

| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LEGISLATOR DEBRA MULE |
| 3 | 5th Legislative District |
| 4 | *** |
| 5 | LEGISLATOR C. WILLIAM GAYLOR, III |
| 6 | 6th Legislative District |
| 7 | $\star * *$ |
| 8 | LEGISLATOR JOHN J. GIUFFRE |
| 9 | 8th Legislative District |
| 10 | *** |
| 11 | LEGISLATOR MAZI MELESA PILIP |
| 12 | 10th Legislative District |
| 13 | *** |
| 14 | LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON |
| 15 | 11th Legislative District |
| 16 | *** |
| 17 | LEGISLATOR JAMES KENNEDY |
| 18 | 12th Legislative District |
| 19 | *** |
| 20 | LEGISLATOR THOMAS MCKEVITT |
| 21 | 13th Legislative District |
| 22 | *** |
| 23 | LEGISLATOR LAURA SCHAEFER |
| 24 | 14th Legislative District |
| 25 |  |
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PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'd ask that you all please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance to be led by Legislator Tom McKevitt.
(Whereupon, the Pledge of
Allegiance is said by all.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Mike, could you please call the roll?

CLERK PULITZER: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

Roll call: Deputy Presiding Officer Howard Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
Presiding Officer Denise Ford?
LEGISLATOR FORD: (No response.)
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Siela Bynoe?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
A. Solages?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Debra


Mule?
LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator C.
William Gaylor, III?
LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator John Giuffre?
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Mazi
Pilip?
LEGISLATOR PILIP: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Delia
DeRiggi-Whitton?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator James
Kennedy?
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Thomas McKevitt?
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer?
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John


## Ferretti?

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
Drucker?
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Rose Marie Walker?

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Joshua
Lafazan?
LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Alternate Presiding Officer Denise Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you, Ma'am.
We have a quorum.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you very much.

Welcome to this Special Meeting of the Nassau County Legislature. We have one item on the agenda for today. That is Item One, Resolution 24. It's a resolution approving a successor collective bargaining agreement via


| 1 | NC FULL LEGISLATURE 02.06.23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | memorandum of understanding between the |
| 3 | county of Nassau and the Nassau County |
| 4 | Police Benevolent Association of the |
| 5 | Police Department of the County of |
| 6 | Nassau. Moved by Deputy Presiding Officer |
| 7 | Kopel, seconded by Legislator Ford. That |
| 8 | puts the Resolution before us and to |
| 9 | invite the Administration to come up and |
| 10 | make a presentation. |
| 11 | MR. PERSICH: Good afternoon, |
| 12 | Legislators. I went over this briefly in |
| 13 | the Committees, but I'll just do a real |
| 14 | high level synopsis of the agreement. |
| 15 | It's an $81 / 2$ year term, which goes |
| 16 | from one 1/1/18 to 2026. It conforms to |
| 17 | the collective bargaining pattern with |
| 18 | the other bargaining units. It fits |
| 19 | within the multi year plan and is in the |
| 20 | budget for this year. |
| 21 | The summary of what the terms are: |
| 22 | - General wage increases of $1 \%$ for |
| 23 | 2018 to 2021; 2.5\% for 2022 to 2023; and |
| 24 | 3\% for 2024 and 25. |
| 25 | - There is a stipend of \$6,480 for |

officers with six years of service and another senior stipend for officers with 15 years of service for $\$ 3,750$.

- There's a new salary chart for new hires.
- There is a health contribution, which is $2 \%$ of base earnings in 2021; 2.25 in 2022; and 2.25 in 2023 and thereafter.
- There was a healthcare buyback, which is consistent.
- We have four additional
appearances that will be made by each member.
- Sick leave for incumbents will be reduced by two days for 2023. And the termination is capped at 1.15 for new members and 18 sick days will be earned annually for the new members.

And the agreement resolves several grievances with the Police Department. And I think for both parties, I think this was an amicable way of doing it. It gives the men and women in blue a fair
and equitable contract that fits the pattern and is funded within the County's financial plan.

I'm here to field any questions and the merits of the financial piece of it. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I think you started off with saying that it fits within the pattern that's been established.

MR. PERSICH: That's correct.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: In fact, Mr. Dellaverson was involved and present for negotiations.

MR. PERSICH: The County worked and coordinated with Mr. Dellaverson so that we could get it through NIFA quickly.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: What is the estimated net cost?

MR. PERSICH: We've estimated at $\$ 158.5$ million over the total life of the deal.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: One question $I$ had and was curious about is there is these retroactive increases
going back to the beginning of 2018, but they don't get paid for some of those increases?

MR. PERSICH: No, they do. That's just going to be a one time payment is what it's going to be.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Can you explain that?

MR. PERSICH: Give me one second.
(Whereupon, off the record
discussion.)
MR. PERSICH: There is no
retroactivity for any pay raises prior to 2021. So they're getting the money, but it's just not going to be called retroactive raises. It's confusing. I know.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any way you could describe that in other terms that would be more understandable to us. So, I mean, they are getting the increase --

MR. PERSICH: But it's not
Retroactive wage. It's going to be like
a lump sum payment in 2023 is what it will be like. That's the best way I can describe it.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: So there's no compounding effect; is that what --

MR. PERSICH: In essence, Yes.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All
right. Other questions?
LEGISLATOR FORD: So on the back, pay the retroactive. We're going to pay that in 2023. How much is that going to come to?

MR. PERSICH: It's going to come to approximately -- based on the staff summary -- it's around $\$ 40$ Million is what it will be.

LEGISLATOR FORD: And that would take care of the back pay from 2018 to present?

MR. PERSICH: Correct.
LEGISLATOR FORD: 40 million.
MR. PERSICH: Right.
LEGISLATOR FORD: And then with this
looking at the pay that they get for so many years serving, what about the body cameras? Is that included in this or is that a separate --

MR. PERSICH: The body cameras were done as a separate MOA in 2021 or 2020, and that's a $\$ 3,000$ stipend that they get for wearing the cameras. That's separate and apart.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So that wouldn't be part of the contract. That's something else.

MR. PERSICH: Right. That was a separate MOA that was derived as a result of the body cameras.

LEGISLATOR FORD: All right. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Hi, Andy. How are you?

MR. PERSICH: Good. How are you?
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Good. So I understand that if you opt out of health
coverage, you get a $\$ 4,000$ for waiving family coverage and $\$ 2,000$ for
individual. Is that each year that you if you decide to opt out; you get it each year?

MR. PERSICH: That's correct.
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: That was my only question.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Andy,
you've changed the number of steps,
correct? So it's a longer time period before the officers reach their
highest --
MR. PERSICH: Yes. We've lengthened it from 9 to 11.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: And new hires, there are certain requirements that are going into effect for new hires alone, correct? That's where the steps get expanded for, for the new.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: What else are the new hires subject to?

MR. PERSICH: They're getting less less sick days and the the term pay is
capped at 1.5. So they only get 18 sick days versus 26, which is now 24 for this period for that. One of the givebacks was that.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: And I think you may have mentioned this, but there are additional tours that are required?

MR. PERSICH: Four additional tours that are required by membership.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Tell me a little bit about this, there's also a stipends involved, correct?

MR. PERSICH: Correct. So there's two stipends, one for a six year officer, which is $\$ 6,480$ after six years, that's what you're entitled to. And then anybody after 15 years gets $\$ 3,750$.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Just curious, why is it done that way as opposed to factoring it into steps and things of that nature?

MR. PERSICH: Collective bargaining, as I'm learning, it's a very unique way
of doing things. I think this was how we could meet in the middle on some of the other issues that we had, and this was the most equitable and fair way that we came up to meeting the pattern. So, you know, having been in part of the room for some of these things, this was the best way we saw fit to get this deal done. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, Andy.

MR. PERSICH: Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any
other questions you have?
LEGISLATOR FORD: Just as a follow up to the Presiding Officer, in regard to the stipend, is that issued as a separate check to the officer or is that something that's incorporated into their pay?

MR. PERSICH: It's part of the base wages, base earnings. So it will be part of their biweekly checks.

LEGISLATOR FORD: But it's an annual
payment, though, right?
MR. PERSICH: No, it's going to be
paid over 26 pay periods.
LEGISLATOR FORD: So 26 divided into $\$ 6,480$, or whatever it is?

MR. PERSICH: It's going to be part of that biweekly wages.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay. Thanks.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Bynoe, Minority Leader
Abrahams, Legislator Schaefer.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay.
Thank you. Just where it went up to an extra two days of training, is that in addition to the five days that we had when we did the police reform?

MR. PERSICH: I don't think -- the
training days for police reform in this are separate and apart I would say. They have to do for additional appearances of which some of them can be used for
training days. So the training that they
will have to do as part of the police reform is separate and apart from this
agreement. So this is giving us a means to offset some of the costs that are
associated with this deal. So they give us for additional appearances. We deem what they can they can be used for, for training and other things. But it's just a way to offset some of the costs that are associated with this.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And that's in addition to the five days. So now we're going to have a total of seven days.

MR. PERSICH: I don't want to confuse -- one is collectively bargained out. One is mandated by police reform. So the fact of the matter is, is these appearances, these four, are separate and apart from the police reform. We have to do five days. I don't know the bill in the police reform, but I'm just saying they have to do five training days that are included as part of their routine tours that they have to do.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So
that's good. I mean, I think it's a positive because, hopefully, I know we
wanted to try to bring in some more
training. If they need for mental health training, whatever we can do. I'm actually happy that that's included. So now, if I'm correct, I think we have now a total of seven days. So we could, hopefully, really utilize that to help our officers as well as our community.

MR. PERSICH: I was going to say the Police Department knows better about the training days and what they are utilized for. You know, I'm sure there's more than four that they do. There's multiple of things that they're doing.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And just my last quick question: Have you had any feedback from NIFA?

MR. PERSICH: They're aware of the deal. I would think that the fact that we used one of their negotiators who was involved in it. I think they're receptive to what's happening. But $I$ don't want to speak for them and the Board. But I do believe that I think this should pass the

sniff test, for lack of a better thing, with NIFA.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So
that's also a positive. Thank you, Andy.
MR. PERSICH: Yup.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Minority Leader Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are you, Andy?

MR. PERSICH: Good afternoon, Minority Leader.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Good. I just want to make sure I'm clear on the topic of the re-opener, and $I$ want to just piggy back a little bit on the Presiding Officer's questions in regards to the stipend versus the step.

If I'm understanding you correctly, I mean, I'm not going to get into the negotiations between the County and the PBA. But if I understand you correctly, this was a fairer, more equitable way to do the compensation for police officers by doing a stipend rather than a step,
correct? Or included in the steps.
MR. PERSICH: I would say it was part of the whole process, yes.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Was that process incorporated into the SOA or the DIA contracts?

MR. PERSICH: I can't mix the deals up because there was different nuances in each of the the other two collective bargaining units. But let me just answer the question as best I can. I don't think there's anything in this agreement that will strike a re-opener with the other collective bargaining units because it fits the pattern of the 1476. And that's basically the nutshell of how will these MOAs and MOUs with arrived.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So I guess that I guess that's really the nut of this. So walk me through that so I can understand it better.

MR. PERSICH: Let me put it to you this way, we've established pattern bargaining, which is what we've
established. And we came up with a number that meets the criteria for both the County and NIFA as far as what pattern bargaining would look like, and that is 1476. So each one of these labor deals has nuances that fit within the 1476. They will vary based on appearances, other things that were give back. But that's what the premise of the 1476 and pattern bargaining is. So we came up -we do a costing sheet and it says we we value this at 1476 . Both sides agree to it and that's how we get to the 1476. And this agreement, along with the other previous agreements, conform to the 1476.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And Mr.
Dellaverson agrees that the County
followed the 1476 pattern?
MR. PERSICH: Yes, he did.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And just for
the record, Mr. Dellaverson was a part of
the SOA and DAI negotiations between
those collective bargaining?
MR. PERSICH: Yes, he was.
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right. So give me a second. Make sure I just covered all my topics (perusing). Nothing further for now, Presiding Officer.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. Thanks for now, Andy. Stick around.

MR. PERSICH: Thank you. Yeah, we'll be here. No worries.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Appreciate it.

I'd like to invite Maurice Chalmers up from the Office of Legislative Budget Review.

MR. CHALMERS: Maurice Chalmers Budget Review. You want me to go over the numbers?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Yes.
MR. CHALMERS: We did a quick costing of the the MOU also, and we came up with approximately $\$ 167.1$ million in that cost. In terms of what's in the budget, the budget did anticipate this
contract, this MOU, and there is
sufficient funding to cover this MOU in the budget.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I
should probably have asked Andy this, but what about the four year plan?

MR. CHALMERS: The multi year plan builds off the 2023 budget and carries through also.

MR. PERSICH: Yes. The multiyear plan included the collective bargaining settlements for this year and the out years, too.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: So it's within the parameters of that plan?

MR. PERSICH: Correct. That NIFA approved October of last year. These these collective bargaining units were included in the multiyear plan.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. Thank you. Any other any questions for Maurice?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are you, Maurice?
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MR. CHALMERS: Very well, thank you. LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Based on your report, and $I$ know just based on what you just said, the cost over $81 / 2$ years is $\$ 167.1$, correct, as per your office?

MR. CHALMERS: Correct.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And I don't
know if you had a chance to review what what OMB had provided, but obviously they had the cost a little bit lower at \$158.5. And I just wanted you for the record just to clarify the difference between --

MR. CHALMERS: Correct. They had it at $\$ 158.5$, and we have $\$ 8.6$ million more. When we did our costing, we use inflators for the additional cost for term pay differential and some other costs. We use higher inflators than they did. And that's truly the the difference between our costs and their costs.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So there's no cost in the contract that you see that they just underestimated other than the

inflators that's tied to --
MR. CHALMERS: That is correct. We agree with what their projection. It's just that we use higher inflators.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay, fair enough. Thank you, Maurice.

MR. CHALMERS: Welcome.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any
other questions from Maurice?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you. That's it, right? No more presentation for the Administration.

All right. Any public comment?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response from the public.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Before
we proceed, I wanted to extend my
congratulations to president of the PBA, Thomas Shevlin. I understand this
contract passed his membership by a vote of $93 \%$. I think as presented by the administration, and as confirmed by the

Office of Legislative Budget Review, this is a fair, equitable contract, and it fits within the pattern bargaining that's been established by NIFA. So I think it's in the interest of our county residents to to approve this this this deal. So anyone else want to add anything?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: On behalf of our caucus as well, obviously, this has going through a very, very stringent criteria. The 1476 pattern demonstrates for the County and, quite frankly, for
our taxpayers, that there are no
potential re-openers. I think that's the most important thing. Right now all of these agreements are within the budget constraints of the County and we want to make sure that it remains that way. And at the same time, it provides fair and equitable contracts to our police officers. So from our standpoint, we see things the same way and we plan to vote in the affirmative for this contract as well.
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PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you.

All in favor signify by saying,
"Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Full Nassau County
Legislature respond in favor with, "Aye".)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Passed by a vote of 18 to nothing.

Motion to adjourn by Legislator
Walker, second by Legislator Pilip.
All in favor of adjourning. Signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Full Nassau County
Legislature respond in favor
with, "Aye".)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Those opposed?
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| 1:27 [1] - 1:15 | A | ARNOLD ${ }_{[1]}-4: 5$ <br> Arnold [1] - 8:4 |  | correct [12]-11:11, |
| 1st ${ }_{[1]}$ - $2: 16$ | Abrahams [2] - 18:10, 21:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { associated [2] - 19:2, } \\ & \text { 19:7 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:20, 16:14, 20:6, } \\ & \text { 22:2, 25:17, 26:6, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | ABRAHAMS [14]- <br> 2:14, 21:9, 21:13 | Association [1] - 9:4 | CARRIE [1]-2:21 carries [1]-25:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:3, 31:11 } \\ & \text { correctly }[2]-21: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| 2\% [1]-10:8 | 22:5, 22:19, 23:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avenue }[1]-1: 11 \\ & \text { aware }[1]-20: 19 \\ & \text { Aye" }[4]-29: 5,29: 9, \\ & 29: 19,29: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { caucus }[1]-28: 10 \\ & \text { certain }[1]-15: 18 \\ & \text { certify }[1]-31: 10 \\ & \text { Chalmers }[2]-24: 14 \text {, } \\ & 24: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 21:22 |
| 2.25 [2]-10:9 | 23:21, 24:2, 25:24, |  |  | cost [6] - 11:19, 24:24, |
| 2.5\% [1] - 9:23 | 26:3, 26:8, 26:23, |  |  | 26:5, 26:11, 26:18, |
| 2018[3]-9:23, 12:2, | 27:6, 28:9 | B |  | 26:24 |
| 13:20 | add [1] - 28:8 |  |  | costing [3]-23:12,$24: 22,26: 17$ |
| 2020 [1]-14:7 | addition [2]-18:14, |  | CHALMERS [8] - $24: 17,24: 21,25: 8$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2021[4]-9: 23,10: 8, \\ & 12: 15,14: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 19:9 additional $[6]-10: 13$, | bargained [1] - 19:13 bargaining [13]-8:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 17,24: 21,25: 8, \\ & 26: 2,26: 7,26: 15, \\ & 27: 3,27: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { costs [5] - 18:25, 19:6, } \\ & 26: 19,26: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2022[2] - 9:23, 10:9 | 16:8, 16:10, 18:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 9: 17,9: 18,16: 24, \\ & 22: 11,22: 15,22: 25, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY [2] - 1:2, } \\ & 31: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2023[8]-1:14, 9:23, | 19:3, 26:18 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:3, 27:8 } \\ & \text { chance }[1]-26: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 10:9, 10:17, 13:2, | adjourn [1] - 29:16 |  | changed $[1]$ - 15:11 chart [1] - 10:5 | county [2] - 9:3, 28:6County $[12]-1: 10$, |
| 13:13, 25:9, 31:14 | adjourned [2] - 30:5, |  |  |  |
| 2024[1] - 9:24 | 30:7 | $\begin{gathered} 25: 12,25: 19,28: 4 \\ \text { base }[3]-10: 8,17: 20, \end{gathered}$ | chart [1] - 10:5 <br> check ${ }^{11}$ - 17:18 <br> checks [1] - 17:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { County [12] - 1:10, } \\ & \text { 8:21, 9:3, 9:5, 11:15, } \\ & \text { 21:21, 23:4, 23:18, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2026[1] - 9:16 | adjourning [1] - 29:18 | 17:21 |  |  |





