



RULES COMMITTEE 01.23.2023

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We now have the Rules Committee.

Mike, could you call the roll, please, for the Rules Committee?

CLERK PULITZER: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

Legislator Siela Bynoe?
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Delia DeRiggi-Whitton?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Ranking Member
Kevan Abrahams?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer?
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Vice Chairman
Howard Kopel?
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Chairman Richard
Nicolello?
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum,

sir.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We have contracts to consider first and then we will be considering the Collective Bargaining Agreement with the Nassau County PBA. I will call the contracts at once:

B-2-23, a Resolution authorizing the County Executive to award and execute a contract between the County and W.H.M Plumbing and Heating.
$\mathrm{E}-2 ; \mathrm{E}-3$; $\mathrm{E}-4$; $\mathrm{E}-5$ and $\mathrm{E}-10$. These are Resolutions authorizing the County Executive to execute personal services agreements or amendments to personal services agreements between the County and National Development Council; Abrams Fensterman; Berkman Henoch; Wilson Elser; and Montfort Healy. Motion on those items by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel and Minority Leader Abrahams moved those items.

I am also am going to take a motion to untable E-129 and E-1 of 2023, which

are resolutions authorizing the County Executive to execute personal services agreements between the County and Wildlife in Need and Gannett Fleming. Motion by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel, seconded by Legislator Guiffre. That's a motion to untable. All in favor of untabling those items signify by saying "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".) CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed? (Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those items are untabled.

The first contract that we're going to consider --

CLERK PULITZER: Presiding Officer, John Guiffre is also counted in for the record.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Thank you. I wasn't sure if he called you
before.
Parks is first contract E-129. It's with Wildlife in Need of Rescue and Rehabilitation.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Good afternoon, everyone. Darcy Belyea, Parks Commissioner, presenting a personal services agreement with Wildlife in Need of Rescue and Rehabilitation, also known as WINOR, based out of North Massapequa.

This agreement was originally
intended to begin on November 8th, 2022
for a period of one year unless
terminated sooner, with two one year
renewal options. And the purpose of this contract is to provide the County with a stopgap measure to assist county staff at Tackapausha Museum and Preserve by providing specialized care and oversight to maintain daily operations for the variety of animals housed there. The need for this contract arose after we encountered some serious personnel issues at Tackapausha with two staff members.


WINOR has the expertise needed to provide specialized animal care, as required by the County, State and Federal permits, to house and care for animals. These permits will be renewing in the spring, and with WINOR under contract, we will have the certifications to meet the renewal requirements and pass inspections while we seek to replace the staff.

The maximum cost to the County will not exceed $\$ 27,000$ per year, funded by the Hotel/Motel Grant Tax program; \$81K total. I just want to reiterate that this will not be replacing the work of all of the employees. We still have employees on staff. It's just a supplement to that staff short term.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Any questions for Darcy?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you.


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Next contract is with Housing and Homeless Services, E-2 with the National Council for Community Development.

MR. CRANE: Good afternoon. Kevin Crane, National Office of Community Development. Item E-2-23 is an amendment to the existing contract with the National Development Council, NDC, to add \$10 million in American Rescue Plan funding or ARPA. That will supplement the funding that was already awarded for NDC to manage the Main Street Recovery Grant Program. So the existing funding has been exhausted. This will allow us to assist more local businesses with the funding.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: How will
eligible businesses apply for the funding?

MR. CRANE: NDC will establish a new online portal. It's very similar to the portal they had. They're just going to have a new link to pretty much the same

applications we used in the past.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: How are we going to get the notice out to the various businesses in our county?

MR. CRANE: Well, assuming approval today, we'll reach out to the press office tomorrow and we will arrange an announcement. We'll work with this Body as well. And if you can help us get the word out to your constituencies, that would be excellent.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right. I mean, maybe if it's possible to even do seminars or training sessions to invite them in.

MR. CRANE: We can definitely do that. We know we worked with the Boost Nassau Center in the past to do that, so we can probably reinvigorate that as well. It's a good idea.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: And is the maximum amount $\$ 10,000$ per applicant in this round as well?

MR. CRANE: Yes, it is.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: And if you received a grant in the past, can you apply for a new grant?

MR. CRANE: No. That is something that we can change in the future. But right now, NDC still believes there's a large number of businesses out there who were not able to take advantage of it. So to allow businesses that benefited in the past to receive a second grant before we've reached everyone else, we didn't think that was appropriate.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: If it turns out that not enough applications are coming in for the businesses that did not receive a grant in the past, is it possible to change so that you can open it up to the businesses that have?

MR. CRANE: Yes. There's no ARPA restriction on the amount of funding. The cap was really set because of the limited funding we had. So we can make some modifications to that if we see the demand has changed.
$\qquad$ $11=$

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right. Any other questions?

Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: He actually asked my questions. It's weird. We've been working together a while.

But I think, honestly, even maybe the closer we get to the next year, which is the date that at some point we're going to have everything allocated, maybe we would want to think about raising the $\$ 10,000$ to a more substantial amount. Again, I think a number of businesses would benefit from a second round as well.

MR. CRANE: I don't disagree. It's just a matter of available funds. So maybe what we could do is determine at some point that the demand has dried up and instead of doing a second round -and this is all open to discussion -- we could just issue additional funds to those applicants who have already participated. We could just divide the
funding up among them.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: That would be great. Well, as long yeah -- I mean, $I$ just don't want.

MR. CRANE: The second round of funding went very quickly. I think I looked and all the funding was awarded within about six, seven months of the announcement. So we'll see. I think now at this point we'll be assisting businesses who were not aware of the funding. I expect that this round will be a little slower to get out just because of the businesses that we're assisting now are those businesses that may not have taken advantage or that aren't aware. So maybe there'll be more outreach. So we'll assess as we go forward as to what the demand is.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It might be worth -- I know we have some money budgeted for PR or whatever, for various reasons. Maybe we can do like a News 12 commercial or something. I just
really think there's so many. I was at a major park today and they had no idea that being a 501c3 that they were eligible for grants. I think that the message just isn't resonating as much as we're trying. I don't know if we could even use some of this funding to promote it, but $I$ think we have to do something like News 12 or some kind of local news. MR. CRANE: I believe outreach, or at least promotion is within the NDC contract as well. So we can we can look into that and discuss that with them. Sure. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Anyone else?
Legislator Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hi, Kevin.
MR. CRANE: Good afternoon.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So I'm definitely
happy that we're going out and looking for the ones that didn't apply the first time. But $I$ do think that there are some that applied the first time that are really still desperately in need. And if

they can illustrate that they have a need, I think we should be helping them further along. So, you know, listen, I know you're putting the $\$ 10$ Million out now. I'm hoping that we're going to enlist the help of folks that can get into the nooks and crannies of communities and be able to identify those entities that did not apply before. But I do know that there's a lot of businesses that are still suffering that did get our help the first time. So if we can do a sidetrack, maybe at some point, maybe in two months, do a look back. And if we're not seeing that we're finding that many people, maybe we can split off $\$ 5$ million for the existing folks and have them demonstrate that they still have a need. I don't think we just give them money for the sake of giving them money.

MR. CRANE: Right. That's all
things that are in the discussion phase. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
$\qquad$ $15=$
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CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you, Kevin.

MR. CRANE: Thank you.
Just as an add on, we have our
public hearing for the our regular round of HUD funding this Wednesday night. So I know I sent you all invitations if you want to have the staff member attend. I know we talked about mental health needs in earlier session. Those are generally public services that are eligible for block grant funding on a normal basis. So please share that information as you can. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Next contract is with public works. We have B-2 with W.H.M and E-1 with Gannet Fleming.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Good afternoon, Ken Arnold.

B-2 is a construction contract to rehabilitate two pump stations in the City of Glen Cove. Outdated equipment will be replaced in a force main and one of the stations will be zip lined. Four bids were received. W.H.M had the lowest responsible bid, which was lower than our engineer's estimate. W.H.M.'s team includes a WBE getting 20\% of the work.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Nope. Just move right along.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: SO, E-123 is a professional engineer professional services agreement to do construction management services associated with the
$\qquad$

Bay Park Skater project. Six firms submitted, four firms were considered superior and those cost proposals were reviewed. The two firms had costs that exceeded the Department estimates. The remaining two DeBruin (phonetic) and Gannett Fleming were evaluated and Gannett Fleming was determined to be the best value. They're providing 2\% WMBE services on this contract.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any questions for Ken?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: No. Thank you.


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: And the last of the contracts are with the County Attorney's office. Starting with E-3, Abrams \& Fensterman.

MR. LIBERT: Good afternoon, legislators. Brian Libert from the County Attorney's Office. E-3 is a contract with the law firm of Abrams \& Fensterman for the case known as Commercial Concrete. It's actually Nassau County against Commercial Concrete and various other defendants. There are two different captions involved. Generally, we would refer to it as Commercial Concrete. If you have any questions, I'm more than happy to answer the same.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: This is an amendment to a special counsel contract?

MR. LIBERT: Correct. It is an amendment.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any additional funding?

MR. LIBERT: There is additional funding. I do not have the number in
front of me. The Legislators should have it in front of them. I can certainly pull it up.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. All
right. We do, actually. But I mean, I
think going forward to make that part of your presentation.

MR. LIBERT: I most certainly will.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: It's an
increase of $\$ 241,000$, I believe.
MR. LIBERT: Correct. I have those numbers, obviously. I just don't have them on my fingertips. And for the next session, $I$ will most certainly have that at the ready.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right.
Sounds good. Any questions on this contract?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: The next one is E-4 with Berkman Henoch.

MR. LIBERT: This is a contract that is also an amendment for the Powers
matter. This is a case that has actually been around for quite a while. There was what I'll refer to loosely as sort of a hold in the litigation status due to a complex appellate issue. That complex appellate issue having now been resolved, the litigation is moving forward. So that's what the amendment is for.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any questions on this contract or amendment?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: E-5, Wilson
Elser.
MR. LIBERT: E-5 is a contract with Wilson Elser for the Galloway matter. The County was previously represented by a different firm, and that firm was found to have been conflicted out by the Court. So this procurement was done on an emergency basis and relative to that finding of conflict.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Any questions on this one?
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(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: And your last one with Montfort Healy, E-10.

MR. LIBERT: This is a contract for the Thurmond and Cullom (phonetic) matter. The Legislature considered earlier a settlement in this matter. This was being handled internally within the County Attorney's office and the woman who was handling it, who was a very skilled attorney, unfortunately left; therefore, leaving us the need to hire outside counsel on this matter.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Did it go with her or to another firm?

MR. LIBERT: To another firm completely. I wouldn't speak about personnel, but she went to work for another government agency, so she couldn't take it along.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right, good. Any questions on this one? (Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right.

Thank you, Brian.
MR. LIBERT: Thank you. Have a great day.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: You, too. So any further discussion by the legislators?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public
comment on the contracts?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Hearing none.
All in favor signify by saying "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Carries unanimously.


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We have one item which is 37-23 on the Addendum, a Resolution approving a Successor Collective Bargaining Agreement via Memorandum of Understanding Between the County and the Nassau County Police Benevolent Association. Motion by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel, seconded by Minority Leader Abrahams. All right, so here we go.

MR. PERSICH: Good afternoon, Andy Persich, Office of Management and Budget. I'm here to just tee up the Item for the the amendment to the Successor Agreement for the PBA.

In short, it was a long, hard battle, I would say, to get where we need to be. But $I$ think for our men and women who wear the uniform, we should be proud and happy that we've come to an agreement. Both sides were not completely happy, but I think we reached an amendable term that is fiscally responsible and I think keeps the

membership very happy.
I'm going to give some highlights of
the agreement and if you have any
specific questions, I don't know how you want to handle this because I'm waiting for OLBR. We're working with them. So I'll field some of the questions and if you want to table it till the next Legislature meeting, we could do that.

So in a nutshell, the contract terms are from 1/1/18 through 6/30/2026. The general wage increases are 1\% for years, 2018, 2019, 2021. In 2022 and 2023, they jump up to 2.5\%. And in 2024 and 25 they go to $3 \%$. There is a stipend for a six year officer of $\$ 6,480$, and an additional stipend for a senior officer with 15 years of service. To fund some of those things we changed the new hire chart for steps.

In addition, they'll be healthcare contributions for the Blue Cross Blue Shield, and an ISHA (phonetic) plan for the pre 2014 stays at the current rate

which it's at. The healthcare
contributions are $2 \%$ of base earnings in 21; 2.25 and 22; and then in perpetuity at 2.25\% in 2023.

We are also establishing a non
contributory health plan, which is a high
deductible. It's already in place, I
should say. There will be a healthcare
buyout which is similar to the other agreements. Each member will be required
to have four additional appearances. Sick
days, four incumbents will be reduced from 26 to 24 and termination will be capped at two times earnings for any new employees.

We've settled several grievances with this matter, which will be both operationally and financially beneficial to the Police Department. The agreement in totality fits within the pattern with the other unions, which is with the DAI and the SOA members.

Again, it was a long battle, I will
say, but not a bad way. It was very
constructive negotiations. But we got to a point where after, $I$ don't know, since 2018, we now have an agreement in place for the for the PBA. So I'm here to field any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Does it fit within the budget for 2023 as well as the four year plan?

MR. PERSICH: I apologize for that.
That's one of my bullets that $I$ missed. It's conforms to what we have in the four year plan with NIFA. So it meets the criteria that we need to get to. Again, it fits the pattern that we've already established.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: So anyone else have a questions for Andy?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding
Officer, we're going to reserve the balance of our questions based off of the report from OLBR. I mean, obviously, this is all pretty much still fresh in many of our minds. So just, Andy, be ready and available, as well as you, Tatum, to
answer questions.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: I mean, in part
this is teeing it up for the Full
Legislature in two weeks.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Maurice is in the back of the room. Why don't you come up, Maurice.

MR. CHALMERS: Maurice Chalmers, OLBR. We are working on doing a course summary on this MOU, so we should have it by the sixth or we'll have it early, by the middle of next week, a few days earlier.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. So we'll have it enough time so we can digest it and develop whatever questions?

MR. CHALMERS: Absolutely.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you. All right.

Just one question for you: There's no stipend in here for body cameras, Correct?

MR. PERSICH: That was a separate

```
MOA that was done prior to this
agreement, back in I think it was in 2020
or 2021.
```

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Any other questions? Do you have any questions for the Deputy County Executive?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We're good.
Thank you.
MR. PERSICH: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any further
debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public
comment?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All in favor
signify by saying "Aye".)
(Whereupon, all members of
the Rules Committee respond i
favor with, "Aye".)
$\qquad$ $30=$
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## CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?

 (Whereupon, no verbal response.)CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Carries unanimously.

Again, this came down to us late last week. OLBR is still working on the analysis. NIFA has graciously moved their meeting, which was scheduled for this week so we can have our Legislative meeting before NIFA considers it. Their meeting will now be held after our meeting on the sixth.

All right. Any anything further? (Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Motion to adjourn, Minority Leader Abrahams, seconded by Legislator Schaefer. All in favor of adjourning, signify by saying "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Rules Committee respond in

```
favor with, "Aye".)
```




| $\$$ | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:10 } \\ & \text { answer }[2]-19: 17,29: 2 \end{aligned}$ | begin [1] $-7: 13$ <br> believes [1]-11:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10[2]-9: 11,15: 5 \\ & \$ 10,000[2]-10: 23,12: 13 \\ & \$ 241,000[1]-20: 11 \\ & \$ 27,000[1]-8: 12 \\ & \$ 6,480_{[1]}-26: 17 \\ & \mathbf{\$ 8 1 K}{ }_{[1]}-8: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 6/30/2026 [1]-26:12 | appearances $[1]-27: 12$ appellate [2] - 21:6, 21:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BELYEA }[3]-3: 4,7: 6, \\ & 8: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 8 | applicant [1] - 10:23 | beneficial [1] - 27:19 |
|  | 0 | applicants [1] - 12:24 | benefit [1] - 12:15 |
|  |  | applications [2] - 10:2, | benefited [1]-11:10 |
|  | 8th [1]-7:13 | 11:15 | Benevolent [1]-25:8 |
|  | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { apply }[4]-9: 20,11: 4, \\ & 14: 22,15: 10 \end{aligned}$ | best ${ }_{[1]}$ - 18:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \%[1]-26: 13 \\ & 1 / 1 / 18{ }_{[1]}-26: 12 \\ & 12[2]-13: 25,14: 10 \\ & 15[1]-26: 18 \\ & 1550[1]-1: 15 \end{aligned}$ | able [2] - 11:9, 15:9 <br> Abrahams [4] - 4:14, 5:22, $25: 10,31: 19$ <br> ABRAHAMS [4] - 2:8, <br> 4:15, 28:19, 29:6 <br> Abrams [3]-5:18, 19:5, 19:9 | approval ${ }_{[1]}$ - 10:6 | Between [1]-25:6 |
|  |  | approving ${ }_{[1]}-25: 4$ | bid ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:13 |
|  |  | ARNOLD [3] - 3:5, 17:5, | bids [1] - 17:12 |
|  |  | 17:22 | block [1] - 16:17 |
|  |  | Arnold [1] - 17:6 | Blue [2]-26:23 |
|  |  | arose [1] - 7:23 | body [1] - 29:23 |
| 2 |  | ARPA [2] - 9:12, 11:20 | Body [1] - 10:9 |
|  | Absolutely [1] - 29:19 add [2] - 9:10, 16:9 | arrange [1]-10:8 | Boost [1] - 10:18 |
|  |  | assess [1] - 13:19 | Brian [2]-19:7, 23:4 |
| 2\% [2] - 18:10, 27:3 | Addendum [1]-25:3 addition [1] - 26:22 | assist [2]-7:18, 9:17 | BRIAN [1] - 3:9 |
| 2.25 [1]-27:4 |  | assisting [2] - 13:11, | budget [1]-28:8 |
| 2.25\% [1]-27:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { addition }[1]-26: 22 \\ & \text { additional }[5]-12: 23, \\ & \text { 19:22, 19:24, 26:17, } \\ & \text { 27:12 } \end{aligned}$ | 13:15 | Budget [1] - 25:13 |
| 2.5\% [1] - 26:15 |  | associated [1] - 17:25 | budgeted [1]-13:23 |
| 20\% [1] - 17:15 |  | Association [1]-25:8 | Building [1]-1:14 |
| 2014[1] - 26:25 | adjourn [1] - 31:19 | assuming [1] - 10:6 | bullets [1]-28:11 |
| 2018[2]-26:14, 28:4 | adjourned [1] - 32:8 | attend [1]-16:13 | businesses [12] -9:17, |
| 2019 [1] - 26:14 | adjourning [1] - 31:21 | attorney [1]-22:13 | 9:20, 10:5, 11:8, 11:10, |
| $2020[1]-30: 3$$\mathbf{2 0 2 1}[2]-26: 14,30:$ | advantage [2]-11:9, | ATTORNEY'S [1] - 3:9 | 11:16, 11:19, 12:14, |
|  | 13:17 | Attorney's [3] - 19:4, 19:8, | 13:12, 13:15, 13:16, |
| 2022 [3]-1:20, 7:13, 26:14 | afternoon [6]-7:7, 9:6, | 22:11 | 15:11 |
| $\begin{gathered} 2023[5]-5: 25,26: 14 \\ 27: 5,28: 8,33: 14 \end{gathered}$ | 14:19, 17:6, 19:6, 25:12 <br> agency ${ }_{[1]}-22: 21$ | authorizing [3] - 5:9, 5:14, 6:2 | buyout ${ }_{[1]}-27: 10$ |
| 2024 [1] - 26:15$21{ }_{[1]}-27: 4$ | Agreement [3] - 5:6, 25:5, | available [2] - 12:18, | Bynoe [2]-4:8, 14:17 |
|  | 25:15 | 28:25 | BYNOE [5]-2:10, 4:9, |
| $22[1]-27: 4$ | agreement $[8]-7: 9,7: 12$, | Avenue [1] - 1:15 | 14:18, 14:20, 15:25 |
| $23[1]-1: 20$ 23th | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 24,25: 22,26: 4, \\ & 27: 20,28: 4,30: 3 \end{aligned}$ | award [1]-5:10 <br> awarded [2] -9:13, 13:8 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 3 t h}_{[1]}-33: 1 \\ & \mathbf{2 4}{ }_{[1]}-27: 14 \end{aligned}$ | agreements [4]-5:16, | awarded [2] - 9:13, 13:8 aware [2]-13:12, 13:18 |  |
| $25[1]-26: 15$$\mathbf{2 6}[1]-27: 14$ | 5:17, 6:4, 27:11 <br> allocated [1] - 12:11 <br> allow [2] - 9:16, 11:10 | Aye" [10]-6:10, 6:13, |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 17,23: 20,24: 6,24: 9, \\ & 30: 22,30: 25,31: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cameras }[1]-29: 23_{\text {cap }_{[1]}-11: 22} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | amendable ${ }_{[1]}-25: 24$ amendment $[7]$-9:8, | 31:25 | capped [1]-27:15 <br> captions [1] - 19:14 |
|  | 19:19, 19:21, 20:25, | B | care [3] - 7:20, 8:3, 8:5 |
| 3\% [1] - 26:16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 21:9, 21:11, } 25: 15 \\ \text { amendments }[1]-5: 16 \end{gathered}$ | B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { carries }[4]-23: 24,24: 13, \\ & 31: 5,32: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 37-23 [1]-25:3 3:21[1]-1:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { American }[1]-9: 11 \\ & \text { amount }[3]-10: 23,11: 21, \\ & \text { 12:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B-2 }{ }_{[2]}-17: 3,17: 7 \\ & \text { B-2-23 }[1]-5: 9 \\ & \text { bad }[1]-27: 25 \\ & \text { balance }[1]-28: 21 \\ & \text { Bargaining }[2]-5: 6,25: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { case }_{[2]}-19: 10,21: 2 \\ & \text { Center }{ }_{[1]}-10: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:13 } \\ & \text { analysis [1] }-31: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { certainly }[3]-20: 3,20: 9 \text {, } \\ & 20: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | ANDY [1] - 3:6 |  | certifications [1] - 8:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5}_{[1]}-15: 17 \\ & \mathbf{5 0 1 c 3}[1]-14: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Andy }[3]-25: 12,28: 18 \text {, } \\ & 28: 24 \\ & \text { animal }[1]-8: 3 \\ & \text { animals }[2]-7: 22,8: 5 \\ & \text { announcement }[2]-10: 9 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | base [1]-27:3 | certify ${ }_{[1]}-33: 10$ |
|  |  | based [2]-7:11, 28:21 | Chair [2]-2:4, 2:5 |
|  |  | basis [2]-16:17, 21:22 | CHAIRMAN [63] - 4:2, |
|  |  | battle [2]-25:18, 27:24 | 4:24, 5:3, 6:14, 6:17, |
|  |  | Bay [1] - 18:2 | 6:24, 8:19, 8:24, 9:2, |







