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    Public Safety - 5-10-21
LEGISLATOR FORD: Good
afternoon. I will be calling the Public Safety Committee to order and I will ask the clerk to call the roll call.

MR. PULITZER: Roll call Public
Safety Committee. Legislator Debra Mule.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Siela
Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Ranking Member
Delia DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator John Ferretti, Jr.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Vincent
Muscarella.
LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Vice Chairman
Steven Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Chairwoman Denise
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Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
MR. PULITZER: We have a quorum
ma'am.
LEGISLATOR FORD: There is one
item on the agenda today which is clerk item
154-21. Clerk item number 154-21 is an ordinance supplemental to an appropriation ordinance in connection with the correctional center.

May I have a motion. Moved by
Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. The item is before us. Who is here from the administration?

MR. GOLIO: Good afternoon. Captain Michael Golio from the sheriff's department. This is simply a supplemental appropriation of forfeiture funds. There is no federal match, so it's money to be used. 160,744.

LEGISLATOR FORD: That's for the canine unit?

MR. GOLIO: Yes. We have a number of different task forces. Primarily
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the canine unit at the airport brings the money.
LEGISLATOR FORD: So you get it
from there and then it comes -- okay, I see. Thank you for the clarification. Any questions from the legislators? Anything from the public? I see none. All those in favor of approving this please signify by saying aye. Opposed? The item is approved unanimously. Thank you very much sir.

Now, there is addendum to this agenda. May I have a motion to suspend the rules. Moved by Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. All in favor of suspending the rules please signify by saying aye. The rules are suspended.

There is one item on the agenda today which is clerk item 172-21. This is a resolution authorizing the county executive to execute an agreement with American Traffic Solutions Incorporated d/b/a Verra Mobility and, two, agreements with school districts in relation to the county's school bus Stop-Arm Photo Enforcement Program.
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May I have a motion. Moved by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. The item is before us. Who is here -- good afternoon -- from the administration?

MS. MALHAME: I'm Allison Malhame
chief deputy commissioner of shared services.
In August 2019 New York State law authorized local governments to adopt a local law to establish a demonstration program imposing owner liability for failure of an operator to stop for a school bus displaying a red visual sign and stop arm and enter into agreements with school districts for installation and operation of school bus photo violation monitoring systems on school buses owned, operated by or under contract with such school districts.

The legislature acted to ensure motorist accountability and child safety throughout Nassau County by adopting Local Law 19 of 2019 establishing a demonstration program entitled the School Bus Photo Violation Monitoring Program, also known as

> Public Safety - 5-10-21
the Stop-Arm Program, imposing owner liability for failure of an operator to stop for a school bus displaying a red visual sign and stop arm.

After this local legislation was enacted, the county issued an RFP on September 1, 2020 to hire a contractor for the installation, operation, maintenance and use of the school bus photo violation monitoring system for the proper handling and custody of such recorded images and for the forwarding of such images to the county. The Nassau County Traffic and Parking Violations Agency, or TPVA, will issue and process the infraction notices as well as administer collection of fines and penalties.

Following an evaluation, process American Traffic Solutions, Inc., doing business as Verra Mobility, was selected due to technical capacity, services offered and providing the best financial return to the county.

We are requesting that the legislature approve a resolution authorizing
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the county executive to, one, enter into a contract with Verra Mobility to install, operate and maintain a school bus photo violation monitoring system on school buses owned, operated by or under contract with school districts located within the county as part of the county's Stop-Arm Program.

And two, enter into participation agreements with school districts located within the county who wish to participate in the Stop-Arm Program.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So, currently right now we're going to set up this program and it would be up to the school districts to decide whether or not they are going to participate or not?

MS. MALHAME: Yes. They can opt into the program.

LEGISLATOR FORD: When you talk about like the best return with I guess what is it? Verra exactly how much? I know that the New York State set the fine at $\$ 250$, am I correct?

MS. MALHAME: Each fine is $\$ 250$.
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The county will receive 66 and a half percent and Verra Mobility will receive 33 and a half percent.

LEGISLATOR FORD: You were saying that they would -- so, when, I guess when the violation happens, occurs, correct, we'll get a video of this. Would it be the same as the red light cameras where people would actually see the point where they pass a school bus as well as the video that will record them coming up to the school bus?

MS. MALHAME: Yes.
LEGISLATOR FORD: They'll have a photograph as well as a video, correct?

MS. MALHAME: Yes.
LEGISLATOR FORD: So they would be the ones to determine that the violation did occur and that the person should then pay the fine?

MS. MALHAME: No, it's not up to them. At TPVA there is someone that reviews it and makes the final determination.

LEGISLATOR FORD: They'll just receive the video and send it to TPVA?
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MS. MALHAME: Yes.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Do we have I know that we have a number of employees that are already looking at red light camera tickets and working with all the other various traffic infractions. Are we prepared to hire more people to be able to handle this?

MS. MALHAME: I am not -- excuse me.

MR. RICH: Dave Rich from TPVA. We are prepared and we have been in discussions with the administration if we need to hire additional staff we will work on that.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Because I heard today that Suffolk County they have already started their Stop-Arm Program and for 60 days they gave warnings to motorists and they actually issued 10,000 warnings just in 60 days. So, that's certain locations. So that seems to be a lot of violations actually. It's disheartening to realize that many people actually pass the stopped school bus.

But aside from that, when you look at the fact that you're going to have to
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review and then send out the notice and then follow up with the notice to these motorists it just seems like that could be more labor intensive. I just want to make sure that if we're going to do this we do this right. That we follow through and we don't have, you know, that the workers, we have adequate number of workers to do this.

MR. RICH: Understood. We will make sure we have adequate staff to issue the violations.

LEGISLATOR FORD: All right.
Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
just have a couple of questions. What has been your feedback with the school districts in Nassau County? Have most of them been positive?

MS. MALHAME: Yes. We've had lot of positive feedback. We sent out a survey in January of 2020 trying to gauge interest in this. The response wasn't that great to the survey but working in shared services I found sometimes we don't get great responses. About
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50 percent of the districts responded with I'd say 95 percent expressed an interest.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: NOW, out of the buses for each school district not 100 percent will carry the cameras; is that correct?

MS. MALHAME: Our goal is to have
all of the buses outfitted with cameras. There are certainly some buses that may run after school sports and activities like that that wouldn't necessarily have a camera. But the goal is to have full fleet installed.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So
you're anticipating hopefully getting 100 percent of the buses in Nassau County? MS. MALHAME: Yes. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I don't know if that's what the contract says. MS. MALHAME: I think the goal is for full fleet installation. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:

Sorry, but I think that there is a section that discusses selecting the routes that might be the most needed. So that would indicate
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that it wouldn't be every bus with every route. So $I$ would just like to know if it is 100 percent, which I think would be great, but maybe we can get that clarification as to what percentage.

MS. MALHAME: I think what that is referring to is in the initial phase that they would look at the routes and select the routes. But, yes, the intention is for 100 percent.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Are the cameras always going to be on or is it only when the bus is stopped?

MS. MALHAME: The cameras are deployed when the stop arm is out.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And that happens automatically or does the driver have to do it?

MS. MALHAME: It's automatic.
LEGISLATOR FORD: When you talked about with the school buses, 100 percent, I know my school districts are very much in favor of it and like even for Long Beach they have, it's their transportation system. So it
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probably should be rather simple to be able to do that. The school district makes the decision because it's their school buses.

So, these cameras will be operating even -- they just don't operate just during school hours. It's any time. So like Long Beach, they utilize their school buses and they also then use some to take the teams to other places for sports and everything.

So, if Long Beach has these school
buses and say they're up in Seaford, okay, they can capture people even in that -they're not district specific, are they? Is it just that if the school bus has a camera on it and they're stopped and the arm comes out they will capture whoever the motorist is regardless of where they are, correct?

MR. GREGWARE: Dan Gregware, deputy county attorney. Can you just repeat the question again?

LEGISLATOR FORD: What $I$ mean is,
that like we have, the school districts will have, like say Long Beach, I'm going to use them as an example, they have these cameras.
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So when a school bus is stopped the arm comes out automatically, the camera turns on and whoever goes past the stopped school bus will have a violation issued, correct?

MR. GREGWARE: Correct. As long as the school district has opted into the program.

LEGISLATOR FORD: What happens if like Long Beach these are their buses, okay. So, they will use their school buses to go to other events. Like they use it to transport many of their students to Catholic high schools. They go to Kellenberg. They go to Sacred Heart. Whatever. If they happen to be outside their district and they're up by Garden City and they're discharging or they may be stopped to allow the kids to get off and the arm comes out would a violation be generated at that time even though it's not in their district?

MR. GREGWARE: That's a great question. I know certainly we're going to have to be working with the vendor on the business rules which the contract
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contemplates. That's certainly something. And if any of the legislators have any other specific concerns about school districts by all means please let us know because we can craft those business rules.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I mean I think it would be fair. I mean if you're going to stop past the stopped school bus you shouldn't be anyway. And the whole point of this is to start conditioning drivers to understand that you know what? just pay attention and stop. My other issue then too would be, I mean, where $I$ live we have these large medians. They're very wide. As a matter of fact, our crossings to go across it are designed -- the lights are designed so that a resident cannot necessarily walk across all the way across Park Avenue because of the width of the median. As a result of that, you may have cars going eastbound with a school bus going westbound.

So, there are times when somebody can be blocked because you can have like a truck spanning across both sides because they
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were on -- let's say on Olive, they would be on Edwards Boulevard. So they can block it. So, like if I'm going eastbound and the school bus could be stopped going westbound there could be a big truck there. And the light can turn green and the motorist may not be able to see that there is a school bus there.

So, how do you keep the motorist from getting a ticket for that? It's not their fault. Or do we have it where there's a certain width of a median that tickets will be issued but not necessarily for all medians?

MR. GREGWARE: Again, that is going to be part of the development of the business rules.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Because we need to know that and educate.

MR. GREGWARE: Sure. And that's going to be a big part of this program. In order for it to be successful we're going to have to educate the public on the program and the rules like you're explaining.

LEGISLATOR FORD: And we have in this legislation that we're giving a certain
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amount of time for just to like send warnings out to residents. We're not going to immediately ticket them and fine them? MR. GREGWARE: Right. The local law provided for a 30 day warning period. LEGISLATOR FORD: Suffolk has 60. MR. GREGWARE: Correct. They implemented a 60. This was actually pursuant to the local law that Nassau County had adopted. They adopted a 30 day warning period.

LEGISLATOR FORD: We can look at that. I might have other questions but Legislator Bynoe.

MR. GREGWARE: And I'm sorry
Legislator Ford, just also to follow-up on your original question. I was just thinking it through a little bit. I understand that some of these bus companies, the bus company serves multiple school districts. So, in those circumstances if one school district opts into the program and another school district doesn't, as it stands right now, the one school district that opted in when they're
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stopping or picking up their children it would apply. But if the other school district didn't then it wouldn't apply in that circumstance. Obviously we want to make sure that we're trying to get as much participation from these school districts as possible.

LEGISLATOR FORD: You would. And
then you're going to have to look at all of the different aspects of all of this.

MR. GREGWARE: Because that's
something that the vendor actually had brought up to our attention as well. Just realize that school districts can share bus companies. It's additional consideration. LEGISLATOR FORD: That's right. The rest of my district, yes, they do share. So, okay. You got your work cut out for you. MR. GREGWARE: A lot of nuances. LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you. Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you
Madam Chairwoman. Good afternoon. I'd first like to take the opportunity to thank legal counsel and the team, along with the
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co-introducee on this particular piece of legislation Legislator Drucker and I worked on this piece of legislation. So we're happy to see it advance. I'd like to -- really, a lot of questions $I$ was going to ask were asked and answered sufficiently. I just wanted maybe to tie in closer on the commencement date for this program. Is it September 2021?

MS. MALHAME: We will be working
towards implementing it in September but we are required by law to have signs installed at every roadway entrance to Nassau County. That has to be done. We have to talk to the superintendents of every district and have them go back to their school boards and have the school boards approve, opt into the program. So, we're hoping for it and we're hoping to get that done as soon as the contract is approved by the legislature. Start that rolling. We're hoping to get the cameras installed this summer and start the program in September.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: It could be a rolling start, right? Because we can have
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districts that school boards get on board really quickly and some that may not take the initiative to get on and accept this project or program until later in the year. So, there's no requirement for them to start in September 2021 or not be able to participate, correct?
MS. MALHAME: At this point we
haven't worked out the business rules as Dan was talking about on that. That's the understanding right now.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: We should
definitely make sure that we allow for a rolling start.

Then the questions about the different districts using one particular company. Is it going to be as simply as just turning off the equipment when they're, let's say, you know, Garden City says no but Westbury says yes. So the buses, Bauman buses, is picking up for Garden City they just turn off the equipment so that they're not capturing that information?

MS. MALHAME: It's my
Public Safety - 5-10-21
understanding from the vendor that they can
like geofence the area of each school district. That's how they turn the equipment off and on.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I think that's going to be difficult based on the example that Legislator Ford just provided.

MS. MALHAME: It could be that they get a notice of a violation, an infraction, but because of where it took place a ticket wouldn't be generated.

## LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Because

 they're dropping off, as stated, different school districts but also there's special education programs where they're transporting young people outside of the district where the Stop-Arm might still be activated in front of a particular drop-off location. Having served, and in the spirit of this legislation was birthed out of having served on a school board and really feeling the responsibility to transport these young people to and from their locations very safely and in a way that, you know, we're upholding our highest> Public Safety - 5-10-21
responsibility, right?
So, I would love to make sure that
in the spirit of that that our intended outcomes are met. Because you pick them up in a district and transporting them someplace out of the area where the stop arm is still is required to allow them to cross safely and we're not capturing it any breaches of the stop arm outside a district I think would be not in the spirit of the legislation.

So, I know there's lot of business activities and policies and the like that need to be created. But just trying to push up some of the issues that $I$ think might come to mind for me and for the vendor to consider.

I'd really like to see if there's an ability just to turn off that camera as opposed to geofencing when they're not working with the school district that's engaged.

And so you mentioned you spoke to school districts. Do you have any numbers? We have 56 school districts. How many seemed as though they were ready to go?

MS. MALHAME: The survey
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responded there were 22 districts that indicated yes, they were very interested in the program.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: To assist them, is there any sample legislation or, I'm sorry, sample resolutions that would be presented to the school boards for them to opt in and make this a little easier for them?

MS. MALHAME: Yes. In the back of the contract there is a template which is the participation agreement.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. Very
good. That's all the all questions or statements I have for the moment. Thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you
Legislator Bynoe. Would this also be extended to parochial and charter schools?

MS. MALHAME: Yes.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Like the Catholic schools, like the high schools that take students from all over, they would be able to participate and utilize I guess whatever bus company that they may have?
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Although they may use their district -because it would be up to the local districts, that bus?

MS. MALHAME: Yes.
LEGISLATOR FORD: But what would be a reason why a school district would not want to participate?

MS. MALHAME: I don't know. LEGISLATOR FORD: Just seems to me I'm such an advocate for that that it would be like yes, let's do it because it is a matter of safety. But $I$ guess even with this geofence, whether or not -- I think Legislator Bynoe is right. But $I$ don't know if I want the bus driver to be able to turn a camera off because I'm so afraid they'll forget to turn the camera back on. Because they do have a bus load of children which could distract them.

Maybe one thing that we may consider with the geofencing, $I$ don't know if this can be done legally or not, but maybe if it's Garden City that opts in and Long Beach opts out that if somebody is going through
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and, you know, all of a sudden the violation is caught on video or camera, like instead of sending a ticket maybe we can send a notice to that resident to let them know hey, you know guess what? you may not be getting ticketed, you were in a lucky area, but you did pass a stopped school bus. Pay attention.

Because the whole point of this is to try to like really get these motorists to understand that you cannot pass these school buses. Whether or not you're going east or west or north or south you have to be aware of your driving and driving habits. It might be something. But $I$ guess these are all issues that we're going to have to work out as we move along with this. Legislator Ferretti. LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you Chairwoman. Thank you for your presentation. Good afternoon.

So, I'm in full support of this. I have two young children. I happen to take my son to the bus stop every morning. Way too many times I see people, you know, just buzzing right by the school buses with no care
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whatsoever. But I also do see sometimes, I've seen this multiple times, where the school bus is stopped with a stop sign out and the driver safely might wave somebody on. Before letting the kids off the bus they'll wave somebody to make the turn or pass before when it's safe to do so. But if there was a camera there they would get a ticket, right?

MS. MALHAME: Yes.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Is there any kind of training or notice that's going to go out to the drivers just to notify them of this issue because in situations like that people would really wrongfully be getting tickets.

MS. MALHAME: Yes. The school bus drivers will be trained.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So, there are two real kind of like concerns I have with this specific agreement. The first is the notice issue. Which I know Chairwoman Ford kind of touched on the fact that Suffolk has a 60-day notice and this agreement only has a 30. That's certainly something I'd want to

> Public Safety - 5-10-21
see would be something similar to Suffolk with a 60 day. When does that 60 days begin? Or 30 days, as this is written, begin? MS. MALHAME: You want to talk about that?

MR. GREGWARE: That's actually
not pursuant to the agreement. That's actually pursuant to the local law that this body had adopted. But as far as when that 30 -day period commences, I believe the local law states that it's upon implementation of the program. Which I think would be reasonably interpreted once the program commences and a school district has opted in the $30-$ day warning period would commence. Essentially once the cameras are turned on. LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: That would be something I think we need to make clear because I agree with Legislator Bynoe in that you kind of want to have a rolling process here. So, if Levittown school district signs on for September, wonderful, their 30 days starts whenever the cameras turn on. But then if Island Trees starts in November the 30 days
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has already elapsed from the start of the program but you would want to see the Island Trees school buses and the people in -- those school buses have a 30 day grace period from when they start, right? Is that how you read the local law.

MR. GREGWARE: Sure. It's
interesting because actually we've had multiple discussions specifically about that issue whether or not it starts once the school district opts into the program or if it's from the commencement of the beginning of the program. And that is something that we certainly are going to discuss internally. If the legislature, if they had a different intent in mind or specific intent in mind when they adopted the local law please let us know. LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I think from my standpoint that's how I see it. It would 30 days or really it should be extended to 60 days but 60 days from when the program starts for that specific district. And I don't think that's clear for sure and I think that's evidenced by the fact that you had
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discussions, which I understand.
MR. GREGWARE: Dave Rich is reminding me that Suffolk County had only one 60-day warning period. Although I believe they got full participation right from the outset. Which is essentially what we are striving for. We would prefer that all the school districts sign up at the commencement of the program. That is certainly something we have had discussions with internally.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I would
hope they would. But I'm a little bit surprised by the 22 out of 56 survey that you got. So, I would think if that survey holds that probably all 56 won't from the outset. It doesn't seem that way. I think it would be number one, advisable to go from 30 to 60. I think that 60 is definitely more fair. And I think it should be clear that it's from the implementation from the day that that specific district opts in. So that's a concern I have.

Then the second component, the fine, the $\$ 250$, is that statutory? Is that
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state statute? Is that just a blanket fine?
MR. GREGWARE: That was pursuant
to the state and I believe it was also incorporated into our county local law as well. Yes, that is statutory.

Just to get back to your question about with the school districts and when the warning period commences. Certainly when we provide a public awareness campaign that is going to be countywide. It's not like we're just going to be informing about this program to the Rockville Centre school district or whoever specific school district signs up. It's going to be a countywide awareness program. So all drivers should be aware throughout the county.

In addition, we're also going to have signage that's going to be posted as well. That, again, is all statutory to make sure that people are aware of this Stop-Arm camera program countywide.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Being that I'm at the bus stop every day it's something that I see often. There's no -- I'm not
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accusing the bus drivers of doing anything wrong. They wave people on sometimes because they know the kids haven't gotten off the bus yet. It just kind of sticks in my mind that every one of those people that get waived on while the stop sign is out if this was in place would get a ticket. So that's a behavior that has to stop.

MR. GREGWARE: Right. Exactly.
I think Alice was pointing in the right direction that these bus drivers are going to be trained by ATS on proper procedures. I'm sure that's going to be something that they're going to be taught to make sure that if that stop arm is deployed if they're waiving on drivers they going to have to bring in the stop arm.

> LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Well,
hopefully that's just another reason why I think we should extend that grace period. It's not just for driver's behavior but we have training going on with the bus drivers. Just back to the fee, the $\$ 250$ statutory. With the red light cameras there
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are pretty significant administrative fees attached to the red light penalty. Are those administrative fees attached to this fine as well?

MR. GREGWARE: Dave is saying
that they will have to review that with the administration. But, yeah, that's the public service safety fee, the administrative fee, right, those are add-on fees that are above and beyond the $\$ 250$. I believe the authority to assess those fees are within TPVA's discretion. But again, it's something that we will have to discuss internally about whether or not we will be imposing those fees. That is something that ATS would not share in the contingency portion of it. So, they're only going to get 33 and a half percent of the fine and penalty, the $\$ 250$ fee.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Plus the $\$ 6$
fee if it.
MR. GREGWARE: Plus the $\$ 6$ fee.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Look, I'm
completely on board with this. I commend everybody who's involved with getting this in
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front of us. But it just seems to me, I think those administrative fees are over \$100, right?

MR. GREGWARE: $\$ 45$ and $\$ 55$.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: \$100. So
you're looking at $\$ 356$. That's a lot.
Nothing is more important than the safety of our children believe me and we have to do this. But $\$ 356$, if there was any way to make this 250 plus six and exempt from those administrative fees or some nominal administrative fee or something but it just seems to me to be a little high, $\$ 356$, for any fine really, any traffic fine.

So, all right. Like I said, thank you. I'd like to see those changes made but I appreciate you coming before us and I do support this initiative. Thank you.

## LEGISLATOR FORD: I appreciate

the fact that you're going to do a countywide I guess public awareness. But perhaps maybe, because I think that with only 22 school districts that said yes, maybe a lot of the public are not aware of the fact that this is
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coming and maybe more of the residents would be on board when you think about maybe over 30 of the school districts that have not responded or maybe are not inclined to be part of this. But maybe we can do earlier, maybe sooner, very soon, maybe do a countywide mailing to let people know about this proposal. That we would like to put these arms, these cameras on the arms, what the benefits are. So that maybe it could generate enough public support so that the residents will let their school boards and their school districts know that you know what? It may also have some negative but I think overall -- even for me talking -- I mean, people who are against cameras, they hate the red light cameras, they hate the speeding cameras. But every single person I spoke to when I talk about the school bus cameras they are all supportive. I have yet to meet somebody -- I don't want to jinx this -- that they are, they all believe that that's something that is common sense because you should be stopping. So, I think that maybe if you can
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try to generate something so that maybe the residents are aware and maybe we can get them on board so that they know that this is coming and that maybe if their school district is reluctant maybe they would then let their school board know that you know what? we'd really would like you to support this.

I do have a remote question and then I will go to Legislator Bynoe. Sorry.

The village judges handle their own tickets. Is this something, these tickets, are they going to go through the villages or is it just going to go strictly through TPVA? Will it always be the county gets the 66 and a half percent?

MR. GREGWARE: The county is
entitled to the 66 and a half percent. I think the state law had allocated ten percent of the revenue for local towns and villages to the extent that the county didn't have a countywide TPVA but since we do the county would be entitled to the entire.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Like in some
instances where, like, say the City of Long
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Beach have their own traffic, they have their own court. But these school bus camera violations will always go through the county TPVA, correct? I don't know if I'm saying that right.

MR. RICH: In the state law as well as the local law there is an exception to the two cities which is Long Beach and Glen Cove. We have not reached out to them yet, but basically they have the ability to operate the program themselves. Or if maybe there might be some opportunity where they could kind of opt in with our program and then they would receive some type of benefit. But right now though there is a carve out in the legislation that kind of excludes them under the TPVA jurisdiction.

## LEGISLATOR FORD: Because a

school district technically in both the Town of Hempstead and there are some grammar schools, elementary schools in the City of Long Beach. So it would then depend on where the infraction occurred? So once you go, like the geofence, if you cross over Maple you're
Public Safety - 5-10-21
now in the city limits. So that there's a possibility that the city would then take over those tickets?

MR. RICH: In general, we'll
review and have some better answers for the next meeting. But in general I believe it's where the school district operates within its own confines within the city itself. The city limits. That's where they can operate their own program. But they would be excluded from our program.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Our school district doesn't. The administration, the middle schools, the elementary, high school are all in the Town of Hempstead. Then you have some schools that are in the City of Long Beach proper. I know it says Long Beach so it can confuse people.

MR. RICH: We can review that for the next meeting.

LEGISLATOR FORD: You'll have to
I guess go through a lot of all these different issues because it's like all these different things are going to crop up. But
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thank you. Legislator Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you. I want to go on record to say that in the spirit of the way the law was written and introduced by Legislator Drucker and myself was to act as a deterrent no doubt. We don't want people running this stop arm Stop-Arm, right? We want to protect the kids.

But I do have a concern about these fees that could be, these administrative fees being added on. I think the fine is hefty as is and adding those fees on to me would be maybe an overreach. So, it's not something that I would be very excited about supporting. I don't think I could support that at all to be honest with you. So, that being said, I want to go back to the geofencing and the option of -and I get what Legislator Ford has stated about turning off a camera and turning on a camera and the like and $I$ know that can be a challenge. But I wanted to talk about the idea of -- I wanted to ask a question about the idea of allowing for it to stay on and
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then just issuing warnings in areas where the district wasn't a part of the program.

So I wanted to ask, who would be responsible? I understand this is a two-part review; is that correct? That the vendor is reviewing the film and then TPVA, Dave Rich's crew, would have to review it a second time to issue a ticket. Then the suggestion was to issue warnings in areas that weren't covered would that still be a two-part review? And if so, I suspect that would be an unfunded mandate, correct?

MR. RICH: Correct. To answer your question in that the -- we've had some preliminary discussions with the vendor about if a bus operates in multiple districts and, along the lines of what Legislator Ferretti was asking if we could do multiple warning periods, there may be some technology limitations where they can't have a camera turned on and say okay this is the start date for say Island Trees and then all of a sudden a different district, say Levittown, opts in 60 days later. Currently they feel that they
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may not be able to issue the warning period for the second district if it opt ins at a later time.

We are working with the vendor. We've asked for an IT change. That goes along the same lines as issuing a warning period for events that are captured outside of a district that has opted in. So, if a district has motorists, a district that has not opted in, has motorists that -- or a valid violation at this time we don't think we'd be able to issue a warning because the technology's not there. But it's something we can ask the vendor to see if we can do that. As you stated, it would be unfunded.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And we don't have any figures as to -- I think you said something about 10,000 issues in Suffolk; is that correct?

MR. RICH: Basically, yeah. The press release a couple of weeks ago from Suffolk said they issued 10,000 warnings in a 60-day period.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I know we
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don't have any -- well, I shouldn't I know. Do we have any data?

MR. RICH: There was some
preliminary data. I don't have the information on me right now. But there were two districts $I$ think that there are two test programs done in Nassau County. I can have that information available once $I$ review it. But I know there are a couple of test cases so to speak. Two different district. LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I think you're right. I think East Meadow might have been one of those districts. If you could get us that information $I$ think that would be helpful. Thank you very much. LEGISLATOR FORD: When the county used to do Operation Stop they used to get anywhere between 30 and 50 infractions just in a couple of hour period. This is something why we always pushed for it. And I thank both Legislator Bynoe and Drucker for also pushing for this. I also had sent letters to the administration advocating for these cameras, as well as representing the members of my
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caucus as well that this is an issue that I think is near and dear to all of us. And I look forward to support of all the legislators in regard to passing this legislation when it goes before the full leg. I thank you very much for all the hard work that you've done and bringing this forward and bringing this to us. Legislator Rhoads.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you
Madam Chairwoman. I certainly share in those thanks as well. Quick question. I may have missed this in the beginning of the presentation. Do we have an anticipated start date? I know at this point you have word out to the individual districts to see if they would participate in the program and I believe you said had responses from 22 out of 56 that indicated positive.

MS. MALHAME: That 22 number
comes from a survey that we sent out in January of 2020 where we had only 25 respond to the survey. So, I think that we have more interest than just 22.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Is the
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expectation that this would be implemented in time for the start of the next school year in September?

MS. MALHAME: That's our goal is for this fall to begin implementation.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The ambiguity with respect to the fees is because of the authorizing legislation, right?

MS. MALHAME: Yes.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: That's something that we as legislature probably would have to visit to offer you direction?

MS. MALHAME: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Before you do the countywide campaign would you be able to send us over basically what you're going to be sending out to the residents in regard to any district-wide notifications before it goes out?

MS. MALHAME: Absolutely.
LEGISLATOR FORD: We would like to take a look at it. I'm just going to ask Legislator Mule or Muscarella are there any questions or comments from either one of you?
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LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: I'm good, thank you.

LEGISLATOR MULE: I am too.
People have asked the questions I had.
LEGISLATOR FORD: I think that's it. Thank you very much and we look forward to hearing from you during the full leg. I'm sure that in the mean time, as always, things may pop into our mind that we may have and we'll probably reach out to you just in case in the mean time.

MR. GREGWARE: If you think it would be beneficial we could ask the vendor perhaps to attend the next meeting. I know you have some technical questions. That might be helpful or no?

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much. I think that is a good idea. Because you know what? there's just some things that we have and --

MR. GREGWARE: I feel bad because some of these technical issues we're not as familiar with but the vendor might be able to answer, clarify some of these things.
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LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much for clarifying when that questionnaire went out because that was like a lifetime ago. Was there even a 2020 January? I can't remember. Maybe now that Suffolk County has implemented their plan, maybe the school districts will have a better understanding. So maybe we may find, God willing, that come September we will have 100 percent participation. We wouldn't have to worry about the 60 day or 30 day time frame.

MS. HORST: Katy Horst from the administration. I promise you that if we do not have 100 percent you will be hearing from us asking for your help to get the school districts on board. Because that is the goal, is to have all the school districts, all the buses covered for the next school year. LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm with you on that one. Thank you very much. Legislator Ferretti.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I want to clear up because I know I asked a question and I think maybe Legislator Bynoe asked a similar
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question and I think we got two different answers.

I agree, hopefully all the school districts are on board in September and this is a nonissue. But in the event that there are some school districts that are not on board and there is a rolling participation, rolling opt ins to this, so, as of now we do not believe that the grace period can be extended to those school districts that opt in at a later date, is that accurate?

MR. RICH: I want to say basically it's something that we're going to work with the vendor doing. At the initial kickoff we may not be able to offer it like within the first few months. We may try to do an initial kickoff where we get as many districts as possible so that way they all start at the same date. But for the ones that may roll in, need a little bit of time, the vendor may be able to answer this question better when they appear, but as I understand there's a technology issue where if it's the same bus, if a bus has its own -- they have
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their own buses and they're not contracting out where it's shared they should be able to have a separate warning period. In the event where buses are shared among districts there will be a conflict where we may not be able to offer warnings periods for the second district that opts later. At a later time.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: I see that as a potential problem but $I$ guess it is what it is if there's no -- Mr. Gregware what we're you going to say?

MR. GREGWARE: I was just going to say that $I$ think it's limited in the circumstances where you have a bus company that serves multiple school districts. I think that's what Dave's is alluding to with the vendor where they may have some technical limitations with respect to that issue. I think it's a fairly limited situation but it is something.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: It's a potential for issues. I know for example the Levittown school district has a massive bus fleet and they lease them out to different
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school districts. So, I mean, if they were to opt in, Levittown, right, then what would happen to all the other school districts that they lease the buses out to? Would their people get tickets if those school districts don't opt in? How will they be able to differentiate?

MR. RICH: To answer your question, the districts that did not opt in would not receive violations. Basically, in general, the camera is going to run all the time and it's dependent again, as Allison said, which is the geofencing. If the stop is within that district it will be issued. But if it stops at a location outside that geofencing a violation will be ignored and nothing will be issued.

## LEGISLATOR FERRETTI:

Understood. So, if that's the case if they're able to identify what district the violation is in then they should be able to extend the grace period to anybody who gets a violation for those districts that opt in at a later date. That's the disconnect I'm not
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understanding. If they can identify it then why can't a grace period be extended if that district opts in?

MR. RICH: We had that same exact discussion with the vendor and that's why they're saying right now there's a technology constraint. They are aware of it and it's on the radar that it's something that we want addressed immediately.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you. LEGISLATOR FORD: With school districts that lease the buses, that they have contracts with another bus company, is it both the school district and the bus company that agree to this or is it just the school district that leases the bus?

MR. GREGWARE: In that
circumstance we would ask that the bus company and the school district to sign off on the participation agreement. Because they have certain obligations, the bus company would have certain obligations. When I say obligation $I$ mean access to the buses, training the bus drivers, things of that sort.

Public Safety - 5-10-21
LEGISLATOR FORD: You need a tie-in with everything. Okay. So then if you were in Hicksville and you opt in and you're teams are going to Levittown, which didn't opt in, so, if the school bus stops and let's the team out and cars pass it those cars will not get a ticket because, a violation because Levittown did not opt into the program, correct?

MR. GREGWARE: Right. That would be the idea.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Maybe we can urge our school districts to all get on board right away because when you have that 30 or 60 day you just want to make sure everybody is all in unison here.

MR. GREGWARE: I hope the 22 school districts that responded I hope that's not representative of the school districts that will ultimately opt in. I don't think it is.

MS. HORST: We spoke with Suffolk on Friday and they had a very good participation rate. And the day they
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implemented they had one school district not on board and that school district very quickly called and said we're so sorry, we'd like to participate. So, I think once you get a few on they're all going to start to roll in.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Regardless of where the bus is, the arm goes out you'll get a violation you pass it, correct? Whether it's a side street or boulevard or whatever right?

MS. HORST: Yes.
LEGISLATOR FORD: I like it. But thank you very much. Anyone else? Thank you very much. We will in touch. It would be nice to bring in the company.

Any public comment? Guess not. All those in favor of passing this item please signify by saying aye. Any opposed? The item passes unanimously.

There's no other business before
this committee. May I have a motion to adjourn. Moved by Legislator Ferretti.

Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. All those in favor? We're adjourned. Thank you very much
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