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    Rules - 1-10-22
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Calling
the Rules Committee to order and I'll call the roll. Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Minority
Leader Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. We are all here.
Item three of 2022 is an ordinance
to be known as the Hiring Our Heroes Act
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amending Section 6 of Ordinance 180-2016 to exempt active duty and military veterans from the payment of civil service examination fees.

That's moved by Legislator Bynoe. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. Any debate or discussion? Hearing none, all in favor signify by saying aye.

Item four of 2022 is a resolution that's authorizing and directing the treasurer of Nassau County to assign certain tax lien certificates in connection with property located in School District 11, Town of Hempstead.

That item is moved by Legislator Schaefer. Seconded by Legislator Rhoads. It's before us. It just went through Finance a few moments ago. I'd asked that the minutes be incorporated by reference into this committee. Anyone have anything further to add on this item? Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you
Presiding Officer. I just want to make a note that to say that it's to the full extent and
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best interest of the county $I$ think is, in this moment, is premature to make that statement. Aside from all the other questions that are open on the floor and aside from the fact that our inspector general has stated that she has open issues, $I$ think really can't make a determination if it's in the best interest of the county when we don't even know how many units would be developed. The granular details that would be necessary to really make an informed decision are lacking. So, I would argue that we'd have to have to a lot more information as to how that property would be developed, how many units, how many affordable units, all of those things before it would be the right move for the county's residents for us to even consider something that appears to be against the ad code.

> There's a lot of things here in play and I don't think that in any time that we would either discount land in sale or any of that we would do that without having full understanding of what type of project would be
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developed on that land.
So, in the interest of the county
residents, $I$ will be voting no on this item.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
think it's been common practice of this body in the past whenever our inspector general had any outstanding questions or concerns we always respected that with tabling the item. I think this has been our -- what we've done in a bipartisan way and I would hope to see that continue in the future. I think this is the first time I can remember where the inspector general had a question and we didn't move to table it and we didn't table it.

So, look, I think at the end of the day, having the inspector general not only protects the county it protects us. And I really hope that we continue with that pattern of listening to her advice and acting accordingly.

I would like to make another motion to table when we're ready to do so and try to do that at least on the Rules Committee to try to stop -- it's bad practice to go against
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what the inspector general says.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I just want to make a statement before you make the motion. I would echo that $I$ think there was a point made in the Finance Committee that the responses that we are seeking both legal and the details about the development have to be provided to the legislature before the full legislature. We're not looking to have someone come up and give us explanations on the 24 th. We want that information before the 24th.

Nick, I hope you take that back to your -- to the county attorney. Again, so that we're clear, we want the information before the meeting. Anyway, go ahead, Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton, make the motion. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And again, more than just this item, just basically our common practice of abiding by the recommendations of the inspector general I now would like to make a motion to table this item.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second?
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LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Second.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Seconded by Legislator Bynoe. All in favor of the motion to table signify by saying aye. Those opposed? The motion to table fails by a vote of four to three. Does anyone else have any other comment? Legislator Rhoads.

## LEGISLATOR RHOADS: My

recollection is that our common practice is actually to tee up items for the full legislature while we're waiting on additional information. I don't see this as being substantially different from that. I think that the committees, both Finance now and Rules have expressed their concerns about the lack of information that's been provided and have set forth very clear instructions that that information must be provided before it's considered before the full legislature with the understanding that if it's not obviously it will be tabled at that time.

So, I don't have a problem voting for the item now with the understanding that we'll get the information before the full
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legislature. If we don't receive it we will take appropriate action at that time. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Anyone else.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I don't want to get into a debate, but $I$ can't recollect a time where we did not honor the advisement of our inspector general. She's our chief advisor in the role of making this body aware of any possible misconduct or anything that would be against the charter of this county government. She's who we rely on and we have never once bucked her authority or her role as our chief advisor to tell us that there's concerns about an issue and that she wouldn't even advise that it goes through committee. This is the first time.

I'm kind of saddened that we're taking this approach because I really felt that we worked in a very bipartisan way when it came to the county inspector general giving us advice as 19 legislators and responsible as the fiduciaries of this county.

So, for us to take a stance today
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that to we will just buck here advice I think we're setting a bad precedent and I think this is not the way to start off our first meeting of this term.

I really implore my colleagues on this committee to not keep this up because we've never teed these types of items up.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I don't see us as bucking any authority of the inspector general. The inspector general indicated that she did not have sufficient information. We've set forth a pathway to obtain that information before we consider it as part of the full legislature. And I would anticipate that if we do not receive that information as indicated we'll take appropriate action at that time.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I definitely
want to change the language and I think I did. Not her authority but her advisement. Here consultation to this body.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: All
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right. Any other debate or discussion? Any public comments? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? It's a vote of four to three that this item moves on -- it's a vote of four to three we will be moving this on, potentially, go before the full legislature.

Six of 2022 is a resolution to amend Resolution 112 of 2022 establishing the special legislative task force to combat anti-Semitism.

Moved by Legislator Kopel and seconded by Minority Leader Abrahams. Before we do that, I need a motion to suspend the rules of the Rules Committee. Moved by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel. Seconded by Legislator Schaefer all in favor of suspending the rules signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: At this time I'll talk about clerk item 6-22 which is a resolution to establish a special legislative task force to combat anti-Semitism. This was originally introduced last year and passed

Rules - 1-10-22
last year unanimously by the legislature. It was introduced by, if I recall, Legislators Lafazan and Drucker, for which I thank them. Somehow the task force never did formally get organized, so we would like to get that done. This resolution simply provides dates upon which the task force A, will be constituted. Which would be International Holocaust Remembrance Day, January 27th of this year. And will require the task force as constituted providing recommendations to the presiding officer and minority leader by Yom HaShoah, which is on April 28th. And I simply urge everybody to vote for this and we'll get it done.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other discussion? Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I was just asked to make some type of clarification that Minority Leader Kevan Abrahams was one of the --

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: One of the original sponsors?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: On
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the task force, yes. He's a member you inadvertently omitted.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: He was I'm sorry?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I don't see his name on it. If we could add his name.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Was he a sponsor?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: He
was on the task force along with Drucker and
Lafazan.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I think
she was noting for the record that they were appointed to the task force. You can do that. There's no relevance to this, to actually changing the date which obviously has to be done.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
agree. I guess because we mentioned the other two I just wanted to make sure that minority leader --

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I mentioned them as the original sponsors. That's all I
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did. I didn't discuss who the members were at all.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other debate or discussion. Any public comment? All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed? That carries unanimously.

Now we need a motion to adjourn by
Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator Schaefer. All in favor of adjourning signify by saying aye. Those opposed? We're adjourned.
(Committee adjourned at 6:13 p.m.)
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