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PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
I'm going to call this hearing of the County Legislature to order. I'll ask Legislator Lafazan, please lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Whereupon, the Pledge of
Allegiance is recited.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Mike,
could you please call the roll?
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you,
Presiding Officer.
Roll call. Deputy Presiding Officer Howard Kopel?

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
Presiding Officer Denise Ford?
LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Siela Bynoe?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
A. Solages?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Debra

Mule?
LEGISLATGOR MULE: Here.
Legislator C. William Gaylor, III.
LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Present.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John
Giuffre?

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Mazi Pilip?
LEGISLATOR PILIP: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Delia
DeRiggi-Whitton?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator James
Kennedy?
(Whereupon, no response.
Not present at this time.)
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Thomas
McKevitt?
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.

CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer?
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John
Ferretti is streaming and listening to

the meeting. Good afternoon, John.
Legislator Arnold Drucker?
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Rose Walker?
LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Joshua Lafazan?

LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Minority Leader
Kevan Abrahams?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Presiding Officer
Richard Nicolello?
LEGISLATOR NICOLLELO: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you very much.

And now please read the call for a public hearing.

CLERK PULITZER: The Nassau County legislator will hold a public meeting on Monday, February 27, 2023, at 1 p.m., at
which time the Legislature will address
Clerk Item 33-23, a Local Law to amend

Annex A of the County Government Law of

Nassau County to describe the 19
Legislative Districts based upon the 2020
Federal Census data and any proposed amendments, thereto. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I'd
like to get a motion to open the public hearing with respect to Clerk Item 33 of
23. Moved by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel, seconded by Legislator Ford.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All in
favor of opening the hearing signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Nassau County Legislature
respond in favor with, "Aye".)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Those
opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: The hearing is now open.


So I have a brief statement that I'm going to read. Then I'll turn the floor over to our Minority Leader, and then we'll open it up to public for public comment. Again, anyone wishing to speak, please submit a slip at the table over there (indicating). Put your name on the list and we'll call you in the order that you submitted the slip.

Today's hearing will be the final hearing of the Legislature regarding the 2023 redistricting process. We will vote to adopt a final plan later on at this evening's meeting of the Legislature. I'm offering the following to be part of the record. A report from Troutman Pepper, dated February 27, 2023, titled "Proposed Revised Redistricting Plan for Nassau County Legislative Districts"; Second, "Supplemental Analysis of County Legislative Districts in Nassau County", New York from Dr. Megan Gall, dated February 22, 2023; A memorandum Dr. Daniel Magleby, dated February 24, 2023.

I'm also offering for the record of correspondence between myself and the Minority Leader. There was letters from me to the Minority Leader dated January 4, 2023, and February 10, 2023, and a letter from the Minority Leader to me dated February 14, 2023.

Also, for the record, $I$ just wanted to note that we met with the Minority on January 13th and February 14, 2023, to discuss the process and solicit their input into the creation of new district lines.

Our hearing today builds on the record developed at both the Temporary District Advisory Commission and through our legislative process. It is from that record, we have advanced the amended map designed to incorporate many of the proposals that have been voiced throughout the process into a final amended map that we filed on February 21st.

Specifically, we have heard from

Legislator Arnold Drucker and the residents that Plainview and Old Bethpage are a strong community of interest. The amended map combines these communities into a single district in proposed LD 16. The change was not made for the purpose of helping or harming any incumbent, but based on the community of interest considerations only. Legislator Solages offered testimony in favor of placing Elmont into a single district. The amended map unifies the vast majority of Elmont with a small portion contained in District Eight. Legislator Solages also asked that the Mill Brook community be returned to District Three. The amendment would restore a portion of Mill Brook to District Three.

Based on testimony we heard from the public and legislators, the amended map reduces the number of times that the

Village of Hempstead has been split from
3 to 2. The amended map keeps South Hempstead together, as requested by


Legislator Mule and residents; unites Westbury and New Cassel, as requested by Legislator Bynoe and residents; unifies Baldwin and Uniondale, as was requested by public testimony. And lastly, keeps the Five Towns together, a concern that was voiced at the TDAC hearings.

The map before the Legislature today
complies with all applicable federal and state law, the United States

Constitution, the Federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, the New York State

Constitution and New York State law in all respects. In this regard, the Majority has relied upon the advice of Troutman Pepper, as reflected in the report that's been incorporated into the record. I will now turn the floor over to Minority Leader Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

So first, I'm thankful for the folks that are here today. Even though I know snow is imminent, it's coming down;
hopefully, this process will come to some type of resolution before the snow starts to come in.

Though I have respect for my colleagues to the right, I couldn't disagree more. Let's start at the beginning. They have made some changes to the map. But let's be clear, the map that's before us today is still an illegal document. Why is it illegal? Because you're going to hear a lot about that back and forth today. Well, number one, it violates the Federal Voting Rights Act. How does it do so? Because it dilutes minority votes across the board. Lakeview, Freeport, across the board. The minority vote cannot be diluted.

I was looking forward to questioning Mr. Tseytlin, who was here at the last hearing, again, because $I$ still have a problem with his approach by his own testimony, that he does not look at race when the map was drawn. However, race is a major component when you're looking at
the Federal Voting Rights Act to determine not diluting minority votes. He can't reconcile that. That being said, the map is illegal, but let's move forward.

As I said before, the town of Lakeview, the town of Freeport, where I live, predominantly minority/majority communities, their votes are being diluted, 100\%; you cannot spin it any other way. There's going to be folks here from Lakeview that are going to speak to that. But just speaking on behalf of the residents of Freeport, which is a lovely community made up of all different races and backgrounds. However, the areas of northwest and northeast Freeport are predominantly minority/majority, and now those districts and those areas are now being put into predominantly broader communities that will dilute their vote. So from that standpoint, I don't see how their votes and how their power in this particular process is not being diluted.

Second, the John Lewis Provision indicates that when possible, which we have demonstrated before and seen again it is possible, that you have five Minority/Majority districts. This map, as by testimony by the Republican majority, only has four. For some reason, they have chosen not to include a fifth Minority/Majority. I'm guessing it's based off the testimony of Mr. Tseytlin that indicates that he did not look at race. However, the John Lewis Provision is exactly doing that. It's asking you to look at race to make sure it's applied appropriately. So it doesn't make much sense in terms of how that reconciliation actually occurs.

We asked multiple times over and over again for Mr. Trende, who put together the analysis as per Harkenrider v. Hochul, put together the analysis to come here and explain that analysis so that we can actually see exactly what he tried to do. Dr. Magleby, who put
together an analysis for the TDAC
Democratic members, did that. He
explained that his analysis is very similar to the analysis that was used in Harkenrider versus Hochul. The one difference, though, that no one will really own up to is the measurement. In the Trende analysis, he uses the measurement of gubernatorial years, but there's no one up here of the 19 of us that gets elected in gubernatorial years. So when you use that measurement and you show a graph and a bar and you say that we fall within the lines of being a non-partisan or less partisan gerrymandered map, you're using a different measurement. The measurement that Dr. Magleby used was a measurement using local elections: County executive, county clerk, district attorney. All elections where this Body actually gets elected. So, ultimately, it's not a question of who's right and who's wrong. It's what's more effective and what's the
most appropriate approach.
So from that standpoint, we stand to believe that because we don't get elected in gubernatorial years, unless it's a special election or something of that magnitude, it makes more sense to apply the approach that Dr. Magleby has talked about.

Look, I want to get to the folks that are here today. I know there's many people here from from the Lakeview Community, Hempstead Community, Freeport Community. Obviously, those are all communities that are being impacted by their votes being diluted. But most importantly, the one thing $I$ can stress today to my Republican colleagues is this: We have an opportunity. If the disagreement is between Mr. Trende and Dr. Magelby's approach, we have an opportunity today, they have an opportunity today, to instruct their consultant to run the measurement based off of what Dr. Magleby did. If they
don't do that, let me explain what happens. If they don't do that, they are putting their heads in the sand and, therefore, saying that they do not want to see what that those numbers look like. Why? Why don't you want to see what Dr. Magleby already generated? I'll tell you why. The reason is very clear. It's because it's going to show exactly what Dr. Magleby is saying. If you change the measurement to county executive, DA, county clerk years, it's going to show exactly what he's saying. But instead, I read through Mr. Tseytlin's report -- or I'm not too sure who drafted this from Troutman Pepper -- and that indicates that they're more trying to impugn his work. They're trying to discredit his work, when it's clear it's just the measurement. That's the big difference, of when we measure that particular election. But if they choose not to run that report the way Dr. Maglbey has done, we are going down a path that's going to
ensure there will be litigation and suing this county. Because that particular
measurement, that particular analysis
that needs to be done could very well be asked to be done right now. We can take the time, I'm sure, by a push of a button on a computer, Mr. Trende can generate that analysis, but we are choosing not to have him generate that analysis. And the bigger question is: Why? They won't give you an answer why. They're going to say that they stand by Mr. Tseytlin's
testimony that racial makeup should not be incorporated into redrawing the map. I look out into the audience today, I think the folks here beg to differ.

That being said, we are seeing that the community of Freeport, the community of Lakeview, their votes are dramatically being diluted in this process, and the only thing that's stopping any level of litigation is politics. That's it.

Nothing else. From our standpoint, the Republican Majority is now being
forewarned. That if this analysis is not
to take place, very clearly, there's going to be third party lawsuits out there and the County will be sued on various different things that $I$ just mentioned just now: Minority/Majority districts, dilution of votes; it's clear as day. We're going down a path where we're going to be asking you, the taxpayers, to pay the bill. Keep in mind, law firms that sue the County, their costs and expenditures are reimbursed by Nassau County. The costs are not taken on by them and then they forever stay with them. Their costs are reimbursed by Nassau County. So if Nassau County generates a bill to basically defend this case, they not only have to pay the counsel that's here to represent this

Legislative Body and the County
Executive, and whoever else is named in
the lawsuit, they are also responsible
for paying the fees of the petitioner and the plaintiffs millions of dollars just
because of politics.
So from that standpoint, we are
being forewarned today that we have an opportunity to do everything we can, look at the Trende analysis, ask for it to be done a different way, as per Dr. Magleby, just to cover ourselves as an institution. But they're choosing not to. The bigger question is why? Thank you, Presiding Officer.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you. We'll start with the public comment. Jonathan Prevost.

MR. PREVOST: Good afternoon, elected officials, residents of Nassau County. My name is Jonathan Prevost, and I'm here today to discuss the issue that Minority Leader Abrahams has just brought up regarding the redistricting.

Before I address my points, I like to point out some of the demographic changes that have occurred here in Nassau County since our last census was taken in 2010. The African American community has

seen an increase of 11\%, and between 2010 and 2020, there has been a 10\% increase. The Native American and Alaska Native Community has seen a 96\% from 2010; the Asian American community has seen a 59\% increase from 2010; Native American and other Pacific Islanders, 7.3\% increase; and those who self identify as other race, 153.5\% increase from 2010. What are these numbers telling us? Nassau County is changing. The demographic in which we are being represented is consistently being changed to reflect a changing demographic. These maps that have been proposed do not reflect that.

I'm a resident of LD-1. The LD-1 map that has been presented, looks nothing similar what the standard should be for a fair and just Minority/Majority map that should be representative of the people. Similar situations for LD-2 and LD-3. Three strong legislative districts with strong minority communities.

According to the ACLU, the Supreme


Court deems vote dilution as certain electoral laws, practice or structures interacts with social and historical conditions that cause an inequality and opportunities enjoyed by black and white voters to elect their preferred representatives. Furthermore, as Minority Leader Abrahams stated, the John R. Lewis Voting Right Act of New York -- now, I
know some of your attorneys, so Page
Four, Section Two, lines 10-12 for your references -- states, it prohibits against vote dilution. No Board of Elections political subdivision shall use any methods of election having the 12 effects of impairing the ability of members of a protected class to elect candidates of their choice or influence in the outcomes of an election. Violation of paragraph A in this subdivision shall be established upon showing that a particular subdivision used at large methods in election in either voting patterns of members of protected class
within the political subdivision of racially polarized, under the totality of the circumstances, the ability of the members of the protected class to elect candidates of their choice or influence outcomes. What are we doing here? We are setting precedent for the next ten years of Nassau County. We are addressing what will come next if we allow this map to proceed. What message are we saying to our changing neighborhoods? What message are we sending to the future residents of Nassau County when you come here, their votes matter.

These people behind me have come in today to share their opinions about the situation at hand because they felt it was important to them, and not only them, the next generation of Nassau County residents. So I implore you to please reconsider this map and consider the points I've made here today. Thank you (applause).

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank

you. Thank you, Mr. Prevost. Judy Cataldo.

MS. CATALDO: Can I speak later?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: This
is your opportunity, Ms. Cataldo.
MS. CATALDO: Hi, Judy Cataldo, Bellmore. I'm just here to question. Why won't you look at the constituents, what we're asking for and redo the maps according to what the Democratic representative was speaking about. Like, I don't understand why you just won't go through the system and just explain to us, maybe perhaps there's a different perspective to look how you districted. Do you get to answer or is it like a non option?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You
have you have three minutes.
MS. CATALDO: That's okay. I just
want an answer. I'll use my time for you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: As I
indicated before, the number of changes that were made with the input of the


Minority and with residents, that we had made to the current map. So we have made a large number of changes to our map, the one that's being proposed today, based on community input, based on the comments of Legislators.

MS. CATALDO: So what if I'm interpreting what you're saying, which I'm thankful that you're responding, is that you made certain provisions, but you're not making total provisions and taking total consideration of what the impact of the area is?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We've taken consideration of everything that's been said and every proposal and every suggestion, but we were unable to accomplish every one of those suggestions.

MS. CATALDO: Well, okay. Thank you for your time. I totally don't agree, and I think everybody else won't agree with that, too. Correct? Can we hear a loud, yes?
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(Whereupon, the public responds.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right, Mr. Cataldo. Thank you. Ellen Smiley.

MS. SMILEY: I'm here to protest the map that was adopted by the Town of Hempstead Board and the changes that have come since that meeting. It breaks apart communities and significantly dilutes minority votes. Minorities now make up $47 \%$ of the population of Nassau. Yet, that significant percentage of the population, nearly half, has only one representative district in Nassau, that being Hempstead, which has now been broken into two districts. This is, in essence, voter disenfranchisement at its worst. It's a terrible black stain on the reputation of Nassau County that must be rectified to ensure proper representation for a large segment of our population.

We must ensure that there are five

Minority/Majority districts to guarantee full representation of nearly half of our population. This map that has been approved by the Town of Hempstead Board doesn't do that and it's disgraceful. We must do better for our residents. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Scottie Coads.

MS. COADS: Good afternoon, everyone. I have a request. I want to turn this microphone around, if that's okay. I want to speak to the audience.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: This is your opportunity to speak to us, not the audience. So please direct the comments this way.

MS. COADS: Well, I thought I would ask first.

Before I begin, let me just thank legislator Kevin Abrahams, our Minority

Leader; Siela Bynoe, our legislator;
Carrie A. Solags, our legislator; and all who are on the progressive side, thank

you very much for your efforts and fighting for us. Kevan, I don't have -Legislator Abrahams -- forgive me if I say Kevan, because I know you for so long -- I thank you for being very explicit in your explanation about how they misused and abused their decision to crack and pack our communities. I'm so upset about what's going on in Lakeview that $I$ don't know how to describe the feelings that I have. What I'm going to try to be as diplomatic as possible.

This is my ninth time coming before you, this Body asking you to be fair and equitable in your decision in drawing maps. The person who stood in front of us last week or the week before, whatever day you fumbled through things, it's a disgrace that we had to hear all of how he came to a conclusion of moving Lakeview, cracking Lakeview, cracking District Two. How did he come to that conclusion? It's beyond me.

I'm not going to cry here because

I'm a big lady. I've cried at home. My district that's been in play has been in existence for 43 years. It took 2023 for this body on the conservative side to decide that we should not have a voice anymore.

On August 31st, your very first redistricting hearing, I, along with many other Nassau County residents, stood before you and passionately requested that you keep our districts together. We Lakeview residents, and other organizations, and Nassau residents were here from the beginning with the same request. And here we are at the end of the hearings, making the same requests, pleading with you to keep us together.

This time, the majority legislators
have put Lakeview in the worst electoral position since 1980, 43 years ago.

What is this redistricting? The
reason I asked if I could talk to the audience. Many people know what redistricting is about, but many don't.

I'll say it anyway. So they can hear me, I'll speak loud. Redistricting is the process by which states and the jurisdictions within them, redraw the lines that encompasses electoral districts. These districts are geographical areas from which political representatives -- many of you sitting there might understand what I'm saying -are elected on local, regional, state and federal levels. The residents of each electoral district vote for who will govern them and represent them in the US House of Representatives, State Legislature, county commission, city councils, school boards and more.

According to the US Constitution, all electoral districts within a given redistricting map must contain approximately the same number of people. That's the starting of redistricting. When it comes to redistricting, the map drawing, there are three things residents must be aware of in the map
drawing process: One, Cracking.
Fragments of minority population
disperses them among other districts to ensure that all districts are white. Perfect example is Lakeview. Lakeview was cracked by being put in four conservative districts where we have no common interest, whatsoever. None. Yes, we have a school district with Malverne, Lynbrook, Lakeview, but school district has nothing to do with fair drawing of lines.

Stacking is number two. Stacking combines large minority population with great number of white voters in order to ensure districts are majority white.

Packing. Packing concentrates as
many minorities as possible to minimize the number of districts where the majority are members of a majority. But you all who did the maps know this already. You really don't care. We, the voters are supposed to choose candidates. But when lawmakers draw districts line to
entrench one party, one political power, some votes count more than others. We want to have individuals elected already in place with the fight to protect our community.

I'm first to tell you, it's not about the individuals who sit there facing me. We happen to luck out in our district. In District Two, we got a fantastic elected official, in District One and in District Three. Let me say, however, maps should be drawn with community cohesion in mind and not division. You've divided us, and that's not what you're supposed to do. Lines should not be drawn that divides communities of interests, which creates difficulty to find representatives to champion their cause and advocate for them.

Yes, I'm supposed to speak to you, but I hope everybody is listening.

Lakeview brings to the four districts about six plus thousand votes. One of the
four districts got 20,000. How are we supposed to ever elect anybody with common interests if you keep this map with Lakeview being where you have put us? My request tonight is, however, that the maps should be redrawn for Lakeview, Freeport, Hempstead.

Legislator Kevan, I say again. I
take my hat off to you. I don't have to speak any of the numbers because you spoke them already and you step right up to the plate. We realize also that our minority communities are generally the ones that must always fight for services that many times are automatically given with ease to other communities, such as repairing roads and many entitlements of federal, state and local services.

Let me go to gerrymandering that you have done to Lakeview. We all are familiar with gerrymandering. Back in 2013, I made a passionate plea on behalf of the NAACP to our brothers and sisters across the state of New York to join with
us and to support us as we continue to fight against gerrymandered district maps that were designed by Nassau County Majority Party for the Nassau County residents. The Majority map would have moved more than 300 residents to accommodate the gerrymandering effort and control and power electorally. You have taken a community that has been in place for 43 years. I repeat, yes, 43 years. And turning it upside down all in the name of power. You that hungry for power that you would destroy my entire community, that we have no voting power? None. None. We are conscientious voters in Lakeview that have managed to choose our representatives well, and we did. Now you're taking the opportunity to select our representatives that will not fight for our issues.

I did an analysis. There are four great election districts in Lakeview. There's six altogether. But the four I'm referring to, I can tell you the numbers
because I did the analysis. Blank voters, 39.5\%; conservative voters, 85.71\%;

Democrats, the true active voters:
$2,502,2,307$ that voted in 2022. We had a total vote. The republicans had 304 votes. Tell me why you put me, why you put us, with four conservative districts. Because you need our votes, but you're not giving us a fair chance at the representatives we want.

Yeah, I'm upset. I came to Long Island in '78, worked my butt off to grow the district. We all did. I don't like to use I or me. We all worked hard to make our community strong. Then you take it away from us and throw it away where we don't have any votes at all.

I learned from whence I came from the deep south. Got on a train. And years ago when $I$ was a kid, scared to death of being accused of anything, we could not stop anywhere to eat. Anybody who traveled from the south travel with fried chicken in a shoe box. Yes, we worked
our way through buroughs. Now, fast
forward to '78, coming to Long Island up
South. Did I know I would end up up south? This is definitely what we're dealing with. North Carolina can do better with redistricting than we're doing in Nassau County. It's a doggone shame.

We are sick. We are tired. We are tired of fighting for everything that we ever gain. And then you come along in 2023 and you take it away just like that with the flick of the pen. I asked you before, can you do something about putting Lakeview back in District Two? It can be done. Lakeview could have been the fifth Minority/Majority district. But instead, you took it away.

I guess I'm not going to have the opportunity to speak to you again, but I hope and pray that I don't have to worry about the mere fact that this girl that came from Charleston, South Carolina, came to Nassau County to be mistreated
by. Some colleagues that $I$ was at the town board together with. And I remember inviting you in 2013 to come to Kennedy Park as I went from one community to the next to explain to my community what redistricting was all about. And then you said to me, Majority Leader, see,

Scottie, I promised you I would come and
I came. This is the same Scottie that invited you to come. And I know the party persuasion was different, but what we do is the right thing for our community and for our people.

Please, do what you can to make me gain some kind of confidence back in Nassau County. Oh, yes. My folks over here have done their thing. I appreciate Kevan, Siela, Carrie A., and all of the others. I'm just only talking about the minority district right now and that you have torn apart. You've torn Kevan Abrahams' district apart. You put some of it back together for Siela and some of it back for Carrie A, but you left us
stranded with not even one iota of a vote for anybody that can support us and represent us.

I thank you for listening and I thank you for the extra time (applause).

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, Scottie.

MS. MEREDAY: In honor of Black
History Month. I find it important at
this point, as $I$ did at one of the
hearings, to share. This is the closing
verse, the last stanza of the Negro
National Anthem:
"God of our weary years,
God of our silent tears,
Thou who has brought us thus far on
our way;
Thou who has by Thy might
Led us into the light,
Keep us forever in the path, we pray.

Lest our feet stray from the places, our God, where we met Thee;

Lest, our hearts drunk with the wine
of the world, we forget Thee;
Shadowed beneath Thy hand, May we forever stand.

True to our God,
True to our native land."
We stand here today on the blood,
sweat and tears of our ancestors. The
often free labor that built structures
that others felt it was their entitlement
to desecrate. And I'm talking about the
US Capitol.
I stand today on a structure that my ancestors directly laid the concrete, the foundation for. And I stand here today in 2023, 102 years since burning of black business communities. The desecration of the people who gave their very lives, including one million who served in World War II for what they felt was a double victory, not just victory in Europe and Japan, but victory in America. It wasn't until 1948 after World War II was over that blacks -- that the desegregation of the armed forces. So needless to say, my
ancestors have more than a notion in
terms of how they have been disrespected and disenfranchised.

But I stand here today to speak for them and for the other voices of the men and women of my community. I recently saw a documentary that said from "Swastika to Jim Crow" that spoke to the relationships between the black and Jewish communities, particularly during World War II, and United Front when you had white conservatives that were discriminating against blacks and Jews, the coalitions that were formed, the Freedom Riders who couldn't travel. As Scottie Coads said, they had to bring their chicken and their bread in a shoebox. They could not use public facilities.

And I stand here today in the midst of a disenfranchised process, in the midst of a country where you have state leaders that are trying to say racism doesn't exist, diversity is not necessary, and we are all in a
post-racial society. The lies. The lies. The lies.

I recognize Legislator Drucker's impassioned speech considering the line was drawn straight down his block, and he had to cross the street to get in his own district, and the residents of the now reunited Plainview and Old Bethpage, I recognize that. What $I$ don't recognize is the number of residents who stood before you or stood before a Commission that at one point the Republican Delegation left the room, the stenographer refused to record, and taxpayers braved the rain to speak their issues, but Malverne's voices, Lakeview's voices were not heard. It's not addressed in this well impassioned, one sided commentary. Where is that? Where is the justice for that?

There's recognition of a district that was formed or kept whole because of the views of the Orthodox Jewish
community. I recognize that. They wanted to be kept whole. They wanted to have
their common interests recognized and supported. I'm fine with that. There was a question that was asked of this Body that was not answered. Because a number of representatives on this side spoke to the possibility of them not being seated there come the next election with this map. Not one person on this side spoke up and said, yes, my position is compromised. That is telling to me. The two primary villages, Incorporated Villages of Hempstead, is still split. The accommodation is, well, it was three, now we made it two. They wanted one. There were four residents that spoke about Plainview and Old Bethpage. Four. There are anywhere from 30 to 40 to 50 residents from Malverne and Lakeview. Where was their voice heard? Because it will not be heard in the election polls. It will not be heard in the distribution of state and federal funding. Why is that? Who is going to speak for us. There are counties in
upstate New York that have maybe less than 500,000 residents and they have upwards of 40 districts. If the census requires that, we are entitled to up to five Majority/Minority districts, then we need more than 19. We need to have our voices heard. We need to have our votes count.

It's interesting that the County Executive can show up in Garden City for no casino meeting, but refuse to show up in Uniondale despite many requests to come. That is telling to me.

My last statement. We know that these maps, as was poignantly stated by the Minority Leader, are illegal on its face. We know the Town of Hempstead maps are illegal. I commend you, Presiding Officer, for at least making some changes because the Supervisor gave us no courtesy and no respect. These maps, the work is not done. We will wait because it's in violation. They do reinforce racial stereotypes. They do undermine a
representative democracy. And we still must overcome. Thank you (applause).

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Dave Mejias.

Let the record reflect that
Legislator Kennedy is in the room.
MR. MEJIAS: Thank you, Presiding Officer, for having me and letting me speak. This has been a very vigorous process. The Democrats and the Temporary District Advisory Commission have done everything we possibly could to be as transparent as possible with everything that TDAC has done. Our delegation provided comprehensive reports from two nonpartisan experts, including four from demographer and racially polarized voting expert, Megan Gall. She testified at the TDAC Committee meeting. We provided five reports from our political science expert, Dr. Daniel Magleby, who we made available to testify twice and openly responded to any questions put forth. All reports were put on the record and made
publicly available well in advance of any hearing. This is starkly different than the accessibility that the public and the Legislature themselves had to the reports submitted by Mr. Trende. I believe there was one submitted today just before this hearing started from your attorney. We tried to do everything we can to make sure the public knew what was going on here, because that's the most important thing in government, is to be open and transparent.

The Democratic Delegation of TDAC, used a separate Google drive that included all information, data, maps, reports, proposed resolutions, etcetera, as the majority of the information generated during the TDAC Commission hearings was not made available on the Nassau County website. We had hundreds of members of the community come down to speak about the importance of the maps and their representation in this Body for the next ten years.

The Majority in the Legislature has refused to produce their voting rights analysis, including the RPV analysis of Mr. Trende. Dr. Daniel Magleby submitted a rebuttal analysis to the Trende Report, and we did so well in advance, proving that Mr. Trende used the incorrect elections to analyze partisan gerrymander, and, therefore, his conclusions are wrong. He uses what's called a gerrymandering index referred to in this Troutman Pepper Report as being the measure used by the Court of Appeals in Harkenrider. There is no reference to gerrymandering index in the Harkenrider Report. In fact, the court endorses the very ensemble analysis that Dr. Magleby used. Dr. Magleby analyzed all proposals and concluded that this map is an extreme partisan gerrymander and used the same exact analysis that was used in the Harkenrider case. Mr. Trende drew his analysis without regard to race, according to this report, which is a
clear violation of the Voting Rights Act and the New York State Voting Rights Act. Therefore, the entire analysis used for this map is invalid. The Court in Harkenrider, clearly endorsed the ensemble analysis used by Dr. Magleby. We don't know which elections we use to calculate this gerrymandering index.

On Page 14 of this analysis of the report today, we have this graph .1, .13, .17. No one says, specifically, exactly, what that means. What does that mean?

What do these measures mean on this map?
Dr. Megan Gall confirmed the presence of
Racially Polarized Voting, or RPV, in
Nassau and compliance with Section 2 of
the Federal Voting Rights Act and the New
York Voting Rights Act demands the
creation of five Majority/Minority
legislative districts and failing to
create five such districts, the
Majority's map does not comply with
Section 2 and the New York Voting Rights
Act's prohibitions on racial vote
dilution.
The cases that are cited and the
Troutman Pepper report failed to tell you that it is a compelling government interest to comply with the Federal Voting Rights Act, which would require five Majority/Minority districts. That is a compelling government interest.

Troutman's own report says absent the compeling government interest. That's already been ruled to be a compeling government interest. This map will not hold up in court.

Additionally, Dr. Gall concluded that it is possible to create an Asian influence district with an Asian voting age population above $40 \%$. And she notes in her report that the current map is an egregious retrogression resulting in dilution of the Asian vote. And just yesterday there was a lawsuit filed by the Asian American Defense Fund in New York City because that map did the exact same thing that this map does.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Dave, could you sum up? We've actually made those reports part of the record, the full reports.

MR. MEJIAS: While are on notice that there should be five Majority/Minority districts and Asian influence districts, and the fact that this map fails to comply with the Voting Rights Act in regard to Majority/Minority districts and an Asian influence district, where in North Hempstead we see an Asian American community split into three different ways, an illegal map such as this will not hold up in court, will result in wasting millions of taxpayer dollars on lawsuits that the County is all but certain to lose. And we saw this exact thing happen in Islip, where they spent millions of dollars on defending a lawsuit and paying the prevailing party and in the Town of East Ramapo as well. So I thank you for extra time, Presiding Officer. I think this process is not over
yet. Somebody, anybody, could file a lawsuit on this current map. And, unfortunately, this it's a very simple solution. This is something that's very easy to do. But at the end of the day, the Federal Voting Rights Act prevails, and it's there for a reason. And this this map fails and there's a compelling government interest for this Body to correct the errors in the current map. Thank you. Thank you, all.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding Officer, before you before you call the next speaker, I think Chairman Mejias brought up a point that I failed to neglect and it was a very pointed question to the Majority, which I think deserves a response to the public, at least, in terms of the metric that Mr. Trende used. I just want to make sure we establish for the record the metric that he used when he developed his analysis.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We have submitted for the record a complete

report from Troutman Pepper, which explains in detail exactly what was done.

So beyond that, we're not going to comment.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I understand
that the report has been submitted and I get that. From what I understand from Mr. Tseytlin, he indicated that yourself and him put together the map. So I think it would be very clear to understand that when you were with Mr. Tseytlin putting together the map, that it was with the direction of Mr. Trende's report. So, Mr. Trende's report, if $I$ can understand it correctly, the metric that's being utilized is very important to be established in the record, which I would think yourself and Mr. Tseytlin would have knowledge of, because you built the map, at least, according to Mr. Tseytlin. He indicated that you guys put together the map together.

So that being said, I would like to demonstrate just for the record and for
the public that we indicate the metric that was used in Mr. Trende's report. I understand that the report is part of the record, but a lot of folks here, they don't have access to the Trende Report. Does anyone here have access to the Trende Report or analysis?
(Whereupon, Public responds.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Regardless, that report speaks for
itself. We're not going to go into any
further detail with respect to that. So
I'm going to continue to call residents to come speak.

David Thomas.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: As Mr.
Thompson comes to the podium, this is the problem with the process. It's done with secrecy. It's done in dark. When you try to bring things to light, then you don't get it. My colleagues, unfortunately, this process -- I'm sorry to take away from your time -- this process,
unfortunately, voters should always have the opportunity to choose their
candidates and also to choose who elects them. Unfortunately, my colleagues to the right are setting up a process where elected officials start to choose candidates -- I'm sorry, to choose voters -- and that's the wrong direction to go in. But thank you. I apologize for taking over here before.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Before your time starts, Mr. Thomas, I would point out that the City University of New York looked at the proposed map and found that 15 of the 19 districts had Democrat enrollment majorities. So I'm not sure what it is the Minority wants, but perhaps they're unhappy that you have a map that's going to create fair fight districts throughout this county, and what they really want is a gerrymandered map. So that's the only thing I can conclude. If you if you have 15 districts out of 19 that have an enrollment edge
for Democrats and they're not happy with that, then it speaks to the motivations of my colleagues on the left.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Unfortunately,
I don't want to get into a back and forth, but just to disagree more vehemently with the Presiding Officer, by the own analysis of their expert, Mr. Trende, he basically conducted an
analysis that bases how the districts
perform in terms of a partisan
gerrymander based on performance, not
based on enrollment, not based on
enrollment. We know that, he knows that.
That's in their expert's report. That's
why this thing is being done in a fashion
where it's secrecy. There's no
transparency. It's being done in
darkness. You ask for a report, they're
telling you it's part of the backup, when
you can just very easily print it out and give it to people right there. I asked a very pointed question about the metric, we don't get -- we get a stalwart answer.

So the fact remains this is not based on enrollment. They know that. And their own expert indicated that. This is based off of performance in terms of how people actually vote. There's a lot of people in this room. You might be Republican or Democrat, you don't always vote for the Republican and Democrat. You may not like the guy. More respect to you. So from that standpoint, you vote the way you want to vote. You vote Independent, you vote for whoever. You may be a Blank, somebody that's not registered to any particular party, and you may vote the way you want to vote. And that's why it's based on performance. And they know that. But they're going to try to cloud things. They're going to bring up City of New York, they're going to bring up all these entities that try to validate what they put forward. Unfortunately, the biggest validation is, you had an opportunity to ask Mr. Trende to be here today. He's not here. You had an opportunity to ask Mr.

Trende to do an analysis. So if you want to try to discredit Dr. Magleby, you could do it in one stroke of a computer stroke, one stroke of a computer key. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: And we did. We did, actually. If you read the Troutman Pepper Report, they ran his numbers and indicated that his numbers are as skewed as can be.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, I've
known you for 20 years. Can we at least have an honest discussion?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Yeah, we can.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: They ran his numbers --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: But you're not being honest.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, Rich.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You're not being honest. We know where you're going.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm not going anywhere.
$\qquad$ $58=$

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Because when we started this process back at the time of the first hearing, one of the first comments was made by one of the Democratic commissioners, "this is going to a lawsuit". So you're trying to establish a record --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I've never said that.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: That that will support your claim that all the information wasn't provided. This has been an incredibly transparent process. We have taken into consideration comments made by our colleagues on the left, we have made changes, but none of us will ever satisfy the Minority because they want this go to court. They want to get a void around the democratic process.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I've never once said -- and, the public, you heard my opening statement, I've never once said I wanted this to go to court. What I did say was that because of your reckless
actions and because of what you're
demonstrating to in this process, it will end up --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I
think your comments are reckless. You're going to call this process reckless. Your comments are reckless.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So what I'm saying, what I'm saying --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I
think we have the same opinion.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's fine.
What I'm saying, Presiding Officer, is that basically you have the opportunity to ask Mr. Trende --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: It's all been laid our for you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, he did not.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: It's all been laid out for you, number one. Number two, none of us up here are experts --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Folks, folks.

See --
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: None of us up here are experts in analysis. None of us are experts up here in demographics. So all we could do is look at all of the facts that we can understand and put that forward to you. All the information that you could possibly need on these maps has been provided to you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Folks, they're going to keep trying to defend what they did. The bottom line is you see it for yourselves. You see the lack of transparency and the secrecy that is being done. And that's a fact.

What he's not telling you, and it's in the report and we can cite the actual page, is that Mr. Trende's analysis uses a different measurement. The measurement that he uses is gubernatorial years. He's not going to say that because that hurts their analysis. I don't understand why he won't say it, because it's actually in
this Troutman Pepper Report. If you stand by the report, you should be able to say it on the record. Instead, you're saying the report is part of the record and the folks can look at the record and see part of what --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Mr. Magleby was here talking about --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, I never cut you off. I've never cut you off --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: He was here talking about doing a --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You don't like what I'm saying, so you're going to cut me off.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Different analysis and we pointed out the fallacy in this analysis --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding Officer, I never cut you off. I let you speak, even though I disagree with everything you said. I've never cut you off. I never did.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Look,
you're going on a monologue, so I'm going to interject my comments.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So basically,
it's okay. So now it's okay to interrupt.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You
don't have an unfettered -- -
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So now it's
okay to interrupt.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Wait,
wait. Look, this is the middle of public comment. I was listening to the comments of the people, which is what we are here for. You interjected yourself. Now you're upset because I counter to some of your points.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, I'm not upset because you counter my point. I'm upset because there should be some level of civility. I mean --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You
just called us reckless. Is that civil?
Tell me how civil that is.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Your actions are reckless? Yes, they are.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: That's very civil of you, Kevan. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How else would you demonstrate the fact that you have an opportunity as a Majority to --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We--
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So we're going to keep cutting off. So, folks --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Unlike

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: This is their thing.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Unlike every democratic --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Stop cutting me off.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Throughout the state, we reached out to the minority over and over and over and over again. They would never, ever have done that for us. But we did it for them. We wanted their input. We took their input. We changed the maps and now they're calling us reckless. Thank you.

We appreciate it.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: First of all,
they keep saying that they took the

Minority input. And yes, they took some suggestions that were presented by some of the members on the Minority side, but you heard -- and I think Ms. Mereday said it best, you heard from 40,50 some odd people from Lakeview. I think Lakeview probably came down here more than any other community in Nassau, and you didn't incorporate one single of their changes. I've advocated for Freeport. An area that vote is being diluted. I don't see any changes incorporated to Freeport. I know you're going to $I$ know what you're going to say. You're going to say that it's a map and it's hard. It's like looking at a bowl of Jell-o. You push one thing and then you go into the next, and it's very hard to make everyone happy. We get that. And I've never once said that we are encouraging a lawsuit. What I'm saying is

that this institution should take every measure possible to ensure one doesn't happen. And we have experts on both sides. Well, mainly, I would say, the TDAC Democratic side, that are telling that this map is illegal. We can change that dynamic very easily, because the one difference that Dr. Magleby testified to is the measurement. Why wouldn't you instruct your expert to change his partisan gerrymander analysis based off of that one metric? That's the part that's so simple. The measure they're using is gubernatorial years. Would it make sense to put together an analysis for a Body that doesn't get elected in a gubernatorial year? Ask yourselves that question. It doesn't make any sense. It would be like saying I want to determine traffic patterns on workdays, but I go out on a Saturday and Sunday and do it. That's what they're saying. So, basically, they're not making much sense, but they don't want to talk about that.

They want to confuse things. They want to cut me off. They want to do all these kinds of things. But you know what?

Bottom line is, we have a very pointed opportunity to avoid litigation. I don't want to see it, because I know who pays for it and I care who pays for it.

Unfortunately, some folks don't. And, ultimately, we have that opportunity: Present the analysis. If Mr. Trende's analysis shows that it's not a partisan gerrymander, I'll back down. I'll vote for your map. You have my word. I would vote for your map, if it showed that. But they won't ask Mr. Trende to do that.

Well, I wouldn't vote for that map.
Sorry, because Lakeview is not all in the Minority/Majority. So just to be clear.

But that being said, they won't ask
Mr. Trende to do that.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: They
did. He did.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, he did not.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Number one --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: It's not based off -- we're going to cut off again -it's not based off a measurement --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: This is a public hearing.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here we go again.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: How much time would you like, Minority Leader? This way we can judge as to when your comments will be finished.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: My comments will be finished when they're finished.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I've got 20 slips. I want to hear from the public and don't I want to hear from --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So now you care about hearing from the public. But when Lakeview was here and they poured their hearts out, 40 or 50 folks, you didn't really care what they said. But now you want to hear them. Folks they
want to hear. You see, I talk to my kids like this. The same way. They want to hear you, but are they listening to you? That's the difference. So they want to hear you. But are they going to listen to you? Are they going to come back later on tonight and just vote through and ram through this map? This illegal map. Or are they going to basically make changes in the next couple of hours? I think it's going to be more the former. They're going to actually make sure that they just ram it through. And unfortunately, that is going to lead to a lawsuit. I don't want a lawsuit. I'm being very clear for the record, I do not want a lawsuit. But if we proceed down a path that is illegal, you're bringing one on, Just know that your actions are doing that. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: The Minority Leader was presented with Mr. Trende's analysis. In fact, the graph was one of the backups to the report that he
submitted and a graphic was submitted as well. And at our request, Mr. Trende actually did an analysis using the countywide races and it was the same result. This this map is legal, it's fair, it's equitable.

And again the issue the Minority has with this map is they proposed a map that was a complete and utter gerrymander. It performed so poorly that it was so far to the right of the scale where it should be that it was obviously a gerrymander. So don't make any mistake here that they want a fair map. What they want is a gerrymandered map. And unless we give in to that demand to give them what they want, they're not going to vote for it and they're going to accuse us of being reckless. These comments have no basis in reality and no reason that we would vary from the course that we're on.

Mr. Thomas, the floor is yours.
MR. THOMAS: When you say it's not about the money, it is about the money.

This is racism to its core. If we do not learn of our history, we tend to repeat it. Somehow I think instead of living on Long Island, I'm living in South Africa before Apartheid was dismantled. I was living in Rhodesia before the majority took over. This is sad.

Promises were made to us -- I'm from
Uniondale -- that you would have kept it whole. You decided now to take Uniondale and mix it with somebody else. When would you guys start listening? Is it, if you can call yourself Douglas, that Long Island was not made for black people? Are we to just sit and just accept the fact that, we have a right or we don't have a right, to elect our officials? When would this stop? Promises were made. None was kept. We talk about gerrymandering. Who is gerrymandering what? Why can't we continue the votes like we had it so we can choose our representatives? We are in a situation right now where you guys decide that
you're going to split us up. You're going to make it easier for you guys to get back into power. You guys are in power as it is and it wasn't split up. What's the problem? What you afraid of? We know that that Long Island, the color is somewhat changing. We are more we have more black and brown people. What are you afraid of? If we were not here, lots of things would not have been in existence. You would not have been able to go across the country without a GPS system. You would not have been able to answer your cell phone without looking and see who called. You would not have been able to drive your car without understanding how it keep the engine going. Please. What do we have to do to make you guys understand that together we can achieve more? What would it take for you to understand that you don't have to be afraid of me? I'm here just like you to make a great living and to raise a family. Why is it so sad that you look at me and be afraid of me?

I'm human, just like you.
Gerrymandering is racism in another
term. It's racist.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Lorraine Attias.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just for the record, Presiding Officer, I'm taking the opportunity to go through the Troutman Pepper report that you provided to us five minutes before the legislative meeting. Can you identify the analysis again that Mr. Trende is using? Because I'm going through the report and I don't see it. I know Dr. Magleby has used the ensemble approach. I know you had indicated that you asked Mr. Trende to change the measurement to reflect county elected years, but I don't see that in the report. Can you identify that by page?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Page
18. Lorraine Attias?
(Whereupon, Ms. Attias is giving up her time.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Maria Jordan?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Presiding Officer?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Yes?
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Hi. Thank you so much. You did state in your introduction that Mill Brook in the Third Legislative District, was you said specifically, a portion of it was concluded once again in the Third Legislative District.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Yeah.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Yet the report that you and your caucus rely upon, on Page Two, it states that the proposed revised map also accommodates this request as much as possible, restoring a significant portion of Mill Brook. I stated again, you stated a portion. This report states significant. I refer to the map and it is not a significant portion of Mill Brook. There are constituents in Mill Brook that call our office
complaining that shopping carts from
Green Acres Mall are on their property, and I would not be able to do so as a legislator if $I$ am not their representative. And so I asked respectfully that the map include the entirety of Mill Brook and not just a small sliver of Mill Brook. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding Officer, I'm sorry. I don't mean to cut you off. I'm sorry.

But where's the actual analysis
breakdown that Mr. Trende did?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: It's a description of his results.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So he didn't provide --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. All right. You've made your point over and over again.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No. I made my point that your points are inconsistent.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Right, Exactly.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Reckless, too. You forgot to add that.
Maria Jordan?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I think that's evident.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Yeah,
I think it's event as well, with respect to your position. But that's all right. Go ahead.

MS. JORDAN: Good afternoon. I first want to thank Minority Leader, Legislator Abrahams for opening remarks and for always fighting for Freeport. Thank you.

My name is Maria Jordan Wallem and I am a resident of Freeport. I attended all of the hearings and made my voice heard about what we wanted to see in this map. I also submitted this via e-mail, just in case.

I cannot, for the life of me understand how the Republican Majority,
along with its experts, could even make up this fantasy that Freeport has anything of interest besides the same line on the Long Island Railroad with Merrick and North Bellmore. I don't care how broad anyone wants to define community of interest. Everything the Majority's attorney pointed to in the previous hearing that we have with these communities are purely transactional in nature and regarding government operations. And, by the way, Freeport does not share schools with any of these communities like you state in your little paragraph on District Five.

The communities you want to group us with are the same ones who, in the summer of 2020 , when residents, mostly black and brown, took to the streets to protest the murder of George Floyd, we were told by Merrick and Belmore residents to go back where we came from. Go back to Freeport. When the State was trying to give Freeport Armory to a youth group run by


African Americans, Merrick residents said they didn't want those kids to cross the bridge and enter into their area. When many don't even feel safe going into, or walking the streets of these communities, you are here telling us that we are to be considered a community of interest.

When Freeport is already glaringly segregate between north and south, what the Republican Majority has done with this latest map is basically erase us from the political conversation in Nassau County by aggressively diluting our vote for the next decade. Thank you.

And just a quick question. Will we be allowed to speak again at 6:30 p.m.? PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: No.

That'll be a vote on the maps. Actually, there is a public comment portion before the meeting starts and then once the meeting starts and we engage in various items, including the item on the map, there's no public comment at that point. But there is a half hour/hour public
comment here before the meeting starts.

MS. JORDAN: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Pearl Jacobs.

MS. JACOBS: Good evening, everyone.
I'm sorry. Evening. I don't even know what time it is. I've been at 12 meetings the last two weeks.

Good afternoon. So I was sitting there and I was just going in my phone looking at a few synonyms for gerrymandering: Trickery, treachery, crookedness. My question is: Why would Nassau County in 2023 want to be associated with these terrible words?

Although I am pleased that Uniondale was kept whole on the Nassau County map, I definitely feel the pain and disappointment of my fellow Majority/Minority communities. Because the struggle is real for all minority communities.

Scottie, I just want to turn to you and say, thank you, Scottie. That's why I
call you the queen. Scottie Coads taught me everything I know about redistricting, gerrymandering, disenfranchisement. Many, many years ago, $I$ went to a class that she gave, and she gave it her all. And I called her, and she's just such a giving, nurturing and educating person. Thank you so much, Scottie. I stand with you for Lakeview.

I have something -- even though this is bad enough, I'm happy that Uniondale remain whole on this map and $I$ wish my other minority communities would be recognized and have the changes they want for their communities -- but I have something even worse. Because the Town of Hempstead map is an abomination. And I want to put this on public record, I am disappointed, but not surprised that Ms. Goosby, who $I$ can no longer call
councilwoman, voted with the Majority to cut off Uniondale, north of Hempstead Turnpike. The only minority councilperson on the Town of Hempstead board votes
against a minority community, which will
result will result in further
marginalization and disenfranchisement for Uniondale. Like I said, I am disappointed, but not surprised. I don't know what they promised her to sell out like that, but $I$ could never do that.

And Legislator Abrahams, you
mentioned something about traffic
patterns and all of that. And $I$ just have to say that $I$ noticed that the day after Super Bowl Sunday, they were doing a traffic study on Hempstead Turnpike, the Monday after Super Bowl Sunday, which is consistently a light traffic day. And I would say, I would just assume that they were doing that traffic study for the coliseum, the proposed coliseum over there, and they probably pick, oh, well, we'll do I don't know -- they could have been doing a Sunday as well, on Super Bowl Sunday. But $I$ know $I$ saw it the day after Super Bowl Sunday, one of the lightest travel days in the year. It's an
unofficial holiday, pretty much. And so they're doing it for, I'm sure, for that proposed casino. Which I made it clear and I'm still making it clear, $I$ don't want. The vast majority of residents, no matter what you're hearing in Uniondale, the stakeholders, I'm not talking about the millennials. I'm talking about the people who own their homes, pay high taxes and have vested interests in Uniondale, we do not want a casino in Uniondale. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Jonathan Ortiz.
MR. ORTIZ: Good afternoon,
Presiding Officer, Minority Leader and legislators. My name is Jonathan Ortiz. I am in the seventh grade. Today I come before you to share my concerns and thoughts about the redistricting and how it will impact my community; Lakeview.

Over the past few years, I've had the pleasure of participating in events in which our local elected officials have

sponsored and attended. My parents have always talked about voting and the importance of voting. In fact, every Election Day, my parents make sure we go to vote as a family. They have shared with me that my great grandparents have not always had the right to vote, and now we are able to vote and actively participate in the election process, we should do so.

My mother shared with me that our community is in jeopardy of losing our representatives that we have had almost my entire life, and it does make me feel sad. When I may not fully understand why these maps have to be redrawn every ten years, I do understand the importance of being able to select the representative you want to represent our community.

Today, while I am not old enough to vote, one day $I$ will be able to do so. God willing, I will go with my parents to the election polling site, as we do every year to cast my first ballot. My hope is
that when I turn 18 and can select a candidate, a candidate who will advocate for my community and engage with us just as we have been afforded by our current elected officials. I hope that when I turn 18 in just a few short years, that $I$ will be able to elect a candidate within a district that shares similar values. I hope that as I enter an election booth, I will be able to select a candidate for Lakeview that desires to maintain a presence within our community. These desires can become a reality if Lakeview is placed in a district with other communities that share similar values. This reality can be achieved if we are placed in a district that does not dilute our vote. And this can be achieved if our community remains whole.

So today I ask you to only vote for a map that will make it possible for myself and my peers to look forward to a day that we are able to vote in favor of representatives like ones I have been
fortunate to have as long as I can
remember, and reject any map that dilutes my community's ability to participate in the process that my great grandparents were unable to participate in when they turned 18. Thank you (applause).

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, Jonathan.

Doris Hicks.
MS. HICKS-NEWKIRK: Good afternoon.
I am Doris Hicks-Newkirk. This is my third time appearing before you. I asked the question -- and before I begin, Jonathan, thank you. I've known Jonathan since a baby. That's a strong young man. He lives around the corner from me. And you're going to hear from him later in years. He knows what he's talking about. He's at meetings and he enjoys what we do in our community of Lakeview. He's there. He knows.

I have a question for you. As a resident of Lakeview for 37 years, I stand before you knowing that so many

people came here how many times? I know this is my third time. Have you not heard us? What does it take for you to understand who we are as the Lakeview community? I just don't understand it. What does it take? We're not asking you for money. We're asking you for representation so that we are equally represented.

You say that we have commonality
with the Malverne School District. Let me just remind you, I worked for that district for 15 years as a regular teacher. After retiring from Verizon for 30. I went there after 30 years of Verizon, and went there and taught for 15, and then they call me back to sub for four until COVID hit.

The Malverne residents, let me school you in on this, they don't use our school, their school district. It may say Malverne, but you know who uses it?

Lakeview. Go back and look at your stats. How many people from Malverne go to the

Malverne School district? Check it. Do your homework. We don't have commonality with them. We were forced over there because we didn't have any. You need to really understand there's no commonality there. Not with Lynbrook. Stephanie Chase told you that a week ago. As she delivered mail, they called her out the $N$ name, but yet you're putting us over here with these people that don't care. Where my kids went to the store to get pizza and they say, Ns, we don't serve you. Why aren't you hearing us? What does it take?

When you have your recess, you need to go back and look at that map again. I'm asking you to. I'm not telling you to. I'm asking you, as we have done all along. We have nothing in common with these people that you have put us with, even the new one. And may I say, I know my time is just about up and I'm not going over, but may I say thank you to the legislators that have supported us all these years. Jonathan is right. We
see the people there. They come to our events. They support us. You don't want that? You don't want a happy community with a minority population? I know you don't want an unhappy community in a minority population. Be careful. I'm not threatening you. But I'm telling you, my people will not stand for this forever. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Lisa Ortiz.

MS. ORTIZ: Good afternoon, everyone.

I, like many other Lakeview residents, have been here for several meetings. We were here throughout the TDAC process, and we voiced our thoughts and our concerns and our requests and our desires. And here we are today at the final hearing on redistricting in the Legislative Body.

The last time $I$ was here, myself and several other residents from the hamlet of Lakeview came out and we asked if it
was possible to place us in the closest Minority/Majority district. And today we come back and the lines have been redrawn, the maps have been redrawn, but not in favor of Lakeview. Today, we're now finding out that East Rockaway, Lynbrook, North Lynbrook, Malverne, West Hempstead, portions of Valley Stream, North Valley Stream and now portions of Oceanside have been included in this new proposed 14 th District, and then you have Little Lakeview also included in that new 14th District. This is disheartening.

This is disturbing. This is every synonym that you could think of to describe how heartbreaking this is to our community. As my son Jonathan mentioned, we have had great representation within the Second Legislative District. And while we understand that the maps do have to change every ten years, we also understand that there are communities that we share commonalities with that are very close to Lakeview. There were other
maps that were presented that show if you put Lakeview with them, you would have an additional Majority/Minority district.

Last time I was here, I listened to some of my neighbors speak about their experiences within the neighboring communities. And while they were not favorable, they were not pleasant, they shouldn't have even been warranted experiences that they've had to live through. This is our reality, unfortunately.

Our only request is that, well, two requests, is that we be placed within a district that we share commonalities with. Not that you assume based on the narratives that are presented: We share a school district, we share a train line. I mean, naturally there's not many train lines in Nassau County. So if you're adjacent to a one community, you're going to share a train line with them. But that's not a reason to say that we have common interest. Our churches are not in

East Rockaway. Our churches are not in Lynbrook. Our churches are not in West Hempstead. Our churches are in Hempstead, Westbury, our churches are in Baldwin. Our churches are in other communities, not one of them that are listed in District 14 . Those are not communities that we share common interests with. Again, I'm going to ask for the -- I
don't know how many times this is -- do not vote this map in. And I'm going to ask that you reject it. And anyone who votes for this, we will go ahead and be sure to remember that. We'll take note of that. And we're watching. And this next generation of young voters, they're coming up. And while they may not be able to vote in this upcoming election, they're coming, and they're going to remember. Times are changing, demographics are changing. They're shifting. And we're asking at this moment that you vote down any map that keeps Lakeview in a diluted, disenfranchised,
cracked map that does not have our best interests at heart. Thank you. And keep us in District Two, if possible. Have a good day.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, Lisa.

Susan Kay.
MS. KAY: We've heard a lot about change in Nassau County. I saw the revolution nary change. I used to live in North Valley Stream from 1956 to 2010. When I was very young, the area was a strictly white Jewish, Italian area. Suddenly it changed. It became multicultural, black, Hispanic, Indian, Muslim. Three blocks away from where I used to live there was a Muslim mosque. That change brought a very strong change in the Third Legislative District. For years we had John Ciotti get re-elected. Suddenly Carrie Solages got in, because of change. Your maps that you have are so gerrymandered. The Town of Hempstead in this map, it is disgusting. We can get
red flag laws in New York State, but how come we can't get a map that's cohesive to what is going on with the population and the Nassau County Legislature? These maps should be voted down. It is absolutely disgusting.

Gerrymandering hurts people and elections. A good example was the last year of the New York State Senate. We had lost good people because the maps were gerrymandered and we ended up with garbage.

I suggest anybody who votes on these maps, your next election, you will be voted out of office. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Kelly Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: Good afternoon. This is my first time here. I am a recently retired New York City Department of Education, public school principal, grades seven through 12. My school was a high performing school.

I feel confident in speaking to you.

The population of my school did not look like me, so I'm accustomed to speaking to people whose experiences in life do not do not look like mine, but with whom I can establish some common ground. And what I am concerned about is this: I've heard speakers being cut off; I would never be permitted to do that in my school. I've heard that suggestions are being dismissed; $I$ could never do that in my public school. I've heard it intimated that we've spent enough time. What I do know is that when people are not heard, when they feel they're not valued, where they feel that their viewpoints don't count, don't matter, they become dissatisfied. What $I$ do know is that if information is presented and decisions are made, at least people can say, hey, I don't like it, but I see how that was done. But what I'm hearing is that it's quite possible that everyone's not seeing what's done. But I could be mistaken. Because when people argue, fight back and

forth and talk over one another, $I$ can't hear what the individuals are saying. It sounds to me like there may have been a process that could be used for redistricting that was not used. But then I think I heard that maybe it was. But the data didn't come up or present itself in a way that another member wanted it to look like. And I can't myself explore what's being said because it's not made available. Does that make any sense? And that's disturbing to me.

Let me make a correction. There are children in my school that look like me, some of them, but the majority of them do not, and somehow we were able to have a good, cohesive school community but it was because we made things transparent. And my gut is telling me that's not happening here, but I could be wrong. And if I'm not wrong, please show me where I can get that information, because I would like to understand what's going on. I hear what people are feeling, but I don't

see all the data. Does that make any sense?

I'm also going to add that, I believe they called her Miss Scott, when my great aunt, who is now 96, when driving her to the family reunion in North Carolina, I had to take the piss pot out of her bag because she was accustomed to not being able to go to the bathroom. So I had to argue with her about the piss pot. And of course, she put it in the trunk of my car. But at least she didn't have to use it. So I understand.

I also understand, I was shocked to hear that people are being called the N-word. I used to work at the Burger King on Merrick Boulevard. And I recall coming in one day and the youngsters, people younger than myself, $I$ was age 17, a little younger than me, were upset because black people weren't wanted at the front counter during dinner hour. And I said to my manager, who did not look

like me, I said, wait a second, you always have me at the front, no matter what time of the day. And he said, because you're different. I didn't know how to take that, didn't feel so comfortable. But that was over 40 years ago. I'm 59. We shouldn't still be experiencing that same thing. I'm 59. I was 17 at the time. We shouldn't be experiencing that same thing.

And one last point. Somehow my parents raised me to believe that all the black is beautiful and I should be proud. It doesn't diminish the shine of anyone else. But when we play the games, it then makes us feel like you're diminishing.

Please answer me. Is that data available that you spoke of? Because I would like to see it. I don't know about the argument. I don't know which way I'm going to go, but I would like to see what the discussion is about. It's not clear to me because $I$ don't see that data. Is that available online or in a packet
here?
I lied, one more. Is there a rush to make a decision? Because one thing I did learn in order to have successful school where they want me to come back as principals, that I delayed stuff to the chagrin of my superintendent and the powers that be. I wanted to make certain that my school community was all on board to whatever it was. Even if they said, I don't like it, but I get it. So I'm recommending that if you are rushing to have a vote at 6:30, that given the discord and the discomfort that's in the community, that maybe it be delayed, some information made available and maybe a vote take place a little later on; is that possible?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: By Charter, the plan has to be adopted by next week.

MS. JOHNSON: Who made that
decision?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: It's

## in the Nassau County Charter?

MS. JOHNSON: Can that be amended? PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:

Secondly, the Democratic --
MS. JOHNSON: Can that be amended?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: No, it cannot be amended by us at this point. MS. JOHNSON: Who's the us? PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: The Legislature. We can't amend the Charter on the fly. We cannot do it. The date is the date, number one.

Number two, the Democratic
Commission to the Board of Elections has threatened a lawsuit --

MS. JOHNSON: I'm not asking
about --
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I'm answering --

MS. JOHNSON: I'm not asking about the individuals, just the data.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I'm answering your question as to why -MS. JOHNSON: Can we not mention

Democratic and Republican?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: It's relevant to the point I'm making.

MR. THOMAS: It is? Okay. I'm sorry. Go ahead.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: So the question you had with respect to the urgency to move the Democratic Commission to the Board of Education (sic) has threatened to bring a lawsuit because he says if we delay any further, it will have an impact and the Board of Elections roll out for this coming election. So it has to be done and it will be voted on tonight.

MS. JOHNSON: And can that
Democratic Commission then make a change in their decision? Because it sounds like if it's a group of people that did that --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: The time to start circulating petitions for this cycle for all offices and local elections is tomorrow. So we cannot delay
this any further. It has to be done.
This has to be done now.
MS. JOHNSON: And can the data be made available so people can see it before 6:30?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: There is a description of the analysis in the report that's available, right there, from Troutman Pepper.

MS. JOHNSON: So when you say description, we do know that we make decisions based upon our own filter, right, and our prior experience. So is it possible that the numbers itself, not someone's interpretation of the numbers -- one of the things I never did as a principal was to tell my teachers the number of children that must pass the course, because $I$ knew that data could always be skewed and I would prefer that children learn as opposed to my teachers, be concerned about the end of the semester numbers. So that's why I don't like description. I like to see the data
myself. I'm pretty bright. I can go over it and make some understanding of it for myself and if I'm incorrect, I can voice my incorrect and it could be heard. Can that data be seen?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We have provided a description of the analysis --

MS. JOHNSON: So the answer is no. The numbers can't be seen. I can't be given --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Whatever --
MS. JOHNSON: I'm sorry. I can't hear everybody. I'm sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We have provided this description and that is all that we are able to provide at this time.

MS. JOHNSON: And you're not able to provide the numbers because?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We have a description of what he did -MS. JOHNSON: I got you. And we're
not able to provide the numbers because
-- so like, you know how you have a score
in terms of your economic stability, and that fluctuates. My dollar amount of my bank account, I love it because it may not fluctuate as often as my credit score does. Right? So it's based upon who's interpreting information at the time. So that's why the data itself is important.

And are you saying to me -- and if you are, it is what it is. If you're saying to me that we're not going to make the data available, just let me know that, then I can stop the argument.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We are
not providing anything beyond the description that we provided today.

MS. JOHNSON: To anyone. Do they have it that? Wait, wait. They don't have it either? I'm sorry. I don't want to hear from the Democrats. The Republicans don't have it either?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We have all the information we need to vote
on this map. And anything else that we're talking about is simply a pretext. We, as legislators, are here to decide on whether these lines meet our requirements. We have the expert who was used in the case before the court of Appeals, who did an analysis and said, yes, this performs very well right in the middle of --

MS. JOHNSON: So the people sitting beside you on either side, they all had the numbers and could then understand if the description of the numbers is aligned with --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I'll
tell you what, one of the things that Mr. Trende did --

MS. JOHNSON: No, no.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I'm going to answer your question. One of the things you did is run 50,000
variations. It's called an ensemble of potential elections in Nassau County to see where this map performed in terms of
whether it was a gerrymander or not. So do we have 50,000 versions that he did? No, we don't.

MS. JOHNSON: Can they have access to it?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: No, No. I mean, no, it's not what we have today.

MS. JOHNSON: Have you seen it?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: No, of course not. Of course not. You think I'm going to go through 50,000 computer simulations and look at each one of them? Of course not. That's why we hired an expert. They got the same person whose analysis was accepted by the Court of Appeals of New York State. And I want to thank you, Ms. Johnson, for your time. You're over the three minutes I'm calling the next person.

MS. JOHNSON: I got you. I'm going to leave. But I suggest that, even though it's 50,000 iterations, what we would often do is randomly select some of the
iterations just to confirm that the firm that we hired was doing their job appropriately. And I myself didn't go through that. I had math teachers who did math in their sleep. So I have people who would do that.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you very much, Ms. Johnson.

MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Presiding Officer?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We have two more speakers and then you can make whatever comments --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mrs. Johnson made, she asked the Minority. We don't have the data. I can't speak for the Majority, but we don't have the data in the Minority. That's all I wanted to say.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Kevin McKenna.

Just for the record, note that Legislator Ferretti is with us too.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And just to
add before Mr. McKenna.
Mrs. Johnson, just to make sure we, the Minority, do not have all the information to make a decision on a map today. We do not have all the analysis, just to make sure that's clear -- in the Minority, I can't speak for them.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I completely disagree. I think we all collectively --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That's why I spoke on behalf of the Minority.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Well, I was just --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I didn't say the Legislature.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You just complained ad nauseam about my interrupting you. I went three, three words and you interrupted me.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How can you disagree with what I just said when I say we in the Minority do not have the data? Are you going to tell us what we have
now?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I
completely disagree. I think every legislator here has more than enough information to make an informed decision on whether or not to vote for this map. Every one of us, there's no question in my mind.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So the
Presiding Officer is now telling the Minority what they should think.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: And
I'll say this also, if anyone doesn't have enough information, that's an issue with that person or a pretext in which they're going to say they're going vote against the because of that.

As legislators, we have been
provided an incredible amount of
information. The hearings, the TDAC alone went on for months. We we had access to those. You can view those online. We had reports from Democratic experts. We have reports from Republican experts. We have
gone through it all. We have as much information as any person, any reasonable person could ever possibly need to make an informed decision on these maps. And tonight, when we make that vote, each one of us will be making an informed decision, whether we vote yes or no.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Again, we cannot make the decision for the same reasons that Mr. Johnson just brought up. That's just one of the reasons. In regards to the fact that the same analysis that was done by Mr. Trende, that expert analysis, not even the public can get it. No one can get it. It's secret. It's somewhere in the corner of this building. Somehow. I don't know where it is, but the bottom line is, we can't make a decision on that.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: What specific? What specific?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here we go with the cutting off again. I sat here patiently waiting for you to finish.
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ask you this about Mr. Trende's analysis.
Tell me what specific --
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So --
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: What specific --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: We get it. We get the tactic, Rich. We get it. Okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: What specific?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You don't like what I'm saying, so you're going to cut me off.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I'm going to ask you a question: What specifically?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, I'm not going to answer your question --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Mr. Miles, step back. He can answer the question on his own. He doesn't need legal advice.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, we're not going to answer your question when

you want me to answer it. I'm making a point on why we cannot vote on this because we don't have all the information. I'll get to the part of the analysis, when $I$ do my summation after we have these two speakers. But the Majority is going to try to tell us what we should think.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You can't answer the question.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And then the other two --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: It's all a show, folks.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So we're going to keep cutting --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: It's a show. You can't answer the question.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You're right.
You're making it a show.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You
can't answer the question. What specific part of Mr. Trende's analysis do you need? Do you want to see the 50,000
iterations?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, what we want --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You can't answer the question.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm gonna answer it right now.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: It's a pretext.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yeah, it's a pretext. What we want, folks, is the same analysis that Mrs. Johnson asked for broken down by index, very similar to what Mr. Trende did when he did the gubernatorial analysis.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: What --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So you're going to cut me off again because he didn't like what I'm saying.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I want to understand what you're saying.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: He's getting his response, but he doesn't like what

I'm saying.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I want
to understand what you're saying.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, are you going to keep cutting me off?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: What index?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Are you gonna keep cutting me off?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I just want an answer.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: No, no, I just
want to answer the question. Can you answer my question? Are you gonna keep cutting me off?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I'm going to answer your question. You said you want an index. What do you want in the index?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, you're making a mockery of this place.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Just
answer the question, please. I mean, you've demanded this information. You
can't answer.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Rich, are you going to keep cutting me off?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You can't answer it. Okay, never mind. I withdraw the question. You obviously have no ability to answer it.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So let me finish my point. Jesus. Wow.

Unbelievable.
So the bottom line is, folks, the same metric analysis that we're asking for. He's breaking it down and saying it's 50,000 iterations. Yes, it is. It's a lot of iterations, but it's broken down to an index.

The other thing is we haven't seen -- at least I haven't seen -- there was a racialized polarized voter information that was supposed to be done. I haven't seen it. It hasn't been done.

The third thing I haven't heard one logical reason -- I know the Presiding Officer and Mr. Tseytlin sat here and put
together at their computer a map. I haven't seen a reason or justification why Lakeview, why Freeport could not be placed into a Minority/Majority district.

Third, other than -- fourth, other than looking at Mr. Tseytlin's report, which I got to tell you, I heard from him and I wish he was here to defend himself, he didn't really present well, I think the public would admit to that. That being said, Mr. Tseytlin's report, I still can't reconcile and $I$ wish he was here to ask and I said this from the outset, I still can't reconcile violations in the Federal Voting Rights Act and where you have to consider race versus his report, which basically says the opposite, that race should not be considered. How can race not be considered? When Judge Spatt created legislative districts in this county, he considered race. Legislative District One and Two were created based on race. That was a federal case. But now we're
saying that it's not considered. And we have Mr. Tseytlin who doesn't even understand communities of interest, who writes in his report that communities of interest are tied by train lines. But we're relying on him, and this report from Troutman Pepper?

So, yes, Mr. Presiding Officer, I just made four points that we don't have the information in regards to the data analysis. We don't have the racialized polarized voter information that we've asked for. We don't understand why Lakeview and Freeport are broken out and cracked from their original legislative district. So I got plenty of questions if you just allow me to get them out. But instead we are getting bogged down in cutting people off because we don't like what they say, or we're going to say, You want a lawsuit? I've never once, folks, you were here. He keeps saying that I want that. Have you heard me say that I want a lawsuit? They're smarter than
that, Rich. They really are. You have to give them more credit.

The bottom line is I've never once advocated for a lawsuit. All I advocated for is that if the folks, the residents of this county, do not see due process per this process, that is their course of action, which is unnecessary and not warranted if we do the right thing. That's it. It's that simple. Follow the John Lewis provisions; Good. Follow the state constitution; Good. Follow the Federal Voting Rights Act; Good. That's it.

Now, what that means for you folks, it's very simple: Lakeview into a Minority/Majority district. Let's just make it clear so they can understand. Because they're going to say, oh, Kevaughn is just pushing for a map that favors Democrats. Okay. He could say that. But let's just be clear, a map, what these folks want to see, is something that puts Lakeview into a

Minority/Majority district. Can you do it? That's simple. Freeport, a Minority/Majority community of the areas of northeast and northwest, which have been in Minority/Majority districts for, I don't know, the last 23 years into a Minority/Majority district. Very simple. Make it very clear for them so they can understand. They're not going to do it. Not going to do it. And if they do do it, it's going to be with some other caveat. The bottom line is we're not making this process political. They are. I've never once said I wanted any particular map, any particular thing, never once said that. But we're going to continue to keep trying to cut me off, cut off members, shut us down, then change the question. Change the question, then tell us how to think. I don't know which one it is. But you know what? You are setting Nassau County, and the records going to show, that if this county is sued, that this process is tainted. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Kevin McKenna.

MR. MCKENNA: Kevin McKenna, Syosset resident. I'm also an advocate for honest, competent government, and apparently we don't have that here in Nassau County.

Thankfully, I'm broadcasting this meeting on Nassau County NY News, and I say thankfully because it is just unbelievable to me. That News 12 in the middle of Scottie's passionate, intelligent comments, disrespected her and packed up their camera in the middle of her speaking. Thankfully, I'm bringing this and I also brought all the speakers to the attention of anybody who watches Nassau County NY News on Facebook and also NassauCountyNYNews.com.

Mr. Nicollello, Chairman Nicolello, I don't know how you could say the word transparency. You are making George Santos -- and the Republicans -- you're making Gorge Santos look like a
kindergartner with the display that you personally have been putting on for the last few meetings. And I want to thank the officers here for allowing me to stay this meeting.

I am very, very disappointed that my legislator, Josh Lafazan, when he knew that I was going to get up to speak, once again, ran away. I have many videos to show him running away. What's really, really bizarre is that you have a bunch of legislators to your right, and they have nothing, nothing to say. I've got to believe, I've got to believe, that they understand a lot of what especially Minority Leader Kevan Abrahams is saying and some of the other Democrats -- I've got to believe that they in their hearts, understand the travesty that's taking place here in Nassau County. And tonight I urge, because there's a lot of people that are going to see this, $I$ urge every legislator tonight before you vote, take a few sentences to explain how you are
justifying your vote tonight in light of what we have heard here, this meeting, and the meetings before.

And I'll wrap it up. You know, you all like to talk about and do photo ops with each other and show how the Democrats work with the Republicans. A lot of times you you talk about that. You take pictures together. You can't even have a conversation in public on an important issue. Where Kevan Abrahams asks you important specific questions and you shut down a Minority Leader on the Nassau County Legislature in public, it's disgraceful. It's disgraceful.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Your time is up. Thank you, sir. Marie Remus.

MR. MCKENNA: Well, of course my time is up. You don't like what I have to say, right?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Your time is up. You had an opportunity to speak about the issue, but you chose not to.

MR. MCKENNA: You gave others some extra time. Don't discriminate against this white guy. Don't discriminate against me.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You're done.

Ms. Remus?
MR. MCKENNA: You know what, I pay your salary. I'm not done.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Yes, you are.

MR. MCKENNA: No, I'm not.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Yes, you are, sir. It's three minutes, limit. I have you some extra time.

MR. MCKENNA: Mr. Nicolello --
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I gave you some extra time.

MR. MCKENNA: You are a disgrace to the Republican Party. And as a registered Republican, I am changing my party registration because of the display that you have put on in this non transparent process. And I urge all Republicans out
there --
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You're going to change your registration?

MR. MCKENNA: To consider the the same. And I urge you all tonight, Republican Legislators --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You're going to change your registration because of me?

MR. MCKENNA: I urge you tonight to justify your vote. Don't sit there like the puppets that you look like.

Thank you very much.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You're going to change your registration. You're going to change your registration because to me, Mr. McKenna? I think there's a lot of Republicans that are going to be happy with me, Sir.

Marie Remus?
MS. REMUS: Good afternoon. I came from a country that is called Haiti, and dictatorship is nothing for you guys to play with, because you don't know it
until you got to live it. Right now, you remind me of Duvalier Papa Doc, and you remind me of Duvalier Baby Doc. The way you act today is a disgrace to humanity, not to Kevan, not to anybody, not to the public. But for your position. You don't have no business talking to people like that, whether you agree or disagree. That's what makes the beauty of the world. I am short, he is tall, this one is medium, this one is black, this one is white, yellow, green. The bottom line is the blood is red for everybody, for everyone.

So if people could just sit down and think, what are you, black, white, yellow, Republican, money, poor two eyes, one mouth, one nose with two whole in it, two arms, two eyes. That's what it is. You too fresh for a public servant. Be humble. Calm down, calm down. You disrespect him, you disrespect us, you disrespect your colleagues. You show me that you went to school, but you don't
have education. Education is one thing. Formation is another thing. You don't have no right to talk to people like this. All right? So it's look like right now you guys want to do whatever you wants to do. It might be able to you to vote tonight and do it. But down the road things would be reversed because the demographic is changing, the world is changing. It's not only United States.

And remember, United State is the best democracy in the world, but the way you guys are acting, the way you guys are acting, you're starting to lose it. And when you lose it, that's when you will know what you had. You are a disgrace to humanity.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Tristen Salley.

MR. SALLEY: I wasn't going to speak today. Sat in the back for the last almost two hours and I have grown incredibly frustrated by this topic. Minority leader, we got you. As a
community. We got you. And for those of you who don't understand the hermeneutics of my biblical exegesis of $I$ got him. What I mean is, as a community, we have his back. Because this process from the very beginning has lacked transparency. And, Mr. Presiding Officer, if I may, as a pastor in this community, in this county, the display that you continue to put on has been nothing short of a dog and pony show. It's been disrespectful of your colleagues and taxpaying citizens who have simply asked of you and both the Republican and Democrats to provide information over and over again. We've asked it across the board to share it.

Now, some of you have been very open and transparent and others have continued to allow their process to be shrouded in secrecy. Must I remind you of the old proverb adage that said, what's done in the dark comes to the light. And when it comes to the light, when it's exposed,
this community, this county will see that these maps have been disproportionately drawn to disenfranchise and to dilute not just minority votes, but constituents across the board.

What I'm asking you for -- because truly, I'm losing faith in this process, I've lost hope and the integrity of people -- is to help restore citizens trust in government. Part of what's wrong with this country, why we're so divided on topics with the left is fighting the right and the right is fighting the left, is because everybody's trying to get over on somebody. And ultimately, many of us just want a community where we live in harmony. Where our streets remain safe. And where are our voices and our opinions can be heard. I believe you want to be heard. But you don't want to hear when folks disagree with you, which is "politricks" and not politics. Politics is about coming to the table of negotiation and asking it, requesting and
showing your cards in your hands and not try to fool by sleight of hand.

Before you all vote tonight, I urge you to reflect on this process. Reflect on all the materials you've received. What you've shared, and may not have shared with us as the public, reflect on it and come to a decision. But before you do that, take an opportunity, one last opportunity, before 6:30 tonight to not only listen to the community, but to work amongst yourselves to make sure that Nassau County is fairly represented.

As a pastor, I proclaim hope, peace, unity, and love. That's what $I$ believe Nassau County wants to represent. Do me a favor, because I'm watching, prove me right. Please don't prove me wrong. We beg of you. We implore. We humbly request. Do the right thing. Do the right thing.

And lastly, and I'm headed back to my seat, we're coming to the closing hours of Black History Month. And right
after the close of 11:59 p.m. on February the 28th, we will enter into Women's History Month. Let us remember, as one of my sisters quoted so poetically from what is one of the greatest songs I've ever heard, Lift every Voice and Sing, let us remember the blood, the sweat, the tears, the lives that have been lost from minority communities and women's rights groups throughout time that have simply asked for rights to vote and to elect candidates that represented them and not to have their voices diluted or ignored. Have a wonderful day (applause).

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Anyone else have a statement to make among the legislators?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I think. Wow, this is really tough. I was listening to I was just reflecting on the young man, Jonathan Ortiz, that spoke earlier. And I don't think $I$ mean, this is my third redistrict. I think I share that responsibility and that task with maybe a
few people up here. And I don't think I've ever seen a young man, 12 years old, say the things that he said.

Understanding the magnitude and obviously a lot of this is much larger and hard to comprehend, but that's a great civic action that he was a part of. And I just want to reflect on that just for a moment.

So this is what we have today. And I already know what the Majority is going to say. They're going to disagree with everything I'm going to say, and that's okay, because that's part of this process. I mean, they're going to say what they need to say and we'll say what we need to say, and let the public decide for themselves.

That being said, there are major problems with the map that's being proposed. This map has issues as it pertains to dilution of minority votes. This map violates federal voter rights provisions. The John Lewis Provision, it
violates parts of the New York state
Constitution. The public has
demonstrated, I want to say on now several occasions just this year that they have requested that Lakeview and Freeport be assimilated to Minority/Majority districts.

We saw for periods of this map where in some cases it could be argued I'm not saying by me, but it could be argued that this map disfavored candidates of this Body and this Legislative Body. We've seen in this map, again, a report that was put together by Mr. Trende, the expert of the Republican Majority.

Everyone asked for the report, and we all we get is you can get a description on Page 18 that summarizes his report.

When Mr. Trende was asked to do a report before you go a few pages earlier, Page 14, he did a very nice bar graph. Where is the analysis based off of what Dr. Magleby said in a bar graph? You're not going to get it.

Then we asked for the polarized race and voter information, the same information we asked of Mr. Tseyltin, I though we asked of Mr. Tseytlin. And Mr. Tseytlin is not here today. Big surprise. But not only is he not here, there's no mention of it. And then again, folks, you're going to be left in the darkness. You're going to be left. There's things done in secrecy. There's no transparency. And then, luckily, I'm not being cut off this time. Luckily, every single time you're going to get cut off, you're going to get shouted down. You're going to be told that we did it. We did the analysis. Trust us.

The bottom line is, I'm just going to make this very clear, the way they can make their map legal so that this could be done in unanimous fashion is a couple of different things. One, you have a unique opportunity from the folks from Lakeview, the folks that came from Freeport, they have come here to include
in a Minority/Majority district, those areas that are predominantly

Minority/Majority. They've made the request. It's not that you should do it because Lakeview is asking for it, even though there are taxpayers and our residents. You should do it because it would ensure that we don't violate federal voter rights legislation.

Secondly, you've had the opportunity
to create five Minority/Majority
districts. We came close. They fell short by one. Now, this is a provision, this is not what Democrats are asking for, because I know they would try to paint this issue, that this is something the Democrats want because they want a gerrymandered map. No. This is written into federal law. It's in the state constitution. The John Lewis provision indicates that when possible, which Nassau County is possible, and Dr. Gall's report, which if anyone would care to read her memo, indicates that. I have it
right here for everybody in the public that wants to see it. And, obviously, we will provide it to anyone that wants to read it because we have nothing to hide. But Dr. Gall's report indicates that that is a reality as it pertains to Nassau County, that we can have five Minority/Majority districts. We have four. For whatever reason, Lakeview and Freeport, if put together in a certain way with other communities, could easily be five. But why don't they want to do that? Because they did the performance analysis that Mr. Trende did, and their analysis shows that they still have 12 seats and they're trying to protect to make sure they keep 12 seats. That's the politics behind all this. So if they want to make sure they keep 12 seats, but they've got to give one to a minority majority district, who loses out? The Minority/Majority district loses out every single time for the sake of preserving 12 seats in the Republican

Majority. Let's just spell it out as clear as we can. That's what this is about. So it has nothing to do with folks. Nothing to do with taxpayers. And, ultimately, I hate to say it and I don't want to see it, but if this is presented in a court of law, they're going to see it the way it is. They're going to ask the question, well, how come the community didn't get the data analysis report that was done by Mr. Trende? And how come it took 60 residents, 70 residents from the hamlet of Lakeview to come down here and to explain once and over again that they would like to be placed in a Minority/Majority district, and they're still not. And then we had folks from Freeport do the same thing and they're still not.

And then you have to explain, well, why isn't there five Minority/Majority districts? Did Mr. Trende do an analysis based on that? Did Mr. Tseytlin from Troutman Pepper do an analysis based on
that? So we asked a question over and over again, well, what information you don't have, Democratic Minority? There's a lot. I just went through a litany of things. Now I know what the Presiding Officer is going to do. He's going to say, you have the information, you have everything. You have the same thing we have. But if we're telling you we're not, and we can describe and delineate it case by case and point by point, then let's have a working session and figure it out. Because obviously there must be some disconnect, because all $I$ can see on page 18 is that Mr . Trende references and described his report. I don't see a report here. I don't see an analysis that is very similar to the analysis that he did when we had a legislative session, a hearing sometime last week. I don't see it. So just tell me where it is. If I got to go to a hallway or closet somewhere in this building, I'll go find it. But the the fact remains, it's not being
produced. It's not going to come because they rather keep folks in the dark. It's a secret. When I asked a very pointed question to Mr. Tseytlin, and I'm going to wrap up, I asked him who drew the map?

Did you draw the map? He couldn't even give me a response. He told me that it was in conjunction with the Presiding Officer. So they want us to believe that the Presiding Officer, he can't read through 50,000 iterations of the map, but he sat at a computer and drew lines on $a$ computer program and drew the map. Really? The folks of this community a little bit smarter than that. But thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Bynoe and then Legislator Ferretti.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

So I'll start out by acknowledging and out of appreciation for the constituents of District Two and Three
and 16 and Five. As referenced earlier, you heard the voices of the legislators and the individuals that came out and spoke on the record and made changes to the map. So, I am heartened by that. But I do share the sentiments of my colleague, Kevan Abrahams, that I don't want to see this go to a lawsuit. And I know that you've done a lot to close the gap on some really big issues when this map first met this Legislature. But I do think we've got to push the envelope just a little bit further. No one should be left behind. Not Lakeview, not North Freeport. But I'm going to today, in this moment, speak specifically to Lakeview. Lakeview has a historic value in context here in Nassau County, that does not mimic anywhere else in Nassau County. It was because of the segregation the black students went to the school at the at the Woodfield Road School and the white students of the same school district only went to the Malverne High

School. And it was because of that significant separation that Dr. Martin Luther King came here on May, the date varies, it could be the 12 for the 11th, but, nonetheless, it was in 1965. And for some of us, that was before we were even born. But it was a historic time that happened back in Lakeview to start the desegregation. And it's that same moment in time that was captured back in 1965 to to this very day is still really, really highly spoken about in the Lakeview community. There is not one matriarch nor patriarch in that community that you'll ever spend any significant time with where they won't share that story. They either experienced it directly and witnessed Dr. Martin Luther King come and fight for their rights, or some of the younger folks had the benefit of sitting at a kitchen table and hearing that story. And it's because of that fight that you see individuals time and time again from the Lakeview community show up
here and beg and plead for them to be in a district where their voices will not be diluted, where they will not be disenfranchised. It's because that fight is birthed in them because of their struggles, the struggles of their matriarchs and their patriarchs.

And I think it was a significant
value that Jonathan was here today.
Because we are now hearing generations that will come after us, that will serve in some way or another say, please, with the same fight in them, don't dilute my voice. Don't disenfranchise me. So it's for the very reason that we want to create opportunities for these hearings, for us to hear from our communities, our constituency, so that we can understand the impacts of our vote, that I thought it was important that Jonathan was here today. I applaud his mother and his father for making the decision to bring him here today. Because our impact will far exceed any immediacy in its moment,
it will have impacts down the road. It will have impacts down the road because disenfranchisement and dilution of votes also lead to suppression of votes.

Lakeview is a community that votes
vigorously, regularly. As Ms. Coads
said, has just a few electoral districts, but that district would rival many other communities of larger size. So to lose their voice, to lose their presence, would be very, I think it would be tragic. A community that was the
epicenter, the battleground for civil rights would now be diluted. But more importantly, the young people that would have to come after us, they would seek to serve, would not hit a glass ceiling, but in fact, today we would pull it down on them.

I, like legislative Kevan Abrahams, can see how we can make $I$ could see -I'm not an expert, $I$ can't draw a map for you -- but I can see the pathway to bridging those communities like North

Freeport with Lakeview and Baldwin and South Hempstead. I can see it with my mind's eye, without even looking at the map in the moment.

And so, if there's a moment in time that we can do something so that we don't disenfranchise that community, a community that's been very, very active, that is very, very passionate about their representation, now is the time. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Ferretti.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you, Presiding Officer. I've said a couple of times now, I find the entire process fascinating. And I've watched the hearings of the TDAC. One of the first hearings, the chairman of the Democratic Commission, maybe it was a slip, maybe it wasn't, I don't know. But he said that -and this is before any map was proposed.

And I know the Presiding Officer referenced this earlier, before any map
was proposed by either the Democrat Commission, the Republican Commission, no map, that this was headed to court. And at that point in my head, I said, oh, boy, you know, when we're up there voting, no matter what we do, I have a feeling that the other side is probably going to have a problem with it. That's politics. I get it. I get why you're saying and need to say what you're saying. I knew it the moment I heard the commissioner of the TDAC on the Democrat side say this is headed to court. So I get that.

But before we take the vote tonight,
I did want to thank the Presiding Officer. I wanted to thank our entire Caucus over here, the public, and I want to thank the Minority as well.

Legislator Bynoe I know a few minutes ago said that we've done a lot since this map was first was first put up. We really haven't. We have as an entire Legislature. Those amendments were
at your suggestion on the Minority side, many of them, many from the public, but many were from you, from Legislator Bynoe, Legislator Drucker. We heard from all of you. And I would challenge anyone in this room to find, since the new laws passed down from Albany a map that's put forward for a vote where more input has not been taken from the Minority in a Body. I would challenge you to find one. I would challenge you to find a single map that was put forward by a Majority that had more districts with registered voters from the Minority party. I understand, Legislator Abrahams says said it should be, you know, has indicated that there's trending that should be considered. I get that.

But I want to thank the entire Legislature because like I said, like it or not, $I$ feel this map is a map that was created by all of us. So thank you, all, for your efforts. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:

Legislator Solages.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you very much.

Legislator Ferretti, thank you for your comments. And thank you everyone for your comments, especially the public. It was mentioned by you, Legislator Ferretti, of party registration and how the party registration shows that it is a fair process. But that is assuming that there is no phenomenon that we may know of as party rating where one particular political party intentionally has its members reregister of the other political party just to dilute the vote in certain elections. We're assuming that doesn't occur in Nassau County. The party registration factor can't be considered, not in a vacuum. It has considered that as well.

Secondly, there was reference made to my predecessor, John Ciotti. May he rest in peace. I do want to point out that that election in 2011 was a very
historic election, not just because a 16-year-incumbent was defeated, but also it represented one of the very few times in the history of this institution that a Republican and a Democrat won on the same lines that were drawn. And I believe that those lines those lines were drawn by Democrats when we had a Democrat as a Presiding Officer. And those lines in 2011, a Republican won on those lines and a Democrat won on those lines. And that district was very different from the district that exists currently. That district expanded from west to east. It clearly showed the trend of population of individuals from Queens reaching the American dream, buying a home. And as they moved them from Elmont to Franklin Square to West Hempstead, the district expanded eastwards. The year after that election, maybe because certain communities were upset that a young Democrat was representing them, the district was changed and it didn't go
from west to east, it went from north to south. And as we see under many constitutional cases regarding gerrymandering, that is a clear sign that these districts have been gerrymandered.

And so, yes, I thank the Commission and the Majority for changing certain things in the map that were mentioned regarding District Three, but it does not truly reflect the true growth and the communities of interests and their growth. Respectfully, I'm thankful that a sliver of Mill Brook has been included, but, nevertheless, not the majority of all of Mill Brook has been included. I ask you to please keep Mill Brook whole. I ask you please also to not just focus on the Third Legislative District, but to focus on all the districts in which we see an example of packing and cracking.

For those reasons, I have various
concerns about this map. I have various
concerns that not only would have lead to
a lawsuit, but it will lead to many
things that we saw in the congressional election last year in which people did not know of the election date. It led to a low voter turnout. It led to frustration amongst voters feeling that their vote was diluted or that their vote was not respected. It was not the best example of democracy in action when you have a special master or a court not issue sufficient notice to the public to educate people as to how and what exactly is going on in the process. For those reasons that $I$ have various concerns, not just that this map will lead to a
lawsuit, but it would lead to conditions
in Nassau County becoming less democratic.

Thank you very much, everyone who came here to speak. God bless you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Any other Legislators?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)

```
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Deputy Presiding Officer Koppel motion to close the hearing, seconded by Legislator Ford. All in favor of closing the hearing, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Nassau County Legislature respond in favor.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Those
``` opposed.
(Whereupon, no verbal response.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, all, for coming down to our hearing today.
(Whereupon, meeting is closed, 3:46 p.m.)
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\]} \\
\hline 84:6, 9 & & & Ford \({ }_{[3]}\) - 6:17, 9:13, 149:5 & \\
\hline \multirow[b]{6}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Election }_{[1]}-83: 5 \\
& \text { election } \\
& \text { [19] - 18:6, 19:23, 24:16, } \\
& \text { 24:20, 24:24, 36:23, 44:8, } \\
& 44: 22,83: 10,83: 24,84: 10, \\
& \text { 91:19, 93:15, 100:14, 145:25, } \\
& \text { 146:2, 146:22, 148:3, 148:4 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { exegesis }_{[1]}-126: 4 \\
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\end{aligned}
\]} & & 1:15, 40:21, 41 & \\
\hline & & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Federal }_{[9]}-9: 7,13: 12,14: 14, \\
& \text { 15:2, 49:18, 50:6, 52:7, } \\
& \text { 115:16, 117:14 } \\
& \text { federal }{ }_{[8]}-13: 10,32: 12,35: 19, \\
& 44: 23,115: 25,130: 24,133: 10,
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{ewarned \({ }_{\text {[1] }}\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gerrymandering }_{[2]}-73: 3,93: 8 \\
& \text { gerrymandering }_{[11]}-35: 20,
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & existence \({ }_{[2]}-31: 4,72: 11\) & & & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
exists \({ }_{[1]}-146: 14\) \\
expanded \({ }_{[2]}\) - 146:15, 146:21
\end{tabular}} & & & 35:22, 36:8, 48:12, 48:16, \\
\hline & & & & 9, 71:21, 79:13, 80:4, 147:5 \\
\hline & expenditures \({ }_{[1]}-21: 13\) & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Elections \({ }_{[3]}-24: 15,99: 15\)
100:13} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
experience \({ }_{[1]}-101: 14\) \\
experienced \(_{[1]}-139: 18\)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 133:20 } \\
& \text { feelings }{ }_{[1]}-30: 11
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Formation }_{[1]}-125: 3 \\
& \text { formed }{ }_{[2]}-42: 15,43: 22 \\
& \text { former }_{[1]}-69: 12 \\
& \text { forth }_{[4]}-14: 13,46: 24,56: 7,95: 2
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Giuffre \({ }_{[1]}-7: 7\) \\
GIUFFRE \(_{[2]}-3: 6,7: 8\)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline elections \({ }_{[8]}-17: 20,17: 22\), & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { experiences }_{[3]}-90: 7,90: 11 \text {, } \\
& 94: 4
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fees }_{[1]}-21: 24 \\
& \text { feet }_{[1]}-40: 23
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \\
\hline B, 93:9, & & & & given \(_{[4]}-32: 19,35: 16,98: 14\),
102:12 \\
\hline 145:17 & experiencing \({ }_{[2]}-97: 9,97: 11\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
fell \(_{[1]}-133: 13\) \\
fellow \({ }_{\text {[1] }}\)-79:20
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fortunate }_{[1]}-85: 2 \\
& \text { forward }_{[8]}-14: 19,15: 6,38: 3 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { glaringly }_{[1]}-78: 9 \\
& \text { glass }_{[1]}-141: 18
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { electoral }{ }_{[6]}-24: 3,31: 20,32: 6, \\
32: 13,32: 19,141: 8
\end{gathered}
\]} & & & & \\
\hline & 57:4, 66:11, 104:6, 105:16, & \[
\text { felt }_{[3]}-25: 18,41: 10,41: 20
\] & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{God}_{[6]}-40: 15,40: 16,40: 24, \\
41: 5,83: 23,148: 20
\end{gathered}
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\hline & & & & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { expert's }_{[1]}-56: 16 \\
& \text { experts }_{[8]}-46: 17,60: 24,61: 4 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ferretti }{ }_{[6]}-7: 25,106: 24, \\
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& \text { 137:20, 142:14, 145:5, 145:9 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & undation \({ }_{[1]}-41: 15\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { gonna }_{[3]}-112: 7,113: 9,113: 15 \\
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\end{aligned}
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\hline El & & & \multirow[t]{24}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
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four $_{[12]}-16: 8,33: 7,34: 24,35: 2$,
36:22, 36:24, 37:8, 44:16,
46:17, 86:19, 116:10, 134:10
Four $_{[2]}-24: 12,44: 18$
fourth ${ }_{[1]}-115: 6$
fragments ${ }_{[1]}-33: 3$
Franklin $_{[2]}-1: 16,146: 19$
free $_{[1]}-41: 9$
Freedom ${ }_{[1]}-42: 15$
Freeport ${ }_{[25]}-14: 17,15: 8,15: 15$,
$15: 18,18: 13,20: 19,35: 8$,
65:15, 65:17, 76:17, 76:19,
77:3, 77:13, 77:23, 77:25,
78:9, 115:4, 116:15, 118:3,
131:7, 132:25, 134:11, 135:19,
138:16, 142:2
fresh $_{[1]}-124: 21$
fried ${ }_{[1]}-37: 24$
front ${ }_{[3]}-30: 17,96: 24,97: 3$
Front ${ }_{[1]}-42: 12$
frustrated ${ }_{[1]}-125: 24$
frustration ${ }_{[1]}-148: 6$
FULL $_{[1]}-1: 3$
full $_{[2]}-29: 3,51: 5$ <br>
(516) 414-3516

```
\end{tabular}} & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Elmont }_{[3]}-12: 12,12: 14,146: 19 \\
& \text { encompasses }{ }_{[1]}-32: 6 \\
& \text { encouraging }_{[1]}-65: 25 \\
& \text { end }_{[5]}-31: 16,38: 4,52: 6,60: 4 \text {, } \\
& \quad 101: 23
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 61: 5,66: 4,77: 2,108: 24, \\
& 108: 25
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FERRETTI,JR }_{[1]}-4: 2 \\
& \text { few }_{[10]}-79: 12,82: 23,84: 7, \\
& \text { 120:4, 120:25, 130:2, 131:21, }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \multirow[t]{23}{*}{```
Goosby \(_{[1]}-80: 21\)
Gorge \(_{[1]}-119: 25\)
govern \({ }_{[1]}-32: 14\)
Government \({ }_{[2]}-1: 12,9: 4\)
government \({ }_{[9]}-47: 12,50: 5\),
    \(50: 9,50: 11,50: 13,52: 10\),
    77:12, 119:6, 127:11
GPS \(_{[1]}-72: 13\)
grade \(_{[1]}-82: 19\)
grades \({ }_{[1]}-93: 23\)
grandparents \({ }_{[2]}-83: 7,85: 5\)
graph \(_{[5]}-17: 14,49: 11,69: 24\),
    131:22, 131:24
graphic \(_{[1]}-70: 2\)
great \({ }_{[8]}-33: 16,36: 23,72: 23\),
    83:7, 85:5, 89:19, 96:6, 130:7
greatest \({ }_{[1]}-129: 6\)
green \(_{[1]}-124: 13\)
Green \(_{[1]}-75: 3\)
ground \(_{[1]}-94: 6\)
group \(_{[3]}-77: 17,77: 25,100: 20\)
groups \(_{[1]}-129: 11\)
grow \(_{[1]}-37: 13\)
```} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
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\end{array}
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\hline & & 141:8, 143:21, 146:4 & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline enjoyed \(_{[1]}-24: 6\) & & & & \\
\hline enjoys \({ }_{[1]}\) - 85:2 & & & & \\
\hline enrolim & & & & \\
\hline 56:14, 56:15, 57:3 & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline 16, & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline 33:5, 33:17, 66:3, 133:9 & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline en & & & & \\
\hline 142:17, 143:18, 143:25 & & & & \\
\hline 144:20 & & & & \\
\hline entirety \({ }_{[1]}-7\) & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline grown \(_{[1]}-125: 23\) & \multirow[t]{42}{*}{} & importantly \({ }_{[2]}-18: 1 /, 141: 16\) & invalid \({ }_{[1]}-49: 5\) & justifying \(_{[1]}-121: 2\) \\
\hline growth \(_{[2]}-147: 11\) & & impugn \(_{[1]}-19: 18\) & 39: & \\
\hline guarantee \({ }_{[1]}-29: 2\) & & \[
\mathbf{I N}_{[1]}-150: 12
\] & viting \(_{[1]}\) - 39:4 & K \\
\hline bernatorial \({ }_{[7]}-17: 10\), & & \[
\text { include }_{[3]}-16: 9,75: 7,132: 25
\] & iota \({ }_{[1]}-40: 2\) & \\
\hline 5, 61:22, 66:15, 66 & & \[
\text { included }_{[5]}-47: 16,89: 11 \text {, }
\] & Island \({ }_{[6]}-37: 13,38: 3,71: 5\), & KAREN \\
\hline 112:16 & & \[
89: 13,147: 14,147: 16
\] & 1:15, 72:7, 77 & Karen \({ }_{\text {111-150:16 }}\) \\
\hline guess \(_{[1]}-38: 20\) & & \[
\text { including }_{[4]}-41: 19,46: 17,48: 4,
\] & slanders \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-23: 8\) & KY \({ }_{[1]}\) - 92:9 \\
\hline ssing & & 78:23 & slip \({ }_{[1]}-51: 20\) & 5:14, 92:8 \\
\hline \({ }_{11}\) & & inconsistent \({ }_{[1]}-75: 25\) & 22:18, 70:8 & 21:11, 40:2 \\
\hline guy \(_{[2]}-57: 10,122\) & & \[
\text { incorporate }_{[2]}-11: 20,65: 13
\] & :12, 121:24, 133:17 & 12, 31:18 \\
\hline guys \({ }_{[10]}-53: 22,71\) & & incorporated \({ }_{[3]}-13: 18,20: 15\), & 148:11 & 65:4, 72:18, 9 \\
\hline 72:3, 7 & & 65:17 & 6:2 & 6, 113:10, 113 \\
\hline 125:6, 125:14 & & Incorporated \({ }_{[1]}\) - 44:13 & 138:11 & 8, 134:18, 134 \\
\hline & & incorrect \(_{[3]}-48: 8,102: 4,102: 5\) & Italian \({ }_{[1]}-92: 14\) & 37:3, 147: \\
\hline H & & \[
\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
\] & Item \(_{[2]}-9: 3\), & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { keeps }_{[4]}-12: 24,13: 6,91: 24, \\
& 116: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Haiti }_{[1]}-123: 23 \\
& \text { half }_{[3]}-28: 15,29: 3,78: 25 \\
& \text { hallway }_{[1]}-136: 23 \\
& \text { hamlet }_{[2]}-88: 24,135: 14 \\
& \text { hand }_{[4]}-25: 18,41: 3,128: 3 \text {, } \\
& \quad \text { 150:13 } \\
& \text { hands }_{[1]}-128: 2 \\
& \text { happy }_{[5]}-56: 2,65: 23,80: 12 \text {, } \\
& 88: 4,123: 20 \\
& \text { hard }_{[4]}-37: 15,65: 20,65: 22 \text {, } \\
& 130: 6
\end{aligned}
\]} & historic \(_{[3]}-138: 18,139: 8,146: 2\) & \[
\text { incredible }_{[1]}-108: 20
\] & \[
\text { ITEM }_{[1]}-1: 9
\] & 116:23 \\
\hline & & \[
\text { incredibly }_{[2]}-59: 14,125: 24
\] & items \({ }_{[1]}-78: 23\) & NNEDY \({ }_{[1]}-3: 1\) \\
\hline & & incumbent \({ }_{[1]}-12: 8\) & rations \({ }_{[6]}\) - 105:2 & nnedy \({ }_{[3]}-7: 15,39: 4,46: 7\) \\
\hline & & Independent \({ }_{[1]}\) - 57:12 & :15, & \(\mathrm{pt}_{[5]}-43: 22,43: 25,71: 1\) \\
\hline & & \[
\text { index }_{[8]}-48: 12,48: 16,49: 9 \text {, }
\] & itself \([4]-54: 13,95: 8,101: 15\), & 71:20, \\
\hline & & 112:14, 113:8, 113:19, 113:20, & 103: & van \(_{[12]}-8: 12,30: 3,30\) \\
\hline & & 114:17 & & 35:9, 39:19, 39:22, 64:3, \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Indian }_{[1]}-92: 16 \\
& \text { indicate } \\
& {[1]}
\end{aligned}-54: 2
\] & & 20:17, 121:12, 124:6, 138:8, \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { indicated }_{[7]}-26: 24,53: 9,53: 22, \\
57: 4,58: 9,73: 17,144: 17
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
JACOBS \(_{[1]}-79: 6\) \\
Jacobs \({ }_{[2]}-5: 10,79: 5\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\operatorname{EVAN}_{[1]}-2: 14\) \\
evaughn \({ }_{[1]}-117:\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Harkenrider }_{[6]}-16: 21,17: 6, \\
48: 15,48: 16,48: 23,49: 6
\end{gathered}
\] & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { indicates }_{[6]}-16: 3,16: 12,19: 17, \\
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133: 22,133: 25,134: 6
\end{gathered}
\] & JAMES \({ }_{[1]}-3: 15\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Kevin }_{[5]}-5: 15,29: 22,106: 21, \\
& 119: 2,119: 4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline ha & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { honor }_{[1]}-40: 9 \\
& \text { hope }_{[7]}-34: 23,38: 22,83: 25 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { indicating) }{ }_{[1]}-10: 8 \\
& \text { individuals } \\
& {[7]}
\end{aligned}-34: 4,34: 8,95: 3 \text {, }
\]} & January \(_{[2]}-11: 5,11: 11\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{k e y}_{[1]}-58: 5 \\
& \operatorname{kid}_{[1]}-37: 21
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline harmony & & & \(J^{\text {Japan }}{ }_{[1]}-41: 2\) & \\
\hline hat & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { hope }_{[7]}-34: 23,38: 22,83: 25, \\
84: 6,84: 10,127: 9,128: 15
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { individuals }_{[7]}-34: 4,34: 8,95: 3, \\
99: 22,138: 4,139: 24,146: 17
\end{gathered}
\] & Jell \(_{[1]}-65: 21\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{k i d}_{[1]}-37: 21 \\
& \text { kids }_{[3]}-69: 2,78: 3,87: 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline ha & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hopefully }_{[1]}-14: 2 \\
& \text { hour }_{[1]}-96: 24 \\
& \text { hour/hour }_{[1]}-78: 25
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
\text { inequality }_{[1]}-24: 5
\] & Jell-O \({ }_{[1]}-65\) & kind \(_{[1]}-39: 16\) \\
\hline head \(_{[1]}-143: 5\) & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { influence }_{[5]}-24: 19,25: 6,50: 17, \\
& 51: 9,51: 12
\end{aligned}
\]} & jeopardy \({ }_{[1]}-83: 13\) & kindergartner \(_{[1]}-120: 2\) \\
\hline headed \({ }_{[3]}-128: 23,143: 4\) & & & Jesus \(_{[1]}-114: 10\) & kinds \({ }_{[1]}-67: 4\) \\
\hline 143:14 & \[
\text { hours }_{[3]}-69: 11,125: 23,128: 25
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 51: 9,51: 12 \\
& \text { information } \\
& {[24]-47: 16,47: 18,}
\end{aligned}
\] & Jewish \({ }_{[3]}-42: 10,43: 23,92: 14\) & \(\mathrm{King}_{[3]}{ }^{-96: 18,139: 4, ~ 139: 19}\) \\
\hline heads & House \(_{[1]}-32: 15\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { information }[24]-47: 16,47: 18, \\
59: 13,61: 9,94: 19,95: 23,
\end{gathered}
\] & Jews \({ }_{[1]}\)-42:14 & kitchen \(_{[1]}-139: 22\) \\
\hline hear \({ }_{[18]}\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Howard \(_{[1]}-6: 14\)} & \[
98: 17,103: 9,103: 25,107: 5,
\] & \(\mathrm{Jim}_{[1]}-42: 9\) & knowing \(_{[1]}-85: 25\) \\
\hline 32:2, & & 108:6, 108:15, 108:21, 109:3,
111:5, 113:25, 114:20, 116:11, & \(\mathrm{job}_{[1]}\) - 106:3 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { knowledge }_{[1]}-53: 20 \\
& \text { known }_{[2]}-58: 12,85: 15 \\
& \text { knows }_{[3]}-56: 15,85: 19,85: 22
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline 2, 69:4, 69:6, 85:18, 95:3, & \begin{tabular}{l}
HOWARD \(_{[1]}-2: 6\) \\
human \(_{[1]}-73: 2\)
\end{tabular} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 111:5, 113:25, 114:20, 116:11, } \\
& 116: 13,126: 16,132: 3,132: 4, \\
& 136: 3,136: 8
\end{aligned}
\]} & \(\mathrm{JOHN}_{[2]}-3: 6,4\) & \\
\hline 95:25, 96:17, 102:16, 103:22, & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
humanity \({ }_{[2]}-124: 5,125: 18\) \\
humble \(_{[1]}-124: 22\)
\end{tabular}} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { John }_{[11]}-7: 6,7: 24,8: 2,16: 2, \\
\text { 16:13, 24:9, 92:21, 117:12, }
\end{gathered}
\]} & \\
\hline \[
\text { 127:21, } 140: 18
\] & & \[
136: 3,136: 8
\] & & \begin{tabular}{l}
knows \(_{[3]}\) - 56:15, 85:19, 85:22 \\
Kopel \(_{[2]}-6: 14,9: 13\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline heard \({ }_{[29]}-11: 25,12: 20,43: 17\), & & ```
informed [3] - 108:6, 109:5,
    109:7
``` & 130:25, 133:21, 145:23 & KOPEL \(_{[2]}-2: 6,6: 15\) \\
\hline 65:8, 65:9, 76:20, 86:3, 92:9 94:8, 94:10, 94:12, 94:14, & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\text { hurts }_{[2]}-61: 23,93: 8
\]} & input \(_{[7]}-11: 13,26: 25,27: 6\), & JOHNSON \({ }_{[22]}\) - 93:19, 98:23, 99:3, 99:6, 99:9, 99:17, 99:21, & Koppel \(_{[1]}{ }^{1]}\)-149:3 \\
\hline , 102:5, 114:23, 115: & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \quad 64: 23,64: 24,65: 5,144: 9 \\
& \text { Instead }_{[1]}-62: 4 \\
& \text { instead }_{[4]}-19: 14,38: 19,71: 4, \\
& \quad 116: 19
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 116:24, 121:3, 127:20, 127:21, } \\
\text { 129:7, 138:3, 143:12, 144:5 } \\
\text { hearing }{ }_{[26]}-6: 3,8: 22,9: 11,
\end{gathered}
\] & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
02:25, 103:19, 104:11, \\
04:19, 105:5, 105:10, 105:2
\end{tabular} & \[
\text { lack }_{[1]}-61: 15
\] \\
\hline \[
9: 15,9: 25,10: 11,10:
\] & & & & lacked \(_{[1]}-126: 7\) \\
\hline 5, 14:21, 31:9, 47:3, 47:8 & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { instruct }_{[2]}-18: 23,66: 11 \\
& \text { integrity }_{[1]}-127: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Johnson }{ }_{[8]}-5: 14,93: 18, \\
& \text { 105:19, 106:9, 106:16, 107:3, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \({ }_{[1]}-31: 2\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{17}{*}{} & & \multirow[t]{17}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
```

intelligent [1] - 119:14
intentionally [1] - 145:14
interacts [1] - 24:4
interest}\mp@subsup{}{[14]}{}-12:4,12:9,33:9
50:6, 50:9, 50:11, 50:13,
52:10, 77:4, 77:8, 78:8, 90:25,
116:4, 116:6
interesting [1] - 45:10
interests [7] - 34:18, 35:4, 44:2,
82:11, 91:9, 92:3, 147:12
interject [1] - 63:3
interjected [1] - 63:14
interpretation [1] - 101:16
interpreting [2] - 27:9, 103:9
interrupt }\mp@subsup{}{[2]}{}-63:5,63:
interrupted [1] - 107:21
interrupting [1] - 107:20
intimated [1] - 94:12
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``` \\
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\end{tabular}} & 109:11, 112:13 & \[
\text { afazan }_{[3]}-6: 5,8: 9,120: 8
\] \\
\hline & & & \(\mathrm{n}_{[1]}-35: 25\) & \[
\text { aid }_{[3]}-41: 14,60: 18,60: 22
\] \\
\hline & & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Jonathan }_{[14]}-5: 7,5: 9,22: 14 \\
\text { 22:17, } 82: 15,82: 18,85: 9,
\end{gathered}
\] & Lakeview \({ }_{[58]}\) - 14:17, 15:8, 15:13, 18:12, 20:20, 30:10, \\
\hline & & & 7:25, 89:18, 129:22 & \[
30: 22,31: 13,31: 20,33: 6
\] \\
\hline & & & 140:10, 140:2 & 3:11, 34:24, 35:5, 35:7, \\
\hline & & & & 35:21, 36:17, 36:23, 38:10, \\
\hline & & & 76:18 & \(38: 17,44: 20,65: 10,65: 11\),
\(67: 18,68: 22,80: 10,82: 22\), \\
\hline & & & [120:8 & \[
4: 12,84: 14,85: 21,85: 2
\] \\
\hline & & & JoshuA \({ }_{[1]}-4\) : & \[
6: 5,86: 24,88: 15,88: 25
\] \\
\hline & & & \[
\text { Joshua }_{[1]}-8: 8
\] & 9:6, 89:13, 89:25, 90:3 \\
\hline & & & Judge \(_{[1]}-115: 21\) & :4, 116:15, 117: \\
\hline & & & judge \(_{[1]}-68: 13\) & 1316, 132:24, 133 \\
\hline & & & Judy \({ }_{[3]}-5: 11,26: 2,26\) & 35:14, 138:1 \\
\hline & & & isdictions \({ }_{[1]}-32: 5\) & 38:17, 138:18, 139:9, 139:1 \\
\hline & & & & 139:25, 141:6, 142:2 \\
\hline & & & ( \({ }_{[1]}-115\) & Lakeview's \({ }_{[1]}-43: 17\) \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline rating \(_{[1]}-145: 13\) & refuse \(_{[1]}-45: 12\) & кepubicans \({ }_{[5]}\) - 103: 23 , & rights \(_{[7]}-48: 3,129: 10,129: 12\), & 19:7, 39:8, 51:13, 61:14, \\
\hline \(\mathrm{re}_{[1]}-92: 21\) & refused \(_{[2]}-43: 14,48: 3\) & 119:24, 121:8, 122:25, 123:19 & 130:24, 133:10, 139:20, & 61:15, 62:6, 65:16, 67:7, 69:2, \\
\hline re-elected \({ }_{[1]}-92: 21\) & regard \(_{[3]}-13: 15,48: 24,51: 11\) & republicans \({ }_{[1]}-37: 6\) & 141:15 & 72:15, 73:15, 73:19, 76:21, \\
\hline reached \({ }_{[1]}-64: 19\) & regarding \({ }_{[5]}-10: 12,22: 20\), & reputation \(_{[1]}-28: 21\) & rival \(_{[1]}-141: 9\) & 88:2, 94:21, 96:2, 97:20, \\
\hline reaching \(_{[1]}-146: 17\) & 77:12, 147:4, 147:10 & request \(_{[8]}-29: 12,31: 16,35: 6\), & \(\operatorname{road}_{[3]}-125: 8,141: 2,141: 3\) & 97:22, 97:24, 101:5, 101:25, \\
\hline read \(_{[7]}-8: 21,10: 3,19: 15,58: 7\), & Regardless \({ }_{[1]}-54: 12\) & 70:3, 74:19, 90:14, 128:21, & Road \(_{[1]}-138: 23\) & 4:25, 111:25, 117:7, 117:24, \\
\hline 133:25, 134:5, 137:11 & regards \(_{[2]}-109: 13,116: 1\) & 133:5 & roads \(_{[1]}-35: 18\) & 120:23, 127:2, 134:3, 135:7, \\
\hline real \({ }_{[1]}-79: 22\) & regional \({ }_{[1]}-32: 11\) & requested \(_{[5]}-12: 25,13: 3,13: 5\), & Rockaway \({ }_{[2]}-89: 7,91: 2\) & 135:8, 136:15, 136:17, 136:18, \\
\hline reality \({ }_{[5]}-70: 21,84: 14,84: 17\), & registered \({ }_{[3]}-57: 14,122: 21\), & 31:11, 131:6 & roll \({ }_{[2]}-6: 10,100: 14\) & 136:21, 138:9, 139:24, 141:22, \\
\hline 90:12, 134:7 & 144:14 & requesting \({ }_{[1]}-127: 25\) & \(\mathrm{Roll}_{[1]}-6: 13\) & 141:24, 142:3, 147:3, 147:21 \\
\hline realize \({ }_{[1]}-35: 13\) & registration \({ }_{[8]}-122: 23,123: 4\), & requests \({ }_{[4]}-31: 17,45: 13\), & room \(_{[4]}-43: 14,46: 7,57: 7\), & See \(_{[1]}-61: 2\) \\
\hline really \(_{[14]}-17: 8,33: 23,55: 22\), & 123:9, 123:16, 123:17, 145:9, & 88:19, 90:15 & 144:7 & seeing \(_{[2]}-20: 18,94: 23\) \\
\hline 68:24, 87:6, 115:10, 117:2, & 145:10, 145:19 & require \({ }_{[1]}-50: 7\) & Rose \(_{[1]}\) - 8:6 & seek \(_{[1]}-141: 17\) \\
\hline 120:11, 120:12, 129:20, & regular & requirements \({ }_{[1]}\) - 104:6 & \(\operatorname{ROSE}_{[1]}-4: 8\) & segment \(_{[1]}-28: 23\) \\
\hline 138:11, 139:12, 143:24 & regularly \({ }_{[1]}-141: 7\) & requires \({ }_{[1]}-45: 5\) & \(\mathrm{RPV}_{[2]}\) - 48:4, 49:16 & segregate \({ }_{[1]}-78: 10\) \\
\hline Really \(_{[1]}-137: 15\) & reimbursed \({ }_{[2]}-21: 13,21: 16\) & reregister \(_{[1]}\)-145:15 & ruled \(_{[1]}-50: 12\) & segregation \({ }_{[1]}\) - 138:21 \\
\hline reason \(_{[10]}-16: 8,19: 9,31: 23\), & reinforce \(_{[1]}-45: 24\) & resident \({ }_{[4]}-23: 17,76: 19,85: 24\), & \(\mathrm{run}_{[44}\) - 18:24, 19:23, 7 & select \(_{[5]}-36: 19,83: 19,84: 2\), \\
\hline 52:8, 70:21, 90:24, 114:24, & reject \(_{[2]}-85: 3,91: 13\) & 119:5 & :22 & 84:11, 105:25 \\
\hline 115:3, 134:10, 140:16 & relationships \({ }_{[1]}-42\) : & residents \(_{[33]}-12: 3,13: 2,13: 4\), & running \({ }_{[1]}-120: 11\) & self \(_{[1]}-23: 9\) \\
\hline reasonable \({ }_{[1]}-109: 3\) & relevant \(_{[1]}-100: 4\) & 15:15, 22:16, 25:13, 25:21, & rush \(_{[1]}-98: 3\) & sell \({ }_{[1]}-81: 7\) \\
\hline reasons \(_{[4]}\) - 109:11, 109:12, & relied \(_{[1]}-13: 16\) & 27:2, 29:7, 31:10, 31:13, & rushing \({ }_{[1]}-98: 13\) & semester \({ }_{[1]}-101: 24\) \\
\hline 147:22, 148:14 & rely & 14, 32:12, 32:25, 36:6, & & Senate \({ }_{[1]}-93: 10\) \\
\hline rebuttal \({ }_{[1]}-48: 6\) & relying \({ }_{[1]}-116: 7\) & 36:7, 43:8, 43:11, 44:16, & S & sending \(_{[1]}-25: 13\) \\
\hline received \(_{[1]}-128: 6\) & remain \(_{[2]}-80: 13,127: 18\) & 44:19, 45:3, 54:15, 77:19, & & sense \(_{[7]}-16: 17,18: 7,66: 16\), \\
\hline recently \(_{[2]}-42: 7,93: 20\) & remains \(_{[3]}-57: 2,84: 20,136: 25\) & 77:22, 78:2, 82:6, 86:20, & \(\mathbf{s a d}_{[3]}-71: 8,72: 24,83: 16\) & 66:19, 66:24, 95:12, 96:3 \\
\hline recess \(_{[1]}-87: 15\) & remarks \(_{[1]}-76: 16\) & 17:6, 133:8 & safe \(_{[2]}-78: 5,127\) & sentences \({ }_{[1]}\)-120:25 \\
\hline recited \(_{[1]}-6: 8\) & remember \(_{[7]}-39: 3,85: 3,91: 15\), & 35:13, 135:14 & sake \(_{[1]}-134: 2\) & sentiments \({ }_{[1]}-138: 7\) \\
\hline Reckless \(_{[1]}-76: 6\) & 91:21, 125:12, 129:4, 129:8 & resolution \(_{[1]}-14: 3\) & \[
\text { salary }_{[1]}-122: 10
\] & separate \(^{[1]}\)-47:15 \\
\hline reckless \({ }_{[8]}-59: 25,60: 6,60: 7\), & remind \(_{[4]}-86: 13,124: 3,124: 4\), & resolutions \({ }_{[1]}-47: 17\) & Salley \({ }_{[2]}-5: 16,125: 20\) & separation \({ }_{[1]}-139: 3\) \\
\hline 60:8, 63:22, 63:25, 64:25, & 126:22 & respect \(_{[7]}-9: 11,14: 5,45: 2\) & SALLEY \(_{[1]}-125: 21\) & servant \({ }_{[1]}-124: 21\) \\
\hline 70 & Remus \({ }_{[4]}-5: 15,121: 18,122: 8\), & 54:14, 57:10, 76:11, 100:8 & sand \(_{[1]}-19\) & serve \(_{[3]}-87: 13,140: 12,141: 18\) \\
\hline recognition \({ }_{[1]}-43: 21\) & 12 & respected \({ }_{[1]}-148: 8\) & Santos \({ }_{[2]}-119: 24,119: 25\) & served \(_{[1]}-41: 19\) \\
\hline recognize \(_{[4]}-43: 4,43: 10,43: 24\) & REMUS \(_{[1]}\) - 123:22 & respectfully \(_{[1]}-75: 7\) & \[
\text { Sat }_{[1]}-125: 22
\] & services \(_{[2]}-35: 15,35: 19\) \\
\hline recognized \({ }_{[2]}\) - 44:2, 80:15 & repairing \({ }_{[1]}-35: 18\) & Respectfully \({ }_{[1]}\) - 147:13 & \[
\text { sat }_{[3]}-109: 24,114: 25,137: 13
\] & session \(_{[2]}-136: 13,136: 20\) \\
\hline recommending \({ }_{[1]}-98: 13\) & repeat \(_{[2]}-36: 11,71: 3\) & respects \(_{[1]}-13: 15\) & satisfy \({ }_{[1]}-59: 18\) & set \(_{[1]}-150: 12\) \\
\hline reconcile \(_{[3]}-15: 4,115: 13\), & report \(_{[42]}-10: 17,13: 18,19: 15\), & respond \(_{[2]}-9: 19,149\) & \[
\text { Saturday }_{[1]}-66: 22
\] & setting \({ }_{[3]}-25: 8,55: 6,118: 22\) \\
\hline 115:15 & 0:4, & responded \({ }_{[1]}\) - 46:24 & \[
\operatorname{saw}_{[6]}-42: 7,51: 19,81: 23
\] & seven \(_{[1]}-93: 23\) \\
\hline reconciliation \(_{[1]}-16: 17\) & 50:10, 50:19, 53:2, 53:7 & responding \(_{[1]}-27: 10\) & 92:10, 131:9, 148:2 & seventh \({ }_{[1]}-82: 19\) \\
\hline reconsider \({ }_{[1]}-25: 22\) & 53:14, 53:15, 54:3, 54:4 & responds \(_{[2]}\) - 28:3, 54:10 & scale \(_{[1]}-70: 12\) & several \({ }_{[3]}-88: 16,88: 24,131: 5\) \\
\hline record \({ }_{[24]}-10: 17,11: 2,11: 9\), & 54:12, 56:16, 56:20, 61:19 & response \(_{[7]}-7: 16,9: 23,52: 19\) & scared \({ }_{[1]}-37: 21\) & Shadowed \({ }_{[1]}-41: 3\) \\
\hline 11:16, 11:19, 13:19, 43:15, & 62:3, 62:5, 69:25, 73:10, & 112:25, 137:8, 148:24, 149:13 & SCHAEFER \({ }_{[2]}-3: 21,7: 23\) & shall \({ }_{[2]}-24: 15,24: 21\) \\
\hline 46:6, 46:25, 51:4, 52:22, & 73:14, 73:20, 74:15, 74:22, & responsibility \({ }_{[1]}-129: 25\) & Schaefer \({ }_{[1]}-7: 22\) & shame \(_{[1]}-38: 9\) \\
\hline 52:25, 53:18, 53:25, 54:5, & 101:9, 115:7, 115:12, 115:18, & responsible \(_{[1]}-21: 23\) & \[
\text { School }_{[4]}-86: 12,87: 2,138: 23,
\] & share \({ }_{[15]}-25: 17,40: 12,77: 14\), \\
\hline 59:8, 62:4, 62:5, 62:6, 69:17, & 6:5, 116:7, 131:14, 131:17, & rest \(_{[1]}-145: 24\) & 139:2 & 82:20, 84:16, 89:24, 90:16, \\
\hline 73:8, 80:19, 106:23, 138:5 & 131:19, 131:21, 133:24, 134:6, & restore \(_{[2]}-12: 18,127: 10\) & \[
0,33: 1
\] & :18, 90:19, 90:23, 91:9 \\
\hline records \(_{[1]}-118: 23\) & 135:12, 136:17, 136:18 & restoring \({ }_{[1]}-74: 19\) &  & 126:18, 129:24, 138:7, 139:17 \\
\hline rectified \(_{[1]}-28: 22\) & \(\mathrm{Report}_{[7]}-48: 6,48: 13,48: 17\) & result \(_{[4]}-51: 17,70: 6,81: 3\) & :23, 93:24, 94:2, 94:1 & shared \({ }_{[4]}-83: 6,83: 12,128: 7\), \\
\hline \(\operatorname{red}_{[2]}-93: 2,124: 14\) & 54:6, 54:8, 58:8, \(62: 2\) & resulting \({ }_{[1]}-50: 20\) & 94:12, 95:15, 95:18, 98:5, & 128:8 \\
\hline redistrict \({ }_{[1]}\)-129:24 & REPORTER \({ }_{[1]}\) - 1:25 & results \({ }_{[1]}-75: 18\) & 98:10, 124:25, 138:22, 138:24 & shares \(_{[1]}-84: 9\) \\
\hline redistricting \({ }_{[14]}-10: 13,22: 20\), & reports \(_{\text {[9] }}-46: 16,46: 21,46: 25\), & retired \({ }_{[1]}-93: 21\) & \[
\text { schools }_{[1]}-77: 14
\] & shifting \(_{[1]}-91: 23\) \\
\hline 31:9, 31:22, 31:25, 32:20, & 47:5, 47:17, 51:4, 51:5, & retiring \({ }_{[1]}-86: 15\) & \[
\text { science }_{[1]}-46: 21
\] & shine \(_{[1]}-97: 15\) \\
\hline 32:22, 32:23, 38:7, 39:7, 80:3, & 108:24, 108:25 & retrogression \({ }_{[1]}-50: 20\) & \[
\text { score }_{[2]}-103: 3,103: 7
\] & shocked \(_{[1]}-96: 16\) \\
\hline 82:21, 88:21, 95:6 & represent \({ }_{[5]}-21: 20,32: 14\), & returned \(_{[1]}-12: 17\) & Scott \({ }_{[1]}-96: 5\) & shoe \(_{[1]}-37: 25\) \\
\hline Redistricting \({ }_{[2]}-10: 19,32: 3\) & 40:4, 83:20, 128:17 & reunion \({ }_{[1]}\) - 96:7 & \[
\text { Scottie }_{[10]}-5: 7,29: 10,39: 9
\] & shoebox \(_{[1]}-42: 18\) \\
\hline redo \(_{[1]}-26: 10\) & representation \([6]-28: 23,29: 3\), & reunited \({ }_{[1]}-43: 9\) & 9:10, 40:8, 42:16, 79:24, & shopping \({ }_{[1]}-75: 2\) \\
\hline redraw \(_{[1]}-32: 5\) & 47:24, 86:9, 89:19, 142:11 & reversed \(_{[1]}-125: 9\) & 79:25, 80:2, 80:9 & short \(_{[4]}-84: 7,124: 11,126: 11\), \\
\hline redrawing \({ }_{[1]}-20: 15\) & representative \([6]-23: 21,26: 12\), & revised \(_{[1]}-74: 18\) & Scottie's \({ }_{[1]}-119: 13\) & 133:13 \\
\hline redrawn \(_{[4]}-35: 7,83: 17,89: 5\) & 28:16, 46:2, 75:6, 83:19 & Revised \(_{[1]}-10: 19\) & \(\mathrm{t}_{[1]}-128: 2\) & shouted \(_{[1]}-132: 15\) \\
\hline reduces \(_{[1]}-12: 22\) & Representatives \({ }_{[1]}-32: 15\) & revolution \({ }_{[1]}-92: 11\) & seated \({ }_{[1]}-44: 7\) & show \(_{[16]}-17: 14,19: 10,19: 13\) \\
\hline refer \(_{[1]}-74: 22\) & representatives \({ }_{[10]}-24: 8,32: 9\) & Rhodesia \({ }_{[1]}\) - \(71: 7\) & \[
\text { seats }_{[4]}-134: 17,134: 18
\] & 45:11, 45:12, 90:2, 95:22 \\
\hline reference \(_{[2]}-48: 15,145: 22\) & :19, 36:18, 36:20, 37:11, & Rich \({ }_{[10]}-58: 11,58: 20,62: 10\), & \[
134: 20,134: 25
\] & 11:15, 111:19, 111:21, \\
\hline referenced \({ }_{[2]}-138: 2,142: 25\) & 44:6, 71:24, 83:14, 84:25 & 10:9, 110:18, 110:24, 112:3, & second \({ }_{[1]}-97: 2\) & 20:11, 121:7, 124:24, \\
\hline references \(_{[2]}-24: 13,136: 16\) & represented \({ }_{[5]}-23: 13,86: 10\) & 3:21, 114:3, 117:2 & \[
\text { Second }_{[3]}-10: 20,16: 2,89: 2
\] & 126:12, 139:25 \\
\hline \[
\text { referred }_{[1]}-48: 12
\] & 28:14, 129:13, 146:4 & \[
\operatorname{rich}_{[1]}-113:
\] & \[
\text { seconded }_{[2]}-9: 13,149: 4
\] & showed \({ }_{[2]}-67: 15,146: 16\) \\
\hline referring \(_{[1]}-36: 25\) & representing \({ }_{[1]}-146: 24\) & RICHARD \(_{[2]}-1: 5,2: 2\) & Secondly \({ }_{[3]}\) - 99:5, 133:11, & showing [2]-24:22, 128:2 \\
\hline reflect \(_{[8]}-23: 14,23: 16,46: 6\), & Republican \({ }_{[20]}-16: 7,18: 18\) & Richard \({ }_{[1]}-8: 1\) & [1] \({ }^{\text {[ }}\) & shows \(_{[3]}-67: 12,134: 16,145: 10\) \\
\hline 73:18, 128:5, 128:8, 130:9, & 20:25, 43:13, 57:7, 57:9, & Riders \({ }_{[1]}-42: 15\) & secrecy \(_{[5]}-54: 21,56: 18,61: 16\), & \[
\text { shrouded }_{[1]}-126: 21
\] \\
\hline 77:11 & 76:25, 78:11, 100:2, 108:25, & Rights \(_{[13]}-13: 12,14: 15,15: 2\), & 126:22, 132:11 & shut \(_{[2]}-118: 19,121: 14\) \\
\hline Reflect \({ }_{[1]}-128: 5\) & 122:21, 122:22, 123:7, 124:18, & 49:2, 49:3, 49:18, 49:19, & secret \({ }_{[2]}\) - 109:17, 137:4 & sic \(_{[1]}-100: 10\) \\
\hline reflected \(_{[1]}-13: 17\) & 126:15, 131:16, 134:25, 143:3, & 49:24, 50:7, 51:11, 52:7, & Section \({ }_{[3]}-24: 12,49: 17\), & sick \({ }_{[1]}\) - \(38: 10\) \\
\hline reflecting \(_{[1]}-129: 21\) & 146:6, 146:11 & 115:16, 117:14 & see \(_{[45]}-15: 23,16: 24,19: 6\), & side \(_{[10]}-29: 25,31: 5,44: 6,44: 9\), \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{109.8, 17.J, 120.24, 121.2,}} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{try}_{[8]}-30: 12,54: 21,57: 18 \\
& 57: 21,58: 3,111: 8,128: 3 \\
& 133: 16
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 143:6, 143:24 } \\
& \text { upcoming }{ }_{[1]}-91: 19 \\
& \text { upset }_{[7]}-30: 9,37: 12,63: 15 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \\
\hline & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 123: 12,125: 8,128: 4,129: 12, \\
& 140: 20,143: 16,144: 9,145: 16, \\
& 148: 7
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Women's \({ }_{[1]}-129: 3\) women's \({ }_{[1]}-129: 10\)} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline trying \({ }_{[9]}-19: 18,19: 19,42: 23\), & \[
63: 18,63: 19,96: 22,146: 23
\] & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
won \(_{[3]}\) - 146:6, 146:11, 146:12 \\
wonderful \(_{[1]}-129: 15\)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 59:7, 61:13, 77:24, 118:18, & upside \(_{[1]}-36: 12\) & voted \({ }_{[5]}-37: 5,80: 22,93: 6\) & \\
\hline 127:15, 134:17 & upsta & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 93: 16,100: 15 \\
& \text { voter }_{[7]}-28: 19,114: 20,116: 13
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Woodfield }_{[1]}-138: 23 \\
& \text { word }_{[3]}-67: 14,96: 18,119: 22
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline Tseyltin \(_{[1]}-132: 4\) & upwards \(_{[1]}-45: 4\) & & \\
\hline \[
\text { Tseytlin }_{[12]}-14: 20,16: 11,53: 9
\] & \[
\text { urge }_{[6]}-120: 22,120: 23,122: 25,
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { voter }_{[7]}-28: 19,114: 20,116: 13 \\
130: 24,132: 3,133: 10,148: 5
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
word \({ }_{[3]}\) - 67:14, 96:18, 119:22 \\
words \({ }_{[2]}\) - 79:16, 107:21
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
53: 12,53: 19,53: 21,114: 25,
\] & \[
123: 6,123: 11,128: 4
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { voters }_{[12]}-24: 7,33: 16,33: 24, \\
36: 16,37: 2,37: 3,37: 4,55: 2,
\end{gathered}
\] & workdays \({ }_{[1]}\) - 66:21 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 116:3, 132:5, 132:6, 135:24, } \\
& 137: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & urgency \({ }_{[1]}-100: 9\) & 36:16, 37:2, 37:3, 37:4, 55:2,
\[
55: 8,91: 17,144: 15,148: 6
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { world }_{[4]}-41: 2,124: 11,125: 10 \\
& 125: 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tseytlin's }_{[4]}-19: 15,20: 13, \\
& 115: 7,115: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { uses }_{[5]}-17: 9,48: 11,61: 20, \\
& 61: 22,86: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { votes }_{[24]}-14: 16,15: 3,15: 10, \\
15: 24,18: 16,20: 20,21: 8
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { World }_{[3]}-41: 19,41: 23,42: 11 \\
& \text { worry }_{[1]}-38: 22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{turn \(_{[6]}-10: 3,13: 19,29: 13\),
\(79: 24,84: 2,84: 7\)} & utilized \({ }_{[1]}-53: 17\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 25: 15,28: 12,34: 3,34: 25 \\
& 37: 7,37: 9,37: 18,45: 8,71: 22,
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { worry }_{[1]}-38: 22 \\
& \text { worse }_{[1]}-80: 17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & utter \(_{[1]}-70: 10\) & & worse \({ }_{[1]}-80: 17\)
worst \({ }_{[2]}-28: 20,31: 20\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{turned \(_{[1]}-85: 7\)
turning
\([1]\)} & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 37: 7,37: 9,37: 18,45: 8,71: 22, \\
& 80: 25,91: 14,93: 14,127: 5,
\end{aligned}
\] & Wow \(_{[2]}\) - 114:10, 129:19 \\
\hline & V & 130:23, 141:4, 141:5, 141:6 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\text { wrap }_{[2]}-121: 5,137: 6
\]} \\
\hline turnout \({ }_{[1]}-148: 5\) & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { voting }_{[8]}-24: 24,36: 15,46: 18 \\
48: 3,50: 17,83: 3,83: 4,143: 7
\end{array}
\] & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Turnpike }_{[2]}-80: 24,81: 14 \\
& \text { twice }_{[1]}-46: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & vacuum \(_{[1]}-145: 20\) & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Voting }_{[15]}-13: 12,14: 14,15: 2, \\
& \text { 24:10, 49:2, 49:3, 49:16, } \\
& \text { 49:18, 49:19, 49:24, 50:7, } \\
& \text { 51:10, } 52: 7,115: 16,117: 14
\end{aligned}
\]} & written \(_{[1]}-133: 19\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Two }[8]-24: 12,30: 23,34: 10, \\
38: 16,74: 17,92: 4,115: 24,
\end{array}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { validation }_{[1]}-57: 23 \\
& \text { Valley }_{[3]}-89: 9,89: 10,92: 12 \\
& \text { value }_{[2]}-138: 18,140: 10
\end{aligned}
\]} & & Y \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 137: 25 \\
& \text { two }_{[17]}-28: 18,33: 14,44: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { year }_{[7]]}-66: 18,81: 25,83: 25, \\
& 93: 10,131: 5,146: 21,148: 3
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
44: 15,46: 16,60: 23,79: 9
\]} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { value }_{[2]}-138: 18,140: 10 \\
& \text { valued }_{[1]}-94: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\bar{W}
\]} & \\
\hline & values \({ }_{[2]}-84: 9,84: 16\) & & years \(_{[31]}-17: 10,17: 12,18: 5\), \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{111:13, 124:18, 124:19,} & variations \(_{[1]}\) - varies \(_{\text {[1] }}\)-139:5 & Wait \({ }_{[2]}-63: 10,103: 20\) & :13, 25:8, 31:4, 31:21, \\
\hline & various \({ }_{[5]}-21: 6,78: 22,147: 22\), & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{wait \(^{\text {[4] }}\) - \(45: 23,63: 11,97: 2\),
\(103: 20\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{47:25, 58:12, 61:22, 66:15,} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{type \(_{[1]}-14: 3\)} & 147:23, 148:14 & & \\
\hline & vary & Waker \({ }_{\text {[1] }} 8.6\) & 84:7, 85:19, 85:24, 86:14, \\
\hline U & vast \({ }_{[2]}-12: 13,82: 6\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Walker \(_{[1]}-8: 6\)
WALKER \(_{[2]}-4: 8,8:\)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 86: 16,87: 25,89: 22,92: 21, \\
& 97: 7,118: 7,130: 3
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & vehemently \({ }_{[1]}-56\) & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ultimately }_{[4]}-17: 23,67: 10, \\
& 127: 16,135: 6
\end{aligned}
\]} & verbal \({ }_{[3]}-9: 22,148: 23,149: 12\) & walking \(_{[1]}-78: 6\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{yellow \(_{[2]}-124: 13,124: 18\)
yesterday \(_{[1]}-50: 22\)} \\
\hline & Verizon \({ }_{[2]}-86: 15,86: 17\) & Wallem \(_{[1]}-76: 1\) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{unable \({ }_{[2]}-27: 18,85: 6\)
unanimous
\([1]\)} & ver & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { wants }_{[6]}-55: 18,77: 7,125: 7, \\
128: 17,134: 3,134: 4
\end{gathered}
\]} & yesterday \(_{[1]}-50: 22\) \\
\hline & \[
\text { versions }_{[1]}-105:
\] & & YORK \({ }_{[1]}-150: 4\)
York \({ }_{[19]}\) - 1:17, 10:23, 13:13, \\
\hline unbelievable \({ }_{[1]}-119: 12\) & versus \(_{[2]}-17: 6,115: 18\) & War \({ }_{[3]}\) - 41:20, 41:23, 42:11 & 13:14, 24:10, 35:25, 45:2, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Unbelievable \({ }_{[1]}-114: 11\)
under \(_{[2]}-25: 3,147: 3\)} & vested \({ }_{[1]}-82: 11\) & warranted \({ }_{[2]}-90: 10,117: 10\) & 49:3, 49:19, 49:24, 50:24, \\
\hline & via \(_{[1]}-76: 22\) & wasting \(_{[1]}-51: 17\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 55: 15,57: 20,93: 2,93: 10, \\
& 93: 21,105: 18,131: 2,150: 8
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline undermine \({ }_{[1]}-45: 25\) & victory [3] - 41:21, 41:2 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({\text { watched }{ }_{[1]}-142: 1}^{\text {watches }}{ }_{[1]}\)-119:18} & \\
\hline unfettered \({ }_{[1]}-63: 7\) & videos \({ }_{[11]}-120: 10\) & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { young }_{[7]}-85: 16,91: 17,92: 13 \\
& 129: 21,130: 3,141: 16,146: 23
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Unfortunately }_{[4]}-55: 5,56: 5 \text {, } \\
& 57: 22,67: 9
\end{aligned}
\]} & view \(_{[1]}-108: 23\) & watching \(_{[2]}-91: 16,128: 18\) & \\
\hline & viewpoints \({ }_{[1]}-94: 1\) & ways \(_{[1]}-51: 15\) & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { younger }_{[3]}-96: 21,96: 22, \\
& 139: 21 \\
& \text { youngsters }{ }_{[1]}-96: 20
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { unfortunately }{ }_{[5]}-52: 4,54: 23, \\
& 55: 2,69: 14,90: 13
\end{aligned}
\]} & views \(_{[1]}-43: 23\) & weary \(_{[1]}-40: 15\) & \\
\hline & \[
\text { vigorous }_{[1]}-46: 10
\] & website \({ }_{[1]}-47: 2\) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{unhappy \({ }_{[2]}-55: 19,88: 6\) unifies \({ }_{[2]}-12: 13,13: 4\)} & \[
\text { vigorously }_{[1]}-141:
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { week }_{[5]}-30: 18,87: 8,98: 22, \\
& 136: 21
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { yourself }[4]-53: 9,53: 19,63: 14, \\
& 71: 14
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & \[
\text { Village }_{[11}-12: 23
\] & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Uniondale }_{[11]}-13: 5,45: 13, \\
71: 10,71: 11,79: 17,80: 12,
\end{gathered}
\]} & \[
\text { villages }_{[1]}-44:
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { weeks }_{[1]}-79: 9 \\
& \text { West }_{[3]}-89: 8,91: 3,146: 20
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{```
yourselves [3] - 61:15, 66:18,
    128:13
youth [1] - 77:25
```} \\
\hline & Villages \(_{[1]}-44: 1\) & & \\
\hline \[
80: 23,81: 5,82: 7,82: 12,82: 13
\] & violate \(_{[1]}-133: 9\) & west \(_{[2]}-146: 15,147: 2\) & \\
\hline \[
\text { unique }_{[1]}-132: 23
\] & \[
\text { violates }_{[3]}-14: 14,130: 24,131: 2
\] & Westbury \({ }_{[2]}-13: 3,91: 5\) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { United }_{[4]}-13: 11,42: 12,125: 11, \\
& 125: 12
\end{aligned}
\]} & Violation \(_{[1]}-24: 20\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{whatsoever \(_{[1]}-33: 9\)} & \\
\hline & violation \({ }_{[2]}-45: 24,49\) & & \\
\hline \[
\text { unites }_{[1]}-13: 2
\] & & WHEREOF \({ }_{[1]}-150: 12\) & \\
\hline unity \(_{[1]}-128: 16\) & Voice \(_{[1]}\) - 129:7 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{white \(_{[10]}-24: 6,33: 5,33: 16\), 33:17, 42:12, 92:14, 122:4,} & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{University \(_{[1]}-55: 14\)
unless
\([2]\)
\(-18: 5,70: 1\)} & voice \({ }_{\text {If }}\) - \(31: 6\) & & \\
\hline & \[
102: 4,140: 15,141: 1
\] & 124:13, 124:17, 138:24 & \\
\hline unlike \(_{[1]}-64: 10\) & \[
\operatorname{voiced}_{[3]}-11: 21,13: 8,88: 18
\] & Whitton \({ }_{[1]}-7: 12\) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Unlike \(_{[1]}-64: 14\)} & \[
\text { voices }_{[8]}-42: 6,43: 17,45: 8 \text {, }
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
WHITTON \({ }_{[2]}-3: 12,7: 13\) \\
whole \(_{[8]}-43: 22,43: 25,71: 11\),
\end{tabular}} & \\
\hline & \[
127: 19,129: 14,138: 3,140: 3
\] & & \\
\hline unofficial \({ }_{[1]}-82: 2\) & \[
\text { void }_{[1]}-59: 20
\] & 79:18, 80:13, 84:20, 124:19, & \\
\hline up \(_{[45]}-10: 5,15: 16,17: 8,17: 11\), & \[
\text { vote }_{[56]}-10: 13,14: 18,15: 22,
\] & 147:17 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 22:20, 28:12, 35:12, 38:3, } \\
& 38: 4,44: 9,45: 5,45: 11,45: 12,
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
24: 2,24: 14,32: 13,37: 6,40: 2,
\] & William \(_{[1]}-7: 4\) & \\
\hline & \[
49: 25,50: 21,57: 6,57: 8,
\] & WILLIAM \(_{[1]}-3: 3\) & \\
\hline \(38: 4,44.9,45.5,45.11,45.12\),
\(50: 14,51: 3,51: 16,52: 16\), & \[
: 11,57: 12,57: 13,57: 15,
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{willing \(_{[1]}-83: 23\)} & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{55:6, 57:19, 57:20, 60:4,
60:23, 61:4, 61:5, 72:2, 72:5,} & \[
7: 16,65: 15,67: 13,67: 15,
\] & & \\
\hline & \[
: 17,69: 8,70: 18,78: 14
\] & wish \({ }_{[3]}-80: 13,115: 9,115: 13\) & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
73:25, 77:3, 87:22, 91:18, \\
93:12, 95:8, 109:11, 119:15, \\
120:9, 121:5, 121:18, 121:20, \\
121:23, 130:2, 137:6, 139:25,
\end{tabular}} & 3:19, 83:6, 83:8, 83:9, 83:22, & wishing \(_{[1]}-10: 6\) & \\
\hline & 84:19, 84:21, 84:24, 91:12, & withdraw \(_{[1]}-114: 7\) & \\
\hline & \[
91: 19,91: 24,98: 14,98: 18,
\] & WITNESS \(_{[1]}-150: 12\) & \\
\hline & & witnessed \(_{[1]}-139: 19\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

