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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let's go
right into the full legislature. Mike, you want to call the roll again, sir.

MR. PULITZER: Yes sir. Roll
call. Deputy Presiding Officer Howard Kopel.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
Presiding Officer Denise Ford.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Siela
Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
Solages.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Debra
Mule. Okay.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator C. William Gaylor III.

LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator John
Giuffre.
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Mazi
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Pilip.
LEGISLATOR PILIP: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Delia
DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator James
Kennedy.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
McKevitt.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator John Ferretti.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Rose
Marie Walker.
LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
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MR. PULITZER: Legislator Joshua
Lafazan. We will come back there. Legislator Steven Rhoads. LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Present. MR. PULITZER: Minority Leader

Kevan Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Presiding Officer
Richard Nicolello.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
MR. PULITZER: Legislator Josh
Lafazan. He's on. Okay. Sir, we have a quorum.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay.
First order of business is a motion to suspend the rules. Moved by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel. Seconded by Legislator Walker. Any debate or discussion on suspending the rules? Hearing none, all in favor of suspending the rules signify by saying aye. Those opposed? It passes unanimously. The rules are suspended.

One item we have again is resolution number 51. It is a resolution to
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appoint Matthew J. Bruderman as a director to the board of the Nassau Health Care Corporation.

Motion by Legislator Kennedy. Seconded by Legislator Schaefer. It puts the item before the legislature.

I would ask Mr. Bruderman if he would like to approach the podium. I understand he has a statement. He's going to be sitting at the table here. We're going to hold for a second. I guess the remote connection by our Microsoft Team is not working at the moment. Give it a couple of seconds.

I have received a number of slips for people who want to make some public comment. If you are interested in doing so and haven't submitted a slip already we have the slips up by the clerk's table. Fill one out, hand it to the clerk and we'll make sure we call on you at the appropriate time.

Welcome to the legislature. We are waiting for the remote connection to reconnect.
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We're back on. Our legislators are connected again. We have Mr. Bruderman at the table. Welcome. And I understand you have a statement that you would like to read.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Yes, I do. Thank
you. First of all, I wanted to say that I'm aware that there is something being passed or voted on in Albany on behalf of the state $I$ think on Monday. And so, I just wanted to say I hope after today, should I be made chairman, that my chairmanship lasts more than a day so I can do, finally, the good work I've been trying to do.

I also wanted to point out I questioned whether or not I should come today because the effort and things that I had to sacrifice to be here were great. And I was thinking is it worth me taking the time to come down here if I'm not going to be in the seat and able to do the work come Monday anyway? So, I'm hoping that after we get through this that the county legislature and the people in the community realize what can be done if you get the right person and people
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in the job.
I wanted everyone to know that today I violated a cardinal rule personally in my family, and that is, if anyone knows me, the way $I$ organize my life, which is crazy, not as crazy as I personally am, is that $I$ prioritize first my family. I fell in love with my wife in 11th grade. First time I saw her in the cafeteria. I tell my daughter, like my wife, it's amazing how I could actually love them more every day. I think I love them so much and then the next day I love them more.

My daughter is looking to switch schools and is spending a day away, and I was with her last night and she's up there today with her mom without me. And anyone, again, that knows me, knows that my family comes first. Then actually my sports and my activities that $I$ love to do and then business. I have enough money. I can support myself and my family. So, I don't need to chase a ton of money like a lot of people that I know. So I have the luxury of being able to
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say family and friends first, then my sports, which I love, and then worry about my investments.

So, today I got up at four o'clock in the morning and I drove all the way down here all by myself in the rain. All by myself in the dark. And my daughter now is going on a tour without her dad. So, I hope that's not in vain.

The other thing I would like to say is that I would like to say thank you to my old friends, especially John and Ricky, who are in the front here, who when they saw what was being written in the newspaper wrongly, twisted, wrong, not only did they call me and say it's wrong but they said $I$ want to be there. I said I don't want to get into a race situation and have my friends of color there as if they're tokens. And you know what they said to me? I have real friends. They said we're going to be there no matter what. These guys love me. I love them. And they're here to support me.

Again, I hope that they're care for
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me, they stopped their jobs, they took off from work and they came in here and they were like I'll fight for you. Again, I hope that's not in vain.

I also want to thank all of the other people that have come here. My new friends. Nurses, doctors. I haven't seen Dr. Boutin but I understand he's here. Fabulous people that have embraced me coming out of the gate.

I think before I get into my speech here, you know, again, I just wanted to thank everyone for embracing me and I want to sort of set the table with, you know, to me, there's been like this crazy attack. I've never seen it in the history of NUMC. I know that people came and went and this and that. But I want everyone to ask themselves why in three days of me being nominated and me being at NUMC one day asking very hard questions, why was it so important that people race to file temporary restraining orders restraining me from being in a seat and acting as a chairman? Why? Why so urgent? This guy's in
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for three days. What's he going to do? Ask yourselves that question.

Why did they send restraining orders and legal documents to my home? Why do they run into court and then fight appeals. One guy. Am I that special? Why are people instead of on Monday instead of you've got an organization that's been messed up for like 40 years. Certainly of recently there's tons of press. Press is written about it. Why the urgency for the state to not speak to the constituents in the community? Not come to the county? Not hear what the people and the doctors and nurses have to say. Not talk to me. Why would the state urgently have to take control? They already had control.

There's eight seats on the board that were appointed by the state and there are seven seats that were appointed by the county. Coincidentally enough, nobody wants me to talk about politics. But guess what? This hospital is burdened with politics.

So, the last four years, I don't even know because $I$ don't follow politics, in
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the last four years I understand that the county and the state were democratic and the county and the state appointed all the other board members and the chairman.

So, to me -- and especially the twisting of words. Like one paper said directly Matthew Bruderman has no health care experience. Did the reporters check their facts? If you Google me the first thing that comes up says I'm a health care investor and I own a health care company now. Did they care about the facts? No, I don't think so.

They've listed me as GOP donor
instead of a health care investor and a turnaround expert. Why? Again, I think there's agendas here that are very problematic and systematic. And until that's fixed NUMC will never thrive. It will never work. Because it is politicized.

And I have solutions even for that, which I'm hoping I get to propose to the county and to the state so that way it's fixed forever not just now.

As I told Bruce Blakeman when he
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asked me to serve -- by the way, I know I'm answering a lot of questions, prior to five months ago you can check political records I never donated a penny. I don't care about politics. People that know me know I'm a fiscal conservative because if an economy has money it can do good works. And if it doesn't it can't help itself much less others. So, yes, I'm a fiscal conservative and I've been very aggressive about backing fiscal conservative good people.

So, yes, I have poured my money first and now my time because my heart is in fixing the inner city community and the crisis that goes on there and getting young people jobs and giving people an opportunity. Helping the poor. Helping the homeless.

So, on top of my money, people like Bruce Blakeman asked me if $I$ would do sort of the ultimate thing and spend my time, take the time from my family and try to use my skills and experience and relationships to fix a broken institution in an important area. I stepped up to do that.
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And in exchange I've been persecuted. My good friends tell me you're crazy. What are you doing? You're totally successful. You don't even go to work. And to be clear, I wakeboard and water ski and hunt and fish every day with my kids. I just for the first time with my son figured out how to make maple syrup. If you guys want to come over you can. I'm sacrificing that. People tell me that they're going to assassinate my character because they couldn't stop me potentially at the board level with temporary restraining orders and they may not be able to stop me at the state level.

So what are they going to do?
They're going to burn me at the stake. They're going to write what they want. A false narrative. I heard about that. But God is it terrifying to actually live through it. Again, I say this because I don't care about politics. I have all the friends I need and $I$ have a lot of new ones. So I don't care what they put in the press. But what I care what the press does in undermining saving
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a lot a hospital for people that need it desperately.

So, that's why I care and that's why I'm here and that's why I got up at four a.m. today so I could subject myself to more punishment that will be twisted in the press. But I'm not running like I told everyone in the hospital. I'm never running. I was raised that you don't walk past a crime. You don't walk past bullying. And you can dig up every kid I went to school with and they'll tell you, if a kid was being bullied I would beat their ass. I don't tolerate it.

Here I feel like I'm in that
situation. This community is being bullied. The community and the hospital are being exploited. And the reason people are upset with me is because I'm pulling back the curtain. In the first day I pulled back the curtain and that's what people don't want to happen because people are going to be embarrassed. I'm sorry about that. I'm not doing it politics.

Again, I live in Nassau County. I
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was born in Nassau County and I'm going to commit my time to help Nassau County. Now I will read my speech.

Good morning everyone and thank you for considering my nomination to the board of Nassau University Medical Center. I'm honored to be here and truly humbled by the confidence that Nassau County Executive Bruce Blakeman has placed in me to not only serve NUMC but to lead the effort to save this vital institution.

NUMC means so much to so many. In fact, $I$ wasn't even aware of it. This health care facility employs more than 4,000 dedicated people. It provides critical care to thousands of patients each year. Many of whom have nowhere else to go. Nassau County residents deserve this hospital to be fiscally sound. Sustainable for the long term and provide first class health care.

Over the past three decades I have worked across finance, health care, consumer products, retail, media and other industries. As an investor, an entrepreneur, the

Full - 4-1-22
difference there is you started not bought it, and an advisor. I have advised many health care companies. In fact, people wanted to come stand by my side but I said I don't want to bring you into in this situation.

From Fortune 500 companies to start-ups my goal in each case has been to build better, more resilient enterprises. Today I'm the majority shareholder in more than 80 companies.

In the health care industry I have been involved in many capacities. $M$ and $A$ advisor, owner, operator and investor in more than a dozen health care service companies including physician practice management, diagnostic imaging, rehabilitation, health care IT, health and wellness services and durable medical equipment.

The long-standing challenges of NUMC threaten its ability to keep our promise to the people of our communities to provide them access to high quality health care. The hospital suffers from financial mismanagement and it has for some time. I've already begun
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work on a long-term strategic plan to turn around the hospital's finances and have already identified several operational and management deficiencies. That once rectified, can go a long way toward assuring we achieve the goal of everyone here today. And that is to turn, finally turn this hospital around. By the way, I fixed it already.

I believe with my extensive experience in finance and management I can insure NUMC is a place that every Nassau resident can be proud of. I believe in transparency. I believe in harnessing innovation. I believe in cultivating the best talent, and I believe, most of all, in the people of NUMC that I've already had the pleasure of meeting. And $I$ believe in their capacity to take our shared vision for this hospital and carry it forward.

I look forward to working with
Dr. Boutin and my fellow board members to create a financially sound, leading edge and thriving medical center.

My parents instilled in me an
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important work ethic. But more importantly, they instilled in me compassion for my fellow man. I've been personally involved in philanthropy, quietly, for many years.

But as you may know, this is the first time I have chosen to serve the public in this way. Some people get involved in public service because they want to be someone. Others do it because they want to do something. I assure you I view this not only as a public trust but as a personal mission, and I will work day and night to save the hospital.

Now, I know my passion for problem solving for this mission has gotten some attention of late. Thanks to a poor choice of words on my part, they regrettably created some misunderstanding and I'm sorry for that. It may surprise you but I'm not a trained public speaker. Actually I feel like I'm doing pretty well right now. I am, however, absolutely committed to ensuring that NUMC is known for diversity including -- and as a place of every member of the community.
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That's what's in my heart. It's who I am. It's who I've always been. I don't need to do this job. I want to do it for the community.

A hospital is a place where hard work, dedication and human compassion combine in ways that serve to inspire us. I believe that my expertise and the help of many others will ensure that a nurse can go home after a long shift with a peace of mind that she'll have a job at NUMC. That the patient from Hempstead who comes into the emergency room will feel cared for and comforted. That the first responder will know that this county will remember their sacrifice and appreciate it. That the mother will have confidence her child is in the best possible hands. And all of us will know the reward of having done God's work by doing our part to make this all happen.

Thank you for your time and your consideration.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Mr. Bruderman. Any questions? Minority Leader Abrahams.

Full - 4-1-22
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are you Mr. Bruderman?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm well. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Good to hear. It's our fiduciary responsibility to ensure that the hospital, for multiple reasons, that provides patient care to our residents. That basically many of our residents also, through the county budget, have a debt that is paid by our county residents. So it's in our fiduciary responsibility to make sure that we appoint members to the body that are going to ensure the best interest of the people of Nassau County.

So, our questioning today, I'm not too sure if the majority has questions, but our questioning today is not deemed to be seen as personal or vindictive or trying to put you in a tough spot. But $I$ do want to clear up the record on a couple of things you just said. But before $I$ do so, I will say that you have quite the crowd today. I've never seen a
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crowd for one item today.
MR. BRUDERMAN: I thought it was a little light.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Many of the faces I see I'm curious because I'm not too sure of their role with the hospital that are county workers. But that's okay. I'm not too sure if they were asked to be here or they're friends to you personally or anything along those lines.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I think people care about the county.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm sorry?
I think everyone in this room cares about the county Mr. Bruderman. But I think what the point is if they're being paid as county workers today the responsible thing to do would be to take the day off. Wouldn't you agree?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I think we should
arrest them all.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're going
to get off to a very good start. You had
mentioned that you felt there was some level
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of politics that was played into this process in regards to the state legislation that was filed?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Yes, sir.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I took a
look at the bill and as funny things would happen, the bill was introduced on February 18th. I don't know if you were aware of that. MR. BRUDERMAN: I was not. LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The bill was filed on February 18th. The Newsday story that announces your pick, the county executive's pick for you to head NUMC was on March 6th. So how do you reconcile some type of vindictive thing by the state legislators? They filed their bill before they knew about your pick.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I don't have to reconcile it. But what it could be, as long as you're opening up the door, which everyone told me don't talk about -- which is just like at Thanksgiving dinner -- don't talk about politics, religion and certainly not race. Particularly if you're White. But I'm going
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to touch all of those for you today.
And what $I$ would say is that the county and the state were both democratic. So they didn't know that $I$ would come in there and yank back the curtain and expose everything and embarrass people. So maybe they didn't know it was specifically me but maybe they were more concerned about who a great man Bruce Blakeman was going to bring to the table. So they were just seizing control because the county gets to appoint the chairman even though the state has more votes.

And so, yeah, what do I think? You're asking my opinion only and my opinion would be that, well, they lost control of the county and they want to keep people out of there because it might be embarrassing what they find. Maybe it wasn't me. Maybe I'm flattering myself. I just still think it was political. I think they're really pissed now that's it's me though because as you can tell I'm not afraid of a fight.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I don't
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think it was either actually. I don't think it was political. The hospital, as you probably well noted, the hospital has been rooted in levels of patronage and deception that has gone on throughout the management for years and decades quite frankly. It has carried over through multiple county executives, democratic and Republicans alike. And for that matter, we are now at a point where we have a fiduciary responsibility where the state feels they need to do something more serious to address the matter.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Because they did
such a bad job before?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I haven't
cut you off. I've let you speak. That being said, I think they decided to introduce this bill. I don't think it has anything to do with you quite frankly. It's evident it doesn't because the bill was introduced on the 18th. They didn't learn about your pick until March 6th. But that's beside the point. You had also mentioned that you are a health care investor and owner of a health
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care firm. I would like to you elaborate on that because $I$ would like to understand how those relationships would continue at those particular entities or those particular practices while you are chairman of the NUMC hospital which is also a health care facility. MR. BRUDERMAN: I, right now, am not working. Do not do transactions as an advisor generally anymore. I wouldn't undertake one except for free on behalf of the hospital because I think you can buy up a lot of nice things and put them in that wonderful physical plant. So, I'd be your free investment banker.

As it relates to the holdings that I have in health care, we own one company right now, which would be fully disclosed. Only one. I have no management role in it whatsoever. And if it came anywhere near NUMC of course, unlike other people that I've already found on the board, I'd fully disclose it. But I don't anticipate where we would do any business with NUMC. The facility we have now does outsourced -- basically it's an
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outsource sleep apnea testing and diagnosis for hospitals. Doesn't do it for NUMC and I don't think we need to. If we did I'd obviously disclose it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What's the name?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'd rather not say. It's out there on the Internet. But I don't want to drag company names either that $I$ own or that $I$ have owned or anything else into this fire pit that people have created in the media.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Do you plan to disclose it?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Anyone can go and research it. It's out on the Internet. Let me just be clear about something too.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: From an ethical standpoint don't you feel it's --

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm putting it on my disclosure. Of course. On my disclosure schedule there's an oath that you take. There's bylaws that $I$ read. I don't know if anyone else did. But $I$ can tell you before
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the first day, in fact when $I$ sat down with the county executive, I think he and the deputy executive were impressed, I probably knew more than anyone else knew in the whole hospital before I got the job. So I'm very aware of the bylaws and the disclosure obligations and of course they would be adhered to.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We will
speak to counsel but we would need you to disclose it. It's important to be disclosed. You're going to serve in a capacity where --

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm saying it
will be disclosed.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Then we're good.
MR. BRUDERMAN: By the way, I
just wanted to point one other thing out before we get into the questions that, again, I was advised to be very limited in scope because they're concerned that, again, people can only use what I say against me. Already they've said, you know, Matt said blah, blah, dot, dot, dot and then they put something
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else. But I wanted to come in here fearlessly. But I wanted everyone to know why. I didn't come in here to answer questions openly and truthfully, fully, knowing that people have a character assassination attempt here and they're telling me that they got a witch-hunt and they're going to punish me and they're going to twist everything around, which they've already done.

I wanted to take this opportunity to say this month is actually a very special month. Today I think is the first day of Ramadan. Passover begins soon. And I'm Christian and Easter is this month as well. So, what I wanted to come here and say is, in my religion and Jesus they say was tortured, carried his cross and then was hung on it. So, I want everybody to know here that I'm not here today as a guy that doesn't need to be here taking the abuse because I'm ignorant or stupid. I'm here because I look at this as carrying my cross.

So, whatever you do or you say or
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twist I don't care because Jesus carried his cross and I'm here to carry mine. All I want to spread, especially in light of this special month, is love. And so I'm going to tell you everything and I'm going to tell you the truth. And if people want to use it against me and harm me that's okay. I get to carry my own little tiny cross. But go ahead.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman, I have to tell you no one's here to harm you.

MR. BRUDERMAN: That's not what the papers say.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I didn't
know who you were before March 6th to be honest.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Nobody did.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: From that standpoint I'm taking no interest. Everything that we might have commented on is in response to something that you said.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Sure. No, but I'm just saying that $I$ was warned that if $I$ say too much they're going to take those words
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and clip them together and put really bad stuff out there. So I'm supposed to -- my advisors who are trying to protect me from myself want me to be very closed lipped. But I'm not because I feel like there are things that have to be said to protect the community that I care about and to protect that hospital.

So, I'm going to take the hits so that way hopefully people can have jobs and people are held accountable and that community is health care. So, I'm going to answer openly and freely because I don't want people to think that I'm hiding or not being clear. I'm not going to try to protect myself, which I should. I'm going to be selfless and I'm to answer fully. And just when everything's twisted up in the papers later $I$ just want everyone to know that cares that the truth is what we're saying here today and they know my heart.

But again, I'm just not going to listen to my advisors and be short winded and don't talk about race, religion or this or
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that and I'm going to answer fully. So go ahead.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I sure hope that you're going to answer fully and honestly. We appreciate that.

So, I do want to dive into a little bit of your -- so from what I understand from your bio, I never got an actual resume but I did get your bio in terms of your financial interests and your investment firm and it's been headed up and has been transcended down through family and generations and done a good job with that. But your comment in regards to the board -- at your financial firm do you build consensus? When you're looking to do investments do you build consensus?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Of course.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: If the consensus cannot be met how do you figure your way out through that?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I was going to
mow everybody down with my lawn mower.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You see
where I'm going with this. What I'm trying to
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say is, why would you not apply the same consensus building that build -- such a great firm. I saw in your bio it's been around since 1879?

MR. BRUDERMAN: That's right. And you know what? On my mom's side we came here before there was a country.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm going to cut you off. There's a proper decorum here.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm trying.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'll try not to cut you off. You try not to cut me off. We'll go well.

MR. BRUDERMAN: It's my first rodeo. No disrespect meant.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What I'm trying to understand is if something that is built quite frankly over a century and a half --

MR. BRUDERMAN: We don't have to talk all about my family. I think you can get to your point of getting a consensus is that what you want to know?
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LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're
talking Mr. Bruderman. I appreciate you not cutting me off.

That being said, if something is built over the last century and a half such a strong financial background and it was built off of consensus, as you just said, why would you say in your first days as being appointed to the board, why you would you say if you're not with me, you're on my other side, I'm going to mow you down? That doesn't seem like a comment that you would make coming from your background where consensus has worked for over a century and a half versus being there for three days.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm so glad you asked me. Is it my turn?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Sure,
Mr. Bruderman, it's your turn.
MR. BRUDERMAN: First of all,
what you're doing is quoting -- and unfortunately most people they only read the headlines -- did you listen to the entire both the open and the executive session?
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LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It wasn't provided to us.

MR. BRUDERMAN: So you don't have
that. I said a lot of great stuff in there. And guess what else I did? I met with the former chairman. And to show you how I entered into this, I met with the former chairman. Sent me an email. He actually said hey, I would like to meet with you and help create a smooth transition. Wow. Wonderful. So I met with him. I talked to him. I met with Dr. Boutin my first day. And five minutes after that meeting I'm in possession of text or emails, whatever, they were mixed up somehow with the press, I don't why he's talking with the press, but we're in possession of texts and emails doing the exact opposite.

So, if you want go ahead and you want to do your job, go get the information, listen to it and look at what the former chairman sent me something saying I want to create a smooth transition. That was the chairman. And then what he did is he went to
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frustrate it completely. Not just not help with the transition but he frustrated it completely.

So, then $I$ asked for a meeting with
him and we sat down. I said to him, I said look, I don't mess around. If you lie to me once you'll lie to me always. Everything that you've done now today is forgiven. There's more Democratic appointees here than me. And I'm supposedly a Republican which I'm not. I'm a fiscal conservative with a bleeding heart with my own time and money. So, I have a passion for this. I think the outcome should be the same because in my heart I'm a bleeding liberal.

So, all of those social agendas of the Democratic party $I$ am a vicious defender of. Because I'm not a politician. I don't have to play both sides. Nobody has to vote for me.

So, I sat down with him and said look, let's put it behind us. Let's work together. And as the general counsel of the hospital will tell you and Dr. Boutin will
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tell you because they were there. By the way, I also like to have meetings with other people in the room. Not only did I encourage him to work with me but I also asked him to be my vice chairman. I also told him that because I am a busy man with a beautiful, lovely family that I don't want to be here for five years. I told Bruce and Bruce will tell you too. Said yeah, I'll sign up for five years. But I'm out of here in less than one. Because I'm going to come in hot. I'm going to fix this place up. I'm not going to make any friends. I said maybe when they talk to him about it maybe I'll sit on the board but somebody else has to be chairman.

And again, one of the things on my agenda is actually fixing the board because that place will never thrive if you don't fix how it works.

So, I started with the chairman
privately. I did what I said. He lied. Period. There's no other way to say it. He lied. He went to completely frustrate not create a smooth transition.
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If you read the hearing, the original hearing before people riled me up because of my passion for the community, before they riled me up I said look, I'm going to be, and this is where things get manipulated, I said look, we can fight about my appointment but the fact is is that County Executive Blakeman said I'm chairman. And if it's not in this seat, which, by the way, I didn't care, I didn't even know but I think there was like some balance of what people thought were Republicans versus Democrats, I didn't care because I do get consensus one way or another.

So for me coming in $I$ said to the board, if people would actually listen to what I say, I'm sure, again, they're going to take snippets here and make it say that I said that like the planet's flat.

When we were there I opened up and said look, we can fight over what seat I'm in, but if the county executive said he's putting me in another seat anyway, which is why we're here today, he's good to his word, why are we
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going to waste our time? Let the courts rage. Let's just do that. But like let's get down to the -- why don't we put our efforts into the business of the hospital and not fight over this.

And then I had like barrages of lunatics, which I don't mind saying. Because even lunatics are either going to agree with what I propose or they're going to look foolish and divisive and destructive because I only propose things that are freaking smart, that are freaking good, that are right.

So, you can be a total jackass on the board and if you and say no, I'm voting against it I'm going to call you out and ask you why. And if your answer is because I don't like you, it's not going to hold water. So, yeah, so I get things done one way or another. And when I start off and ask for people's cooperation and care, I even said let's not make this about yourselves, your political career or why ever you're here. Put that aside for the people. Be selfless not selfish.
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You know what they did? Dove right into like you can't even vote. You can't be here. Blah, blah, blah. Like idiot children.

So yeah, if everybody by the way, because I am a good Christian and I forgive, if everybody wants to show up on Monday and say let's get down to fixing it I'll tell you like I told them. They can have all the credit and I'm out of here. The faster we fix it the faster you're rid of me. So yeah, I know how to get things done and I assure you I will.

If we want to talk about the hospital instead of this stuff, I'll tell you everything right now. By the way, keep in mind $I$ only went to the hospital once and I can talk to you about any part of that hospital. Why it's broken. And I have a plan to fix it sitting here today.

And, in fact, what no one wrote was that the hospital has -- actually I take it back. Newsday wrote, I quote Newsday, check it out, Newsday wrote that in 2020 the
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hospital had an operating deficit of $\$ 20$ million. You can put me under oath if you'd like. But in the very first day $I$ found 22 and a half million dollars roughly. It wasn't billed. It wasn't collected. And at my press conference that no one covered, the part where Bruce Blakeman, county executive, brought me a check for nearly $\$ 13$ million for a hospital that was -- had a $\$ 20$ million operating budget.

By the way, do you know the only reason why I can say 2020? Because when I walked in the door $I$ was shocked that a hospital system this big, their most recent financial information was from November of 2021. November. It's April. How do you operate a company like that? How do you operate a business like that? That's negligent. That's ridiculous.

So, yeah, it can be fixed. And again, I'd love to talk about those things. I can tell you where it was. This will let you know how I operate and how smart I am and I how I know what I'm doing. Frankly, it's
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easy. So easy to fix the hospital because you couldn't try harder to screw it up.

The first day $I$ walk in and $I$ say all right, give me financials. We don't have any. All right. Give me the budget. How do you have a budget with no financials? We don't have a budget either. I said can you bring the CFO in? We don't have a CFO. I'm like this is a joke. Are you kidding me? How big is this institution? What are my revenue streams? How do we make money? Tell me about like the ER. Procedures. We have this. We have that. We have a nursing home.

Oh yeah, we also have a contract with the prison system. It's my first day. Okay. So how much is this? How much is that? How much is this? Because they still had some finance people there that could answer some questions. They didn't have oversight by the way. Which is why there was an arrest last week from someone in the finance department. How do you have an arrest in the finance department? Because you have no checks and balances. No one's got a
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budget. No one knows where the money is or where it's supposed to be. So how easy is it to steal $\$ 120$ million. Embezzle $\$ 120$ million. Real easy. I have no boss. There's no CFO. They have no budget. So, sure, you can wire money out of here.

So, again, I see why people are really upset about me walking in the door. So, by the way, keep in mind too it's my first day. So, it goes like this it. We're not sure. There's a contract with the prison. I said can someone go find out? Hour later or whatever it was. Uh, uh, uh. What? By the time I peeled the onion what I found was is that -- and I got excuses like, you know -and by the way Curran couldn't have fixed this either. No one could until someone really came in and grab the reigns. But they tell me oh, like, Curran didn't sign something. She was going to renegotiate. Whatever it was. I'm like just give me the numbers.

And again, because they threw me out the next day, I never went back, in my recollection, which I will clarify and I'll
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finish my job when you let me back in, my recollection was on a rolling 12 months, in other words, not just in ' 21 but between the time they stopped paying in '21 until about today it was about 22 and a half million dollars. Which is why Bruce, probably Bruce and his guys were able to run the numbers and brought me a check at the press conference for $\$ 12.78$ million. Which is probably through the end of ' 21.

So, again, like what does that say? I walked in the door. I ask good questions. I got horrible answers.

I'll tell you some the other things
I asked. I said, you know, I'm coming in here as the new chairman. And a lot of hospitals survive based on contributions from the community. People that deem financial gifts. So, I wanted to know, coming in as chairman, when there's something like a hospital that has a philanthropic side to it it's common knowledge -- the common term is give, get or get off.

So, anyway, look, I came in. No
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one had donated any money for the last two years to the hospital. Not one board member. I could be wrong but that's what I could find. So not one board member gave one dollar in the last two years. So $I$ was trying to triangulate like how much should I be giving. If I'm going to be chairman I probably should make a donation.

I also started asking for things like their conflict of interest forms. Because coming in I did my homework. I read the bylaws and I read what was expected of me and what my duties and responsibilities are. Which made me think. Hey, everybody's talking about corruption. Let me pull their conflict of interest forms. The only couple that I got before I left had nothing written down. Which would be very interesting as we continue our work.

So, look, I kind of ran on there and everything but go ahead.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You said a lot Mr. Bruderman. I'm not too sure where you were going with everything.
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MR. BRUDERMAN: I was going with the hospital is a disaster and I'm showing you how much I learned and how good I am at fixing it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS:
Mr. Bruderman that wasn't my question. You've invoked a couple of times that you're a Christian. I am too. And the Christianity that I follow, I don't think I would say and stand by it if you want to get on the other side of me I'm going to mow you down. That doesn't sound like a very Christian remark. Now, normally, we generally don't bring up religion when we discuss government. But that being said, you brought it up.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Yes, sir.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It doesn't seem like a very Christian remark.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You started out this thing by saying you're not going to make it personal and you just did.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Hold on. I'm
happy to answer.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You can
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answer all right. But you said you're not going to make it personal --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It's not personal.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: -- the first thing -- oh, you're talking about his faith.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No. I'm bringing up in his faith that the Christianity that $I$ follow, I'm a Christian as well, and the Christianity that I follow --

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What does
that have to do with him running the hospital? If this is not personal.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding
Officer, he brought that up.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: There's no reason for you to talk about his faith. You said you weren't going to make it personal. Don't make it personal.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding Officer, I never brought up his faith. He chose to bring that up.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: He chose
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to bring it up. You don't have to ask questions about his faith or make points about his faith it up.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So, now I see the sideshow that's getting ready to begin here because we're trying to get to the fact --

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No. I'm going to keep you away from going personal.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No. We're trying to create a sideshow. Which I'm sure that we're trying to create this enamor that we don't want to focus on and Mr. Bruderman we're going to try to create an assassination of what I'm asking.

The bottom line is, if you don't want to talk about it don't bring it up. I was never going to bring up Christianity or religion or anything along those lines until Mr. Bruderman brought it up Mr. Presiding Officer. So if you want to restrict what I'm going to ask restrict what he's going to say. That's where it comes from.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I have a quick
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answer.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm not going to restrict. If it's personal, I did this while Republicans questioned Democratic witnesses, the previous administration. I'm going to do it here. If you get personal it's not going to happen.

MR. BRUDERMAN: All right, but
I'd like to answer the question anyway. There was a problem with the question I would like to tell you about it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You're going to tell me what's wrong with my question?

MR. BRUDERMAN: No. I want to address it. I want to answer it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I
understand. Go ahead.
MR. BRUDERMAN: Again, you're taking a quote out of a newspaper or somewhere else. You said you didn't read the entire transcript of listen to the whole thing.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you
didn't say it?

Full - 4-1-22
MR. BRUDERMAN: No, no. Can I
finish?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I let you
finish before when $I$ asked --
MR. BRUDERMAN: You asked me
about why would I say such a thing if I'm a Catholic or Christian.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I asked you a direct question before --

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm answering it.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Can I
finish? I asked you a very direct question before and you went on for five minutes explaining a whole bunch of other things, which we plan to ask you about in terms of what's wrong with the hospital. But you went into a whole bunch of other things. So --

MR. BRUDERMAN: I was trying to explain like getting a consensus and there's different ways that you get there.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay, but we need to understand -- so you're saying either you said this or didn't say it. And if you did say it, is this the best practice on a
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very diverse board of people that make up all different backgrounds and experiences and qualifications, is this the best way to get consensus? That's what I asked you. And then you gave me a whole long-winded answer about a whole bunch of other stuff. Which is your prerogative. You're here. You're giving your testimony. You're capable of doing that.

But that being said, that doesn't get us to where we want to go. The bottom line is where we want to go, we want a hospital that functions, that is good for our patients, that is good for our residents and good for our employees and good for our workers. That's what we want.

I want to get into asking you about the service lines at the hospital. I want to get into asking you about Medicaid part C. I want to ask you all those things in terms of how you --

MR. BRUDERMAN: I want to answer it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: -- and your understanding of that. But $I$ can't get to
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that if $I$ can't even get a straight answer out of you in regards to your mow down comment. MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm trying to
answer it. Can I answer it?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Are you
going to answer it directly?
MR. BRUDERMAN: Are you going to
answer it for me or can $I$ answer it?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No. I'm
asking you, Mr. Bruderman, without elaborating on a whole bunch of stuff that we didn't ask you, can you answer this question directly?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I promised myself
I would come with love today. If you need a hug I'll give you one and I love you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you Mr. Bruderman.

MR. BRUDERMAN: And I'll love you after no matter what you do to me.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I love the
residents of Nassau County.
MR. BRUDERMAN: You can kick me down and I'll still love you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I love the
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residents of Nassau County but thank you. But if you could answer my question I'd appreciate it.

MR. BRUDERMAN: If I may. What you're quoting, and it's not your fault, was a portion out of context. And yes, I had a poor choice of words because I'm a funny guy and I'm a little bit of a maverick. And it was a slang term. Again, the people frankly that were there were acting like such lunatics, that felt like $I$ had to step down to their level. Which my wife yelled at me for. But I lost my cool. The reason I lost my cool was because I love a community in particular. I love people and want to help people.

When you get to a place in life you can afford to just do good stuff. I don't know about other people but for me I don't go to bed at night saying hey, I got more money. I go to bed at night being like I made an impact. I made their lives better. I helped them. I get high on it. I love it.

So, I'm impassioned about the urban crisis. Not Black people or Hispanic people
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or White people or this person or whatever. It's people in need. Poor people. That didn't maybe have the opportunities that I had. So I want to give back. I want to help them. I want to uplift every person on the planet. I want to take away every person's suffering and $I$ do in my own way quietly.

In this case I want to answer your question. I used that language incorrectly because I stepped down to a level that I never thought I would be at.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you
misspoke?
MR. BRUDERMAN: No. But what I said was -- I used the wrong terms. But what I meant was is that $I$ would not relent and I would push aside and push through resistance for the punch line of that mow down was, I will mow anyone down that impedes the progress of fixing this hospital. I will mow down anyone that is not going to protect this community and leave these jobs and these people physically at risk. That's what $I$ was willing to mow people down for.
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By the way, I'll go get my lawn mower and run them over if you'd like. But I just meant it in a different way. It was for the people not for me. Again, Newsday wrote, you brought the topic up, Newsday wrote Matthew Bruderman mow down whatever. By the way, I've learned something. You can teach me a trick. Dot, dot, dot, I will be chairman. You think I need this freaking job? Come to my house. In fact, I invite you to. Because you know what? I want to fill you with my love and I want you to feel my love. I want to work with you. I want to work with everybody to fix this community. So yeah, I said $I$ will mow people down that got in the way of fixing the hospital. But it was a poor choice of words.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So we're
not here to chastise you over your comment. I just want to make sure $I$ understand your comment because your comment, in my opinion, is in conflict of your past practices of running a very successful financial firm. MR. BRUDERMAN: There's different

Full - 4-1-22
ways to do it. Sometimes with force. Sometimes we use force in business believe it or not.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But you
answered my question when I asked you earlier have you built consensus in building a financial firm --

MR. BRUDERMAN: I have, yes.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: -- that has
developed -- not a lot of things exist for 150 years that's not successful.

MR. BRUDERMAN: You know my great, great grandfather came here 150 years before it was a nation and my great grandfathers fought in the Revolutionary War. Fought in the Civil War for the north and my grandfather died serving in World War II. And I'm here, just like they served, I'm serving. So, keep it coming.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We thank
your forefathers for their service.
MR. BRUDERMAN: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What I said before is that consensus that has been built
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doesn't transcend to what your comments were. MR. BRUDERMAN: I disagree but okay.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You can
disagree but obviously even your comments just
now --
MR. BRUDERMAN: I fixed the
hospital the first day. Why are we still even talking about it?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Why are we
even here?
MR. BRUDERMAN: I don't know.
Give me the keys and I'll fix it.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Oh, you
will fix it.
MR. BRUDERMAN: No, no. It's
done. I found 22 and a half. But someone's got to shake down Blakeman for the other \$10 million.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How do you
plan to fix the --
MR. BRUDERMAN: I gave him a lot
of money. Did you see that in the papers?
He's gonna listen.
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LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I
understand what you're saying but there are structural problems which we will get into.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I do love you by
the way.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: There's structural deficit issues as well as there are one-shots which you may be looking at too as well.

MR. BRUDERMAN: No, no. I'll
talk about all of them. I'll tell you exactly how to fix it right now. Don't forget, I was there for a day. That's pretty damn good.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: One day, I got it. So, from that standpoint, I want to get into the next level of -- before we get even get into your ideas, this body, this legislative body actually we hold things in terms of character to a very high standard.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Me too.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Not saying
that we are coming to conclusions on your character based off of Newsday's comments, but we have to ask the very difficult questions in
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regards to your character, in regard to those comments. I can tell you your comment in regards to good racism I don't think I've ever seen that in my 20 years in office.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Me neither.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you
never said it?
MR. BRUDERMAN: I totally said
it.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm not too sure why you would say me neither.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I can't believe I said it and I didn't even know what the hell I was saying and I didn't realize how much of a situation it created. I'd love to explain it if you'd like.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yeah. What did you mean by good racism? I'm just curious. What does that mean to you.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Again, I'm not a good public speaker. I feel myself actually getting better as I do this. I'm not a good public speaker. It was a storm of, again, like I've never been in those situations. It
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was like, $I$ don't want to say it but $I$ will, a shit show. So, I was like grasping at words and trying to make a point.

What I meant by good racism was I
thought that racism meant making a decision or treating someone differently based on race. So, to me -- by the way, I Googled it, the current version. Racism is a negative connotation. And I didn't mean anything harmful or negative by saying that. Again, $I$ was like under fire in a disastrous situation. I'm trying, actually, to defend Dr. Boutin is how I stepped on that electrical wire. What I meant by good racism was, you can make decisions based on race for a good reason. I'll give you one.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman, you're trying to explain -LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let him finish.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Can I finish?
I'm explaining good racism, aren't I? I want to say how.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Your
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clarification is almost as offensive as the comment of racism.

MR. BRUDERMAN: So why don't you
let me finish? Again, no one wants to hear what I have to say. They just want the piece they want to shoot me with.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let's go down this path.

MR. BRUDERMAN: We can get the cross. I'll climb up on it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let's go down this path.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Let him --
Mr. Bruderman --
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let's go down this path.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Can I please answer?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Go ahead and answer.

MR. BRUDERMAN: To me, I used the wrong word. I didn't mean to say anything that was harmful or disrespectful or negative. What $I$ was trying to say is that

Full - 4-1-22
people can make decisions based on race for good reasons and obviously bad reasons. In my head, the bad reasons are discrimination and a lot of other terrible things.

But in a good sense that you might make a decision based on race is what like President Biden did in appointing Ms. Brown Jackson, the first black female justice.

And so what $I$ was trying to say is that there are reasons, which I would love to expand upon, reasons for making decisions based on race that can be good or bad. And so --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So are you
trying --
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Will you stop? Hold on a second.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Go ahead. I'll be fine.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman, are you trying to parallel --
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Your microphone is off. Your microphone is off.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Sorry.
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Presiding Officer, are you trying to parallel --

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Your
microphone is off.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Are you
turning it off?
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I am.
Here's how this is going to work.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, no.
You're not going to stand up to those
comments? He's going to create a parallel to Judge Jackson and the appointment of the president to good racism?

MR. BRUDERMAN: No, no.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Wait Mr.
Bruderman.
You have two sets of standards. Did you notice earlier when you started to interrupt one of his questions how affronted he got? Oh, my goodness. You can't interrupt me. I'm the minority leader. I'm Kevan Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I didn't say anything to that magnitude.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Now if you say something and you're explaining your answer and he won't let you finish.

MR. BRUDERMAN: He only wants only the part that sounds racist.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Kevan, I have the chair. This is not how this is going to work. You're going to ask him a question. He's going to finish.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding Officer, you're trying to tell me that there's anything that anyone can say from that floor we're going to accept and allow him to finish? Especially when they say something as offensive.

> LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We're going to let him finish.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Oh my God.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Every
other person that comes before this body --
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Oh, so you can pretty much say anything disparaging about anyone?

MR. BRUDERMAN: There's nothing
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disparaging. You're making an assumption here.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Now we're going to do that.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Hold on. Can I finish?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have
heard -- Mr. Bruderman -- we have heard some pretty noxious stuff coming from that podium about us and they never said a word.

MR. BRUDERMAN: No but I have to
answer. Hold on. Gentlemen, gentlemen.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Now
they're up on their high horses. My goodness. MR. BRUDERMAN: We need love. We need love. We need love.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I've
heard --
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: This is
how this is going to work.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS:
Mr. Presiding Officer you're wrong.
MR. BRUDERMAN: You guys are nuts.

Full - 4-1-22
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And I'm
looking at my colleague Legislator Howard Kopel. Someone made a very offensive comment. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: One time. Oh my goodness. How may times --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: When have you done it? You haven't done it once.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I've done it multiple times during the Curran administration.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You haven't done it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here's the story. The bottom line is $I$ am the chair. I have the floor. You don't have the floor. This is how this works. You ask questions. He answers the questions. He gets his full and fair opportunity to answer. You can ask your next question. You're not going to interrupt him. It doesn't work that way here. Go ahead. Continue with your questions.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're not going to accept --
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: If you
interrupt him --
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're not
going to accept that you're going to try to dictate to us what we should hear and what's allowed here.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That's the way democracy works my friend.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No.
Democracy allows -- it does not allow to be dictated to you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: This is
how democracy works.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You are
dictating to us what we should deemed as offensive.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: When the majority of the legislature --

MR. BRUDERMAN: So let me
continue to explain myself.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: When the majority of the legislature chooses a chair, all democracy, the chair has the right to dictate the calm in the chambers.
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LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And I have a right to basically --

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You have a right to ask questions. You don't have a right to interrupt witnesses or I will stop you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding
Officer, we all have the right --
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You don't
have a right to interrupt witnesses.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding
officer, we all have the right when this
legislature is disrespected by saying something as offensive as what he just said --

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: He did not disrespect the legislature. It's ridiculous.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes, he has.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Mr. Abrahams, put aside -- listen, go with love. Put aside your own agenda Mr. Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The
parallel to make between --
MR. BRUDERMAN: Let me finish.
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Let me finish.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: No, no, no. Wait. Before you finish, Mr. Bruderman, here's how it works. Legislators are going to ask you questions. You have a right to finish what you're saying.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I very much
appreciate that.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: When they interrupt you I'm going to stop them. Could be them. It could be our side. It doesn't matter.

MR. BRUDERMAN: That's your role.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Let's be clear from the outset. You're going to interrupt me because you're trying to push through your candidate of choice.

MR. BRUDERMAN: No. He wants me to finish what you asked me.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're not going to do anything that's going to disparage Mr. Bruderman.

MR. BRUDERMAN: You're trying to
make me look like a racist. Can I tell you
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why I even went there? You don't care that I love everybody. You don't want me to explain why I said it?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You're
trying to create an atmosphere for the person that you want to vote for by --

MR. BRUDERMAN: I was protecting
Dr. Boutin. Do you want to know what I doing. Do you know why I went there?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman, let me say this. The bottom line is very clear.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Whatever you want to say is clear.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: There is no universe, no universe, where good racism is seen as a positive thing.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I got it. Done. I agree. I got it. What else? I agree. You are right.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You got it?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I started to saying I Googled the definition after and I
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realized I was a jackass for saying it.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman --
MR. BRUDERMAN: I didn't know
what it meant.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman, that's not --
MR. BRUDERMAN: I Googled it.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman, I understand --
MR. BRUDERMAN: I told you what I
was thinking not my words. Judge me on my actions not my words.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman, we're glad that you have that epiphany now. But the bottom line is you want to run a hospital that serves in a very diverse county and you didn't even know the proper definition of racism. How can you serve in that capacity?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Can I explain
myself and finish my answer?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No sir.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Wait,
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wait, wait. No, no, no. There you go.
MR. BRUDERMAN: You're trying to paint me being a racist. What is this?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I just struck a nerve.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You don't want him to explain.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How can he serve in the capacity as chairman of the hospital?

LEGISLATOR PILIP: Can I say
something?
MR. BRUDERMAN: I said I thought racism meant making a decision about someone.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We're taking a break.

MR. BRUDERMAN: No, no. I want to read something from Dr. Martin Luther King Mr. Abrahams. Can I read something that Dr. Martin Luther King said?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Don't even. Mr. Bruderman, you want to be able to serve in the capacity of --

MR. BRUDERMAN: You just want to

Full - 4-1-22
call me a racist. Get the cross out. Go ahead. Ask Ricky. Why don't we bring up Ricky? Don't ask me about me. Why don't you ask Ricky and John about me.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman -- why don't you let me finish? LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.

Bruderman --
MR. BRUDERMAN: Why are you
torturing me like this and making me sound like a racist?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman, no one's saying that --
MR. BRUDERMAN: I said I'm
bringing love. You're bringing darts. Shoot me. Shoot me. I'll take all the darts for the community.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.
That's your playbook. Play to audience.
MR. BRUDERMAN: I love the
community. What have you done for the African-American community yourself?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
Bruderman --
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MR. BRUDERMAN: Huh? What have you done?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yeah.
Okay.
MR. BRUDERMAN: Yes. Stop it right now. It's wrong. You're being divisive. You're creating racism and you're wrong. And I don't care if you're African-American. You're doing the wrong thing. I'm bringing love. I want to uplift that community and you want to push me out.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm calling a recess.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I have a lot of power and goodness to bring and you're not chasing me away. I am not a racist and I want to read what Dr. Martin Luther King said.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I have a problem with the fact that you're muting my micro but you're not muting his.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I can't.
MR. BRUDERMAN: Dr. Martin Luther King said --

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO:
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```
Mr. Bruderman, I'm calling a recess.
    MR. BRUDERMAN: I have a dream --
    LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr.
    Bruderman, I'm calling a recess.
    MR. BRUDERMAN: -- that my four
    little children.
    LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr.
    Bruderman --
    MR. BRUDERMAN: -- will one day
    live in a nation where they will not be judged
    by the color of their skin.
    LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr.
    Bruderman --
    MR. BRUDERMAN: -- but by the
    content of their character.
    LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr.
    Bruderman.
    LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr.
    Bruderman, we're not going to allow you to
    invoke Martin Luther King.
    MR. BRUDERMAN: Really? Why?
    Because I'm white?
    LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We're
    calling a recess.
```
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LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We're not going to let you get away with something that you said good racism is like saying good cancer. The good tumor. You can't get away with it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I remember
way back when we started we weren't going to make this personal.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: There's
good cancer now? Really.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Folks, you're not on the record. We are going into recess.
(Meeting was recessed at 11:28

```
a.m.)
```

(Meeting reconvened at 11:48 a.m.)
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We are back in session. Minority Leader you have the floor.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding Officer, I'm going to allow for my colleagues to ask questions of Mr. Bruderman and I'm going to reserve my comments and questions for a later point in time.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Who from the Minority wants to go? Right down the aisle.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Good afternoon.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Hi.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We
have a few mutual associates let's put it that way. I want to start off by, first of all, acknowledging that I'm happy that half of the paid employees of Nassau County have returned to do their jobs. There were people here from Parks, from TPVA and you said you are a financial conservative, I say I'm a financial conservative. The tens of thousands of dollars that were wasted here in that one hour is just the wrong precedent.

If you would join with me in asking anyone else that's on the payroll -- we understand your support, he's going to get appointed -- I would really love for you to go back to work. It would just make me feel better as a fiduciary responsibility of this county, and I do have the right to say that, I
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really think it's time. We got your point. I'm glad that you support him. We got it. But I would love for the doctors and nurses especially to go return to our patients. Can you back me up on that?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Yeah. I mean, again, I'm actually not chairman right now so I can't even tell them what to do.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But as a person, I think as a fiduciary -conservative financial --

MR. BRUDERMAN: Fiscally
responsible person.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Fiscally responsible. Which I really believe I am. I would really appreciate anyone who has not clocked out. We understand you have your support but we would really appreciate going back and serve the community.

MR. BRUDERMAN: By the way, to answer your question, to me, as a financial advisor, $I$ think going back to the hospital that's paying them would be a good idea. But personally they may want to stay here to help
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ensure that they keep their jobs. I'm not telling them what to do.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
think that their point is made. We have doctors and nurses. We had -- actually a lot of them left, which I'm very grateful for.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I think that's good. I think if they need to get back to work they should. Can we talk about the hospital?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Let me just say a couple of things.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Sure. Please.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Again, I know of you. I respect the business mind, I do. I happen to have a lot of respect for the business world. I think a lot of us were in the private sector before we came here and there are certain things that the private sector does that we try to bring into the government. But government's a little different because when you are going to run a big department such as the hospital you're acting on behalf of the county. Okay? We're
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liable for what you say and do. And I get your personality. I get what maybe you might consider humor in your mind.

But in this world we spend so much money on sensitivity training in this county. We just went through two years of real changes for the better in so many ways to be sensitive to everyone's feelings. Even calling people, in my opinion, less than professional names that are on the board. It just sets a tone that I'm afraid not only is it the wrong tone socially, and I don't want to get in religion, but morally correct. Financially we could be responsible for your miss, you know, mistakes in choosing the words, in choosing the name calling, in choosing the tactics. Which might be acceptable, which probably shouldn't be in my opinion, on Wall Street. But when you're working for a public sector, especially the hospital, you could be sued and guess who's going to pay for it?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'll be much more careful about the choice of words now. I learned a valuable lesson today.
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LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Look, you're going to be appointed. These guys are going to vote for you. It's a done deal. What we want to do is just what you just said. You're going to represent a county that we love. I used to work in the private sector. We all made more money in the private sector than we do here. It's a love for this county. It keeps us going. It puts us in this position.

So, I want you to -- the fact that
you just said that is a big movement in my mind and I appreciate it. I think there might be a little bit more room for improvement there but it's a step in the right direction. Again, it's not just you now. It's not just you now with a whole bunch of money --

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm learning
that. I'm learning that.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It's
all great. You were lucky. You picked your parents well. But you are dealing with everyone in our community and you need to respect everyone.
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MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm going to be much more careful about my choice of words. I learned a valuable lesson stepping into this environment.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
want to tell you one thing. I'm not bringing up religion in a bad way but pride is a tough thing. I know you're very proud of the accomplishments you've had. And I'm sure monetarily and everything else sounds like you love -- I respect the fact that you have a wonderful family and you're proud. But pride is always the one thing that we got to keep in check sometimes because pride can cause you to say things that you think you're entitled to say not thinking the person that is receiving them and it could be the wrong way. So, again, I'm happy to hear you say that. I know you're going to be appointed. We want the hospital to be saved yes. Financially, yes. We approved the \$12 million. And I'll tell you something, Laura Curran lost the election in the November. So the fact that there hasn't been any payments
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LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Three months.
MR. BRUDERMAN: It's more.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Since November.
MR. BRUDERMAN: No, it's not
since November. They don't have financials
since November. So they have no idea what they're operating because they don't have any financial results.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Let's be clear. It's because there's a change of administration. It does take a few months. MR. BRUDERMAN: No. I'm talking like, I mean, $I$ don't have it in front of me, but from what $I$ understand it's like nine months of 2021.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I can't see that being possible. MR. BRUDERMAN: Certainly six. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We

> Regal Reporting Service $516-747-7353$
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also approved the jail contract. You're a separate entity but we keep an eye. We keep a close eye.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Somebody didn't look too closely.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We know about the jail contract. Other people are going to address the other avenues of revenue. But again, when you're criticizing not have a report for four months and there's a new administration for three of those four months it's really not fair to the new administration. That's my only point.

I do want you to take the good of the private sector and use it here. The good is transparency and the good is keeping an eye on every dollar.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Amen.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But
being sensitive to the fact that you screw up we have to pay. And as a legislator you're making me nervous because I can see dollar signs. I've seen it before. MR. BRUDERMAN: I will make the
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hospital a lot of money and I will be very fiscally responsible and $I$ have a passion for the community that we're talking about. I'll be much, much more careful. Again, I'm untrained. I don't analyze -- I'm going to be much more careful about how I choose my words. But I can tell you that my heart is good and that $I$ really love people, everyone, and I'm trying to do the right thing.

Again, I've asked everybody judge me on my actions and not my words. I'm working on my words. I've learned a valuable lesson of how bad words can distract from good things. In trying to get some good things done I'm going to be super careful. And you'll see.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
grew up in a political family. My father was the last republican mayor in Glen Cove. I was told as a kid you have less rights than anyone else. Because whatever you say is going to represent the government and the family. And you know what? I tell my kids the same thing. You have less rights because I'm
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connected with the government. My father's connected with the government.

Even the position you're getting you think, yeah, I'm giving a great gift to Nassau County. We're giving you a huge gift if we give this to you because we're giving you our trust in a very important thing. Just respect that and represent it well. Because one or two of those comments that you make could cost a lot more money than the money you're going to save. It could. Lawsuits are crazy now. Believe me, one payout could wipe out all the good you're trying to do. So don't let that happen.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I will be very, very careful.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Bynoe.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you
Presiding Officer. Mr. Bruderman, I got to tell you I'm exhausted and I'm saddened. MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm sorry.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm saddened by the antics that $I$ witnessed here in this
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chamber this morning. We are sitting here talking about the lifeblood of this county and an institution that serves this county, the greater region $I$ would say because even folks from Suffolk come here to Nassau for care at NUMC. One of the best trauma hospitals in the area.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Yes ma'am.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm saddened this morning that we'd be sitting here and what I saw here this morning and what I witnessed and heard on that tape, on the digital copy of that public session of the meeting, is troubling to me that you would even be here this morning. You may have done wonderful work in the places you have served, but $I$ can't imagine that this morning we'd be here, after what we all witnessed in that space in that hospital, when you were in that board meeting. It lack, to me, it lacked even the concept of having a work space where there was an environment set for business to take place.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I agree.
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LEGISLATOR BYNOE: It was a place where there was lack of control, decorum. It was a space where workplace violence -- you would have failed miserably in that space.

Listen, we're all human and we all have erred in our time. But I'm going to go further then because not only did I think it was not the right leadership image that we as a body should be voting on today in that moment, I think what you did when they had the press conference and you came out and you were so angry at the press because you alleged that they twisted your words that you couldn't handle yourself in a way that allowed you to be representative --I'm speaking -- to be representative of somebody who would be in leadership of the hospital. You turned your back on the press.

I argue that morning you turned your back on the good people of Nassau County because you were angry because you, and you admit it today, you used the words good racism and bad racism. And I'm going to tell you, I don't think you could ever, it's clear to me,
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clear that up for me in a way that $I$ can reconcile to what you said.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Sorry about that.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: The fact that you now come here in this space and say you looked up the word racism. You had to look it up.

MR. BRUDERMAN: They change.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: No. Racism
doesn't change. May change forms.
MR. BRUDERMAN: Definition.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: No. I'm
speaking. It may change forms but racism doesn't change. It's hate at its core. It doesn't change.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Which I'm against.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm speaking. I'm going to ask you to stop speaking over me. MR. BRUDERMAN: Sorry.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Because the same rules apparently don't apply when I'm asking. The antics that you have displayed in the short span of time leaves me with no doubt
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that you're not the right man for this time. Not only because I'm concerned about your professionalism but because I'm concerned about where we are as a country. The divisiveness in this country. And the mere fact that your appointment would create such divisiveness that they would think in good conscious that they would send down employees of this county to heckle this body as we speak, as we question you, as we vet you is concerning to me. I think you are not the man for this time. Okay? I don't think you're the man for this institution nor for this time.

You, Mr. Bruderman, I don't doubt you speak of love and you seem to be humorous. But this is not a time for humor. This wasn't a time to bait the rest of your supporters to make this a sideshow. This was an opportunity to see if maybe it was a lapse of just judgement when you made those comments. And I'm going to say right here definitively it was not a lapse of judgement. It's deep-seated. Because even in this space
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you couldn't hold yourself together.
I don't know how in good conscious we could consider you. In my eyes, you have wasted your time coming here today because you didn't come here with the serious work and with a serious nature of doing the good work and unraveling all of what has occurred from the time you stepped foot in that hospital that one day. That one day has led us here in a space that I'm saddened to be in.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Solages.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you
very much Presiding Officer. Good afternoon sir. How are you doing today?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Good afternoon.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Continuing
the line of questioning from my colleague, I'm going to ask you a series of questions. I'm going to ask you to answer just yes or no.

Keep this focused. Okay? Yes or no. Is there such a thing as good racism?

MR. BRUDERMAN: No.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Wait,
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wait, wait. This is not an interrogation. LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'm asking him questions. I'm entitled to ask him questions. You're not a judge yet. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Hold on. Hold on. Hold on.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Do not interrupt please. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: He's allowed to answer in as many as words as he wants. You do not have to say yes or no. This is not a courtroom. You're not being interrogated. Go ahead Mr. Solages.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Excuse me. I'm a lawyer. I can't help it. Who said that?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Honestly, could we have some love here and talk about the hospital?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: One second. Please. Who said it? You're not man enough to say it? You're a coward. Please. I was interrupted. Let me continue. Thank you very much.
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Is there such a thing as good racism, yes or no sir?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I don't think I should be sitting here and we should be talking about divisive things like racism. I think if you want to come and meet me --

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Is there such a thing sir --

MR. BRUDERMAN: Why don't you
come have a coffee with me?
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Is there such a thing as good anti-Semitism? Yes or no.

MR. BRUDERMAN: This is like some guys are putting me on the cross.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: So there is a good racism but there isn't good anti-Semitism?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Do you want to hear what's in my heart? What's in my heart? Do you want to hear what $I$ think about racism?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'm asking a simple question.
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MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm not going to answer any questions about racism. I'm not a racist. I love everyone.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'm asking you a question.

MR. BRUDERMAN: And I invite everyone that wants to talk about racism to meet me for coffee and spend some time. But this is just divisive.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Xenophobia.
MR. BRUDERMAN: This is very divisive.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'm asking you simple questions, right? You said before that you learned to ask questions. So, I'm asking you a question. Yes or no, is there such a thing as good racism.

MR. BRUDERMAN: This is shameful.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'm asking you a question. You're placing your own judgement.

MR. BRUDERMAN: We should do the work of the hospital.

Full - 4-1-22
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Is there such a thing as good racism?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I didn't come here to get questioned about racism.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: You said no before. Why don't you just repeat your answer?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I came here to
talk about my qualifications --
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Yes or no sir.

MR. BRUDERMAN: -- and the
hospital.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: He's not answering the question.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm not answering questions about racism.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: He's
allowed to answer the questions.
MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm not answering
racism questions. I'm not a racist and I'm not going to have you paint me one.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Answer the question then.
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MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm not answering
it.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Okay.
Before you could but now you won't.
MR. BRUDERMAN: Is your name
Corry? Is that spelled --
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Are you
mispronouncing the cultural heritage of my
name by mispronouncing my name?
MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm asking you
how to pronounce your name.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Carrie
Solages. I know you're educated enough to say the accent on the E. Carrie Solages. Please say it.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Carrie?
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Yes. Carrie Solages.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm being
respectful in asking you how to pronounce your name.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: No, you're not. You mispronounced my name. That's like calling me boy.
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MR. BRUDERMAN: Oh my God. This
is so wrong.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Come on
Carrie.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Answer the question.

MR. BRUDERMAN: My opening statement was I knew I would be hung here. People would want to put me on the cross.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: If you cannot pronounce that then how could you be --

MR. BRUDERMAN: Here I carry my cross and I'm doing it for the community. I'm carrying my cross for the community. You're not chasing me away. If I'm not in the hospital I am going to uplift Hempstead. I am coming into Hempstead and I am uplifting that community not just the hospital. I am going to bring in jobs and help people and you can't stop my shine.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'm going to ask simple questions.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Go ahead. Think about yourself. Not yourself for a second.
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Think about your community. Think about our community.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'm asking simple questions.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Think about
what's good for the community and love. Stop spreading more hate. Stop being racist. Stop it.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Stop accusing me. Just stop accusing me.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Talk about the hospital. You should love your fellow man.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: You're not here to be the DA. You're here to be the head of the medical center. I'm asking you simple questions.

MR. BRUDERMAN: No. I'm not going to allow people to do things based on race and throw this all around. Me or anyone else. I'm here to serve a community.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Not here to cross-examine him. He doesn't have to answer yes or no.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you.
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Stick to real estate.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL:
Mr. Bruderman --
MR. BRUDERMAN: This is
embarrassing for this whole court or whatever you are. I'm here to fix a hospital.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: What is a service line.

MR. BRUDERMAN: You guys should
be ashamed of yourselves.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: No. You
should be ashamed of yourself. Don't you dare say that to me.

MR. BRUDERMAN: By the way, when people find out who $I$ am as a man and what $I$ do for the poor community and people in need you're going to embarrass yourself. You're going to ruin your own political career.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Mr.
Bruderman --
MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm a loving man
here trying to help.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Do you know the service lines of the medical center? I'm
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asking you a question pertinent to your job. MR. BRUDERMAN: Ask me a question.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: What are the multiple service lines of the medical center? MR. BRUDERMAN: Do you mean like modalities such as like physical therapy, orthopaedics?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Yes. What are the multiple service lines?

MR. BRUDERMAN: By the way, I was in my seat for one day and again, of course, I know.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: You're there
for a time and --
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: This is
funny.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: What are the service lines for the medical center sir?

MR. BRUDERMAN: The hospital has
the number one, it's a level one trauma center. In fact, I don't know if everyone knows that, but as far as $I$ knew if the president of the United States was shot --
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LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: It's my understanding that's not a service line.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Why don't you let him finish?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: All right.
But he's saying incorrect information.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: If he's correct, incorrect he's allowed to.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I asked you, are you talking about modalities?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: What are the service lines.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Do you know what a modality is?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: What are the revenue service lines? For example, when my children were born we went to the Cohen's Children's Medical Center because they're known for having provided the best services. What are the service lines for this medical center?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I can tell you what $I$ know. So coming in $I$ know that there is the best trauma center on Long Island.
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It's a wonderful trauma center that I'm told if the president was shot he would go there. We have operating rooms --

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: How about for children?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm sorry?
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: How about for children?

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Just let
him finish.
MR. BRUDERMAN: What are you
asking me? Yes, they treat children, yes.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: When
children experience trauma are they sent to the medical center or do they go to the other hospital?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I don't know that yet. That's why $I$ have Dr. Boutin. Dr. Boutin's a brilliant physician. He's my guy. Dr. Boutin probably has every answer. I'm not there yet. I'm not in medicine.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: He's my guy too, all right.

```
    MR. BRUDERMAN: All right good.
```
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LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Now tell me, you said that we fixed already and that you identified $\$ 22$ million in accounts receivable. MR. BRUDERMAN: No, they weren't accounts receivables. Nobody even billed.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: How would you address that problem sir?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I would bill and I would collect.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: How would you create oversight to do that sir?

MR. BRUDERMAN: The first thing you do in any organization of any size bigger than a deli would be to hire a chief financial officer, which the hospital doesn't have. I'd run a search to find the best candidates in the country, and $I$ would bring them in and have them build out a financial team that has simple checks and balances or complicated checks and balances and oversight. Which would have easily prevented, and I can tell you myself, the person that was just arrested for embezzlement would never have existed in any organization that $I$ have and probably 99
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percent of the organizations in the country because there are clearly checks and balances where you have one person prepares something and the other person does the checks and balances. So that way it takes two or three people to do a crime. Which is much harder to do. So I would prepare the wire for the person leaving. Then the other person would check the paperwork. And then another person would actually initiate the wire with all the signatures on it. So clearly, and I haven't dug in there because again $I$ was there for one day, if you had a CFO and you had good oversight that never would have happened. It would have been very difficult for that to have happened.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you
for answering the question sir. Tell me, if in the position you do discover and find examples of waste and fraud and perhaps criminality would you refer that to the inspector general or the district attorney office? What would you do?
MR. BRUDERMAN: Again, in
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government, I'm not sure exactly what that -I would think we would have an obligation to report it to the general counsel of the hospital. Who then in turn would probably bring it to the district attorney. But I'm not exactly sure. I really haven't had anybody arrested yet.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: In this body when we learned of examples of waste and fraud we, in fact, and I thank the minority leader, led a charge for the creation of the inspector general. And I see that there are some members of that department are here and I'm asking that if you do discover that that you please use the resources of that office. Because that office was very successful in helping to find waste and fraud that led to federal prosecutions. So, I'm asking to please use the resources at your disposal. MR. BRUDERMAN: I absolutely will. And I can tell you that I am, based on everything I heard, looking very carefully at fraud. One of my initiatives in my own notes of what I intend to do is I'll also go out and
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source every single contract in the hospital and make sure, again, through my resources, which are pretty vast and relationships, I would go out to a lot of different institutions. I would get multiple bids. As many as I could. Make sure that they were the cheapest.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Lowest bid; is that correct?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Of course.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Not the politically connected bid; is that correct?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm not
politically connected. No. I can assure you and look I'd love to have you come in there. I want to fix this place. Everything that I do will be public. And I am going to rip through. I already have -- the first day I went home with the food service contracts. I'm going to look through every penny there. You know why? This is where I benefit because I get to show off. I get to show that in less than a year I'm going to fix it. So, why don't you guys let me have like nine months
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and see where I'm at. If you like it, pat me on the back. If you don't, put the next clown in who's ran this thing for the last 40 years.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Again, I appreciate these statements and it goes back to what my colleague from Glen Cove said. We have to be very careful with name calling here because, unlike business, here in government we hold ourselves to a standard and we must be held accountable. If you're in an official capacity sir and you make such statements the county could be sued. And we all know from our previous executive sessions we can't afford to be sued anymore. We can't. So you're --

MR. BRUDERMAN: I didn't say that about a person.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: With all due respect, your reckless tongue will get us in problems. In trouble.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm going to work hard at it. I'm not doing this too long. I'm working.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: There is a
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level of decorum that $I$, as a legislator, and colleagues in government engage in and we have to carry that decorum. Yes, as a nation we all have seen the decorum go out the window. And I'm not going to blame anyone in particular, Trump, but we have to carry ourselves with a level of decorum. I went to Georgetown University School of Foreign Service and there is a level of decorum that you must not --

MR. BRUDERMAN: My dad went to Georgetown too. They would never let me in. LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: So, can you
please forward it to us, a copy of your resume?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Sure.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'd
appreciate that. I'm not going to stick on the whole good racism comment but let's go back to the comment of mow down. As a Christian, fellow Christian, we have to be careful in certain language. What did you mean by mow down? I would be very fearful to work with someone who said hey, I'm going to
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mow you down. So what did you mean by that sir?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I wanted to say I was going to get my lawn mower but.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: That's even more frightening sir.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm kidding.
What I meant was getting that when $I$ was talking about getting the hospital into a fiscally sound situation $I$ was talking about the community at large and my intention and efforts to effect change, real change. And that if people got in the way of that and impeded that, kind of like they impeded my coming on to the board, that $I$ was going to push and assert an agenda that's responsible.

And that, for example, what I meant specifically is if there were 15 board members and we have an outstanding solution, like we got a food service company that cut our food service bill in half, and because people are so divisive and politically driven or whatever crazy things are going on, they might vote all against cutting the food in half and maybe I
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stumbled across somebody's pal that had the contract.

What I was trying to say was, hey, if I'm bringing good, logical things here and this seems to be I'll use the word dysfunctional, again, dysfunction can be from neglect or negligence or bad behavior and people can be dysfunctional intentionally for nefarious reasons.

So I was just saying, look, I'm in here and I'm going to get this job done. And again, my opening statements to the board were I want to work with all of you and we'll all get the credit. But, and again, yes, in business and in life sometimes you have to be aggressive.

Just like this whole room
degraded. It degraded in here to a place that none of us should be. Just like you say the decorum but we had none in this room. The same thing happened. I had people on Zoom yelling at each other. Screaming. I actually don't live in that. I live in an environment where people are allowed to speak and things
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get done. And like I've offered many times when people are talking to me about racism or everything else, $I$ get it out of that situation. Say, hey, if you really want to know what's going on sit down with me. Let's talk about it. That is how you fix things.

So, the mow down thing was, I was going to fight for the community and fight for the employees and fight to fix it and if people were going to be divisive, difficult or just get in the way I wasn't going to tolerate it.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Understood sir. But do you understand how the comment mow down could be led to the connotation that you're going to impose physical violence on someone or you're going to do an unlawful firing. Which all could lead us once again, as fiduciaries of Nassau County, in a very difficult financial situation. But yet you defined yourself as a fiscal conservative. So do you understand how your behavior and your self-defined fiscal conservative mind set is actually in conflict? Do you understand that
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sir?
MR. BRUDERMAN: You know what I understand? All people have weaknesses and strengths. I've been made aware through this process. I always say too that there is always a silver lining. God works in mysterious ways. God put me here for a reason. And some of my biggest faults and problems, and some of the biggest mistakes that I've made I've learned the most from. So maybe part of the reason $I$ was put here was so I'm more careful about what I say and the choosing of words. Because you know what? I do kind of live in my own ecosystem and I am outside of that and it's different.

So, I think I have to learn, which I've already learned. Again, I got to change bad habits. But I think my choice of words and the way that $I$ acted wasn't always appropriate and I'm going to be much more careful. And I think that's one of my weaknesses. I get excited for people and I get excited to do good and excited to help. And I need to make sure I'm careful about
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keeping that excitement in check and being careful about the words I choose.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Understood.
Thank you. I'm all for second chances as well. You can ask commissioner Capece, who is right behind you. I voted for his appointment. I believe in second chances as well. So, I respect that.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Thank you.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: But please, I'm not done yet counselor.

Secondly, my colleague from Glen Cove also made a good statement about sensitivity training. Would you consider the opportunity of having some sensitivity training?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I would do it myself. Heck yeah. I'll even pay for it. But honestly, if you have resource for that $I$ would love to have sensitivity training. I'm obviously like a little weak there.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: In addition,
I would appreciate that there are many workers. I'm Haitian-American and one of the
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first fields that immigrants from Haiti work their way up to rise up the ladder in America was the medical field. Many Haitian-American doctors like Mr. Boutin --

MR. BRUDERMAN: Is your name French origin.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: One second sir. Please.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Sorry.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Many
professionals in the Haitian-American community are in the medical field. Like Mr. Boutin.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: So, it is
very important --
MR. BRUDERMAN: Dr. Boutin.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I'm not asking you to learn French but it's very important to learn French names. If you had called Mr. Anthony Button instead of Boutin that would be a problem, right?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I wasn't sure how to pronounce his name either. I am very aware of the Haitian community and the French
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origins.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: But then again you mispronounced my name.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I didn't know you were Haitian. But again, $I$ was just trying to --

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: If you know many people in the Haitian-American you would have known at least my name could be of French background with the accent on it.

MR. BRUDERMAN: There's no accent.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: On there I understand that. But if you haven't done your research sir, I am Haitian-American and there are many Haitian-Americans in Nassau County. I'm asking you if you're walking through the hallways of the medical center just consider that they could be Haitian-American and to not mispronounce their name. Is that fair?

MR. BRUDERMAN: By the way, I didn't want to mispronounce your name by accident which people do to me all the time. So I wanted to be super polite and ask you how
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to pronounce your name rather than butcher it. People call me Bruderman.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: You did butcher it and mow down it initially so I'm asking you to just ask questions.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Butcher what? I'm sorry?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: You
butchered my name before.
MR. BRUDERMAN: I asked you how to say it.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: No. You
said your name is Corry?
MR. BRUDERMAN: Well, I asked. I
asked you. Anyway, look, I apologize.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I forgive you. Furthermore, you mentioned that you fixed it already. Again, that sounds nice but what does it really mean? What specific policy recommendations did you have in black and white in writing rather than just spout off something that sounds nice?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I can read you my notes if you'd like.
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LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Please do sir. Because I'm very concerned about the medical center. I need to see a plan. I don't want to see the antics. I need to see a plan sir.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'd rather be living my beautiful, wonderful life with my beautiful, wonderful family.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Sir --
MR. BRUDERMAN: And I want to be successful.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I want to make a lot of money as well. So I don't need all this stuff as well.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm working for
free.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I understand that sir but I'm asking you sir what is your plan?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm just telling
you I want to be successful.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: What is your
plan?
MR. BRUDERMAN: You made a
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comment.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: And then you started talking about your beautiful life and your wake boarding.

MR. BRUDERMAN: No. You said you wanted to be successful and I said I do too because $I$ don't want to come here in vain. I want to be successful. I'll read you some of the points. Please, take into account that $I$ was there for one day. But already in one day, and this is not a fait accompli, right, French, this is not set in stone. This is my honest and transparent and potentially not right because I haven't been there long enough to fully be sure, certain, but to answer your question in one day what did $I$ learn and what do I think I would do. Again, unqualified. I have to qualify it with data which is what $I$ do. With black and white. With research. I have to talk to people. Get more numbers, more information, and evaluate a lot of different angles before $I$ can recommend a decision. But on the surface in the first day these are some of the things I think I have to
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consider undertaking.
I would hire a chief financial
officer that was highly qualified. Someone that worked in a hospital system. That understands the uniqueness of a hospital in billing, collections and third-party payers. For people that don't know what that means, it's you can get paid from the government for things like Medicaid, Medicare. You can get paid by commercial payers like Oxford. You can have cash pay. You can have no pay. But someone that understands that.

Also, when you're in health care there are billing codes. So depending on the payor, the third party that's going to pay it, you have to have different billing codes based on what the procedure is. That's a very tricky thing to do. So, you really got to make sure you do it right. So, what I would do is really bring in the best possible person we could attract.

I want to make a point here. With the craziness and suggestions of corruption in the newspaper and the fighting and even what
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went on here today or went on with my -- I need my sensitivity training -- my board meeting, think about this. If you really care about the hospital, $I$ recruit people all the time. How easy is it to get someone to quit their job at like the number one health care company and come work for NUMC? Do you know how hard that is? How could $I$ as the chairman or Dr. Boutin as CEO say yeah, come work with us. It's safe. It's good. People always say, when you're hiring someone, you're backed by private equity. You have the money. This hospital has been in and out of -- it's going to be closed down. On Monday the state's going to vote on taking it over. The paper is saying corruption and this and that.

So what I want to bring, besides a CFO, is that stability. Where people will actually come and work there that are highest caliber. So I'm going to go and do that. Or at least try.

The other thing that $I$ would do is I would -- I already spoke to Dr. Boutin about it, again, in the first day and he agreed. I
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recognize that a CEO in a company is like the gas pedal. And a CMO in medical business, which is a chief medical officer, or in like a financial firm a chief compliance officer, a CMO is the brake. It's the person that says hey, are we treating the patient too much? Can we just fix it with physical therapy? Do we have to cut him? If they have physical therapy, how many is too many treatments? Is the industry standard somewhere around eight to 12 and we're treating a patient 40 times. Or are we treating a patient three times and they're not getting sufficient good care. They need to be treated more times to be successful.

A CMO is like the cop. He's like internal affairs. They're risk averse people. They're different than a CEO. Even like if you met one. You want someone that isn't a big risk taker. That's covered about -- to your points -- about the liability of someone like me and how I speak. You want them to be really careful about that.

What I discussed with Dr. Boutin
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was that he is the CEO. The gas pedal. In my opinion $I$ don't think he should be CMO. And then also $I$ understand and $I$ don't have it qualified but $I$ believe he sees patients as well. So if he's CEO and seeing patients that's totally fine. But how do you sort of regulate or oversee yourself?

For that reason, he totally
agreed. He explained how he ended up being CMO because they lost the other one. Probably because of all the bad press and people are worried about working there. So, in any case, I would hire a CFO to put in fiscal responsibility. I would bring in a CMO to make sure that we have the right level of care without being too aggressive.

I'm uncertain about who we have as COO. So I would evaluate that position. I would cancel any bogus contracts. Contracts that I found -- I would look to find any contracts that I thought look like highly irregular or really priced out of the market. LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Like the Armor contract?
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MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm sorry?
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Never mind.
Go ahead.
MR. BRUDERMAN: Like for an
example. Like if $I$ put something out to bid and there's a contract that's saying we're paying five times the price that the market is, I would root out and figure out why is
that contract like that? How did that
happen? Again, as you can tell, I'm not worried about taking hits. I would really dig it out. And even if there was corruption or there was nefarious stuff or political whatever, again, $I$ have a job to be successful here and maintain my image and deliver what $I$ said I would do. It's not about politics. For me I would root it out, Republican, Democrat, this, that, if I find something I'm going to try to get rid of it.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Absolutely. It's not about Republican or Democrats it's about doing the right thing. If I may, I look forward to seeing your plan. I have two more questions. Then I'm going to wrap it up.

Full - 4-1-22
MR. BRUDERMAN: By the way, I
have another long list here. Again, I encourage anybody that wants to talk to me. I really want to fix the hospital.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Please
forward me that list please. Two more questions.

I've talked to our EMT
professionals. I think they're the best and the brightest that we have here in the northeast. And they tell me that when a child suffers trauma they don't go to NUMC, they go to like another hospital. I would like to ask you, respectfully, how do we get more treatment for children in trauma at NUMC?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I don't know.
And I'll just again speak very candidly. I think that NUMC would do a lot more business -- again, $I$ can't speak as medically about the trauma center for children. But what I can speak to, and again we would have look at that, what $I$ can speak to is, I know in the community as I've poked around and say hey, I'm thinking about doing this, that it's
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got a really bad reputation. And to me -which I think unfair because it's an amazing hospital, amazing doctors and nurses and whatever. So to me, part of this, me pushing an agenda here and fixing it, is that $I$ feel like the hospital deficiencies have been in the board and politics and the management. But I think the health care is excellent.

So, when the people aren't bringing children there $I$ worry on the surface that maybe they're saying hey, that place has a bad reputation. I'm not taking my child there.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: My
question --
MR. BRUDERMAN: Parents get to make the choice of where their child goes. But if we're in an accident and we're close by they're probably just bring us here. There's probably a lot of parents saying like hey, don't bring Billy there.

Again, I don't know, unqualified, but that may be the point. But I would certainly get to the bottom of that. In fact, I want to bring a lot more business into the
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hospital. There's a lot of space there that can be utilized to generate more revenue.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Understood.
Last question then a comment. I mentioned our EMT professionals, our hard-working CSEA employees. What do you foresee as your relationship with the unions that work with the hospital?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Anybody, the unions, anything else, like I said, I came here to get beat up because I don't care. I can take it. So far the unions seem to be receptive because I think I can deliver what unions usually want and that is good, fair compensation in a community that $I$ care about and jobs. I know I can increase jobs not decrease jobs.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: However, you
say you're a fiscal conservative. Say if you
see a contract with the union as not being fiscally conservative what would you do?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Sit down with
them and fix it.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Lastly, as a
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lawyer in law school we learned that there's a rule and an exception. We as humans have a rule where we reduce people's lives to an ugly moment. You've seen some people here today try to reduce your fabulous, beautiful life to an ugly moment. But the exception to that, right, to every rule there's an exception, the exception to that is getting to know that person. Do you believe in that rule and exception?

MR. BRUDERMAN: You know, I want to be careful to like answer a trick question.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: It's not a
trick question. That was a softball.
MR. BRUDERMAN: Look, I think everybody, like I said, if you get to know my heart and you get to know my heart and I don't hate you. In fact, even Kevan, you know, you guys are trying to do a job. And there's a lot of crappy stuff in the press. I believe you guys are impassioned about your community. I would actually be on your side being as hard on me as you are. You're
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protecting your constituents. You're protecting your community.

And to your point $I$ think where you were going frankly, is that you're going to realize that $I$ am an exception. That I love people. I want to uplift the community. And even though I'm a fiscal conservative Republican, I don't give a shit about Republicans.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Sir, decorum please.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I gotta get out of that. I'm sorry. You're getting me sensitivity training though.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Yes, we are.
MR. BRUDERMAN: I'll pay for it at my expense at your expense. I promise.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you very much. No more questions Presiding Officer.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator Drucker.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I'm a little exhausted to be honest with you.
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MR. BRUDERMAN: Me too.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I want to
thank you because what you have enabled us, or what you have done for us in past two and a half hours, you've really given us a chance to get to know you. You may not think we got to know you but we got to know you pretty well. We got to see all of your sides. We got to see your anger, we got to see your kindness, we got to see your sense of humor, we got to see your serious side. And even though it was done in a rather raucous type of forum here, it served a purpose. I thank you for that because that's really what we wanted to do here. We wanted to get to learn more about you so that we had a better understanding of what we're doing. What type of person we're putting in that very, very important leadership position.

I've been a lawyer for more than 35
years. I have a lot of my colleagues and friends here on the dais here are lawyers too. One of the things in law is you learn early on that compromise is the only way to
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go. If you want to take out the hammer every single time it's not always going to be the best result. And we've learned through years of practice that you work with your adversary, you work with your team, you work with organizations and you come up with a consensus. You come up with ideas. You work together. That's how you progress.

In leadership positions, more so
than anything else, you need to be able to rely on other people to provide you with different perspectives. Different viewpoints. Different ideas.

And, you know, one of the things that has struck me today about you is you may be a little resistant to that and that concerns me. Because I don't think anyone in this room today could doubt for one second that you are a man that's chock full of confidence. Chock full of self-esteem. Positivity about yourself. Which is great. That's certainly something that's needed for a leadership.

But I also am someone who believes

Full - 4-1-22
strongly in humility. I believe in being humble. I believe in making others, lifting up others who may not be the same as you but you lift them up to make them feel that they're the same as you if not better.

One of the things that I noticed, for example, when any of us assumes a new position, even if we have a very experienced background like yourself, you know, when you assume a new position day one, you're there for one day, at least in my experience as a lawyer for many years, $I$ was also a trustee on the Nassau Community college board. I see my friend Eddie Powers here. We worked together. Maybe we have different political affiliations. But when $I$ came in I didn't know a lot about college education. But I was smart. I was smart enough to learn. But I relied on other people. So it struck me that your first day at those meetings you walked in there right off the bat saying I'm the smartest man in this room.

MR. BRUDERMAN: That's not true.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: It seemed to
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me what we've heard and what we've heard today is that you talked about you felt bad because you had to go down to their level. I didn't like the phraseology there. You went down to their level. What kind of level is that?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Like it degraded here. Same thing. I think we should all be embarrassed about how this degraded in this chamber. Same thing. It fell apart. Like everyone. And $I$ was included in it.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: But you used words I went down to their level. So that they were beneath you.

MR. BRUDERMAN: No. I went in there offering partnership and communication and working together and $I$ was met with an attack. That's not true. In fact, I've said time and again, the people here that have challenged me, and like $I$ said right out of the gate, I respect what they're doing. I have no malice. And I want to work with them. So that way they're sure about for their constituents that I'm not a Republican. I'm not running for office. I'm here to fix
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the hospital.
So, if people really care they can fight with politicians or whatever else and they can be politicians. But I'm here to fix the hospital and I'm here to uplift that community.

I'm going to be announcing things in the coming weeks that I've been working on before the pandemic that you've never seen in Nassau County. So, you're going to see what I'm bringing to Nassau County's people that need help and need to be uplifted and you're going to go oh, my God, I had no idea.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Let's stop
there.
MR. BRUDERMAN: So, I'm going to work with everyone. I can't do a thing by myself. And I am humble but not when I'm being attacked. And when I'm being attacked and I'm defensive it's because I'm concerned that if I fail a lot of people in the community, 70 percent of which roughly identify as people of color, that need that hospital and as importantly need those jobs.
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So I'm defensive because I'm trying to get that done. And people aren't challenging me based on fact. They're challenging me based on nonsense and that's frustrating to me.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I don't want to go back over the language that you used about I'm going to mow you down. You did try to explain it by saying $I$ have a vision for how this hospital should go and if you're going to impede my ideas, if you're going to stand in the way of what $I$ think the path that we should have I'm going to mow you down. I understand you regret using those terms. But the point is this --

MR. BRUDERMAN: It's not what I said either.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: The point is this. You said, even today, you said I don't want people to be an impediment to my --

MR. BRUDERMAN: Not my, our. The
hospital's. I said here today I would work with people. I need those people. But we fought over like crazy things about choice of
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words and this and that and the seat that $I$ was sitting in. And $I$ was just begging people to say let's start pulling out contracts and figuring out if we're paying too much and let's fix it.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: My question to you is, do you equate someone who has a different vision, a different idea of how the hospital should go --

MR. BRUDERMAN: That's how I do everything.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Can I finish my question?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Please.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: That's
really been the problem $I$ would say all this morning. Let us finish our questions. That ensure a much smoother dialogue. I assure you.

So, you said if there are people who have a different view, a different viewpoint, a different philosophy than yours on how the hospital should go, the path it should take, do you interpret that as they're
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an obstacle to you and you want to just obliterate them? Or do you feel there's a possibility that you could work with them and reach some sort of compromise on it? It's not one way or the other. It's not my way or the highway. As much as you've made it seem today that that's the way you work. It's my way or the highway.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Is there a
question?
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I asked that question.

MR. BRUDERMAN: What's the
question?
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Do you think
that there are people who have a different viewpoint than you on the path that you perceive the hospital to be, are they obstacles and impediments to you and you're going to not work with them? Or do you feel there's room for compromise? There's an ability as a leader that we're trying to put you that you can work with people and reach maybe a result that's not exactly in line with
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the way you wanted it? It might be a little different. It might be a variation.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Can I speak now?
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Yes.
MR. BRUDERMAN: I don't actually
do anything. Other people do. What I am, I am a very good negotiator. They say proof is in the pudding. I've done many, many deals. I've bought and sold many companies and I have many employees. The only way I ever do anything and the only thing that $I$ am is everything that other people have told me, given me, taught me. What $I$ am good at is building an accord. Listening deeply to what people are telling me. Understanding them.

I am most known in my circles by friends and business people, my super power is empathy. And to have empathy is to feel what the other person feels. I feel it at a level that's unnatural. That's why I'm a bleeding heart liberal. That's why I want to help every person.

They say my biggest downside, besides my inability to publicly speak and not
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put my foot in my mouth, is that $I$ try to help everybody.

So, yes, like I said from the people that were most aggressive with me, I forgive their aggressive behavior. I'm sorry for mine. And I want to meet them right away to talk about what do they know. All these people in the hospital know everything about the hospital. So, if $I$ speak to them all and I listen to them all, listen intently, then $I$ can help come up with solutions to fix it. And $I$ won't be fixing anything.

So, yes, I'm very empathetic and I can make any deal happen. And I can be your friend and your friend and your friend and we can do amazing things. And while I let you do something wrong, like I said, my mow down was hey, this is an institution with a reputation for corruption and wrongdoing. I haven't seen it really. I'm there a day.

But if I find corruption or exploitation to me it's robbing the community. It's robbing people that don't have another place to go. No, I'm not done.
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There's 4,000 jobs in this
community. Those 4,000 jobs support 4,000 probably families. I'm a business person. I'm an economist. It's not that easy to get 4,000 more jobs. I'm trying to uplift Hempstead and Uniondale and these communities. I want to help. Because I know I can help. And I want to help the hospital.

This hospital goes away you can't get 4,000 jobs that fast. And yes, doctors and nurses and stuff will find some. But there will be time. But the lowest paid people are going to be the most challenged. That's my sense of urgency. That's my concern of risk is that those people lose those jobs.

As much as people want the health care, I want the jobs. Because I am empathetic and $I$ know what it's like. Again, no one knows me. I put my way through school. I didn't eat for three days. How do you like that? You guys should know who you're talking to. I wouldn't take money from my family. I built my own fortune and I didn't eat for three days. I know what it's
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like.
I was a busboy. I was a valet car parker. When I got on to Wall Street I went to school full time. I waited tables in New Jersey while I went to Rutgers. I came back to Long Island and worked at tending bar in Publicans. In the mornings $I$ got up and did what they call two loops, which is carry golf clubs, two 18 holes in a row. And I worked at Publicans again at night. Did it again on Sunday. Drove back to New Jersey and put myself through school. So I know what it's like to not eat.

I have friends that worked next to me as busboys and waiters. I don't treat myself or think as any different from anybody based on race, color, how much money you have. If you met anybody that knows me they're tell you themselves. I don't need to.

So for me, yes, I understand that if those 4,000 jobs are lost it's not so easy to get a paycheck. What good is help if you can't buy food.
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So, I'm here with a passion.
That's why I said I'm not a dumb man. You're wrong if that's what you're thinking. So, I came in here knowing $I$ was putting myself at risk. Frankly, the people that are, whether it's Democratic or this or whatever it is, the people that don't want to see me appointed or don't want to, you know, or want to see New York take over on Monday or whatever, if you really care about your constituents and you really care about your fellow man, people with children, grandparents, I'm your guy.

You can hold me accountable. But you've got to give me the bat and let me hit the ball. My heart is as pure as they come. My mission is as pure as they come. My passion is as pure as they come. My words are terrible. See I didn't say a bad word that time. But I'm willing to learn and I'm willing to work with people. And I know the hospital can be fixed.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I don't
think anyone here ever for moment thought that you were dumb. The question is, do you think
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you're the smartest person in the room? MR. BRUDERMAN: No.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: You're familiar with the demographics that make up the patients at NUMC, right?

MR. BRUDERMAN: Yes, sir.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So you talk
a lot about how independently wealthy you
are. You have no worries about supporting your family. You only want to help people. Are you aware of the health care disparities in the Black and Brown communities and can you describe them?

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'm looking to
equalize and uplift the community that you're talking about.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: How?
MR. BRUDERMAN: I'll give you
some examples. And again, like Dr. Boutin and all the other brilliant people around the hospital and all the other relationships, like giving you a specific answer on that. Like one of the things $I$ think is really core is that you can't get good food. One of my
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missions that I haven't figured out yet is when you want good health, right, $I$ think in the poorer communities it's really the food options aren't fantastic. There's a lot of fast food. Cheap, sugar-filled things that are inexpensive. So, I think that's one thing I think that helps with that situation.

And again, the whole reason I'm here is because what's so amazing about NUMC is that you can go there without worrying about the ability to pay. So, like that's my passion. That's it right there, is making sure that that community -- and again, to me, I really don't see race. I don't see religion. I don't care if you have purple hair. I'm weird. So, like, I don't judge people on their appearance.

Like I was trying to say with Dr. Martin Luther King before, who would love to hear his words come out of my mouth and have them said many, many times over and over, that this community it's not about even race. It's about how they identify.
It's a poor community generally
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that doesn't have a lot of other options and they can't get good care. So I want to work with smarter people than me to help give -this gentleman went to Georgetown. Georgetown wouldn't even think about me. But you know what I did? I learned what I know. Somehow or another from a gift of God I'm really good at one thing and that's business and that's making things work. That's the proof in the pudding.

All I'm saying is $I$ want the opportunity to do it. And again, my mission is pure. I want to help people that can't help themselves. And that's why I'm fighting like hell and risking my otherwise anonymous reputation because $I$ want to help that community. I don't need kickbacks and I don't need to be a politician. I don't need to be someone. I can do it purely for passion for those people. And I'm your guy. And if you want to help those people I'm your guy.

And yes, sometimes I'll fight like
hell. But I'm impassioned about that community and it needs that hospital and it
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needs those jobs and I'm going to fight, fight, fight. Like I said, if I'm not chairman I'm still on the board. If I'm not on the board I'm your favorite community activist.

So, we're going to make sure people have great health care and great food and great medicine and jobs.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I'm done.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any other legislators would like to ask questions? Why don't we go to public comment. Sorry. Legislator Walker.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: I just had one quick question. I don't necessary expect you to have the answer to this but NUMC has a state-of-the-art cath lab. But unfortunately the state did not certify the cath lab there. Many people could use it. Many people that don't have insurance and have to go to the hospital because that's the hospital that they would go to. But many of us that do have insurance and have to utilize the cath lab and it's closer and it's in our vicinity. Instead
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we're going to other places. And I can tell in some of those other places it wasn't the best place to be either. I mean, their equipment might have been spectacular but where it was located, even within the hospital, wasn't the best.

So, like I said, I don't expect you to know this answer but would you fight very hard to make sure that NUMC gets that certification and would have another thing that would be wonderful, besides the burn unit and the trauma center and every other aspect that the hospital serves, but that would be a tremendous asset to the hospital. And I can't even comprehend why the state would not have certified that.

MR. BRUDERMAN: To answer your question, $I$ was aware of the cath lab and I'm aware of other great opportunities for the hospital. I want to make another thing clear. Again, I'm not here to make friends. I'm not here to make political friends. I don't care what the newspaper writes other than them impeding my ability to say this
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hospital and save these jobs. That upsets me. But that aside, they can write whatever they want all day. Just let me be chairman. Let me make moves. Let me make this hospital better.

So that aside, I do look at it and
I say there is too much politics in it. I think about it. I go, right now I know the hospital 23 percent of the billings are not collected.

So, I'm a business guy. We open up a deli. Does a million dollars a year in revenue. You try to put ten percent to the bottom line. So $\$ 100,000$. So ten percent is a good goal in the business world. A 20 percent margin to the bottom line, 20 percent profit line margin, is very difficult. So if you have an institution that 23 percent of your revenue is never paid then it's very difficult to try to survive.

But in the hospital's case those people are mostly American. There are some illegal immigrants and there's mechanisms for that including the fact that they don't have
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pay. But a big chunk of that I think you can sign people up for Medicare and Medicaid and you can fill that gap.

I think that other people know that too. So if you think about it, if 20 percent of the billings are never collected, but I think it can get down a lot lower, into the single digits. Let's say it's just 20. Where does the other 80 percent of service go if the hospital goes away? People there's just not going to have hip surgery. They're not going to do whatever procedure. They're going to go somewhere else.

So, to me, with all the politics and the hospitals, I was asked by Dr. Gersey to sit on the board of Saint Francis Hospital years ago. I said no. They don't need my money. They don't need my help. Now I sit here today and I signed up to help out because I think this hospital does.

So, I think there's a lot of things that, again, I'm going to push like heck on where I'm not going to say okay to the county and this to you guys, you're going to have to
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pay your bills. And to the state you're going to have to pay your bills. And federal government you got to pay your bills. If we need a cath lab or we need this or we need that I'm going to beat their brains in. Republican or Democrat. You know why? Because I don't have to run.

And I don't care what the papers say because I don't have to be nice to the papers because I don't need them to say nice things about me because I'm not running for anything.

Yeah, I'll fight like hell for that cath lab and everything else. I'm going to load the hospital up with so much revenue and we're going to turn it so fast. And as you can tell I'm not afraid to go out in the public and fight like hell for what I believe in.

So, if people think stuffs going to
happen in a back room because -- it's not happening. Like I said to Bruce, you sure you want me? because you're letting the genie out of the bottle. So, I don't care if you're a
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Republican or a Democrat I'm coming. I'm going to make sure everybody's paying. I'm going to make sure no one's stealing. And I'm going to make this the best institution Long Island's ever seen.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: I thank you for that because we have amazing workers in that hospital and we have a wonderful, wonderful county that we need to make sure we have services there available to everyone. So thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Robert
Harris, CSEA, Nassau Health Care Corporation chairman.

MR. BRUDERMAN: Thank you all.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Mr. Bruderman. Appreciate it.

MR. HARRIS: Thank you for allowing me to speak. I'm not the chairman but if you want to give me that it would be in conflict with Mr. Bruderman again.

My name is Rob Harris, CSEA local 830 vice president and A. Holly Patterson unit president. And as a representative for and a
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community activist with some of you guys up there know that $I$ have spoken to some of you guys. And I'm not even going to lie. I don't even know what $I$ was going to get up here to say. I don't know this whole show. You know, I thought I saw something when I saw Ketanji Brown Jackson go through and here I see it firsthand. I mean, we're here to fix an underserved community. An unappreciated community. An unfinanced community. And what does the community find themselves? Under attack. We have to stop that. We need to stop the political jargon.
I view myself as a liberal
conservative. I'll let you guys figure that out. So what I'm saying is, we talk about the community, which $I$ also have a vested interest in because I live in it. We're talking about a safety net hospital.

Now, the community which I live in and everybody is concerned about who's going to send it to hell? I've been with the corporation for over 30 years and I don't think I've seen one person of color in that
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position. So now we're concerned.
So, what I'm saying is let's put a
lot of this aside and get down to business.
Let's see who can fix it and give him a chance to fix it because it hasn't been fixed to this day. I'm going to get off this mic before my time runs out and you all tell me I got to leave. Thank you for giving me this time to speak and I appreciate it.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: We have a question from one of the legislators who's on remote. Legislator Ford can you hear us?

LEGISLATOR FORD: Yes. Can you
hear me? I will be very brief because I think Legislator Walker sort of touched on some of the things that $I$ wanted to say and even ask Mr. Bruderman.

But for me, $I$ just want to say $I$ was very happy to hear that he's looking to increase revenue to our hospital as it is much, much needed. I'm hoping that when he looks at that in what areas he is going to do that that he keeps in mind that we need to provide more for our veterans. Especially in
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the light that Northport may be on a proverbial like closing down of some of their departments and reducing some of the services to our veterans out in Suffolk.

Also the issue of our opioid
addiction. We need to make sure we find a place to help a lot of these young people as they go through this horrendous addiction. I also have a concern even with the burn center. And then I'm hoping that Mr. Bruderman, if confirmed, he will then also look to see how we can enhance health services for women. But thank you very much. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you. Dr. Anthony Boutin. DR. BOUTIN: Good afternoon everybody. My name is Anthony Boutin. I am the CEO, the president of the hospital. I'm also the chief medical officer and I still work in the emergency department at least once a month. I've been there for 15 years. I grew up in the community and I always wanted to be at the hospital.

I am here to support anybody who is
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willing to keep the hospital open. In my short time in meeting with Mr. Bruderman he has -- it's a positive step and a path where we want to be able to go. So I'm here to support him and all my colleagues that are here.

We talked about pediatric trauma. We had to make a decision at some point whether to be a level one trama center or a pediatric trauma center. We couldn't do both at the same time. With the resources that we have we had to choose. And we were great at being a level one trauma center, so we put our resources in that. Which also means that even if you're a kid and you get hurt, you show up at our doorstep we're able to take care of you. We may not be able to house you but we're able to take care of you. The age is from 13 and above. Anything below that we're still able to take care of you but you got to go to a pediatric hospital which is Cohen's. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Rhoads.
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LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Dr. Boutin, if you wouldn't mind, one question with respect to that. So, my understanding is the decision was based on revenue, right? In other words, the hospital simply didn't have enough money to be able to sustain being both a level one trauma center and a pediatric trauma center.

DR. BOUTIN: So at some point over the last several years the American College of Surgeons and New York State changed the rules and you had to go through a verification process. So, you know our finances. We're always struggling like all the other public benefit corporations and the safety net hospitals. We are great at trauma. We were great at pediatric trauma at some point. But the level of resources that you needed had to be exactly parallel to what we were doing already. You had to have exactly the same team on the pediatric side. Research. The volume and also the manpower just on the pediatric side. We had eight to 12 adult traumatologists. You'd have to have
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the same amount on the pediatric side. Something that we couldn't sustain and we don't have the resources to be able to do that.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: So presumably
attempting to fix the hospital's finances would enable you to revisit potentially that decision?

DR. BOUTIN: Correct.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you Dr.
Boutin.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Sophia
Rubenstein.
MS. RUBENSTEIN: My name is
Sophia Rubenstein. I'm the president of the medical staff at Nassau University Medical Center and New Health. And I just wanted to say that on behalf of the medical staff officers and the physicians at large we're really concerned about the hospital. We would like to make sure that it does stay open and we hope that Mr. Bruderman is able to fix the finances that it desperately needs in order for the hospital to stay open. And because of
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that we do support Mr. Bruderman as the chairman of the board. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO:
Ms. Rubenstein.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Dr.
Rubenstein, $I$ just want to ask you a quick question. I've heard it said a couple of times. I keep hearing the narrative about closed the hospital. Where have you heard that? Which elected official? Which legislation? Which newspaper has referenced closing the hospital? I'm not trying to put you on the spot. I'm just curious.

MS. RUBENSTEIN: It's the Long Island newspapers that we all read and there were a number of articles in the recent past that addressed that. And more recently probably one that we're talking about involvement of the NIFA and different reports that came out and at that time, I mean, again from the newspapers that's what they report.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm just curious. I haven't seen those reports. MS. RUBENSTEIN: Again, we all
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read the same newspapers.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: What I have seen, which $I$ hate to say it, it's created a false narrative, I've heard folks that are against the state legislation. Which we haven't opined on that at all. We haven't talked about that today. Folks that are against the state legislation have said that the hospital is going to be closed. That I have seen. They they're saying that the folks that are for the state legislation they are for closing the hospital. But that's just one person saying something.

I read through the legislation. I didn't see anything in there referencing the hospital being closed. I haven't heard anything from chairman Barsky of NIFA representing that the hospital is going to be closed. So, I'm not trying to put you on the spot. You do your job. You do it well. We are very appreciative of what you do. I was just curious because $I$ keep hearing that narrative come up. I just wanted to pick the brain of an average citizen.
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MS. RUBENSTEIN: Again, the physicians are not really very political. We just come to the hospital to do our job and to save patients and help everyone that needs our help. And again, we get the same information, like I said, from the same newspapers that publish those articles and at that time that was discussed in the newspapers.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you so much. Appreciate you coming down today. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Grace

Ting.
DR. TING: My name is Dr. Grace
Ting. I'm a physician at Nassau University Medical Center. I'm also the associate director for the emergency department and deputy chief medical officer.

Nassau University Medical Center is the best trauma center in Nassau County. It also I feel is the most diverse hospital in Nassau County in terms of our employees and the patients that we serve and we care for.

Before I begin, I want to let you know that everyone here from the hospital,
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including myself, are here on our own times. The county will not be charged for our time here today.

I'm here in support of Mr. Matthew Bruderman's nomination to the board of directors and Nassau Health Care Corporation for his expertise and passion for the survival of the only safety net hospital in Nassau County. The staff employees at the hospital are eager and ready to work with the board of directors in Nassau County and New York State to fulfill our mission of quality health care to all without regards to their ability to pay and also education of our next generation of health care workers.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you Ms. Ting.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I just want to say thank you for verifying that everyone is using personal time. I know that that's something that's public and I'm sure you wouldn't say it without making sure everyone here is using personal time. Again, I think that that's very important because we
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want to obviously have your representation but we don't want the public to feel we have a room full of people that were still on the clock. Thank you for the work you do.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Quan Brown Thomas. Dr. Riggs.

DR. RIGGS: I'm Dr. John Riggs.
I promise to be brief because I tell my residents all the time never miss a meal and I'm starving right now. I promise to be brief.

I've been at Nassau University
Medical Center for past seven years. I'm also a fellow alumnus of Georgetown's. Appreciate that. School of Foreign Service the best in the country. I know that.

I'd just like to say that I hold many hats at Nassau University Medical Center. One of which is the deputy chief medical officer along with Dr. Ting and Dr. Pipia and we fully support Dr. Boutin in everything he's done. I'd like to just say two major accomplishments. There's many I could talk about but the main thing is we just
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recently, in October, got accredited for three years by the joint commission without any major citations. Which is a huge accomplishment for the limited resources that we have.

And also, I challenge any hospital, not only in New York but anywhere in the country, that had a better response over the last two years to the COVID surge and all the problems that occurred and how many lives we saved and it was all led through Dr. Boutin and getting resources when we didn't have any. It was amazing how we all came together as a team and we worked together as a team.

Amongst my other many hats besides that, I'm the safety officer of the hospital. Which means all I care about mainly is patient care and safety. And I know we can match anybody anywhere when it comes to that. We have some of the most qualified physicians that take care of these patients and you wonder why because we need the best physicians to take care of problems which for many hospitals in our area come to us that are so
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diverse and major complicated cases that they don't want to deal with but we take them all. No matter whether they can pay or not.

The other thing is, I'm also the credentialing officer. When it comes to credentialing, we make sure that we get the best possible people coming. We vet everybody. When it comes to that, I'm also in charge of physician recruiting.

Now, the obstacles that we're
facing, and I really welcome Matt Bruderman, who I've never even had a conversation with, however, we welcome because we need resources. Not just philanthropy, finances, advertising. You name it. We've done some on our own whatever we can. We made a lot of major changes at the hospital to improve. I welcome Matt Bruderman to come because I can see he's passionate. May not be politically correct all the time but $I$ know he's passionate and he cares and this is exactly what we need to move forward for this hospital. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Ron
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Gurrieri, president of the CSEA.
MR. GURRIERI: Good afternoon. Thank you Presiding Officer Nicolello for letting me speak today.

First of all, I've come here to speak on behalf of Matt Bruderman. I have met with him once and I saw the passion and the fire in his belly for this job. I'm appalled at what went on today. It was definitely an S-H-I-T show. I didn't want to get in trouble like you did.

He is definitely different than we are. He's definitely different than I am. I probably couldn't afford his shoes. But I welcome him now at the medical center. We've had many, and I've probably been there when there have been ten to 15 CEOs because I've been around a long time, 42 years in the county, that have come and gone in the medical center. I'm willing to give Mr. Bruderman a chance to make that the best hospital on the island and we're not far from it.

There are things that he shouldn't have said. There are things that he said that
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were not right. That $I$ do not agree with. But I think it shouldn't have been used as a time to rip him to sheds when he came here looking to have a job at the hospital.

Siela, I thank you. You've always been involved in the hospital. You've been there before. I thank you for coming around to the hospital.

Legislator Drucker, you've also been at the hospital and come around. But I've really never any of the other legislators at the medical center. You might have been there. I'm saying I have never seen you there.

Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton, I think that was disrespectful for you to talk about the employees and the number of people that were in this audience. You don't know whose taking off today. You don't know who's using their own time today to come here. And because it got out of hand with -- ah, let me finish.

> LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm letting you finish.
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MR. GURRIERI: When it got out of hand with people clapping and whatnot before you took a chance to send people back to work. Never in my 42 years in this county has that ever happened. Never in my 28 years of leadership in CSEA has a sitting legislator on the other side of the aisle said that. Nobody has ever said that. Now, you were the first. Okay. So I'm taking it out on you being the first. That is totally disrespectful for the people to be here to come here and support the hospital and to come and support Mr. Bruderman or just to come and support you as legislators.

Also, we should not forget about A. Holly Patterson Nursing Home. I'm glad vice president Harris came up to speak about it. It's a world class nursing home. We cannot forget about that and Mr. Bruderman will also be in charge of that.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can
I respond? Are you finished?
MR. GURRIERI: I am now.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: As
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you know, $I$ consider you someone that I've respected and my request was that we noted that they were here and there were people from DPW and parks and things like that that I felt if they were on the clock, and I thought my financially conservative possible candidate he totally agreed with me. That at this point -if you added up the hours of people sitting here and judges from traffic court playing on his phone the whole time, the bottom line is this is taxpayer money. This isn't your money. It's not my money. Yes, we got their message. But we have a fiduciary responsibility. I'm really shocked at you right now for a number of reasons. But the number one reason is --. MR. GURRIERI: Go for it. Tell me. Why are you shocked at me? LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I understand the position you're in let's leave it at that. But I think that you normally do respect the fact that we don't own this money. This isn't my company. It's not your company. It's taxpayers' money. I will go

Full - 4-1-22
after and I will say what I think if I see any waste. In my opinion, having paid employees come down here that have absolutely nothing to do with the hospital is a waste of taxpayers' money. And look, you're not responsible for the finances of the county, I get it. But I am. So if I feel that way $I$ will say it and if you think you're ashamed of me, I can tell you something right now, I'm not going to use that word, but I'm not happy with a couple of things that I'm seeing today also.

MR. GURRIERI: You have every right to say that but you don't know who was in the audience on their time off, on their coffee break, on their lunch break or what they were doing on their phone. I just found it disrespectful for a legislator, like I said, in 42 years, and I've been here at all the legislative meetings, when they were board of supervisors, I've never seen that happen before.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: You
know what? I'm also pretty conservative financially. I respect taxpayers' money. And
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I didn't call out anyone. I said if anyone is still on the clock -- it was their decision, I'm not going to look at our, I'm not going to look up -- although it's available to us -I'm not going to look up who's on their time or not today.

But the bottom line is, $I$ don't like to see waste. In my mind, we got that they support him and so did he. Those are his new friends. But his new friends are costing -- if anyone was costing the county money at that point it's a waste. And I will absolutely do what $I$ can in this forum or any other forum to try to protect taxpayers' money. When you're responsible for our budget we'll we have a conversation.

MR. GURRIERI: I just found it odd first time in a long time. Fine.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: What did you say you were, a rebel? There are a few of us possibly.

MR. GURRIERI: I have nothing
else. Thank you.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just a
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quick thing Ron and Ron, you and I have known each other for years. Probably 20 some odd years. The only exception $I$ take with what you said is that things that Mr. Bruderman has said publicly we shouldn't ask him about it today. Quite frankly, I never knew of Mr. Bruderman. Never knew him before March 6th when the county executive announced who he was. I didn't know who he was. Never heard of his firm. Never heard of him. I have no personally vendetta against him or anyone else.

That being said, when you say things in the public record for a public institution that is taxpayer related $I$ have a fiduciary responsibility to ask you what you meant by that. Now, I'm sorry that things turned to the way things did. But unfortunately that was the case. But it sounded like to me -- just correct me if I'm wrong -- it sounded like to me you were saying to us that we shouldn't have asked those questions about what he said.

MR. GURRIERI: No. Not at all.
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I said the things that he said were totally 100 percent wrong.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I heard you say that part.

MR. GURRIERI: But $I$ just think we went very, very, my opinion, very far afield in talking to Mr. Bruderman. And I have been here for other commissioners. I've been around for other people that were put on at the hospital and I didn't think we didn't get so in-depth with the other candidates. Now, I'm not saying you did the wrong thing. I'm just saying, in my opinion, $I$ think you just might have gone too far.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The only
thing I would say, Ron, you and I are friends. The only thing $I$ would say is that I don't think any other candidate that was seeking a board position has put themselves in a position of saying things as public that would in a Newsday paper.

MR. GURRIERI: I agree with you.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But we'll
still be friends.
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MR. GURRIERI: Anybody else?
Thank you.
LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Ron. Vincent Pinkney.
MR. PINKNEY: Good afternoon. My
name is Vincent Pinkney, chief diversity officer at Nassau County Medical Center. I've been living in the county for 54 years. I'm 54 years old. I've been working at Nassau County Medical Center for 27 years. This is the first time I'm here to support Mr. Bruderman. It's the first I've seen a chairman that came into office that really wanted to support our hospital, that really wanted to do something for our hospital.

So, in my humble opinion, I'm asking you to give him an opportunity, like we always do, to improve our hospital. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO:
Mr. Pinkney, did you say you were 54 years old? Could you just tell us what your diet is. Nina Gavan.

MS. GAVAN: My name is Nina
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Gavan. I am the CSEA unit president of NUMC. When I talk about the hospital, I've been there since 1987, so I get very impassioned. Sometimes I get a little worked up about it. Really what I'm upset about today was the process. It doesn't really have anything to do with Matt per se. We have so many hard working men and women. I'm a nurse first before $I$ was a unit president. Like I said, I've been there for over 30 years. And I know politics has a role in our funding like you said, but the current CEO, Dr. Boutin, is doing that work. He is watchdogging all of our funding and making sure things are done the right way. We need to give him the chance to let Matt do the work that he is able to do for us.

The overreach of government at this time has trickled down to the state and county level. And now Monday we have more of that coming from them trying to double the board. Which is another big problem. That has to stop. There's big overreach going on here. And instead of reaching across the aisle to
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help each other we're doing it just to tip power. It's not the right thing. We don't need a bigger board of directors. We need to let the ones that are there be accountable and do the work that they're supposed to be doing.

And I do believe that Matt can start that fire in them to get that going and we need to give him a chance to do it. And CSEA will be there watching over that. Should things be getting done the wrong way or things are not going the way, you know, for the employees that we think it should be going then there will be a different fight and argument and we'll sit down at the table together and work it out.

But at this time we need to give him the appropriate time and we need to give him a chance to work with us. I want to move forward and I know that's going to happen anyway. And we need to support the officials that the constituents elected and let them do their work and let they them put their picks in place and not try to overreach and tip the board to another direction.
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I know sometimes people do speak the wrong way and I've been guilty of it myself many times. You have to judge people on what they do for you. Let's see what Matt does for us. Dr. Boutin is the perfect person to lead us and we will all be watching and keeping a close eye. So thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Legislator Gaylor had a question.
LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Thank you
Presiding Officer. Just a quick question for Mr. Bruderman. As most of you know, I'm a retired lieutenant colonel from the United States Army. Our veterans. We have a veteran service agency that's housed within the hospital. I don't know if you had an opportunity to visit there yet. I hope you do. I would just ask that you give it a careful evaluation. I think that there's much room for improvement in the facilities in which this agency is housed. And I would hope that we could possibly do something to make it an even better place for our veterans to attend.
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Second point I'd like to just bring up is that, as was mentioned previously by a fellow legislator, in the news there's been discussions about downsizing the capabilities at Northport VA medical center. I would ask that you look towards the future. And maybe not something that could be done within a year but something that can be surely started by you within the first year, is a partnership with the federal government to move to our facility some of those VA clinics or treatment facilities and better possibly utilize some of the vacant spaces within our hospital system to better accommodate all veterans that live in Nassau.

So, I'm just asking you to consider those two points. I wish you well in the future and if you'd like to comment. If not, I understand. Thank you.

MR. BRUDERMAN: I'll just quickly
comment and say that, like I said, my family is very patriotic. We've served this country in war. I'm indebted and grateful genuinely for our armed forces, for the police, everyone
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that serves and I think it could be an opportunity. Again, $I$ don't know enough yet but we have excess space in our physical plant. I did hear rumors about the VA in Northport, and I think that would an outstanding use of that space. It would be great to give back to our amazing veterans that have served us and treat them right and give them an outstanding facility to go to.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Dr. Steven
Lev.
DR. LEV: Hi. My name is Steven
Lev. I want to thank all of you for giving me this opportunity to speak before you. I currently hold the position of the chair of radiology at Nassau University Medical Center. I just want to address the Honorable Mr. Abrahams. You mentioned before something about you heard the hospital was in bad financial shape or imminently going to close. LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Can I just correct you. It's not that I've heard, I've known.

DR. LEV: I have what's probably
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called some institutional knowledge because I've been at the hospital for 27 years. I'm 58 years old. That's almost half of my life. I left the hospital in 2004 under rumors that were in all the newspapers that the hospital imminently about to close. A lot of us left at that time. They were actually laying off doctors and nurses at that time. I came back, together with a lot of my coworkers. Within a year I came back to NUMC because that's my home and that's I believe where I could do the best good.

I've seen during the 27 years a lot of changes in our hospital. A lot of board changes. A lot of leadership changes. Then every couple of years the whole cycle starts again.

Upon listening to what Mr.
Bruderman had to say at some of the introductory meetings we've had, I'm very impressed that finally we have somebody with a solid background in business and finance and I think he's the person who could help ensure our survival and help us to continue our
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fundamental core mission. Which is to be available for people in the community of every race, every economic status and to serve that people. That's why we're a safety net hospital.

I also want to let everybody know
is that $I$ think we ranked in the top 20
nationwide for safety net hospitals. To me that's too low. I think we can be number one. I want to be given the opportunity for that. All the doctors here, look around, are from ethnically diverse backgrounds and we are here because we choose to be here. We're all from the top best schools in the nation. We want to be here. We have a mission to serve, and I want to give Mr. Bruderman a chance to allow us to do that. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Dr. Lev. Dr. Paul Pipia.
DR. PIPIA: Good afternoon.
Couple of things. I'm here in support of Mr. Bruderman because I'm happy to see that someone is willing to take and put on their asbestos suit and get burned. I was on the
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board of trustees for the hospital for eight years. Three of us those under Bruce Blakeman. Three of those under Judy Jacobs. The intimidation that came as board member who worked in the hospital was tremendous. I had one chairman of the board of trustees who said he was going to beat the crap out of me knowing that $I$ have a disability.

In 2018 I was back at the hospital again. I found that the county, under a democratic county executive, owed us \$48 million. And when $I$ said that they needed to pay and I also pointed out that a vendor hospital was supposed to be sending $\$ 850,000$ employees to our hospital weren't doing it, I was told by that chairman, and he was also a democrat, that I should look the other way and screamed at the top of his lungs. You know what happened? After so much intimidation I stepped down.

Let me tell you this. In this hospital if you have somebody who wants to take their time and not be afraid, not have to worry about the intimidation then $I$ think you
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got the right guy. I had 28 years in health care. My 28 years I've always served in an underserved hospital. 15 of them at NUMC. 13 of them at Downstate in Brooklyn.

The problem is there are things out there that we can take advantage of. One of our competitor hospitals their budget for supplies is $\$ 7$ billion. Our entire budget is $\$ 600$ million and we got to get supplies out of that as well.

The employees are underpaid in our hospital. Yet they come to work every day. And the reason why is because there's a law that exists that we could get the same rate of pay as other people but nobody else wants to let us to do that.

Our nearest competitor get three times the price for every procedure they do in comparison to what we get. So, if you went to the grocery store and paid $\$ 6$ for a gallon of milk and the guy behind you got it for $\$ 2$ I think you'd be upset.

Just the last thing is, the NIFA consultant, Marcellus Alvarez, was a place
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that went around to our competitor hospitals and said if we close the hospital could you keep it afloat? And they said yes. Just make sure they take care of the psychiatric patients. I think that's about all I can actually say now.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Dr. Pipia.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Sorry Dr.
Pipia. My understanding was at some point in time, and perhaps this is something that Mr. Bruderman, if he's appointed, that Mr. Bruderman can look into. My understanding was that the hospital at one point in time had a relationship with Northwell for the purpose of being able to get those rates. And to be honest, and this is my own fault, I have no idea what happened to that relationship, but it sounds as though it no longer exists. DR. PIPIA: I'm not fully in charge of the hospital. One of the deputy medical doctors. I also run the rehab department and I also run the neurology department. Northwell declined to do it because, to the best of my understanding, they
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felt there was an antitrust involved that could hurt them. But the law clearly states and it names our hospital that we should get it. In fact, also, they have the person who sits on the committee that decides who gets cath labs and that's why we don't have a cath lab when other hospitals of lesser, in my opinion, lesser abilities have a cath lab.

Let me tell this. And the chairman of the board of trustees that came after the one that was so much on the intimidation method for me, he contacted the Department of Health and he said that we didn't need the cath lab. And he did that without informing the other board members and he made that as a unilateral decision.

And I know that because I serve in a lot of capacities in the New York State Medical Society. I was president of the Nassau County Medical Society in 2006 . I'm currently the vice president of the state medical society and in two months I will be the president-elect of the New York City Medical Society. I've met so many people up
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in Albany and they were the ones who called up and told me who did it.

We can get this stuff. We can do a good job. We just need somebody to help us get out of the way. If he's going to take the heat for it I'm happy to have him on there and I think everybody else should too.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thanks Dr.
Pipia.
MR. BRUDERMAN: I was in
possession of a contract with Northwell. I think it was maybe two and a half years old. But again, when $I$ was going through all the paper work I did see something and people within the hospital commented on that. So, something there exists. I intend on looking at it and we'll figure out how that can be helpful or not or whatever. But you're right there was something there and it's still there so I'll take a look at it.

LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you so much.

> LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Paul

Occhiogrosso.
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MR. OCCHIOGROSSO: I'm here for
Mr. Bruderman. I just want to clarify a couple of things real quick. The EMT comment, how they don't want to bring them to our hospital. My daughter suffers from Bradycardia. And when she had an episode where do you think they brought her? NUMC. Dr. Boutin took care of here.

The other thing too is, we went to that precious Cohen's and we were pushed out the door. This was a month ago. She got a pacemaker and they pushed her out the door to save their revenue and their pocket. That's your precious Cohen's. So now that we got that clarified.

The second thing is, I think you guys should be ashamed of the way you abused this man. You're all supposed to be helping the minority community and you don't do it. You would get the cath lab for us wouldn't you? You would get us ambulances. Why does Northwell ambulance contracted in Hempstead? Why isn't it NUMC? I think our hospital is a little closer. Can you answer that?
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Instead of asking what he can do for the hospital why don't you ask yourselves what can we do to help you do things for the hospital. Those are questions I got for you. LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Are you done with your time?

MR. OCCHIOGROSSO: No. You can ask a question.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Sir, I'm very sympathetic to the condition that your daughter has. My daughter was born two months premature and $I$ was in the middle of a primary and I spent the last month there at the hospital. And I just saw firsthand what they do for premature children, my daughter. Again, I'm very sympathetic. Again, if you took those comments in the wrong way. But I want you to understand that $I$ was just told that information by EMT professionals and I relied upon that.

MR. OCCHIOGROSSO: Well, you were informed incorrectly. As long as I corrected you with that. The other thing too is my niece is an EMT. The way it works is, anyone
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who doesn't have cardiac problems goes to NUMC. If there's cardiac issues they have no choice but to bring them somewhere else.

Why? Because we don't have a cath lab. Something anyone up there can do. The next thing is, I really appreciate, because I've never seen any one of you at the board meetings. Excuse me. I've seen Mr. McKevitt and I've seen Mr. Ferretti. But as far as anyone else, I don't see you at the board meetings. They happen monthly. Sit there. Listen. Weigh in. You're sitting here judging a hospital that you know nothing about. You've never walked through the doors. You don't know anything about the people there. You're worried about our time. You sit there and shake your head. But have you traveled from Glen Cove down to East Meadow and came in our hospital?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I've
been in the hospital a number of times.
MR. OCCHIOGROSSO: What happened at the last board meeting? Where you there? I didn't see you there. What about the time
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after that?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: The bottom is line is we are connected with the hospital.

MR. OCCHIOGROSSO: You're not.
If you're not at the board meeting hearing what's going on how are you connected with the hospital? Explain that to me.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We
are of the financial responsibility. We're connected with the county obviously. We did a lot of work with the jail. We were very involved with that.

MR. OCCHIOGROSSO: I find that hard to believe when I've been at every board meeting for the last four years and I have not seen anyone's face up there. Everyone that drilled questions at this man I've never seen any of your faces there. So explain that.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'll
explain something to you and I want to give you a compliment. Number one. I was happy that you took over with the jail. I think it's been a huge, huge improvement with the
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county. And I was very much involved with that as my colleagues.

I had a very close friend whose daughter's first communion dress caught on fire and almost died and was in the burn unit and I was there I don't know how many times.

Two months ago I had an uncle who was in a nursing home with Parkinson's and fell and hit his head and that's where he got tremendous care.

I just want to say quickly on the record, I understand that Mr . Bruderman thinks he's going to come in and fix everything. But I think that this hospital and the workers that we have are amazing and what happened during COVID was tremendous. I want to thank each and every one of you from the bottom of my heart. I lost a close relative. I know what those last few hours are like. I do give him -- listen, I wish him the best. I wish that he does do all this. That was never my concern.
My concern is the responsibility I have. In a business world you're a risk
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because there's a possibility of a liability which is him saying things that are not acceptable. And they can hurt someone's feelings and it can make someone feel threatened and that is what $I$ wanted to push on today. Not once did I say I don't think you're going to raise the money. Oh, I don't think you're going to know how to -- I never criticized him.

MR. OCCHIOGROSSO: With all due
respect, that's the problem with the world today is feelings. The man's ready to take care of business.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: No, it's not.

MR. OCCHIOGROSSO: We watched chairman after chairman after chairman, Northwell chairmans, Northwell picked chairmans, fail our business. He's a businessman. Let him take care of business.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you sir.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Let's wish him the best.
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LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Legislator
Mazi Pilip wanted to comment.
LEGISLATOR PILIP: Can you hear me? Hello everyone. First of all, I would like to thank Mr. Bruderman for willing to take the opportunity to fix the hospital.

For my colleagues for both sides. Today we were out of control. Maybe I'm new but it was very hard to watch it. I think we have to be more respectful.

Then for my colleague Legislator
Solages, I don't think when somebody is mispronouncing your name is always because he's a racist. I don't think so. I don't know if you remember when $I$ first time joined I didn't know how to pronounce three of my colleagues' names. I couldn't pronounce the last name. Not every time we have to be too sensitive about little things.

I think it's time for us to see the big picture. Here we have an opportunity, a man who is willing to take his time to fix the hospital. And we should care about our community. How we can fix this hospital by
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providing the best care and really cutting the health care cost. Let's give him an opportunity. Let's see the big picture and let's work together. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you
Mazi. That was the last slip that I received. On behalf of the legislature, I want to thank all of the employees of the hospital who were here today to speak on this issue. One of the things that gives us hope for the future is your passion for the hospital and your mission. So, thank you very much for being here. We really appreciate your time to be with us.

What we are going to do now is go to a roll call vote. Legislators will be able to make comments as the vote on the nomination. You want to start Mr. Pulitzer?

MR. PULITZER: Thank you sir.
Deputy Presiding Officer Howard Kopel.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you.
Let me just say that my background, thankfully my family is in this wonderful country of just about 100 years. Maybe a little bit more. We
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were not exposed at the time of the Holocaust but I have my wife's family suffered greatly and I've got some other relatives that did. I'm very sensitive to any issues of discrimination of any type.

A number of years ago when I sponsored, well, I had a press conference on the issue of a certain rock star who happened to have been a vicious anti-semite and he was performing at a county facility, my colleague and good friend, Kevan, stood tall and proud next to me and denounced the man in his typically eloquent fashion. So, when Kevan and some others who have shown some sensitivity mentioned that or feel uncomfortable about issues such as racism or so forth I have to take it seriously and I have to think about it. And I did.

The other thing that I'd like to say about that is who among us hasn't misspoken? I know that I'm not prone to be politically correct all the time. As a matter of fact, I don't even try to be politically correct. Obviously we need to be careful
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though in what we say. We've got leaders at the top of our government, both current and previous, who are prone to saying things that they ought not to say because they don't know or they don't think or whatever the reason is. It happens.

I've heard the back and forth
here. I'm prepared to accept Mr. Bruderman's explanations. I believe that everyone on this board here has got a good heart when it comes to things like discrimination and hate. I truly believe and I hope that there's not a single racist over here. I don't believe that that's the case. But we do have differences of opinion.

I'm prepare to accept
Mr. Bruderman's explanations over here. I don't believe he's a racist. I do believe that perhaps he needs to be more careful when he speaks and he said he will. I accept that as well and I thank for that.

Now, moving over to the hospital. This hospital, as has been mentioned by many people, serves largely the minority
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community. It's a critical facility. And it's in trouble and we know it's in trouble and it's been in trouble for a long time. I happen to be a lawyer but my background is primarily been in business. But I've been here also for a number of years. And I've learned that when government does something it's the nature of the beast that everything costs twice as much, it takes three times as long and doesn't get done right. It's just, as I say, the nature of the beast.

I know in private business if you run things that way you go broke. You're out of business. The idea is to minimize costs and maximize efficiency and deliver the services so that your customers come back and are happy and you do what you're supposed to do. That's, I think, the methodology that has to be applied to this hospital.

If that's the case and that's our primary concern, I think that we're lucky that we have a businessman who has been obviously successful many years. Is willing to donate his time and expertise ensuring that this
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critical facility doesn't go under. Let's be clear about it. It could go under because there's not unlimited money that's going to be dumped into it. Again, that's the nature of things.

For the foregoing reasons I vote to confirm Mr. Bruderman.

MR. PULITZER: Alternate
Presiding Officer Denise Ford.
LEGISLATOR FORD: I wish
Mr. Bruderman success in helping our hospital and I urge him to consider his words before he speaks. I hope he also keeps in mind that a strong leader can still be compassionate and soft at times. This hospital is very important to all of us. I really hope that we see that he fulfills his promises of bringing more revenue and helping to build this hospital to make it number one in the country. So I vote yes.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Ms. Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
So, while I believe that Mr. Bruderman, while
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I have not attempted to characterize him as a bad person because $I$ do think he's done some good in his time in serving in many different capacities, I'm concerned about the hospital. I'm concerned about the future of the hospital.

My grandmother took her last breath at A. Holly Patterson. My best friend, Tanya Abrams, took her last breath at Nassau University Medical Center about four years ago. A young woman I missionaried with had a horrific accident on a Fourth of July morning and she is now wheelchair bound but I know she would be dead if not for Nassau University Medical Center and the wonderful care that they provided to her. That's why this is serious for me.

It's serious for me because there's a tone and tenor that $I$ think leadership is reasonable for. They must set up an environment of cultural competency and mutual respect. I didn't judge Mr. Bruderman or determine where $I$ would stand in this vote today just on one occasion. There were three
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that played out that resonate with me. It was that public meeting at the hospital. It was the behavior at that press conference and it was the behavior here today. And for that reason I question the ability to lead the hospital, to serve its employees, the patients and the residents of Nassau County in the most appropriate way.

Do I believe that given his ability to build businesses that he probably could do a really good job at the hospital in making sure that it could sustain? I believe that. But I'm wondering at what cost. That's what I'm left to question today. At what cost? So, I have to say I vote no and it's a resounding no. Thank you.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Carrie Solages.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you for saying my name correctly. Thank you very much clerk.

Based on the testimony of numerous medical professionals who appeared here today, who made statements in favor of the nominee,
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these professionals who know more than me when it comes to saving a life and they know more than me when it comes to what's going on at the medical center. And although I have not attended a meeting, a board meeting, I do check in regularly with Dr. Boutin and $I$ do find out from him what actually is going on. It's hard to attend all these meetings when you have a family.

Based on information that Mr. Boutin has provided to me, I'm concerned about the medical center. If the medical center can gain a helping hand from an individual who has access to philanthropy, who has access to business acumen in which he's shown clear abilities to turn businesses around I would be foolish not to accept that help.

For reasons I also stated when I approved the appointment of Mr. Capece at the consumer affairs office, in which I believe in second chances, I would support this nomination on the condition that he completes anger management and also sensitivity training
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and also a cultural literacy course within 90 days. For those reasons I support Mr. Bruderman thank you very much.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you
legislator. Legislator Debra Mule.
LEGISLATOR MULE: I've been on this meeting remotely and I apologize for that but I've listened to all the testimony. I've listened to Mr. Bruderman and I also listened to, as Legislator Solages mentioned, all the testimony by the medical professionals.

But I have to say that I am deeply concerned by -- it was the way Mr. Bruderman talked about how he would be running things. It sounded like a, well, and someone else brought this up, I know all the answers and I can fix it. I can do this in one year. And on the one hand I applaud that very positive attitude. On the other hand that concerns me greatly because the people who have worked there for so many years they definitely have to be listened to and heard and different ideas need to be heard.

I've learned this over the years
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that you come into something thinking I definitely have all the answers and then you hear from other people and you realize well, wait a minute, $I$ was missing a lot of information about this or that. And I have always valued listening to what other people have to say.

So, I'm concerned about what I
heard about a lack of humility in what other people have to say. So I'm concerned about the way Mr. Bruderman will lead this.

Now, I know he will certainly -the vote will be in his favor and I wish him well and I hope that he has heard what has been said here and will learn from it. But I will be voting no. Thank you.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator C. William Gaylor III. Bill, are you on? We will come back to him. Legislator John Giuffre.

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: My mother
was a patient at NUMC last year after sustaining a fall in which she fractured her femur in three places. She is a former
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operating room nurse and was a nurse for over 30 years. When it comes to health care decisions, my sister and $I$ let her make her own decisions. When it came time for rehabilitation she had a choice of where to go and she chose NUMC and was in the service of Dr. Paul Pipia.

My family and I personally, and
myself as a legislator, want NUMC to survive and to thrive. And based on the recommendations of the professional staff, Dr. Boutin, Dr. Pipia, a number of other people who came before us today, I am going to go with those recommendations and vote to confirm Matthew Bruderman on to the board of directors. Thank you.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Mazi Melesa Pilip.
LEGISLATOR PILIP: Based on
Mr. Bruderman's experience and the support of the community members who spoke in front of us today I will vote yes. I also want to recommend to Mr. Bruderman be more careful when he chooses to say something because we
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have to be sensitive about our words.
And then my other recommendation for the hospital, from my experience in health care, I do believe by providing quality health care we can prevent a lot of patients to come back to hospital for small problems that can be fixed by physicians in the community. By providing more physicians in the community I think we can take a lot of patients locally without going to hospital and costing so much money for the hospital.

I also agree that we have to have a better system to collect money. At the end of the day this is a business and we have to have a better system to do that. Also by bringing more service to the hospital, I think an increase in the revenue and support the hospital. Thank you. I will be voting yes for him and wish him good luck.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you
legislator. Legislator Delia DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
have to say that $I$ was curious to meet you today, and I heard from both sides of the
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aisle when they read those comments and it was a lot of concern. My main question to you in my mind was we all make mistakes. We all say the wrong things. But did you feel remorse and did you realize the impact of your statements?

Honestly, I'm leaving here without a true answer. But $I$ know you're going to be appointed and $I$ hope not only morally but also because we have a financial responsibility, if you were going to be in charge of Winthrop we would have no say and good luck. But if you mess up it's our money, taxpayer money that's going to have to be responsible for any of these, what I hope you realize now, are hurtful, damaging comments.
If in one instance when you're
about to say something something flashes in your mind that Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton or anyone else said that makes you stop and think before you say anything, text anything, email anything, do you want it to be in Newsday. It's not a criticism to you, it's like an education and that's what I'm hoping. You get
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that sense of pause.
But speaking to the hospital
members, $I$ will tell you I also have a child with a life-threatening condition. I've been dealing with it for 22 years. I've been to many hospitals with her in the state and out. And the work that you do I couldn't do. I don't know how you got through COVID. You have a power that I respect and you have a calling. We not only want you to succeed we need you to succeed.

I want to thank you again and I also want to say, Mr. Bruderman, prove us wrong. Do a good job and we will appreciate it. And again, maybe today wasn't a total waste because I think that little light will go off and hopefully it will avoid any risks that I foresee. I vote no.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you. Let's go back to Legislator C. William Gaylor III.

LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Thank you very much. I apologize. That was a technical difficulty on my end. Although I was there, I could hear you, you couldn't hear me.
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The bottom line, things in life sometimes we come across as less than desirable or less than we want to be portrayed or sometimes we say things in the heat of the moment that we don't necessarily mean or we conduct ourselves in a way where we can be argumentative. I know I'm guilty of that. Sometimes we become very passionate. But we learn as we go along. What I heard today is somebody who is willing to learn and takes those steps to avoid any misstatements in the future.

More importantly, what I've learned today is we have before us a businessman who's sole focus seems to be making this a better hospital for all of the constituents and all of the residents of Nassau County and all of those people that pass through Nassau County and find themselves in need of some medical attention.

What we've heard today is a
community of health care professionals that work at the hospital step up forward and say let's give him a chance. Let's give him a
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chance to turn around what's been a failing or perceived as a failing hospital for many years.

What I also heard today is somebody who's going to make a commitment to our veterans community and relook the veteran service agency and its location. And hopefully we can move that into a better location and also possibly partner with the federal government to provide those necessary medical services to all the veterans in Nassau and Suffolk county that find themselves in need of service closer to home because the Northport VA medical center is shuttering some of its services if it does not close down. So, for all those reasons I'm a resounding yes today in favor of this nomination and his appointment. Thank you.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator James Kennedy.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Thank you
sir. I'm having trouble getting my video. I'm just going to say it without video.

But the priority for me is this
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hospital and I believe Mr. Bruderman will be the best person to help NUMC based upon his past experience as well as the supporters we've heard from today. So I would like to thank Mr. Bruderman for giving up of his time to help us along this path, which we very, very desperately need. And I think that he will be a great help in assisting throughout the future. So I support Mr. Bruderman.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Thomas McKevitt.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: My family
moved to East Meadow 50 years ago this month and this hospital has always been an integral part of our community. My grandfather died there. My father was there for a heart attack. My brother was there for trauma. I can't imagine my community without it. And obviously it's been through some very tough times. So I encourage Mr. Bruderman to work with the board, with the medical staff, with the community to make sure this hospital not only survive but thrives. I will be voting yes.
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MR. PULITZER: Thank you sir.
Legislator Laura Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: I vote yes
in favor of Mr. Bruderman and I have no further comment.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you ma'am.
Legislator John Ferretti.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you
Mr. Clerk. Mr. Bruderman, I'm the legislator in the 15th Legislative District. Which actually the medical center lies at the very westerly part of my district. The vast majority of my district is Levittown, which is as blue collar as you can get. So, while we both speak English, I think you and I kind of speak a somewhat different version of English.

But that being said, I did read the comments in the paper and I think we can both agree that they were wrong. You admitted as much. You apologized for it and I appreciate that. I also believe that people make mistakes. They say things they don't mean or they shouldn't have said. Just as we should
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judge them on what they say, we should judge them on how they attempt to cure those mistakes.

What we saw today was an education on cancel culture. That's we saw today. It's taking something, one thing you said, which was wrong, forgetting everything else you've done for the communities that you've live in all your life and just taking that one thing and harping on it.

And what we see so often with cancel culture is the proponents of cancel culture are the hypocrites of cancel culture. We saw that today. People who that give second chances to some people but not to others. People who listen to -- who are willing to caucus with people who made mistakes in the past but are not willing to take your misspoken statement and look at all the good things you've done. That's a shame because speaking to that example, the person I'm referring to did a lot of good things just like I hope you do. So what I would be asking for when the vote comes -- obviously we're
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voting one by one -- just consistency. I think that's important.

I came here today having read your statements and also in the paper but also I did watch the board meeting and I heard the statements there as well. I came here today with an open mind. Thinking that you need to prove two things to me.

Number one, that you're not a
racist. And number two, that you can fix the hospital. After today, I have no doubt that you are not a racist. No doubt in my mind. And there's no doubt in my mind that you can fix this hospital.

So, I will be voting in the
affirmative and I thank you for your testimony.

And just before we pass on, I'm only pointing this out because there was so much discussion on it, which is insane to me, but with regard to the name tags, and I'm not saying this to be smart or anything like that, but perhaps we should change legislator your name tag because there is an accent that we
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can put above, like Legislator Mule's has an accent. So we could have possibly avoided that entire line of questioning if your name reflected the proper accent that your name should have. Maybe we can look into that in the future. Thank you.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you
legislator. Legislator Arnold Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Good
afternoon. Thank you very much. So, our responsibility as legislators today is to put in place the best candidate to fill this important leadership position. That's it. I don't profess to the know the financial workings of the hospital, how it's best to serve. I leave that to other people that are a lot more qualified and smarter than $I$ am. But our job is to vet and pass judgement on an applicant and that's what we're doing today. All the other peripheral stuff is irrelevant. Yes, we can debate the words that were used by Mr. Bruderman until the cows come home. The bottom line for me is there are three reasons that I will vote in

Full - 4-1-22
the negative.
One is I think Mr. Bruderman lacks the necessary health care experience or hospital administration that $I$ would like to see for someone to assume a position as chairman of the hospital board. He has a tremendous amount of experience in finance, in owning a lot of businesses. And there's no question he's probably very, very talented at that. But if it was me and $I$ want to pick someone who leads this hospital I want someone one who has more personal experience in
hospital administration and health care. So he lacks that in my opinion.

Second, Mr. Bruderman has stated many times that he is apolitical. He's just a fiscal conservative. But, Mr. Bruderman, your documented history of political support belies that. And this hospital needs less political polarization and not more of it. I for one don't believe that Mr. Bruderman can present the neutrality that $I$ would like to see as the chairman or on the board of the hospital.

Third is what concerns me is
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Mr. Bruderman's management style. I'll be quite honest with you. I don't see, what he has displayed today, I don't see the ability to work in a cohesive, collaborative and respectful way with other board members or administrators. And that's troubling to me because we need someone who's going to role up his sleeves and listen to others who have been there on this board. You've heard many of them speak today who's been there for many, many years. Listen to them. Understand where they're coming from. Learn and maybe adopt some of their suggestions.

The way I see Mr. Bruderman's style today is, no, I'm going to listen but then I'm going to do things the way $I$ want to do them. And I don't think if that's what's really best suited for this hospital.

Based on that I'm going to vote in the negative. Thank you very much.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Rose Marie Walker.
LEGISLATOR WALKER: First of all,
I just have to say that what took place here
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today, and I agree with Legislator Pilip, it's an embarrassment really to all of us. I'm not a confrontational person. I'd like us to get along. I'm strong willed. I'm passionate about things and I will work extremely hard to fight for all those things. But again, like I said, I think it's an embarrassment to all of us. I hate for those looking, that were watching today that they had to see a display like that. I believe sometimes we can probably all go to some type of sensitivity training because we can all have our moments. Sometimes we feel like we're pushed to that point and we do have those moments.

Even as a parent sometimes we have those moments. Or as a grandparent. Well, not as a grandparent. Whatever the grandkids do is okay. But again, I think that that's something we can all learn by.

This hospital, although it's not in my district, I abut the district also from my home. I have the 17th Legislative District but I live in Hicksville. I could be the closest legislator in my home to the
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hospital. Legislator McKevitt and certainly our other legislators are close by also in Levittown.

Many, many times that $I$ do sports in our school district. I still do supervision. I do the official book, the official clock. I've driven in the back of an ambulance with one of our kids who's gotten hurt on a field and where are the taken? They're taken to the medical center. Even when we're at away games the same thing. And always treated with such -- and that was even way before $I$ was an elected official. So it wasn't like $I$ was in the hospital so often at that time.

But the care given at that hospital, everyone who was in that hospital totally amazing. Our fire fighters often go there for treatment that they need or they're with patients that they're bringing and how they're treated there. Our police officers. Just every aspect of that hospital. Everybody works so hard. During COVID what they did, and I said it over and over, whenever I had
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the chance we'd bring food to the hospital. We'd do many different things during COVID. But the staff in that hospital, the nurses, everyone, the doctors.

You know, we always say they have to kind of separate themselves from their patients and that they can't get too attached. But during COVID they became our families. They were the ones that held up an iPad that families could see their loved ones taking their last breath. Or even though they might not be able to hear -- their loved ones might not be able to hear they were there for them. So they became our families during that time and they just did a tremendous job.

They made sure that our teachers in our districts that they were able to get their vaccines quickly so we could try to get them back into our schools. Just so much they went over and over and over and above. I cannot thank them for that.

I truly believe that Mr. Bruderman is going to do a lot to enhance our hospital. I can hear that from everyone who's here from
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the hospital. He's going to make sure that everything possible that we can do to improve that hospital financially and even the services that we have there, he's going to work to make sure that that's happens. And I am very happy to vote yes for him.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you ma'am.
Legislator Joshua Lafazan.
LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Thank you
Mr. Pulitzer and I'm sorry I couldn't be in person today to engage in the cross-examination. But from what I've heard I don't have confidence in Mr. Bruderman's appointment so vote no.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Steven Rhoads.
LEGISLATOR RHOADS: Thank you. The one thing that $I$ know is that if the hospital were to fail it will not be because of the medicine. And that's the tragedy of this. It's because of its organization. Because of its leadership. And ultimately that's the issue.

We look at the proposal that's
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going to apparently be voted on on Monday at the state legislature and we look back historically on the state's investment in trying to prevent the failure of the hospital. And we see that as recently as 2016 Senator Hannon was able to obtain grants that were used by NUMC for various improvements at the hospital to the tune of that last round $\$ 24$ million. And we know that since Senator Hannon is no longer there those grants have essentially dried up. The state's almost treated the hospital in many respects as if it doesn't even exist.

So, I find it curious that two months after, less than two months after a change in administration, when new appointments are going to be made, that all of a sudden now the state has taken the interest to the point where they want to pack the board so that they can control and essentially take over what happens at the hospital. I don't know what their intentions are. What I know is what history tells me, is that that hospital has essentially been abandoned by the
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state. And this hospital is too important to our community. Is too important to our county to allow it to fail.

Whether they intend, I have no idea, whether they intend to close it. Whether they intend to privatize it. Whether they intend to take services away. Whatever that intention is $I$ think we should have on behalf of our residents none of it.

That brings us to today. I think we need leadership at that hospital that's different from the leadership that we've had before. I think that leadership is what Mr. Bruderman provides. A background in finance. Someone who has the passion for the mission. Which is the important mission of saving that hospital. Making sure that it has the resources that it needs to be able to serve underserved communities both as the only level one trauma center in our area, with an exceptional burn unit that treats our fire fighters. I've been a volunteer fire fighter for 30 years. We take patients to NUMC every single day of the week. We're confident in
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the care that they receive but they need to have the support financially to be able to do that.

I believe that Mr. Bruderman has the expertise and the passion for the mission to make sure that we succeed in saving that hospital. So that it cannot only sustain itself but it can grow and become what it once was. Not because of anything having to do with the medicine but because of years of mismanagement.

The one thing that I'm concerned about, Mr. Bruderman, is that we have to make sure that the passion for the mission that you have, you have to make sure that -- you can't allow yourself to be a distraction from the passion and from the mission. I think some of the feedback you've gotten today and some of the feedback that you've heard, I know you have taken that to heart and I know that you are going to make sure that's not the case.

But I, as a legislator, am happy to work collaboratively with you. I wish you the best of success in your mission. And I truly
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hope and pray that you succeed because NUMC is too important to all of us. I couldn't imagine it not being there. I will vote in the affirmative and congratulations.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you.
Minority Leader Kevan Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you Mike. I appreciate it.

I was listening to everyone speak and $I$ was trying to figure out what I wanted to say and how I wanted to say it. I think most importantly the folks up here and the folks out there and the residents that we serve all need this hospital to be able to remain open. We talk about it as a safety net but I think that's more of a terminology. It's a lifeline for people.

If you go into cardiac arrest you have four minutes to be resuscitated. I don't know if Dr. Boutin can correct me on that, the timing, but you have a very limited time before you could permanently lose some of your bodily functions.

This hospital serves a minority
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community that all of us care about. It's a community that I represent. I've heard from my colleagues in regards to some of the commentary that went back and forth. And I apologize for my part in that. Unfortunately, some of my colleagues paint it as it was just one person or one side. Which that's the problem that occurs many a times on this body is that we just blame one side. We're all a part of it.

I said some things. Rich, I
apologize to you. Rich said some things and then obviously Mr. Bruderman said some things. Collectively we could have done a better job.

But that being said, Mr. Bruderman, I take your comments to be offensive. I never would use the word racism and good in the same parallel. I think from that standpoint it sounds like to me you've walked back those comments. But it's not just the walk backing of the comments. And I've heard Mr. Ferretti mention cancel culture. It would be one thing if Mr. Bruderman evolved, if you evolved in
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your comment and you said it 20 years ago or two years ago and you've been a changed person. If you show and you demonstrated that you don't really think that way and believe those things or believed whatever you believe.

There's no vindictive part on my side. I can speak for myself. As I said before, I didn't even know who you were before March 6th. I had no idea. However, if you are looking to represent this body, this board, and eventually become the chairman, I should know who you are and I should care what you do and what you say. Those words do have an impact.

That being said, when you can make the reference of good racism, I'm not too sure why you had to look up racism or Google it. I would like to think that somebody that's going to serve in the capacity that you are going to serve in, serving a diverse, multicultural multi-ethnic body, I'm sorry, community such as Nassau County, that I'm not too sure you would have look that term up. You should know
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it. You're getting ready to represent a diverse population. And as it has been said before, I'm not sure you're up for this task.

This is not a personal attack.
Like I said before, I've never met you.
However, I do hope and wish that you're successful. And it's not for you per se or even for the county executive or for this body. It's important that you're successful on behalf of the residents of this county and the patients that need that hospital and the patients that can't get to a Winthrop or a South Nassau or a Northwell and they only have the medical center. Those are the people that reside all throughout this county and those people need this to be successful.

However, with that being said, I'm taking the position of voting against your appointment. That's a big shocker to many in the room. But I'm doing it for multiple reasons. And I believe that words and actions matter. Mr. Bruderman, your comments at the recent board meeting were completely and totally unsatisfying from my standpoint. Not
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someone that I would see becoming the top position of the hospital. Your financial experience definitely bodes well.

However, your comments and then
obviously some of the things that you
mentioned today just doesn't seem to me that you're up to the task. But I hope and pray that you become more comfortable and more suitable and obviously choose your words better going forward in the future. As I said before, we would want to and continue to wish you the best in that capacity but I will be voting no on your appointment today. Thank you.

MR. PULITZER: Thank you
legislator. Presiding Officer Richard Nicolello.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Thank you very much. First I want to say, Kevan, there was no need for the apology. Things got a little heated but you certainly didn't say anything to offend me. However, since you have apologized to me now I have to apologize to you so that we're even. It's like favors.
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Got to make sure the favors are even. I was apologizing.

In all seriousness, we get passionate up here, we get heated and we go back to the business of the legislature and we leave and we're all friends as we have been for years.

You start out from this
perspective. The mission of this hospital, and we all agree on this, is absolutely critical. You serve the underserved. The uninsured, the underinsured. You serve those who have economic disadvantages. You largely serve the minority populations of our county and you provide services to our first responders in many ways.

The hospital has struggled for years. It has been mismanaged. That has threatened that very mission. Even more so, it's threatened the wonderful work that our health care professionals are doing there. It has to change.

Now, Mr. Bruderman, you misspoke. We all do. You came here expressing regret
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for your misspeaking. You explained what you meant to say. I don't think you can do anything more. You're a successful businessman. You've done very well in life. You have a particular set of skills that I think we could very well use at the hospital. You are committed to turning this around. I say let's give you a chance. I vote aye.

MR. PULITZER: Mr. Nicolello, the vote reads 13 in the affirmative, six negative.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: It
passes. Congratulations Mr. Bruderman. You sure you want to say something?

MR. BRUDERMAN: All the smart people. I am long-winded but $I$ won't be. I just want to thank everyone here and say, look, I'm prepared. Grill me ten times harder about my performance and hold me accountable and watch me go and watch what this hospital can do when it's got the right leadership. I'm going to call in the outfield. We're going to fix it.

I want to thank everyone,
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especially the people that gave me a hard time today. But again, for their constituents and because I gave them good reasons to. But I'm going to work very hard for everybody and we're going to fix the hospital. And I thank you very much for your confidence and I have taken everything to heart. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Motion to adjourn. Legislator Rhoads. Seconded by Legislator Walker. All in favor of adjourning signify by saying aye. Those opposed? Carries unanimously.
(Meeting was adjourned at 2:32
p.m.)
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