1		
2		
3		
4	NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATUR	. E
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	FULL LEGISLATIVE COMMITTE (Reconvened from 6-28-21	
10	· ·	,
11		
12		
13	RICHARD NICOLELLO, Presiding Officer	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York	
19		
20		
21		
22	Monday, July 12, 2021 1:34 P.M.	
23		
24		
25		

1 2 APPEARANCES: 3 RICHARD NICOLELLO, Presiding Officer 4 HOWARD KOPEL, 5 Alternate Deputy Presiding Officer 6 DENISE FORD, Alt. Deputy Presiding Officer 7 STEVEN RHOADS 8 DEBRA MULE 9 C. WILLIAM GAYLOR III 10 VINCENT MUSCARELLA 11 ELLEN BIRNBAUM 12 LAURA SCHAEFER (Not present) 13 THOMAS MCKEVITT 14 KEVAN ABRAHAMS, 15 Minority Leader 16 ROSE MARIE WALKER 17 JOHN FERRETTI, JR. 18 JOSHUA LAFAZAN 19 ARNOLD DRUCKER (Not present) 20 JAMES KENNEDY 21 DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON 22 CARRIE SOLAGES 23 SIELA BYNOE

24

25

MICHAEL PULITZER,

Clerk of the Legislature

1		
2	LIST OF SPEAKERS	
3	SEAN SALLIE	_
4		
5	DANA BOYLAN2	
6	GEORGE MARTINEZ3	
7	DAN GREGWARE5	
8	KATIE HORST5	5
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Let me
3	reconvene the June 28th meeting. We
4	will start with the Pledge of
5	Allegiance which will be lead by
6	Legislator Vincent Muscarella.
7	(Whereupon, the Pledge of
8	Allegiance was recited.)
9	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: We have four
10	items to be considered by emergency
11	today and reading the information, the
12	resolutions, it will take a while to
13	read the resolutions themselves, so I
14	would ask the minority to consent to
15	waiving the reading of the emergencies.
16	Agreed to by Minority Leader
17	Abrahams.
18	So with respect to Clerk Items
19	250, 251, 252, 253, we will need a
20	motion to establish the emergency.
21	LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: So moved.
22	LEGISLATOR MULE: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by
24	Legislator Ferretti, seconded by

Legislator Mule. All in favor of

25

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	establishing the emergency signify by
3	saying aye.
4	(Aye.)
5	Those opposed?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	The emergencies are established.
8	Now I guess we have to call the items.
9	LEGISLATOR FORD: So moved.
10	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by
12	Legislator Ford, seconded by Legislator
13	Muscarella. That puts all four of
14	these items before us and is there
15	someone from the administration who is
16	here to speak on them?
17	MR. SALLIE: Good afternoon,
18	legislators. Sean Sallie, Deputy
19	Commissioner of Nassau County,
20	Department of Public Works.
21	I'm here today to describe and
22	answer any questions regarding the four
23	proposed requests for authorization to
24	amend contracts with relation to the
25	county's ARP funding implementation

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	plan.
3	The four authorization
4	resolutions pertain to departments
5	and/or agencies, Office of the Aging,
6	Office of the Youth Services,
7	Department of Social Services and
8	Office of Mental Health.
9	These four packages are meant to
10	allocate and program a portion of the
11	county's ARP funds and specifically the
12	portion that was appropriated two weeks
13	ago again at approximately \$60 million
14	into existing contracts that the county
15	has with certain service providers that
16	work in the space of health and human
17	services and social services that are
18	consistent with the mission of the ARP
19	funds and the federal authorization.
20	As you will see in the
21	resolutions, there are a number of
22	contracts that pertain to each one of
23	these offices or divisions that would
24	be granted additional funds and
0.5	

additional scope again to perform

25

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	services to aid the public in COVID-19
3	pandemic recovery.
4	I'm happy to sort of explain how
5	the intent or the plan for how these
6	funds will roll out to these
7	contractors as well as other vendors
8	that may want to do business with or
9	contract with the county to provide
10	these services, but I will first just
11	get into a little more detail with you
12	of each one of these authorization
13	resolutions.
14	I will start with the Department
15	of Social Services. There are
16	currently 19 contracts with 14 distinct
17	vendors. The authorization would allow
18	the administration to increase the
19	current funding amounts of each one of
20	those contracts from between 10 percent
21	and 20 percent of their existing
22	contract amounts. Those funds would be
23	solely ARP sourced totalling
24	approximately \$1.16 million.
25	Office of the Aging, there are 31

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	contracts with 16 distinct vendors/not
3	for profits. Funding would be
4	increased in various amounts based on
5	those individual vendor capacities.
6	Again those funds would be specifically
7	ARP sourced and, in aggregate, they
8	total to approximately two million.
9	Office of Youth Services there
10	are 39 contracts that would be
11	increased at 20 percent each. All of
12	that funding is ARP, in aggregate that
13	ARP tranche is roughly \$6.38 million.
14	Office of Mental and Behavioral
15	Health, there are 52 contracts with 36
16	distinct vendors. Those contracts
17	would be increased at \$60,000 each in
18	ARP funds for a total of \$2.16 million
19	of ARP funding.
20	So, in aggregate, we're looking
21	at about \$6.6 million of the \$62
22	million that was appropriated about two
23	weeks ago. So about \$6.6 million of
24	that appropriation to be put into these
25	contracts again to support the COVID 19

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	pandemic recovery in the spirit of the
3	ARP legislation.
4	I can tell you this is only one
5	step in the process as I'm sure you are
6	all aware. These contracts would
7	essentially setup the conduit to allow
8	the ARP funds to go from the county to
9	the service provider so that mechanism
10	would be setup through this
11	authorization.
12	Each one of these vendors as well
13	as other vendors who may not have a
14	current contract in this space with the
15	county will need to formally apply
16	through the county's portal that will
17	be launched very shortly, similar to an
18	online application format. That portal
19	will accept applications from these
20	vendors, again, vendors that currently
21	have contracts as well as those who do
22	not, for how they intend to use the
23	funding.
24	In very specific terms, the cost
25	to render those services in detail,

1 Full Legislature/7-12-21 2 whether they are financial or their capacity and organization, their 3 financials, so and so forth, that 4 information will need to be submitted 5 6 through this portal so that the county 7 and its supporting team of compliance consultants can vet these funding 8 9 applications before any funding is essentially, you know, put out the door 10 11 to these vendors. 12 You know, the goal here is to get 13 that money on the street as quick as 14 possible and make sure that it stays 15 out on the street and it doesn't get, 16 or that it not out on the street, 17 again, compliance is a major aspect of this that will be facilitated through 18 the county's portal but as sort of a 19 20 precursor or a first step. The county is seeking, the administration is 21 22 seeking authorization to amend these 23 existing contracts so again the vehicle 24 to program and obligate this funding is

created so that they can then, these

25

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	organizations, can then apply formally.
3	I'll mention again, there's
4	remaining funds in all of these buckets
5	so that we will be encouraging vendors
6	who do not currently have a contract
7	with the county who operate in this
8	space to apply as well through the same
9	portal, the same process, for those
10	applications to be vetted.
11	So I can stop there and I'm happy
12	to answer any questions of the team
13	from the county here to get into any
14	details that you would like but I guess
15	I will stop here.
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Okay.
17	Legislator Kopel.
18	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you,
19	Presiding Officer. Good afternoon,
20	Sean.
21	MR. SALLIE: Good afternoon.
22	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So when we met
23	here a couple of weeks ago when we
24	first passed these through the
25	committees, the administration was

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	going to get back to us with more
3	information on how the process was
4	going to go in terms of where the money
5	was going to go exactly, to which
6	vendors, and so forth. I haven't seen
7	anything.
8	I need some clarifications if you
9	don't mind. The normal contracting
10	process is that a contract comes before
11	the Rules Committee and we examine it.
12	Is this seeking to avoid that
13	process to circumvent that process? In
14	other words, are we simply issuing,
15	will we be issuing a bum check? You
16	have got these buckets of money as you
17	call it and you can simple just
18	distribute it as you want?
19	MR. SALLIE: So my understanding
20	is some of these DSS and HHS contracts
21	have come before the legislature, some
22	do not as I understand just based on
23	the county's process and requirements,
24	but the request here is to authorize
25	the County Executive to increase

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	essentially the maximum amount in each
3	of these contracts.
4	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Right. I got
5	get that but, as I said, that is not
6	how we work here.
7	MR. SALLIE: I think the
8	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I mean, if you
9	want to increase these contracts that
10	we all have to look at, why don't you
11	do it that way?
12	MR. SALLIE: I've been given the
13	urgency to get the funds on the street
14	and in the, you know, in the
15	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Well, we'll
16	look at it.
17	MR. SALLIE: But I think grouping
18	these contracts by agency by existing
19	contracts I think there is the
20	opportunity to bundle them, make sure
21	that there's scrutiny by the
22	Legislature so it's clear what the
23	funds can be used for the eligibility
24	buckets and the maximum amount.
25	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: The scrutiny

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	would only be for the buckets, it would
3	not be for individual contracts, that's
4	a blank check?
5	MR. SALLIE: Legislator, it would
6	be for the individual contracts. There
7	is an attachment to the resolution in
8	the form of a spreadsheet listing all
9	of the contracts, their existing
10	maximum amounts, their terms and then
11	the proposed additional ARP funds for
12	each of those contracts.
13	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And what about
14	for new vendors?
15	MR. SALLIE: Right. Well, we
16	don't have contracts yet. We will be
17	soliciting you know those vendors to
18	apply, but I would defer to the county
19	attorney and my colleagues here with
20	respect to for vendors that we do
21	not currently have contracts with,
22	would those contracts come before the
23	Legislature. My understanding is
24	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It would be
25	less to some extent. I mean we are not

1	Enll Logialaturo / 7 10 01
	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	looking at anything specific, well,
3	actually, we are, as you said. How
4	much of the money will be set aside for
5	the new vendors?
6	MR. SALLIE: I can go through
7	each of the tranches of the
8	suballocations. So for the behavioral
9	health support, we are proposing,
10	obligating roughly \$2.1 million so
11	roughly \$1.2 million would be
12	remaining.
13	Explanation of youth services, we
14	are planning on obligating
15	approximately \$1.2 million. There
16	would be about 122,000 remaining.
17	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: That's not
18	much.
19	MR. SALLIE: The explanation of
20	the Office of Aging Programs, there are
21	about \$2 million being obligated.
22	About 60,000 remaining.
23	Then Health and Social Services
24	grant programs, we started with \$10
25	million. We are seeking to obligate

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	about \$1.1 million and it would be
3	about \$8.8 million.
4	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I'm sorry,
5	what was that last category?
6	MR. SALLIE: Health and Social
7	Services. So that's your DSS.
8	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So what we're
9	saying is that it seems like what's the
10	only bucket in which there's any
11	significant money available for
12	applicants?
13	MR. SALLIE: Behavioral health
14	support is about 1.2 million. So of
15	the 17.9 million that has been
16	appropriated for this program, this
17	tranche, about 11.2 million would
18	remain for vendors who currently do not
19	have a contract with us in this space.
20	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And how fast
21	would the administration be able to
22	review and deal with the new vendors as
23	they come in through this portal, is
24	the portal done?
25	MR. SALLIE: So the portal is

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	complete. It's undergoing some final
3	tweaks by our IT department and final
4	compliance checks by our consultants.
5	We want to make sure that once its
6	live, you know, it's what it should be
7	and that we don't have to go back and
8	adjust it, but it is complete and we
9	expect to have it on the street in the
10	next couple of days.
11	It's actually a pretty simple
12	portal, generated by our IT department,
13	simple online form. It's about four
14	pages of form, but, again, it's very
15	straightforward and we expect to have
16	it out on the street in the next couple
17	of days.
18	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Okay. Thank
19	you.
20	MR. SALLIE: And then, if I may,
21	expand on that, that portal will be the
22	intake for the program applications.
23	There will be a robust portal for that
24	back and forth once those applications
25	are submitted for the vetting process,

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	vetting the application the statement
3	of work or scope of work to make sure
4	clients with ARP, but also vetting the
5	contractor or the vendor in accordance
6	with the county.
7	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: And how
8	quickly do you anticipate getting
9	those, getting that vetting process,
10	the vetting and contracting process
11	done?
12	MR. SALLIE: Sure. I think there
13	is an urgency there. I would stop
14	short of giving a hard deadline but our
15	focus is on getting the phase one
16	portal on the street so we can start
17	collecting these applications, but I
18	would think in the next couple of weeks
19	that second portal is operating and
20	we're reviewing and vetting
21	applications.
22	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So you said
23	you could have had this stuff before
24	and here I am. All right. So how was
25	the decision made in terms of

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	allocating money to existing programs
3	versus new?
4	MR. SALLIE: So, in consulting
5	with our with deputy county executives
6	and their associated department heads,
7	the capacity of these existing vendors
8	was taken into account as well as the
9	funds that they're able to spend,
10	capacity, right, the funds they are
11	able to spend in a certain amount of
12	time. We are trying to get this money
13	out on the street as quick as possible.
14	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Not only out
15	on the street but it's got to be
16	productive. It's easy to get money out
17	on the street and get it spent.
18	MR. SALLIE: Good point.
19	Absolutely.
20	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Thank you,
22	and thank you for the presentation and
23	I want to congratulate you and your
24	wife on the birth of your son, Harrison
25	John.

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	MR. SALLIE: Thank you very much.
3	I appreciate it.
4	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: You look
5	remarkably awake.
6	MR. SALLIE: I'll let you in on
7	my secret.
8	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator
9	Rhoads and then Legislator Ford.
10	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you,
11	Presiding Officer. How are you doing,
12	Sean?
13	MR. SALLIE: Well, how about
14	yourself?
15	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Good. Just a
16	couple of quick questions. To Deputy
17	Presiding Officer Kopel's point, we
18	were told that these items would come
19	back to us at the time that we were
20	actually going to be allocating funds
21	so that we would be able to review and
22	look at the specifics regarding the
23	individual proposals which we didn't
24	have at the time we considered the
25	\$62.9 million globally.

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	One question that we had at the
3	time and that we still have is, has any
4	effort been made on the part of the
5	administration to confirm that the
6	money that's actually going to be
7	spent, the functions that are going to
8	be performed by these individuals
9	contractors are actually consistent
10	with the guidelines?
11	MR. SALLIE: Yes, legislator. So
12	we have a team working on the
13	compliance aspect of this program.
14	That team is actually monitoring the
15	federal guidance to ensure that the
16	programs that these vendors, the
17	services that they would provide are in
18	fact eligible and eligible not only
19	from a problematic standpoint but also
20	the beneficiaries of the funds are also
21	eligible beneficiaries.
22	I think it's a little bit, I
23	guess complex is not the right word,
24	but it's complicated because there's
25	the agency that's authorized to

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	administer the funds through ARPA and
3	then there is the end user or
4	beneficiary that also has to be an
5	eligible end user.
6	So we have, again, our team of
7	compliance reviewing the federal
8	guidance to make sure that we
9	understand who exactly and what
10	organizations are eligible, what types
11	of actions are eligible so that when we
12	receive these applications through the
13	portal, we make sure that that review
14	process is in line with the compliance.
15	I can tell you that there are a
16	few questions that are unresolved in
17	the latest interim final role from the
18	treasury.
19	The treasury has a deadline of
20	this Friday to submit questions and we
21	will be submitting at least two
22	questions to the treasury for
23	resolution.
24	I would imagine there would be
25	continuous communications with the

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	treasury to ensure that, you know, any
3	of these sort of gray areas are
4	clarified but in total here, we're
5	really trying to move this or put this
6	funding in the hands of those who need
7	it as quick as possible, but at the
8	same time making sure that the end
9	users and beneficiaries are in fact
10	eligible.
11	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I would have
12	liked to have had some sort of
13	confirmation. I know that there is a
14	process in place whereby they are going
15	to be reviewing but I would have liked
16	some affirmation from the
17	administration as we are voting on it
18	that it had already been reviewed and I
19	understand the desire to get the money
20	out into the hands as quickly as
21	possible, and we share that desire,
22	but, for example, in the backup
23	material, for example, for the portion
24	for youth services, you know, there is
25	a list in appendix A of uses for the

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	money, one of those uses is listed as
3	suspension disparities student
4	educational rights.
5	Now I think I know what that
6	means and I'm not commenting on whether
7	or not it's a worthy goal of course,
8	but I don't know how that would be in
9	any way related to COVID. So you were
10	being asked today to approve the
11	contract based on performing this
12	function, but I have no idea if it's
13	ever going to be approved and have
14	those funds to it and how those funds
15	are to be spend and then have the
16	federal government turnaround and say,
17	you can't use it for that, you owe us
18	back X number of dollars.
19	Do we know what that is and how
20	are we going to resolve that?
21	MR. SALLIE: We can get that
22	answer for you absolutely. If I can
23	just clarify and, I apologize, I should
24	have mention that up front.
25	The additional scope of services

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	that are mentioned in these global
3	resolutions are services that these
4	providers already are contracted to
5	deliver so it's sort of the catchall,
6	if you will, but as you are saying that
7	particular task may not be ARPA
8	eligible so when they
9	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: We have
10	someone new in the
11	MS. BOYLAN: My name is Dana
12	Boylan. I am the executive director of
13	the Office of Youth Services. I am
14	happy to answer questions related to
15	the disparities, educational rights
16	concerns that you have raised.
17	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: How would it
18	be related to do we know it's
19	related to COVID?
20	MS. BOYLAN: Our position is that
21	it is actually, in fact, related.
22	As you know, many kids are, our
23	youth, are disconnected from schools
24	and from the educational systems due to
25	COVID. We have seen the research

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	across the nation that young people are
3	disappearing because they have been
4	taught from home and they have had to
5	try to acclimate to remote learning.
6	Educational rights has to do with
7	the right to be educated, to get
8	services, to actually ensure that your
9	young person no matter where they are
10	in the county actually are getting the
11	educational services that they need.
12	We have agencies that actually
13	are, an agency in particular that we
14	currently fund, the Long Island
15	Advocacy Center, that's strictly
16	focused on that. These are attorneys.
17	These are educational advocates that
18	actually focus on insuring that kids
19	get computers, they gets books, if they
20	are disabled that they get the services
21	needed in the event we have to revert
22	back to the experience we had in 2020,
23	or, now that we are returning back to
24	school, to ensure that they're being
25	brought up to speed by all the

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	districts across the county and so
3	that's what we classify as COVID
4	recovery related to educational rights.
5	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I appreciate
6	that. Thank you for the explanation as
7	to how it ties into COVID.
8	It may not be a fair question to
9	ask you, do we know whether all of
10	these listed scope of work descriptions
11	have at this point actually, at least a
12	preliminary review has been undertaken?
13	MS. BOYLAN: Absolutely. I was
14	actively involved with that. We do
15	oversight for over 39 not for profits
16	that we fund.
17	We are a well aware of the gaps
18	that exist in the county with respect
19	to kids. We are well aware of the gaps
20	that have been brought on with respect
21	to COVID, that COVID has brought on
22	with respect to youth, and all of our
23	agencies, those 39 agencies or more
24	that are to receive the 1.2 or 1.4
25	million are fully aware of the gaps.

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	They have been doing this for 30 years.
3	We do oversight annually with them,
4	sometimes twice a year.
5	We know what they are coming back
6	to the table with and we know what they
7	are saying, that kids are disconnected,
8	that they're experiencing mental health
9	issues, experiencing concerns about not
10	being able to have computers, broad
11	band. They're experiencing issues
12	related to simply being at home for
13	more than a year with little to no
14	recreational outlets because they have
15	been confined.
16	So, yes, I was intimately
17	involved with the determining of those
18	gaps that we suggest that our agencies
19	do focus on.
20	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So at least
21	as far as youth services is concerned,
22	you are confident that the scope of
23	work description as provided is, every
24	component to the scope of work
25	description that's provided for youth

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	services is something that would be ARP
3	eligible at this point?
4	MS. BOYLAN: Not only are we
5	confident we actually conferred with
6	the folks who have been creating the
7	portal. I was on a call with them and
8	we went through line by line why these
9	services are needed. How do they
10	connect back to COVID recovery.
11	So the question same question you
12	asked suspension disparities and
13	educational rights, we vetted and went
14	through them and I was able to explain,
15	well, this is why educational rights
16	and advocacy is important and is
17	aligned with COVID recovery and ARPA
18	guidelines.
19	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you. I
20	appreciate it. This may not be a
21	question for you, do you we know if the
22	same analysis is taking place for
23	example with the Office of the Aging
24	and with respect to other offices,
25	agencies, who will be receiving funds?

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	MS. BOYLAN: Well, respectfully,
3	our Deputy Commissioner is here and he
4	can answer directly questions relating
5	to the Office of the Aging.
6	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Great. Thank
7	you.
8	MR. MARTINEZ: Good afternoon,
9	everyone, my name is George Martinez.
10	Deputy Commissioner, Nassau County
11	Human Services, Office For the Aging.
12	Mr. Rhoads, how are you?
13	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Good to see
14	you.
15	MR. MARTINEZ: With respect to
16	the Office of the Aging, it's a little
17	bit different. I have not been
18	involved in the details of all the
19	items but it will all fit in in the
20	means of the centers.
21	As you know, Nassau County is
22	readily becoming one of the oldest
23	counties in the country, so what we are
24	looking at in the center sorry I
25	keep not seeing you there, like the old

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	TV, you can adjust the channel.
3	So what we are looking at is to
4	get the seniors back to the centers as
5	quickly as possible, get them back. As
6	you can imagine, last year, our seniors
7	a lot of them lost the basic, just to
8	get out and socialize. There's a lot
9	of services so we are looking to make
10	sure this money is spent not only to
11	get the seniors back but make sure they
12	provide the programs including
13	significantly increase the technology
14	and services.
15	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: The ultimate
16	question is, are we confident that the
17	scope of work and the monies that were
18	we're actually allocating today will be
19	eligible under ARP?
20	MR. MARTINEZ: Yes, we are
21	extremely confident with that and we
22	are looking closely with the vendor and
23	the agencies on a daily basis, we are
24	in contract with our managers to see
25	what's going on but, most importantly,

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	what we plan on doing going forward.
3	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: I appreciate
4	it. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator
6	Walker.
7	LEGISLATOR WALKER: I really had
8	just had a question relating to every
9	aspect of it, but due to COVID many
10	other children from many other
11	different school districts, different
12	senior groups or whatever, those
13	seniors who don't actually belong to a
14	senior club or whatever have been
15	effected drastically. Are they
16	reaching out to all those other school
17	districts, you know, maybe they didn't
18	work with them before but now they need
19	to, or how are we reaching out to
20	seniors who don't technically belong to
21	a senior group or senior club or senior
22	center? They could benefit also by
23	what we're doing here.
24	MR. SALLIE: We want to be as
25	inclusive and expansive as possible.

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	We do have a process for outreach that
3	will be put in place as part of ARP to
4	ensure that those individuals that may
5	not be connected with a group are
6	engaged and we do have actual
7	consultant support for that as well.
8	But I think we could we could use
9	your assistance in suggesting some ways
10	that we can do that or help us with
11	making sure that we're reaching
12	everyone who needs to be reached.
13	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Because I am
14	sure there are many out there, again,
15	students being drastically affected,
16	and our kids know how to use the
17	system, there is, you know, many of
18	them, oh, constantly my camera doesn't
19	work, my system doesn't work, and have
20	fallen behind in many ways, and
21	everything that we can do to bring
22	everybody up to where they should be.
23	I would be happy to work with you in
24	any way that we could.
25	MR. SALLIE: Thank you. We do

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	have part of the \$62 million, the
3	assistance to school districts; that's
4	more on the insuring that they
5	capitalize on all of the federal
6	funding that they're entitled to as
7	part of COVID, but we can certainly
8	expand that outreach using those
9	channels to ensure that those students
10	are part of that of our case as well.
11	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Okay. Thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other
14	questions?
15	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can
16	I just ask a question?
17	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Yes.
18	Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
19	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
20	Congratulations. Do you feel confident
21	that you will be able to reach other
22	agencies that don't participant in the
23	county with the remaining funds?
24	MR. SALLIE: I think we will be.
25	I think we will be successful. A lot

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	of stock is being put into this portal
3	that will be, you know, it will be easy
4	for us to really publicize that portal
5	to, you know, last that out to all who
6	deals within this space. Now that this
7	is that we are using an online platform
8	I think that through our outreach
9	offices and the other organizations
10	that do work with us, I think we will
11	be able to cast a very wide net and,
12	again, the portal will be extremely
13	simple to utilize. So we're confident
14	that it will reach broad and wide.
15	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Just
16	a suggestion. I printed out something
17	regarding Boost Nassau businesses
18	downtown and I have been going into
19	businesses just to hand it to them. If
20	you could do something like that and
21	send it to all 19 of us, I think that
22	we each know different smaller or maybe
23	not so small, you know, agencies that
24	can probably really benefit from this.
25	If you give us the correct information

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	to disseminate, I think we can really
3	help with that effort.
4	MR. SALLIE: Thank you that's a
5	great suggestion. Thank you,
6	legislator, I will do that.
7	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other
8	questions?
9	(No verbal response.)
10	Debate or discussion?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	Hearing none, thank you very
13	much, Sean, we appreciate it. All in
14	favor signify by signify aye.
15	(Aye.)
16	Those opposed?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Those items carry unanimously.
19	There are two items from the
20	legislative calendar from June 28 that
21	we are going to take up at this time.
22	One is Item 7, local law to amend
23	Article 10 of the Nassau County
24	administrative code to require written
25	notification to towns, villages, cities

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	and school districts of agreements
3	proposed to be entered into by Nassau
4	County for the operation of multi unit
5	shelters. I need a motion to unable.
6	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
7	LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Second.
8	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by
9	Legislator Walker, seconded by
10	Legislator Kennedy.
11	All those in favor of untabling
12	signify by saying aye.
13	(Aye.)
14	Those opposed?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	The item is un-tabled.
17	At the last meeting a
18	typographical error had been identified
19	in the legislation that was corrected
20	or is being corrected by way of an
21	amendment in the nature of a
22	substitution, so I need a motion to
23	amend the items.
24	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
25	LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Second.

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by
3	Legislator Walker, seconded by
4	Legislator Kennedy.
5	All those in favor of making this
6	amendment to correct the typographical
7	error please signify by saying aye.
8	(Aye.)
9	Those opposed?
10	(No verbal response.)
11	The amendment passes unanimously.
12	Any further debate or discussion
13	on this item? Legislator Bynoe.
14	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Can you
15	specify the amendment, please?
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Yes. I
17	mean, this amendment was in reference
18	to a statute I think, it was amended,
19	which required supposed to be an
20	amendment to a county law or regulation
21	and was unfortunately there was a
22	mistake.
23	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: This
24	specifically deals with the definition
25	of what is a multi use shelter, right?

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Yes.
3	Actually we had made that amendment
4	back on the 28th, so we did give it
5	more detail and more specificity in
6	terms of what a multi unit shelter was
7	but specifically with the amendment we
8	just passed that.
9	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. A
10	typographical error. The question I
11	have now just for clarity on the
12	record, is this bill now requires that
13	every single shelter in Nassau County
14	must be sorry, every single
15	legislator has to be notified about
16	every single shelter that's coming into
17	their district; is that correct?
18	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I don't know
19	if it's every single shelter. I
20	believe there is a definition according
21	to this.
22	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Could we speak
23	to the definition a little bit, please,
24	so we understand it?
25	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I guess we

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	can go into a little more detail. The
3	shelter types are shelters for adults,
4	small capacity shelters, shelters for
5	adult families, and shelters for
6	families as defined by New York State
7	law. Do you need more detail than
8	that?
9	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So small
10	capacity shelter, that one I would like
11	to have further defined.
12	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: We are
13	getting the definition from the regs,
14	state regs.
15	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
16	LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Presiding
17	Officer, shelter for adults is defined
18	does not apply to a facility that
19	houses fewer than 20 small capacity
20	shelter, less than 20 adults, shelter
21	for adult families, families that do
22	not include children under the age of
23	18, shelter for families, is pregnant
24	people or families and does not apply
25	to hotels or motels, at least that

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	provides something of an overview.
3	Those are the definitions that we have.
4	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you. So
5	here's my concern.
6	My concern is that when you look
7	at the shelter structure here in Nassau
8	County, it's clear to me that there are
9	communities that have a proliferation
10	of those type of small shelters and
11	that are tremendously impactful to the
12	quality of life of those residents just
13	as you were concerned about the larger
14	one that was to me a better situation
15	than the smaller capacity shelters.
16	They don't get the direct services that
17	they need there.
18	They are tucked into
19	neighborhoods and I think those should
20	also, we should be notified for those
21	as well. I think they have more impact
22	in the communities that they're
23	currently existing, so I don't think
24	that we should be carving out what a
25	group of the introducers feel is the

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	proper environment for shelters.
3	I think if you are going to
4	advise a legislator about a shelter it
5	should be all shelters, because I don't
6	think that moving forward basically
7	what you are saying is we will never
8	have a shelter that would provide the
9	wraparound services to the families
10	that are necessary for them to succeed
11	and break the cycle of homelessness.
12	That's what I'm hearing here today.
13	That we are comfortable just
14	tucking them into neighborhoods and
15	leaving them to exist like that.
16	So it is my understanding
17	originally when this bill was presented
18	that we were going to make it so that
19	all shelters were going to be a part of
20	this bill requiring those.
21	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator
22	Walker.
23	LEGISLATOR WALKER: I hear what
24	you are saying but I disagree. I mean
25	I don't have a problem being notified

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	whenever there is a shelter anywhere.
3	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So you don't
4	disagree.
5	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Well, I
6	disagree with the fact that I don't
7	believe this was never the intent to
8	not do a shelter as this hotel would
9	have been, and I truly believe that if
10	it had gone through the right process
11	it would open and providing the
12	services to the families that need it.
13	I do think that we do need
14	shelters that provide much more than
15	just a physical building for them to be
16	in.
17	It breaks my heart to know that
18	those have been in a motel room with a
19	mom and three children, that's how they
20	existed now for three years, and during
21	COVID, we all know that was difficult
22	to deal with in your home, but when you
23	have a small space with four people in
24	that space it's a very difficult
25	situation.

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	Some of them live in shelters
3	that were also COVID shelters and they
4	didn't even realize people there could
5	have had COVID.
6	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Legislator
7	Walker, respectfully, I just want to
8	bring your attention to what was
9	happening. A single family house where
10	they put families in rooms, in rooms
11	where they share bathrooms and they
12	share the cooking.
13	LEGISLATOR WALKER: I have those
14	shelters in my community also.
15	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: But there is a
16	proliferation of them in certain
17	communities tucked next door to private
18	residences, and the focus that are here
19	in large numbers who raised a large
20	amount of money to make sure that that
21	shelter in Jericho did not come into
22	fruition are more worried about their
23	property values. Those property values
24	are more impacted than the focus that
25	would have been in Jericho where there

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	was no real threat to anyone's home
3	because it was in a commercial space,
4	or the one who has a shelter that's
5	next door to their house.
6	I understand what you guys are
7	trying to achieve here which is that we
8	have more transparency to a process.
9	And I don't disagree with that.
10	I am just saying, if we are going
11	to do it, let's do it. It's one for
12	all, all for one. Let's do it for all
13	the shelters so they can all make sure
14	that these shelters are all existing in
15	spaces and communities where they can
16	have the most access to services and
17	that we're putting them in safe
18	positions. I just disagree with this
19	particular approach.
20	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Like I said,
21	I just disagree with the part where you
22	said it was kind of making it so there
23	would be never be a hotel situation,
24	again, I disagree. I think this hotel
25	would be up and running as a shelter if

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	things were done the right way.
3	Instead it got brought to
4	litigation because of town codes. They
5	didn't follow this coe and that code
6	they didn't follow, and, like I said,
7	that wasn't exactly a safe place for
8	them to be at that time. When they
9	said there cooped up people in there
10	within the two week span of time, there
11	was an empty pool filled with junk.
12	Except for the fire department having a
13	fire call there, they wouldn't have
14	even realized that.
15	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I don't even
16	want to go into what the conditions
17	were or how they were going to deliver
18	the building at the time because there
19	was some time that that building there
20	was some level of construction. I
21	don't want to go into that.
22	The point that I am making is if
23	we are going to have this requirement
24	it be that the Department of Social
25	Services provide transparency to the

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	process. I think it should be across
3	the whole entire shelter system.
4	I don't see why we wouldn't
5	require that.
6	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I think you
7	are making a good point, Legislator
8	Bynoe and you may be identifying a need
9	for transparency for or having a
10	legislator be notified of these types
11	of shelters that some of us might not
12	be aware of. That's a good thing.
13	That's the whole purpose of this
14	legislation.
15	This, however, has been kicking
16	around for months and what I suggest we
17	could do is perhaps pass this as
18	amended and then revisit this, have the
19	minority and majority counsel speak,
20	and discuss what other types of
21	shelters should be included and look to
22	amend it on the next cycle.
23	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: No disrespect,
24	Presiding Officer, I asked for that
25	specific strategy to take place when we

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	tabled this the first time. I believe
3	our counsel followed up with your
4	counsel but there was no discussion
5	where there was an opportunity for us
6	to broach our concerns.
7	So to move this forward today,
8	why can't we just leave this open and
9	when we come back in the next meeting,
10	leave this particular full meeting open
11	and deal with it then.
12	I think if we are going to do it
13	let's do it right, let's protect all
14	the communities, not just protect
15	certain communities. I think that's
16	the right way to go forward today.
17	I've sat here before where there
18	were promises made and we revisit a
19	bill and it doesn't happen.
20	So I really today I am asking for
21	this to be tabled and for us to have
22	this opportunity to sit and work on
23	this together. We need to protect all
24	communities.
25	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: All right.

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	Well, that's not an unreasonable
3	request, but I would ask that going
4	forward, if you feel that your counsel
5	is not getting the answers that he
6	wants or there was not enough
7	conferring on this, that you call one
8	of us and let us know. I don't think
9	from our side
10	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I didn't know
11	this was going to be on the table
12	today. I just did not know we were
13	going to have it today.
14	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I understand
15	that. From our side there wasn't that
16	issue but, again, it's not unreasonable
17	to ask that again. The whole purpose
18	of this is to provide as much
19	information as possible to legislators
20	and community leaders as to these
21	placements which will allow
22	legislators, community leaders, to
23	evaluate and bring information to the
24	public, and, again, if the community is
25	being inundated with small shelters, as

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	you are describing, then it's something
3	that that legislator and the local
4	officials are going to want to advocate
5	and perhaps change.
6	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: We all have a
7	responsibility to have these shelters
8	in our community and we just need to
9	make sure they're placed in locales
10	where they can have access to transit
11	and all these other things that make it
12	a win win situation where we are
13	providing the services to the families
14	that are in need. I just think we need
15	to move forward very deliberately on
16	how we are going to have that
17	transparency.
18	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I think we
19	have a meeting on August 2nd.
20	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Can we do it
21	on August 2nd.
22	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Vote and
23	decide. Motion to table?
24	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
25	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Second.

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by
3	Legislator Walker, seconded by
4	Legislator Bynoe.
5	All those in favor of tabling
6	signify by saying aye.
7	(Aye.)
8	Those opposed?
9	(No verbal response.)
10	The item is tabled.
11	Let's go to Item 24 that is the
12	resolution authorizing the County
13	Executive to execute an agreement with
14	American Traffic Solutions, Inc. d/b/a
15	Verra Mobility, and two agreements with
16	school districts in relation to the
17	county's school bus stop-arm photo
18	enforcement program.
19	I need a motion to un-table.
20	LEGISLATOR FORD: So moved.
21	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by
23	Legislator Ford, seconded by Minority
24	Leader Abrahams.
25	All those in favor of un-tabling

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	signify by saying aye.
3	(Aye.)
4	Those opposed?
5	(No verbal response.)
6	It's un-tabled.
7	MR. GREGWARE: Dan Gregware,
8	Deputy County Attorney. This is a
9	contract with Verra Mobility to
10	install, operate, and maintain a school
11	bus photo violation monitoring system
12	as well as authorize the County
13	Executive to enter into participation
14	agreements for school districts who
15	wish to participate in the stop arm
16	program.
17	I think we last left off at the
18	last legislation session where the
19	vendor was going to we contacted the
20	vendor to look for a third party
21	monitor or subcontractor to review the
22	work on the installation of the
23	cameras.
24	The vendor has solicited quotes
25	from potential vendors and they are

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	committed to move forward with this
3	program with this third party reviewer,
4	but they are still soliciting quotes.
5	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Just in
6	terms of the third party review, what
7	type of entity is going to be that
8	doing that, is it identified, is it a
9	law firm?
10	MR. GREGWARE: It's going to be
11	an engineering type firm reviewing the
12	installations.
13	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: They can
14	proceed?
15	MR. GREGWARE: Sorry. What was
16	that?
17	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: They made a
18	commitment to proceed with that third
19	party monitor?
20	MR. GREGWARE: Yes, they are
21	actively soliciting third party
22	monitors, subcontractors.
23	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can
24	we just ask that as soon as that name
25	is identified if you distributed it to

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	all 19 of us?
3	MR. GREGWARE: Sure.
4	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
5	would feel better if we had that in
6	place before the contract because it is
7	so important.
8	MR. GREGWARE: They are looking
9	at identifying a vendor for this week.
10	LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
11	Okay. So as soon as you get the names
12	just let us know and basically going on
13	good faith that will all come to
14	fruition, so thank you.
15	MR. GREGWARE: Sure.
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator
17	Ford.
18	LEGISLATOR FORD: I probably
19	should have asked this earlier, months
20	ago, but the vendor that we have
21	selected, they will be used in either
22	union labor to install all the
23	equipment on the buses, or at least
24	have an apprenticeship program?
25	MR. GREGWARE: They will have to

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	comply with all county requirements
3	related to utilizing the applicable
4	requirements.
5	LEGISLATOR FORD: And then once
6	the vendor selects I guess whatever
7	firm is going to monitor the equipment
8	and so forth, when do we anticipate
9	that we will be offering it to the
10	school districts to start joining in
11	with us and implementing this program?
12	MR. GREGWARE: I think that's
13	complicated.
14	MS. HORST: Katie Horst from the
15	administration. As soon as we get your
16	go ahead we are going to start engaging
17	the school districts in agreement.
18	LEGISLATOR FORD: I know we have a
19	number of school districts that already
20	agreed to this and I know in my school
21	districts did right.
22	MS. HORST: Some are very
23	supportive, yes.
24	LEGISLATOR FORD: Then to be in
25	touch with New York City in regard to

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	how they're going to monitor the
3	medians, the distance of the medians
4	for the cars going in the opposite
5	directions that may cause a problem for
6	drivers?
7	I know state law only said with
8	the median, but in the city of Long
9	Beach we have medians that actually our
10	signals are timed in such a way that a
11	person is not able to cross from one
12	end of Park Avenue to the other on one
13	cycle for a green light cycle. So that
14	would then compel even a student who
15	gets off the bus, once they get to the
16	center median they will then have to
17	wait for the signal to then turn green
18	again, okay?
19	So for the cars, because of the
20	width of it, the trees and
21	obstructions, will considerations be
22	made, are we going to look at that so
23	that if a school bus stops at the
24	corner of Riverside in front of the
25	post office to let students off and

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	cars are going eastbound, and then
3	there may be a truck then going north
4	and south that may block the view of
5	the motorists, they do not see the
6	school bus that they let the school
7	children off, that all of a sudden if
8	the light turns green and they can go
9	eastbound, they can very well get
10	ticketed but it would not necessarily
11	be fair because they didn't see the
12	school bus?
13	MS. HORST: Correct. That is
14	being looked at where there is a median
15	to the it's a long question but only
16	on the side that the student are there
17	is a median, not ticketing those going
18	in the opposite direction.
19	LEGISLATOR FORD: I think I got
20	it, and of course you can't you know.
21	MS. HORST: And if the camera
22	doesn't pick up the cars then they
23	won't get a ticket. So if there is a
24	truck blocking it and for things like
25	that.

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	LEGISLATOR FORD: All right. I
3	would like that looked at. I know
4	we're going to have 60 days where we
5	will be capturing a lot of this
6	information, so we can then take a look
7	at it as well but it's something that I
8	just want to make sure we keep on the
9	radar.
10	MS. HORST: Yes.
11	LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay, thank
12	you.
13	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other
14	questions? Legislator Bynoe and then
15	Legislator Birnbaum.
16	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: You want to go
17	first?
18	LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you
19	for telling us about the vendor
20	contracting with the third party to
21	administrate the installation.
22	Will this effect in any way the
23	financial arrangements that we have
24	with this vendor?
25	MR. GREGWARE: No, we can run

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	that with the vendor.
3	LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: So we are
4	still under the obligation to remit a
5	certain percentage back to them for
6	every ticket?
7	MR. GREGWARE: Yes, that's
8	correct.
9	LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: And they
10	will absorb the cost of this?
11	MR. GREGWARE: Yes, that's
12	correct.
13	LEGISLATOR BIRNBAUM: Thank you.
14	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I think this
15	is a good way forward. I'm happy to
16	make sure there was a vendor in place.
17	I may have missed this.
18	How often will they be reporting
19	to the county regarding the performance
20	of the vendor?
21	MR. GREGWARE: We haven't gotten
22	to that specifics yet with the third
23	party reviewer, but I mean if the
24	Legislature has specific reporting
25	requirements or what they want to see

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	in the report or the frequency of the
3	reports.
4	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Yes, I think
5	it would be important to make sure of
6	that.
7	MR. GREGWARE: I think at the
8	last Legislative session we kind of
9	thought there would be some flexibility
10	with that. Obviously that's going to
11	effect the cost that they are going to
12	have to pay this third party reviewer.
13	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: But it's in
14	our best interest. Mr. Cleary, do you
15	have something to add?
16	MR. CLEARY: Robert Cleary. We
17	would have be notified in the event
18	that would identify any problem
19	obviously. There is no reason for a
20	delay if they identify a problem on a
21	bus. It's also going to affect our
22	discussion on whether that bus should
23	be operated depending on the severity
24	of the circumstance. Another issue of
25	these cameras of the effect of the

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	operation of the bus themselves.
3	Other than that normally we have
4	typically a monthly response from
5	monitors, so I would expect it would be
6	something along those lines.
7	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay, that
8	sound greats and I appreciate this
9	legislative body making sure that we
10	move forward to have this type of
11	oversight because this is an important
12	program for the county and I want to
13	give a shout out who is not here
14	today. It looks like we lost mike
15	power the whole thing went out. In any
16	event, thank you.
17	LEGISLATOR BYNOE: The mike is
18	back. In any event, thank you very
19	much for the reporting on this
20	contractor. I think it's important.
21	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other
22	questions?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	Is there any debate or
25	discussion?

1	Full Legislature/7-12-21
2	(No verbal response.)
3	Hearing none, all those in favor
4	signify by saying aye.
5	(Aye.)
6	Those opposed?
7	(No verbal response.)
8	Carries unanimously.
9	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: I'm going to
10	put the meeting back into recess and
11	plan on calling it at the time of our
12	next legislative meeting, August 2nd,
13	and next is the Rules Committee.
14	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No more
15	than five minutes.
16	CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Five minute
17	break and then we will start with
18	Rules.
19	(Whereupon, the Reconvened Full
20	Legislative Committee recessed at 2:31
21	p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>
3	
4	
5	I, STEFANIE KRUT, a Shorthand Reporter
6	and Notary Public in and for the State of
7	New York, do hereby stated:
8	THAT I attended at the time and place
9	above mentioned and took stenographic record
10	of the proceedings in the above-entitled
11	matter;
12	THAT the foregoing transcript is a true
13	and accurate transcript of the same and the
14	whole thereof, according to the best of my
15	ability and belief.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
17	my hand this 26th day of July, 2021.
18	
19	
20	
21	STEFANIE KRUT
22	
23	
24	
25	