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LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mike when you
are ready please call the roll.
MR. PULITZER: Finance Committee
roll call. Legislator Joshua Lafazan.
LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Ranking Member
Ellen Birnbaum.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Substituting for
Rose Marie Walker we have Legislator John Ferretti.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Thomas McKevitt.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Vice Chairman
Vincent Muscarella.
LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Chairman Howard Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
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MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum sir.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm going to take a motion now before we start to suspend the rules. That's made by Mr. McKevitt and seconded by Mr. Drucker. All those in favor of suspending the rules please say aye. Any opposed? The rules are suspended. Now we're going to go through a number of consent items. These are items that have previously been considered by other committees and have passed without dissent. The Majority and Minority agree on them. Those items are number 148, 152, 153, 154 and 156. Motion on those items is made by Mr. Muscarella. Seconded by Ms. Birnbaum. All those in favor of those items please say aye. Any opposed? Those items pass unanimously. Thank you.

The next item will be number 150
and that's a resolution for issuance of a warrant for the treasurer to pay various other municipalities monies received from mortgage taxes.
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And that item, the motion is made by Mr. Lafazan. Seconded by Mr. McKevitt. Any questions on this item? All those in favor please indicate by saying aye. Any opposed? That item passes unanimously. Moving on. Number 155 is a grant agreement between Parks and the Art Guild of Port Washington. That motion is made by Mr. Ferretti and seconded by Mr. Drucker.

MS. KASO: Victoria Kaso with the
Department of Parks. 155 is an amendment to a hotel-motel tax grant agreement with the Art Guild of Port Washington. They had some delays to their project due to COVID. To allow for more time to use the funds.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any questions? Then all those in favor of number 155 please say aye. Any opposed? That item is unanimous. Thank you. You got 157? 157 is between Parks and Nassau County Bailey Arboretum.

That motion is made by Mr. McKevitt. Seconded by Mr. Lafazan. MS. KASO: 157 is a hotel-motel
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tax agreement with the Bailey Arboretum in the amount of $\$ 80,000$ for the ongoing operation and programing of the arboretum.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Do we have any questions on that item? Hearing none, all those in favor please say aye. Any opposed? Thank you. That item is unanimous. We are moving on to the addendum. The first addendum.

And on the addendum, let's see, number 160 is a resolution authorizing an amendment to an agreement with the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation with regard to the New York State Septic System Replacement Program. And that motion is made by Mr. McKevitt and seconded by Ms. Birnbaum.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Good afternoon. Brian Schneider from the county exec's office.

Item 160-21 is attempt to amend or restate an agreement with the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation to participate in the state septic system replacement program. The state has allocated
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an additional $\$ 1$ million to Nassau County towards the replacement of failing septic systems in priority geographic areas designated by New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. This brings the total amount available from the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation to \$2 million.

Eligible projects will be reimbursed through this program up to 50 percent of the total cost of the septic system replacement with a maximum of $\$ 10,000$ per household. This equates to 200 households which can be covered under this program. Once homeowners who apply through the program are notified that they have an eligible project and an award they are then responsible for the total cost of the septic system replacement. The homeowner can choose to be reimbursed by the county directly or have the reimbursement paid directly to their contractor who installed the system.

It is the intent of this program to reduce the environmental and public health
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impacts associated with the discharge of effluent from cesspools and septic systems to our sole source of drinking water, as well as threatened or impaired surface water bodies.

The Department of Public Works, the Nassau County Soil and Water Conservation District with support from the Office of Consumer Affairs will be managing this program which was publicly announced on May 3rd. Any questions?

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Any
questions? Go ahead Mr. Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Hi Brian.
How are you doing? How many eligible property owners have received funding so far through the county under this state grant program? MR. SCHNEIDER: As I stated, we just rolled the program out on May 3rd. So, no projects have actually been reimbursed to this point. It's really in its infancy.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I'm sorry
about that. I thought it was earlier than that. Three days ago or whatever. Five days ago. Thank you.
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LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Ms. Birnbaum.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: My question
is very similar. I was at the announcement and I'm very happy that the county has this funding available for homeowners for the reimbursement. Do we have any idea how many eligible homeowners there are with these older septic tanks? I know people have been calling my office. I'm sure people have been calling the county and asking about it.

MR. SCHNEIDER: Correct. As
hopefully all the legislators know, about 90 percent of the county's land area is covered by a centralized sanitary sewer system. But there are roughly 35,000 individual properties, whether they're single family homes, multiple family homes or businesses that are on-site septics they would all be eligible for this reimbursement. So, depending upon the condition of their systems, obviously those systems that are nearer the shorelines those are the ones that we really want to focus on because those have an immediate impact on not only groundwater
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quality but surface water quality leading to alga blooms in our bays. Primarily along the north shore.

We will be evaluating applications as they come in. Everybody basically who is on an on-site system is eligible. And obviously with a $\$ 2$ million grant at $\$ 10,000$ per household we are limited to right now to 200 households out of the 35,000 that I mentioned. Conversely, Suffolk County has 375,000 homes which are on-site septics. So they have a much larger are problem. They have some additional funding that is dedicated for their septic replacement program. So we're starting smaller but we'll take the $\$ 2$ million at this point.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: How are we promoting it?

MR. SCHNEIDER: So, we did have an announcement on May 3rd. We're going to be -- it is on our website. It's www dot Nassau County NY dot gov slash septic replace. We will be reaching out and we have had webinars with the various north shore
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villages. We've been reaching out to the Village Officials Association. We're partnering with North Shore Land Alliance and the Nature Conservancy, the Citizens Campaign for the Environment. We're going to be having webinars. We're going to have a vendors day where a resident -- hopefully when COVID does have additional lifted restrictions -- have some outdoor events where residents who are interested in the program will be notified through press and other social media to come out and meet with vendors who are installing these systems.

So, as we go further through the summer we will be holding more events and getting the word out.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Okay. Thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Anyone else? Hearing none, all those in favor of this item please signify by saying aye. Any opposed? That item is unanimous.

I'm going to call a bunch together next. That would be number 163, 166 and 167
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are all authorizations for the receiver of taxes to partially exempt certain real properties in various school districts.

Number 164 is authorizing to cancel
certain restored taxes in various school districts.

And 165 is authorizing the correction of erroneous assessments on various properties.

Those items are -- the motion is made by Mr. Ferretti and seconded by Ms. Birnbaum. Any questions on those items? Did you have a question?

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Yeah. I actually have a couple of questions.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mr. McKevitt. Do we have anybody here to speak to those items?

MR. MILES: Good afternoon.
Deputy assessor Robert Miles. Good afternoon legislator.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Good
afternoon Mr. Miles. Just a couple of questions. One thing I just became aware of
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there is one item on Resolution 167-21 which is designed for properties in the Town of Oyster Bay. But one of them I notice is actually located within the Town of Hempstead. It is Section 51, Block 47, Lot 135. The address for that is 2515 Ramona Street in East Meadow. I just want to make sure that her being in the wrong resolution will not negatively affect trying to make sure her taxes are reset to correct amount.

MR. MILES: No. Thank you
legislator. It must have been assigned to the wrong resolution.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: It
happens. I understand. Just want to correct that point.

The other bigger issue I just want to get to since, again, this woman just literally called my office on Thursday and indicated that the state of New York had declared her to be dead. She is quite surprised to find that. My office had a very good conversation with her. She's alive. It was not through any fault of your own. It was
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actually the state had actually I guess through processing her taxes had erroneously taken away her enhanced STAR. With that, the exemption as well too. So, I do appreciate the efforts in order to go and to try to restore that.

The question $I$ do have though is, because of her losing the enhanced STAR as well as her senior exemption her school taxes have neither quintupled from what they once were. My question is is when -- and actually we spoke to her earlier today because today is obviously May 10th and the taxes are due and we told her you have to pay those taxes because otherwise she'll get a penalty at that point. But $I$ just want to know when exactly is she going to get refunded for these taxes she overpaid? She overpaid approximately over $\$ 6,000$ in taxes. So my biggest concern is -again, I thank the department for trying to correct this but I just want to know how quickly we can get her money back?

MR. MILES: That has happened to a few individuals. For some reason the state
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is marking them down as deceased. As you said, the department doesn't make that determination. We're not sure why that happened to a few of those individuals. We correct them as soon as someone calls and says hello, I'm here. I would appreciate it if you guys put us back on. We say of course, not a problem.

As soon as we get the legislation from the legislature we process quickly and then I think it's the treasurer takes the information and then $I$ think has to deal with figuring out if there's a payment already or not with the town, whatever town the individual resides in, pays taxes for. And I think to quicken the pace maybe asks for affidavits and $W-9 s$ if that comes up. But that's my knowledge of the process once it leaves Assessments' hands.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: I do
appreciate the efforts of Assessment. Again, the concerns I have is for some people it's taking a long period of time. There's one property that, again, I want to thank you for
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helping with my office, for a person who had a lot line adjustment and the properties were incorrectly taxed. He pays money back on December 20th. They paid a lot of extra money. He has not yet received the money back and our indications and the communication with the treasurer's office is that it's going to be at least several months until he gets that money back.

My perspective is, we have deadlines for people to go and pay their taxes. But for a person to have to wait eight, nine, ten months to get their money back, I just wish there was some way with you and the treasurer's department we can figure out a more efficient way for this to happen. Because, again, mistakes happen. I understand it. I appreciate you correcting it. But we know people have overpaid a lot of money. I wish there was a quicker way we can get this money restored to people.

MR. MILES: Like I said, we process the information. We put it into the system and from there -- Beaumont.
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LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Yes Mr.
Jefferson.
MR. JEFFERSON: Good afternoon.
Great seeing you. Good afternoon
legislators. First, I will explain the refund process and I think that would kind of at least let you know exactly how it works.

After the petitions are approved by the legislative body they then come to my office. At that point we mail out affidavits and $\mathrm{W}-9 \mathrm{~s}$ to the resident. The affidavit holds the county harmless and the $\mathrm{W}-9$ we need that information to issue a 1099. If it's a prior year petition, so a 2019 or 2018 petition that's something that we can do almost instantly. If it's a petition for the current year the process is slightly different.

The way that works is that there is a petition on a school tax for let's say this year, the current year, we have to wait for paid information. The paid information on the school would come to our office in June. Affidavit goes out. We get that information and the $W-9$ back and by July we issue the

Finance 5-10-21
payment to the taxpayer. If it's a general
tax, and most of the time it's both, so we send out -- the school and general we send out the affidavit and the $W$-9 in June.

If it only affects the general tax we don't get the information from the towns until the September time frame and then at that point the affidavit would go out and we would get that back and issue a refund shortly thereafter.

So, there are times where we accelerate that process. For instance, this year we had the vets and clergy exemption correction. And in that case what we did was we asked the town to send us a file of the folks who paid so that we could start working on that process a lot sooner. There are times where we coordinate with either the town or assessment to get the refunds processed a lot sooner. Go ahead.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: I was going
to say can we do that in this instance?
Because, again, I have a woman who obviously paid a payment in December. She's making a
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payment today of a lot of additional money. Is there any way we can coordinate -- I'll help coordinate with the Town of Hempstead receiver of taxes. She's paying the taxes today. Do we have to wait until June? Can we get her paid as quickly as possible?

MR. JEFFERSON: With those
one-offs we certainly can work with you. If we're dealing with several hundred payments it's difficult for us to go through several hundred payments and issue refunds before we have the paid information. But when we have one-offs, if we have the information that the payment was made at the town, which you can get that information or we can reach out and get it, and the resident fills out the $W-9$ and the affidavit we can certainly work to get that refund out as soon as possible.

But generally that's the process and that's why it takes a while because we need to know that the taxes were actually paid before we can issue a refund.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Thank you. LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mr. Ferretti.

Finance 5-10-21
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Good
afternoon gentlemen. So, I have a similar situation although if wasn't the state that had the resident as deceased it was the county. It's a young lady, 95 years old, residing in Levittown. I know that's on, that's one of the items on for today for correction. So, first, I guess I'll ask Mr. Miles first just how this happened. How did this happen?

MR. MILES: We never claimed that the resident was deceased. I actually had a call with the resident's daughter to ask where she found that information. She said she couldn't particularly pinpoint it. But like I said to Legislator McKevitt, we don't make the determination that someone is deceased.

We saw that the state did take her off her enhanced STAR. It might have been an issue with looks like the transfer document. It wasn't abundantly clear that the transfer was a life estate. And that later on I believe the state asked for the deed to comb through to find that later in the body of the
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deed that there was a life estate and then we found that to be the case as well.

It wasn't abundantly clear on the 5217 that there was a life estate. But later on when we look through the deed we found that in the body there was a life estate. So that's the situation for that resident.

The resident's daughter was extremely nice. Said that our office was extremely helpful and worked very quickly once we received the call. We received the call on April 22 nd and the petition was initiated April 23rd and we just made sure upon review everything was squared away for the first legislative session when this came to your desk.

Yeah, I don't know why the state -I'm assuming because we don't make the determination -- why the state would make that claim if they did make the claim. But like I said, we don't make that determination. I don't understand why they would. LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I understand that the Department of Assessment
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doesn't make determinations as to whether residents are deceased. Her claim is that -and or course I wasn't on the phone -- but her claim is that an employee from the Department of Assessment had told her that or told her mother that they took her off because they thought she was deceased. But obviously neither of us was on the phone for that alleged conversation. I find it hard to believe that the resident made it up. But in any event.

So, the resident also told me that she did start calling the Department of Assessment well before April 22nd, got a call back around April 22 nd and when she got the call back was directed to my office to call me. Is that the procedure of the Department of Assessment?

MR. MILES: No. I don't have that in our notes, the communication note. I'm not sure why. Like I said, there might be confusion between us and the state. There have been times when the state tells the resident to call your local legislator or
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local elected official to see what the process is. So, like I said, I'm not sure where that came from. It doesn't generally come from us. It could have been from the state. But in our notes we had the call with the resident on April 22nd. The petition was generated April $23 r d$ and it's the first legislative calendar that's available.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So, we now we vote on this today through committees and then vote on it in front of the full legislature on the 24 th. Assuming it passes, is this then fixed in the Nassau -- the exemption gets restored at that point? MR. MILES: Correct. LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Now this particular constituent has a mortgage and when the taxes were due back in October of 2020 for the first half school here entire escrow for her mortgage was decimated. Taken to make the larger payment. So, since the beginning of the year her mortgage payment has skyrocketed not only to make up the difference in taxes but to restore the escrow. So, in order for
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her bank to correct that they're seeking a letter from the Department of Assessment to say that there was an error made, that it's fixed, here are the actual numbers, the exemptions restored.

MR. MILES: I talked to the resident's daughter already and Beaumont's office is already offering help with that situation and their office will be providing the letters since they'll be issuing the refund and everything. My conversation earlier with her she said that Beaumont's office was also very receptive and the employee who helped her and her mother said they're willing to do whatever they can, whatever they need to do with the bank to make sure the situation is corrected.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I have a
few questions for Mr. Jefferson. Before I do, if the bank comes back and says they need something in writing from the Department of Assessment that this is fixed, will your office be receptive to doing whatever it takes to make sure that the bank realizes this was
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an error and fixes the issue?
MR. MILES: Yeah. Absolutely.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Mr.
Jefferson I have a couple of questions. Thank you Mr. Miles. Good afternoon. How are you?

MR. JEFFERSON: Good afternoon.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: You brought up the veterans errors that were made a couple of months back. Have they all been repaid at this point?

MR. JEFFERSON: We're still
working. We have three different files that we worked on. We have one file that's at the comptroller's office and they do some quality control and then the checks. We cut the checks. So, that one file is already processed. It's at the comptroller. We had one file today that we were just doing some checks on and that will also go to the comptroller's office by Wednesday at least.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Sounds like
no, they have not been paid.
MR. JEFFERSON: The answer to
your question is the checks haven't gone out
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the door but all the files have been reviewed and we're at the point where we're ready to cut checks.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So, how many are outstanding? How many have been paid? Is it 50 percent? Less than 50? Actually checks out the door.

MR. JEFFERSON: The checks haven't gone out the door. The files are at the comptroller's office.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So no checks have gone out the door?

MR. JEFFERSON: No.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Wasn't this passed months ago? I know Legislator McKevitt just expressed concern for his resident that's having this issue and I'm going to express it not only for my resident that's having this issue but all the veterans who had this issue. This was supposed to be rectified months ago. And I understand you have a procedure. I understand.

MR. JEFFERSON: Legislator
Ferretti, we have a procedure and we put a
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special procedure in place for this, for the vets and clergy. The procedure is that we would usually wait until we received the file either in June -- in this case it would have been September because the school and general. What we did was we reached out to each town. We asked them for a file. We said let us know on the file we need to know whether the resident paid their taxes and if they paid, did they pay the first half or did they pay both halves? And at that point we started to process or refund.

So, our intent on March 12th -- we extended the date of payments to March 12th this year. We started receiving files from the town in probably the week after March 12th. I can get back to you with the exact date. At that point we started to review the files.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: You said

March 12th?
MR. JEFFERSON: March 12th was the day that you could pay at the towns this year because, as you know, we extended the
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date from February 10th to the 12th.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So it
sounds like basically from the towns you
wanted to make sure that they actually paid it before returning it?

MR. JEFFERSON: Yeah. Before I
can refund -- I can't send anything back to folks that haven't paid their taxes.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: That makes
sense. But March 12th is two months ago. Go ahead.

MR. JEFFERSON: We have, one, we need to get the file. We have to understand what's on the file. There's some communication back and forth with the towns. Then we have to actually go into our system to verify names and addresses and then -- there's a whole process here. I can't send checks out the door to wrong addresses. It takes some time to process.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI:
Understood. Now, we have two situations here that we're talking about, Legislator McKevitt and myself, where there's not hundreds of
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people. There's one individual person and one individual person. One individual address in Levittown, one in East Meadow. There shouldn't be much verification to have to do in this instance.

MR. JEFFERSON: Those incidents are separate. If you're dealing with one or two and we work on getting the information and we have that information in our hands. We know that they paid the taxes at the town, one. The second thing, we have the name and address and we have that information and we're not dealing with thousands of records that we have to actually look through we can refund within a day or two.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I got to stop you. Look, I understand there's a process. We don't want to make more mistakes than have already been made. I got it. But the reality is there's an entire title industry that checks taxes, does contins, verifies addresses instantaneously. If I call my title company and say $I$ have a closing on Thursday, I need a tax contin, I'll have it be
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the end of the day or tomorrow morning. This can be done. It doesn't have to take two months.

MR. JEFFERSON: I have to disagree with you there. We have to maintain these records in our system, in our refund system. So, with the one individual or two or ten we can keep that information separately and update the system. When we're dealing with thousands of records it requires us to update the system, our tax system, the Adapt system, so that we know that these refunds were issued. We have that information and those files on record. So, to keep the data integrity is not something that we would outsource to a title company and then get that information back.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I'm not
suggesting outsourcing it. I'm just
illustrating that it can be done.
But putting that to the side, these two specific instances, if we pass this on May $24 t h$, because $I$ can tell you the young lady in Levittown with the mistake is communicating
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with me on $I$ don't want to say hourly basis but close to it, when can $I$ tell her she's going to be able to get this money? We do this May 24th. Is something that's going to take a month, two months, a day I hope?

MR. JEFFERSON: If you pass this
legislation, if you pass this today we have the petition in hand and we have two individuals that need a refund, as long as we have the information that's required we can do the refund. I need to know, one, they've paid and who to make the check out to.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So we're not doing it today. We're just putting it through committees but are going to hopefully do it May 24th. If you need any additional information, if you don't have anything, I'm sure I'm speaking for Legislator McKevitt as well, I'll talk to you after. I'll give you my cell phone. Call me the minute you need anything to get these people repaid. We'll get you whatever you need. MR. JEFFERSON: We understand the issues. We deal with all delinquent taxes.
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We understand when folks overpay they want their money back as soon as possible. We want to work to do that. We're only dealing with delinquent taxes. Once it comes to my office it's a delinquent tax. It's a lien. We understand. I have folks their main job is to communicate with the taxpayer and try to help them and that's what we do. We don't want to delay it. If I could issue a refund the day after I would.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I
appreciate everything you just said. I would just differ on one -- to amend one thing you said in this situation $I$ can speak for my constituent and I'm sure for many of the veterans that were overcharged as well. This isn't about wanting the money back. It's about needing the money back. They need this money back to survive. So please, anything you don't have call me, we'll get it to you as soon as we can. And thank you for your work on this.
MR. MILES: Beaumont's office is extremely, on the one-offs, is extremely
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receptive. We had a similar issue with a resident who had personal issues that, you know, she really needed some help and Beaumont's office, you know, cleared the check two weeks after the legislation came out. I imagine that it would be a similar situation here.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Beaumont, I've got a quick question for you if you don't mind. Just picking up on something that Legislator Ferretti was talking with you about and that is the fact that the information is available, it is computerized and is available for someone to go out and do a search and we can pretty much get it within hours, can you not commission a cross-reference program where you would get this information automatically?

In other words, the information is
there. Why can't you just get a daily printout of the various properties to which this pertains?

MR. JEFFERSON: Legislator Kopel,
I don't think I'm prepared to speak to that. That's something I would have to --
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LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I understand
that. I'm not criticizing. I'm saying that the subject has come up and it does sound like, at least it sounds to me like it would be doable, so would you look into seeing if you could do it?

MR. JEFFERSON: There are two things here. The first part is to -- we have to validate that the person paid their taxes which is most important thing before we do the refund. And then the second thing, I believe which you are speaking to, is to go over our files to ensure that we're paying the right -cutting checks to the correct individuals. Now, that takes -- we do have that data is in our tax system. It takes some research. There are times where we want to make sure that the check goes to someone who can actually cash it.

For instance, if you have in our system the system may say it's a life estate. So that's information we get from the town or from the Adapt system or it's in a trust. Those are parcels that we pull out and we have
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to take a look at them, we have to research them more so that we can identify who does the check go to when we send checks out to life estates and trusts.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I do
appreciate the complexity that you encounter sometimes. But life estate is a pretty unusual thing. You don't encounter them very often.

> MR. JEFFERSON: I'm just giving
you an example.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I get it. In other words, again, I'm not criticizing, I'm suggesting that perhaps you might look into some way of getting the report and streamlining things that way.

MR. JEFFERSON: I certainly will but I can't stand here today and tell you that it's something --

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: No. I'm not asking you to. I'm not asking that.

Anybody else? Hearing none, all
those in favor of numbers 163, 164, 165, 166 and 167 please so indicate by saying aye. Any
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opposed? Those items are unanimous.
Number 172 is a resolution
authorizing an execution of an agreement with American Traffic Solutions and school districts with relation to the county's school bus program Stop-Arm Photo Enforcement program.

And that motion is made by Mr. Muscarella and seconded by Mr. Lafazan.

I know that that went through an earlier committee. Must have somebody here. Do we have any questions on that item here? Okay. Ms. Birnbaum then Mr. Drucker.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Good afternoon. I did sit through the previous testimony so $I$ heard all the questions and answers. But one question that I have that I'm very concerned is where a possible public safety fee being added on to the violation fee of $\$ 250$. I'd actually like to speak to I guess TVPA would be the ones who would be doing it.

Mr. Rich, would you know offhand how this is going to be handled? Because I
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remember back in 2016 this body voted, the Majority voted in favor of an administration fee on other traffic code fees on top of it and at that time $I$ was opposed to it because I thought it was almost like an additional tax on residents in Nassau County. I'm hoping that the reason we're doing this school Stop-Arm enforcement is for the safety of our children, safety of our county residents not as an additional way of raising revenue. Could you tell us now whether it will be at the $\$ 250$ or you intend to tack on an administrative fee?

MR. RICH: Dave Rich from Traffic and Parking. Unfortunately, I cannot answer at this time. I'm going to seek guidance from the administration of whether or not that fee will be assessed on these types of violations.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You'll have that before the full legislature considers that in two weeks?

MR. RICH: Yes.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you. That's very important for us to know because I
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know when we tried to implement this school zone enforcement it was a big issue with many of our residents and we heard protests loud and clear and that program had been stopped. I want to make sure when we initiate this program we do it the right way and we tell people at the beginning how much the violation will be.

MR. RICH: Understood.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you. LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Mr. Drucker. LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you chairman. I also sat through the previous presentation, so a lot of my questions have been answered. But I did also want to echo some of the sentiments earlier raised that $I$ want to commend the administration for getting this done. I know I have been involved in this for a couple of years now and I want to commend my colleague Legislator Bynoe because her commitment on this issue was very, very instrumental as well. It was very important that we get this done for the safety and welfare of the children in our communities.
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But with that in mind, I want to make sure that we are -- we're going to pass this through but I would like the administration to reconsider some of the additional fees that are going to be tacked on here because it does make it unworkable. I think you said that you would be discussing it with the administration, didn't you Mr. Rich?

MR. RICH: Yes, I did. Correct, sir.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So,
hopefully in two weeks we can have some further details on that. Because it is important. And I realize COVID-19 set us back quite a bit on this issue and the RFPs that went out. It was difficult. But with regard to the RFPs, our backup shows that the New York State Attorney General's Office in reviewing the business history form for Verra there were some issues disclosed in their business form, business history form. Does TPVA or the administration have any concerns about these disclosures?
MR. GREGWARE: Dan Gregware,
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deputy county attorney's office. We actually had some concerns particularly with respect to one of the vendor's responses to question 13 of the business history form, the first bulleted response. So, we actually reached out to ATS to give us a further explanation about that circumstance and after seeing their response we were satisfied that it wasn't something that we were overly concerned with.

I can give you some background on that if you'd like.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So they amplified the complaint and satisfied you with their explanation. Can you just summarize what that was?

MR. GREGWARE: Sure. The disclosure was the vendor had utilized a quote from another municipality and the municipality -- let me restart from the beginning. The vendor had utilized an endorsement quote attributing it to the city of Chicago when in fact it was attributed to another one of their customers. So, it was an internal paperwork mistake on their part.
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They realized it. The city of Chicago found out that was the issue. They did I think a two-year administrative period where they reviewed the vendor making sure there were no further issues and they ultimately continued the contract with the city of Chicago and that administrative period is now over. I'd like to emphasize that they continued, city of Chicago continued with ATS during this period.

## LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Our county

inspector general was she apprised of these disclosure responses and what was her response to that?

MR. GREGWARE: We haven't heard from the inspector general's office. I haven't shared these additional information but I certainly can. I have information from their counsel at ATS. Some back and forth emails. I had several inquiries back and forth with their counsel just to clarify the circumstances. But $I$ can certainly share that with the IG's office. I don't know how in depth the IG's office was able to review those
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disclosure forms. I know it was just filed on Friday, so I understand that they may not have enough time I just.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: The disclosure forms were just filed on Friday?

MR. GREGWARE: The contract package was with the disclosure forms.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: But that's really within the purview of her office's role here, right, is to review some of these disclosures?

MR. GREGWARE: Sure. Absolutely.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I would hope that that would be the process here.

MR. GREGWARE: Absolutely. Like I said, it was filed with the legislature. I'm sure if the IG's office has any questions I can share the information that I received from ATS as well. If they have any further questions the deputy general counsel offered to be on a phone call as well with me or I'm sure $I$ can also invite the inspector general's office as well if they have any questions.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: The vendor
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is going to allow for a $\$ 500,000$ allowance for the public service announcement campaign; is that correct?

MR. GREGWARE: Yes, that's
correct.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: What is
TPVA's plan with regard to that? How do they plan on using that? Will they require an RFP for the campaign, for the public service campaign? How will that impact on the deadline here for implementation?

MR. RICH: I can address that.
The $\$ 500,000$ is spread out over the five years. They did provide a schedule in the contract. But in general it's not going to be solely a TPVA agreement to how the monies are spent. It will be administrative input on to how best to publicize the program.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So, you're not going to have -- who's going to make that determination, the administration?

MR. RICH: Yes.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: And you will
do that internally or will that be something
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that the legislature has any involvement in?
MR. RICH: I believe Legislator Ford had asked for some feedback on anything that we were going to initially issue. So, I would have defer to the administration.

MS. HORST: Katy Horst from the administration. We would, of course, welcome your input. I think we're still early into this program. Nothing has been developed. But we welcome your input and any thoughts you may have on any campaign that we look to do in the future.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you. Just one final question. Getting back to some of the mechanics of the equipment. So, how does the automatic, the silent alarm button work? Can anyone tell me about that and how it's going to be connected to warn authorities of law enforcement of an emergency?

MR. RICH: We can obtain
additional information. I don't actually have the details of how that would operate. We can provide it once we get more information from the vendor.
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LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I think it was explained earlier that the camera goes on automatically as soon as the bus is operational; is that correct? So, if that's the case, so the bus driver has no ability to disconnect it or do anything involving its operation; is that correct?

MR. RICH: That is our
understanding.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I did hear at the earlier committees when you had a question on the fact that some school buses might, for instance, pull up too early before a child is ready and they may sit there for a while. You're going -- did I hear that you're going to have some sort of training program prior to full implementation where drivers would be told not to do this? Not to put out their signs?

## MS. MALHAME: There will

definitely be a training program. I think the situation that was discussed was when a driver actually has the stop arm out. Drivers who
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are just pulled along the side of the road without with the stop arm out there's no issue.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: That was precisely the question. Because some drivers might pull over and put out the stop arm as just as a matter of habit when they're, as I say, vastly premature. They're just waiting. MS. MALHAME: Yeah, they'll be trained.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Ms. Birnbaum you had another question?

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Yes. Back
to the payment of the fees. The county
actually issues the fine and collects the fine and then one-third of that goes back to the vendor, correct?

MS. MALHAME: Yes.
LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: So, in our
red light camera program right now was this changed to -- I think it had been set as a fee as well, something similar. But did it get changed over the years that we don't pay that way anymore?
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MR. RICH: The initial red light camera contract used to be per pole. We paid a fix price and then at some point we renegotiated to a percentage of the fine and penalty. I think it started out at 38 percent and right now it's down to 35 percent of fine and penalty.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Do we have any idea right now how much or how many penalties like this are issued in the county per year? Do we know how widespread problem this is and the potential for how much money will be collected?

MR. RICH: Unfortunately, we have the two tests that were done I think within the past year. We can't accurately forecast how widespread this is. We looked at how many tickets were issued by the police department for this type of violation but it will be much lower than what you could get through a photo enforcement program.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Do we know
what goes on in other counties? Do our surrounding counties have a program in place
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like this?
MR. RICH: Suffolk County
actually just started issuing their violations I think May 1st but they did a 60-day warning period in which the press announcement showed that there were 10,000 violations issue in -I'm sorry, 10,000 warning letters issued in a 60-day period.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: For those school districts that didn't answer the survey and so, it's about half you said, how are we going about contacting them? Has this been ongoing? Have you been in touch with all the school districts?

MS. MALHAME: We haven't formally been in touch with every school district but we did reach out to the Nassau County Counsel of School Superintendents and we shared with them the participation agreement. They made comments. They gave it back to us and we plan to reach out to them again once the contract is approved so that we can discuss the business rules and what we plan to do. And then they can go back to their school
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districts and talk to the school board and pass and approve the participation agreement opt in.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Do you have a feeling for what their prime concern might be? The ones who are a little hesitant to join in at this point? Is there some commonality why some school districts might not want to do this?

MS. MALHAME: I've not heard from a school district that has not wanted to do it. There was I think one or two from the survey a year and a half ago that said they may not be interested but that was a long time ago and I think the climate has changed.

LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Anyone else? Hearing none, all those in favor of item 172 please so indicate by saying aye. Any opposed that? That item is unanimously.

We have one more item on the addendum two which actually ought to have been included at the outset with the consent items. I'm advised that that ought not to

```
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have been placed on our agenda, so we're not going to do it.
There are no further items. Mr. Muscarella moves to adjourn. Mr. Lafazan seconds that motion. All those in favor of adjourning say aye. Any opposed? We are adjourned then. We are back to Rules. (Committee adjourned at 4:42 p.m.)
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have been placed on our agenda, so we're not
going to do it.
    There are no further items.
```

                Comittee adjourned at \(4: 42\) p.m.)
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