


| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | LEGISLATOR DEBRA MULE |
| 3 | 5th Legislative District |
| 4 | $\star * *$ |
| 5 | LEGISLATOR C. WILLIAM GAYLOR, III (absent) |
| 6 | 6th Legislative District |
| 7 | $\star * *$ |
| 8 | LEGISLATOR JOHN J. GIUFFRE |
| 9 | 8th Legislative District |
| 10 | *** |
| 11 | LEGISLATOR MAZI MELESA PILIP |
| 12 | 10th Legislative District |
| 13 | *** |
| 14 | LEGISLATOR DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON |
| 15 | 11th Legislative District |
| 16 | *** |
| 17 | LEGISLATOR JAMES KENNEDY |
| 18 | 12th Legislative District |
| 19 | *** |
| 20 | LEGISLATOR THOMAS MCKEVITT |
| 21 | 13th Legislative District |
| 22 | *** |
| 23 | LEGISLATOR LAURA SCHAEFER (absent) |
| 24 | 14th Legislative District |
| 25 |  |



| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | APPEARANCES : |
| 3 | TOP COPS |
| 4 | OFFICER MICHAEL DUDINE |
| 5 | OFFICER GREGORY DIAZ |
| 6 | SERGEANT IN ARMS ARCURI |
| 7 | DETECTIVE MICHAEL SIARKOWICZ |
| 8 | SERGEANT IN ARMS MALONEY |
| 9 | COMMISSIONER RYDER |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | SPECIAL PRESENTATION: |
| 12 | CARLOS VASQUEZ |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | PUBLIC COMMENT: |
| 15 | RICHARD CLOLERY JASON GORMAN |
| 16 | META MEREDAY PEARL JACOBS |
| 17 | BARBARA HAFNER PATTY HARRIS |
| 18 | STEVEN ROLSTON PASTOR MACKEY |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SUSAN KAY } \\ & \text { KAREN RIORDAN } \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | ALLISON SILVA JACQUELINE GOSH |
| 21 | LYNN KRUG <br> BRUCH CHESTER |
| 22 | RICHARD WILLIAMS SUE KAEBNICK |
| 23 | DAVID THOMAS TOM TITTMAN |
| 24 | ARIEL SILBERMAN |
| 25 |  |

$=_{\text {NC }}$ FULL LEGISLATURE 03.20.23
to the Nassau County legislature. We're going to start things off, as we always do with the Pledge of Allegiance and I would ask Legislator Siela Bynoe to lead us in the Pledge.
(Whereupon, the Pledge of
Allegiance is said.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Mike, could you call the roll, please?

CLERK PULITZER: Thank you,
Presiding Officer. Roll call. Deputy
Presiding Officer Howard Kopel?
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Alternate Presiding
Officer Denise Ford?

LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Siela Bynoe?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
A. Solages?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Debra

Mule?
LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator C.
William Gaylor, III?
(Whereupon, no response.)
CLERK PULITZER: PLegislator John
Giuffre?
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Mazi
Pilip?
LEGISLATOR PILIP: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Delia
DeRiggi-Whitton?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator James
Kennedy?
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Thomas McKevitt?
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
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CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John
Ferretti?
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
Drucker?
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Rose
Marie Walker?
LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Joshua Lafazan?

LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Michael
Giangregorio?
LEGISLATOR GIANGREGORIO:
CLERK PULITZER: Minority Leader
Kevan Abrahams?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you.
Presiding Officer Richard Nicolello?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank

you very much.
Again, I welcome to you to our
meeting of the Nassau County Legislature. To start the meeting as we always do, we have presentations this month from the PBA and DAI with respect to our Top Cops. After that, we have one point of personal privilege. We then go into the public comment portion of the meeting before going to the calendar and our agenda.

If you have not already submitted a slip and you're seeking to make public comment, please come up to the Clerk's table, fill out a slip and hand it in and we will call you in the order in which it was presented.

So let's start things off again with the Top Cops. To make a presentation on behalf of the PBA, Frank Arcuri, Sergeant-at-Arms.

SERGEANT ARCURI: Good afternoon.
On January 18th, 2023, Fourth
Precinct police officers Michael Dudine and Gregory Diaz were on patrol in the

confines of the Fourth Precinct. An assignment was dispatched in East

Rockaway for an 85-year-old-female who was choking on food and not breathing. Officer Dudine and Officer Diaz responded to the residence.

Upon arrival, they observed the female lying on the floor. She wasn't breathing. The officers immediately took action. The aided did not have a pulse. Officer Dudine started CPR. During the compression, it became evident there was an obstruction in her airway. Officer Diaz then grabbed the LifeVac. The LifeVac suctioned an obstruction in the airway to alleviate the blockage. The officers were able to suction a marshmallow and other food that was lodged in her airway. Once the obstructions were removed, the aided regained consciousness and began breathing on her own. The aided was then transported to South Nassau Hospital via police ambulance for further treatment
and evaluation.
Due to Officer Michael Dudine and Officer Gregory Diaz, quick action and timely response, the officers were able to help a person in need and give her proper treatment as needed. The Nassau County PBA is proud to announce police officers Michael Dudine and Gregory Diaz as Legislative Top Cops for March 2023. (Whereupon, applause.)

COMMISSIONER RYDER: Once again, the great work that we get from this Legislative Body allowing us to purchase these items, this is something that's way outside the box. I don't know too many agencies that have it in their car, but if we save one life, as they did, it's worth the investment. So again, thank you again for the support.

OFFICER DIAZ: Officer Diaz from the Fourth Precinct. I just want to thank everyone here. I want to thank the Department. I want to thank the Nassau County legislator. And I want to thank
the PBA guys for putting this together for us.

We come across opportunities to save people like this every day. Sometimes it's effective, sometimes it's not. And it feels good to be recognized for just doing our job. So thank you, everyone. OFFICER DUDINE: Officer Michael Dudine, the Fourth Precinct.

I'd also like to thank the entire Legislature, Commissioner Ryder, the entire PBA, Inspector Boden for this great honor. And I'd also like to thank my partner, Greg.

You know, we work with a lot of great people from the top down. And, you know, it's an honor to have this job and to be able to help people every day. So thank you, again.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

I live and work in the Fourth

Precinct. I have been for, I don't know,
35 years or so, a little bit more than
that. And I feel safe. And it's all
thanks to you guys. I appreciate
everything you do. The Commissioner
mentioned those machines. You're
absolutely right. It is so important to
to invest in these kind of things. We
don't even know how often something like
this comes up. And the training that you put in, we've got the best police force in the entire country. And I'm so proud to be able to support it. Thank you, guys.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Thank you. We'll invite you to come up for a presentation.

Now, on behalf of the Nassau County
Detectives Association, Sergeant-at-Arms, Michael Maloney.

SERGEANT MALONEY: Good afternoon.
My name is Detective Michael Maloney, the
Sergeant-at-Arms, the Nassau County
Detectives Association.
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the Nassau County Legislature for
inviting me to recognize Nassau County Detective Michael Siarkowicz of the Department's acclaimed Missing Persons Squad as Nassau County's Top Detective for March 2023.

Not only is Mike a detective, he's also a volunteer fireman in the community. While off duty, Mike was serving in his capacity as a volunteer and received an emergency call for a woman who was suffering from a stroke. Unfortunately, the aided victim was inside a funeral home while at her late husband's wake. Understanding the urgency of the call, Mike quickly entered his personal vehicle and began responding to assist and treat the aided. As he was driving to the aided case, Mike, who's always alert, observed what he thought was a suspicious behavior going on. He observed a group of people, some wearing masks and hoods, exiting a vehicle that
appeared to be in a wooded area entering another vehicle. Fully aware that time was of the essence on his aided case, Mike decided to briefly get a closer look at this group. As the group began to drive away in the other car, he followed behind, took a photo of the license plate of the vehicle. Deciding that his priority was the aided, and multitasking between responding to an emergency aided case, while briefly investigating an unknown suspicious occurrence, Mike pressed on to the aided case. Present at the aided case, Mike assessed the situation, rendered medical assistance. While assisting the aided with the ambulance and bringing the person to the hospital, Mike heard police sirens and police cars passing by and then heard a police helicopter above him. Knowing that he had most likely just witnessed some component of this criminal investigation, Michael continued assisting with the aided while remembering the details of he
had witnessed earlier. After ensuring the aided was receiving the best care, Mike called the local Suffolk County precinct and inquired about what was going on. He was transferred to the Detective Division and informed that there was a violent takeover robbery of a jewelry store. He was further informed this robbery was one of a pattern of violent robberies of multiple jewelry stores throughout the county. Michael informed the detective of what he witnessed and responded to the Suffolk precinct to provide the plate number he took a photo of, and also provided many details of the case that would soon be used as evidence in the criminal case.

As Mike was leaving the squad, he overheard one of the detectives mentioned that one of the perpetrators had injured himself, smashing one of the display cases. Mike, being astute, contacted Medcom, asked if there was any aided in the immediate area to the hospitals and
that hadn't been. When Mike arrived home, he got a call from Medcom informing him that a male had entered a local hospital with the injury Mike inquired about earlier and was told the alleged victim was being uncooperative. Taking this information, Mike called the Suffolk detectives back and informed them of the whereabouts of the possible perp. Suffolk responded to the hospital and the perp was arrested.

This is still an ongoing
investigation with more arrests to
follow. But if it was not for Mike's
exemplary citizenship and volunteering as
a fireman, as well as his keen
observation skills as a detective and a cop who takes the axiom of being a cop 24 hours a day, seven days a week, literally, this violent gang of robbers would still be active with more victims of their crimes.

So today, we are honored to introduce you to our March 2023 Top

Detective, Michael Siarkowicz.
Commissioner?
COMMISSIONER RYDER: This embodies what Legislator Kopel just said. We are the greatest police department in the country hands down because of the training, the equipment. But guys like Mike, they're giving back on their own time, their free time. They're giving back and serving their communities. And as a paramedic going out there responding to that call, playing detective on the way to that call, seeing something that he thought was was suspicious, he took enough time to stop, do what he needed to do to gather that info and then go on to take care of that poor woman in the funeral home.

So again, it shows you the great
work that they do. It's the great
training they get. And I'm proud to be part of the leadership in this Police Department that that deals with people like Mike that make us so proud to be who
we are. Thank you.
You're welcome.
DETECTIVE SIARKOWICZ: Thank you.
Thank you. Good afternoon. Detective Michael Sakiewicz, Fugitive Missing Persons squad.

Just wanted to say thank you to everyone for taking time out of your day to recognize the job that we do on duty, off duty, and my fellow brother on patrol that go above and beyond to make Nassau County what it is. So thank you for your time.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Ferretti.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

Detective, great job. We can't thank you enough. You know, this is just another, just further proof that in Nassau County, we don't just have great police officers, we have great people that are police officers, and that's what you prove to us time and time again. But
especially in this instance where, as we've heard from already as to what you did, but it was just your volunteerism and taking your time away from your family to be a volunteer in addition to all the great work that you do as a detective in our Police Department. It's really going above and beyond, and we're so proud of you. We're so proud of the training that you received. And it starts at the top with Commissioner Ryder and his incredible leadership. So thank you, Commissioner. From top down to bottom, we're so proud and thank you for everything you've done. God bless you. DETECTIVE SIARKOWICZ: Thank you, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Come on up. We have a presentation to make.
(Whereupon, Citations are presented to Top Cops.)
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PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. We have one point of personal privilege. That would be Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I want to thank my fellow colleagues for allowing me to have a special presentation because I feel that this was a moment that we all shared together. I want to introduce you to a friend of mine for over 40 years now, Mr. Carlos Vasquez.

Carlos was an incredible athlete in high school. He was on the track team, all county. And he always had these same type of mirrored sunglasses on that he has today, as he would run all over Glen Cove with his beloved coach, AJ. Carlos was also an avid surfer and all around just incredible athlete.

Although he was basically larger than life in high school, he always would say hello with a soft, gentle smile. That made me feel good, especially since I was
a mere freshman when he was in his glory days.

Unfortunately, while on his beloved motorcycle, which we can talk about later, he was making a turn -- and I'll still remember it was right in front of the country club -- and there was some sand and he lost or skidded a little bit right at the moment that a truck was coming the other way. Unfortunately, Carlos did lose part of his leg as a result of that accident, but he was strong enough to pull himself through both emotionally, mentally and physically, a task that probably most people couldn't have done.

Not only did he survive both physically and emotionally, but he became such a strong example to our whole community. I remember personally how you helped the Mike Solomito family. I remember what you did with your dog by naming him solo.

Carlos went on to become a crossing
guard, which he enjoyed, and the children absolutely loved him. He became a mentor and an example of a successful person. And he even would reward his children that he protected with badges to let them know how much he cared and what a good guidance and a good example he was and that he was there for them whenever they needed him.

So fast forward to this past
October. We were all in this room. I had heard that a crossing guard from Glen Cove had been hit by a car. I didn't know anything else. And Commissioner was mentioning the accident that a crossing guard had been airlifted. At that point, I remember thinking, $I$ don't know who it is, but just keeping them in their prayers, in my prayers. And then at that point, my aide, Toni Kessel, told me, I don't have the name, but it was someone who was hit by a car once before. At that moment, a chill went through me. I knew it was you. But about a half hour later,


I also knew that if anyone was going to survive, it was going to be you. So I had no doubt after that initial shock, that one day soon you'd be back to the Carlos that everyone knows and loves.

So I wanted to again share this moment with all of you, because that moment that it happened and we all
realized who it was, this room was silent and we all sent you our prayers and our best wishes. So each and every one of the people in this room, especially legislators, were on your side from that moment to this moment, and for always.
And Carlos, I just -- my whole
family loves you. My brother Brendan and my parents all wanted me to tell you how much they love you and just we're so lucky to have you. And we share you with your beautiful wife, Liz, and your gorgeous daughter. And they are the two -- that's why these flowers are not for you, they're for Liz -- they are the ones who got you through this. And you
are such a blessed man because you are loved by your community and your family and your county. And now I'd just like to ask Commissioner Ryder to say a few words.

COMMISSIONER RYDER: I remember that day when we were here at the Legislature and I told you all that we had a crossing guard that was struck and in serious condition. I did not know at the time it was a Glen Cove crossing guard, but it doesn't matter. He's a resident of this county. He's a he's someone that's giving back, stepping up to be a crossing guard after everything he's been through in his life. And you just heard from the legislator how rewarding he is to the kids and they love him in the community. Once again, the whether it's the city of Glen Cove Police Department, Long Beach, or any of our 18 villages that are out there, we work together as one team. They called in for our aviation. They came, they airlifted him out of there,

and the great doctors kept him alive and that's why he's here today.

And so many of our volunteers, so many of our sworn members in law enforcement and our civilians, tragic things happen. It's what we do after that that makes us and defines us. So I'm honored to have met Carlos today. And it's pretty moving, listening to the story and a good man, great man. And I'm glad that he's here to share the story with you. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I just
I just want to say he looks fantastic now, but this this gentleman was in a coma for three weeks, and we were so worried for that time. But he has recovered fully. And, you know, we need to get there. You have to hit the gym a little bit more, Carlos. He's only there every day (laughter). But again, he made it look easy because he looks fantastic.

And his beautiful family, you all look great for what you've endured. And just
always know if there's ever anything that your county and city could do for you, we're here $100 \%$. Liz, did you want to say anything.

MS.VASQUEZ: I would just like to
thank every one of you for that vast
volunteer help that came and brought my husband alive today. Second time around.

We hope there will not be any more times after this. When they say you have nine lives, he definitely has nine of them. He took away three. So we have six left. So hopefully they will not be any more rides in the helicopter back with you guys. I'm sorry.

But I'm very grateful for everybody here. And Delia, thank you very much. I appreciate everybody. Thank you.
(Whereupon, Citation is presented.)
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PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. We're going to start the public comment portion of our meeting. And our first speaker is Richard Clolery.

MR. CLOLERY: To the members of the Legislature: As $I$ was coming in to see you again, I was wondering what to speak to you about. And then as $I$ was coming, I noticed people protesting this casino that wants to come to Nassau County. I can't blame them. I mean, can Hempstead Turnpike handle the increased traffic? Can we as a county handle the increased crime, pollution? And more to the point, it's near schools, schools. I mean, where students go, where kids, college students, people who are under 21 go to every day. Do we need more gambling addicts at a young age?

Do you know what we as a county really need? That's right. It's affordable housing so that people who graduate college don't have to leave the county to find work and housing. As well

as a well-funded public transportation system so that people don't have to use cars and cabs to get to work every day.

I know that you think I'm a pain and should be ignored, but $I$ believe in the potential of this county and $I$ believe in the state in which we can travel without expensive means of transportation.

Have a good day.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, Richard.

MR. CLOLERY: Any questions or any comments?

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: No. We're good.

Karen Riordan.
MS. RIORDAN: Hi, my name is Karen Riordan and I live in Manhasset. This is my first time speaking with you, as I'm new to the area and new to New York. I'm here today to express my opposition to the proposed casino.

For almost a decade now, I've dedicated my life's work to reducing
crime in my community, seemingly before it became politically fashionable. I was excited upon moving here because in the last election, Long Island was getting tough on crime and hopeful that we would be a national leader in gun safety and addressing these concerns. Instead, you, our elected officials who are sworn to protect us, are about to green light a casino which is known to increase many types of crime: Human trafficking, robbery, auto theft, assault, etcetera. So save the stamp. Please don't send me any more postcards telling me to lock my door, etcetera, while in the same breath you're wanting to lure criminals out here with a casino. It doesn't make sense.

Furthermore, the location of this venue is outrageous. Located across the street from Hofstra University, Nassau County Community College, and the Kellenberg High School. This location is truly unconscionable.
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this weekend which stated that we are on the cusp of a crisis here in Long Island as gambling addiction, especially among young males, is at an all time high, as Long Island has turned into one of the top gambling locations in the country.

If this casino is approved in a few years, you will have a tidal wave of people crashing down upon us in Nassau County who need treatment, and our resulting crime rates will skyrocket. This will be because of you and your decision on this lease.

As Warren Buffett has said, and I paraphrase, government officials should not prey upon its citizens. It's wrong. Having a casino is like a state sponsor of addiction. And sadly, this will be your legacy. Is this really what you want for your community and for your family and for yourselves? You won't be able to say, I wish I had known.

This plan is being green lit with
very little public notice and community input. Just remember that there is no going back.

Sands doesn't have any other casinos in the United States. They are all in Asia. They often buy a place. They stay for a specified amount of time. They turn a little bit of profit and then they sell. They're out of here. They are not long term neighbors. The residents of Nassau County deserve better, and the voters of Nassau won't forget who sold our futures.

I urge you to say no to the casino. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Allison O'Brien Silva.

MS. SILVA: Hi, everyone. My name is Allison O'Brien Silva. I live in Manhasset now, but I grew up in East Meadow, right across from Nassau Coliseum. I chose to raise my kids here because I loved growing up in this bustling, feisty, fierce island. But
you're all about to wreck it.
I speak today in vehement opposition
to the proposed casino. I urge you to vote no on the lease transfer when the time comes. Vote in the best interest of your constituents rather than in the best interest of an indebted, yet lavish, spending company peddling an addictive vice.

Nassau County is not struggling. We are one of the highest taxed communities in the nation. Let's put the work in to create a solution for the hub that generates revenue and jobs without the laundry list of negatives that a casino will bring.

The bulk of a revenue from a casino will leave Nassau County and go to the Sands. The small fraction you all will see will be gifted by the weakest, poorest, most vulnerable among us. They will gamble to extinction, lured by misleading ads that dangle the minuscule chance to win big. Sixty to $70 \%$ of casino
revenue comes from problem gamblers.
And speaking of problem gamblers, gambling addiction rates increase in correlation to how close someone lives to a casino. Did you know that the thousands of slots that line in a casino floor have been designed, honed and programmed to be as addictive as possible? Researchers who study gambling equate the impact of a slot machine on our brain function to that of prescription opiates. Slots are designed to get us to engage until we run out of money.

And speaking of money, did you know what a casino does to home values? It depresses home values. And the closer your house is to a casino, the greater the decline. Do you know what does increase around casinos? Crime. Many of you won your elections by promising to stop the rise in crime. Crime reports from Pennsylvania communities with casinos show increases in violent crimes, robberies and assaults, trafficking, and
the most shocking to me, child
abandonment. Parents are leaving kids alone in the car all day while they gamble away the grocery money. Please do your homework.

Have you built independent revenue forecasts? You'd see that the revenue stream you are lusting after trails off pretty quickly after a few years. Have you assessed the environmental impact? Traffic impact. Have you looked at the rates of increased crime and decreased property values and the costs of addiction? Once you add up all of those negatives, you won't see any upside.

I urge you to vote no on the lease transfer. End it now and start focusing on finding better options for our community.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you.

Pastor Arthur Mackey.
PASTOR MACKEY: Thank you, Presiding

Officer Nicolello, to our Nassau County Executive Bruce Blakeman, to my Legislator Kevan Abrahams, and to all the distinguished legislators.

Thank you for this opportunity to speak so $I$ can express my no to a casino coming to the Nassau Coliseum site.

Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King Senior was right when he said, "Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere". It would be a great injustice to bring a casino in the heart of the black and brown community of Uniondale, New York.

In the redistricting process, the effort was trying to take away the power out of the first District that Dorothy Goosby represented, and evidently people wanted to bring a casino in there, and that is unacceptable. The rape, the robbery and the ruin of the black community, predominantly black community of Uniondale and community of color, black and brown, is unacceptable and
cannot be tolerated. Ralph Caso would not allow this to happen. Fran Purcell would not allow this to happen. Tom Gulotta would not allow this to happen. And I would say to Bruce Blakeman, our County Executive and to all of our legislators, don't let it happen on your watch.

Casinos have friends: Prostitution;
casinos have friends: Crime. And to try to bring more crime and bring more prostitution to the heart of the black and the brown community in Uniondale, New York, is unacceptable.

We have our Nassau Community College owned by Nassau County. We want to bring crime and more rape and robbery and ruin to it? We have our Hofstra University there, students from all around the world. And then we have our Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center. I was at the Sloan-Kettering when my father would receive chemo. And I know the struggle and the heartache and the pain. And to have right in the heart of all of that, a

casino, a full-fledged casino, is unacceptable.

And I call on our Nassau County Executive and for all of our legislators to say, no, no, no, no to the casino on the site of the Nassau Coliseum in the black and brown community of Uniondale, New York.

## PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:

Suzanne Kaebnick.
MS. KAY: My name is Susan Kay. I am against this casino. Casinos bring human trafficking. What is right next door to the Coliseum? The Marriott Hotel casinos bring more gun violence. 100 Terrace Avenue in Hempstead, an apartment complex just about has gun violence in that area every single day. Casinos bring no value. They also bring more alcohol.

A study was done when things started opening up after COVID. They found out there was more child abuse, more wife abuse. Why? Because of alcohol.

I have proposed somebody I was
talking to from Hofstra a better idea.
Team up with Hofstra and Northwell to build an allied health program. We have a crisis in this country that not too many people know about, these phony allied health programs that are not accredited. You can't even take the exams.

A good example was some school in the five towns that shut down. I am a product of a for profit college, of a dental assisting school that was shut down in 1994 due to the State. The State also took over various schools. A good example was Briarcliff College, that was taken over. Sanford-Brown had a ultrasound program that was not accredited, that shut down. I can think of a lot more better things to do than build this casino.

Just remember Election Day: We voted you in, we could vote you out very easily.

And this is not the place to build a casino. With gun violence around in the
area, how far is Hempstead? A half a
mile away. There's enough gun violence
that we do not need this.

Just remember election Day: We vote you in, we vote you out.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Jacqueline Gosh.
MS. GOSH: Hello. Thank you for the time to speak today.

My name is Jacqueline Gosh. My
husband and I just moved here to Garden City with our three young children. We chose this area for the schools, the parks, and the overall charm of the village. It would have certainly given us pause when purchasing a home if there was a large casino close by. And I'm concerned about what it will do to property values and crime rates.

I grew up in a small town called Winnemucca, Nevada, where gambling is ubiquitous and literally everywhere. The majority of people who gamble the casinos are the locals. This is reinforced by the
players clubs that all casinos have. The more you play, the more points you earn. Those points can get you free meals, free hotel stays, that kind of things. Those programs are not for tourists. They are for the locals.

Gambling can destroy lives and families. I know several people who have serious marital problems because of gambling. All the dues from my sister's Girl Scout troop were gambled away by her troop leader's husband, for example.

In nursing school in Reno, I saw some of the direct results of gambling and alcohol addiction. The E.R. nurses called the weekend night shifts, the Gun and Knife Club, much of which was the result of the nonstop availability of gambling and alcohol.

Please do not let a casino into our community. However, attractive sands may make the steel seem the house always wins. Please vote no on the lease for this casino.
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Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Monica

Kiely. Monica Kiely?
(Whereupon, no response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Lynn Krug.

MS. KRUG: Good afternoon. My name is Lynn Krug. I've been a resident of Garden City since 2007. I've lived in other parts of Nassau County, Bellrose Village, Sea Cliff, Great Neck. You get out of college, you find a place to live.

I'm urging this board to deny the transfer of the Coliseum lease to The Sands. Whatever The Sands grand plan design may be, no matter what they wrap around it. This is a casino plan, plain and simple. Let's face it, a casino is a place that encourages bad behavior. There's a reason that Las Vegas is called Sin City. There's a reason, they say, what happens in Vegas stays in Vegas. It's not a bug. It's a feature. This project does nothing to enhance the
health and well-being of all of us who live here.

No matter how many soccer celebrities or amount of money that The Sands throws around to curry favor. The sad truth is that a casino and all that comes with it will be to the detriment of our safety and our quality of life. That's why I live here. We have a great quality of life.

Our quality of life is already threatened by congestion, overcrowding and population density. Nobody moved to Nassau hoping one day a casino resort would be built nearby.

Our County Executive has said that his administration is committed to doing big things. The only thing big about letting an outside corporation build a casino on public property is that it would be a big, big mistake.

To our county leaders and decision makers: Do you really want this to be your legacy? Do you want future
generations to remember you as the people who traded our quality of life for a small slice of gambling spoils?

Years ago, our local leaders wisely prevented a high rise complex from going forward on the site. You'll remember the days of Wang when he had his 60 foot -- I don't know what it was called. It was $60 f t$ tall. It was huge. Do you want future generations to remember you as slowing the transformation of the Nassau County into the sixth borough of New York City? I urge you to show similar wisdom and protect us from turning Nassau County into Sin City East.

I also encourage this group to look at all the plans that were developed for the casino going back. I remember the 2015 plan from Tom Suozzi. I thought that was a terrific plan. It had green space. It had a zoo. I don't know if I like the zoo, but it was an ambitious plan. Please look at these other plans before you vote and say no to the casino.
$=_{\text {NC }}$ FULL LEGISLATURE 03.20.23

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Pearl

Jacobs.
MS. JACOBS: Pearl Jacobs.
So as I walk up, I'm holding my
sign. And, you know, if you know about the Roman math. We have --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Ms.

Jacobs, could you please approach?

Thanks.
MS. JACOBS: Okay.
I would like to begin this
correspondence by stating that Uniondale residents have made several requests over the past months for our County Executive Bruce Blakeman to visit Uniondale and speak with the residents concerning the proposed casino at the Mitchel Field Nassau Hub site. As to date we have not received a reply. So goes community input. Why would our county executive not speak with residents of Uniondale, a community that would be most affected by a casino in its backyard? Perhaps it is
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| 2 | because Uniondale is a majority/minority |
| 3 | community and the questions and concerns |
| 4 | of minority residents do not matter. I |
| 5 | hope this is not the reason. |
| 6 | The proposal to put a casino at the |
| 7 | Mitchel Field hub location is |
| 8 | unconscionable. Placing a casino within |
| 9 | close proximity of 40,000 students is |
| 10 | horrific. It's a horrific choice. Here in |
| 11 | Nassau County and the greater Long Island |
| 12 | area, our youth are struggling with |
| 13 | opiate addiction, post COVID, depression, |
| 14 | alcohol and marijuana abuse and gambling |
| 15 | addiction as well. Placing a casino |
| 16 | within walking distance of Hofstra |
| 17 | University, Nassau Community College, |
| 18 | Uniondale Charter School and Kellenberg |
| 19 | Memorial High School would expose our |
| 20 | youth to a gambling addiction, a |
| 21 | progressive addiction that can result in |
| 22 | psychological, physical and social |
| 23 | repercussions. Our youth should not be |
| 24 | exposed to risk factors that could |
| 25 | potentially derail them from an academic |

path to one of a destructive and life suppressing addictive one.

The environmental impact on the surrounding communities would be destructive. Current infrastructure will not support the vast increase in traffic and traffic that a casino would bring. Hempstead Turnpike is listed as one of the most unsafe roadways for pedestrians in the tri state. It consistently ranks in the top five of most dangerous roadways in New York State year after year. Increased traffic on Hempstead Turnpike would only increase safety and health concerns as it relates to congestion, safety and environmental concerns. Bus traffic would increase greatly, resulting in traffic stress in every one of our surrounding communities.

Along with additional traffic comes more pollution. Hempstead, Newcastle, Roosevelt, Uniondale and Westbury have been identified as communities of concern by the Department of Environmental

Conservation for poor air quality. Mobile air monitoring began in September of last year. Our environmental issues do not stop at traffic issues and pollution. The water district that serves Uniondale, Roosevelt Field, Bowling Green's Estate, East Meadow and most of Levittown, the water test results in high levels of 1.4 dioxin well above the EPA cancer risk guidelines. Residents in Uniondale frequently complain about their water quality due to variations regarding color and taste. We do not need another Flint, Michigan story here in Nassau County, a story that cried out across the nation and around the world of environmental injustice.

The quality of life for many residents will be destroyed as casinos are typically open 24 hours seven days a week. As per the national average, Counties that open up new casinos experienced a 500\% increase in crime. This enforced opportunity for certain
crimes drugs, prostitution, theft, extortion, stolen cars, gun violence, human trafficking, and many others. Why would Nassau County want this?

Our Nassau County Police Department does an excellent job of serving and protecting our residents, despite the fact that they are currently overburdened with a plethora of post COVID criminal activity. A casino is a quick revenue source that will not sustain Nassau County. Let's put something at this site that will compliment our prestigious educational and medical institutions like biotech and medical research centers.

Nassau County can make better choices. We value our youth and our quality of life. Neither are for sale.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Bruce Chester, Village of Garden City Trustee. Hello, Bruce.

MR. CHESTER: Hello, Rich. I want to congratulate Presiding Officer Rich

Nicolello. I know you're retiring, and I think I've known you throughout your career, if not before that, in the County Legislature, and I am privileged to have you as a friend.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you.

MR. CHESTER: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen of the County Legislature. As Rich said, I'm Bruce Chester. I'm a village trustee in the Village of Garden City. You may be aware we passed a resolution unanimously opposing the casino.

You know, Las Vegas started out in a desert. There's nothing around it. The casinos went up and then the communities were built around that. When you look at the casinos that are in Indian reservations, they're usually in remote areas. Well, this is not a remote area. I grew up in Carle Place. I've lived in Garden City for 24 years. To have this casino with its traffic and and obviously
crime and what previous speakers have mentioned, possible prostitution, put a strain on our police department as well as a strain on our infrastructure, doesn't make sense. I don't quite understand why the County Industrial Development agency can't come up with something better. Something. Maybe getting some major corporations here.

Good paying jobs. Why can't we go in that
direction? The casino is an easy fix.
You'll get monetary benefit, maybe
immediately, but your long term benefits.
Well, not be there. They will definitely be negative benefits.

So again, I oppose this casino. Our
village opposes this casino. And I urge
you not to allow the transfer of the
lease to the Sands Casino.
Thank you for your time.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you, Bruce.
Richard Williams.
MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon and
thank you for having us today. I too am from Garden City along with Bruce, I am running for trustee for Garden City. And it's pretty universal that our town is opposed to the casino in Mitchell Field.

A few minutes ago you had some police officers here who are doing outstanding work and they have my admiration. My first thoughts when I listened to them was, we're going to need a lot more police officers in this in this county if this casino goes through, a lot more. And we're going to need a lot more of everything else that goes with crime, more jails, more incarcerations, more judges, more everything. While there's some benefits to individuals, maybe for that, from a county standpoint, I think it's terrible. I think it's extremely terrible to introduce a casino into an area with this kind of density and this kind of tension that we don't need. We just don't need. There's nothing positive about it, in my judgment.
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When I think about what I listened to last a few weeks ago at the Planning Commission, and I asked a fundamental question: Is there a plan? And without without hesitation, they said, no, there's no plans in front of them. They don't know how big it is. They don't know how long it is. They don't know how tall it is. They don't know the square footage. And yet we're going to vote on something that without any knowledge. It's incredible, incredible that anybody could do that. You can't do that in business. I don't see why we would do it in government.

I heard some of the other speakers today talk about the social impact of the casino. The environment is uppermost in my mind. The environment -- we don't have enough water. We don't have enough water in this town, and we're going to have a big, massive use of water again? We don't have it. We don't have the facilities for for traffic. We don't have
these facilities and we don't need them. We have pollution. The lady talked about a few minutes ago, the air pollution in Hempstead, in Uniondale. That's the State telling us that. We're only going to triple that, quadruple it. I don't know how big it's going to be.

The fact that the entire Board of Trustees of Garden City passed a resolution in opposition tells you something about how our village feels about it. It tells you something about how the citizens and the voters of our village feel about it. I understand that there's a lot of union support for it, and I understand that completely.

But having said that, there's better uses of this property than a casino, much better uses. I urge you to take a look. I urge you to take a deep look before you vote in favor of something like this. I hope and I trust you'll give serious thought to putting it off or delaying it, or at least thinking about it some to a
greater degree than we have. We have no plans. It doesn't make sense to me, and I don't think it makes sense to anybody in this county to go forward with something that has no plans.

I thank you for your time.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Jason Gorman.

MR. GORMAN: Hello, everybody. My name is Jason Gorman. I'm a county resident. I'm also a county employee. I'm an educator at Nassau Community College and a member of the NCC Union; though, I am not speaking in an official capacity in any way representing them.

I would like to discuss a terrible injustice that's being perpetrated at the college. And before I get to that, I need to talk a little bit, especially for the viewers at home, about a matter of law. That law is Taylor's law. What Taylor Law essentially does is it forbids certain employees in the state of New York from striking, leaving their jobs.

And that makes sense to me. And I'm sure it makes sense to all of us. Where would we be if the fire departments suddenly were not available? Where would we be if the police were suddenly not available because they striked? We were also considered important. As educators, we are unable to strike. And if it were just Taylor's law, that would be very serious, because our employer could do something heinous to us, such as slash our salaries in half. Our mother union says it best. NYSUT says, that we believe in the amendment that I'm sure you all know of, Triborough Amendment, that levels the playing field and affords unionized workers, certain unionized workers, to keep what they have so that the field is leveled and they specifically bring up health insurance. So who's responsible for this? Well, it's in our contract, something that disabled a lawful right. We have Triborough Amendment. It's 36.5in our current contract, and it states that
out of contract, our employer can charge us health insurance premium increases.

Who is responsible for that?
Well, back in the early 80s, 40
years ago, our contract was not
negotiated by a college. Get ready for this. It was negotiated by the Nassau County Legislature. We recently received some support supporting comments from you, and I don't know who said them, but to summarize, it was the Nassau County legislature as collective "we" was used, that you do not support the college doing this during negotiations, cutting our pay
to cover health insurance premium
increases. Could I see by a show of hands who was in that collective we that sent us that message? Please. I just want to know who sent the message. I'm asking you to stand up now for the students, your county employees, your residents and say no. Say no, that you will not approve a contract that has that clause in it. I'm asking you to stand now as an individual.

Please. Literally now, stand to show that
you will not support a contract that violates Tribourough Law. The Triborough amendment. Will you stand for us --

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Mr.
Gorman, as you know, we do not negotiate the contract.

MR. GORMAN: You do.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Mr.
Gorman. Your time is up.
But basically, you know that the college negotiates the contract. The contract is then presented to us for a vote.

MR. GORMAN: I would like to at least have the last word.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: The time is up, sir. Why don't you have the last word.

MR. GORMAN: Thank you very much.
I'm holding in my hand what our
union said back just a few years ago, where you stated that you would not sign a contract that didn't meet certain
requirements that was county wide. So you do have the power to say no to our contract.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We do have the power to say no, yes. We don't negotiate it, though.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: This is a
point of clarification. Unless something has changed, this is referenc to Mr.

Gorman's comment. I was under the impression, like all collective bargaining agreements, the agreement is ratified by the Union.

So, Mr. Gorman, you're going to have a chance, your union's going to have a chance to present the contract to you as a union member. So I'm not too sure --

MR. GORMAN: That clause renders any ability to negotiate -- because all the college has to do, which they have done, is make a situation that's worse than anything they offer. So we cannot negotiate now. And that's specifically what Triborough Amendment solves.
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that, but you're missing my point. What
I'm saying is, that Triborough Amendment that you're talking about comes to the union for ratification before it comes to the Legislature. So if the you and your colleagues in the Union are vehemently against it, it won't even get to the Legislature. Do you understand what I'm saying?

MR. GORMAN: We certainly tried to take it out. The college will not agree to that.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Then there'll be no agreement that comes to the Legislature because --

MR. GORMAN: Negotiations will stand still.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Well, not negotiations, because I'm assuming this will be negotiated, that ratification will never happen. That means that agreement will never get to the Legislature. I want to make sure you
understand that. It has to be ratified by the Union first, before it comes to the Legislature. So the members, the 400 and some odd members of --

MR. GORMAN: The problem with that is, is all they have to do is give us a situation that's worse and they can make us sign anything that's better.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: But that means
that means you're implying that your colleagues would vote yes for that agreement with that clause. That's the only way it gets here to this Body. I'm trying to explain this to you.

MR. GORMAN: I totally understand that.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I think it's something that collectively, between the Union leadership and the members, the 400 some odd members, have to be vehemently tied together to ensure that does not happen that way. That it will never get to this Body.

MR. GORMAN: But no contract will.

That's the problem. Thank you. LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Yes.

Yes, that's absolutely a problem.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Susan Kaebnick.

MS. KAEBNICK: I am Suzanne
Kaebnick. I am a professor in the English department at Nassau Community College, and I'm also the Nassau Community College full time faculty secretary.

So, as you know, we're without a contract since September 1st. And the County is our co employer. So we're asking for your support. Our current contract is not fair. Our beginning salary, about $\$ 55-60,000$ does not pay the bills. Not the high rent in this area, educational loans for master's and doctorate degrees, soaring transportation costs.

Furthermore, our current contract is not fair because it has sunset clauses such as one which my colleague Professor Gorman just described, requiring us to
pay right now what will be about $\$ 5000$ per member for health insurance premium increases while we are negotiating. And that clause in 2014 led to very bad results for our junior faculty this.

So you're our co-employer, you appoint about half of our trustees, you approve the NCC budget and you have the ability to indicate what you want to approve. I hope that you will approve a fair contract. We're afraid right now that we're going to lose faculty from our nursing program, from our allied health science program. Because private industry will pay more, because other states have lower costs of living, we're having trouble attracting full time faculty. We really need your support.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Ariel
Silberman.
MS. SILBERMAN: I just like to congratulate you, Mr. Nicolello, on your retirement. I hope you certainly enjoy
it. No doubt you deserve it.
Good afternoon. My name is Ariel and
I'm a sophomore at Nassau Community
College, as well as the proud president of the Achilles Club on campus. I would like to say it's a pleasure to be here, but in actuality, it is a duty. It is my duty as a student to make you aware of the way our college is being damaged. This is not hyperbole. Our college and the remarkable people who teach there are under attack by the people in power who should want the best for our school. You cannot attack the pillars of a structure and then complain when the roof starts caving in. Professors are those pillars, and they've weathered the worst because they love teaching and love their students. But they should not have to bear this burden.

The full time faculty have not had their contract renewed since August while they negotiate. They are having to foot the bill for healthcare premium
increases, which amounts to a $\$ 5,000$ pay
cut. To be considered middle class in
Nassau County, for most people, you must make around $\$ 96,000$. Faculty, in particular junior faculty, don't make close to that. Granted, I'm not too good at math, but even I know those numbers don't add up.

The president of our college came before you last summer and was asked if we needed funding and she said no. She's an alumni of the college. She should know better. We haven't had an increase in funding in 15 years. All the grand dreams of progress cannot come to fruition if we don't have funding.

The Board won't tell you what I am. But the Board delayed their February meeting an hour, keeping the students and staff waiting and canceled this March, one utterly dismissive of the concerns of students and staff. That is why I am imploring you in all humility, to remind the Board that they are answerable to the
students, staff and you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: David Thomas.

MR. THOMAS: Good afternoon. My name is David Thomas, a resident of Uniondale. And I'm here to oppose the casino that we're hoping that you would not approve to be placed in Uniondale or any part of Nassau County.

I do agree with some of the speakers that just came before me, but I always knew that what happens in Vegas stays in Vegas. There was a reason why all of these casinos were built there. At your previous meeting $I$ was listening to some of the union representatives who spoke of jobs and they talked about 12,000 temporary jobs and 5000 permanent jobs; for whom?

We know from the statistics that casinos are not healthy for a community. I don't know what you guys have been promised. But I would hope that those promises falls on deaf ears. There are
educational facilities in the neighborhood where Sands would like to build a casino. And I keep asking the question: What are we educating our youth for? To become croupiers or pitmasters? There is great and decent educational facilities in that neighborhood. Are we sending our children to be less than who we think they should be? These decisions we know should be made by you. We elected you to make decisions for us. I would hope that the decisions that you guys decide to make that affects our community would be one that the community agrees to. And our community really don't want a casino.

So please, not the lease. Not the casino. Let them stay in Vegas. That's where they belong. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you, Mr. Thomas.
Meta J. Meraday.
MS. MEREDAY: More lucid speakers have spoken against "say no to the

casino". There's quite a few issues to be addressed here. I also say no to a casino.

With regard to the lowered
educational supports. There are speakers that other night when it was packed and you could barely get in here. That was by design. They talked about, again, the jobs, jobs, jobs. Average salaries for casino workers in New York State, $\$ 39,000$. Now, if the college professors and students are here talking about $\$ 90,000$ is considered middle class here and folks are struggling, who's going to live on $\$ 39,000$ in this county and that's New York State.

The FBI has a special office in Las Vegas to deal with the rise in sextortion crimes, higher crime rates, internet cybercrime, issues with violence with children. The educational system in Las Vegas is not ranking in the top ten. The top 20 or the top 30. How many states do we have in the United States? 50. You do
the math.
Future industries -- somebody
mentioned. Let's talk about what we could bring to this county. And for those who talk about the water, those of you that live in Garden City, East Meadow, Levittown, you're on that industrial corridor where the dioxins are the highest in the region. Check that out. Okay. Just found that out in the town of Hempstead. We live in a cancer cluster in Freeport, Baldwin, Uniondale and Roosevelt. But you guys have Flint as a possibility where you live, but you want a casino.

Future industries, healthcare.
Number one industry in this region, in this county. Why aren't we addressing that? We got all these veterans. You all know my usual hue and cry. Why can't we expand on the healthcare, robotics, renewable energy, trade, cyber security? Come on. We're all reading Newsday. What's happening in Suffolk County? We're
not that far from having those issues.
Small business, entrepreneurship,
e-commerce, educational technology. We've got nine colleges and universities. I was here when the president was asked by this body if you needed more money and they said no. I was here. Give them money, pay them what they need. Let's work together. Let's think outside the box. Let's try to keep people here. Let's address the issues that are impacting our residents from the healthcare, from the lack of support systems.

And lastly, crossing guards. I was so glad to see that crossing guard here. I was here for the committee meeting, what, a month ago? I was given a promises by this Body that we're going to be some real changes to address that. What are we going to do about that?

They talked about Hempstead Turnpike being a challenge. We've had four cars that have driven into buildings on Grand Avenue. Four cars. Are crossing guards,
our police officers are manning that. We need to do something about it. And guess what? You brag about how you got more police officers on the ground and on the streets. You got three and four riding in the same broken down cars. Who are they going to catch? Give them the equipment. Stop with the lip service. Let's be about what we need to be about. We're paying the second highest taxes in this country.

For all those that are retiring, thank you for your service. Those of you that are staying, let's get it together. And anybody else out here that wants to get in their position up here, the buck is truly going to stop with us this time, not with all of you.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Chandra Washington. Chandra Washington? (Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Tom Tittman.
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MR. TITTMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Thomas Tittman. I'm a resident of West Hempstead for about 25 years. I want to first thank you for your public service. Somebody's got to stand in front and make the decisions. So we're hoping that you will make some right decisions, not as members of a party, but as just members of a human race. We're all just trying to get this job done, raise our children so that they have a good planet.

On this first day of spring, the children's performances and the stories of police heroism really encouraged me. It's just good news, and I hope that that it's broadcast so people can see that. In one of their songs, they use the lyrics appealing and appalling. And this initial offering seems appealing. It's going to bring revenue into the Town's finances. It's going to create jobs. And I'm not against unions. My wife was a nursing instructor, and because of her union benefits, both of us had medical, dental
and vision for life. I'm going to make sure that they pay for all of it.

But it turns appalling when the glow fades and now we start to see the toxic underbelly of the addiction that people have eloquently spoken about. It affects individuals, families and the communities. The Newsday article, I hope people will actually watch the online version because the mother and her son are actually talking about the effects on a family. You got to hear that. You can't get it out of a newspaper. You got to see that live.

It's time, you know, to face the music. That gambling hurts everybody. I listened as I've been learning about this, I listened to some young people singing about this on YouTube and TikTok. There are videos out there. You'll hear their cries from themselves. One young man tells a story. He's just an elder teen. He's \$57,000 in debt. Students are graduating already with a huge student
loan debt, and now they're going to try to find affordable housing. It's almost impossible in Nassau County.

We've heard people say the police awards just remind us of what is going to be put on their already loaded plates with all of the residual effects. And as a senior citizen, I want to make sure that the detectives of the Missing Persons Unit are available in case my wife reports me missing some day.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Steven Rolston.

MR. ROLSTON: I'm Steve Rolston, resident of Baldwin since 1986.

I'd like to first thank you all for putting in a long day to listen to us. I'd like to offer three observations about the way we conduct our lives. Most of my life, people could smoke cigarettes anywhere: At work, in restaurants and bars, in each other's homes. It was just the way it was. Leaders like Mayor

Bloomberg came along and challenged us, asked, why do we put up with something so bad for all of us? I never imagined they could ban cigarettes in bars. It was just part of the normal atmosphere. But we changed the way we live for the better. Most of my life, slights toward women were considered part of life. Women were sexualized and we stayed silent or laughed about it or looked the other way. Sometimes we even celebrated it. Not sure why it took us so long to see how wrong this was. There have been strong women in all of our lives.

Finally, the MeToo movement made us all ask ourselves Why do we put up with a culture which is so bad for all of us? Finally, we changed our behaviors and see our female colleagues, friends and partners as equals. Or we are working more diligently toward that. We still have a ways to go, but we've changed the way we conduct ourselves.

Final observation. Most of my life,
gambling has been a charming, slightly mischievous, but seductive element in our lives. A guilty pleasure to some. But now the stories are emerging gambling, ruins, lives, families and towns. These are not just stories. The research is there. Casinos degrade neighborhoods ten miles around. Casinos add nothing positive to a neighborhood. They bring addiction, waste and crime, and people move away, leaving ghost towns like Atlantic City.

You know the stories and the facts.
Please do not compromise yourself for the short term gains which casinos might
bring in employment and kickbacks.

Research shows a casino will bring degradation and new addiction issues. Let's not accept this as normal. We can do better.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All
right. Thank you.
Deirdre O'Connor.
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MS. O'CONNOR: Good afternoon. I grew up in Lynbrook. I have been a teacher for 27 years; seven years high school, 20 years at Nassau Community College.

Part of the reason, and we've heard it on all different issues today, that people want to live in Nassau is our education system. K through 12, we know we rank among the best in the country. The numbers bear that out. Nassau Community College is a huge part of what makes our education system great in this county.

The exorbitant costs for tuition for college. I don't have to tell anybody that, we know it. We give students an opportunity to go to college. Many of whom would never be able to earn a degree. We are a stepping stone financially and personally for so many of them. A full time faculty is at the heart of our faculty. Many institutions of higher education are moving towards part
time. When you don't have to pay
benefits, it makes sense financially.
But when you think about the
students that we serve at Nassau. A full
time faculty who is there for them
throughout the day, throughout the week, as opposed to a mostly adjunct model part time. And I do not take anything away from our fabulous part time faculty. Part time faculty are running from campus to campus, school to school, trying to put together a wage in which they can live here. And even at that rate, it's impossible. We are there for these students, support these great students by supporting the faculty.

I congratulate my students all the time for choosing Nassau because they're not graduating with a $\$ 200,000$ student loan debt. And I tell you, I am 56 and a half. I don't make a six figure salary, and I still have student loans that I'm paying back.

Thank you.
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PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Patty Harris.

MS. HARRIS: Good afternoon. I really didn't know what $I$ was going to say today, but, um. Over the weekend, I would say, last weekend, March 11th, The Sands had did some type of fundraising with a soccer club with different students from, they said, Uniondale, Hempstead, East Meadow, Westbury, Garden City. But the pictures that they took did not have not one kid of color in this picture of the students that was with David Beckham, the soccer star. Now, I would think the representation that we we have for Uniondale, would have stood up for the students of the community of Uniondale. They did a fundraiser for a non profit. They gave them some type of awards. It was over 200 students, they stated. And there's no advertisement of a picture with the students from Uniondale. They are the Uniondale Knights.

Now, this is the type of racism that
we that we see every day. It's just modernized now. So you're telling me there's not one student of color that should be in this picture that that Sands its advertising. This is what you want to come into our neighborhood to represent a casino that has gambling where people will be addicted to. Because even an article that was done in Newsday.

Governor Hochul said last month that the State is trying to balance the benefits of gambling revenues with the downside of people developing gambling addiction. We can continue dedicating funding to help the problem gambler, absolutely.

So you want something to come into
our neighborhood and you want to also pay money into helping them. So you're helping them to stop gambling, but you want to bring a casino for that to go to gambling. Does that even sound right? Do you really think? And she also stated, because I'm going to skip a little. She said this to News One --
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So please --
MS. HARRIS: I just got one second. Just one second.

She says that, to casual gamblers, it just makes it part of their experience of visiting New York City. We are New York, Long Island. We're not the city.

Thank you.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Okay. Thank you.
Barbara Hafner.
MS. HAFNER: Hi, good afternoon, everyone. Barbara Hafner, resident of West Hempstead for over 40 years now. It's hard to believe.

I'm here on another subject; however, as far as opposition to casino, no to casino is is a no brainer, in my opinion. I can't help but wonder how many dollars are donated to campaign funds from the Sands Corporation. But that's a that's a topic for another day.

I am here to question the status of the South Nassau Water Authority

District. I live in what I consider a relatively small town, West Hempstead, yet my neighbor has West Hempstead Water and, lucky me, I get good old Liberty water. My one month bill just recently was $\$ 188$. My neighbor a few blocks away was $\$ 68$ for three months. Do the math. Something's wrong with that picture. It's time to really look at creating public water in all of Nassau County, not bits and pieces. I just recently saw a post on Instagram informing residents of Liberty Water, offering nine $\$ 3,000$ scholarships to graduating seniors to study water.

That's wonderful that Liberty Water can afford that. I don't think my West

Hempstead Water gives any scholarships. So I'd like to know what we as a county are doing to change public versus private water so that me, who is now a retired educator, can afford to stay and live in West Hempstead and not be paying the kind of water bills that $I$ am. Because $I$ don't think my friends water down the block is
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PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay, That's the last of the public comments. So we're going to go into the legislative calendar. These items are part of the consent calendar, which are items that went through Committees a couple of weeks ago and have been agreed by the Majority and Minority, do not need any further debate or discussion at this time.

Item 2, Ordinance 13;
Item 3, Ordinance 14;
Item 4, Ordinance 15;
Item 5, Ordinance 16;
Item 6, Ordinance 17;
Item 7, Ordinance 18;
Item 8, Ordinance 19;
Item 9, Ordinance 20;
Item 10, Ordinance 21;
Item 11, Ordinance 22;
Item 12, Resolution 59;
Item 13, Resolution 60;
Item 14, Resolution 61;
Item 15, Resolution 62;
Item 16, Resolution 63;


saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Nassau County Legislature respond in favor with, "Aye".)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Carries unanimously.
Item 1, Ordinance 12. An ordinance authorizing the issuance pursuant to Section 90.10 of the local finance law of refunding bonds of the County of Nassau, to be designated substantially "Public Improvement Refunding Bonds" and providing for other matters in relation thereto and the payment of bonds to be refunded thereby.

Motion by Legislator Walker seconded by Legislator Mulé.

All right, Andy. That's before us now.

MR. PERSICH: Good afternoon,

Legislators. I brought this item before the Committees a couple of weeks ago. We have an opportunity to save some money in a refunding. It will depend upon market conditions if the deal goes through. It's approximately $\$ 235$ Million we're asking for the authorization. With premium and everything else, it'll lower the tick probably to around three, three and one half percent. So again, it's all going to be dependent upon the market conditions. But we will save approximately $\$ 25$ million over the life of this. And most of the savings are pushed out towards the latter part of this deal. It's not upfront savings like previously when we were doing this.

So again, it was an opportunity that came before us and we thought this was a worthwhile opportunity to save money. So we're bringing it before the Legislature and then it has to go to NIFA for their approval.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We're
just curious, why wasn't this part of the NIFA refunding that went on in 2020?

MR. PERSICH: There were certain
issues that were that that were a
component of this that didn't fit the net PV of the NIFA deal and weren't callable. So structurally it didn't fit into within the NIFA thing. Since time has passed, now it's become economically feasible to take those issues out right now.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Now you mentioned the term callable. Have these been callable?

MR. PERSICH: They are eligible to be called now? Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Because I'm curious as to why, when interest rates were extremely low, we didn't consider refunding at that time.

MR. PERSICH: It's a little bit more of a complex answer. But with the NIFA funding, the way we term that with the 15 year funds, I think this didn't fit the NPV for the issues that were at hand
here. So I think it was just the structure of the NIFA deal and where we're going with this now. So I think there's two different structures that make these more net present value positive.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Even
so, why didn't back then we consider doing this separately with interest rates being as low as they were?

MR. PERSICH: Partially part of it wasn't callable. Partially, I don't think the PV on these these issues would have been allowable under there. Remember, we have guidelines within the state that tells us whether it could fit, if it was PV positive or negative. Some of these issues may have been not callable and it had PV that was negative.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. Any other questions? Legislator Drucker.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you, Presiding Officer.
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> Andy, our finance and legal team prepared us with a backup on this. And thank you, Presiding Officer. You asked one of the questions $I$ was going to ask, but it reminded me of why I hated economics in college and why I chose not to pursue a career in finance, because a lot of it is makes my eyes glaze over.

But one question comes to mind. So
interest rates are very, very volatile now. The projection is that they're going to go down at some point. We don't know exactly when, but they will go down. They may go up before they go down. But the question is, is what happens if the rates go down? Would we save more money by waiting? If the rates come down in six months, for example, would we would we then achieve a benefit that we don't get now?

MR. PERSICH: That's the dilemma we have here. We don't try and time markets. I mean, so the ability to save money is now. From all indications, $I$ don't see
the rate environment of what we're getting from our financial advisors declining rapidly. I think we're going to be stuck at somewhat of a constant rate environment. And considering what's happening in the marketplace and the banking industry right now, I think the Fed's might be softening their stance on rate increases. I don't have a crystal ball here, but the opportunity right now presents itself to save now. Rates could go up again in six months.

To your point, there is an
opportunity to save money if rates drop in six months. But right now, what's in front of us, I think the opportunity is there to take.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So to play it safe, we do it now. But $I$ just wanted to know, theoretically, we would definitely save money if the rates came down and then we refinanced it later on.

MR. PERSICH: We always look for refunding opportunities. Where the rate
market went currently, there's not been a lot out there. But some of this, because of the premium on the deal is going to lower the total interest costs, we're probably going to save a lot more money than anticipated.

Look, things have been sideways in the market right now. So, the crystal ball, as we all would like to have, I can't tell you, but if it doesn't make sense, we pull the deal. And we're doing it simultaneously as we're issuing the new money borrowing for the Capital Budget. So there's some synergies of the timing of this and why we're doing it back to back. One day will be the sale of the of our our capital improvement bonds and this will be the restructuring the following day. So it just seemed to have some synergies which are soft cost savings on the underwriting and some of the ratings stuff that we have to go through.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you,

Andy. I know we have to approve all of this, but I feel much more comfortable knowing you're involved in this.

MR. PERSICH: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Very nice. Anyone else? Mr. Solages.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

But could we have saved more money if we did this refinancing a year ago?

MR. PERSICH: I don't think so, no. I don't think some of the issues that were out there were eligible to be called at this point in time. So I think where we're at right now, we have our FA PFM who does the analysis for us. Usually banks solicit us with this information saying there was some opportunities for funding there. Well, they weren't eligible last year. I don't think so.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Andy, will you come back and let us know what's going on?

MR. PERSICH: Absolutely. You know,
timing, we don't have an exact date, but I would say probably by May we'll have a definitive whether we did the deal or not. You know what I mean? When we go out to the market, it's not like we're in the play of deciding. Our financial advisors give us the advice of what deal we should take. So we follow their advice because they're the ones who run your economic stuff that you're talking about with all those amortization tables that we all get confused by, they tell us which deal is priced and which is the right way to go.

MR. PERSICH: Thanks, Andy.
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Andy. How long
does the authorization last?
MR. PERSICH: It lasts until
whenever, $I$ think. I don't think there's an expiration on it.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So you can just sit there and say, now, whenever you think might be the right time?

MR. PERSICH: I don't know the exact
answer to that, Legislator. I will get that for you. But I don't think there's an expiration on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: But I guess it's contingent upon them being callable. It's contingent upon whether the present value is negative or positive?

MR. PERSICH: And some may come to maturity prior or after. You know what I mean, they may not. The deal would probably change structurally if that happened. So I may have to come back to you is what I would say.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi,
Andy. Let's say in six months, the interest rates drop and we want to refi again. How much would that cost to do the refi?

MR. PERSICH: We'd have to look at it. Traditionally and historically, what we've done has been every two years. But
in 2017, everybody's got to realize, there was a tax law change on advance refundings in the marketplace. The IRS took away some tax exempt events. So that kind of killed the advance refunding. This is different. It's a taxable event for the bond buyers. So we haven't had too many opportunities. I don't want to relive the NIFA thing, but that was an opportunity at once. This is where we're going to probably be on that path every couple of years. We'll be looking to do this with some of the outstanding debt. As rates decline, we'll come and look and see if it's profitable. I mean, it makes sense present value wise to do the deals. But, you know, every issue is evaluated on an individual basis. So and it's not just us making the decision. It's PFM who's been here forever.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Because
I know, for instance, just to narrow it down to like a home, when you want to refi, you really have to consider the

cost as to how much you're saving with the interest rate. So is it the same thing?

MR. PERSICH: It's similar, but
different. Because you lower your
mortgage payment on a monthly basis. With
this stuff, the debt service savings
sometimes gets pushed out to either up
front or out in later years. So it
depends upon who's the issuer, how they
want to structure the deal and what makes
fiscal sense. So, you know, it's driven by a multitude of factors. It's not just
one, but we are lowering the cost for
future generations is what $I$ will say by doing this.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay.
I was just curious if it's like we refi
again if the interest rates come down, I was just curious what the cost is, if it's even a possibility once you add in the costs, but.

MR. PERSICH: It gets hard once you call them in once to call them in again.


But we'll look, you know what I mean? We're always looking for refunding opportunities. It's a cost savings event here.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: My gut feeling is we wait because $I$ really think they're going to come down. Because they won't even give you a CD for more than 15 months. So that kind of indicates that they're not expecting to keep the interest rates at the number that they're at. Yeah, $I$ know the banks are failing. That happened today, but. All right. Like Arnie said, we have trust in you.

MR. PERSICH: Just for the record, if it doesn't make fiscal sense at the time of the sale, we won't do it. I do not have the crystal ball with the rate thing. We could all sit here and speculate. At this point in time where we're at, it presents an opportunity to save money. So we have to explore it, take it and see what happens in the marketplace.
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PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Anyone else?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Nope.
Thank you, Andy. Thank you very much. Any
further debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Hearing none. All in favor. Signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Nassau County Legislature respond in favor with, "Aye".)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Carries unanimously.
Thank you. Motion to adjourn by
Legislator Ford, second by Legislator Walker. All in favor of adjourning?
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 5:19 | Blakeman $_{[3]}-36: 3$, | 15:12 | 66:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| behind $_{11}-15: 8$ | 37:6, 45:17 | bring ${ }_{[8]}$ - $33: 17$, | lk $\mathbf{k}_{[1]}-33: 18$ |
| Bellrose $_{\text {[1] }}-42: 11$ | blame $_{\text {(1] }}-28: 12$ | 36:13, 36:20, | burden ${ }_{(1)}$-64:21 |
| belong ${ }_{11}-67: 20$ | bless $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 20:16 | 37:11, 37:16 | Bus ${ }_{(1)}$-47:18 |
| beloved $_{[2]}-21: 19$, | ${\text { blessed }{ }_{[1]}-25: 2}$ | 38:13, 38:16, | business |
| 2:4 | block $_{111}$ - 82:25 | :19, 38:20 | 53:15, 70:3 |
| benefit ${ }_{[2]}-51: 13$ | blockage $_{11}-10: 17$ | 47:8, 56:20, 69:5, | bustling ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-32: 25$ |
| 0:20 | blocks $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 82:7 | 72:21, 76:10, | buy $_{(1)}$ - $32: 7$ |
| benefits ${ }_{[6]}-51: 14$ | Blo | 76:17, 76:18, | buyers ${ }_{[1]}-96$ |
| 51:16, 52:18, | 75 | 80:21 | BY ${ }_{(1)}$ - 1:18 |
| 72:25, 78:3, 80:12 | Board ${ }_{[4]}-54: 9$ | bringing ${ }_{[2]}-15: 18$, | (2] - 6:6, 6:20 |
| best [8] $^{\text {- }}$ - $13: 12$, | 65:18, 65:19, | 87:22 | BYNOE ${ }_{[2]}-2: 18$, |
| 16:3, 24:12, 33:6, | 65:25 | broadcast ${ }_{\text {I1 }}$ | 6:21 |
| , 56:13, | board $_{[1]}-42: 14$ | 72:17 |  |
| 64:14, 77:11 | Boden $_{(11}-12: 13$ | broken $_{\text {(11 }}-71: 7$ | C |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { better }_{[13]}-32: 12 \\ 35: 19,39: 2, \end{gathered}$ | body ${ }_{\text {(1] }}$ - 70:7 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { brother }_{[2]}-19: 1 \\ 24: 17 \end{array}$ | $\boldsymbol{c a b s}_{[1]}-29: 4$ |
| 39:19, 49:17 | 61:14 | brought ${ }_{[2]}-27: 8$, | $: 5,84$ |
| 51:9, 54:18, | 70:19 | 87:2 | callable ${ }_{[0]}$ - 88:7 |
| 54:20, 61:9, | bond $^{[1]}$ - 96:8 | Brown ${ }_{(1)}-39: 16$ | 88:13, 88:14, |
| 65:14, 75:7, | bonds $_{[3]}-86: 15$, | brown ${ }_{[4]}$ - 36:14, | 89:13, 89:19, 95:7 |
| 76:21, 83:2 | 86:19, 92:18 | 36:25, 37:13, 38:8 | campaign $_{(1)}$ - |
| between $[2]$ - 15:11, | Bonds ${ }_{[1]}-86: 17$ | Bruce ${ }_{[8]}-36: 3$, | 81:21 |
| 61:19 | borough $_{11}-44: 13$ | 37:6, 45:17, | campus $_{[3]}-64: 6$, |
| beyond $_{[2]}-19: 12$, | borrowing $_{[1]}$ - | :22, 49:23, | 78:11, 78:12 |
| 20:9 | 92:14 | 50:11, 51:23, 52:3 | $\text { canceled }_{[1]}-65: 21$ |
| $\mathbf{b i g}_{\text {[8] }}$ - 33:25, | bottom $_{[1]}-20: 14$ | BRUCH $_{\text {(1] }}-5: 21$ | cancer ${ }_{[2]}$ - 48:10, |
| 43:19, 43:22, | Bowling ${ }_{(1)}-48: 7$ | buck $_{11}-71: 16$ | $69: 12$ |
| 53:8, 53:23, 54:8 | box ${ }_{[2]}-11: 16$, | Budget ${ }_{11}-92: 15$ | Cancer $_{[1]}$ - $37: 21$ |
| bill ${ }_{[2]}-64: 25,82: 6$ | 70:10 | budget $_{11}$ - 63:9 | $\boldsymbol{c a n n o t}_{[4]}-37: 2 \text {, }$ |
| bills $_{[2]}-62: 18$, | brag $_{11}-71$ | Buffett ${ }_{[1]}-31: 16$ | 59:23, 64:15, |
| 82:24 | brain $_{\text {[1] }}-34: 11$ | bug $_{\text {[1] }}-42: 24$ | 65:16 |
| biotech $_{\text {(1) }}-49: 16$ | brainer $_{[1]}-81: 19$ | build ${ }_{[5]}-39: 4$, | capacity $_{[2]}-14: 12$, |
| bit ${ }_{[6]}-13: 3,22: 9$, | breath $_{\text {(1) }}-30: 17$ | 39:20, 39:24, | 55:15 |
| 26:21, 32:9, | breathing ${ }^{31}$ - 10:5 | 43:20, 67:4 | Capital ${ }_{[1]}-92: 14$ |
| 55:20, 88:21 | $10: 10,10: 23$ | Building ${ }_{(1)}-1: 8$ | capital $_{111}-92: 18$ |
| bits $_{111}-82: 11$ | Brendan $_{\text {[1] }}-24: 17$ | buildings ${ }_{[1]}$ - | car $_{51}-11: 17,15: 7$, |
| black $(6)-36: 14$, | Briarcliff ${ }_{[1]}-39: 15$ | 70:24 | $23: 14,23: 23,35: 4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 36: 22,36: 23, \\ & 36: 25,37: 12,38: 8 \end{aligned}$ | briefly ${ }_{[2]}$ - 15:5, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { care }_{[2]}-16: 3, \\ & 18.18 \end{aligned}$ |


| cared ${ }_{[1]}-23: 7$ | 45:19, 45:25, | 60:12, 63:25 | 74:22, 75:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| career ${ }_{[2]}-50: 4$ | 46:6, 46:8, 46:15, | certify $_{(1]}-101: 10$ | Citation ${ }_{(1)}-27: 21$ |
| 0:8 | 47:8, 49:11, | challenge ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | Citations ${ }_{[1]}-20: 22$ |
| Carle $_{[1]}-50: 23$ | 50:15, 50:25, | 70:23 | n ${ }_{\text {11] }}-74$ : |
| Carlos ${ }_{\text {[9] }}-21: 12$, | 51:12, 51:17 | challenged ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | citizens $_{[2]}-31: 18$ |
| 21:14, 21:19, | 51:18, 52:6, | 75:2 | 54: |
| 2, 22:25 | 52:13, 52:21, | 33:2 | citizenship $_{11}-$ |
| 24:5, 24:16, 26:9, | 53:19, 54:19, | 59:16, 59:17 | 17:16 |
| 26:21 | 66:7, 67:4, 67:17, | Chandra ${ }_{[2]}$ - 71:21 | City ${ }_{[15]}-40: 13$, |
| CARLOS $_{[1]}-5: 12$ | 67:19, 68:4, | change ${ }_{[3]}-82: 20$, | :10, 42:2 |
| Carrie ${ }_{[1]}-6: 22$ | 68:11, 69:16 | 95:13, 96:3 | :14, 44:16, |
| CARRIE $_{[1]}-2: 21$ | 76:18, 80:8 | changed ${ }_{[4]}-59: 10$, | :23, 50:13, |
| carries ${ }_{[2]}-86: 11$ | 80:21, 81:18 | 5:19, 75:23 | :24, 52:3, 52:4 |
| 99:22 | 81:19 | changes $_{[1]}-70: 20$ | 5:10, 69:7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{c a r s}_{[6]}-15: 20, \\ 29: 4,49: 3,70: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { casino" }^{[1]}-68: 2 \\ & \text { casinos }[44]-32: 5 \end{aligned}$ | charge $_{[1]}-57: 2$ <br> charm ${ }_{111}$ - 40:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 13,79: 12,81: 8 \\ & \text { city }_{[3]}-25: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| 70:25, 71:7 | 34:20, 34:24 | charming ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-76: 2$ | 27:3, 81:9 |
| case ${ }_{[8]}$ - 14:21, | 10, 38:15 | Charter ${ }_{(11}-46: 18$ | ilians ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-26: 6$ |
| 15:4, 15:12, | 24, 41:2, | eck ${ }_{(1)}-69: 10$ | clarification |
| 15:14, 15:15, | 48:20, 48:23 | chemo ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - 37:23 | :9 |
| 16:16, 16:18, | 18, 50:20 | CHESTER ${ }_{[3]}$ - | class ${ }_{\text {[2] }}-65: 3$, |
| 74:11 | 66:15, 66:22 | 5:21, 49:24, $50: 9$ | 68:14 |
| cases $_{[1]}-16: 23$ | 76:16 | Chester ${ }_{[2]}$ - 49:22, | clause $_{[4]}-57: 24$, |
| Casino ${ }_{[1]}-51: 20$ | Casinos $_{\text {[5] }}-37: 9$ | $0: 11$ | 59:19, 61:13, 63:5 |
| casino ${ }_{[83]}-28: 10$, | 13, 3 | child ${ }_{21}-35: 2$ | lauses ${ }_{(1)}-62: 23$ |
| 29:23, 30:11, | 76:8, 76:9 | 38:23 | Clerk ${ }_{[1]}-4: 18$ |
| 30:18, 31:9, | Caso ${ }_{[1]}$ - $37: 2$ | children $_{\text {[6] }}-23: 2$, | CLERK ${ }_{\text {201 }}$ - 6:1 |
| 31:19, 32:15, | $\text { casual }_{[1]}-81: 6$ | 23:5, 40:13, 67:9, | 16, 6:19, 6:22, |
| 33:4, 33:16, | catch $_{[1]}-71: 8$ | 68:22, 72:12 | 25, 7:4, 7:7, |
| 33:18, 33:25, | caving ${ }_{[1]}-64: 17$ | children's ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 10, 7:13, 7:16, |
| 34:6, 34:7, 34:16, | CD ${ }_{[1]}-98: 9$ | 72:14 | 19, 7:22, 8:2, |
| 34:18, 36:7, | celebrated ${ }_{[1]}$ | chill ${ }_{[1]}-23: 24$ | 5, 8:8, 8:11 |
| 36:13, 36:20, | 75:12 | choice ${ }_{[1]}-46: 10$ | 8:14, 8:17, 8:20, |
| 38:2, 38:6, 38:13, | celebrities $_{(1)}-43: 5$ | oices [1] - 49 | 8:23 |
| 39:20, 39:25, | Center ${ }_{\text {II }}$ - 37:21 | $\mathbf{k i n g}_{(1)}-10: 5$ | erk's ${ }_{[1]}-9: 14$ |
| 40:18, 41:21, | centers ${ }_{[1]}-49: 16$ | choosing | Cliff ${ }_{[1]}-42: 12$ |
| 41:25, 42:18, | certain ${ }_{[5]}-48: 25$ | 78:19 | LOLERY ${ }_{[3]}$ |
| 42:19, 43:7, | 55:24, 56:18, | chose ${ }_{[3]}-32: 23$, | 5:15, 28:6, 29:13 |
| 43:15, 43:21, | 58:25, 88:4 | 40:14, 90:7 | Clolery ${ }_{(1)}$ - $28: 5$ |
| 44:19, 44:25, | certainly ${ }_{[3]}$-40:16, | cigarettes ${ }_{[2]}$ - | close $_{[4]}$ - $34: 5$, |


| 18, 46:9, 65:7 | color $_{(4)}$ - $36: 24$, | 37:13, 38:8, | confines $_{[1]}-10: 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| closer $^{[2]}$ - 15:5, | 48:13, 79:13, $80: 4$ | 41:22, 45:21, | confused $^{[1]}$ - 94:13 |
| 34:17 | coma ${ }_{[1]}-26: 17$ | 45:24, 46:3, | congestion ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| club $_{[2]}-22: 8,79: 9$ | comfortable ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 66:22, 67:15, | 43:13, 47:17 |
| Club $_{[2]}-41: 18$, | 93:3 | 67:16, 67:17, | congratulate |
| 4:6 | coming $_{[4]}-22: 11$, | 79:1 | 63:24 |
| clubs $_{\text {(1] }}-41: 2$ | 28:7, 28:9, 36:8 | Community ${ }_{[9]}$ | 78:18 |
| cluster ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-69: 1$ | comment ${ }_{44}-9: 10$, | 3, 37:15 | usne |
| co ${ }_{[2]}$ - 62:14, 63:7 | 9:14, 28:4, 59:11 | 46:17, 55:13, | 0:22 |
| co-employer ${ }_{[1]}$ - | COMMENT ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 62:10, 64:4, | consent $_{111}-84: 6$ |
| 63:7 | 5:14 | 77:5, 77:13 | ervation |
| coach $_{\text {(1] }}-21: 19$ | comme | company ${ }_{11}-33$ | 48:2 |
| Coliseum ${ }_{[5]}$ - | 29:14, 57:10, $84: 3$ | complain ${ }_{[2]}$ - | consider ${ }_{[4]}-82$ |
| 32:23, 36:8, 38:7, | commerce ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-70: 4$ | 48:12, 64:16 | 8:20, 89:9, 96:25 |
| 38:15, 42:15 | Commission ${ }_{\text {(1) }}$ | completely ${ }_{[1]}$ | considered |
| colleague ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 53:4 | 54 | 56:8, 65:3, 68:1 |
| 62:24 | Commissioner ${ }_{[7]}$ - | complex $^{[3]}$ - $38: 17$, | 75:9 |
| colleagues ${ }_{[4]}$ - | :12, 13:6, 18:3, | 44:6, 88:22 | considering $_{[1]}$ - |
| 21:7, 60:8, 61:12, | :12, 20:14, | compliment ${ }_{[1]}$ | 91:6 |
| 20 | 23:15, 25:5 | 49:14 | consistently ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| collective | COMMISSIONER | component ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 47:11 |
| 57:13, 57:18, | 5:9, 11:12, | 15:23, 88:6 | constant $_{[1]}-91: 5$ |
| 59:12 | 18:4, 25:7 | compression ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | constituents ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |
| collectively ${ }_{\text {(1] }}$ - | committed ${ }_{[1]}$ | 0:13 | 33:7 |
| 61:19 | 43:18 | compromise $_{[1]}$ - | contacted ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |
| college $_{[18]}-28: 17$, | committee $_{[1]}$ | 76:15 | 16:23 |
| 28:24, 39:11, | 0:17 | concern $_{\text {[1] }}-47: 2$ | contingent ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 42:13, 55:19, | Committees ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ | concerned (1] - | 95:6, 95:7 |
| 57:7, 57:14, | 84:7, 87:3 | 40:19 | continue ${ }_{[1]}-80: 15$ |
| 58:13, 59:21, | communities ${ }_{\text {[8] }}$ | concerning ${ }_{[11}$ - | continued ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |
| 60:13, 64:10, | 18:11, 33:12, | 45:18 | 15:24 |
| 64:11, 65:10, | 34:23, 47:5, | concerns $_{\text {[5] }}$ - 30:8, | contract ${ }_{[8]}$ - |
| 65:13, 68:12, | 47:20, 47:24, | 46:3, 47:16, | 6:22, 56:25, |
| 77:17, 77:19, 90:7 | 50:18, 73:9 | 47:18, 65:22 | 7:2, 57:6, 57:24, |
| College $_{[10]}-30: 23$, 37:15, 39:15 | community ${ }_{[23]}$ - <br> 14:11, 22:21, | condition ${ }_{[1]}$ - $25: 11$ | $58: 3,58: 8,58: 13,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 37: 15,39: 15, \\ & 46: 17,55: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:11, 22:21, } \\ & 25: 3,25: 19,30: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 25:11 conditions | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 14,58: 25, \\ & 59: 4,59: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| 62:9, 62:10, 64:5, | 31:22, 32:2, | 87:6, 87:12 | 61:25, 62:13, |
| 77:6, 77:13 | 35:20, 36:14, | conduct ${ }_{[2]}$ - 74:2 | 62:16, 62:22, |
| colleges $_{[1]}-70: 5$ | 36:23, 36:24, | 75:24 | 63:12, 64:23 |


| $\operatorname{cop}_{[2]}-17: 19$ | County ${ }_{[8]}$ - 1:8, | 30:2, 30:6, 30:12, | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COPS $_{[1]}-5: 3$ | 6:3, 9:4, 11:8, | :13, 34:22, | DAI $_{[1]}-9: 7$ |
| Cops $_{(4)}-9: 7,9: 19$, | $11: 25,13: 19$, $13.24,14 \cdot 3,14: 4$ | 35:13, 37:10, | $\text { damaged }_{[1]}-64: 10$ |
| 11:10, 20:23 | 13:24, 14:3, 14:4, $16: 4,19: 13$, | 37:11, 37:17, | dangerous ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { corporation }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 43: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 4,19: 13, \\ & 19 \cdot 2278 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 20,48: 24, \\ & 51: 2,52: 16, \end{aligned}$ | 47:12 |
| Corporatio | 30:23, 31:12, | 68:20, 76:11 | dangle ${ }_{(1)}-33: 24$ |
| 81:22 | 32:12, 33:11 | Crime ${ }_{[2]}$ - $34: 20$ | date ${ }^{2]}$-45:20, |
| corporat | 33:19, 36:2, 37:6, | 34:22 |  |
| 1:10 | 37:16, 38:4, | crimes $_{44}-17: 2$ | daughter ${ }_{[1]}-24: 22$ <br> DAVID $_{111}-5: 23$ |
| correct $^{\text {(1] }}$ - 101:11 | $42: 11,43: 17$ $44: 13,44: 15$ | 34:24, 49:2, 68:20 | $\text { David }_{[3]}-66: 3,$ |
| correlation ${ }_{[1]}$ $34: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 13,44: 15 \\ & 45: 16,46: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { criminal }_{[33}-15: 23, \\ 16: 18,49: 10 \end{array}$ | 66:6, 79:15 |
| co | 48:15, 49:5, 49:6, | criminals ${ }_{(1)}-30: 17$ | days ${ }_{(4)}-17: 20$, |
| :14 | 9:17 | crisis ${ }_{[2]}$ - 31:4, | 22:3, 44:8, 48:2 |
| corridor $_{[1]}-69: 9$ | 50:4, 50:10, 51:7, | 39 | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{d e a f}_{[1]}-66: 25 \\ & \text { deal }{ }_{[2]}-68: 19, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\operatorname{cost}_{\text {(6) }}-92: 21$, | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 9,57: 12, \\ & 62: 14,65: 4, \end{aligned}$ | crossing ${ }_{\text {©] }}-22: 25$, | 87:6, 87:16, 88:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ | :10, 69:25, | 9, 25:12, | :3, 92:4, 92:12, |
| costs ${ }_{\text {[6] }}-35: 14$, | 74:4, 82:11, 86:4, | :15, 70:15 | 94:4, 94:8, 94:14, |
| 62:21, 63:17 | 15, 99:15, | 70:16, 70:25 | 95:12, 97:12 |
| 77:16, 92:5, 97:23 | 100:4 | croupiers ${ }_{[1]}-67: 6$ | eals ${ }_{\text {2] }}$ - 18:24 |
| Counties ${ }_{\text {(1] }}-48: 23$ | County's ${ }_{(1)}-14: 7$ | cry ${ }_{(1)}$-69:21 | debate ${ }_{313}-84: 10$ |
| $\text { country }_{[7]}-13: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { couple }_{[3]}-84: 7,7 \\ 87: 3,96: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { crystal }_{[3]}-91: 10, \\ & 92: 9,98: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 85:21, 99:8 |
| $39: 5,71: 11,77: 11$ | COURT $^{(11}$ - 1:18 | $\text { culture }_{[1]}-75: 18$ | Debra ${ }_{[1]}-6: 25$ |
| county ${ }_{[23}$ - 16:12, | Cove ${ }_{44}-21: 19$, | ious ${ }_{[4]}-88: 2$, | ERAA ${ }_{(1)}-3: 2$ |
| 21:16, 25:4, | 25:12 | 18, 97:19 | 73:2 |
| 25:14, 27:3, | 25:21 | 97:21 | :2, 78:21 |
| 28:14, 28:21, | $\operatorname{cover}_{[1]}-57: 1$ | rent ${ }_{[1]}-47: 6$ | 96:14, 97:8 |
| 28:25, 29:7, | COVID $_{\text {[3] }}$ - 38:22 | ent ${ }_{[3]}-56: 25$, | cade ${ }_{(11}-29: 2$ |
| 43:23, 45:22, | 46:13, 49:10 | 62:15, 62:22 | ent ${ }_{11}-67$ - |
| 3, 52:19 | CPR $_{[1]}$ - 10:12 | curry ${ }_{(1]}-43: 6$ | decide $_{11}-67: 1$ |
| 55:5, 55:11, | crashing ${ }_{[1]}-31: 11$ | cusp $_{\text {[1] }}$ - $31: 4$ | decided ${ }_{[1]}$ - 15 |
| 55:12, 57:22 | create ${ }_{[2]}-33: 14$, | cut $_{(1)}-65: 3$ | eciding $[2]-15: 9$, |
| 59:2, 68:16, 69:5, | 72 | ing ${ }_{(1)}-57: 15$ | 94 |
| 69:19, 77:15, | creating ${ }_{[1]}-82: 10$ | cyber ${ }_{(11}$ - 69:23 | decision $_{[3]}-31: 15$, |
| 82:19 | cried $_{(1)}-48: 16$ | crime ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | 43:23, 96:20 |
| COUNTY $_{[2]}-1: 2$, | cries $_{[1]}-73: 22$ | 68:21 | decis |
| 10 | :15 |  | 67:11, 67:13, |


| 67:14, 72:7, 72:8 | 47:25, 49:6 | destroy ${ }_{[1]}-41: 8$ | disabled $_{[1]}-56: 23$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| decline $_{[2]}-34: 19$, 96:15 | Department's ${ }_{[1]}$ - | destroyed ${ }_{[1]}$ - | discuss $_{[1]}-55: 17$ |
| ining ${ }_{(1]}-91: 4$ | departments ${ }_{[1]}$ |  | 4:10, 85:21, 99:8 |
| 硣 | 5:4 | 2, 47:6 | dismissive ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 35:13 | dependent ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | ails ${ }_{\text {[2] }}-15: 25$ | 65:22 |
| ded | 7:12 | :16 | tched |
| 29:25 | depresses ${ }_{11}$ | Detective ${ }_{\text {IT }}$ | 10:3 |
| ded | 3:1 | 14:5, 14:7 | display $_{[1]}-16: 22$ |
|  | depres | 18:2, 19:5 | stance ${ }_{11}-46: 16$ |
| deep $_{(1)}-54: 21$ | 46:13 | 19:19 | istinguished ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| defines $_{\text {(1] }}-26: 8$ | Deputy ${ }_{(4)}$ - 2:7 | DETECTIVE ${ }_{[3]}$ | 36 |
| definitely ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 6:13, 12:21, 85:19 | 5:7, 19:4, 20:1 | district $_{[1]}-48: 6$ |
| 27:12, 51:15, | derail ${ }_{[1]}-46: 25$ | detective ${ }_{[5]}-14: 9$, | District ${ }_{[2]}-2: 4$, |
| 91:21 | DeRiggi ${ }_{[3]}-7$ : | 16:12, 17:18, | 2:12, 2:16, |
| definitive $_{[1]}-94: 4$ | 21:5, 95:1 | 18:13, 20:8 | :19, 2:22, 3:3, |
| degradation ${ }_{[1]}$ - | DERIGGI ${ }_{[8]}-3: 14$, | Detectives ${ }^{21]}$ | :6, 3:9, 3:12, |
| 76:19 | 5, 21:6, 26:14, | 3:20, 13:25 | 3:15, 3:18, 3:21, |
| degrade $_{[11}-76: 8$ | 95:18, 96:22, | detectives ${ }_{[3]}$ | 3:24, 4:3, 4:6, 4:9, |
| degree $_{[2]}-55: 2$, | 97:18, 98:6 | 16:20, 17:9, 74:10 | 4:12, 4:15, 36:18, |
| 77:21 | DeRiggi-Whitto | detriment ${ }_{[1]}-43: 8$ | 82 |
| degrees $_{[1]}-62: 20$ | ${ }^{(3)}-7: 14,21: 5$, | developed ${ }_{[1]}$ | Division ${ }_{[1]}-16: 6$ |
| Deirdre ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-76: 25$ | 95:17 | 44:18 | cotorate ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |
| delayed $_{[1]}-65: 19$ | D | developing ${ }_{(1)}$ | 62:20 |
| delaying $_{[1]}-54: 24$ | ITTON | 80:1 | doctors $_{\text {[1] }}-26: 2$ |
| DELIA ${ }_{[1]}-3: 14$ | 4, 7:15, 21: | Development | $\operatorname{dog}_{(1)}-22: 23$ |
| Delia ${ }_{[2]}-7: 13$, | 26:14, 95:18, | 51:8 | ollars $_{[1]}-81: 21$ |
| 27:18 | 96:22, 97:18, $98: 6$ | Diaz ${ }_{[6]}-9: 25,10: 6$, | donated ${ }_{[1]}-81: 21$ |
| DENISE $_{[1]}-2: 10$ | described ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 10:15, 11:4, 11:9, | one ${ }_{\text {[7] }}$-20:16, |
| Denise ${ }_{[1]}-6: 17$ | 62:25 | 11:21 | 2:17, 38:21 |
| density ${ }_{[2]}-43: 14$ | desert ${ }_{[1]}-50: 17$ | DIAZ $_{[2]}-5: 5,11: 21$ | :21, 72:11, |
| 52:22 | deserve ${ }_{[2]}-32: 12$, | different ${ }_{[5]}-77: 8$, | 80:10, 95:25 |
| dental ${ }_{[2]}-39: 12$, | 64:2 | 79:9, 89:5, 96:7 | door ${ }_{[2]}-30: 16$, |
| 7 | design $_{[2]}-42: 17$ | 97:6 | 38:14 |
| deny $_{(11}-42: 14$ | 68.9 | $\mathrm{mma}_{11}-90: 2$ | Dorothy ${ }_{[1]}-36: 18$ |
| department ${ }_{[3]}$ - | designated ${ }_{(11}$ | diligently ${ }_{(11}-75: 22$ | doubt ${ }_{[2]}-24$ |
| 18:6, 51:4, 62:9 | 86:16 | dioxin $_{\text {(1] }}-48: 10$ | 64:2 |
| Department ${ }_{61}$ - | designed ${ }_{[2]}$ - 34:8, | dioxins ${ }_{[1]}-69$ : | down ${ }_{(18)}-12: 17$, |
| 11:24, 18:24, | 34:13 | direct ${ }_{(11}-41: 15$ | 18:7, 20:14, |
| 20:8, 25:21, | despite $_{\text {[1] }}-49: 8$ | direction $_{(1)}-51: 12$ | 31:11, 39:10, |




| ```forget }\mp@subsup{}{11}{}-32:1 forward [[3] - 23:11, 44:7, 55:5 four [2] - 70:23, 71:6 Four [1] - 70:25 Fourth}\mp@subsup{}{[5]}{-9:23, 10:2, 11:22, 12:10, 12:25 fraction (1] - 33:20 Fran}\mp@subsup{[1]}{-37:3}{ Frank}\mp@subsup{}{[1]}{-9:20 Franklin (1] - 1:9 free [3] - 18:10, 41:4 Freeport [1] - 69:13 frequently [1] - 48:12 freshman [1] 22:2 friend [2] - 21:11, 50:6 friends }\mp@subsup{}{[4}{}-37:9 37:10, 75:20, 82:25 front [5] - 22:7, 53:7, 72:6, 91:17, 97:10 fruition [[1] -65:16 Fugitive [1] - 19:6 full []] - 38:2, 62:11, 63:18, 64:22, 77:23, 78:5 FULLL [1] - 1:3 full-fledged [[] - 38:2 Fully (1] - 15:3 fully [1]-26:19 function [1] - 34:11 fundamental [1] - 53:4 funded [1] -29:2 funding[[6] - 65:12,``` | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 15,65: 17, \\ & 80: 15,88: 23, \\ & 93: 20 \\ & \text { fundraiser }_{[1]}- \\ & 79: 19 \\ & \text { fundraising }_{[1]}- \\ & 79: 8 \\ & \text { funds }_{[2]]}-81: 21, \\ & 88: 24 \\ & \text { funeral }_{[2]}-14: 16, \\ & 18: 19 \\ & \text { Furthermore }_{[2]}- \\ & 30: 20,62: 22 \\ & \text { future }_{[3]}-43: 25, \\ & 44: 11,97: 16 \\ & \text { Future }_{[2]}-69: 3, \\ & 69: 17 \\ & \text { futures }_{[1]}-32: 14 \end{aligned}$G <br> gains $_{[1]}-76: 16$ <br> gamble $_{[3]}-33: 23$, <br> $35: 5,40: 24$ <br> gambled $_{[1]}-41: 12$ <br> gambler $_{[1]}-80: 16$ <br> gamblers $_{[3]}-34: 2$, <br> $34: 3,81: 6$ <br> gambling $_{[20]}-$ <br> $28: 19,31: 5,31: 8$, <br> $34: 4,34: 10$, <br> $40: 22,41: 11$, <br> $41: 15,41: 20$, <br> $44: 4,46: 14$, <br> $46: 20,73: 17$, <br> $76: 2,76: 5,80: 8$, <br> $80: 13,80: 14$, <br> $80: 20,80: 22$ <br> Gambling $_{[1]}-41: 8$ <br> gang <br> Garden $_{[1]}-17: 21$ <br> $[10]-40: 12$, | ```42:10, 49:22, 50:12, 50:24, 52:3, 52:4, 54:10, 69:7, 79:11 gather [1] - 18:17 GAYLORR[1] - 3:5 Gaylor [1] - 7:5 generates [1] - 33:15 generations [3] - 44:2, 44:11, 97:16 gentle [\] -21:24 gentleman [1] - 26:16 gentlemen [1] - 50:10 ghost [1] - 76:12 GIANGREGORIO [2]-4:14, 8:16 Giangregorio [1] - 8:15 \mp@subsup{gifted [l] - 33:21}{}{(}) Girl [[] - 41:12 Giuffre (1] - 7:8 GIUFFRE [2] - 3:8, 7:9 given [2] - 40:16, 70:18 \mp@subsup{glad}{[2] - 26:12,}{}, 70:16 glaze (11 - 90:9 Glen [4]-21:18, 23:13, 25:12, 25:21 glory }\mp@subsup{}{11}{}-22: glow [11 - 73:4 God [1] - 20:16 Goosby (11 - 36:19 gorgeous [[] - 24:22``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gorman }_{[6]}-55: 9, \\ & 55: 11,58: 7, \\ & 58: 11,59: 15, \\ & 62: 25 \\ & \text { GORMAN }_{[11]}- \\ & 5: 15,55: 10,58: 9, \\ & 58: 16,58: 21, \\ & 59: 19,60: 12, \\ & 60: 18,61: 6, \\ & 61: 16,61: 25 \\ & \text { Gorman's }_{[1]}- \\ & 59: 11 \\ & \text { Gosh }_{[2]}-40: 8, \\ & 40: 11 \\ & \text { GOSH }_{[2]]}-5: 20, \\ & 40: 9 \\ & \text { government }_{[2]}- \\ & 31: 17,53: 16 \\ & \text { Governor }_{[1]}- \\ & 80: 11 \\ & \text { grabbed }_{[1]}-10: 15 \\ & \text { graduate }_{[1]}-28: 24 \\ & \text { graduating }_{[3]}- \\ & 73: 25,78: 20, \\ & 82: 15 \\ & \text { Grand }_{[1]]}-70: 24 \\ & \text { grand }_{[2]}-42: 16, \\ & 65: 15 \\ & \text { Granted }_{[1]}-65: 7 \\ & \text { grateful }_{[1]}-27: 17 \\ & \text { Great }_{[1]}-42: 12 \\ & \text { great }_{[17]}-11: 13, \\ & 12: 14,12: 17, \\ & 18: 20,18: 21, \\ & 19: 19,19: 22, \\ & 19: 23,20: 7,26: 2, \\ & 26: 11,26: 25, \\ & 36: 12,43: 10, \\ & 67: 7,77: 14,78: 16 \\ & \text { greater }_{[3]}-34: 18, \\ & \text { and } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 46:11, 55:2 | H | 77:23 | himself $_{[2]}-16: 22$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| greatest ${ }_{[1]}-18: 6$ | HAFNER ${ }_{[2]}-5: 17$, | heartache ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 22:14 |
| greatly ${ }_{[1]}-47: 19$ | 81:13 | 7:2 | historically ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| green ${ }_{[3]}-30: 10$, |  | heinous $_{[1]}-56: 12$ | 95:24 |
| 31:25, 44:21 |  | helicopter ${ }_{[2]}$ - | hit $_{[3]}-23: 14$, |
| Green's ${ }_{[1]}-48: 7$ |  | 15:21, 27:15 | 23:23, 26:20 |
| Greg $_{[1]}-12: 15$ |  | hello ${ }_{[1]}-21: 24$ | Hochul $_{[1]}-80: 1$ |
| GREGORY ${ }_{[1]}-5: 5$ | $78: 22,87: 11$ | Hello ${ }_{[4]}-40: 9$, | Hofstra ${ }_{[5]}-30: 22$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gregory }_{[3]}-9: 25, \\ & 11: 4,11: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { hand }_{[4]}-9: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 23,49: 24, \\ & 55: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 18,39: 2,39: 3, \\ & 46: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| grew $_{[4]}-32: 21$, | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 22,88: 25, \\ & 101: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { help }_{[5]}-11: 6,$ | holding $_{[2]}-45: 6$, 58:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:21, 50:23, } 77: 3 \\ & \text { grocery }{ }_{[1]}-35: 5 \end{aligned}$ | handle ${ }_{[2]}-28: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:19, 27:8, } \\ & \text { 80:15, 81:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 22 \\ & \text { home }_{[8]}-14: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { ground }_{[1]}-71: 5$ |  | helped $_{[1]}-22: 22$ | 17:2, 18:19, |
| group $_{[4]}-14: 24$, | $57: 17$ | helping $_{[2]}-80: 19$, | 34:16, 34:17 |
| 15:6, 44:17 |  | 80:2 | 0:17, 55:2 |
| growing ${ }_{[1]}-32: 24$ |  | Hempstead ${ }_{[16]}$ - | 96:24 |
| guard $_{[7]}-23: 2$, | HARRIS $_{\text {\|31 }}$ | 28:12, 38:17, | homes $_{[1]}-74: 24$ |
| 2 | $79: 4,81:$ | 40:2, 47:9, 47:14, | homework ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 25:10, 25:12, | Harris | 7:22, 54:5, | 35:6 |
| 25:15, 70:16 |  | 0:22 | honed $_{[1]}-34$ |
| guards ${ }_{[2]}-70: 15$, |  | :4, 79:11, | honor ${ }_{[2]}-12: 14$, |
| 70:25 | h | 1:15, 82:3, 82:4, | 12:18 |
| guess ${ }_{[2]}-71: 3$, | $\text { , } 47$ | 2:18, 82:23 | honored ${ }_{[2]}-17: 24$, |
| 95:6 | 57.16, 63.3, $63 \cdot 14$ | hereby $_{[1]}-101: 10$ | 26:9 |
| guidance ${ }_{[1]}-23: 8$ |  | hereunto ${ }_{[1]}$ | hoods $_{[1]}-14: 2$ |
| guidelines ${ }_{[2]}-$ |  | 101:13 | hope $_{[10]}-27: 10$, |
| 48:11, 89:16 |  | heroism $_{[1]}-72: 15$ | 31:2, 46:5, 54:23 |
| guilty ${ }_{[1]}-76: 4$ |  | hesitation ${ }_{[1]}-53: 6$ | 63:11, 63:25, |
| Gulotta $_{[1]}-37: 4$ |  | $\mathrm{Hi}_{[4]}-29: 18,32: 19$, | :24, 67:13 |
| Gun $_{[1]}-41: 17$ |  | 81:13, 95:18 | 72:16, 73:9 |
| gun ${ }_{\text {[6] }}$ |  | High $_{[2]}-30: 24$ | hopeful $_{[1]}-30:$ |
| 38:16, 38:18, |  | 46:1 | hopefully ${ }_{[1]}-27: 14$ |
| 39:25, 40:3, 49:3 |  | high ${ }_{[7]}-21: 15$ | hoping ${ }_{[3]}-43: 15$, |
| gut ${ }_{[1]}-98: 6$ | $53: 17,74: 5$ | :23, 31:6, 44:6, | 66:8, 72:7 |
| guys ${ }_{[8]}-12: 2$, |  | 48:9, 62:18, 77:4 | orrific ${ }_{[2]}-46: 10$ |
| 13:5, 13:15, 18:8, |  | higher $_{[2]}-68: 20$, | hospital $_{[3]}-15: 19$, |
| 27:15, 66:23 |  | 77:2 | 17:4, 17:11 |
| 67:14, 69:14 |  | highest $_{[3]}-33: 1$ | ospital ${ }_{[1]}-10$ |
| $\mathbf{g y m}_{[1]}-26: 20$ |  | 69:10, 71:11 | hospitals ${ }_{[1]}-16: 25$ |


| hotel $_{[1]}-41: 5$ | impact $_{[5]}-34: 10$, | indicate ${ }_{[1]}-63: 10$ | 96:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hotel $_{[1]}-38: 15$ | 35:11, 35:12, | indicates ${ }_{[1]}-98: 10$ | Instead ${ }_{[1]}-30: 8$ |
| hour $_{[2]}-23: 25$, | 47:4, 53:18 | indications ${ }_{[1]}$ - | institutions ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 65:20 | impacting ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 90:25 | 49:15, 77:24 |
| hours [2] -17:20, | 70:12 | individual ${ }_{[2]}$ - | instructor ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 48:21 | imploring ${ }_{[1]}$ | 57:25, 96:19 | 72:24 |
| house ${ }_{[2]}-34: 18$, | 65:24 | individuals ${ }_{[2]}$ - | insurance ${ }_{[4]}$ |
| 41:23 | implying $_{[1]}-61: 1$ | 52:18, 73:8 | 56:21, 57:3, |
| housing $_{[3]}-28: 23$, | important ${ }_{[2]}-13: 8$, | industrial ${ }_{[1]}-69: 8$ | 57:16, 63:3 |
| 28:25, 74:3 | 56:8 | Industrial ${ }_{[1]}-51: 7$ | interest ${ }_{[10]}-33: 6$, |
| HOWARD ${ }_{[1]}-2: 6$ | impossible ${ }_{[2]}-$ | industries ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 33:8, 88:19, |
| Howard $_{[1]}-6: 14$ | 74:4, 78:15 | 69:3, 69:17 | 89:10, 90:11, |
| hub $_{[2]}-33: 14,46: 7$ | impression ${ }_{[1]}$ | industry ${ }_{[3]}-63: 15$, | 92:5, 95:20, 97:3, |
| Hub $_{[1]}-45: 20$ | 59:12 | 69:18, 91:8 | 97:20, 98:12 |
| hue ${ }_{[1]}-69: 21$ | Improvement ${ }_{[1]}$ - | info $_{[1]}-18: 17$ | internet ${ }_{[1]}-68: 20$ |
| huge ${ }_{[3]}-44: 10$, | 86:17 | information ${ }_{[2]}-$ | introduce ${ }_{[3]}$ |
| 73:25, 77:13 | improvement ${ }_{[1]}$ | 17:8, 93:18 | 17:25, 21:11, |
| human $_{[4]}-30: 12$, | 92:18 | informed ${ }_{[4]}-16: 7$, | 52:21 |
| 38:13, 49:4, 72:10 | $\mathbf{I N}_{[3]}-5: 6,5: 8$ | 16:9, 16:12, 17:9 | invest $^{11}$ - 13:9 |
| humility ${ }_{[1]}-65: 24$ | 101:1 | informing ${ }_{[2]}-17: 3$, | investigating ${ }_{[1]}-$ |
| hurts $_{[1]}-73: 17$ | incarcerations ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 82:13 | 15:12 |
| husband ${ }_{[3]}-27: 9$, | 52:16 | infrastructure ${ }_{[2]}$ - | investigation ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 40:12, 41:13 | increase ${ }_{[8]}-30: 11$, | 47:6, 51:5 | 15:23, 17:14 |
| husband's ${ }_{[1]}$ | 34:4, 34:20, 47:7, | initial ${ }_{[2]}-24: 4$ | investment ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 14:17 | 47:15, 47:18, | 72:19 | 11:19 |
| hyperbole $_{[1]}$ - | 48:24, 65:14 | injured $_{[1]}-16: 21$ | invite $^{[1]}$ - 13:17 |
| 64:11 | increased ${ }_{[4]}$ | injury ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:5 | inviting ${ }_{[1]}-14:$ |
| I | $\begin{aligned} & 28: 13,28: 14 \\ & 35: 13,47: 14 \end{aligned}$ | Injustice ${ }_{[1]}-36: 10$ | volved $_{[1]}-93$ $\mathbf{S}_{[1]}-96: 4$ |
| idea ${ }_{[1]}-39: 2$ | increases ${ }_{[6]}-$ | 48:18, 55:18 | island ${ }_{[1]}-32: 25$ |
| identified ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $34: 24,57: 3$ | input ${ }_{[2]}-32: 3$, | Island $_{[5]}-30: 5$, |
| 47:24 | $57: 17,63: 4,65: 2$ | $45: 22$ | 31:4, 31:7, 46:11, |
| ignored $_{[1]}-29: 6$ | 91:10 | inquired $_{[2]}-16: 5$, | 81:9 |
| $\mathrm{III}_{[2]}-3: 5,7: 5$ | incredible ${ }_{[5]}$ - | 17:5 | issuance $_{[1]}-86: 13$ |
| imagined $_{[1]}-75: 4$ | 13, 21:14 | inside $_{{ }_{[1]}-14: 16}$ | issue $_{[1]}-96: 18$ |
| immediate $_{[1]}-$ | 21:21, 53:13 | Inspector ${ }_{[1]}$ - | issuer $_{[1]}-97: 11$ |
| 16:25 | indebted ${ }_{[1]}-33: 8$ | 12:13 | issues $_{[14]}-48: 4$, |
| immediately ${ }_{[2]}$ - | independent ${ }_{[1]}$ - | Instagram $_{[1]}$ | $48: 5,68: 2,68: 21$ |
| 10:10, 51:14 |  | 82:13 | 70:2, 70:12, $76: 19,77: 8,88: 5$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 88:11, 88:25, } \\ & 89: 14,89: 19, \\ & 93: 13 \\ & \text { issuing } \\ & \text { it'll }{ }_{[1]}-87: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Jason }_{[2]}-55: 8, \quad \mid 65: 20$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KRUG }_{[2]}-5: 21, \\ & 42: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $55: 11$ | Kellenberg ${ }_{[2]}$ - |  |
|  | $\mathrm{JASON}_{[1]}-5: 15$ | 30:24, 46:18 |  |
|  | jewelry ${ }_{[2]}-16: 8$ | KENNEDY ${ }_{[2]}$ - | L |
|  | 16.11 | 3.17, | lack $_{[1]}-70: 13$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Item }_{[33]}-84: 11, \\ 84: 12,84: 13, \end{gathered}$ | job ${ }_{[6]}-12: 8,12: 18$, | Kennedy ${ }_{[1]}-7: 17$ | ${\text { ladies }{ }_{[1]}-50: 9}^{\text {[ }}$ |
|  | :19 | kept $^{[1]}$ - $26: 2$ | lady $_{[1]}-54: 3$ |
| 84:14, 84:1584:16, 84:17, | 49:7, 72:11 | Kessel $_{[1]}-23: 21$ | LAFAZAN $_{[2]}-4: 11$, |
|  | jobs ${ }_{[10]}-33: 1$ | Kettering ${ }_{[2]}$ | 8:13 |
| 84:18, 84:19, | $5: 25$ | 37:21, 37:2 | Lafazan $_{[1]}-8: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 84: 20,84: 21, \\ & 84: 22,84: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 18, 66:19 | Kevan ${ }_{[2]}-8: 18$, | large $_{[1]}-40: 18$ |
|  | 68:10, 72:2 | 36:4 | larger $_{[1]}-21: 22$ |
| 84:24, 84:25, | John ${ }_{[2]}-7: 7,8: 2$ | KEVAN $_{[1]}-2: 1$ | Las $_{[4]}-42: 21$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 85: 2,85: 3,85: 4, \\ & 85: 5,85: 6,85: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{JOHN}_{[2]}-3: 8,4: 2$ | kickbacks ${ }_{[1]}$ | 50:16, 68:18, |
|  | Joshua $_{[1]}-8: 11$ | 76:17 | 68:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 85: 8,85: 9,85: 10 \\ & 85: 11,85: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{JOSHUA}_{[1]}-4: 11$ | $\boldsymbol{k i d}_{[1]}-79: 13$ | last ${ }_{[11]}-30: 5,48: 3$, |
|  | judges $_{[1]}-52: 1$ | kids $_{[4]}-25: 19$ | 53:3, 58:17 |
| 85:13, 85:14, | judgment ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 28:17, 32:23, 35:3 | 58:20, 65:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 85: 15,85: 16, \\ & 85: 17,85: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 52:25 | Kiely ${ }_{[2]}-42$ | 9:7, 80:11, 84:3, |
|  | junior ${ }_{[2]}$ - 63: | killed $_{[1]}-96: 6$ | 93:21, 94:18 |
| 86:12 | 65:6 | kind $_{[7]}-13: 9,41: 5$, | astly ${ }_{[1]}-70: 15$ |
|  | justice $_{[1]}-36: 11$ | 52:22, 52:23, | ts ${ }_{[1]}-94: 19$ |
| 84:5, 84:6 | K | 82:23, 96:6, 98:10 | late $_{[1]}-14: 16$ |
|  | K |  | latter $_{[1]}-87: 16$ |
| itself ${ }_{[1]}-91: 12$ |  | Knife ${ }_{[1]}-41: 1$ | laughed $_{[1]}-75: 1$ |
| J | $5: 22,62: 7$ | Knights ${ }_{[1]}-79: 24$ | ughter) ${ }_{[1]}-26: 22$ |
| $\mathrm{JACOBS}_{[3]}-5: 16,$ | Kaebnick ${ }_{[3]}$ - | $11 \text { - }$ |  |
|  | KAREN ${ }_{[3]}-1: 18$ | knowled | LAURA $_{[1]}-3: 23$ |
| $\text { Jacobs }_{[3]}-45: 4,$ | 5:19, 101:8 | 53:12 | lavish $_{[1]}-33: 8$ |
| 45:5, 45:10 | Karen ${ }_{[4]}$ - 29:17 | known $_{[3]}-30: 11$ | Law ${ }_{[2]}-55: 23$, |
| Jacqueline ${ }_{[2]}$ 40:8, 40:11 | 29:18, 101:16, | 31:24, 50: | 58:4 |
| JACQUELINE $_{[1]}$ - | 101:1 | knows $_{[1]}-24$ : | $\mathbf{w}_{[7]}-26: 5,55: 22$, |
|  | KAY ${ }_{[2]}-5: 19$ |  | 56:10, 86:14, 96:3 |
| 5:20 | 38:1 | 12:22, 18:5, 85:20 | lawful ${ }_{[1]}-56: 23$ |
| jails ${ }_{[1]}-52: 16$ | Kay ${ }_{[1]}-38: 12$ | $\mathrm{KOPEL}_{[7]}-2: 6$, | lead $_{[1]}-6: 6$ |
| James ${ }_{[1]}-7: 16$ | keen $_{[1]}-17: 17$ | 6:15, 12:23, 81:2, | leader $_{[1]}-30: 7$ |
| $\mathrm{JAMES}_{[1]}-3: 17$ | keep $_{[4]}-56: 19$ | 81:11, 94:17, | Leader $_{[3]}-2: 15$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { January }_{[2]}-1: 12, \\ & 9: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 67:4, 70:11, 98:11 | 94:22 | $8: 17,85: 20$ |
|  | keeping $_{[2]}-23: 19$, | Krug ${ }_{[2]}$ - 42:7, 42: |  |


| leader's ${ }_{[1]}-41: 13$ | 86 | 60:7, 60:10, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leaders ${ }_{[1]}-74: 25$ | 95:2, 95:17, 99:24 | 60:17, 60:25, | 43:10, 68:16, |
| leaders ${ }_{[2]}-43: 23$, | legislator ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 61:4, 86:4, 87:22, | 69:7, 69:12, |
| 44:5 | 11:25, 25:18 | 99:15, 100:4 | 73:15, |
| leadership ${ }_{[3]}$ - | LEGISLATOR ${ }_{[59]}$ | less $_{[1]}-67: 10$ | 75:7, 77:9, 78:13, |
| 18:23, 20:13, | 2:2, 2:6, 2:10 | letting $_{[1]}-43: 20$ | 82:2, 82:22 |
| 61:20 | 18, 2:21 | leveled $_{[1]}-56: 20$ | lived ${ }_{[2]}-42: 10$, |
| learning $_{[1]}-73: 18$ | 3:2, 3:5, 3:8, 3:11, | levels ${ }_{[2]}-48: 9$, | 50:23 |
| lease ${ }_{[7]}-31: 15$, | 3:14, 3:17, 3:20, | 56:16 | lives ${ }_{[7]}-27: 12$, |
| 35:1 | 2, 4:5, 4:8, | Levittown ${ }_{[2]}-48: 8$, | 34:5, 41:8, 74:21, |
| 41:24, 42:15 | 4:11, 4:14, 6:15, | 69:8 | 75:15, 76:4, |
| 51:20, 67:18 | 6:18, 6:21, 6:24, | Liberty ${ }_{[4]}-82: 5$, | living ${ }_{[1]}-63: 17$ |
| least ${ }_{[2]}-54: 25$ | 7:3, 7:9, 7:12 | 82:13, 82:16, 83:2 | Liz ${ }_{[3]}-24: 21$, |
| 58:17 | 18, 7:2 | license ${ }_{[1]}-15: 8$ | 24:24, 27: |
| leave ${ }_{[2]}-28: 24$ | 8:4, 8:7, 8:10, | life ${ }_{[16]}-11: 18$, | loaded $_{[1]}-74: 7$ |
| 33:19 | 8:13, 8:16, 8:19, | $3,25: 17$, | loan ${ }_{[2]}-74: 2$, |
| leaving $_{[4]}-16$ | 12:23, 19:17 | 43:9, 43:11, | 78:21 |
| 35:3, 55:25, 76:12 | 21:6, 26:14, 59:8, | 43:12, 44:3, 47:2, | loans ${ }_{[2]}-62: 19$ |
| led $_{[1]}-63: 5$ | 60:2, 60:15, | 48:19, 49:18, | 78:23 |
| left $_{[1]}-27: 13$ | 20, 61:10 | 73:2, 74:22, 75:8 | local ${ }_{[4]}$ - 16:4, |
| $\operatorname{leg}_{[1]}-22: 12$ | 61:18, 62:3, 81:2 | 75:9, 75:25, 87:14 | 17:4, 44:5, 86:14 |
| legacy ${ }_{[2]}-31: 21$ | 81:11, 89:24, | life's ${ }_{[1]}-29: 25$ | locals ${ }_{[2]}-40: 25$, |
| 43:25 | 91:19, 92:25 | LifeVac ${ }_{[2]}$ - 10:15, | 41:7 |
| legal ${ }_{[1]}-90: 2$ | 22 | 10:16 | Located $_{[1]}-30: 21$ |
| legislative $^{[1]}$ - 84:4 | $4: 22$ | light $_{[1]}-30: 10$ | location ${ }_{[3]}-30: 20$, |
|  | :18, 96:22 | likely ${ }_{[1]}-15: 22$ | 30:24, 46: |
| 1:8, 2:4, 2:8, $2: 12$ | 7:18, 98: | line ${ }_{[1]}-34: 7$ | locations ${ }_{[1]}-31: 8$ |
| 2:16, 2:19, 2:22, | legislators ${ }_{[4]}$ | $\mathbf{l i p}_{\text {[1] }}-71: 9$ | lock $_{[1]}-30: 15$ |
| 3:3, 3:6, 3:9, 3:12, | 24:14, 36:5, 37:7, | 11 - 33:16 | lodged $_{[1]}-10: 20$ |
| $3: 15,3: 18,3: 21$, | 38:5 | listed ${ }_{[1]}-47: 9$ | look ${ }_{[14]}$ - 15:5, |
| 3:24, 4:3, 4:6, 4:9, | Legislators ${ }_{[1]}$ | listen $_{[1]}-74: 19$ | 6:23, 26:24, |
| 4:12, 4:15, 11:10, | 87:2 | listened ${ }_{[4]}-52: 11$, | 17, 44:24, |
| 11:14 | legislature $^{[2]}$-6:3, | 53:2, 73:18, 73:19 | 50:19, 54:20, |
| Legislator $_{[26]}-6: 6$, | $7: 13$ | listening ${ }_{[2]}-26: 10$, | 54:21, 82:10, |
| 6:19, 6:22, 6:25, | LEGISLATURE ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 66:16 | 1:24, 92:8, |
| 7:4, 7:10, 7:13, | 1:2, 1:3 | lit ${ }_{[1]}-31: 25$ | 95:23, 96:15, 98:2 |
| 7:16, 7:20, 7:22 | Le | literally ${ }_{[3]}-17: 21$, | looked ${ }_{[2]}-35: 12$, |
| 8:2, 8:5, 8:8, 8:11, | 4:18, 9:4, 12:12 | 40:23, 58:2 | 75:11 |
| 8:14, 18:5, 19:16, | $14: 3,25: 8,28: 7$, $50 \cdot 5$, | live ${ }_{[16]}-12: 25$, | oking ${ }_{[2]}-96: 13$, |
| 21:4, 36:4, 86:21, | 50:5, 50:10, 57:9, | 29:19, 32:20, | 98:3 |


| looks ${ }_{[2]}-26: 15$, | Mackey $_{[1]}-35: 24$ | $\operatorname{math}_{[4]}-45: 8$ | 61:21, 72:9, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | m | 65:8, 69:2, 82:8 |  |
| Lorenzo ${ }_{[2]}-$ | Majority ${ }_{[1]}-84: 8$ | matter ${ }_{[5]}-25: 13$, | 99:14, 100:3 |
| 101:16, 101:17 | majority ${ }_{[1]}-40: 24$ | 42:17, 43:4, 46:4, | Memorial ${ }_{[1]}-46: 19$ |
| LORENZO ${ }_{[2]}$ | majority/minority | 55:21 | mentally ${ }_{[1]}-22: 15$ |
| 1:18, 101:8 | ${ }_{[1]}$ - 46:2 | matters $_{[1]}-86: 18$ | mentioned ${ }_{[5]}$ - |
| lose $_{[2]}-22: 12$, | makers ${ }_{[1]}-43: 24$ | maturity ${ }_{[1]}-95: 11$ | 13:7, 16:20, 51:3, |
| :13 | male $_{[1]}-17: 4$ | Mayor $_{[1]}-74: 25$ | 69:4, 88:13 |
| lost ${ }_{[1]}-22: 9$ | males $_{[1]}-31: 6$ | Mazi $_{[1]}-7: 10$ | mentioning ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| love ${ }_{[4]}$ - 24:19, | Maloney ${ }_{[2]}-13: 21$, | MAZI $_{[1]}-3: 11$ | 23:16 |
| 25:19, 64:19 | 13:23 | McKevitt $_{[1]}-7: 20$ | mentor $_{[1]}-23: 3$ |
| $\operatorname{loved}_{[3]}-23: 3$ | $\text { MALONEY }_{[2]}-5: 8,$ | MCKEVITT ${ }_{[2]}$ - | $\text { Meraday }_{[1]}-67: 23$ |
|  | 13. | 3:20, 7:21 | mere $_{[1]}-$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { loves }_{[2]}-24: 6, \\ & 24: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\boldsymbol{m a n}_{[4]}-25: 2,$ $26: 11.73: 23$ | $\text { Meadow }_{[4]}-32: 22 \text {, }$ | MEREDAY ${ }^{[2]}$ - <br> $5 \cdot 16,67 \cdot 24$ |
| Iow ${ }_{[2]}-88: 19$ | Manhass | meals ${ }_{[1]}-41: 4$ | message ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 89:11 | 9:19, 32:21 | mean $_{[7]}-28: 12$, | 57:19, 57:20 |
| lower $_{[4]}-63: 17$ | manning ${ }_{[1]}-71: 2$ | 28:16, 90:24, | met $_{{ }_{[1]}-26: 9}$ |
| 87:9, 92:5, 97:6 | March ${ }_{[6]}-11: 10$, | 94:5, 95:12, | META $_{[1]}-5: 16$ |
| lowered $_{[1]}-68: 5$ | 14:8, 17:25, | 96:16, 98:2 | Meta $_{[1]}-67: 23$ |
| lowering $_{[1]}-97: 15$ | 65:21, 79:7, | means ${ }_{[4]}-29: 9$, | MeToo ${ }_{[1]}-75: 16$ |
| lucid $_{[1]}-67: 24$ | 101:14 | 60:23, 61:10, | Michael ${ }_{[12]}-8: 14$, |
| lucky ${ }_{[2]}-24: 20$, | MARIE $_{[1]}-4: 8$ | 61:11 | 9:24, 11:3, 11:9, |
| 82:5 | Marie ${ }_{[1]}-8: 9$ | Medcom ${ }_{[2]}-16: 24$, | 2:9, 13:21, |
| lure $_{[1]}-30: 17$ | marijuana ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 17:3 | 13:23, 14:5, |
| lured $_{[1]}-33: 23$ | 46:14 | medical $_{[4]}-15: 16$, | 15:24, 16:12, |
| lusting ${ }_{[1]}-35: 9$ | marital ${ }_{[1]}-41: 10$ | 49:15, 49:16, | 18:2, 19:6 |
| Luther $_{[1]}-36: 9$ | market $^{[5]}$ - 87:5, | 72:25 | MICHAEL $_{[4]}-4: 14$, |
| lying $_{[1]}-10: 9$ | 87:12, 92:2, 92:9, | meet $_{[1]}-58: 25$ | 4:17, 5:4, 5:7 |
| Lynbrook ${ }_{[1]}-77: 3$ | 94:6 | MEETING ${ }_{[1]}-1: 3$ | Michigan $_{[1]}-48: 15$ |
| Lynn $_{[2]}-42: 6,42: 9$ | marketplace ${ }_{[3]}$ - | meeting ${ }_{[8]}-9: 4$, | middle $_{[2]}-65: 3$, |
| $\mathbf{L Y N N}_{[1]}-5: 21$ | 91:7, 96:4, 98:25 | 9:5, 9:10, 28:4, | 68:14 |
| lyrics $_{[1]}-72: 18$ | markets ${ }_{[1]}-90: 23$ | 65:20, 66:16, | might $_{[3]}-76: 16$, |
| M | Marriott ${ }_{[1]}-38: 15$ | 70:17, 100:1 | 91:9, 94:2 |
|  | 10:19 | member $_{[3]}-55: 14$, | 14:9, 14:11 |
| machines $_{[1]}-13: 7$ | Martin ${ }_{[1]}-36: 9$ | 59:18, 63:3 | 14:18, 14:21, |
| MACKEY ${ }_{[2]}-5: 18$, | masks $_{[1]}-14: 25$ | members ${ }_{[12]}-14: 2$, | 15:5, 15:13, |
| 35:25 | ive $_{[1]}-53: 2$ | 26:5, 28:6, 61:4, | 15:15, 15:19, |
|  | master's ${ }_{[1]}-62: 19$ | 61:5, 61:20, | 16:3, 16:19, |


| 16:23, 17:2, 17:5, | monetary ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 75:16 | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:8, 18:9, 18:25, } \\ & 22: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 51: 13 \\ & \text { money }_{[17]}-34 \end{aligned}$ | moving ${ }_{[3]}-26: 10$, | $\text { name }_{[11]}-13: 23$ |
| Mike's ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:15 | 4:15, 35:5, 43:5, |  | 23:22, 29:18, |
| mile $_{[1]}-40: 3$ | 70:7, 70:8, 80:19, | $29: 13,49: 2$ | 32:19, 38:12, |
| miles $_{[1]}-76: 9$ | 87:4, 87:21, | 50:9, 51:25, | 11, 42:8, |
| Million ${ }_{[1]}-87: 7$ | 90:17, 90:24, | 55:10, 58:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 11,64: \\ & 72: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| million ${ }_{[1]}-87: 14$ | 91:15, 91:22, | 58:16, 58:21, | naming $_{[1]}-22: 24$ |
| $\operatorname{mind}_{[2]}-53: 20$, | $92: 6,92: 14$, $93 \cdot 10,98 \cdot 23$ | 59:19, 60:12, | narrow ${ }_{[1]}-96: 23$ |
| 90:10 mine $_{11}-21.11$ | 93:10, 98:23 | 60:18, 61:6, | Nassau ${ }_{[58]}-6: 3$, |
| mine $_{[1]}-21: 11$ <br> Mineola $_{\text {[1 }}$ - 1:10 | Monica ${ }_{[2]}-42: 3$ 42:4 | 25, | 9:4, 10:24, 11:7, |
| $\text { minority }_{[1]}-46: 4$ | monitoring ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $86: 25,88: 4,$ | 11:24, 13:19, |
| Minority ${ }_{[4]}-2: 15$, | 48:3 | 88:15, 88:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 24,14: 3, \\ & 4: 7,19: 12, \end{aligned}$ |
| 8:17, 84:9, 85:20 | month $^{[4]}$ - 9:6, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89:12, 90:22, } \\ & 91: 24.93: 5 . \end{aligned}$ | 19:22, 28:11, |
| ${ }_{\text {minuscule }}^{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 70: 18,80: 11,82: 6 \\ & \text { monthly }{ }_{[1]}-97: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 24,93: 5, \\ & 93: 12,93: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 30:22, 31:11, |
| 33:24 | $\text { months }_{[7]}-45: 16$ | 94:16, 94:19, | 32:12, 32:13, |
| $54: 4$ | 82:8, 90:19, | 94:25, 95:10, | 32:22, 33:11, |
| mirrored $_{[1]}-21: 17$ | 91:13, 91:16, | 95:23, 97:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 19,36: 2,36: 8, \\ & 37: 15,37: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
| mischievous ${ }_{[1]}$ | 95:19, 98:10 | 97:24, 98:16 | $38: 4,38: 7,42: 11$ |
| 76:3 | mortgage $^{11}$ - 97:7 | MS ${ }_{[14]}$ - 29:18, | 43:15, 44:12, |
| misleading $^{[1]}$ - | $\operatorname{most}_{[10]}-15: 22$, | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 19,38: 12 \\ & 40: 9,42: 8,45: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $44: 15,45: 20$ |
| 33:24 ${ }_{\text {missing }}$-60.3 | 22:16, 33:22 |  | 46:11, 46:17, |
| ${ }_{\text {missing }}^{\text {[2] }}$-60:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 2,45: 24, \\ & 47: 10,47: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45: 12,62: 7 \\ & 63: 23,67: 24, \end{aligned}$ | 48:15, 49:5, 49:6, |
| $74: 12$ Missing $[3]$ | 48 | 7:2, | 49:12, 49:17, |
| Missing ${ }_{[3]}$ - 14:6, | Most $_{[3]}-74: 21$, | 81:13 | 55:13, 57:8, |
| mistake $_{[1]}-43: 22$ | 75:8, 75:25 | MS.VASQUEZ ${ }_{[1]}-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 12,62: 9 \\ & 2: 10,64: 4,65: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| Mitchel $_{[2]}-45: 19$, | mostly ${ }_{(1]}-78: 8$ | 27:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 10,74: 4,77: 5, \text { b. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 46:7 | mother $_{[2]}-56: 13$, | MULE ${ }_{[2]}-3: 2,7: 3$ | 7:9, 77:12, 78:5, |
| Mitchell ${ }_{[1]}-52: 6$ | 73:11 | Mule $^{[1]}$ - $7: 2$ | 8:19, 81:25, |
| Mobile ${ }_{[1]}-48: 2$ | Motion ${ }_{[3]}-85: 19$, | multiple ${ }_{[1]}-16: 11$ | 2:11, 86:4, |
| model $_{[1]}-78: 8$ | 86:21, 99:23 | multitasking ${ }_{[1]}$ | 6:15, 99:15, |
| modernized $_{[1]}-$ | motorcycle ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 15:10 | 100:4 |
| :3 | 22:5 | multitude ${ }_{[1]}$ - | AS |
| moment $_{[7]}-21: 10$, | move $_{[1]}-76: 12$ | 97:14 | 01: |
| 22:10, 23:24, | $\operatorname{moved}_{[2]}-40: 12$, | ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-86: 2$ | nation ${ }_{[2]}-33 \cdot 13$ |
| 24:8, 24:9, 24:15 | 43:14 | music $_{[1]}-73: 17$ | 48:16 |
| Monday ${ }_{[1]}-1: 12$ | movement $_{[1]}$ - | must $_{[1]}-65: 4$ |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:10, 8:22, 8:25, } \\ & \text { 11:21, 12:9, } \\ & \text { 12:21, 13:16, } \\ & \text { 19:15, 20:19, } \\ & \text { 21:2, 28:2, 29:11, } \\ & \text { 29:15, 32:17, } \\ & \text { 35:22, 38:10, } \\ & 40: 7,42: 3,42: 6, \\ & 45: 3,45: 9,49: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OFFICIAL }_{[1]}-1: 18 \\ & \text { officials } \\ & 31: 17 \\ & \text { often }_{[2]}-30: 9 \text {, 13:10, } \\ & 32: 7 \\ & \text { old }_{[1]}-82: 5 \\ & \text { Once }_{[3]}-10: 20, \\ & 25: 20,35: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 93:19, 96:9, } 98: 4 \\ & \text { opportunity }{ }_{[11]}- \\ & 36: 6,48: 25 \\ & 77: 19,87: 4, \\ & \text { 87:19, 87:21, } \\ & \text { 91:11, 91:15, } \\ & \text { 91:17, 96:11, } \\ & \text { 98:22 } \\ & \text { oppose }_{[2]}-51: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:9 } \\ & \text { overcrowding }_{[1]}- \\ & \text { 43:13 } \\ & \text { overheard }_{[1]}- \\ & \text { 16:20 } \\ & \text { own }_{[2]}-10: 23 \\ & \text { 18:9 } \\ & \text { owned }_{[1]}-37: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50:7, 51:22, 55:8, | 23:23, 96:11, | 66:7 | P |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58: 6,58: 10, \\ & 58: 18,59: 5,62: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97: 22,97: 24, \\ & 97: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { opposed }_{[5]}-52: 6, \\ 78: 8,86: 7,99: 18, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p. } \mathbf{m}_{[2]}-1: 13, \\ & 100: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 63:21, 66:3, | One ${ }_{[2]}-73: 22$ | 100:7 | packe |
| $67: 21,71: 20$, $71: 24,74: 14$, | 80:25 | opposes $_{[1]}-51: 18$ | $\text { pain }_{[2]}-29: 5 \text {, }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 71: 24,74: 14, \\ & 76: 23,79: 2, \end{aligned}$ | one ${ }_{[33]}-9: 8,11: 18$, | opposing ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 37:24 |
| $85: 24,86: 6$ | $16: 9,16: 20$, $16.21,16.22$, | 50:1 | paramedic ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 86:10, 87:25, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:21, 16:22, } \\ & 21: 3,24: 5,24: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $29: 22,33: 3,$ | 18:12 paraph |
| 88:12, 88:17 | 25:23, 27:7, 31:7, | 54:11, 81:18 | 31:17 |
| 89:8, 89:21, 93:6, | 33:12, 43:15, | options ${ }_{[1]}-35: 19$ | Parents ${ }_{[1]}-35: 3$ |
| 95:5, 95:16, 99:2, | 47:2, 47:3, 47:9, | order $_{[1]}-9: 16$ | parents ${ }_{[1]}-24: 18$ |
| 99:6, 99:11, | 47:20, 62:24, | Ordinance ${ }_{[11]}$ - | parks ${ }_{[1]}-40: 15$ |
| 99:17, 99:21, | 65:22, 67:15 | 84:11, 84:12, | part ${ }_{[14]}$ - 18:23, |
| 100:6, 100:10 | 69:18, 72:18, | 84:13, 84:14, | 22:12, 66:9, 75:6, |
| Officer ${ }_{[23]}-2: 7$, | 79:13, 80:4, 81:4, | 84:15, 84:16, | 75:9, 77:13, |
| 2:11, 6:13, 6:14 | 81:5, 82:6, 87:10, | 84:17, 84:18, | $7: 25,78: 8$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 17,8: 21,10: 6, \\ & 10 \cdot 1710 \cdot 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 5,90: 10, \\ & 92: 17,97: 15 \end{aligned}$ | 84:19, 84:20, | 78:10, 81:7, 84:5, |
| 10:12, 10:14, $11: 3,11: 4,11$ | 92:17, 97:15 | 86:12 | 87:16, 88:2, 89:12 |
| $12: 9,12: 22$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ones }_{[2]}-24: 25 \\ 94 \cdot 10 \end{gathered}$ | ordinance ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\text { Part }_{[2]}-77: 7,78: 10$ |
| 12:24, 19:18, | ongoing $_{[1]}-17: 13$ | $86: 12$ ourselves [2] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { partially }_{[1]}-89: 12 \\ & \text { Partially } \\ & {[1]} \end{aligned}-89: 13$ |
| 36:2, 49:25, | online $_{[1]}-73: 10$ | 75:17, 75:24 | particular ${ }_{[1]}-65: 6$ |
| $85: 19,89: 25$ | open $_{[2]}-48: 21$ | outrageous ${ }_{[1]}$ | artner ${ }_{[1]}-12: 15$ |
| 90:4, 93:9 | 48:23 | 30:21 | partners ${ }_{[1]}-75: 21$ |
| officers ${ }_{[11]}-9: 24$ 10:10, 10:18 | opening $_{[1]}-38: 22$ | outside $_{[3]}-11: 16$, | parts ${ }_{[1]}-42: 11$ |
| 10:10, 10:18, | opiate $^{[1]}$ - 46:13 | 43:20, 70:10 | party ${ }_{[1]}-72: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | opiates ${ }_{[1]}-34: 12$ | outstanding ${ }_{[2]}$ - | passed $_{[3]}-50: 13$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 5 ? \end{aligned}$ | opinion $_{[1]}-81: 20$ | 52:9, 96:1 | 54:10, 88:9 |
|  | opportunities ${ }_{[5]}$ | overall ${ }_{[1]}-40: 1$ | passing ${ }_{[1]}-15: 20$ |
| official ${ }_{[1]}-55: 15$ | 12:4, 91:25, | overburdened ${ }_{[1]}$ - | past ${ }_{[2]}-23: 11$, |


| 45:16 | 65:4, 70:11, | photo ${ }_{[2]}-15: 8$, | 56:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PASTOR }_{[2]}-5: 18, \\ & 35: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 17,73: 6, \\ & 73: 10,73: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 16:15 | pleasure ${ }_{[2]}-64: 7$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pastor }_{[1]}-35: 24 \\ & \text { path }_{[2]}-47: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 74:5, 74:22, } \\ & 76: 11,77: 9,80: 8, \end{aligned}$ | physically ${ }_{[2]}$ 22:16, 22:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pledge }_{[3]}-6: 5,6: 7, \\ & 6: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 96:12 | 80:1 | picture ${ }_{[4}-7$ | pLegislator $_{[1]}-7: 7$ |
| patrol ${ }_{[2]}$ - 9:25 | per ${ }_{[2]}$ - 48:22, $63: 3$ | 79:23, 80:5, 82:9 | plethora ${ }_{[1]}$ - 49:10 |
| 19 | percent ${ }_{(1)}-87: 11$ | pictures ${ }_{[1]}-79: 12$ | point ${ }_{[1]}-9: 8,21: 3$, |
| pattern ${ }_{(1)}-16: 10$ | performance | pieces ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-82: 12$ | 23:17, 23:21, |
| Patty ${ }_{11}$ - 79:2 | 72:14 | PILIP ${ }_{[2]}-3: 11$, | 28:15, 59:9, 60:3, |
| PATTY $_{[1]}-5: 17$ | Perhaps $_{(1)}-45: 25$ | 7:12 | 90:13, 91:14, |
| pause ${ }_{[1]}-40: 17$ | permanent ${ }_{[1]}$ - | Pilip $_{11}-7: 1$ | 93:15, 98:21 |
| pay ${ }_{\text {¢9 }}$-57:15, | 66:19 | pillars ${ }_{[2]}$-64:1 | points ${ }_{[2]}-41: 3$, |
| 62:17, 63:2, | perp ${ }_{[2]}-17: 10$, | 4:17 | 41:4 |
| 63:16, 65:2, 70:8, | 17:11 | pitmasters ${ }_{[1]}$ - | Police ${ }_{[4]}$ - 18:23, |
| 73:3, 78:2, 80:18 | perpetrated ${ }_{[1]}$ | 67:7 | 20:8, 25:21, 49:6 |
| paying ${ }_{(4]}-51: 11$, | 55:18 | place ${ }_{44}-32: 7$, | police ${ }_{\text {[8] }}$ - 9:24, |
| 71:10, 78:24, | perpetrators ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 39:24, 42:13 | 11: |
| 82:23 | 16:21 | 42:20 | 13:12, 15:19 |
| payment ${ }_{[2]}-86: 19$, | PERSICH ${ }_{[8]}$ | Place $_{\text {(1] }}$ - 50:23 | 20, 15:21, |
| 97:7 | 86:25, 88 | placed $_{[1]}-66: 9$ | 18:6, 19:23, |
| PBA ${ }_{[5]}$ - 9:7, 9:20, | 88:15, | Placing ${ }_{[2]}-46: 8$, | :24, 51:4, 52:8, |
| 11:8, 12:2, 12:13 | 89:12, 90:22 | 4 | 2:12, 56:6, 71:2, |
| PEARL ${ }_{[1]}-5: 16$ | 124, 93:5 | plain $_{(1)}-42: 18$ | 71:5, 72:15, 74:5 |
| Pearl ${ }_{[2]}-45: 3,45: 5$ | 93:12, 93:25 | plan $_{[7]}-31: 25$, | politically ${ }_{[1]}-30: 3$ |
| peddling ${ }_{[1]}-33: 9$ | 94:16, 94:19 | 42:1 | pollution ${ }_{\text {[5] }}$ |
| pedestrians ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 25, 95:10 | 20, 44:2 | 28:15, 47:22 |
| 47:10 | 95:23, 97:5, | 44:23, 53:5 | 48:5, 54:3, 54:4 |
| Pennsy | 97:24, 98:16 | planet $_{[1]}-72: 1$ | poor ${ }_{[2]}-18: 18$, |
| 34 | person ${ }_{[3]}$-11:6, | Planning ${ }_{(1]}$ - 53:3 | 48:2 |
| people $_{321}$ - 12:5 | 15:18, | plans ${ }_{[5]}$-44:18, | poorest ${ }_{11}-33: 22$ |
| 12:17, 12:19, | personal $[3]-9: 8$, | 44:24, 53:7, 55:3, | population ${ }_{[11}$ - |
| 14:24, 18:24, | 14:19, 21 | 55:6 | 43:14 |
| 19:23, 22:17, | personally ${ }^{[2]}$ | plate ${ }_{[2]}-15: 8$, | portion ${ }_{[2]}-9: 1$ |
| 24:13, 28:10, | 22:21, 77:22 | 16:14 | 28:4 |
| 28:18, 28:23, | Persons ${ }_{[3]}$ - 14:6, | plates $_{[1]}-74: 7$ | position $_{11}-71: 16$ |
| 29:3, 31:11, | 19:7, 74:11 | play ${ }_{[3]}$ - $41: 3$, | positive ${ }_{55}-52: 25$, |
| 36:19, 39:6, | PFM ${ }_{[2]}$ - 93:16, | 91:19, 94:7 | 76:10, 89:7 |
| 40:24, 41:9, 44:2, | 96:20 | $\mathrm{rs}_{11}-41$ | 89:18, 95:9 |
| 64:12, 64:13, | phony ${ }_{(11}$ - $39: 6$ | playing ${ }_{[2]}$ - 18:13, | possibility ${ }_{[2]}$ - |



renders $_{[1]}-59: 19$ renewable $_{[1]}$ 69:23
renewed $_{[1]}-64: 23$
Reno ${ }_{[1]}-41: 14$
rent $_{[1]}-62: 18$
repercussions ${ }_{[1]}$ 46:23
reply $_{[1]}-45: 21$
REPORTER ${ }_{[1]}$ 1:18
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76:18
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Reverend $_{[1]}-36: 9$
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RICHARD $_{[4]}-1: 5$, 2:2, 5:15, 5:22 rides $_{[1]}-27: 14$
riding $_{[1]}-71: 6$
RIORDAN $_{[2]}-5: 19$,
29:18
Riordan ${ }_{[2]}$ - 29:17, 29:19
rise ${ }_{[3]}-34: 22$, 44:6, 68:19
risk ${ }_{[2]}-46: 24$, 48:10
roadways ${ }_{[2]}$ -
47:10, 47:13
robberies ${ }_{[2]}$ -
16:10, 34:25
robbers $_{[1]}-17: 21$ 16:9, 30:13, 36:22, 37:17
robotics ${ }_{[1]}-69: 22$
Rockaway $_{[1]}$ - 10:4
roll $_{[1]}-6: 11$
Roll $_{[1]}-6: 13$
Rolston ${ }_{[2]}-74: 15$,
74:16
ROLSTON ${ }_{[2]}$ -
5:18, 74:16
Roman $_{[1]}-45: 8$
roof $_{[1]}-64: 16$
room $_{[3]}-23: 12$, 24:10, 24:13
Roosevelt ${ }_{[3]}$ 47:23, 48:7, 69:14
Rose $_{[1]}-8: 8$
ROSE $_{[1]}-4: 8$ ruin ${ }_{[2]}-36: 22$, 37:17
ruins $_{[1]}-76: 6$ run $_{[3]}-21: 18$, 34:13, 94:10 running ${ }_{[2]}-52: 4$, 78:11
Ryder $_{[3]}-12: 12$, 20:12, 25:5
RYDER ${ }_{[4]}-5: 9$, 11:12, 18:4, 25:7

| $\mathbf{S}$ |
| :--- |
| sad $_{[1]}-43: 7$ |
| sadly $_{[1]}-31: 20$ |
| safe $_{[2]}-13: 4$, |
| $91: 20$ |
| safety $_{[4]}-30: 7$, |
| $43: 9,47: 15,47: 17$ |
| Sakiewicz $_{[1]}-19: 6$ |
| salaries $_{[2]}-56: 12$, |


| 68:10 | science $^{[1]}$ - $63: 15$ | September ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 39:12, 39:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| salary ${ }_{[2]}-62: 17$ | Scout $_{[1]}-41: 12$ | 48:3, 62:13 | SIARKOWICZ ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| 78:22 | Sea ${ }_{[1]}-42: 12$ | Sergeant ${ }_{[3]}-9: 21$, | 5:7, 19:4, 20:1 |
| sale ${ }_{[3]}-49: 19$ | second ${ }_{[4]}-71: 11$, | 13:20, 13:24 | Siarkowicz ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 92:17, 98 | 81:4, 81:5, 99:2 | SERGEANT ${ }_{[4]}$ | 5, 18:2 |
| sand $_{[1]}-22: 9$ | Second ${ }_{[1]}-27: 9$ | 5:6, 5:8, 9:22, | side $_{[1]}-24: 14$ |
| sands ${ }_{[1]}-41: 22$ | seconded ${ }_{[2]}$ | 13:22 | sideways ${ }_{[1]}-92: 8$ |
| Sands ${ }_{[10]}-32: 5$, | 85:20, 86:21 | Sergeant-at-Arms | SIELA ${ }_{[1]}-2: 18$ |
| 33:20, 42:16, | secretary ${ }_{[1]}-62: 11$ | ${ }^{\text {[3] }}$ - 9:21, 13:20, | Siela ${ }_{[2]}-6: 6,6: 19$ |
| 43:6, 51:20, 67:3, | Section ${ }_{[1]}-86: 14$ | 13:24 | $\boldsymbol{s i g n}_{[3]}-45: 7$, |
| 79:8, 80:5, 81:22 | security $_{[1]}-69: 23$ | serious ${ }_{[4]}-25: 10$, | 58:24, 61:9 |
| Sanford ${ }_{[1]}-39: 16$ | seductive $^{[1]}$ - 76:3 | 41:10, 54:23, | Signify ${ }_{[2]}-85: 25$, |
| Sanford-Brown ${ }_{[1]}$ | see $_{[16]}-28: 7$ | 56:10 | 99:12 |
| - 39:16 |  | serve $_{[1]}-78:$ | Silberman $_{[1]}$ |
| save $_{[13]}-11: 18$ | 35:16, 53:15 | serves ${ }_{[1]}-48: 6$ | 63:22 |
| 12:4, 30:14, 87:4, | 57:17, 70:16 | service $_{[4]}-71: 9$ | SILBERMAN |
| 87:13, 87:21 | 72:17, 73:5, | $13,72: 6,97: 8$ | 5:24, 63:23 |
| 90:17, 90:24 | 73:14, 75:13 | serving $_{[3]}-14: 12$, | silent ${ }_{[2]}-24: 10$, |
| 91:12, 91:15, | 75:19, 80:2 | 18:11, 49:7 | 75:10 |
| 91:22, 92:6, 98:23 | 25, 96:16 | set ${ }_{[1]}-101: 14$ | Silva ${ }_{[2]}-32: 18$, |
| saved $_{[1]}-93: 10$ | 98:24 | seven ${ }_{[3]}$ - 17:20, | 32:20 |
| saving ${ }_{[1]}-97: 2$ | seeing $_{[1]}-18: 14$ | 48:21, 77:4 | SILVA ${ }_{[2]}-5: 20$, |
| savings ${ }_{[5]}-87: 15$ | seekin | several ${ }_{[2]}-41: 9$, | 32:19 |
| 87:17, 92:22 | seem $_{[1]}-41: 2$ | 45:1 | similar ${ }_{[2]}-44: 14$, |
| 97:8, 98:4 | seemingly ${ }_{[1]}-30: 2$ | sextortion ${ }_{[1]}$ | 97:5 |
| saw $\left.^{2}\right]$ - 41:14, | sell ${ }_{[1]}-32$ | 8: | simple $_{[1]}-42: 19$ |
| 82:12 | send $_{[1]}-30: 1$ | sexualized $^{[1]}$ | simultaneously ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| SCHAEFER ${ }_{[1]}$ | sending ${ }_{[1]}-67: 9$ | 75:10 | - 92:13 |
| 3:23 | Senior ${ }_{[1]}-36: 9$ | ${ }^{[3]}-24: 7$ | $\mathbf{S i n}_{[2]}-42: 22$ |
| Schaefer $_{[1]}-7: 23$ | $\text { senior }_{[1]}-74: 9$ | 24:20, 26:12 | 44:16 |
| scholarships ${ }_{[2]}-$ | $\text { seniors }_{[1]}-82: 15$ | shared $_{[1]}-21: 10$ | singing ${ }_{[1]}-73:$ |
| 82:14, 82:18 | sense ${ }_{[11]}-30: 19$, | ifts ${ }_{[1]}-41: 1$ | single ${ }_{[1]}-38: 19$ |
| School ${ }_{[3]}-30: 24$, | 1.6, 55.3, 55:4 | ock ${ }_{[1]}-24:$ | sirens $_{[1]}-15: 19$ |
| $46: 18,46: 19$ | 56:2, 56:3, 78:3, | ocking ${ }_{[1]}-35: 2$ | sister's $_{[1]}-41: 1$ |
| $\mathbf{s c h o o l}_{[9]}-21$ |  | $-7$ | sit $_{[2]}-94: 23,98: 20$ |
| 39:12, 41:14, | sent ${ }_{[3]}-24: 11$, | 44:14, 57:17, 58:2 | 44:7, 45:20, 49:13 |
| 64:14, 77:5, 78:12 | 57:18, 57:20 | shows ${ }_{[2]}-18: 20$, | situation ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { schools }_{[4]}-28: 16, \\ 39: 14,40: 14 \end{gathered}$ | separately ${ }_{[1]}-$ | 76:18 | $15: 16,59: 22,61: 8$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & 78: 22,90: 18, \\ & 91: 13,91: 16, \\ & 95: 19 \\ & \text { sixth }_{[1]}-44: 13 \\ & \text { Sixty }_{[1]}-33: 25 \\ & \text { skidded }_{[1]}-22: 9 \\ & \text { skills }_{[1]}-17: 18 \\ & \text { skip }_{[1]}-80: 24 \\ & \text { skyrocket }_{[1]}- \\ & 31: 13 \\ & \text { slash }_{[1]}-56: 12 \\ & \text { slice }_{[1]}-44: 4 \\ & \text { slightly }_{[1]}-76: 2 \\ & \text { slights }_{[1]]}-75: 8 \\ & \text { slip }_{[2]}-9: 13,9: 15 \\ & \text { Sloan }_{[2]}-37: 21, \\ & 37: 22 \end{aligned}$ <br> Sloan-Kettering ${ }_{[2]}$ $-37: 21,37: 22$ $\text { slot }_{[1]}-34: 11$ $\text { slots }_{[1]}-34: 7$ $\text { Slots }_{[1]}-34: 12$ $\text { slowing }_{[1]}-44: 12$ $\text { Small }_{[1]}-70: 3$ $\text { small }_{[4]}-33: 20,$ $40: 21,44: 4,82: 3$ <br> smashing ${ }_{[1]}-$ 16:22 <br> smile $_{[1]}-21: 24$ <br> smoke $_{[1]}-74: 22$ <br> soaring ${ }_{(1)}-62: 20$ <br> soccer $_{[3]}-43: 4$, 79:9, 79:15 <br> social ${ }_{[2]}-46: 22$, 53:18 <br> soft ${ }_{[2]}-21: 24$, 92:21 <br> softening $_{[1]}-91: 9$ <br> SOLAGES ${ }_{[3]}-$ 2:21, 6:24, 93:8 | ```Solages [2] - 6:23, 93:7 sold}\mp@subsup{}{[1]}{-32:13 solicit [1] - 93:18 solo [1] - 22:24 Solomito [i] - 22:22 solution [1] - 33:14 solves [1] - 59:25 someone [[3] 23:22, 25:14, 34:5 Something's [1] - 82:9 Sometimes [2] - 12:5, 75:12 sometimes [2] - 12:6, 97:9 somewhat [1] - 91:5 \mp@subsup{son}{[1]}{-73:11} songs [i] - 72:18 soon [2] - 16:17, 24:5 sophomore [1] - 64:4 sorry (1] - 27:16 sound [[1] -80:22 source [1] - 49:12 South [2] - 10:24, 81:25 space [1] - 44:21 speaker [i] - 28:5 speakers [5] - 51:2, 53:17, 66:11, 67:24, 68:6 speaking [4] - 29:20, 34:3, 34:15, 55:15 special [2] - 21:8, 68:18 SPECIAL [1] - 5:11 specifically [[] -``` |  | ```states [3] - 56:25, 63:16, 68:24 States [2] - 32:6, 68:25 stating [1] - 45:14 statistics [[] - 66:21 status [1] - 81:24 stay[3] - 32:7, 67:19, 82:22 stayed [1] - 75:10 staying}\mp@subsup{}{[1]}{-71:14 stays}\mp@subsup{}{[3]}{-41:5, 42:23, 66:13 steel [[] - 41:23 stenographic [1] - 101:12 stepping[2] - 25:15, 77:21 Steve [1] -74:16 STEVEN [1] - 5:18 Steven [1] - 74:14 still [0] - 17:13, 17:22, 22:7, 60:19, 75:22, 78:23 stolen [[1] - 49:3 stone (1] - 77:21 stood [1] - 79:17 Stop [1] -71:9 stop [5] - 18:16, 34:22, 48:5, 71:17, 80:20 store [1] -16:8 stores [[] -16:11 stories [4] - 72:14, 76:5, 76:7, 76:14 story [5] - 26:11, 26:12, 48:15, 48:16, 73:23 \mp@subsup{strain [2] - 51:4,}{}{\prime}``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 94:11, } 97: 8 \\ & \text { subject }_{[1]}-81: 17 \\ & \text { submitted }_{[1]}-9: 12 \\ & \text { substantially }_{[1]}- \\ & 86: 16 \\ & \text { successful }_{[1]}- \\ & 23: 4 \\ & \text { suction }_{[1]}-10: 18 \\ & \text { suctioned }_{[1]}- \\ & 10: 16 \\ & \text { suddenly }_{[2]}-56: 4, \\ & 56: 6 \\ & \text { sub }_{[1]}-5: 22 \\ & \text { suffering }_{[1]}-14: 14 \\ & \text { Suffolk }_{[5]}-16: 4, \\ & 16: 14,17: 8, \\ & 17: 10,69: 25 \\ & \text { summarize } \\ & 57: 12 \\ & \text { summer }_{[1]}-65: 11 \\ & \text { sunglasses }_{[1]}- \\ & 21: 17 \\ & \text { sunset }_{[1]}-62: 23 \\ & \text { Suozzi }_{[1]}-44: 20 \\ & \text { support }_{[1]]}-11: 20, \\ & 13: 14,47: 7, \\ & 54: 16,57: 10, \\ & 57: 14,58: 3, \\ & 62: 15,63: 19, \\ & 70: 14,78: 16 \\ & \text { supporting }_{[2]}- \\ & 57: 10,78: 17 \\ & \text { supports } \\ & {[1]-68: 6} \\ & \text { suppressing }_{[1]}- \\ & 47: 3 \\ & \text { surfer }_{[1]}-21: 20 \\ & \text { surrounding }_{[2]-}- \\ & 47: 5,47: 20 \\ & \text { survive }_{[2]}-22: 18, \\ & 24: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Susan }_{[2]}-38: 12, \\ & 62: 5 \\ & \text { SUSAN }_{[1]}-5: 19 \\ & \text { suspicious }_{[3]}- \\ & 14: 23,15: 13, \\ & \text { 18:15 } \\ & \text { sustain }_{[1]}-49: 12 \\ & \text { Suzanne }_{[2]}-38: 11, \\ & 62: 7 \\ & \text { sworn }_{[2]}-26: 5, \\ & 30: 9 \\ & \text { synergies }_{[2]}- \\ & \text { 92:15, 92:21 } \\ & \text { system }_{[4]}-29: 3, \\ & 68: 22,77: 10, \\ & 77: 14 \\ & \text { systems }_{[1]}-70: 14 \\ & \hline \quad \text { T } \\ & \hline \text { table }_{[1]}-9: 15 \\ & \text { tables }_{[1]}-94: 12 \\ & \text { TAKEN }_{[1]}-1: 18 \\ & \text { takeover }_{[1]}-16: 8 \\ & \text { tall }_{[2]}-44: 10,53: 9 \\ & \text { task }_{[1]}-22: 16 \\ & \text { taste }_{[1]}-48: 14 \\ & \text { tax }_{[2]}-96: 3,96: 5 \\ & \text { taxable }_{[1]}-96: 7 \\ & \text { taxed }_{[1]}-33: 12 \\ & \text { taxes }_{[1]}-71: 11 \\ & \text { Taylor }_{[1]}-55: 23 \\ & \text { Taylor's }_{[2]}-55: 22, \\ & 56: 10 \\ & \text { teach }_{[1]}-64: 12 \\ & \text { teacher }_{[1]}-77: 4 \\ & \text { teaching }_{[1]}-64: 19 \\ & \text { Team }_{[1]}-39: 3 \\ & \text { team }_{[3]}-21: 15, \\ & 25: 23,90: 2 \end{aligned},$ | ```technology (11) 70:4 teen [1] - 73:24 temporary [1] - 66:19 ten [2] - 68:23, 76:8 tension_[1] -52:23 term}\mp@subsup{}{[5]}{-32:11, 51:14, 76:16, 88:13, 88:23 Terrace [1] - 38:16 terrible [3] - 52:20, 52:21, 55:17 terrific [[] -44:21 test [1] - 48:9 theft [2] - 30:13, 49:2 themselves [i] - 73:22 theoretically (i] - 91:21 there'II [1] - 60:15 thereby (1] - 86:20 thereto [1] - 86:19 they've [1] - 64:18 thinking[2] - 23:18, 54:25 THOMAS [3] - 3:20, 5:23,66:5 Thomas [5] - 7:20, 66:4, 66:6, 67:22, 72:3 thoughts [1] - 52:10 thousands [1] - 34:6 threat [1] - 36:11 threatened [1] - 43:13 three [8] - 26:17, 27:13, 40:13,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |





