along with the other items.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Mr. Miles, is this on the Department of Assessment home page or is this on My Nassau Properties?

MR. MILES: The Department of Assessment homepage.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So, it's not on My Nassau Properties where we direct every homeowner to go?

MR. MILES: The land record viewer? Are you describing the land record viewer?

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Yes.
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MR. MILES: The site links the land record viewer. All information required by the homeowner regarding exemptions, applications, brochures, we direct everybody to the Nassau County Department of Assessment and there is a link to the land record viewer. This home page has been in use for a very, very long time.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Mr. Miles,
is there a link on the land record viewer to this information?

MR. MILES: There's a link from the land record viewer to the home page.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Where on the land record viewer is that link?

MR. MILES: I can't see it while I'm looking at you all but I'm pretty sure at the top of the page there's a home page site. LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Is there any instruction that's provided to homeowners what information they can access through that link?
MR. MILES: I'm sorry. Can you
repeat that?
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LEGISLATOR RHOADS: You're
indicating that there's a link on the top. Is there anything that explains to homeowners what information they can access if they click on that link to get to the county assessor's website?

MR. MILES: It's in the
tabulation itself. It actually reads as a flow-through. From the history of the reassessment, the market areas, the neighborhoods, the coefficients, the contractors that were used to help do the reassessment.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Mr. Miles, on the land record viewer page where you're saying there's a link to the Department of Assessment website on the land record viewer page is there a link to this specific tab?

MR. MILES: The research methodologies?

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Yes.
MR. MILES: Not that I'm aware of.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Is there
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anything on the land record viewer that indicates that there is this methodology tab? That it exists?

MR. MILES: I don't believe it's on the link. It's on the Department of Assessment web page that we have been using for many, many years. That's where we guide our taxpayers to go look at exemption brochures, pull up applications. Everything that you would need one stop shop for the assessment department.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: If
constituent X is looking to grieve their assessment -- now that's not through the Department of Assessment it's through the Assessment Review Commission, correct?

MR. MILES: Correct.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So you go to the land record viewer and you're viewing your information. I think what you just said is there's nothing on the land record viewer that notifies a constituent that this tab exists, correct?

MR. MILES: On the land record
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viewer site?
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Correct.
MR. MILES: Not that I'm aware of. But the main page is the Department of Assessment page that links the land record viewer page.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I
understand what you're saying. Me personally
I go to the land record viewer page multiple times a week for constituents. I never go to the Department of Assessment website for constituents.

MR. MILES: That's unfortunate because the Department of Assessment page has all the information for exemptions, has all the information regarding trending. And the trending information that was requested by this body is on the Department of Assessment web page which I did not hear complaints about before.

## LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Do you

have information about how many hits there are on the Department of Assessment page as opposed to the land record viewer?
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MR. MILES: I don't have that
information.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The
department should because $I$ can tell you right now, and Legislator Ferretti was saying he does it on behalf of constituents, that 99.9 percent of the residents out there who are looking for information, who are savvy enough to go to the website are going to their page. They're going to the land record viewer. They're not to the Department of Assessment page.

Let me ask you this. Is there a specific link on the land record viewer to someone's neighborhood so that they can see what the neighborhood factor was? Is there a specific link there?

MR. MILES: No. But it's listed
in the Department of Assessment homepage.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's the problem. The average resident, you're saying okay, you have to find this link in your land record viewer and then you go to the Department of Assessment website and then you
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have to navigate to find this information. It's almost as if we're making it as difficult as possible.

MR. MILES: I'm sorry, Presiding Officer, I disagree wholeheartedly. The Department of Assessment home page is utilized by our seniors, by our veterans, by people who want to go and pull exemptions. They go to the Department of Assessment home page. When people were asking about the trends, we got calls about the trends, they looked at the Department of Assessment home page. That's where they access the information.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: When they call about exemptions I can see doing that because they're not going to land record viewer because that's a different subject. I can see going to the Department of Assessment home page. For those specific residents asking about trending, yeah, I would see them going because the trending would not be in the land record viewer.

But again, my experience with your average constituent is they're going to look
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at their own property. Again, I think what you've done is you've tried to comply somewhat with the law, with the settlement but you did in such a way that's difficulty for residents to actually find it.

MR. MILES: I'm sorry, Presiding Officer, I disagree with you. I can't disagree with you more. When you talk about the trending you say oh, well, it's a different subject. No, it is not. The trends are by school district. The trends are by a different political subdivision. It is not a different subject. It is the same subject. That's why you want to put the same subject matter on that page.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let me ask you this. When was the settlement with Berliner?

MR. MILES: I believe it was some time in October. But I see the electronic filing was December $23 r$.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So I guess
you're going to have us believe it's coincidental that this information came up on
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the Department of Assessment website a day after we filed this legislation? It took you six months.

MR. MILES: I think it took us four months.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Sort of proves the point, doesn't it?

MR. MILES: I don't believe it does. This should not be a rushed -- this should not be rushed. This is information that the taxpayers is receiving. This is not something to rush.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It should not be rushed is right.

MR. MILES: I don't recall seeing anything in the order that says it has to be complied by a certain date.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So it was a coincidence that this information was up the day of or the day after we filed?

MR. MILES: The department has been working on this for much longer than the legislation that this body produced.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So it's a
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coincidence?
MR. MILES: No, it is not. I mean it is a coincidence. We've been working on this for much longer than the legislation that was provided.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Rhoads.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Is there anything, Mr. Miles, that prevents you from actually putting an explanation and a link to the Department of Assessment website on the land record viewer?

MR. MILES: I'd have to go back to IT. I'm sure they can figure out --

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We control
both sides, right? They're both county sites?

MR. MILES: Right.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So there's no reason why the same way we can't link to the land record viewer from the Department of Assessment website we should be able to do the reverse, right?

MR. MILES: Not that I'm aware of
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but I would have to talk to the IT department.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Because
that's something that we would want to do since most of the traffic goes to the land record viewer, correct?

MR. MILES: I'm not saying that. I don't what the hits are remember.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I think
common sense would, at least from our own experience and our dealings with our own constituents, common sense would dictate that they go to the land record viewer because that's supposed to have the most relevant information.

MR. MILES: I'd hope you guide them also to the Department of Assessment web page that has the trending information and the exemption information.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I think you'd
make it available in one place instead of having to send homeowners back and forth to different locations. But apparently that's not how this works. If you can look at that I would certainly appreciate it.
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And to be perfectly honest my other concern is the fact that, yes, if information happens to be on the Department of Assessment website, as we've seen from our own experience with respect to the phase-in values, that information can disappear overnight. So, then it's a fight to get that information restored.

I have absolutely no problem
codifying the terms of the Berliner
settlement. Again, I will review the
information that's on the Department of
Assessment website, but I do believe it should also -- a link to that information, an explanation should be placed on the land record viewer as well. And I want to ensure that this information continues to be maintained and this isn't a short term fix on the part of the Department of Assessment brought about by the existence of this legislation.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Ford, Legislator Mule, Legislator Ferretti.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Good
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afternoon. If a homeowner wants to grieve their assessment would they use the reassessment methodologies to be able to get the information that they need or is this just --

MR. MILES: They would go to the ARC web page. The arrow web page to do a grievance.

LEGISLATOR FORD: That's on your website?

MR. MILES: That's on the
Assessment Review Commission's website.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Do you have a
link on this page to let residents know?
MR. MILES: To the Assessment
Review Commission website?
LEGISLATOR FORD: I understand
that. I'm asking you, with the assessment department, because as you said, a lot of people utilize this. I agree with you that a lot of people do because we direct them to get the forms and get information. I'm asking if somebody -- if I wanted to grieve my assessment and I don't know where to go I

Full - 4-26-21
would automatically go to the assessment page, correct? Department of Assessment? If you're going to grieve your assessment.

MR. MILES: You don't go to the Department of Assessment to go grieve the assessment. You go to the --

LEGISLATOR FORD: I know that. I understand that. What I'm asking you is, is there anything here that lets a resident know that if they themselves wanted to grieve their assessment that they don't use this page and if you want to then you would go to the assessment review?

MR. MILES: I believe there's
something in the home page that states that there's a separate commission that you would go to file.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I am going to check because if we're looking at this because even when I looked at the reassessment methodologies that you have here that I found it is very cumbersome. There's a lot. I understand it. I think for the regular homeowner, I myself am not a lawyer, so pretty
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much a lot of people may have some difficulty truly understanding it.

MR. MILES: It's not in legalese or lawyer language. I think it's actually quite clear. There's information in there that's very helpful and very clear as to the history. It's a flow-through. It goes through the history of the reassessment. It goes through the processes of the reassessment.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm looking at that now.

MR. MILES: It's not in
legalese. I think it's quite clear.
LEGISLATOR FORD: How does it really let a resident know specifically how you came to assess their home at the value that you did?

MR. MILES: I think it says what the methodology was within the paragraphs on the web page.

LEGISLATOR FORD: But what you do
is -- but there's like, under the ladder report residents were able to go on and take a

Full - 4-26-21
look. They could, say, like $I$ could look on my block and I would see the value of my house. If I had like a swimming pool that there was a certain value added to that. If I had a larger driveway then whatever. Like in Long Beach they add a certain value to the homes that are raised because of that bottom level that can be used for parking. So there was a certain value in many cases. You add like 50 or $\$ 60,000$ to the value of a house because of that.

So, residents would be able to say this is how you came upon how my house was valued. But where is the chart or where is the information that makes it rather simple for people to be able to?

MR. MILES: Per the settlement the ladder report was to be removed and that's what we did.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So what did you
put in place so that the residents can easily --

MR. MILES: I think we have
everything that was required in the
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settlement, which was property factors, the coefficients, the neighborhood maps and the market area maps. We did everything that was required in the settlement agreement. And that's in place specifically of the ladder report.

LEGISLATOR FORD: You did
everything for the settlement but really all in all what did you really do for the residents?

MR. MILES: A, I think we do a lot for the residents. But B, I don't think the conversation --

LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm asking
about this. I know that you do. I'm just asking, where is the help for the regular residents looking at their assessments.

MR. MILES: I think the website is quite clear.

LEGISLATOR FORD: A lot of my residents are saying it isn't because they want to know how do we come up with these values? You're educated in this. It's easy for you to say well, it is quite clear because
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you live and breathe it. But for people who don't live and breath it $I$ dare to say that you're falling short on this. This is why I'm going to support this legislation.

MR. MILES: But we're also here
to discuss if we complied with the legislation. I believe that's what you're insinuating is we didn't comply with the settlement. I think that's what we are insinuating.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I don't know
about that. I'm not 100 percent certain that you are but thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Mule.

LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you
Presiding Officer. Mr. Miles, it's my understanding that the settlement said to revise the Department of Assessment website not to the land record site; is that correct?

MR. MILES: Correct.
LEGISLATOR MULE: So we can all be 100 percent clear. Have you followed all of the items that were in the settlement?
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MR. MILES: Absolutely.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you.
Therefore, $I$ will not be supporting this piece of legislation.

MR. MILES: Thank you Legislator.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Ferretti.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Mr. Miles,
who runs the land record website? What
department?
MR. MILES: Department of
Assessment and supported by the Department of Information Technology.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: The
Department of Information and Technology supports every website in the county, right?

MR. MILES: Probably.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Do you
know?
MR. MILES: I don't work for the
Department of Technology.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I'm just
asking if you know?
MR. MILES: I don't know.
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LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: When we
talk about the Department of Assessment website the land record website is included in that, correct?

MR. MILES: It's a link from the Department of Assessment web page.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So it's part of the Department of Assessment web page, right?
MR. MILES: Part of the main
page.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Why was there not a link on the land record viewer page to this sublink, this Department of Assessment website with the new information? Why not?

MR. MILES: Because we put it on the main page. As Legislator Mule said, the settlement says put it on the main page. Looks like we did that.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Have you
looked at this page?
MR. MILES: Have I looked at the home page?
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LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: No. Have you looked at the tab with the methodologies? MR. MILES: I have looked at them.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Have you clicked the links with the different coefficients.

MR. MILES: Absolutely.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: And you can read that?

MR. MILES: I can read -- the coefficients that was required of the -- are we discussing whether we can read the code or if we're complying with the settlement?

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I get to ask the questions. So my question to you is if you can read it. If you can understand what I'm looking at right now.

MR. MILES: I'm not answering that question. The reason why we're here is whether or not we complied with the settlement and we did.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So you're not going to answer whether you can understand
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what's on your website; is that correct?
MR. MILES: I am not a coder.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: That's
fine. So I guess you don't understand it.
MR. MILES: I do not do mass
appraisal market analysis.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Neither do most constituents. So when they click on this link you know what they see? Gobbledegook. Because that's what $I$ see and that's what you see. Just like when I click on the map that you guys put up with the different neighborhood factors it's all gobbledegook. There's nothing there. What you did was you took down the ladder report on the land record viewer, which is actually somewhat useful and you replaced it with gobbledegook code, correct?

MR. MILES: Legislator, one, we described everything in the paragraphs. You can read through the paragraphs.

Second, the discussion is whether we complied with the reassessment not if I'm an expert at SPSS market analyses. That's not
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the question here. The question was, did we comply with the order and we have. And we describe the process of the reassessment methodologies and I'm saying this over and over again and it's on the website and it's on the right web page.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I think my
reading of that settlement the intent certainly was to bring more transparency and more information to residents and what the result is less transparency and less information to our residents. So, I don't think that we are in compliance with the spirit of that settlement agreement and I will be voting in favor of this.

And I think what we did -- not what we did because we didn't do it -- but what the administration did was they put something that they can claim on the face did comply with the settlement. They hid it in a small little tab on a site that nobody goes to and when you click on it you pull up gobbledegook. So I don't think that that's complying with the intent of that settlement and like I said, I

Full - 4-26-21
will be supporting this and really --
MR. MILES: I'm sorry. You're saying the veterans and the seniors who almost exclusively use the assessment page nobody reads the home page?

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I didn't say nobody.

MR. MILES: Yes, you did.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Did I say
nobody? It's not zero but it's certainly far less in my experience than people that use the land record viewer. It's not a heavily trafficked website. Far less than the land record viewer from what $I$ have seen. And you can't tell me how many hits for each one so you don't know. That's based on my experience.

MR. MILES: I'm sorry. It isn't nobody to me.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you
for looking out for the residents of Nassau County. But I will be supporting this legislation and $I$ hope that my colleagues do as well.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Deputy
Presiding Officer Kopel.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: If I
understand your position it's that there's already been compliance with this order, right?

MR. MILES: Yes.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It's not that you can't do what we're requesting it's that you won't do what we're requesting?

MR. MILES: I don't think I've ever said that $I$ won't do.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Why not do it then and make this simple? It's not technically hard for you to do this. It's a fairly simple thing to do. I don't think that you can argue the point that it would enhance understanding by people. It certainly won't hurt. So why not go ahead and do it? Where's the opposition?

MR. MILES: I'm starting to lose the main line of the argument. I think the argument was whether or not we complied with the settlement and I think it is yes.
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LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm going
beyond that. You say that you've complied in technical sense. Good. Let's just say for argument's sake we grant that position. Let's just say. Why not go further? Why not do exactly as we're suggesting here?

MR. MILES: I'm sorry. So, then
if we all agree that.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I didn't say we agree. I said for argument's sake.

MR. MILES: I'm sorry,
Legislator, you said if we agree that you did technically comply with the settlement then I'm trying to figure out how this legislation isn't moot.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Because, you know, you're being a little bit deliberately obtuse, I'm sorry.

MR. MILES: I don't think I am.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Okay. Very
simple. It doesn't hurt to make a couple of enhancements to this and you can do it. Why not do it? Forgetting about the settlement. Why not go ahead and do what we're
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suggesting? Why don't you want to?
MR. MILES: There's nothing that I'm saying that we won't do. We will continue to improve the assessment website, the assessment systems. But the main question here, did the Department of Assessment comply with the settlement and it did.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: All I'm
getting from what you're saying is that you've complied in the strict legal sense. Whether or not we agree is beside the point, but you've complied in a strict legal sense and you won't do anything further.

MR. MILES: That's not what I'm saying.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Walker.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: I guess everyone of us up here and I think on both sides of the aisle we do everything to try to assist our residents and to help them understand especially in a process that's hard sometimes for us to understand.

You're saying that you did follow
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everything in the settlement and you put it on the website is wonderful. But if it's there in the way it's written no one can understand. I don't do code at all. I understand language that's understandable to most people. Most of our homeowners they are not going to understand that at all. You yourself, who work in assessment, said well, I don't understand code. So you complied with what you were told to do so you feel that's fine. But if it doesn't make sense to people and if they can't understand it what was -you complied in your own way. So, you did what you were told to do and you're fine with that. Doesn't help our residents but you're fine with that.

MR. MILES: We put a very descriptive description of how everything occurred was. It's on the web page. It's not in legalese. And this is by far the most transparent assessing jurisdiction in the state. There's no other assessing jurisdiction that produces the information that this assessing jurisdiction produces.
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Please look at the other assessing jurisdictions in the state. It does not come close. That is an absolute fact.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: I'm sure most of them don't have the same amount of problems that we have, that our residents have to deal with. $24-7$ we are constantly still getting phone calls about problems, about issues that are still wrong, about checks that you stood here at a hearing and promised they would have and they still don't have for people who overpaid because of mistakes that were made there. We could say we have the most wonderful in the world but I don't think our residents see that and I don't see that either.

And yes, you said we didn't have to rush. I think our whole problem is the fact that we rushed to begin with to get this whole assessment system fixed that we're in more mess than we can imagine.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I do have a follow-up. As Legislator Ferretti pointed out, you click on some of these links and you
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get gobbledegook. It's a great descriptive term. I want to back up a little bit. If I'm a residents going to my Nassau Property dot -- looking at the land, the viewer there, which is what most people do. Look, if you want to know what's going on with your assessment you're going to go to your property. You're going to see what information up. What's now there to help a resident determine how the Department of Assessment arrived at their own individual property's assessment? What's still remaining on the land track viewer?

MR. MILES: I think you can see the comparable market analyses that are still up. The department never removed the comparable market analyses, which is the main method that is utilized for filing a grievance and filing a SCAR. That was never removed.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All right. So now that everything else is gone --

MR. MILES: Everything else is only the ladder report Presiding Officer.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: How do you
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compare your home to another home in the viewer?

MR. MILES: The comparable sales analysis that is still remaining up there as we speak.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Correct me
if I'm wrong, wasn't the whole point that the
Department of Assessment wasn't using
comparable sales to determine assessments?
Wasn't that what we told residents?
MR. MILES: But I thought we were
talking about filing a petition and filing at ARC etcetera, etcetera.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm
talking about a resident who wants to know why
is my house -- what are they telling me my house is worth 650 and $I$ know it's only worth

500? That's what most residents want to know. What's there to tell them how you arrived at their assessment?

MR. MILES: The reassessment methodologies points it out. It points out the market areas, the neighborhoods, the coefficients, the property factors. That's
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what the residents would use to understand the reassessment methodology.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: If they want to find out what the neighborhood factor is and they go to the Department of Assessment website and they get gobbledegook? Is that how it works?

MR. MILES: It's not
gobbledegook.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It certainly is to 99 percent of the residents. I mean, again, look, I think the point that's being made over and over again is somehow the Department of Assessment, which settled a lawsuit which was intended to make the assessment process more transparent, has taken that settlement and now made itself less transparent.

I want to thank Legislator Drucker for inviting you up today because we would have had none of this back and forth without you being here. But it's frustrating for us, it's frustrating for residents to be honest with you. Again, look, you've come before us
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a number of times and you've stood there and you've answered the questions and had back and forth. So I'm not making this personal between us. We appreciate the fact that you do that. All right Robert?

MR. MILES: I hope it isn't. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It's not.

Anyone else have any questions? Legislator Birnbaum. Then Legislator Rhoads. LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you for being here Mr. Miles. I've listened to the discussion back and forth and it's odd that we're complaining that there's too much information now on the website. I went to the home page of the Department of Assessment and I read the description. It's summaries of how you did everything. There's many links that would bring the average person to what they're looking for. And there's a difference between your Department of Assessment and the Assessment Review Commission.

I think the whole lawsuit was against the Department of Assessment with the information, and I want to commend you for all
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the transparency and the information you have provided. I don't think the common person could understand coefficients and exactly how the math went into the reassessment. But yet it's there because you had to put out this information. Otherwise I don't even think you would bother with all these mathematical computations. But that's what was asked of them and they did and now we're complaining there's too much information.

So, there's difference between assessment and Assessment Review Commission. I think if the average homeowner wants to find out how the assessment was arrived at they do study maybe the Department of Assessment. But mostly they'd be on the Assessment Review Commission page to look at comps.

But I think for the sake of the argument of this piece of legislation, your department has completely complied with what you were supposed to do. And if we are requesting other information and modifications to the website I'm sure that Mr. Miles said he's willing to work with us. But for the
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legislation before us today it's moot. It's been done. There's more than enough information that's on that page.

I haven't looked at other counties or other areas in the state to say it but I'll take your word for it that we probably have a very complex website because everybody in this area at our legislature has been asking for more information and you've been giving it. So thank you.

Yes, it may be complicated and difficult for people to understand. Maybe that's why it wasn't there in the first place. But yet all the explanation is provided for how the reassessment took place. So, I want to thank you for that and all the work you've been doing to try to get this website as thorough as it is.

MR. MILES: Thank you Legislator.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you Mr.
Presiding Officer. I respectfully disagree with Legislator Birnbaum in virtually every
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respect. The bottom line is that the county was responsible under the terms of the settlement to include a plain English narrative explaining the information that's contained on the website. And yes, the words that you put on the website are in plain English. However, they don't explain the information that's contained in the hyperlink. You click on a hyperlink for market coefficients and you receive a series of letters and numbers that are incomprehensible to the average person. If the idea behind the lawsuit was that there was supposed to be transparency and the idea behind what we're stating is our objective, and which the county executive supposedly shares in, that we want residents to be able to understand how is the information that you put up on your website helpful and how is it in any way compliant with the terms of the settlement?

The bottom line is, Mr. Miles, I don't need you to be a coder. Right? I'm not a coder. The whole point of the lawsuit was
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that I should be able to go on the website, click on the link and get an explanation for exactly how it was that the Department of Assessment considered my home's market, the different coefficients that it used, the different markets, the model variables that it used. But when you a click on those links you receive -- there's information there but it's information that no one other than a coder can understand. So I'm going to ask you, Mr. Miles, I'm going to ask what I'm holding now is a -- and I apologize that my fellow legislators can't see it --

MR. MILES: Legislator Rhoads is testifying with me on behalf of DOA.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Now we'll get to the bottom of this.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: We were trying to figure a way to get it up on the screen and we can't. So when you click on the list of Nassau County 2021 model variables, which is information that's now contained on the Department of Assessment website not the land record viewer but the Department of
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Assessment website, this is a printout of the information that's contained there. Is there any place on the Department of Assessment website that explains what each of these terms actually is?

MR. MILES: The 180 plus?
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Yes. The plain English description of what it actually is.

MR. MILES: I don't think so Legislator. But it is -- 180 as specified in the lawsuit.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It says a plain English description.

MR. MILES: Of the reassessment methodologies and everything that occurred in it.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: This is the part of the methodology?

MR. MILES: It is and it's been explained in the -- sorry, this is an odd exchange.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: It's like being in a deposition.
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So, when you click on the
information for model variables you'll concede though that for each of these, econ unit ID, do you have any idea what econ unit ID is?

MR. MILES: Yes.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: You know, right, because you work in the Department of Assessment?

MR. MILES: But $I$ think many of these are quite plain and easy to read. Neighborhood label. The neighborhood, the address's legal code class. Acres. I don't think that's something that you need to spoon feed to -- if a homeowner doesn't know what an acre is that's a problem.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What's an
NBHD label?
MR. MILES: Neighborhood.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: What's an
NBHD old?
MR. MILES: That's specific to
the code.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If you're not from the Department of Assessment you may be
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able to interpret some of the 180 variables but the idea was that you wanted to provide an explanation so that the average person can actually understand what those variables are and --

MR. MILES: But the explanation is in the -- I don't know how this goes.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: But you would concede though that there is not an explanation for each of the items that's in the 2021 model variables?

MR. MILES: No, it's not for each.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So now, when you click on the valuation for individual -MR. MILES: Right. This is the modeling. This is the coefficients. I mean, you can utilize this to create an SPSS model. LEGISLATOR RHOADS: How?

MR. MILES: Do you know how to use SPSS?

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: No. Is there any explanation though for the information that's --
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All
right. I don't know how fruitful this is for the rest of us.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Not a
problem. What I'm going to do is, so you can see, we only have one copy but $I$ just wanted to ask my question first before I publicized it.

You would concede that anywhere on the Department of Assessment website, Mr. Miles, that there is no explanation for what this information actually means, right?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All right. Again, gentlemen, this is not getting taken down on the transcript because of the way you're doing it.

MR. MILES: It was interesting. Thank you for coming up here.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Are there
any other questions? Anyone at home or remote? Thank you Mr. Miles.

MR. MILES: This is one of the most interesting -- I mean I enjoyed seeing Legislator Rhoads up here.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We can send him to your office too if you want if you enjoyed it that much.

I think the debate is over ladies and gentlemen. Let's go to a vote on this. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Passes by a vote of 11 to eight.

Motion to adjourn by Legislator
McKevitt. Seconded by Legislator
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: If it's okay,
Mr. Presiding Officer, I'd just like to give these in to the clerk.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's
fine. All those in favor of adjourning signify by saying aye. Those opposed? We are adjourned. We're going to go right into the Rules Committee.
(Legislature was adjourned at 3:21
p.m.)
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