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PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
I'm going to call this meeting of the Nassau County legislature to order and ask Legislator Drucker to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Whereupon, the Pledge of
Allegiance is said by all.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you very much. Mike, could you please call the roll?

CLERK PULITZER: Thank you,
Presiding Officer.
Deputy Presiding Officer Howard
Kopel?
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Alternate Deputy
Presiding Officer Denise Ford?
LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Siela Bynoe?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Carrie
A. Solages?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.


CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Debra Mule?

LEGISLATOR MULE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator C.
William Gaylor, III?
LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator John Giuffre?
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Mazi
Pilip?
LEGISLATOR PILIP: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Delia DeRiggi-Whitton?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
CLERK PULITZER:
Legislator James Kennedy?
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you.
Legislator Thomas McKevitt?
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura
Schaefer?
LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Here.


CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John
Ferretti?
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Arnold
Drucker?

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Rose
Marie Walker?
LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Joshua Lafazan?

LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Here.

CLERK PULITZER: Legislator
Giangregorio?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CLERK PULITZER: Minority Leader
Kevan Abrahams?
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Presiding Officer
Richard Nicolello?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Thank you very much.

At the beginning of our meeting, as we always do, we have presentations to our Top Cops for the month of May. We also have a Point of Personal Privilege. Then we'll go into public comment. The casino will be considered later this afternoon. It's been scheduled to be considered at 4:00. So if some of you who are here to make a public comment, you may do so at this point, of course. But if you would like to wait until the casino gets called later on in the day, you may do so as well. But if you are going to make public comment, we just ask that you fill out a slip which is up here to your left at the front of the room and then submit that and your public comment will be called in turn.

So to start things off with the Top Cops, I'm very privileged to introduce the PBA Financial Secretary, James Shanahan, for the purposes of a
presentation.

And while they're coming up, I just
want to introduce our Nassau County Comptroller, Elaine Phillips, who has joined with us for this presentation. Welcome, Elaine.

MR. SHANAHAN: Good afternoon. Thank
you for having us here today to honor these heroes standing behind me on April 13th, 2023, Eighth Precinct officers Anthony Lomonaco, Anthony Morante, Brian Lee and Christopher Rogers responded to a call for a four year old who fell at a bounce house and wasn't breathing.

Officer Morante was first on scene and located the unresponsive child. Officers Morante and Lomonaco moved the child to the vestibule and checked for a pulse. The child did not have a pulse and they started CPR. Officers Lee and Rogers then arrived on scene. Officer Lee applied the AED while Officers Lomonaco and Rogers continued CPR. Nassau County Police

Ambulance and Wantagh Levittown Volunteer
ambulance cars arrived on scene. While continuing CPR, the aided was brought to Police Ambulance 2368, where police, medics Cammaratta and Watson applied ALS intervention. The child did begin breathing prior to arriving at Nassau County Medical Center. The child was diagnosed with cardio fibroma and one of the symptoms is sudden mortality. The child was transferred to Cohen's Children's Hospital and recently underwent successful surgery at a Boston Hospital.

Due to everyone's quick response, good CPR, calm under pressure, they saved this child's life. The Nassau County PBA is proud to announce police officers Anthony Lomonaco, Anthony Morante, Brian Lee and Christopher Rogers, in addition to police medics Christopher Cammaratta and Krista Watson as Legislative Top Cops for May of 2023.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. SHANAHAN: Police Commissioner

Ryder.
COMMISSIONER RYDER: Good afternoon.
I've been standing in front of you for the last six years and almost 40 years of doing this type of work. And I've seen some things that would turn your gut in a minute. I watched that video as it played through and I watched that poor child on the floor and his mom screaming on the side. It brings tears to your eyes. During that $C P R$ that was being performed, an outsider said, "Why don't you just stop"? Meaning the child was gone. And my officer leaned up and says, "Don't tell me to stop". And he went back doing the CPR. That is the reason this young man is alive today.

I stand here before you all the time, and I thank you. I'm going to do it again. Thank you for the training. Thank you for the equipment. And thank you for the greatest Police Department in the country. Because of the work that they do, that young man and his family is

```
    intact today because of it.
    And again, it's an honor to sit here
    in front of them and be their
    representation. I really do thank you
    again.
```

        (Whereupon, applause.)
        OFFICER ROGERS: I just want to
    start off by saying thank you to each and
every one of you for honoring us here
today. We're extremely grateful to be
here.
Listen, this is one of these calls
that you don't want to get and you don't
know how you're going to react until you
get there. And I am so grateful and we
are so grateful that we were able to come
together as a team between the Police
Department and the Police Medics that
gave us the outcome to have Shane here
with us today. Without everybody around
me, we wouldn't have been able to get it
done.

So I just want to say thank you. We're greatly honored. Thank you for
taking the time out today to recognize us. I want to say thank you to the commissioner for being here. Thank you for the PBA and thank you for the department and the people of Nassau County for the opportunity to put on this uniform and do this wonderful job every day. Thank you very much.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Ferretti.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you,
Presiding Officer. When you read what happened here, it's something that hits close to home to so many of us up here who have young children. And, you know, you have block parties, you have family parties, you have birthday parties, and you see them playing on these bounce houses and you think to yourself, oh, my God, they're all jumping together and God forbid something happens. It's really just so dangerous. But what we're so fortunate for is that we have fine
officers and medics like you standing before us who, like always, answer the call and saved this young boy's life. And

I can't imagine what his parents were going through when this happened. But again, fortunately, when they're asking for help, you answer the call. You save this young boy's life and changed all of the lives of this family's forever. So thank you for what you do. Thank you to Commissioner Ryder for your continued leadership. God bless you and stay safe. Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause).
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Pilip.
LEGISLATOR PILIP: Thank you,
Presiding Officer. I also would like to join my colleague and really thank you. That's amazing. You were able to really save this child's life. I mean, four year old boy. As a mother, oh, my God. I
cannot even believe. I don't know. I
cannot even imagine what the family went
through. But you guys came. You stayed focused. You put your emotion on the side and you were there until the child started to breathe. You guys are the real hero and you're thanking us. We should thank you. You make us proud. Thank you so much.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you very much, Presiding Officer. You know, once again, the exemplary training of our Nassau County police officers and police medics from the ambulance corps was on full display on this fateful day. When this child was unresponsive and not breathing, these officers didn't panic or betray their training and experience.

Their instincts kicked in and they calmly went about their jobs with such
levelheadedness and confidence and ultimately saved this child's life. There is nothing more heroic than saving a
life. And I thank you and all of the residents of Nassau County thank you as well. We are so grateful, Commissioner Ryder and his staff, for protecting all of us by providing this training that is really the best in the United States. So thank you, gentlemen and ladies.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Just to add one thought of my own, which is that performing CPR under an emergency situation is truly an extraordinary act. But what I find so awe inspiring is that you were doing it on a child that small. I mean, I can't even imagine the skill and the grace under pressure that you demonstrated by doing what you did and saving the child. Because, I mean, you have to be concerned. They're so small, they're so fragile that what you're doing to restore their breathing could hurt the child also. So the fact that you were able to do that and bring the child back is truly extraordinary. So we want to all congratulate you and as Legislator Pilip
said you should not be thanking us. We really are very proud of you and we thank all of you for what you've done. And I would like to invite you up in a couple of moments for the purposes of a citation.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Now we
have the Detectives Association and
Michael Maloney, the Sergeant at Arms.
SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good afternoon.
I'd like to thank the Legislature for today for honoring these two detectives fine work.

On January 19th, 2023, Detective
Ryan Keane and Detective Joe Calamita of the Third Squad responded to the scene of a male shot three times while he was exiting a residence in Hempstead. The victim ran two blocks before collapsing. The detectives quickly set up two scenes and began a video canvass that spanned several blocks. During an extensive video canvass, they were able to quickly
discover video of an unknown male throwing the firearm into hedges a block away from the shooting in which the gun was recovered. They then discovered video from a local deli near the scene that showed the victim and the unknown male that shot him in a physical altercation as well as a vehicle the suspect was utilizing. Detectives were quickly able to ascertain the suspect's identity and were able to successfully convince the victim to cooperate and press charges after initially refusing and stating that he would handle it himself. After several days of attempting to locate the suspect, the suspect was arrested and charged with attempted murder in the second degree, assault first, criminal possession of a loaded firearm. The defendant has been remanded and is still in jail with no bail.

Due to this incident, the victim did suffer multiple lifelong permanent injuries and has a long road to recovery.

But we would like to acknowledge these two detectives' fine work in regards to finding the suspect and apprehending him. (Whereupon, applause.)

COMMISSIONER RYDER: So maybe a couple of those young cops will want to be detectives real soon. I can use you.

Again, the great work by our
Detective Division. You just heard the story of going through that video with surveillance and everything else. Bad guys do bad things. The minute we take those bad guys out of the environment, the environment is safer. Simple as that. And they did it. They got it, removed them off the streets as quick as they can. And he's been remanded in jail. So that's where he belongs as long as he's choosing to take a gun and take it to somebody else. So, again, great work by our detectives. Thank you. (Whereupon, applause.)

OFFICER CALAMITA: I'd like to thank the Legislature for recognizing our work.

It's not just the two of us. It's a total team effort with everybody in the Nassau County Police Department. Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Giuffre.
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Third Precinct.
That's my hometown precinct from Stewart
Manor. We have the the southern tier of your command. We appreciate all that you do to keep our communities safe. Great detective work. Good work, also, persuading the victim not to take care of it himself. That does not end well. So. Excellent job, gentlemen. It's a true reflection of the training you've had in police work, but and also in the social aspect of dealing with the victim to get them to come forward, to get them to press charges. So I congratulate you. God bless you. Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Minority Leader Abrahams.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

I just want to commend you, detectives, again. Fighting crime is never going to be an easy job. And obviously dealing with some of the victims in these cases sometimes can be a challenge as well. But $I$ want to commend you because you made the streets of Hempstead, Nassau County, safer once again. I know you do this because you've been sworn to do it, but we do see the compassion and the amount of how much you care in regards to this matter as well. So that does not fall on deaf ears. And I think that's one of the most prominent issues, is that you can't learn compassion, you can't learn the responsibility to care. And you obviously demonstrated that today.

So the people of Village of
Hempstead, as well as the surrounding areas, including Nassau County, thank you for your efforts. God bless each and


```
every one of you. And please continue to
keep our streets safe. I think that's the
most utmost thing that I think every
single resident would agree to. Thank
you.
```

    PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All
    right. We would like to invite you to
come up for the presentation of the
citation. I'd also like to invite the
Comptroller to join us on the dais.
(Whereupon, Citations are
presented.)
********

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. Legislator Arnie Drucker has a Point of Personal Privilege.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you very much, Presiding Officer. Every year, the Feinstein Institute for Medical Research and Northwell Health Center for Workforce Readiness conducts a STEM competition for ninth and 10th graders, challenging them on how social determinants such as healthcare access and food insecurities could have a significant effect on climate change. The winners of this competition are called Medical Marvels, and this year, five students from Plainview-Old Bethpage, JFK High School were winners.

These students' project -- and I don't know about all of you, but I always struggle to understand any of the students' projects, whether it's this competition or the Regeneron or others. I guess they're a lot smarter than $I$ am. But their project was a multifaceted

```
approach to convert algae to produce
biofuel and then reformulate corporate
social responsibility with a scoring
index to hold schools accountable for
their climate change efforts. Really? Now
how's that for a mouthful?
    So it gives me great pleasure to
recognize and honor this year's Medical
Marvels winners Abigail Rajan, Chloe NG,
Zahara Naqvi, Hayley Brodzansky and
Sophie Hu. I also want to acknowledge
these ladies' Lead resource research
coordinator Raymond Tesar and Joyce
Barry, the Science Chairperson. Why don't
you folks come up?
            (Whereupon, applause.)
    LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Any of you
would like to say a few words? This is
your opportunity.
    MS. HU: We'd like to thank you for
acknowledging us and giving our school
district the resources and all of these
wonderful teachers and just having them
available so we can do our research and
```


learn how climate change has affected our community. Thank you so much for all the support.
(Whereupon, applause.)
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: How about the research coordinator? Do you want to say a few words?

MR. TESAR: Again, thank you for inviting these young women. Right. That's we've got five women standing up here. I also want to stress that these are only ninth and 10th graders. So keep their names in mind, because $I$ think you'll be seeing them again in a couple of years when they're my seniors, when they do enter those Regeneron science competitions and stuff. Great kids. I have a great administration at Plainview and you know, thank you for all the support that you allow me to do what I love to do and that's mentor these kids in the sciences. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: What excites me is that these young ladies, I think
they're going to change the world as we have a lot of leaders like you all over our county and some of our great schools throughout. And it's exciting for us to see the next generation. And not just the next generation, people who are actually going to make the world a better place. And I commend you on that. So thank you, again, I'm going to step outside with you and we'll take a couple of pictures. (Whereupon, applause.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We have public comment portion of our meeting now. And the first speaker is Allison O'Brien Silva.

MS. SILVA: My name is Allison O'Brien Silva. I live in Manhasset now, but I grew up in East Meadow. I reiterate my vehement opposition to the sands proposal, and I urge you to vote in the best interest of your constituents, not what's best for the bottom line of a company peddling an addictive vice.

I wrote and called every single one of you thank you to the handful who responded, but shame on the rest, who didn't have the courtesy to even send a form reply. I wonder if you gave sands the same treatment.

I have many questions still unanswered. Why won't you call for a referendum and let the voters decide?

Can you explain the decades long relationship Bruce and Brad Blakeman have had with the Adelson family and how that
has impacted this process?
Why does Sands keep shouting that
the casino is only $10 \%$ of the footprint?
70 to $80 \%$ of their revenue comes from the casino floors, no matter the size and relation to the rest of the property, the 20 to 30\% they earn from restaurants and hotels is wholly in service to driving patrons to gamble.

Have you done any independent work pressure testing the numbers that Sands fed into their models to come up with the outrageous revenue projection of $\$ 2$ billion a year? Have you grasped the implications for Sands to hit \$2 billion in gambling revenue would require tremendous sacrifice from your own constituents.

Two billion in GGR implies at least 20 to 25,000 patrons a day, 365 days a year, plus all the employees needed to take the money off their hands. Our already overburdened infrastructure cannot handle that volume.

Two billion GR implies that Sands will spend millions inundating us all and our children with predatory marketing, luring the weakest among us with promises of a big win and extra perks for loyalty.

Two billion GR implies crime rates will go up. Nassau County is now one of the safest in the nation. Well, for now it is. But that won't be the case if you drop a casino at its heart.

Two billion GR implies that Sands will pull the lion's share from your own voters' gambling losses. Sands is a virulent virus, and we are all its hosts.

Your vote in favor amounts to you allowing Sands to unleash their toxic germs on your voters and vacuum up their cash, their home values, their safety, their quality of life and their health.

From that $\$ 2$ billion, the County is set to get a paltry $\$ 54$ million a year, a measly $1.3 \%$ of the budget. You haven't bothered to promise tax breaks because you know that studies show for every \$1 a
casino brings into a community, it costs its hosts $\$ 3$ in social costs.

Maybe you haven't dug deep into Sands assumptions because you're clouded by their community benefits pitches. Can you provide a list of the organizations Sands is funding and how much they are each getting? I guess these groups are also clouded by a short term hit to their bottom lines in exchange for long term negative impacts their communities will be barraged with for perpetuity.

And let's call a spade a spade. Community benefits is a 21 st century way to legitimize payoffs and to shut you all up.

Sands has been lobbying hard in New York for at least a decade to get it to open up. You know in your heart that a casino is not right for us. You know we can do better. You all weren't the ones who let them in, but you can get them out. Do the right thing for your constituents and vote no today.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Heidi Sanft.

MS. SANFT: Good afternoon. My name is Heidi Sanft. I'm the vice president of the Norstrand Garden Civic Association; Uniondale's is oldest civic association.

I have been the vice president for 15 years. I am against the building of a casino in Uniondale and would like to make public the letter that I sent to County Executive Blakeman.
"Dear County Executive,
My parents purchased a house located on the border of Hempstead and Uniondale in 1967 when $I$ was a little girl. The house is now mine. I am writing to let you know that $I$ am against the building of a casino at the Nassau Hub in Uniondale for the following three reasons:

Number one: Traffic.
Hempstead Turnpike is currently a mess with too much traffic. With Amazon
and FedEx trucks parking their vehicles in lanes where they don't belong to make deliveries, drivers face a perilous situation on a daily basis. A casino would make this situation worse, with motorcoaches transporting tourists taking over the roads.

Number two: Proximity to schools.
A casino does not belong next to a university. Casinos bring issues with drinking and prostitution. This is not what students need in order to get a good start on having productive lives. It is an unnecessary temptation and distraction.

Number three, gambling.
Is this the best we can do in Nassau
County? In Uniondale, there is an issue
of homeless people and people struggling with mental illness and drug addiction roaming around aimlessly. Do we need another potential problem in our neighborhood? The answer is no.

Why not make the Nassau Hub an
innovation district with a research and development center and a focus on health care and new technologies? Housing for young people who currently are moving away because they cannot afford to live on Long Island could be incorporated into this plan. This type of growth would lift Long Island up.

This is Long Island, not Las Vegas. No casino. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you. Joseph Nabet.
(Whereupon, no response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Claudia Long.
MS. LONG: Good morning. My name's Claudia Long. I live in East Meadow, and I've lived here growing up in Bellmore all my life, with the exception of a few years.

My purpose is compassionate for what is good and what is right for Nassau County. Sands New York Integrated resort, Money speaks. They have power. Who knows
what's under the table that has been accomplished. I don't know that. Even some of you do not know it all.

A lot of outsiders are here that come for this. Why? We are in Nassau County and they're promoting this for us. Why? We are the people we elected you. To represent and to protect and enhance our community.

Let's face it, casinos will have food on the premises. Those that come in on buses, for them to go out on Hempstead Turnpike and find a restaurant or other shops, they wouldn't do it because they don't have a way.

Who's kidding who about increasing businesses for shops and restaurants? I'm a citizen of this county and you are to represent the people of Nassau County. So many people are in the fog about this. They still don't know about this going on. Do you realize that? Why weren't we informed first before you went about the whole process of this proposal? We
$\qquad$ $38=$
elected you to guard and protect our villages and towns of Nassau County.

This is the third time. Two times it's been voted down. This is the third time. We do not want a casino in our neighborhood. The Bible says money is the root of all evil. And card games with money, you know. Watch the Westerns or the law programs on TV? You see that it leads to all kinds of crimes, all kinds of situations.

Gambling is addiction, alcoholism,
all of these vices that have been
mentioned. It leads to broken families.
In Deuteronomy, it says money always
leads for more; it never stops. So you have the power (buzzer). Money has power.

So on behalf of Nassau County and
for our neighborhood, I ask that we keep our villages, towns, schools,
universities, businesses and all those who live near the casino. We say no to the casino.

Thank you for letting me speak. God
bless you all.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you. Deirdre Benoit.

MS. BENOIT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for letting me speak. My name is Deirdre Benoit. I've been a resident of Nassau County for over 50 years, and 27 of those years I've lived in East Meadow. I love my home town. I love Nassau County. I am begging you, please think about the people that you are putting this horrible abomination into our homes, into our lives. There's just $I$ can't reiterate everything everyone said because it would just be, I think, sadly, falling on deaf ears.

Please think about us. Think about your constituents. Think about the people that you are representing and care about us. This is not something we want.

And what $I$ don't understand is of these 300 meetings that were supposedly held with the Sands, how none of us as residents were allowed to be involved.

Thank you again for your time. And please, please think about the way you're going to vote today. It's imperative for those of us that care. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Thank you.

Alice Maroney.
MS. MARONEY: Good afternoon, Legislature. I really don't have anything unique to add to these arguments against the construction of this casino. I just am opposed to it in principle for every reason stated above and before: Noise pollution, traffic, air pollution, water pollution, people pollution, trafficking, drugs, crime. All of it. It's not something I would look forward to. I think these impacts are real and the consequences are pretty dire.

You know, anecdotal information is just anecdotal information. It's not much respected when statistics can be recited and charts and graphs pointed to. But
just anecdotally.
I worked with a woman for several years whose husband slid into addiction. It wasn't a precipitate fall, but it was a sliding into addiction. And the complete degradation was after she worked an overnight shift in a hospital, she went in the morning to go to the ATM to get money to go grocery shopping and. She could not withdraw. The message was that count closed. So she entered the bank to say there must be some mistake. I have automatic deposit. No, your account is closed. Why? Because the money was drawn out early this morning, 5:30 a.m., by your husband. She had $\$ 0.48$ remaining in her account. She had already lost her retirement savings, their savings, her savings, his savings because he had been making trips to Atlantic City. And the final grace was that he had cashed her boys bonds that they received when they were infants.

So that's only one story, but it's
enough. Please say no to the casino. I
know it looks glitzy. It's a really
pretty toy, but in the words of a beloved president and paraphrasing somebody else, I'm sure you can put lipstick on a pig, but it's still a pig. Say no to the casino. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Jacqueline Ghosh.
MS. GHOSH: Hello. I live in Garden
City. I'm a relatively new resident here. I've spoken to you before about how I grew up in a small town in Nevada, in the town of Winnemucca, which has an unusually high murder suicide rate. Three of these situations where families that I knew personally, one of them lived around the corner from me. This was a common occurrence in Winnemucca.

My step dad had a gambling problem, which was probably a major contributing factor to the dissolution of his marriage with my mom. Once, when my mom was out of town, I was 16 and I had to have a friend
drive me to the casino and find my step dad. He was playing video poker. He was far too inebriated to drive. Cocktail waitresses bring you free drinks when you're gambling. I'm not sure if you guys know that, but that's a common casino practice. My friend and I got him out of there, and I drove his truck home. This was such a common occurrence among myself and my classmates that we didn't even think it was weird. I'd forgotten about this story until we started talking about this casino. And I was like, Oh, you know what? This situation happened with my step dad. These are the childhood memories that you're going to be gifting to the children of Nassau County if this casino comes here.

Other things that are common
knowledge and experiences in Nevada:
Playing Keno. The hardest game to statistically win when you're waiting for your food order to come and losing money that way. My parents let us choose the
numbers. Everyone's parents did. So we started gambling, experiencing gambling a very young age. It wasn't my money, of course.

We know there are no indicators of how to leave a casino. Just clearly marked emergency exits that are for emergencies. There are no indicators of where the exits are when you're walking around. The decor is designed to repeat itself so that you can't find your way out by landmarks. The ugly carpet is to keep your eyes up. Looking at the slot machines, there are no clocks. Cell phone service is usually terrible because you spend money when you're in the building. If you leave and can get out easily, then you're not spending money gambling because you're not at a slot machine.

The food at casino restaurants is usually far cheaper than anywhere else. They can operate at a loss because the money is made on gambling. They don't have to mark up the food. So the
restaurants and other businesses in the area that are competing with the casino are not going to have business drawn into them. It's going to take it away. They're not going to benefit. They're not driving business out. They're keeping it there because once again, you makes money off of you when you're in the building. Once you leave, you're a lost customer.

I'm honestly not sure if anything that $I$ say matters. It doesn't feel that most of you are listening to us. I know many of you are in a lame duck session and we have no way to hold you accountable for your vote. You shouldn't order for the table when you're about to leave the restaurant.

I haven't spoken with a single resident who wants this casino. We don't feel represented. I ask that this huge decision, a 99 year lease, be put to the will of the voters. We want a referendum. We should be deciding on this as a community. At the very least, it should
be put on until after the November election and we can see if the voters want to elect people who will represent their interests and bring in a casino or not. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Susan Kaye.

MS. KAYE: I'm against this. I have spoken many times on what to do with the land. We can came up with Hofstra's Medical School and Northwell and build Allied Health School center.

There are two dental assistance programs, all of Long Island that are legit BOCES and Stonybrook.

There is no EEG program at all in New York State. If you want to go for EEG, you have to go out of state.

Ultrasound is another program. There are very few schools that are legit. Sanford-brown was a good example. Students spent thousands and thousands of dollars on a ultrasound program that was not accredited. They could not take the
exam. Northwell would not hire them.
We have too many of these phony baloney allied health schools that are opening up. Not too many people know this. The state has become a watchdog. Too many phony allied health programs, computer and business schools, and they shut them down. A good example was Katharine Gibbs. Every single school was shut down. Another school, Drake Institute.

How about, we can have some holistic things called EFT, which is emotional freedom tapping in California. They use this in the school system. It has stopped bullying and calms the student down. I don't know if any of you are familiar. It's you have these meridian points all over your body here, here, here (indicating), and it calms the body down. Why not have a program in that? Reiki.

Instead, we're going to build this casino. This is a waste of money and time. How many people is this casino
going to hire over the age of 50? None. How many people are going to really make $\$ 75,000$ ? None. You could become a dental hygienist, in two year and make \$90,000 a year.

Any of you legislators who are not running for re-election, you vote yes on this thing, just remember in November you will be voted out. Mayor Blakeman (sic) is up for re-election and two more years, he will be voted out. This is the most disgusting thing.

On top of a medical center where people are going through chemo. Many people who go through chemo are very sensitive to smells, walking out of the place and smelling liquor and the smell of cheap, smelly weed and body odor. This is the most disgusting thing I have ever heard of in my life.

Vote no on this project.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Richard Clolery.
MR. CLOLERY: I am curious, members
of the Legislature, have you addressed anybody about this new project? I mean, don't you want better ways to keep young people here on the island? I mean, like better housing, maybe better schools or like you said, maybe innovation research center in the hub instead of a casino?

I mean, don't get me wrong, I like to gamble occasionally myself, but not at the expense of the community, not against them.

Um, look, we have other priorities right now. I mean, we're dealing with empty storefronts. Empty. Goodness. Lots of things. For example, on the corner of Hempstead and New Bridge, there was supposed to be a Popeye's a few years ago, but they never happened because of the whole COVID thing. What are we doing to encourage a local businesses, you know, or any businesses at all?

You know, another thing, I know you guys are ignoring me, but $I$ seriously suggest that we increase funding for
buses. I know that there are people here who drive. I respect that. And especially since I bike a lot.

Look, we have other priorities. Other concerns. Let me ask members of the Legislature and I want an answer. What can you think of anything better than a casino? I mean, we already have one somewhere in Queens. Why do we need another one here in Long Island? Are we that desperate for funds? Anyone?
(Whereupon, interruption by
the public.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: This is not question and answer session. You have an opportunity to provide your remarks, as you always do, and we listen attentively.

Richard, you can go ahead.
MR. CLOLERY: Anyway, getting to what $I$ was saying.

Look, I'm just saying we need to increase funding because right now the current funding levels at the NICE Bus
company only maintains the status quo. Thankfully, they're not coming back at the last meeting $I$ went to. But at the same time, it's not doing anything to increase coverage. We're dealing with gas prices going up eventually. We're dealing with global warming.

To be honest, I think we could do better. I mean, we should do better.

That's all I have to say.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Thank you. Kevin McKenna.

MR. MCKENNA: Kevin McKenna. I'm broadcasting this live on Nassau County NY News for Nassau County residents because you all are purposely not making the Nassau County residents aware of these hearings. It's amazing that you had this public comment start at 1:00 today because yesterday when you probably listened to the "Say no to the casino" rally, you probably heard people telling people that they could come at 4:00 for public comment. So what you all did is
you moved this up at 1:00 and you did this so you can get public comment out of the way, which is unbelievable.

I get a lot of information sent to me and I was made aware of the fact that a resident called up and spoke to Legislator Thomas McKevitt recently and asked Thomas McKevitt why he hasn't, why the legislator hasn't sent out glossy mailers telling the residents about this casino project and about these hearings. And unfortunately, Mr. McKevitt didn't have an answer to that question. The residents said, well, you're able to send out glossy mailers about nonsense. Why can't you send out a mailer to the residents about this important hearing today? Right.

Could you imagine, Chairman Nicolello, if you really did your job and you made the Public Relations Department of Nassau County or the Nassau County Legislature, imagine if you had them really make the residents aware of this
project, could you imagine how many people would be in this room today at 1:00?

Now, you know, there's an old
saying, follow the money, right? Again, I get a lot of information sent to me. And I just found out. That our Nassau County executive, Bruce Blakeman, is he here? I don't think he's here. He has a brother. He has a brother. And I have notes in my phone. I only got this about a half an hour ago, so $I$ can't read the notes and my memory's going because I'm getting a little older. But he has a brother and his brother's name, I believe, is

Bradley. And, Legislative Drucker, I would really appreciate it if you'd pay attention to me while $I$ speak. And I thank my legislator Lafazan for finally showing up to a meeting. Well, county Executive Bruce Blakeman has a brother named Bradley who had a very, very, very cushy relationship with a high executive. And I have the name of my phone. I'm
going to post it up. Very cushy
relationship with a Las Vegas Sands
Casino executive. Okay. So we're going to follow the money and we're going to find out why you all have been told by the County Executive that you must approve this project. Make no mistake about it. You are all going to be held accountable this coming November (buzzer). Make no mistake about it.

Thank you very much.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Just
so that the record is clear, we have
scheduled a regular meeting of the
Legislature today. But understanding that
the casino, the lease transfer, rather, would be a long, complicated session, we we scheduled that part of it for 4:00. So this public comment portion is really for the for the initial portion of the legislature. So what we're going to do is get our regular business out of the way so that after this afternoon we can focus exclusively on the lease transfer.

By the way, I noticed some speakers probably for later today, Matthew Arisich and Nadia, are you okay not speaking until later?
MR. ARISICH: That's correct. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. All right. Thank you. That's the last public comment.
*******

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: So
we're going to go to the Emergency Item. Only we're only calling one of the two emergency items. I'll call the emergency 185 of 2023. It's an ordinance ordinance authorizing the County Executive on behalf of the County of Nassau to execute an agreement or covenants and restrictions and consent to assignment regarding property situated in the Town of Hempstead County of Nassau. We need a motion to establish an emergency.

So moved by Legislator Walker seconded by Legislator Ford. Any discussion before we vote on the emergency or do you want to have the presentation?

Why don't we have the presentation and then we decide then on the emergency. Gentlemen, come on up.

MR. K. WALSH: Good afternoon, legislators. Kevin Walsh from the Office of Real Estate Service. I'm joined by Nick Sarandis from the County Attorney's

office. This is a request for a consent to an assignment of lease for 400 Oak Street in Uniondale. The reason we're before you on this today is it's a 99 year ground lease that, basically, the lease precludes an assignment to a not for profit entity. The entity that's going to be purchasing this is going to be a not for profit. However, they have agreed, as they will be a building owner and a commercial area, that they will be signing a covenant restriction that will be run with the land that they will, despite the fact that they could qualify for not for profit status exemption, they're going to pay taxes on this, which has been a commercial building on the tax rolls for many years.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. And there's a gentleman in the first row. Did you want to say anything?

MR. K. WALSH: Peter Curry is the attorney for the purchaser of the property.
$\qquad$ $58=$

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Did you have anything to add, Mr. Curry, or no?

MR. CURRY: Well, I should add that they will not be occupying the entire premises. And one of the reasons why they are not seeking to have the property taken off the tax rolls, they will be occupying approximately 20 to $25,000 f t$ out of a 70 to 75,000 square foot building. The remainder will be leased out to all agencies of the state, but that would not automatically qualify them to come off the tax rolls. And the reason why they are doing this and acquiring this building is that the rent that they will receive from these other tenants will offset a significant portion of their leasehold operating costs.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: You mentioned a not for profit. What is the mission of the not for profit?

MR. CURRY: The mission of the not for profit. It's a health organization,
so it's the FQHC that operates in Nassau County. I'm actually on the board of the Interfaith Nutrition Network. We are partnering with the $F Q H C$. It provides a variety of health related services to the citizens of Nassau County. And the reason why we're here on an emergency is that every every moment that they don't close, that means they don't get to renovate the building. They will end up being a
holdover on their existing lease, which will cost them a significant amount of money. That's why we bought this as an emergency.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Thank you. Appreciate that.
Any questions?
Note for the record, that Legislator
McKevitt is recusing, that he's not
taking any part in any debate or discussion and will not be voting on this item. He's left the room.
(Whereupon, Legislator
McKevitt previously left the

Chambers.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Any questions for the speakers?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you very much.

MR. K. WALSH: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any
discussion among the legislators?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: If not, all in favor of establishing the emergency, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the present of the Nassau
County Legislature respond in
favor with, "Aye".)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any opposed.
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: The

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { emergency is established. And now as to } \\
& \text { the Item, again, I'll call it, 185-2023, } \\
& \text { it's an ordinance authorizing the county } \\
& \text { Executive on behalf of the County of } \\
& \text { Nassau to execute an agreement of } \\
& \text { covenant and restrictions and consent to } \\
& \text { assignment regarding property situated in } \\
& \text { the Town of Hempstead. I think we've } \\
& \text { covered the substance of this. We need a } \\
& \text { motion and second. }
\end{aligned}
$$

    Motion by Legislator Ford, second by
    Legislator Walker. Any further debate or
discussion on this item?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Nope.
All in favor signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the present of the Nassau
County Legislature respond in
favor with, "Aye".)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any
opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Carries unanimously.
Invite Legislator McKevitt back in.
(Whereupon, Legislator
McKevitt renters the Chambers.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. We're going to the Regular Calendar. Item 1 will not be called until later. That is the lease transfer.

We have a Consent Calendar. These are all Items that went through Committees a couple of weeks ago. It's been agreed by the Majority and Minority that no further debate or discussion is needed on these items at this point:

Item 2 Ordinance 28;
Item 3, Ordinance 29;
Item 4; Ordinance 30;
Item 5, Ordinance 31;
Item 6, Ordinance 32;
Item 8, Ordinance 109;
Item 9, Resolution 110;
Item 10, Resolution 111;
Item 11, Resolution 112;
Item 12, Resolution 113;
Item 13, Resolution 114;
Item 14, Resolution 115;
Item 15, Resolution 116;
Item 16, Resolution 117

We need a motion to put those before us. Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel makes that motion and it's seconded by Minority Leader Abrahams. Any discussion on the consent items?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Hearing none. All in favor of the consent items signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the present of the Nassau
County Legislature respond in
favor with, "Aye".)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Item
7, Ordinance 33. An ordinance to amend Ordinance 76 of 2000 relating to the establishment of various fees of the Department of Public Works. Motion by Legislator Gaylor seconded by Legislator

Lafazan.
Before we go to the presentation, just like to note for the record that Minority Leader Abrahams has left the room. He's not participating in any debate or discussion. He is recusing himself on this item.
(Whereupon, Minority Leader
Abrahams leaves the Chambers.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
MR. DEBAUN: Good afternoon. David DeBaun, County Deputy County Attorney.

So the item before you is an ordinance for the amendment regarding fees charged by the Department of Public Works for the review of applications under 239 F of the General Municipal Law. 239 F reviews involve proposed structures or buildings that have frontage on or access to proposed county road or right of way for means of access to curb cuts.

The curb current fee structure for that is three quarters of $1 \%$ on the cost of the construction that starts to become

```
dis associated with the cost to the
County as you get into larger and larger
projects, obviously; wherein, the cost to
the County itself are going to be
relatively fixed up to a particular
point.
```

    So the concept here is to reduce the
    fee paid by an applicant from three
quarters of $1 \%$ to one quarter of $1 \%$ for
projects in excess of $\$ 500$ Million in
construction costs.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Nope.
Thank you for the presentation.
Any debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Hearing none. All in favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members
present of the Nassau County
Legislature respond in favor with, "Aye".)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: That carries unanimously.

I am now going to put the legislature into recess. We'll be back at 4:00 to consider the lease transfer. And we'll see you then. Thank you.
(Whereupon, Nassau County
Legislature recess, 1:40-4:06
p.m.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All
right. So what we're going to do is we're going to go into this item. We're going to get a presentation from Las Vegas Sands, followed by the Administration, somewhat abbreviated from last time around, then we'll go to public comment.

But before that, with respect to the area in front of the rail here, members of the public are not to stand, kneel, sit in that area specifically. Mr. McKenna, I'm referring to you.
(Whereupon, Mr. McKenna gives resistance and is removed from the Chambers.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right, here we go.

Item 1, an ordinance making certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act and authorizing the County Executive of the County of Nassau to execute an agreement of lease by and between the county of


Nassau as landlord and LVS NY Holdco Co LLC as tenant in connection with the leasing of certain premises located in Uniondale, Town of Hempstead County of New York State of New York, said property known as Section 44, Block F, lots 351, 411, 412 and 415 on the Land and Tax Map of the County of Nassau, and authorizing the County Executive to execute a lease and all pertinent documents in connection therewith to consummate the lease.

I would just like to state for the record that it is hereby determined, pursuant to the provisions of the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act, that the execution of the proposed lease of the subject property has been determined to be a Type 1 Action pursuant to SEQRA and based on the environmental assessment form and the supporting documents has been determined not to have a significant effect on the environment and no further review is required for the reasons set forth in the attached
determination of nonsignificance.
Motion by Legislator Ferretti, seconded by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel. Before we get started with the presentations, I just ask that for the record that the minutes of the Rules Committee meeting with respect to the lease transfer be incorporated by reference into this meeting.
(Whereupon, minutes from
Rules Committee, May 8, 2022,
Clerk Item 156-2023, pages
11-335 are hereby referenced.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: And I will now offer things over now to our chief Deputy County Executive, Arthur Walsh.

ChIEF DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALSH: Good afternoon, Presiding Officer.

Presiding Officer Nicolello, members of the Legislature, on behalf of the Administration, thank you for allowing us to make this presentation this afternoon. We'd like to begin with by respectfully

```
acknowledging those who came out for both
support and non support for this
transaction, be it labor, business,
education, as well as the residents of
Nassau County, a place we call home.
    Before we turn this over to counsel
to talk about, briefly, the terms of the
transaction and Sands to talk about their
vision, I would like to make a few brief
remarks.
    We understand that some of the
information you will hear today is
repetitive to the May 8, 2023
presentation before Rules wherein the
entire Legislative Body was present and
participated. However, as this item
represents significant, noteworthy, once
in a generation opportunity for the
County, please, we ask you for your
indulgence.
    Without repeating the
constitutionality legal entitlements of
siting a gaming facility in Nassau
County, which was overwhelmingly
supported by New York State and the Nassau County residents, a planned world class entertainment resort provides the potential for paving the way --
(Whereupon, interruption
from public.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Hold
on a second. We're going to let each speaker have their say so that everyone can hear them. So if you disagree with anything, we understand that; you'll have an opportunity to speak. But not only just for the presentations, but for the public comment. I would ask you to have the courtesy respect for each person speaking that you allow them to finish their comments. Thank you.

CHIEF DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALSH: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Nicolello.

A transformative new funding source for the County's infrastructure, as well as shoring up the County's own financial status in addition to serving as a stimulus for dynamic new integrated
resort site in our boundaries.
    We have undisputedly provided
construction jobs, permanent careers,
economic growth and other opportunities
not realized by an empty shell in a
parking lot.

That being said, the gravamen of the non-support appears to be the notion that crime, traffic, and social ills will grow in our county as a result of this proposed project.

As one of the County Executive's highest priorities is keeping Nassau County residents safe, today's presentation will include industry and local experts on the three mentioned negatives of the project. I hope our presentation will dispel and address these concerns to the satisfaction of the Legislature and the community as a whole.

As I have said before, this agreement today was the product of months of extensive negotiations where our primary concern was to achieve a result

that best served the residents of Nassau County.

We all love Nassau County. Niche rating for Nassau County in New York as:
- Best county for families
- Best county for young professionals.
- Healthiest county
- Best county for retirees

We all live and work here because we feel safe.

Nassau County Police, which will celebrate their 100th anniversary in 2025, is the best. Over the last few years, the US News and World Report has named Nassau County the safest county in the United States. The report compared 3000 communities across the nation analyzing crime rates, fatal vehicular accidents, and the amount of money a community spends on health and emergency services and they found that Nassau ranked on the top.

We submit Nassau County will remain

a safe place with or without Las Vegas Sands Integrated Resort. Although some will find dated studies to the contrary, these studies bear no relationship to our county. Atlantic City is not Nassau County.

Reliable Data supports that crime has declined in casino communities after development and crime per capita crime rates declined as well. The reason is there is more security presence and additional police patrols.

More in-depth studies and recent analysis support the conclusion that the probability of a resident being a victim of a crime has not been shown to increase as a result of the presence of a casino.

Next, people bring up traffic.
Today, we will hear from Las Vegas Sands traffic expert Las Vegas Sands will be required as part of the SEQRA process and land use application before the Town of Hempstead Town Board to provide traffic impact analysis prepared by traffic

```

engineers addressing the existing and
future transportation parking impacts
associated with the proposed project.
Sands will be required to mitigate
traffic impacts in their proposed project
on the affected roads and highways to
maintain the same level of service
safety, operational mobility
post-development as existed prior to the
development.
The traffic analysis will study the
potential traffic impacts of the
development and the surrounding
transportation system. The purpose of the
study is to identify and document the
impacts as required by county and state
law and recommend mitigation of those
impacts. The traffic study will fully
assess and document the impacts of the
proposed development, will identify
mitigating measures and minimize these
impacts subject to various approvals. The
traffic impact will help to ensure safe
and reasonable traffic conditions once

```

```

the development is complete. This
protects the community's substantial
investment in the street system.
Next are the complaints of social
impact. For most adults, casino gambling
is just another form of entertainment.
People are willing to spend money to
visit gaming facilities and play games
there, go to restaurants, shows or shop.
This is similar to spending money,
attending a sporting event, playing golf
or shopping at a mall.
However, for a small percentage of
people, gambling can become a problem.
Researchers show in the United States
between 0.06% and 1.4% of the population
suffer from this addiction. If you take
New York with a population of 19 million,
you were talking already about 116 to
272,000 individuals with gambling
disorders living in New York State.
Certainly, some of these negative impacts
attributed to gambling are already
present in the state.

```


That being said, the New York State Gaming Commission and the New York State Office of Addiction Services and Support, known as Oasis and the New York Council of Problem Gaming, have formed what is called the Responsible Gaming Partnership to address problem gambling in New York State. This includes ensuring that gaming venues comply with all rules and regulations and undertake proper outreach measures reevaluating self-exclusion policies across the state to ensure consistency and considering the best ways to advance New York's long term commitment to prevent and treat disordered gambling.

The Long Island Problem Gambling Resource Center, which is known as PGRC, is a program of the New York State Council of Problem Gambling, dedicated to addressing the issues of problem gaming within New York State. The vision of the PGRC is a positive transformation of lives harmed by problem gambling. This
```

vision is a transformation, including
individuals with gaming disorders as well
as families and communities. Actually
located in the same location in
Uniondale, this agency provides
increasing public awareness to problem
gambling connecting clients with
treatment, recovery and support systems,
working with the gaming industry to
promote responsible gambling. In
addition, this spring, they launched a
new phone number. It's (516)226-8342, in
addition to the statewide hotline, which
is 1-833-Heretohelp.
These resources have been very
beneficial with the growth of mobile
sports wagering among our college
students, many who bet on their smart
phones while on campus. In January of
this year, when New York marked the first
anniversary of legal mobile sports,
gaming announced that mobile sports
gaming generated far and away more
revenue than any other state.

```

Governor Hochul announced in January
this year that the total amount of wagering, which is called handle, reached \(\$ 16.2\) billion, more than 3.8 million unique player accounts were created when they launched with over 1.2 billion transactions made, which was over \(\$ 1.5\) billion in total bets being placed. The \$16.2 billion in yearly handle, the amount that was wagered, set an industry benchmark, as did the \(\$ 1.36\) billion in total gross gaming revenue, and the State received \(\$ 709\) Million in tax revenue, which was more than twice the amount that was estimated when the program was coming out.

Unlike mobile apps with no brick and mortar presence, the Gaming Commission RFA process will require the Las Vegas Sands to submit an extensive problem gambling prevention measure program, which will include on site resources that will be available to those affected by gambling related problems. A description
```

of signs, alerts and other information
available at the facility to identify
resources available and processes that
they will engage in to facilitate
assistance treatment of those with
gambling problems, and planned prevention
programs targeted towards vulnerable
population together with an extensive
employee training on detection.
Next is another issue which some
non-supporters bring up is a property
value decrease in casino community. The
basis of this conclusion is flawed and
borne by outdated research. Studies
citing property declines are from the
1990s analyzing data from the early
casino communities in Atlantic City,
Nevada and early riverboats. The National
Association of Realtors report from 2013
projected a 4.6 decline in property
values in Springfield, MA from the
addition of casino. In reality, the
reverse happened and Springfield had
enjoyed a property appreciation of 21.4

```

versus the surrounding area, which was only 2.3, which was a 14 point spread.

However, more reliable and more recent studies show the negative impacts have not been realized. The opposite occurs. A host community has experienced valuation growth of over \(21 \%\), significantly greater than the regional average. In fact, since the opening in 2017 of the upstate casinos, resort communities aided in market wide real estate appreciation and property value growth exceeded surrounding neighborhoods as much as \(7.1 \%\) versus \(4 \%\), casino versus non casino.

For some living approximate to a gaming resort could mean a job opportunity that cuts commuting time considerably and raising income and the ability to find entertainment nearby may be appealing.

Based on the foregoing, we believe this plan should move forward as the interest of the Nassau County residents
will be protected by various measures that will be discussed today, coupled with the positive economic impacts that can be released.

In closing, I want to thank the Legislative Body and their staff for devoting your time and considering this proposal. We have had the opportunity to meet with both caucuses on several occasions and hope during the process leading up to now will provide more insight into the project.

We again express appreciation to countless labor and business groups and community organizations whose collaboration and partnership has been and will be instrumental in turning a financially viable gaming integrated resort facility into a reality and strengthening the physical path of our county future.

We hope we can move forward together in this fashion and continue to make Nassau County the greatest and safest
place to live, work, play and raise a family. Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Mr. Levoff, I'll turn it over to you now.

MR. LEVOFF: Presiding Officer Nicolello, Legislators, members of the public, thank you for again having us here today to present to you and answer any questions you might have.

As you know, I'm Michael Levoff, Sands, Senior Vice President of Strategy and Public Affairs. In response to the questions and comments received during the Rules Committee hearing on May 8th, we have prepared a short presentation on the subjects the Legislature had raised open questions on, including our Community Benefits Program, Responsible Gaming Services, security and public safety protocols, and the pending environmental review process.

We ask that the clerk please include
the presentation and comments at the Sands made on May 8th as part of the record for today's proceeding.
(Whereupon, please refer to
Rules Committee, May 8, 2023,
pages 11-190.)
MR. LEVOFF: First, I'd like to ask
former New York Governor David Paterson to make some opening remarks on Sand's vision for the Nassau Coliseum site.

GOVERNOR PATERSON: Presiding
Officer Nicolello and to all the members of the Legislature, thank you for allowing me to speak at the Rules Committee hearing on May 8th and for some reason to come back here on the 22 nd and speak again.

But it is an honor for me, who grew up in this neighborhood and enjoyed all of the great attributes of Long Island, that I was part of a group that insisted to the Las Vegas Sands Corporation that as they look for a location in New York that they consider Long Island, which has
long been left out of too many issues and and not given the opportunity to
demonstrate what a great part of this state Long Island really is.

So it is in that regard that I come here today to say that \(I\) think that the economic development, the opportunity for jobs and careers, the opportunity for young people to stay in Long Island and not have the brain drain that we've had here for years, going to out of state with a great Long Island education, but making money and paying taxes to other venues because the opportunities didn't exist here. The unions that operate right here in Long Island always have their events in New York City because they haven't had the hotels or the meeting spaces large enough to do it, that would change if we have the opportunity to receive this type of license.

To what Mr. Walsh was talking about before about having a resort -- because the Sands doesn't build casinos, it
builds integrated resorts -- to have a resort put in the area. Just for example, since 2010, one of our competitors for the license, Genting, has operated a video lottery terminal in the aqueduct area right in Queens. The property values there are up. The crime rate was up slightly, but only 10\% of what the crime rate was up for the rest of the city during those years and the pandemic. It's also true in Yonkers, who has a video lottery terminal and is bidding for a contract here, that they have also had increased property values. And what we want to do in addition to that is to create, as we have with our associations with Nassau Community College and Long Island University, opportunities for majors in the hospitality fields and other areas that won't just bring jobs to the young people of Long Island, it will bring full careers. They wouldn't have to leave everything they would want to have as young adults and then as raising

families would be right here in Long Island.

Now, there was a very interesting comment that was made yesterday by Mayor Pereira of Mineola, it was really rather eloquent when he talked about all the great achievements and all the great values that we have in Long Island. And I was really quite moved by how well he stated what a great place this has been. But the only thing I'd like to add to what he said is our great opportunity, as we've had at Mitchel Field, to be for Long Island, to become really the cradle of aeronautics, was opposed by the citizens there at that particular time. They thought that the planes would be too loud. They thought that the area would drive people away from where the airports were, and they also thought that it would not be safe for the environment. But those people who supported the project went ahead. And now everybody is proud to call Long Island the Cradle of Aviation.

And so, as you would notice all through history, there have been men and women who took first steps down new roads armed with their own nothing but their own vision. The great innovators of this country stood alone, often against the societies that they were trying to convince. But they fought. And they
suffered. And they paid. And what they brought us here in this country, the greatest country in the world, was freedom, freedom to make these decisions. And that's why the fact that there are people here on both sides of the issue today is great.

So we'll hear from all views as to whether this is a good idea. I think it's a great idea because it's going to create opportunities and economic development in this region that has never been seen before.

And with that, I rest my case.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. LEVOFF: As Governor Paterson
alluded to, the integrated resort that Sands seeks to develop is not just a hotel with a casino and restaurants. It is a multifaceted entertainment and hospitality destination that is designed to have offerings for guests of all interests and backgrounds.

To deliver this at scale, Sands is prepared to invest between 4 and 5 billion dollars. As your own Office of Legislative Budget Review has corroborated, the economic development impact for Long Island is extraordinary. Over 8500 jobs in construction alone will be created. And again, this project will be completely union built. Over 6000 careers will be launched in operations. The vast majority of these jobs will also likely be union. We estimate that the average entry level employee will earn a base wage of at least \(\$ 70,000\) per year in addition to a generous benefits package.

This investment will reap immediate results from Nassau County and the Town

of Hempstead. Over \(\$ 120\) million will be generated in taxes annually for both the County and the Town, with the majority \$70 million being guaranteed by Sands through the lease here before you today.

Beyond funding much needed programs, a guaranteed revenue stream of this magnitude from a credit worthy, publicly traded \(\$ 50\) Billion company such as Sands, will instantaneously increase the County's and Town's borrowing capacity to the tune of hundreds of millions of dollars, if not billions, providing more budget certainty to better weather any financial downturn and hold the line on property taxes.

All of this investment will in turn generate tens of billions of dollars in direct, indirect and induced economic activity for the area turning Sands, New York into an economic engine of broader economic development region wide.

Now, I'd like to invite my colleague, Ron Reese, Sands Senior Vice


President of Global Communications and Corporate Affairs, to provide important updates to our commitment on community benefits, including the amount, timing and implementation of the program.

MR. REESE: Thank you, Michael.
Presiding Officer, members of the Legislature, thanks for allowing us to come back. I'll be brief.

I have three points I'd like to raise. The last time we were here, I talked about the amount of community outreach that we've done over the course of the last year and is reflected here today from members of union, business, community, local chambers of commerce, as well as nonprofit groups and and people from across the community. That work continues. And in fact, just last week, as I was back in Las Vegas, we received a letter from the Uniondale Fire District to our chairman and CEO, Rob Goldstein, pledging their desire to work with us as this process unfolds.

Also last week, the Long Island Association, whom all of you are familiar with, pledged their support to this project. And what \(I\) read was an overwhelming 28 to 2 vote for those members of the board that voted last week.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. REESE: This is the opening step in the process of eventually pursuing a gaming license through the state of New York. And our work will not stop. It has begun and it will continue to engage with the community.

And as we were here two weeks ago, the second thing I would like to, as Michael alluded to, is give an update on the Community Benefits Agreement and importantly, to address two issues.

One, we were asked about the timing of payments and the amount of payments. And as Michael and I sat here two weeks ago, we made certain pledges. And I'm happy to say today that via a side letter
and in with support of the County
Executive and with feedback and input from members of the Majority and the Minority sides of the Legislature that today through this side letter that we will be increasing the community benefits by \(\$ 25\) million; \(\$ 10\) million of that will go to Uniondale, \(\$ 10\) million to projects in East Meadow and \(\$ 5\) million for the village of Hempstead.

Additionally, as was asked of us at the last hearing, the timing, the Community Benefits Agreement doesn't kick in until the beginning of the opening. Half of this, \(\$ 12.5\) million, will be available as we start construction and will be apportioned for those communities as well.

We were also busy over the course of the last couple of weeks. In addition to these amounts, we just over the course of late last week have also inked an agreement with the Long Island Community Foundation to serve as administrator of

these funds. They will help us. This is a very large process that will take place to solicit grants and applications from community organizations and causes. Long Island Community Foundation is, I don't have to tell you, is a valued community partner who will help us manage that process and make sure that the most needy and important causes in this community get a chance to participate in this funding. And that's all I have this afternoon.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. LEVOFF: Thank you, Ron.
The importance we place on being a contributing corporate citizen cannot be overstated, as evidenced here today. Now, to highlight the importance we also place on ensuring that we conduct gaming in a responsible manner with resources and safeguards for our customers.

Maria-Christina Annaloro, Sands Director of Responsible Gaming, will present. MS. ANNALORO: Good afternoon,

members of the Legislature. My name is Maria-Christina Annaloro. I'm a team member of Sands for 13 years now, and \(I\) have the privilege of overseeing our Global Responsible Gaming Program. The Responsible Gaming Program is very near and dear to our hearts at sands, where we've been ahead of every jurisdiction we've ever operated in when it comes to our responsible gaming policies. Today, I'll be brief and I'll go over the five pillars of responsible gaming that we use.

We've had a best in class
Responsible Gaming Program globally for many years to reduce the risk that would take place with our guests by making more informed choices. Our program spans over five key pillars. Those pillars are team member training; 24/7 Ambassador assistance; self-limit and self-exclusion programs; prominent signage for resources; and partnerships within the community to either get the word out on
problem gambling, promote responsible gaming efforts or to support research in those areas.

Our responsible gaming training takes place every year, and it's updated by year to reflect the latest science of responsible gaming and disordered gambling. We also couple that with responsible gaming ambassador training. We're the only gaming company on the planet doing this. It's a unique program. It's eight hours of university led training by global experts, local experts and the community that helps people who suffer from problem gambling. We bring in the clinicians, the doctors and even problem gamblers in recovery to speak to our team members.

It's an industry leading world class education with the latest science and understanding of addictions, and we continually evaluate that program year after year, so it changes.

Our 24/7 Ambassador Assistance is
also unique to Sands. Responsible gaming ambassadors respond for the following reasons:
- If guests make statements that they need help or have an issue with their gambling;
- When guests request more information on responsible gaming; or
- If they request information on problem gambling; or
- If they request to enroll in our self-limit or self-exclusion program.

And if guests mention any of the diagnostic materials from the DSM five, a responsible gaming ambassador conversation then takes place. They're able to talk to the patron in a respectful private manner and offer them the resources in the community, talk about what happens when you call the helpline and connect them to the resources they need.

We also have self-limit and self-exclusion programs. Our company
understands that the health of our company, our partnerships in our community relies on the health of our patrons. We want healthy and happy customers on our gaming floor. As a mechanism, we offer self-limit and self-exclusions to players who are no longer able to gamble safely. And once a patron's been enrolled, the process gets closely monitored by our surveillance team and our responsible gaming officer.

We also have prominent signage for resources throughout our casino. We're dedicated to having an entertaining experience free of harm, to make sure that we have signs all throughout our casino, play responsibly brochures at all of our slot machines, table games, poker room cage and in every hotel room. We also prominently highlight the problem gambling help line on all of our materials. And in New York, we will have a physical responsible gaming and addiction resource within our facility.

Research and partnerships is the next pillar and our final pillar. We partner with leading institutions to advance their research on responsible gaming practices. We continue to be the largest contributor to the International Center for Responsible Gaming, which produces independent, peer reviewed, impactful research on the latest science of disordered gambling and effective, responsible gaming practices. Since our inception, we've partnered with the top minds in science. This is not a check the Box Responsible Gaming program. This is research based, evidence driven, responsible gaming. We've partnered with institutions such as Harvard Medical School; the International Gaming Institute at Nevada, Las Vegas; the International Center for Problem Gambling; and the National Council on Problem Gambling.

That's a little bit about our responsible gaming program. And now I'd
like to introduce Dr. Jeffrey Reynolds, the President and CEO of Family and Children's Association, one of Long Island's oldest and largest non profits. Prior to that, he served as executive director of the Long Island Council on Alcoholism and Drug Dependence. And in total, he has more than 30 years worth of behavioral health experience. Thank you so much for letting me speak.
(Whereupon, applause.)
DR. REYNOLDS: Good afternoon. Good to see you, all of you. As you heard from the intro, I'm Dr. Jeffrey Reynolds. I'm the president and CEO of Family and Children's Association. We're a 139 year old organization with our main office within walking distance of the proposed site, but about a dozen locations across Nassau County.

For the purposes of this
conversation, it's important that you
know that we provide mental health services to around 400 kids and
adolescents in any given month. We run two state licensed treatment centers one in Hicksville, one in Hempstead; and then we run three recovery centers for folks who have struggled with addiction and found a pathway out. We run probably four or five other programs designed to address the issue of addiction; obviously, given the scope of the opioid crisis here on Long Island.

I don't want to take too long because I want everyone to be able to speak for as long as they need, including the folks who oppose. And I will tell you, probably not too long ago, I might have been on this side of the room as someone who has spent 30 years working in addiction, any time I hear about the legalization of cannabis, the opening of a casino, the opening of a liquor store -- which nobody seems to mind, by the way -- the opening of a fast food place which has public health consequences, I always understand that
potentially our job becomes a little bit harder. That's happened when it comes to gaming here in New York State. You heard from Mr. Walsh's comments that New York State legalized sports betting two years ago. It's bringing in billions of dollars. It's disproportionately impacting young men. It's disproportionately impacting young men of color. And to date, New York State has not enhanced access to problem gambling services.

So when I first met Ron Reese, and we've now met on a number of occasions, MC and I have met about the problem gambling program in particular, it's not necessarily all in favor. And we had a series of meetings over the course of the past several months in which I asked them really tough questions and talked about the potential fallout in the community, the potential impact on the folks who we've cared for and cared about for more than 139 years. And as time went by, I
came to understand that they were proposing not only a really solid program, but also a partnership to make sure that those folks that do get in trouble, whether it be through gaming, whether it be through the use of alcohol or other substances on site, that there is a resource. In fact, very early on in the conversation, I said, you can't just stick some stickers on slot machines. You've got to make sure there is help on site for the people who need it, and they don't necessarily always raise their hand and say, I need help. And so the Ambassador Training Program that you heard about from MC was the first time I had heard of that. It's an awesome idea, it's an excellent idea and something that we fully support.

I will also say that as we talk
about minors, because \(I\) worry a lot about kids and I know there's been discussion about local universities and the potential impact on kids. Generally,
kids are not going into five star resorts
to gamble. They're doing it online in their dorm rooms and they're doing it already. And there's no license verification when you go in. There aren't a million cameras watching everybody's move. And so \(I\) came into this with a lot of skepticism and reluctance, and I'm coming out of it saying there's an opportunity here for a partnership that could be meaningful.

There's no way to downplay and say people don't drink in casinos. There's no way to say that people don't use drugs in casinos, but they do that in movie theaters. They do that in their cars. They do that in all sorts of other places. This partnership with not only us but the other not for profits in the community gives us an opportunity to interface with those folks to help them get some help.

The casino is not going to bring drugs to Nassau County, let me tell you,
it's here. I've been here dozens of times to talk about the opioid crisis and how it's killing our kids left and right. When you line up Long Island in terms of every area of New York State, we come on top in terms of overdoses. The good news is we're finally turning the corner. The notion that people are going to start using drugs because we brought a resort into Nassau County just doesn't hold weight. I wish the absence of resort meant that there wouldn't be any more drug or alcohol use because quite frankly, alcohol kills more people than opioids do.

I do want to address the issue of trafficking. FCA operates the one and only house for runaway homeless and trafficked kids here in Nassau County. We've seen an uptick in that. Keep in mind, the only casino that exists now is Jake's 58. We've seen an uptick in trafficking, but that has everything to do with addiction and everything to do
with COVID and kids being kicked out of their homes. It doesn't necessarily correlate with a casino. And I will say I've heard more about trafficking in the past two months than I had in 20 years prior. There's a motel about two miles from here, right across from Eisenhower Park that is trafficking central, and nobody's raised a single concern about that. So this is an opportunity for us to right some historic wrongs and begin to get folks into the care and treatment they need.

The last thing \(I\) want to say is really, as a Long Island resident, not necessarily wearing my FCA hat. As a region, we got to stop saying no to everything. I can't get staff to come and work for us because they're moving into more exciting, dynamic areas that are better resourced. I can't get folks to plant their roots here because there's nothing for them to do as young people. And most importantly, we've been in

Hempstead for 139 years, and I think we've done a lot of really good work in Hempstead. We have three offices there and care deeply about the community. As we fight substance use, as we fight illiteracy, as we fight gun violence, the best way to do that is with a job.
(Whereupon, applause.)
DR. REYNOLDS: The fact that we're talking about meaningful jobs here. We all have jobs. There's a lot of folks just a couple of miles away who don't have jobs. And if they do have jobs, it's working at the McDonald's across the street from Hofstra or the gun store or the tattoo shop or doing something else that doesn't enable them to live a healthy positive life here in Nassau County, given what rents costs. So I understand the opposition fully.

As I said, I wasn't fully on board
until \(I\) had a number of meetings with
Sands. I asked really difficult
questions. I did a ton of research. So
did Mr. Walsh. He was right on when he said that about \(1.4 \%\) of the general population deals with problem gambling. The rest of the folks don't necessarily have an issue with this. I'm tired of looking out my window and seeing a big empty parking lot down the block. We can do better than that. And Nassau County is better than that. So I thank you for your time here today.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. LEVOFF: Thank you, MC and Jeff. The safety of our guests, employees and the public at large is our top priority. I'd like to acknowledge Nassau County Police Commissioner Patrick Ryder, who is in attendance today.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. LEVOFF: We continue to consult with Commissioner Ryder and his teams as we develop our security program. Sands Chief Security Officer Brian Nagel will now provide a high level overview of the company's security expertise, structure
and tactics.
MR. NAGEL: Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to share our security strategy with you and speak about our security programs that we have at our integrated resorts globally.

My name is Brian Nagel, and for the past 15 years, I've been responsible for the Security Operations at Sands. Prior to that, I was with the US Secret Service for 25 years. I served in a variety of operational and managerial roles, including the Presidential Protective Division. My last role at the Secret Service was as the Deputy Director, where I oversaw our dual missions of protecting world leaders and investigating criminal investigations. Prior to that, I was the head of the Criminal Investigations Global Field Operations.

I bring that up to let you know that it's this experience that helps guide our security programs at the Sands Integrated Resorts. The Sand security leadership
```

team at our integrated resorts are
seasoned professionals with extensive
backgrounds in law enforcement, the
military, fire and emergency services
community, as well as casino and private
security industries. Because of these
backgrounds, our leadership teams are
accustomed to working with law
enforcement, coordinating their security
programs and coordinating their
operations with law enforcement. It's our
practice to hire security leaders as well
as officers from within the community for
their insight, knowledge and
understanding of the local community.
Sands uses a comprehensive approach in
securing our integrated resorts to
provide a safe and secure environment for
our guests, visitors, vendors, tenants
and team members. We design and establish
a robust security foundation with layers
of security officers supported by
technical systems, along with an
extensive training program and

```
established procedures and policies. Sands will stand up a similarly robust security apparatus at our New York integrated resort. Guest safety and the safety of the public is our top priority. Our security deployment typically consists of several hundred security officers and literally thousands of cameras with the Security Department structured into three main sections security operations, security support services and emergency management. And I'll just speak about those briefly.

Our security operations section is made up of uniformed security officers and emergency medical technicians who are deployed throughout the venue and are responsible for the daily security operations. Every area of the integrated resort has a security observation post a security checkpoint or a roving security patrol from our parking garages to our loading docks to our hotels, to our restaurant and retail areas, to our

> meeting and entertainment spaces and to our casinos. These security officers are deployed to establish a clear and visible security presence among guests and team members to identify potential problems, to address unauthorized guests, and to maintain a safe and secure environment. Our EMTs are staffed 24 hours per day and provide emergency medical services ranging from prompt injury or illness evaluation to life threatening interventions such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation. To supplement this broad net of fixed and roving security posts are additional security layers that include teams of uniformed response officers, plainclothes observation officers, as well as technical security systems. These technical systems consist of an extensive cctv camera network throughout the integrated resort, both inside and The second component of the. outside with advanced software analytics. mere
Security Department is security
support services and consists of teams
and functions that provide additional
support to security operations. This
includes a training section for the
initial periodic and required training of
the officers, special event planning,
project management, technical systems
management, lost and found, recruitment
and hiring and an investigations team and
project analyst who work closely with the
police and sharing information.
Our third component of the Security
Department is Emergency Management and is
responsible for coordinating the planning
around emergency response, crisis
management and business continuity. This
group also oversees the Security
Operations Center, the Emergency
Operations Center, and the Emergency
Medical Services Program.
When describing the Security
```

consistently mentioned law enforcement.
That's because good communication and a
strong relation with the police is
critical. In Las Vegas, I was part of a
group of local, state and federal law
enforcement and fire agencies that met
monthly to share information. Our
security leaders in Asia at our
integrated resorts there have similar
meetings and coordination groups. This is
in addition to the oftentimes daily
sharing of information and coordination
of activities with the police and fire
departments that take place by the
various teams I just described.
Our team looks forward to continuing
the constructive dialogue with the Nassau
County Police Department, as well as
others as we continue our work to stand
up a best in class security department in
New York. I appreciate the opportunity
today to discuss our approach to
security. Thank you.
MR. LEVOFF: Thank you, Brian.

Large scale development requires extensive studying and analysis to ensure that there are no unforeseen community impacts. Terri Elkowitz, Senior Principal at leading environmental services firm VHB, will provide an overview of the work already underway and the work to come.

MS. ELKOWITZ: Good afternoon, Presiding Officer Nicolello and members of the Legislature and thank you, Mr. Levoff.

As Mr. Levoff said, my name is Terri Elkowitz and I'm a Senior Principal at VHB and I am leading VHBs evaluation of the impacts of this proposed integrated resort on behalf of the Sands, and I've been working with the SEQRA process for over 35 years.

As the Presiding Officer said earlier on when we opened this meeting, the Legislature is also taking a secret action today and has reviewed and prepared SEQRA documentation associated with the lease. And that is the action
that you're evaluating today. But that action doesn't authorize any actual physical alteration of this property or any physical development of this site. What this action authorizes from an environmental review perspective is it authorizes site control for our client, the Sands, to be able to make application to the Town of Hempstead for land use approvals. And the Sands will be undertaking under the guidance and under the administration of the Town of Hempstead, a very comprehensive environmental review process that has significant opportunities for the public to participate.

The first step in this process is for Sands to make a land use application, a zoning application, to the Town of Hempstead Town Board, who is presumed to be the lead agency that is going to administer this environmental review process. And when that application and that environmental assessment form is
submitted, that is the trigger for the SEQRA review. And once we submit EAF, we anticipate that the Town Board, as expected lead agency is going to issue a positive declaration. That declaration will require that Sands do an environmental impact statement. And under the laws of the state of New York, once that positive declaration is issued, the Town Board would be required to do formal public scoping. And this is an opportunity for the public to come out and to actually say what they believe needs to be studied in this environmental impact statement. We as consultants to the Sands will prepare a draft scope, which is our suggested outline for the things that we are going to study. I'm going to share some of that with you today. But then the public comes in and the Town Board issues a final scope which ends up being the blueprint that we must follow in preparing that environmental impact statement.

Once we prepare the environmental impact statement, we submit it to the Town Board and only when the Town of Hempstead determines that that document is adequate for public review, it's complete enough for the public to look at, does it get accepted and released to the public.

The public then gets another
opportunity to come to the lead agency, we presume the Town Board, to comment on that environmental impact statement; say what they agree with, what they don't agree with, what they think requires more study. The Town Board then assembles all of those comments, provides them back to us, and makes us go back and prepare a final environmental impact statement that responds to all of the comments that the community and that any involved agency has on our environmental impact statement.

After that, the Town Board again reviews it. It sends it out for public
consideration. Public gets another opportunity to take a look at these documents, and then the Town would ultimately issue a finding statement which will conclude the Town's environmental review. So there are very significant opportunities for public comment on what Sands is proposing and how that project would be altered.

As Mr. Levoff has said, we have
started preparing some studies so that we can ultimately prepare what we know is going to be a very, very comprehensive document. And there's a list of some of the topics that we're studying. And as you've heard before, one of the most significant is traffic. And we have already started assembling existing conditions data on 35 intersections in Uniondale, Hempstead, East Meadow, Garden City, Mineola, Carl Place, and Westbury. And we're also studying along the Meadowbrook State Park Parkway. As I said before, this is what we've
just started to collect. We know that the public will come in. They will scrutinize our scoping document and they will have comments, and we will study the concerns that involved agencies and the public have.

In conclusion, from an environmental review standpoint, this is just the beginning for Sands and the public and the community, and we look forward to working with all of you to make this Sands project a very responsible reality for Nassau County. Thank you very much. (Whereupon, applause.)

MR. LEVOFF: Thank you, Terri.
Presiding Officer Nicolello,
Legislators, again, thank you for your time here today to present to you. On behalf of Las Vegas Sands, we look forward to continuing to work with you in the years ahead to deliver significant benefits for you and your constituents. And we request the approval of the lease agreement here before you today. Thank
you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. MEYER: Good afternoon, Presiding Officer and members of the Legislature. My name is Josh Meyer of West Group Law and I'm outside counsel for Nassau County for the lease negotiations.

At the committee meetings two weeks ago, I provided an in-depth review of the lease provisions, and this afternoon I will just provide some highlights of the proposed lease before you today for approval.

The term of the lease will be 99 years. The effective date will be the date the County Exec executes the lease. The term commencement date, which would be required to occur within 60 days of the effective date, and LVS must close on the assignment and assumption of the existing lease with the existing tenant Nassau Live Center LLC. By entering into this lease, the prior lease will
terminate and the 99 year lease term will run from the term commencement date.

So just a brief summary of some of the economic terms. LVS is required to make substantial upfront payments to the County. The upfront advance rent payment will be in the amount of $\$ 54$ Million; that's non-refundable one time payment and that will occur within two business days of the term commencement date. The tenant's security deposit in the amount of $\$ 5$ million will be made by Las Vegas Sands, and the projected 239 F fees, which are fees paid to the County for any projects on properties that have frontage on County owned roads. And that'll be in the amount of approximately $\$ 8,750,000$.

In addition for the base rent where the license has not been awarded to Las Vegas Sands and which would occur on the term commencement date, it would be \$5 Million. Once the casino is open and in operation and open to the public, it would be $\$ 10$ million. There will also be
a public safety payment in the amount of $\$ 900,000$ if the license is not awarded. And that will occur on the term commencement date as well. Once the casino is open to the public, the public safety payment will increase to \$1.8 million.

There will also be a host county gaming gaming revenue guarantee for the first phase of the casino when it's open to the public in the amount of $\$ 25$ Million. This is the first of its kind provision that we have not seen in any other leases of this nature. Once the second and final phase of the casino is open to the public, the County minimum gaming revenue guarantee will be in the amount of a minimum of $\$ 50$ million.

The Town will also receive a minimum gaming revenue guarantee in the amount of \$10 million upon commencement of the casino operation, and that will increase to $\$ 20$ Million once the final phase of the casino is open to the public. Again,
that's a minimum amount. As you see in the footnote based on an amendment or based on the the Governor's most recent budget, the Town of Hempstead's percentage of gaming tax revenue increased from 5\% to $10 \%$. So it's anticipated when the full build out of the casino is completed, the Town of Hempstead will receive \$65-70 Million in annual revenue.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. MEYER: As you as you heard before, there's also a community benefits payment that will be in addition to the \$25 Million that was mentioned prior to the opening of the casino. Once the casino is open, it will be \$4 Million in payments in community benefits payments and it be $\$ 2$ Million in the event that a license is not awarded to Las Vegas Sands.

It's also anticipated that there will be projected county hotel occupancy tax of four and one half million dollars

```
projected county sales tax of five and
one half dollars Million annually, and
projected county entertainment tax, which
is the $1.50 on any tickets sold for an
event at a venue at the site of at least
half a million dollars.
    So based on those economic terms,
the total amount to be paid to the County
over just the first ten years of this
lease, following the opening of the
casino, will exceed $550 million. That
does not include the $54 million upfront
payment, any PILOT payments, any
community benefits payments or any
entertainment tax, sales tax or hotel and
occupancy tax.
    As a comparison, based on the
current rental payments received on the
existing lease over the entire 49 year
term of that lease, it would not exceed
$310 Million. So it's a comparison of the
entire 49 year term of $310 Million in
just over the first ten years of this
proposed lease. When the casino is open,
it'd be \(\$ 550\) Million.
So there's two scenarios. One if Las Vegas Sands is awarded the license and one if they're not. Under the casino scenario, if they are awarded a license, LVS will construct an integrated resort of over \(3,000,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\) on the site. That casino proposal includes certain minimum required elements, including the world class casino, a luxury hotel with at least 500 rooms and amenities, an entertainment venue containing a minimum of 3600 seats, sufficient parking, retail spaces and restaurants.

Under the non casino scenario, if
Las Vegas Sands is not awarded a license, then they will be required to develop a mixed use complex, including a Ritz-Carlton, Saint Regis or equivalently branded hotel up to 500 residences, an entertainment venue containing a minimum of 3600 seats and any other lawful use subject to the County's prior written consent.

Pursuant to this project and this lease, \(L V S\) is required to enter into a project labor agreement with the Building and Construction Trades Council of Nassau and Suffolk Counties, AFL-CIO and its constituent local unions.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. MEYER: Las Vegas Sands is also required to construct completely at its expense the core and shell of a freestanding police substation on the premises. In addition, Sands is required to reimburse the county up to \(\$ 500,000\) to the cost and expense of the interior fit-out to be done by the County.

As you heard before, there will be a Community Benefits Program in connection with this lease. Las Vegas Sands will undertake the Community Benefits Program designed to ensure that Sands helps address the needs of the impacted surrounding areas. Particular focus will be on communities in need and communities that are traditionally underrepresented
in the workforce. The plan will support, enhance or promote fire departments and districts and ambulance service providers, school districts, libraries and library districts, athletic fields, ball fields and parks and more.

There will be an Advisory Committee. It will consist of representatives selected by Las Vegas Sands, the County Executive, the Majority and Minority caucuses of the Nassau County Legislature, and the Supervisor and Town Board of the Town of Hempstead.

Las Vegas Sands will also be required to provide significant infrastructure improvements completely at their cost. There won't be one penny paid by the County whatsoever in connection with the infrastructure improvements. (Whereupon, applause.)

MR. MEYER: For water and sewer, Las Vegas Sands will be responsible for the costs of all work connected with the project as required by law through the

SEQRA process. For utilities, LVS will be required its sole cost and expense to install, provide and pay for any and all utilities on the site. Las Vegas Sands will be required as sole cost and expense to install, provide and pay for any repairs and maintenance as needed for the proper operation and functioning anywhere on the premises. And as you heard before, Las Vegas Sands must fully implement and fund all traffic improvements described in its traffic study as required through the SEQRA process.

With that, I will be happy to answer any questions you have. What we're going to do instead of questions now, we had a lengthy round of questions from all legislators at the Rules Committee and delayed the public getting to speak. So we have a couple more presentations and then we'd ask all of you to stick around. If we have some questions at the end, we will pose the question at that point, if that's all right.

MR. MEYER: Thank you very much. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. We thank you all for the presentations. We appreciate it.

I'd like to invite up the
Commissioner of Police, Patrick Ryder. COMMISSIONER RYDER: Sir, I'm here for the questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Go
ahead, Legislator McKevitt.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Yes, Commissioner. Obviously, you're very well aware where my house is. You know where I live. And you know very much in close proximity to where Casino is located. And I've had a lot of concerns from people in my area. And again, as far as the Sands is concerned, I've no doubt that they will go and have within the internal site regarding the security of the premises. But I just wanted you to describe to me any conversations -- or you've had meetings with the Sands as well as coordinating the security they have on
the site and what that can do to for you to secure the safety of the people in the surrounding area around the casino property.

COMMISSIONER RYDER: So I had a conversation with Mr. Brian Nagel last week, and I've been speaking to Chris earlier on about the design of this casino and this entire resort area. And we said it all along, when it comes to intelligence led policing, it's understand the environment, you it better. I got a real good understanding as of our meeting last week and again this morning, we met again. There will be full cooperation both between the Sands and the Nassau County Intel Center. Sharing of information about any threats that are coming is important.

Our patrols that are there, the County Executive, as you know, is the first time in over 20 years that we've expanded the police head count to now 2542. When I first got here, we were
about 1900. So we're about 600 cops more. (Whereupon, applause.)

COMMISSIONER RYDER: The cost of those police officers have gone up for senior police officers, but the hiring has remained a low number for us in the hiring process. So he is committed to hiring an additional 50 officers every six months as needed and if not even going above that number, if there is a concern for that.
(Whereupon, applause.)
COMMISSIONER RYDER: When we look at the environment that is there, it is what we call controlled access. It's just not an open environment like Eisenhower Park. You can come any different direction through any gate, right? In this environment, it is controlled by the security personnel. I'm assured by the Sands people that they will be hiring somewhere in the neighborhood of about 500-600 security personnel, of course, for \(24 / 7\) days a week period. Those
guards will be at certain locations. They have already told me they have built in response teams for active shooter. They will be receiving a rave app like all of our houses of worship and schools have. If there is a lock down or a lock out, they will have that. They have committed to cross train with us. And our new training village, which was voted on here today, that training village, when it is built, they will be training with us in the village and at the same time reimbursing us for that training. So it won't be like we're giving it to them. They're going to pay for that service. We will share in communications. We will have a command post that is built on site. We will staff that with several officers and a supervisor. There's a \(\$ 500,000\) commitment to any equipment that we need, whether it's going to be some type of quad to drive throughout the premises or some method to get around.

Communications will be shared.

Access to their cameras will be shared.

Obviously, there are sensitive cameras
that we will never get access to and
that's controlled by the Gaming
Commission. But the cameras that we will
is the ones that concern us. Hallways,
outside exterior areas. If I look up and
I see a ceiling that's patrolled by the

Sands. If I look up and I see the sky
that's patrolled by my my police
officers. And again, the cooperation that
we've already received from them as far
as intelligence sharing will be crucial.
Now, I know East Meadow and I know
Uniondale, and \(I\) know the concern from
all of these concerning neighbors. Right.
We are living the safest county in

America three years in a row.
(Whereupon, applause.)
COMMISSIONER RYDER: There is a real
good chance come mid-June when the
numbers come out again, we will win it
for a fourth year in a row. Our homicides
were record low last year. Our crime was
record low numbers. We continued to slide downward. And as of today, we're down another \(2 \%\) from where we were last year. My concern is what their needs are, is there a crime element that's going to come from this? I have spoken to two people. I have spoken to both the Dori Koren, who is the from Las Vegas Metropolitan Police. He's in charge of the strip in Las Vegas. I was there last year. I co-chair with him on the Major City Chiefs Intel Commanders for the country. Dori is the head of it, and \(I\) co-chair with him.

When we were out there last year, there's a crime issue out there, and there is an issue with prostitution, there is an issue with drugs, but it's on the strip. The strip that is created by 75 different casinos, 75 hotels, 75 -This is one hotel, one casino.

I spoke to Jake's personnel and I also spoke to Suffolk PD. There is zero, no human trafficking cases that have come
out of Jake's 58. There is no
prostitution out of Jake's 58. There have been six arrests for possession of cocaine in five years. There have been no real crime issues except larcenies.

Again, what concerns our community, because those vagrants will go into the backyards, go to the cars and steal from the cars. We've seen that that was our uptick in crime that we've seen over the last couple of years since the pandemic. We are down in stolen cars by \(40 \%\) so far this year. We're down \(2 \%\) in overall crime. So we're changing that as it was changed against us and how we can handle it.

We're handling the homelessness issue that occurs in Eisenhower Park. You do see it. They have the right to go into a open park, but it is controlled and it is also a clean environment taken care of by the DPW. There were some homeless people living in the wooded areas. We have removed them out of the wooded
areas. So again, we're starting to make sure that that environment that anywhere touches this resort will be controlled. Anything inside of that will be controlled.

We run a very good, as you all know, Intelligence Led Policing Program. So far from my conversations and the money that we are getting with the \(2 \%\) increase every year will fund those officers to cover those needs in that area. And they will not be restricted to the grounds. They will expand outward. Meaning they will go over into the Uniondale area to the East Meadow area to make sure that crime is not coming.

When we had the Phish concerts, when we had the Grateful Dead concerts, they would come at the town and they'd occupy the park for two weeks. They'd set up camp and not leave. This environment doesn't create that.

Again, I'm giving you what the facts and the numbers are. It creates a a
controlled environment that they have the right to secure working with the Police Department. This is the first time in the Nassau County Police Department's history that we have a reimbursement agreement for policing. And it's the first time in any kind of venue that we have expanded into the venue. UBS has six cops that are assigned there. There's sometimes over 25,000 people attending a game. We used to do the Coliseum and the Mounted Unit would run it and we use about ten officers and two cops.

This venue that they're building, their arena holds up to 4500 people. There won't be an outpour of 17,000 people piling into the cars and leaving a hockey game. What it will be is over the course of the day, those people leaving that environment, again, under control access. There's three parking garages. All of them will have cameras. All of them will have security sites that we will have access to.

Our people do a very big money
laundering program here in Nassau County. You all know that. And that's similar to what our cat-con case that we just took down. Those detectives will be heavily involved working with on site security to make sure that we are cooperating and coordinating together.

So what I've seen so far, I'm
comfortable with, but I have a big concern and I'm always reaching out to our community to make sure that their needs are being reached. Right now, I'm comfortable.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Just to follow up on a number of statements you made. You know, the one thing I'm aware of in casinos is they have a lot of security and lots of cameras. And you made a very good point. You know, they have cameras primarily, I would think, for the point to make sure no one's card counting, do anything to try to cheat or get advantage of the establishment. But I'm more
concerned about cameras which see who's coming into the property, who's coming out of the property, who's around. Is the Sands going to give you complete access with no questions asked, if you need that access to who's in that facility, that you're going have access to that data and that video control facility?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: We will have access to every exterior camera that is off the gaming floor. We can't access gaming floor cameras, it's a violation. But what we can do is if we have a situation, we will go directly to them in their security and say, this is what our problem, this is who we're looking for. Can you help us go out there and identify it? And they have agreed to cooperate with that.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: One concern I've also had address for people is this will be a 24 hour facility. Obviously, there be alcohol establishments there and then people leaving the Sands facility
and driving the surrounding neighborhood. What type of strategy will you have to ensure that there's not an increase in drunk driving into the Uniondale, East Meadow and surrounding areas?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: So every single venue that we cover in Nassau County, highway guys get assigned at the breakout. There is a height of time that they're leaving and most of our restaurants bars between the hours of 12 and four is where we step it up. We get grant funding every single year to go after just that, drunk driving. And we do it very good here in Nassau County. We're very aggressive at it. So we move our resources depending on the Intel that if there is a need. So at nighttime, they still have to follow the SLA laws. They're not exempt from that. If a restaurant has to close at four, it will close at four. The same within in the casino. So they will have to follow the same laws of the State. We will move our
resources as we feel that we are needed to do to address those needs.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: As you've mentioned, under the current lease that's been drafted, there is a requirement for the Sands to build a police substation and give money for furnishing as well too, is what has been proposed in that lease, is that sufficient that you need for your department for that site?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: Yes. So what we did was, we have one substation here in Nassau County. It's at the Roosevelt Field over at the Source Mall. We're going to build, obviously not the same looking, but the same square footage as that building is. It will have holding cells. It will have personnel assigned to it. Those personnel will act as a mini police station when it's up and operational. It is more than sufficient than what our needs are. They've given us an additional \(\$ 500,000\) to purchase equipment that we need within it. And any
cameras that we request done by an assessment by my homeland security people, not their people, my people and I say I would like cameras here, here and here. They will put those cameras up and push them back to us.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: And once again, knowing where \(I\) am physically located near the site. And again, I'm a father, \(I\) have kids. And again, what's coming up to me a lot with people is people will be going, they're going to be gambling for money. Then they come into, you know, East Meadow, break into cars, try and get money, try and gamble again. What's your strategy for combating that?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: The same
strategy we've been using all along.
That's why we are the safest county. The numbers drive the Intel. Whatever that data is telling me, we're moving the pieces on the board. I have an additional 50 cops that the County Exec has hired above and beyond the head count. He is
committed every six months. The nice thing about that commitment is we don't wait till there's 50 gone and we're at 2450 to hire 50. We are staying ahead of the curve. So for the first time ever, what's in the academy is above the head count. By the time they come out through normal attrition, we are now even with the head count. So the playing field has the exact numbers we should have. The numbers in the academy don't count. So we'll move those resources wherever we need them to address crime. Again, our Intel will get even better with the cooperation of the Sands people. LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Thanks. That's all \(I\) have for you at this time.

COMMISSIONER RYDER: Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:

Legislator Walker.
LEGISLATOR WALKER: Commissioner, at our at our Committee hearings Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton had brought up that that
often children were left in cars while people went in to gamble. How will we address that? Well, obviously, if you saw a child, but obviously, are you going to have say they have that little quad or whatever they have driving through to make sure that no children are left? COMMISSIONER RYDER: Obviously, a big concern. So three things are
different. And I'm going to take Jake's 58, and this is not a knock on any police agency, but in Jake 58, they got an open parking lot in front. You pull and you park your car. In this environment, there are three parking garages. You got to go in the garage to get through. They have roaming patrols, as they've told me. They will have roaming patrols within those garages watching who's coming in, collecting that data and again, watching who's in the car, left in the car. Even if prostitution was to occur in that venue, they will intercept that and then contact police. So I am not concerned.

When I spoke to them, in Jake's, there is no Suffolk County police officers assigned to that parking lot. In Nassau County, we will be assigning sometimes 5 or 6 or whatever the needs are at the time. So we're going to have extra patrols in that area, which is unlike what they have at Jake's. Besides breaking into cars, there is really no crime that we have out of Jake's 58.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any other questions for the Commissioner?

Legislator Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

Hi, Commissioner.
COMMISSIONER RYDER: Good afternoon.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: In terms of the physical safety, in terms of the Emergency Ambulance Bureau, were they factored into that \(\$ 1.8\) million?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: No, but there's
discussions now and this was brought up.
1.8 is just for policing. When it comes
to the ambulance, it's working out between whether it's going to be with the Fire Department or the Police Department. But conversations earlier today is they will outfit that vehicle, whatever the vehicle is we need. And then from there, we'll add the staffing to address it.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. Because I
asked the question last time in this
space and I was told that the Nassau
County Ambulance Bureau would be
responding to aided calls.
COMMISSIONER RYDER: Right. And as I was brought into that conversation Friday excuse me and again today, I explained to them the need for services and always a stretched police medics, both on the volunteer side and the Police Department side and what we're going to do. And so we discussed an onsite bus being there at the place -- ambulance.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: 24/7?
COMMISSIONER RYDER: Yes.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm concerned
that given all of the services and the activities there at that space, that one bus is probably not sufficient. And moreover, I'm concerned because we know firsthand all of the legislators here that the recruitment for volunteer departments really has been a challenge. So I would love to see how this conversation kind of materializes because we were all worried about crime. And I think Legislator McKevitt did a great job, you know, getting you to bubble up some of the concerns that we had and addressing those concerns. But we're also concerned about the physical safety in terms of medical conditions. So I'm happy that there's some kind of process in place for having a bus on site. But we know that sometimes we have problems having ambulances at certain quadrants of the county at certain times. So I think a development of this size has to that should have been considered very early on in the discussions.

COMMISSIONER RYDER: So the UBS
Arena, we'll have one bus assigned to the UBS Arena and it'll be 25,000 people. When it was the Coliseum, 16-17,000 people, we had one ambulance assigned. We adapt and shift as we need. And you're \(100 \%\) right, though. We don't want to overstretch. We don't want to take from one or the other. That is why this conversation today is, I said we must look at what we're going to dedicate to that site because and above and beyond. LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So can you tell me a little bit about how what our experience has been with UBS and the one ambulance or bus that's located there? Have we found that to this point has been sufficient?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: Yes, it's been sufficient. And especially, look, a concert, there's a lot more drinking and a lot more partying and everything, and we still find it sufficient. We sometimes knock on the door with the Elmont Fire

Department coming in. So it's been worked and it's been addressed. We have not come up short in any of those situations. So that's the good news. But I don't want to be challenged that way. I want to make sure that we're ahead of that and thinking outside that box.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Because a private ambulance company should be a non-starter for us.

COMMISSIONER RYDER: You heard the story this morning about the four year old young man that we saved his life. That's because of the great police medics we have here.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: That's where I stand on it. Thank you. (Whereupon, applause.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any
other questions for the Commissioner at this point?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you, Commissioner Ryder. COMMISSIONER RYDER: Thank you very much.

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Commissioner, I appreciate the time you spent with me last week going over the security arrangements. Can you tell us a little bit about, you mentioned this was unique in terms of a reimbursement agreement.

COMMISSIONER RYDER: So we've been covering the Coliseum forever. We've been covering now the UBS. No one has ever been assigned. We cover the Roosevelt Field Mall. No one has ever reimbursed us for any of that services. This is what we do. That's what we get paid to do. So it's unique in the fact that we are getting a reimbursement and we are going above the head count and we are adding extra police officers.

I think it was Legislator Abrahams asked me during the budget hearings, would you like more police officers? I always like more police officers. But in
this current environment, it's the first
time I'm getting more police officers.
The County Executive has been very strong on public safety. You know, sometimes he's driving that bus and he wants to make sure that we got enough people on it. And so with the way it's going for the the the resort, the way I see it, they're giving us \(\$ 900,000\) even before the casino gets there during the construction phase. So that's not going to be used for policing except for maybe into the Uniondale area to add extra resources, and East Meadow.

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: And so my understanding is it's 12 officers on two 12 hour shifts.

COMMISSIONER RYDER: No, sir. It would be -- and I'm not going to lock into a number for obvious reasons. I have a union, which we're all very aware of.
(Whereupon, applause.)
COMMISSIONER RYDER: We are going to we are going to look at what the
environment is. If the heaviest part of gambling is stirring souls hours, we're going to be committed to six people, five cops and a supervisor, and sometimes we may down slot it a little bit depending. If at 4:00 in the morning if they are following the SLA rules, all the restaurants, bars, everything's closed, I don't need as many people, but that's my job to manage that.

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Okay. And this money is to pay the cost of those police?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: That's correct.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Thank you. All right. I think we're all
done. Thank you again.
Sorry. Hold on, hold on, hold on, hold on. Commissioner Ryder, one more question for you.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Just
just to clarify. So is Nassau County
Police Department going to be patrolling the parking garages?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: Yes. So, again,
the simplest way that I explained it as per my conversation with the corporate security, if you look up and you see the sky, it's my responsibility. If you look up and you see the roof, it's their responsibility. In the parking garage, it's their responsibility. But we are accessing the cameras in that booth which is built in the parking garage. So at the front of the parking garage is where the booth is. So anything that's coming and going into those garages, we're going to see not only by the camera and have access to the cameras. So visually, we're everywhere. Physically, we're in most of the open areas and moving about any response or anything that is needed. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Do you have any type of verbal agreement or written agreement as to you being notified should there be incidences such as what we were discussing with children being left in the car, in the parking lot?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: Well, look, by law, they're supposed to notify us if there's a crime. It's the same as like a college has got to notify us. They can't not notify us. The Gaming Commission will hold them to that requirement. I feel no reason why they wouldn't notify us if there's a crime there. And again, this comes from the Chief down in Vegas. They were one of the most professional groups of people he dealt with on the Strip. And he goes, they never was a problem. Their cooperation was always there. And I take Dorian's word, you know, very serious because we partner on that on that committee together.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Are you going to have a license plate reader also there?

COMMISSIONER RYDER: So they've committed to putting license plate readers on every garage. And we were committed and they're going to pay for it to put it around the surrounding areas.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All right. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. Before you go, anyone else?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, Commissioner.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: The last presentation before public comment is our Legislative Office of Budget Review. So I'd like to invite up the director for a brief presentation on their economic impact analysis.

MR. CHALMERS: Good evening, Legislators. Maurice Chalmers, Budget Review. I have here my Chief Deputy, Helen Carlson.

We looked at the proposed new lease in terms of the economic and financial aspects of it. Now, the first thing with the financial aspect of it is we looked at the current lease and under the
current lease and the terms, over the past ten years, we've collected approximately 23.7 million. So it 23.7 million over ten years with the current lease. Under the new lease, the upfront benefits, we get a \(\$ 54\) Million unconditional payment. Just to put it in terms of magnitude, that \(\$ 54\) Million represents about \(2.3 \%, 3.3\) times the amount of money that we have received over the past ten years. So that one is very appealing to the County. In addition to that, there's the \(\$ 5\) Million security deposit and some 239 fees that we will be getting.

Now under the recurring revenues, we have the non casino scenario, which would actually get us about \(\$ 5.9\) million guaranteed to the County, which is the \(\$ 5\) million base rent plus the \(\$ 900,000\) for the public safety revenues. That amount grows to \(\$ 10\) Million for the base rent and \(\$ 50\) Million by the time we reach Phase two of the construction. In total,
the County is probably going to get close to \(\$ 72.3\) million by the time we get to Phase 2.

An economic perspective. We went into the Bureau of Economic Analysis model and the investment is probably going to create close to 12,277 jobs, which is on page four of the report that I sent to you. So to 12,277 jobs over 21 different industries. That's what I have.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Did you look at the effects in the economy of the projected resort?

MR. CHALMERS: That's the job employment number that just quoted. It's about 12,277 jobs, of which 9517 will be in the construction industry.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Just in jobs alone, every job that's created creates a ripple effect in the economy, correct?

MR. CHALMERS: Of course. Because then you would have the increased output.

You have the increased earnings. So you have different categories that come out of the modeling.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Did
you look at projections as to how much revenue that would mean or economic activity that would mean for the economy?

MR. CHALMERS: In term of the
output, it will be about \(\$ 3.7\) billion. In the jobs, it will be 12,000. It gives us the number of jobs, which is the 12,277 .

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: The 3.7 billion; what does that refer to?

MR. CHALMERS: That's the output, basically sales that happen within the County as a result of going to this new lease.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: The
new lease under either scenario or just under the scenario where casino is licensed is granted or both?

MR. CHALMERS: That's under the scenario where the casino is built, because that's when you have the most
investment, which is the \(\$ 3.5\) billion, which we discounted by \(20 \%\).

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: So is
that an annual number?
MR. CHALMERS: That's the construction phase. After the construction phase, Sands actually said that they would probably have about 6000 jobs on an ongoing basis.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. But the 3.7 billion, what does that relate to? I'm just having a little bit difficulty following you.

MR. CHALMERS: That's the sum of all the sales that happened within the 21 industries given as a result of the investment.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. So that's the economic effect of creating those jobs?

MR. CHALMERS: Correct.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Have you looked at the economic effect of having, for example, a mid-sized
convention center, bringing in small size conventions, business conferences and things of that nature?

MR. CHALMERS: We did not run that scenario. But we can. It'll just be a matter of discounting the investment and coming with a new number.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All right. Obviously, you can't do that for today, but \(I\) would ask you to expand your look.

MR. CHALMERS: We can do a range and see what it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any other questions for Maurice?

Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi,
Maurice. I'm just looking at the Comptroller's website and it looks like we normally spend almost \(\$ 500\) Million on our police, including salary and overtime. Does that sound about right?

MR. CHALMERS: It sounds about right, yes.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So do you think that \(\$ 1.8\) million is going to cover the amount of overtime that we might be in? And \(I\) know it's all hypothetical. It sounds to me like it's it sounds like a lot of money when you first hear it, but then when you hear the full budget of \(\$ 500\) million, 1 million, \(I\) mean, that's you know better than I do, but that's what \(0.02 \%\).

MR. CHALMERS: For that, I would have to defer to the expertise of the Commissioner. He knows better than I do. And this aspect of the lease, he testified to, it is the first time. It's the first time in a lease that we were getting money for public safety. We've never gotten anything for public safety.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: You're better at math than \(I\) am. So 500 million and then we're getting 1.8. So we're talking about 0.0 0.02\% about right. So less than 1\% of our budget.

MR. CHALMERS: Again, I have to
defer to the expertise of the
Commissioner.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm
talking about the math.
MR. CHALMERS: Okay.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So it's
about less than 1\% of our budget. Okay. I
just wanted to put it on the record that that was approximately what our budget is. And at the 1.8 represents less than half of \(1 \%\).

MR. CHALMERS: Okay.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All
right. Thank you.
MR. CHALMERS: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any
other questions for Maurice?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: As always, thank you, Maurice. We appreciate you.

MR. CHALMERS: Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)


PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We have a vast number of slips. Again, as for those of you who were here during the Rules Committee, we just ask that there's a three minute time limit for each speaker. We ask that you abide by that as much as possible. I'm not going to cut you off at the three minutes on the dot, give a little extra time to sum up, etcetera.

But what happened at the Rules Committee was that it went on for a while and this will. We'll be here for hours and we'll listen to every speaker who's here. But in fact, people had to leave and we lost probably ten, 20,30 speakers because it was too long. So I would just ask you to have a little bit of courtesy if you can, and try to abide by the three minute limit.

First off, I want to welcome back the former Presiding Officer, a legislator who served with distinction many years and someone who's been
fighting for the East Meadow community for longer than many of us have been alive. So legislator, former Presiding Officer Norma Gonsalves.

MS. GONSALVES: It's been a long, long time. But I'm happy to be here today. And I say good afternoon to all of my former colleagues, to legislators and ladies and gentlemen.

As many of you know, I have been a resident of East Meadow community since the 1960s. Don't do the math. It was my honor to represent my community for 20 years on this body. Although long before my election to the Nassau County
legislature, I was a community leader and advocate and that advocacy has continued until today. Currently, I am the past president of CEMCO, the Council of East Metro Community Organizations. And in that capacity, I was contacted by Governor Paterson on behalf of the Sands Casino proposed lease transfer at the at the Nassau Hub.

Many do not realize that some \(30 \%\) of the land in East Meadow School District is tax exempt due to structures such as the Family Court, Juvenile detention center, Nassau County Medical Center, Nassau County Jail. East Meadow has been borne the burden of these facilities for years, and, therefore, our tax base is limited.

With that in mind, I had the
pleasure of meeting with Governor Paterson to express the concerns of our community. As many of my neighbors have expressed them to me. As anyone who has paid the least attention to the proposed development of this area knows, there have been fits and starts in the development of this precious last large tract of commercial property in our county. Unfortunately, many people continue to push for a different type of development without any plan, partner or funding for the same. Ideally, we would be fending off many offers for the
development of the Hub. However, that has not been the case in the past, nor is it today.

I have found that the Sands people, through Governor Paterson, have expressed a true expression of appreciation of the concerns of our community. I feel very assured that they wish to be good neighbors to my community, which is often times overlooked. Because of this outreach by the Sands people, I feel comfortable in supporting this lease transfer as I feel they will continue to be responsive to the concerns of the neighboring communities while bringing needed revenue into our county.

So today you are to consider the lease transfer to an entity with deep pockets and entity, from my perspective, has been extremely responsive to the community input and concerns. Are there other possible uses for this tract of land? Maybe. But is often said, the perfect is the enemy of the good.

With that in mind, I lend my support to the transfer of this lease before you today. Thank you for your attention. And my best.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Jerry Laricchiuta, CSEA Region 1 President.

MR. LARICCHIUTA: Good evening.
Thank you, Mr. Nicolello, Legislators, members of the public, both sides that agree and don't agree. I have a lot of respect for those that say they don't like this project. That's what America is all about.. That's what America is all about. We're here to debate and argue, and we bring forth both sides to a body like this of honorable legislators. And they will make a decision.

I got to tell you that as the CSEA
Region 1 President, one of the things that I most involved with, we have 46,000 members from Montauk to Queens. Of those 46,000 members, 14,000 of them work in over 700 schools. And that makes us of
course, this is a community issue and I believe that CSEA members are the community. We work for government. We work for the schools. We're right here. And I got to tell you, I got to thank and congratulate Commissioner Patty Ryder. And of course, \(I\) think he has built the best police department in the country and being called the safest. I really believe that.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. LARICCHIUTA: And not to brag, but the police medics who are part of the police officers and the detectives, the police medics are CSEA members and they do a great job here in Nassau County. And I want to thank them, too.

Obviously, we have to worry about all these things. In the very beginning, I did get a chance to speak with CEO Mr. Goldstein and upper management of this project of the sands. And I got to tell you this, \(I\) can't believe -- listen, we're talking about a \(\$ 5\) billion shot in
the arm for Nassau County. This is something that we need as a county. We need it. When you go to other parts of the country and you say you live in Long Island, the most common thing \(I\) hear is, oh, that's Jones Beach, right? And, you know, yeah, it's Jones Beach and it's a great beach. Aren't we known for anything else? We're not. We used to be known for our parks. We had the most beautiful parks in the country. But because of the economics today, it's a little different. This is a shot in the arm, not just for Nassau County residents, Town of

Hempstead residents and the people that live in East Meadow and the people that live in Uniondale, but look at the great union workers that we got here right now. They're going to build this place.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. LARICCHIUTA: You're talking
about almost 10,000 union jobs to build.

And we're going to talk to them after they build them because we want to be
part of the jobs 8000 possible jobs that might run this great facility. So that's a great chance.

Somebody said it before, I think it was Jeff Reynolds when they said we got to stop losing our youth and the brain drain. That's so true. We're talking about creating thousands of jobs here and careers. That's what it's all about. We live in one of the best counties in America, but yet we've seen this plan sit idle, collecting dust for decades now. It's time. It's time that we make this chance. We take this shot. We have a great company. This company is for real. They're pros. I checked them out. I met with them. We were very tough on them in the beginning. These union members here take no nonsense. We're not easily rolled over. We gave it to them and they gave us the right answers. They care about the community. They really do. They're going to make sure that the community is safe. They're going to make sure that the
traffic is engineered.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. LARICCHIUTA: They're going to make sure that that house values don't go down, in fact, might go up. Think of the revenue that we're going to collect in Nassau County. We're talking about \$50 Million a year. When is the last time this county saw that kind of revenue? Boy, do we need it. I remember being here for 16 years as your local president for CSEA, being here, pleading with some legislators, not necessarily you, not to lay off our members because of the economic crisis. And today we're sitting here with a \(\$ 5\) Billion shot in the arm. I say we pass it, we let it go, and we got the safest county in America for the last three years. And I know our police department and our community leaders will keep it that way. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Matthew Arisich, Building and Construction Trades Council.

MR. ARISICH: Good afternoon, Mr. Presiding Officer and members of the Council and to everyone here. This is about the session of making sure you hear all the information. We'll make it kind of clear. Let's get to facts:
- 8500 jobs, which I contend are careers, times \(\$ 75\) an hour, which is the lowest wage rate that's out there, times 40 hours a week, times 48 weeks a year, times one and one half years. That's \$1.2 billion in wages and benefits that go to everyone. Everyone that gets an opportunity. And you know what? Because we actually go ahead and create the opportunity. So for every dollar you spend in Nassau County under prevailing wage, it generates 1.5 in economic activity. So that's \(\$ 2.7\) billion.

That \(\$ 2.7\) billion is local money. That money stays here, doesn't go elsewhere, which means all the money that you guys generate can go to schools, can go to commitments to make sure that you
can do everything you can to build your communities, to provide opportunities. And the building trades is going to do exactly that by making sure we go to marginalized communities and get those opportunities to those that want the jobs.

I can tell you right now, between three jobs that are on Long Island, this project, which is Sands Integrated Resort between the Belmont Grandstand Project and Midway Crossing, which is a reinventing of MacArthur Airport. That's 20,000 jobs; 20,000. Those are opportunities for your children, your grandchildren, your neighbors. They might not want to work on this casino, but what I'll tell you is that's where all the money is going to be.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. ARISICH: I represent 65,000 of the safest, hardest working trades women and tradesmen all throughout Long Island. And if you think any of them want to go
to the city and pass these two projects and say, I'm going to spend money to go ahead and pay a toll and I'm going to spend money to do parking, and I'm going to spend another hour each direction, everybody's got to be out of their mind. That's why this money stays here, because everybody that lives in these communities sees the benefit of where this is.

Five years ago, we had no billion dollar projects. We now have five multi billion dollar projects. This looks like a sixth and there's a seventh right around the corner. That's what you want to do is make sure that you have prosperity in this county. You want to reinvent the whole county to make sure that you have the opportunity to provide the services not only for those that are here, but to make sure that your county has great positions on where policing is, where education is. Those are the most important and it's the residents. So it came to me and I was thinking
for a while. And you know what? All of a sudden it dawned on me. Something got off in my head (buzzer) -- like that. Why did you guys vote on this and make a unanimous. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: John Durso, Long Island Federation of Labor.

MR. DURSO: Good. Good afternoon. It's good to see everybody. It's nice to see everybody's nice and calm today. A nice, quiet discussion of the issues. Following the presentations that just went on. No need for me to raise my voice. No need for Tom to start laughing like he did the last time.

Bottom line is, what you hold in your hands is the future of Nassau County. No ifs, ands, or buts. You've heard all the numbers with this project will bring to the county. The careers, not just the jobs, but the careers that will be created. The future for our children or grandchildren to be able to stay here, raise a family, buy a home.

That's what this is all about.
We've heard from the various
different communities. They need this for their community. They need that for their community. And all of those questions have been answered directly. Adjustments have been made to face the needs of the various communities. The underserved communities in our county. This project, this work, this vision will make it so that all of you.

Ladies and gentlemen. I urge you, on behalf of the nearly 300,000 members of the Long Island Federation of Labor to pass this, to approve this, and let's finally, finally move forward so that our county, our communities, our young women and men can have careers and live here on Long Island. Thank you all very much. (Whereupon, applause.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Ryan Stanton, Island Federation of Labor.

MR. STANTON: Good evening. I'm going to try to avoid being repetitive.

You've been bombarded with briefings and numbers, not just tonight, but committee hearings and conversations that have been had the entire time the Sands has had a presence on Long Island because they've been engaged not just with you, but with community organizations, with the union movement and so many other stakeholders you'll hear from tonight.

I will be repetitive a little bit on very specific numbers, though:
- \$70 million for Nassau County, right? Annually. That's with one vote tonight.
- \(\$ 54\) million payment, which I
believe I just heard goes up. That's with one vote tonight.
- \$10 Million for East Meadow.

That's an additional \(\$ 10\) Million we heard about. That happens with one vote tonight.
- \$10 Million for Uniondale

Community. Again, that's with one vote tonight.

You know, I could continue to go on and on and on, but \(I\) won't because again, I want to avoid being repetitive.

My point in highlighting just some of the numbers is how monumental this vote is and how you get to be on the right side of history here. Changing the economic future of Nassau County. We have an employer and I'm from the union movement. We are in the position of negotiating with employers all the time. It's not often employers come and say, here, can we pay for that? Can we pay for that so willingly? But they understand that ultimately, in order to be a good corporate citizen, in order to make sure that it's not just them that profit, that it's the people that call this place home. Because that's the only way they're successful. Because please remember, tonight's lease transfer doesn't mean an integrated resort gets built. It means you give Nassau County a chance to compete for a \(\$ 4\) Billion
investment in the working families that call this place home.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. STANTON: There's I'll leave his name out of it. But there's a regional stakeholder who qualifies himself as a community stakeholder, not a regional stakeholder. Some might know who I'm talking about, and he constantly talks about building from the community ground up and avoiding regional interests. And I know there are regional organizations here supporting this. I also know I heard that the Uniondale Fire Department just wrote a letter talking about how they want to work with the Sands. The Uniondale Chamber, wrote an op-ed in Newsday talking about all of the benefits of this project and the potential.

You have a union movement, as John just mentioned, of nearly 250,000 members that live in the community. We're building a community coalition from the ground up that with one vote, you can
rewrite the economic future of Nassau County. Please vote yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: James Skinner, East Meadow Chamber of Commerce. (Whereupon, no verbal
response.) Carl DeStefano.
MR. DESTEFANO: Good afternoon. My name is Carl DeStefano. This is my wife, Carmella. We live at 53 Wilson Avenue in Westbury. And we came here today before you, not just to make our voices heard, but for the people who are afraid to speak up. They are afraid because 60 or so cyber bullies online will trash them. We cannot let the loudest, loudest voices in the room or any online petition of 5000 signatures speak for the 1.3 million Nassau residents.

This project is a good thing. It will bring jobs to our county, it will increase tax revenue and will help Nassau County become more affordable so families like ours can stay well into our retirement years.

I have a question for my neighbors here today against the project. What is it you're saying no to? Tax revenue for Nassau County? For the Town of

Hempstead? Uniondale School District? Jobs and economic opportunities for our neighbors? A good time? Fun bars? Top of the line restaurants, high end spas, a nightclub, a five star hotel so our children don't have to travel to the city? And are you against veterans programs and community benefits to those less deserving? If you are morally opposed to gambling, this may not be the project for you, but your fight isn't with this Legislature or Nassau County, it is with the state of New York. We have an opportunity here to save Long Island for generations to come, and we respectfully ask you to vote yes on the transfer of the lease to Las Vegas Sands. Thank you very much. (Whereupon, applause.) PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: William

Cassidy.
MR. CASSIDY: Thank you for hearing us, Mr. Presiding Officer, all the rest of the Legislature.

I've been up here a few times and my thoughts on the Sands Casino are pretty much the same. It is jobs. The prime benefit to us, to everybody in all walks of life are jobs. Blue collar, white collar. No collar. The most important thing you can give a young man, a young woman, an older man, middle aged, is work. When you don't have it, it's important.

I'm a union representative for the stagehands in New York City, and we do everything from Broadway to Madison Square Garden. And now we're out here on Long Island. And we we do Nassau

Coliseum. They're doing Madonna
rehearsals right now. And we also do UBS.
We do Grumman Studios. And we have a lot of members living out here. And they would love to be able to work at the
theater, which is my primary concern with the Sands proposal.

The Sands are a first rate company.
Friends of mine work in Las Vegas for them. They say they are the real deal. They do it right. I can't think of any reason why any legislator sitting up there could not tell his constituents or her constituents that this is a good deal no matter how it rolls out. There's pros, there's cons. The opposition is here, the loyal opposition, if \(I\) could call them that. But we all want what's right for the county I grew up in Merrick, lived in Suffolk County, and worked at the Nassau Coliseum that first day it opened, then in New York City and back out to Grumman's and now for the union itself. And this is a first class, world class county, Nassau. It's known throughout the country. Sands is a first class company. They have the highest rating. And most of all for those who consider the economics of this, the private sector, this is
private sector money coming in. What everybody wants, private sector money, investment. And when shows happen, it it rains money. I keep saying that, but it's true. It's private sector. Money comes in for the shows that will be at the theater as well as the other establishments in the in the project.

I would suggest you accept this and pass it on to Hempstead with your approval. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Joseph Parisi.

MR. PARISI: Thank you, Presiding Officer Nicolello and members of the Nassau County Legislature. My name is Joseph Parisi. I'm a resident of East Meadow and also the president of the Council of East Meadow Community

Organizations. I'm not going to read from my script because I think most of the ideas have already been touched on. But there is one thought I'd like to leave you with and that it's often said that
sometimes this is a generational opportunity for Nassau County. I actually think it's a multi generational
opportunity for our county. So therefore I'm in support to move ahead and give ourselves an opportunity to approve this lease agreement. And let's go to the next step, because \(I\) think the real value is going to be for the generations that follow us and who want to continue to live here in East Meadow and continue to make this a great county that we already know it is.

The gentlemen before alluded to when he leaves New York and he says, I'm from Nassau County, I'm from Long Island. Oh, yeah, Jones Beach. I think we're greater than that and should be known more than just Jones Beach. So I urge you all to approve this lease agreement. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Meta . J. Mereday.

MS. MEREDAY: Where does one begin? First and foremost, for those who don't
believe that the residents who have taken the time to be here are saying no to jobs, no to labor, no to unions, clearly don't know me.

Secondly, these billions of dollars are still going to be based upon someone's work, someone's job, someone's income that will not go towards the second highest property taxes in the country. And now all of a sudden the clock is on. But when Sands got to speak and wax poetic twice now. Clock wasn't running. You know, when you got well paid informants or endorsers when they stood before this group, clock wasn't running. When you get residents, they come here on a regular basis to fight the \(\$ 3.3\) billion budget. Hey, the plan that the Sands put on the table, that should be up there. You're talking about child care. You're talking about supporting people. We don't get that with the \(\$ 3.3\) billion budget that got passed in Nassau County. So maybe you all should be sitting up there.

Why am I saying no to the casino and
I am saying no to the casino? I am not a bully. I am an advocate. I heard maybe one person who mentioned that this is not just the casino. This is the Nassau County Veterans Memorial Coliseum. Okay. Just to have a statue, but we have veterans that are now killing themselves at 44 a day. Where's the discussion for that?

The bright lights is not going to help a veteran or someone like myself, a 9/11 first responder. Going into an environment that could be potentially toxic and detrimental to me and to so many others. Water issues. The Grumman plume is the least of our issues in Nassau County. We've got elected officials here. Some of them are leaving. Some of them are moving forward. Think about that, because all these bodies aren't here. When you talked about the budget. The majority of the bodies weren't here when you passed a segregated
map. But I was here. Okay. I was here fighting about the CSEA union members and workers who still don't have a contract, including those who service our veterans. So if you want to talk about who wants to say no, that's me. Hello? I say no (buzzer).
(Whereupon, public interruption.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Can we address the comments here? Let each speaker have their moment. Everyone is entitled have this time to speak here. And we should be respectful of everyone, whether we agree with them or not on either side. Thank you. And please sum up.

MS. MEREDAY: I will. I will
definitely sum up. We need to have development here. We are for development. We are for having opportunities for our young people. Realistically, the average salary for a casino worker in New York State is \(\$ 40,000\). That's not going to
keep our young people here. Where are they going to continue to live? In the basement of their parent's house. We're talking about realism here. You mentioned about having a convention center, a facility someplace that we can focus on healthcare, which is the number one employer of Nassau County Research and Development, billions of dollars for the PACT bill for veterans. We say we've got 62,070 thousand veterans. We can bring programs here. Manufacturing, aviation. Let's have a new plan that's more sustainable and more inclusive.

Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Jack Majkut.

MR. MAJKUT: Good evening,
Presiding Officer Nicolello, ladies and gentlemen of the Board, my name is Jack Majkut. I'm a business representative for the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 25, IBEW Local

25 represents over 2000 working families here on Long Island. I personally am a resident of Nassau County for 54 years. Today I'm here to say that Local 25 and I fully support this project and the transfer of the lease agreement to the Sands Organization. This \$4-5 billion project will not only create thousands of construction jobs and thousands of permanent jobs, it will generate the much needed tax revenue for Nassau County residents. Now is the time for Nassau County to benefit from this proposed project. We've been waiting long enough. It's been decades.

The mayor of Islandia back in 2017,
Alan Dorman, was brave enough to stand behind Jake's 58 slot casino project, which is now expanding due to its huge success. The Village has been able to reduce taxes for their residents due to the taxpayer relief agreement with Jake's 58. Imagine what the Sands Organization is going to do for the residents of

Nassau County. This is a transformative project for all of Long Island that is long overdue.

In addition, Nassau Community College has agreed to become primary employee training center for the proposed Sands Casino. This collaboration could lead to management programs in the hotel casino industry, as well as banquet entertainment, food and beverage industry and more.

The Sands Organization is serious. They are making a multi-billion dollar investment without a taxpayer subsidy to fund the project. I can't tell you how refreshing that is. I also sit on the Town of Hempstead Industrial Development Agency, and I see far too much that big corporations come in looking for some assistance and they're not asking for one penny.

As legislators, you all have a fiduciary responsibility to Nassau County to prove this transfer of the lease to
the Sands Organization. And if you didn't, it would be irresponsible. I urge you all to pass this lease transfer. Please.

Once again, IBEW Local 25 and I
fully support the transfer of this lease to the Sands Organization and the full scope of this project. Thank you for your time and opportunity to speak.
(Whereupon, Claudiana
Griffith and Reginald Benjamin
are called, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Bruce Chester.

MR. CHESTER: Good afternoon, Presiding Officer Nicolello and the rest of the Legislature. Presiding Officer Nicolello, I've known you. We've known each other for close to 20 years and I've always considered you to be a fair person.

As you know, I'm deputy mayor of Garden City. We have a lot of concerns
about this project. I'm glad I got up because it seemed like for about two hours we've had proponents of the Sands Casino and haven't had any opposition to it. So I'm glad we're opening it up to people that are not in support of it. Governor Paterson, you talked about the decades long brain drain that we've had. This casino would not solve that. We're not opposed to an entertainment center being built or a new hotel being built. And also, Presiding Officer Nicolello, you asked a question of Maurice, financial officer, and you asked him about a mid-level convention center.

I think that would be great. I don't know why that wasn't asked before.

Dr. Reynolds, you mentioned and I
know you're head of Family and Children's Association, an excellent association that I've contributed to for quite some time. I think you're going to have more problems with this with the gambling and the drugs and alcoholism.

As far as 8500 jobs, these will not be permanent jobs and these are not jobs that are going to keep our young people here in the county. I believe it's a short term fix with long term problems.

There are so many other things we need for for my village, Garden City. Are our police going to be subsidized? And they're going to have more calls. They're going to have more DWIs, traffic, speeding. That'll happen, there's no doubt about it. Is there any plan to do that?

And one thing that really concerns me, how many casinos in the United States does Sands operate? Do we know? I haven't heard, but it seems to me the bulk of them are in China or Malaysia. And let's say there might be a problem in China. We know there certainly could be if they invade Taiwan or something like that. What happens then? What happens if those casinos crash and it's not out of the realm of possibilities that sands could
at some point as big a corporation as it is file bankruptcy? What's the plan then?

What happens to the lease? Who gets to have a say in that lease? Does our county any more? These are concerns that we have and we feel and I would ask all of you to consider.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Garry Johnson, NAACP of New York State.

MR. JOHNSON: Good evening. My name is Garry Johnson. I'm the State Economic Development Chair for the New York NAACP. I have spoken previously in regards to the Sands lease transfer. NAACP views this from an economic development perspective. We have been engaged fully with the Sands Corporation for some time and and their team. We have spoken about the possibilities of engaging with the community in terms of preparing them to acquire these jobs, not just working for Sands after the casino's been built, but in predevelopment, during construction
and of course, afterwards.
While there are many concerns by the
community, the engagement that we have had with Sands leads us to believe that it is safe to continue to work with Sands. It is, in our opinion, the best interest of this community to work with Sands, and we believe that this Body should vote favorably to pass the lease transfer agreement.

We do not come and make these kinds
of statements without doing our own homework, both domestically and internationally, about what they've done. And we've engaged with them on many
issues pertaining to other agreements they have signed in other locales, not only domestically but internationally. So we feel confident in making this decision and we urge that you pass this tonight.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Louis Vasquez.

MR. VASQUEZ: Good evening,
everyone. On behalf of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, I'll make it very sweet and short. And I know there's a lot of concerns here, but we also have done our due diligence with our chamber and our board and our community. We had a cross section of believers and we brought them up the pros and the cons, and it looks like the pros won in reference to our chambers and our economic.

And also one of the things that I have to speak about the sentence is basically that we had a lot of questions and concerns and we were pretty satisfied with it. One of the things that we try not to do and don't do is basically I do not sell my soul for sponsorship and I do not sell my soul for anyone that \(I\) don't believe that I should be able to speak up. So for whatever reasons we have spoken to the Sands. I give them the tough questions in reference to the Hispanic community in reference that we are always last on the table and are
never really considered in anything. And I think just the fact that we're considered in this and asking our opinion and making sure that we have, you know, programs that's going to be effective to the community with these jobs, economic empowerment and everything else. So with all due respect to everyone, we are endorsing this program. Hopefully, we'll be able to get it. Many families will be hopefully saved and a lot of families will be helped.

And I think it's not only being Latino, I'm a Glen cover, but I also have my neighbors and I represent Long Island. And I look at like my neighbor. If my neighbor is going to be great, that I'm going to endorse it. So even with the minority community, the Hispanic community, we recommend that this Body of legislators recommend to the next level and approve this, you know, this
transition and this proposal. And on behalf of our community, thank you very
much. God bless you and have a great evening. And may God bless everyone. Gracias.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you. Lynn Krug.

MS. KRUG: Good evening. Most of you received a call from me this morning. I called every person on this board to tell them that \(I\) really want you to say no to the casino. The problem that \(I\) have is there has been no transparency to this process. It would be reasonable to think that this entire process is being rushed through to avoid any opposition from getting traction. There's even a lawsuit because county officials held discussions for the casino project in violation of the State's open meetings law. The Planning Commission failed to provide the public with proper notice and denied the public the right to attend its work sessions while scheduling meetings to benefit Las Vegas Sands. If this is such a great idea, why has there been no
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dialogue out in the open? How can there } \\
& \text { be any claim of community support if we } \\
& \text { have no window to see how that support is } \\
& \text { being measured? }
\end{aligned}
\]

There is an evidentiary hearing scheduled for May 24 th, Thursday to hear the Article 78 filed against the county by Hofstra University. Why would the county transfer the lease with such haste? Wouldn't it be beneficial for the county to hear the outcome of that case prior to attempting to transfer this lease?

I keep reading about 300 meetings
that the Sands has proposed in our communities. When and where did these happen? I live in Garden City. I attend every village meeting. I read the newspaper. I have never been invited. I was never invited to see David Beckham. Come on. I mean, that's, you know, come on. I'm an old person, but \(I\) care about my community.
Also, certainly, if this project is
approved, it really is in my backyard and Garden City will be affected big time. A casino is not about building prosperity for our community. It's about extracting wealth from our community to benefit a corporate interest. I urge this panel, Don't make this your legacy. In a county with a history of innovation and forward thinking, don't make this the thing that you'll be remembered for. Vote your conscience. Nip this ill conceived idea in the bud.

If we build something technology, medical, the unions, you will all have a place to work. Don't hang your legacy on a casino. It's not good for us. It's not good for me personally. And it's not good for all the people who support to say no to the casino.

Thank you for listening and I hope you vote your conscience.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you.

Darius Forrest. Darius. Forrest.
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Gina Coletti.

MS. COLETTI: Good afternoon, Legislature. I am here on behalf of the Suffolk County Alliance of Chambers and members of the Alliance of Chambers speaking on behalf and in support of this project.

The Sands has displayed an
outstanding support and respect to our Long Island business owners by hosting procurement academies to inform and educate them on what to expect and how to prepare their businesses to collaborate with Sands. We are proud to partner with Sands and the Nassau Council Chambers in their first small business procurement conversation with the Long Island community. Since then, the Sands has continued community outreach to the local chambers of commerce and small business owners and offered future growth and
procurement opportunities across our region.

This is a five star hotel, world class restaurants, celebrity chefs, high end spa, entertainment venue, and community benefits will positively impact our tourism industry, with visitors, including families and business organizations across the globe. This is beyond Nassau County, beyond Suffolk County. This is regional and global. You will attract people from all over the world to Long Island.

The Hauppauge Industrial Association is now in its 45 th year. The HIA is one of Long Island's largest business advocacy organizations. It is also the steward of the Long Island Innovation Park at Hauppauge, the largest industrial park in the Northeast. The park supports 55,000 jobs, produces \(\$ 13\) billion in goods and services each year, and accounts for \(8 \%\) of Long Island's gross domestic product. The HIALI supports the
proposals by Sands, New York to develop an integrated resort facility at the Nassau Hub in Uniondale.

As you well know, this multibillion dollar project would include hotels, community centers, a performance venue, convention spaces, which we all know we need, restaurants, and upon approval, a casino. The plan development would benefit Nassau County with annual rent and gaming revenue, tax revenue, community benefit funding and public safety funding.

We note that the project is supported by the Building and Construction Trades Council and Suffolk, Nassau and Suffolk Counties. The Long Island Federation of Labor, AFL-CIO and the Economic Development Chair of the NAACP New York State Conference.

According to published reports, Sands anticipates that the new luxury resort would provide more than 12,000 construction jobs and then employ 5000
permanent workers upon opening. Sands has quickly demonstrated its commitment to being a good local partner and to working with Long Island's business community, including the HIALI And while the Long Island Innovation Park is situated in Suffolk County, it's important for us all to remember that the economic fates of both Nassau and Suffolk are forever conjoined. Both counties constitute the enduring Long Island brand. We are a region and New York State officially designates Long Island as a unified economic development region. In this vein, bold development initiatives that play out in either county inevitably benefit the other county as well. The HAILI urges Nassau County Legislature to approve the Sands New York proposal for the Nassau Hub.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Thank you.
Frank Cammarano. Frank Cammarano.
MR. CAMMARANO: Wow, this is going
to be a lot of fun, guys. So just so everybody knows, I am born and raised in Nassau County, 50 years old, never left Nassau County. I love Nassau County. My wife is from Queens. She wanted me to move to Queens. I said, Hell no. I stay in Nassau County.

That being said, I'm also a local
business owner. When I mean local. I am in walking distance from the Coliseum.

All I keep hearing is casino, casino, casino. Ladies and gentlemen, you do not have to leave your house to go to the casino. The casino is right here. This is your casino right here. This is your casino. Why is everybody focusing on the casino? This is a self-funded, self-funded project. Listen, Self-funded. This will generate jobs. This will bring money to Nassau County like we've never seen before. Maybe in your pocket. Maybe in your pocket.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Please don't respond. Please, please don't
respond. And please let him finish.
MR. CAMMARANO: I have to respond when something like that is said. It doesn't make any sense.

Again, this is a self-funded project for Nassau County that we have not seen in my lifetime. Your lifetime, or probably in anyone's lifetime. All I'm asking you to do is think about how good this project will be for Nassau County. That's really all I have to say.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, sir. Edward T. Finneran.

MR. FINNERAN: Good evening, folks.
I think a few things have been established here.

Number one, there's a lot of folks who want a casino.

Number two, there's a lot of bucks being thrown around here.

There are some bucks that are going to be earned over time if the casino is eventually built. But that's really not the issue. The issue is the transfer of
the lease and the essentially \(\$ 65-70\) million that will be transferred two days after the commencement or the effective date. If you look at that in and of itself, which is what this Body should be doing, that's about a one and one half percent to \(1.75 \%\) uptick in your 2023 budget. I don't know if it's 2023 or 2023/24. That seems to be a little bit of a drop in the bucket.

Now, on the other side, the the opposition, I think, has been articulate in highlighting what could potentially go wrong. And there is a lot. I'm not going to go over that. What I'd like to bring to your attention is what I think you have to do as legislators -- and I thank you for your public service, and I say public service because I think when folks say thank you for your service, we sometimes get lost in that really should be held for our armed services -- But your public service is noted and your hard work. I've heard one or two of you
say this is the most difficult decision that you'll probably have to consider as a legislator and during your career.

So I thought back to a book that was written in 1957 by a young senator from Massachusetts, John F. Kennedy was racked up with a horrendous back problem, and he wrote a book. It's called, Of course, Profiles in Courage. It won the Pulitzer in 1957. And in it, this was Kennedy's hope. The true democracy puts faith in the people. Faith that the people, that's us, will not simply elect men and women, that's you, who will represent their views ably and faithfully, but also who will exercise their conscientious judgment. My friend Lynn brought up the conscientiousness issue in her little presentation, and Kennedy goes on and then he says, and not the faith of the electorate will not condemn those politicians whose devotion to principle leads them to unpopular courses. You know what that unpopular course would be based
on what you're hearing here and based on the financial -- I don't want to say it's wizardry, it may be real -- pot of gold. That may be at the end of that rainbow. And at at the final phrase here, he says, You will be rewarded for your courage. You will be respected and honored because you ultimately recognized what is right.

This is a tough one, but that's why you wanted to be in those seats. Some of you are leaving. Everybody makes their personal decisions, but this is one that will mark your careers and your legacy. And I hope and pray (buzzer) that you exercise that conscientious goodness that you have.

> I will end with one quick
observation. You saw a very interesting slide presented by the very professional folks from the Sands, about an extra \$25 Million in Community benefits that recently was awarded to our good friends in Uniondale, East Meadow and Hempstead. There was one contiguous village missing
from that group, and that's Garden City.
And I submit to you, that you didn't see
that name in that village because we exercised our profile in courage. And I look forward to all of you doing the same.

Thank you very much.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Apparently, when I called up Frank
Cammarano, another gentleman came up and
may have. There has been problems with
the sound system, so I want to call up the other Frank Cammarano.

MR. FRANK CAMMARANO: Hi, you all.
Thanks for having me today. I am the real
Frank Cammarano.
I have my bullet points that I was given, thankfully, you know, pro Sands folks, which is most of us in the audience and most of us in Nassau County have covered most of them.

That being said, you know, I'm the president of the National Council of Chambers, the umbrella over the 45
chambers in Nassau County. We are small business. So in essence, small business is in the house here today. When RXR had the property and were doing the work groups and all. I was all part of that as president of the East Meadow Chamber. That's my home chamber right next door to the Hub. We had done two community events prior to the work groups independent, which were a success. I know what it takes in the communities and for small businesses to make things work. I truly do. And apparently the Sands does also.

You know, it's kind of hard to stay ahead of me with this stuff here and there, but they seem to be ahead of me all the time. They're dotting the I's and crossing their T's. And truth is, they are being very transparent. I mean, no one is perfect and, you know, me included. But the reality of it is they've been all over the place, all of Nassau County and then some. Meeting with community groups, meeting with businesses, meeting,
with big labor. And by the way, small businesses is not like small business or big labor. Let's just face it. There's thousands of jobs here that are going to be permanent. Okay. Where are these folks going to come from? They're coming from our communities. They're local people. So in a way, they're supporting the communities through labor, through the unions, which is a great thing.

That being said, as long as things
keep on going the way they are and they've been really great, the Sands folks have been really great. The Council is going to maintain our course and bring the information to all the chambers in to communities as best we can.

We are for the project moving
forward and we ask that you all grant a lease transfer so they can continue forward. As opposed to for the communities that want to people with the red signs, National Council of Chambers, I'm right on there. By all means, we will
give you a forum. You can come talk to me and we can talk about this. Okay? Take it up with me. Okay. Thank you for your time.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, Frank.
(Whereupon, Kevin Boone and Joseph Schafer, no response.) PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Leo Stimmler.

MR. STIMMLER: County legislators, my name is Leo Stimmler. I'm from Garden City. The MGM Casino in Springfield, Massachusetts, has 50,000 visitors every Saturday and 50,000 every Sunday. During the week, they have 25,000. If we get even half that number, County

Legislators, what will that do to our already clogged roads? You know, we have a problem now with road rage. Can you imagine what all these visitors, what that will do to road rage?

And what about our air quality? In today's Newsday on page five, it talks
about the higher rates of asthma in our minority communities. It's going to get worse.

And can our underground aquifer, can that support so many additional people in Nassau County? What about straight street crime and drug abuse?

I also wanted to mention that our mayor, Mary Carter Flanagan, said in our local newspaper, The Garden City News asked us not to lose sight of the fact that casino revenue comes from gambling losses. And I'd venture to say that a significant amount of those losses come from addicted gamblers. What happens to those families? What happens to those children? Is this not blood money?

One of the prior speakers talked about this a boom to local restaurants. Not so. Casinos have their own restaurants. They don't want people to leave those casinos. So I would ask that you please don't vote to approve this 99 year lease.

And in closing, I wanted to say that Governor Paterson and Dr. Jeffrey

Reynolds were very articulate about their arguments for the Sands, but they left out something very important. They said nothing about what, if any, fees they received for their support of the Sands. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Richard Catalano.
MR. CATALANO: Richard Catalano from Garden City. The approval of this lease agreement will significantly increase the probability that a casino will be built in the heart of Nassau County, where I have lived my whole life for 63 years. Especially after today with Steve Coleman, had his defeat as far as his potential casino in Queens.

The County Legislators here today cannot take the position that there are other approvals to be obtained so you can wash your hands from this most critical decision. This decision, you're all
accountable for if we end up with a casino. For that reason, I urge you to hit the pause button. You know that the state approval process has been delayed. There's an upcoming court hearing, and there is absolutely no reason to rush into possibly the most critical decision in the history of Nassau County. Previous legislators thought that they were voting on a good lease deal for the Coliseum, and we all know that that didn't really work out.

I'm a CPA for the past 40 years, so I'm just going to focus on some numbers. The Sands representatives stated that the number of daily visits was, quote, north of 20,000 visitors a day. But they also said that they expect an excess of \(\$ 2\) Million will be lost in their casino each and every year. Those numbers don't make sense. The amount of annual visitors that will come to this casino will be well north of 20,000. And you, as legislators, must get a handle on exactly what that
number is.
The other thing they didn't mention is how many What's the peak time going to be? How many visitors like we just heard will be during the weekends on Friday, Saturday and Sunday. So these are you don't have that information right now.

This document that was issued by the
Nassau County bus said that we already have widespread traffic congestion around the hub and we don't even have a casino. This is from you. This has Bruce Blakeman's name on it. It's outrageous to think what this 50,000 people or 40,000 visitors is going to do to the traffic in Nassau County, especially in the heart of Nassau County, not just on the edges of Nassau County, right in the heart of the county.

The other concern \(I\) have is DWI. We have a crisis here in Nassau County. We have lost 763 people since 2012. That's about six people every single month. If only a half a percent of the visitors to
the casino drink too much and leave that casino, we will have 50 additional drunk drivers on our roads every single day, 365 days. That's something you have to consider before you vote on this lease.

Two weeks ago, Sands said that most of the money comes from capturing out-of-state dollars. That's not true. If you look at the spectrum report, very few dollars will be recaptured from money they would have lost at Mohegan Sun or Foxwoods. Most of the money that they want to take out that they expect to get from that \(\$ 2\) billion is coming out of the wallets of Long Islanders. That is money that will not be spent in our local restaurants, that won't be spent in our local downtowns. That will be money that won't be subject to sales tax (buzzer). And your budget review did not look at the impact on our local businesses and also on your sales tax revenues by having this massive diversion of revenue going from restaurants and shops into a mega
casino where it's not taxed.
So I just urge you hit the pause button. This is too big a decision to rush through. You have to do more due diligence as far as this lease agreement, it's too big a decision. Please pause. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Corinne Joy.

MS. JOY: How many of you live in Nassau County? How many of you live in Uniondale? How about Northeast Uniondale? How about East Meadow? You guys haven't a clue of the traffic, the jam that is on Hempstead Turnpike or do you? It is horrendous. Horrendous. Hempstead Turnpike looks like the LIE. Front Street that runs parallel east and west, looks like the LIE. This is not the place for a casino. You want to build a casino? Go down to the beach. Go down to any of the industrial areas. This is not the place. You have a residential area right across the street from there. We don't need any crime. We have enough. We don't
need more drugs. We don't need more drunk drivers. Etcetera, etcetera. You guys have heard it all. Okay.

I'm from that area. I'm probably the only one that can tell you. My grandparents are founding members to Uniondale, but back then it wasn't called Uniondale. You probably don't even know what it was called. We're still there. It is horrendous what's going on over there. We don't want any more crime. The congestion, it's going to be a debacle. It's going to be so severe and who are we going to point the finger at? You guys need to think. You need to put the pause button on.

And you know what we need? We need an a referendum. A referendum. When you do things like this under the table, something's wrong. Something's wrong. Everybody came and spoke today about the Sands. It was so nice. Wasn't it pretty? No one talk about the negative. They only spoke about how lovely it's going to be
and the money they're bringing in. This is the wrong decision. It's going to be severe. I'm telling you right now, it's going to be very, very, very bad. The worst thing that ever happened. Build a casino elsewhere. Put in a civic center, put in. How about an indoor and outdoor roller skating rink? Ice rink build at the Nassau Hub, Not the casino. No way. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Karen Riordon. Karen Riordon.

MS. RIORDAN: Hi, my name is Karen Riordon and I'm one of the organizers for "Say No to the Casino". I understand one of the criterion in the selection process for the gaming license is local support. While Sands boasts numerous community partners, they've stated in last hearing and here today that they have been meeting with community members for over a year, and they use words in the press like community benefits, and they've been quoted offering payments to neighbors and to four mayors. And we've heard them,
been quoted as "prepared to come back to you with millions of dollars to meet your needs". They've used words like funding of hospitality programs, educational programs, scholarship programs and investments, etcetera. Gosh, I guess you would just call us the unfunded majority of Nassau County who vehemently oppose the casino.

We just recently learned about the casino effort, and we formed a Facebook page 11 weeks ago, not a year ago. We are Republicans, Democrats, union members and community based organizers that represent the full diversity of Nassau County. In this short time, 24,504 unfunded emails have been sent to you in this Body in just the last two and a half weeks, vehemently opposing the lease transfer and over 3000 unfunded signatures have been signed on our petition asking you to oppose the transfer. There is plenty of opposition and it's growing. Constituents are finally learning about what's
happening underfoot. But you know this already. And that may explain why you're trying to rush this vote before we've had a chance to ask questions. There are legitimate concerns that this Body have failed to address and even hold open hearings with \(Q\) and \(A\) with the unfunded public. Some of you have said that this is your fiduciary responsibility to act, yet you're unable to give us the numbers of the amount of fiduciary relief that we may see in taxes from this casino deal. Surely you've looked into this before. You would cast your vote today.

And does this number also reflect the loss of revenue that you'll have that you'll see from the loss and depreciated home values in the area? I trust that you have all this data and you have looked at all of this before casting your historic vote.

So many of you are not running for election again. This vote should wait until after the November elections, or at
least the very least, until the public has a chance to weigh in. This entire process has been shameful. I would like a full accounting from the Sands

Corporation of all their community
funding from the last year to date and the political contributions that you so openly discuss, many at this hearing. This entire process has been appalling. Furthermore, if Sands decides to sell the property, there should be a clause in the sale that it must be reviewed by the county (buzzer). This is the very least you can do to protect your constituents. Please be mindful as you cast your vote that this decision will forever change the landscape of Nassau County. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Jennifer Mone.
MS. MONE: Hi. Jennifer Mone. Good evening, Presiding Officer Nicolello, fellow members of the Legislature. I'm Jennifer Mone, general counsel of Hofstra

University and I'm grateful for the opportunity to speak in front of you today. I also appreciate the time that you're giving to this and your public service to the community.

You're being asked, as you know, to vote on whether to approve the proposed ordinance relating to the County's proposed transfer of the Nassau Hub lease to Las Vegas Sands. You all know this is a decision of great import that will have a lasting long term impact on our region. Nassau County has established procedures that should be followed before decisions of this kind are made. They require the careful review of proposals by the Nassau County Planning Commission and by OSPAC, the county agencies that were specifically assigned these responsibilities by statute.

You, as legislators, should not make a decision on proposals of this magnitude until those procedures are followed so that you and the public can receive
sufficient information and input from the Planning Commission and OSPAC. I entreat you to reject this proposal or in the alternative to postpone your decision until a properly noticed and comprehensive public hearing can be held on this proposed lease by the Planning Commission.

To date, the Planning Commission has not held the statutorily required public meeting to consider the proposed lease and, in fact, has held no public hearing on this matter since the date the proposed lease was negotiated. These procedural steps are there for a reason and for an issue as complex, as crucial and as impactful as the one that is before you, you should require the process to be followed by the county Agencies and postpone your vote, your vote, until that occurs. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Jerry Kornbluth, Nassau Community College.

MR. KORNBLUTH: Good evening, Presiding Supervisor Nicolello and members of the Legislature. My name is Jerry Kornbluth and I am the vice president for Community and Governmental Relations at Nassau Community College. And I am here today to voice support for the Sands development on behalf of the College, its community and its administration.

From the beginning, the Sands team has not only been transparent and cooperative, but proactive and enthusiastic about working with Nassau Community College. They approached us with an open mind, engaging ideas, and a history of success in working with other colleges and universities in the communities where they have built projects. While we were unsure at first, we listened and our team came away feeling equally excited about the world of possibilities this development could open for our students. As conversations

evolved, the opportunities became more clear. Today, we are collaborating with Sands on a robust partnership that would include workforce development, innovative new courses and fields of study and new connectivity to Long Island University and possibly other area colleges to create pathways for our students. These pathways are not only two and four year degrees, but to long term, well-paying careers that would enable them to succeed and even stay on Long Island should they choose to do so. Nassau Community College is recognized for outstanding associate degree certificates and workforce development programs in diverse fields of study, including hospitality, culinary arts, business, humanities, nutrition, theater, and technology. Our proximity to this proposed resort and entertainment center would place our students at the forefront of the hospitality, culinary arts and business industries. Locally educated students with convenient access

```

transform the region in a wonderful way
and create ripples of opportunity
throughout the county, the island and for
generations to come.
Nassau Community's mission is to
provide opportunities and access for all
our students. We're in the business of
changing lives. This is the engine that
will help us to provide those
opportunities for our community. So I'm
asking you on behalf of the College to
support the transfer of this property to
the Sands Corporation.

```
    Thank you.
    PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank
you. Nadia Ortiz.
    MS. HOLUBNYCZYJ-ORTIZ: My name is
Nadia Holubnyczyj-Ortiz and I'm speaking
on behalf of Naela Lockel, President and
EAC of the Network, a human and social
services organization that improves the
quality of life for our most vulnerable
neighbors.

The EAC network, headquartered on
the border of Uniondale and Garden City, recognizes that we have significant gaps in career track employment opportunities for our community in Nassau County. In our work with those who have non-traditional backgrounds and those coming from disadvantaged tracks, we see the need for real paths toward sustainable livelihoods that will help them to plan for their futures. There is no doubt that the cost of living on Long Island is a high threshold, with not enough jobs that pay well enough to reach that threshold. The Sands proposal for the development of the Nassau Hub provides such market based opportunities by the thousands, including many unionized jobs which can help individuals build a real career.

For many years, this site has lain dormant and with no sources or capacity for a legitimate plan to take meaningful action towards becoming a viable and useful space. It remains an untapped
resource for so many things to benefit our communities.

This proposal, on the other hand, will invest in the space to create an economic engine that can fuel the region and provide much needed revenue to make larger scale community investments possible. And for so many members of our community, we will be able to provide career track, full time employment to thousands of people.

We have been a participant in community meetings and discussions and have seen the Sands welcome and respond to input, feedback and often very challenging questions. We have had the opportunity to address some of the issues directly with them and ask our own questions regarding the project and the plan. We feel confident that the Sands is hearing the questions and concerns of local residents and businesses and working with different community partners to address the various issues to ensure
that they are responding to the needs of the people.

Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you.

Monica Kiely.
MS. KIELY: This is a huge vote. And I, too, urge you to push the pause button or put this to a referendum. It's just too important. I actually have felt frustrated in my efforts to get through to you legislators. You listen, but you don't seem to really hear our side of the argument. And that's been frustrating for me and for others as well. But I've actually come to a place now where I'm sympathetic to you because if I were sitting in your chair, I would not want to have to make this vote because there's so much pressure on you and you really can't vote kind of how I think you feel in your hearts. Anyway, we need a referendum. We need to press the pause button. We need more information.

I want to point out that the Sands revenue projections of \(\$ 2\) billion a year are not wealth that is created. Casinos don't make things. They don't create things. They extract wealth from where they are. And so \(\$ 2\) billion is going to be extracted from Nassau County residents for the most part. You anticipate getting roughly \(\$ 60\) Million a year in taxes from this casino while they extract from Nassau County \(\$ 2\) billion. That's about 3\% of what they hope to take in. It's an extremely regressive tax and it's an extremely inefficient tax. We are taxing ourselves. The money that Sands generates will come in large part from gambling losses of Nassau County residents. That's the revenue stream that all this money is coming from.

If this was such a wonderful deal for us, why do they have to come in here and give benefits to so many groups?

Upfront payments support us, speak for us. Why does David Beckham have to come
here and pose for pictures with our unwitting children whose parents didn't even know they were going to a Sands event. Why this big fluffy sales pitch and all these "benefits and payments". If it's such a great deal for us, why are they pushing so hard? Why are they gaslighting us so hard at these sessions? This is a sales pitch we're listening to. It's not real information and the real numbers that we need to know. North of 20,000. Well, what is that? They have the modeling. They know, oh, we have traffic mitigation plans. We're going to do a traffic study. They already know. Why can't we know? It's our county. And the lease agreement (buzzer)
calls for the Sands to be able to reassign the lease if they don't get the gaming license without Nassau County's review. That, I believe, is a breach of your fiduciary responsibility to the taxpayers who own this piece of highly developable land in the middle of Nassau

County. It's a breach of your
responsibility and a loss of control over this property. Why would we give that away? I don't understand that.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Please come up. You're over the limit. So please, please sum up.

MS. KIELY: I'm sorry? Please sum up. You've passed the three minute mark.

MS. KIELY: Oh, I thought you wanted me to come up there.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: No.
No, we wouldn't ask that.
DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Helen Meittinis.
MS. MEITTINIS: Don't worry, I'll be short.

I'm Helen Martinez and I live in Salisbury in the Salisbury section of East Meadow in the Town of Hempstead. I am the president of our Civic group, Community Association of Stewart Avenue, and we have seen the proposal and we believe that this lease should be
transferred to the Sands to enable them to continue in the process with New York State. This is our last chance to bring economic development to the Coliseum property of this magnitude. No other developer has been successful in being able to invest in the infrastructure needed to provide a world class destination place.

To all the Legislators, Allow the Sands to have the ability to continue to go forward with this project.

And by the way, Patty Ryder is the best police commissioner ever anywhere in this whole country, and he's got our backs.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you, Helen.
DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Pearl Jacobs.
MS. JACOBS: Pear Jacobs, president of. The Nostrand Gardens Civic Association in Uniondale. Uniondale is a majority/minority community that has long suffered from neglect, disinvestment and
taxation without representation. Now Uniondale is in the headlines as the host community for the proposed Sands Casino. This is attention that Uniondale does not want. All we want is equitable representation, just like other selected communities receive. To even consider putting a casino in the middle of 40,000 students is sickening.

Fact:
Uniondale, Roosevelt, Hempstead and Westbury have been identified by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation as communities that are adversely affected by air pollution, among other concerning environmental issues.

African American and Hispanic youth have a significantly higher rate of asthma hospitalizations in Nassau County than that of Caucasian and Asian youth.

Traffic would increase significantly
with the casino at the Nassau hub, and increased traffic also results in high
levels of air pollution.
Hempstead Turnpike ranks in the top five of the most dangerous roadways in New York State. In fact, there is an increase in drunk driving accidents in communities where casinos are located. How will a casino be good for our communities?

Again. Did I mention that African American and Hispanic youth have a significantly higher rate of asthma hospitalizations in Nassau County than that of Caucasian and Asian youths?

A vote of yes to transfer this lease agreement would strongly suggest that you, as our elected representatives, have no concern or empathy for black and brown communities and that environmental environmental racism is alive and well in Nassau County.

Our quality of life is not for sale. We cannot be bought. There are many like minded people in Uniondale. That's why Nostrand Gardens Civic Association has
joined "Say no to the Casino" Civic Association.

Our quality of life is not for sale. Say yes to our youth to save our youth. Say yes to preserve our quality of life and say no to the casino.

DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Mateo Flores. Mateo Flores. For. Okay.
Vasu Krishnamurthy. I hope I got your
name right. Forgive me if I didn't.
MR. KRISHNAMRTHY: Thank you. Good evening, County Legislators. Thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak. I'm Vasu Krishnamurthy, a 20 year resident of Manhasset. I have a PhD in economics and I spent 25 years as a management consultant. I'm not a knee jerk, anti-business guy nor anti economic development. In fact, all my clients when I was working as a professional were big businesses and I got along with them just fine. But I'm very opposed to the casino anywhere in Nassau County.

I'll try in my remaining time to be
very specific in rebutting some of the arguments offered rather than give the general arguments which we have heard repeatedly.

First, quality of life. The
Administration Council touted the high ranking of Nassau. We are all proud of that. The right question and throughout my talks, I'm going to mention what I think are the right questions for you folks to ask and not be bedazzled by the big dollars and other other numbers. Not the absolute numbers, but the relative numbers are the right questions to ask.

So quality of life, the right question to
ask is how many of the top 100 ranked
quality of life ranked counties have
casinos in them? None, I'll bet. I
haven't had the time to check, but none.
That is the right question to ask.
The economy and jobs. Again, the
council touted some supposed recent
studies. In my phone right now I have a study from the the Richmond Federal

Reserve from July 2022. Happy to send it to all of you. Some of the key findings from there. First, no significant improvement in economic development for high density near suburbs like Nassau County. Okay. Number one.

Second, sales tax revenues, in fact, declined somewhat in the study of similar counties, not increased. Why? Because jobs and sales are stolen by casinos from other businesses. So relative numbers are the right question to ask, not absolute dollars.

Next, at the Hub, there are some specific conditions that make it worse, more or less suitable for a job for a casino. Why? Because the median salary in Nassau County is \(\$ 70-80,000\). The median average casino wages in New York are \(\$ 40-50,000\). The main reason we are losing young people, nobody disputes this, is high housing costs. This project does nothing to improve housing. Why is it rational to believe that young people
will stay in Nassau to get below median wages rather than leave elsewhere where they can do better?

Gambling addiction again. The good doctor touted \(1.4 \%\) as as and said that was a low gambling addiction rate (buzzer). The right question is what is the increase? The same study that I mentioned earlier said nearby communities had addictions going up double to triple and especially among seniors. So seniors don't do online gambling, they go to casinos. Thank you very much.

DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Thank you. Phil Andrews.
MR. ANDREWS: Good afternoon. One of
the things I'd like to start off with is since the founding of 1899 of Nassau County, equality and diversity, equity and inclusion has been an issue in both Nassau and Suffolk County. On the national level DEI is at the front and center of America. Recent data shows and census records show that we have a
declining population.
A couple of years ago, there was a study by a commission on the way to keep the young generation on Long Island, and it was about 1200 people at the Crest Hollow Country Club. Opportunity does not come by every day. Long Island is changing. The minority community is increasing. Ecosystems are being developed across diverse communities on Long Island.

And for the first time in 2019, an African American Chamber of Commerce, in which I am the president, was the champion for the federal government and all of downstate New York. So we are currently working with the Nassau Council Chambers of Commerce. We are currently working with the Suffolk County Alliance of Chambers of Commerce around Business Development, and we have a business solutions center at the Chamber of Commerce. So one of the things that we are doing is we are preparing the
business for opportunity.
Right now, both counties are currently doing a disparity study. Now, we there's been a loss of opportunity from Nassau and Suffolk County, Suffolk County being founded in 1600 s and Nassau in 1899. And we now are at the cusp of having an opportunity for minorities to get business. So one of the things that we believe, workforce development is very important and also small business development. Right now we're the only chamber on Long Island that's got a federal grant from the White House to help business for contracting. And just last week we did an NCC, we did a doing business with a prime business. So there's a lot of opportunities that we are preparing down the pipeline. So we believe this is a significant opportunity on workforce development.

Recently we put in for a NYSERDA grant. And just to show you a little bit about inequities, you know, in Hempstead,
when you miss the bus and you can't get to the city, you miss the Billy for workforce development. So there was a grant around having a bus go to Mineola right to when they miss the bus in Hempstead because underserved. So we think this grant is a model of what the nation could do as an economic opportunity for women owned, business owned and veteran owned business and workforce development and union work. Thank you.

DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Mary Carter Flanagan. Mayor Flanagan?
MAYOR FLANAGAN: Good evening. Thank
you for the opportunity for your
attention tonight. I was here last on May
8th, and I just want to remind you and reiterate that the Village of Garden City, of which I'm the mayor, does not support the Sands Casino.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MAYOR FLANAGAN: We've had two board
of trustee resolutions, one on February

21st, the other on April 20th. And at both times it was unanimously voted opposition to the Sands or the casino proposal. Between those two votes, we had a village wide election. It was hardly fought election contentious. Every single candidate in the election ran on a promise to oppose the casino at the Nassau Hub.

Yesterday, on the steps of this very building, \(I\) was joined by the mayors of Westbury and Mineola. Our message was clear, a casino is not good for our communities and we want you to vote no. It was interesting that Newsday ran a story on that and omitted the fact that three mayors spoke all in opposition to the transfer of the lease.

Twice I have been here. Both of the meetings what concerned me most was they really seemed to be like top notch sales pitches. And it seems that the sales pitch is coming not just from the business, but it's coming from the County
too, and it's coming from our own officials. And one thing that's really
struck me last time and \(I\) know many people were here, was we heard from the mayor of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. He came all the way here. He now works for -former mayor, rather -- now works for a developer. And he came here to sing the praises of the Sands Casino. Wonderful. And I don't think a negative word passed his lips when he talked about how great the casino was in Bethlehem. And at the same time he's speaking, anyone can look up on their phone and they can read the story in real time about at that very casino, 268 cases of unattended children. How do you think those parents think the casino worked out for Bethlehem? Gambling addiction is serious. It destroys families. It destroys communities. And this decision that you're making should not be taken lightly.

I do appreciate the legislator who
pointed out that these numbers everyone seems so enamored with are such a small fraction of the county budget. Remember that. You do not have to vote in favor of this lease transfer. And I beg you not to.

I also would point out and I think one of you noticed this, that the financial analysis that was done and presented tonight somehow was comparing this casino to what's been going on in the last ten years, the revenue from the last ten years. And \(I\) think we can all agree that's not the analysis that should be done. We can do better and we should be comparing this to a better plan, not to what's been going on for the last ten years (buzzer).

Thank you for your time and I urge you to vote no.

DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Mariano Ugalde.
MR. UGALDE: Good evening, everyone. I'm the president of the Union Chamber of

Commerce. My name is Mariano Ugalde.
Again, a lot of people said a lot of
things on both sides. I'd really like to say that I had my own concerns at the beginning of this whole process, but as we got to know what the project was and the scope of it, we really started to look at things differently. And this is by far the biggest project slated in the state at the moment. This is a massive project when we're having terrible tailwinds in the economy. This is a tremendous opportunity not only for the businesses here, businesses in Suffolk County, but also for minority and women owned businesses, which is an opportunity that people have been looking for for a long time, especially after the pandemic.

This project presents all those
opportunities and brings them here home to Nassau County, brings them here to Uniondale, where we have a primarily working community of blue collar people that all are concerned about is jobs and
work. That's really why they came to this country.

With that, I'd really like to urge you guys to support this project and vote in favor of it. Thank you. Good evening.
(Whereupon, Jorey Garcia, Mark Stern, Luis Moran, no verbal response.)

DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Marie Reimers.
MS. REIMERS: Good evening, everybody. Here we go again. Earlier on, some of the legislators were speaking. I had a feeling they were working for

Sands. I took half a day from work, and I call all 19 of you. Some of your assistants did reach out back to me. Some of you never bothered. That's not right. That's not the way it's supposed to work. We elected you to represent us. We can agree to disagree, but at least give me the respect that I take the time to call you. Even you cannot call me back. I
understand that. What happened to the people that are working for you and my money is paying them as well. Why they never call me back? That's fine.

When you come to Mr. Blakeman, I got
his picture when he make all those statements. Oh, for Sands? No. Okay. I'm going to keep an open mind. I'm going to listen to the community. Blah, blah, blah, blah, blah. Bingo. He sold it out. I want you guys to know you might not be up for re-election, you might not be here next time, but your record will stay in the Library of Congress forever. Your future generation is going to find out what you did. Okay. And bear in mind, today or yesterday, the Community 13 of Brooklyn, voted against the casino at the Coney Island. Okay. So some of them have the courage to say this is the place when summer come, kids are coming to play, people are coming to the beach, tourists are coming to visit. They said no. And why can't you guys say no? And we're not
asking you guys to say no for the entire project. We're just opposing the casino part of it. What's wrong with that?

What's wrong? If it's going to have a convention, it can make a lot of money with the convention. He is going to have a hotel. He can make a lot of money. And what I don't understand, too, you guys are taking jobs from the right hand and giving it to the left. We got more you out there that have a lot and employee more. You never bother nobody. You guys take it and put it in the proposal to give Sands the land. So what happened to those workers? They are union workers too. They are union workers too. So what's going to -- they're going to lose their job. They're going to lose their seniority and then they're going to go with the Sand and have new people coming, paying them less and less sick time, less vacation time, less everything. And then when you guys are talking about. DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:


Please finish. Please finish. Your time is expired.

MS. REIMERS: My time expired. Can I get two seconds like everybody else?

DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL: Two seconds like everyone else.
(Whereupon, public
interruption.)
MS. REIMERS: But remember that your names will go to the Library of Congress forever.

DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
David Kennedy. David Kennedy.
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Jeannine Maynard.
MS. MAYNARD: Thank you, Presiding Officer and Legislators. I'm here as a community resident of the Greater Uniondale area and an active person in the committees. I've co-chaired the environmental-committee, and I want to speak a little bit about the process and
about the way I see this project.
Today is really about the lease
transfer. And many of the environmental issues of the build will be handled at the Town of Hempstead. And we're aware of that and we're ready for that. And there are things that we intend to advocate for. Earlier today, Legislator McKevitt mentioned concern in the surrounding area, and we've had discussions about the ability to have moratoriums on check cashing places and, you know, pawn shops and other kinds of things, and we want a radius of protection. Those things are yet to come in the discussion.

But today about the lease, there's something pretty amazing that happened. First of all, in this lease, we see that Uniondale is held up as the host community and that is very, very, very important. We we also see that two weeks ago, Legislator Bynoe brought some of the concerns forward in the hearing on Rules. And at that time, Vice President Ron

Reese said that they were willing to do something about that. And here, two weeks later, we see that more has been done.

The Sands Corporation kept their word. They have the capacity to keep their word. And this wasn't just a pittance. It's \(\$ 25\) million of much needed resource in the areas that are heavily impacted. There's an attempt to negotiate well and be tough negotiators. But to do the job that we're called to do, which is to develop the county, step into the future, understand that there are a lot of electronics and opportunities. There are many, many addictions. We have to tool up and the community has to be ready. And to do that we need resource. And it's a partnership for healthy community. That's what we're looking for. And the transfer of the lease has a Plan A and a Plan B. But the Sands Corporation has the capacity to build at a standard we like. We want good environmental sensibility. We want recognition of our community. And
    those things are here in this
    opportunity. So I urge you to please
    support the lease transfer. Thank you.
    DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Lisa Holz. Lisa Holz.

MS. HOLZ: Hi. Good evening. My name is Lisa Holz and I live at 91 Tulip Avenue in Floral Park. When \(I\) first heard about the casino at the Hub, I immediately thought of the development of the UBS Arena in Elmont, and I thought of my neighbors reactions as well as mine.
We didn't want it. It would bring
outsiders into the neighborhood. The
increased traffic would be a nightmare.
People would park on our residential
streets. They would litter. Create an
environmental disaster. We organized. We
protested and we lost. Then it opened and
we were right. Tulip Avenue, there was
traffic. It was a nightmare. People were
parking in our streets. Game days were
everything we thought and worse.
    Then the county stepped in. And they
took the lead. Traffic studies were done, game days were monitored, routes were changed. They changed parking on our streets and opened up enough spots at the arena. After a few months, we were wrong. The County forces with Bruce Blackmon's office and people like Arthur Walsh showed leadership and mitigated all of our problems.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MS. HOLZ: Now, you can't even tell
if it's a game day or not unless you're an Islander fan, which \(I\) am not. I'm a Ranger fan. I'm right about my team, but I was wrong about the UBS project and the long term impact on the community. Don't listen to the voices on that side of the room because they may be wrong like I was with UBS. Listen to the people who have gone through it, and it is in my backyard, in my community. UBS is a symbol of regional sports success and has been successful and brought the Islanders back to their home. Now you have an
opportunity to allow Long Island to have a symbol of our region's status as an entertainment and performance venue, not just for New York, but for the country to see. I respectfully ask you to not pause but push play on your vote.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MS. HOLZ: And I want you to. And say yes to the transfer lease at the Vegas Sands and keep moving Long Island forward. And as an educator in the public school system in Long Island at an alternative high school, this would be a great opportunity for my students who may not be college bound but could take careers like in unions in the market. And just like just like the gentleman at

Nassau Community College said, it would be a great opportunity for all who may not have a pathway to college and be able to still live on Long Island.
(Whereupon, applause.)
DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Theresa Regnante.

MS. REGNANTE: Good evening. So we have an opportunity to advance the futures for thousands of families. This is not the first time that the sands has been in an operating room. Their specialists, they face traffic congestion, environmental concerns, safety and security. It's in their DNA to work with community, to address and partner toward solutions. For the first time, a private employer is willing to invest in a long term plan to create the safety net for so many families.

You know, each week I receive resumes from college students, college graduates, asking for employment opportunities. And I sit on the board of several institutions and now on the board of SUNY Old Westbury and for 30 years it's dedicated my career to the advancement of young people. We can't keep giving them the answers: Related to COVID, downturn in the economy, and costs that are so high that older individuals
are not retiring. It's really time for these young people to thrive.

The venue will need accountants, business majors, hospitality majors, lawyers, procurement officers, carpenters, laborers, sheet metal workers in so many more. Please don't be the hurdle that prevents young people from kick starting their futures and staying right here on Long Island. It's more than a casino. You've heard that before. We have a partner in Sands who's willing to invest millions of dollars in support of the not for profit sector in this region. Veterans and youth are the backbone of their community partnerships everywhere and for decades. Diversity, equity, inclusion and accessibility are at stake. For the first time in a very long time, let's give young, diverse families a chance for a prosperous future. I've talked to my colleagues in Vegas, and they confirm that the Sands stay at the table and invest in community
and get the answers to the human services challenges together with the community.

Certainly, for this evening, we thank you in advance for your positive outcome. Have a good evening.

DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Kevin Boone.
MR. BOONE: Thank you, Presiding Officer, Legislator. Thank you, Residents. Speaking for myself and again, only speaking for myself, \(I\) am a current elected official in the Village of Hempstead. I will say that I am 100\% for this project. Definitely in conjunction with everything that Legislator Bynoe had spoken about regarding Hempstead, Uniondale as well. This job is going to bring so much and jobs and other things for the future that's definitely needed in the area of Uniondale Hempstead and, you know, just encompassing the whole area of a wealth of things that we do not have. So again, I urge the Legislative Body to please vote yes on the lease
agreement so that we can move forward in getting things done for the for the County. Thank you very much.

DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL:
Thank you. Johny Martincic.
MR. MARTINCIC: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen of the Legislature. I want to thank you for having us here this evening. I want to thank my union brothers and sisters for coming here this evening. And \(I\) even want to thank the "Say no" people over here this evening as well.

My name is Johnny Martincic. I'm a union delegate for Steamfitters Local 638. I represent 9500 members of Steamfitters Local 638. We do all the piping and the heating, air conditioning and fire suppression fields.

I just want to address something that \(I\) heard this evening that quite frankly, disgusts me. All right. And I need to speak on it a little bit off topic. When our police commissioner, Pat

Ryder, got called up to this microphone and not to point fingers, but I think there was some some boos that came from this side of the room. I think that's disgusting. Okay. This anti-police sentiment these days is appalling. Okay. Even though we don't agree on everything, I would never wish anything bad to happen to any one of us in this room. But if you happen to be a victim of a crime on your way home tonight, do me a favor. Those that booed don't call 911 because you'd be awfully hypocritical in doing so.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MR. MARTINCIC: All right. I'm
obviously for the casino. I'm kind of getting tired of listening to the same reasons that oppose the casino. I keep hearing crime, crime, crime. The casino is going to bring crime to Uniondale. To Hempstead. I hate to be the bearer of bad news, but the crimes here, the crime is been here. Okay? I myself have been a victim of a crime in Uniondale. I went my
first year of college. I attended college at Nassau Community College. My first month in front of the \(G\) building. There was an all out gang assault. I saw two people get stabbed. I saw somebody's face get bashed in by a bike lock. All right. So I hate to tell you, but the crime is already here, okay? The gambling is already here. There's illegal dice games and illegal gambling dens going on everywhere in Uniondale and Hempstead. But guess what? There's no police there, okay? There's absolutely no enforcement. And subsequently, there's a lot of crimes that are committed at these illegal dice games and whatnot. So please, I get it. You guys got to have talking points, but you got to come up with something a little a better than it's going to bring crime to the neighborhood. It's not going to fly anymore. All right.

So anyway, I just saw \(I\) want to
thank everybody for giving me this opportunity. Please, I urge everybody to
vote yes. Okay. One more thing I keep hearing about, about the minority communities and whatnot. I'm a union organizer. I spend most of my time in minority communities. I try to organize minorities into the union because I believe that everybody should have the same opportunity that I had. A Croatian American. My parents are both immigrants. Here is an opportunity that the Uniondale community -- Yeah you keep going like this and I've been saying everybody that's talking about jobs, I keep seeing you all just sitting here. No, no, no, no. This is the opportunity that the black and brown community will never have. Okay, So you keep going like this. Keep going like that. That means you don't give a crap about your community. You don't care. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: George Argyris.

MR. ARGYRIS: Good evening, everybody. My name is George Argyris. I'm
the owner of Grand Stage Diner, located in East Meadow, New York. Thank you for letting me give my opinion in favor of the transfer of the leased property to Sands. In my opinion, it will create a tremendous number of jobs that did not exist before. It will create hospitality management degree programs in universities so our graduates will be guaranteed jobs through the sands programs. It will create revenue for the police department to keep our community safe and take care of any upgrades needed. Local businesses will have opportunities through a procurement program that has already been launched by Sands.

Sands has a proven track record of giving back to the community that they conduct business in. Let's not stay with the vacant land. This is an opportunity we cannot lose. Thank you.

Let's say yes to sands. (Whereupon, Jennifer

Baxmeyer, Katherine Garry, no verbal response.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Tina Shuford.

MS. SHUFORD: Good evening, everybody and Legislators.

I'd like to say I'm in support of Sands Casino Entertainment center in we would like to have the opportunity to bring these jobs in our community and I would like the opportunity as well with working with Sands as a community leader and especially representing Hempstead, not no Uniondale and not know Garden City, because you're not the only ones that are around the surrounding areas. Just remember that it's not just yours. It's us too.
(Whereupon, applause.)
MS. SHUFORD: So I would like to let you know, and if you have any mental health problems, come to the New Hope Mental Health Center Counseling Service. We will have mobile services if you need
it. But I have a center in Rockville Center and I have a center in Lynbrook and Valley Stream, and I'm the outreach coordinator. So please feel come free. I'll give you some information if you need it, and it'll be for gambling as well. So please help support Sands. Thank you.

Jelani Watson.
MR. WATSON: Good evening, respected
Nassau County Legislature. My name is
Jelani Watson and I'm a recent law school
graduate and a lifelong Nassau County
resident. I'm here today to voice my deep
concern for the proposed Sands lease to be built at Nassau Coliseum.

My question to you as a constituent of Nassau County. Is what you want your child to grow up near a casino?

I have a few statistics regarding
casinos and their impact on communities that they're built in:

As per the National Association of Realtors, a 2013 study, the average value
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { of a home in the vicinity of a casino } \\
& \text { declines up to } 10 \% \text {; }
\end{aligned}
\]

Sands states that this could be the
highest grossing casino in North America, with 20,000 daily visitors operating 24 divided by seven. This will in fact increase the traffic and the pollution problems on Long Island for everyone;

As per the review of Economics and Statistics, a 2006 study, crime will increase. In the counties with Class three casinos, 9\% of total crime is gambling related, which will in turn increase the pressure on Nassau County Police Department, turning our safe streets into potential pockets of crime;

As per the National Institute of Health, a 2011 study. There's a direct correlation between the severity of gambling addiction and the likelihood of committing crime;

As per the National Institute of
Health, a 2020 study, people 18 to 24 have the highest rate of gambling
problems. Hofstra and Nassau Community College students would be the most vulnerable target group due to the proximity of the site.

Although there are pros and cons to a casino in the community, there are far too many negatives to be aware of such as environmental and socioeconomic issues. For example, large casinos and their associated infrastructure such as hotels and parking facilities have negative impacts on the environment. Their uses of energy and water generates waste and contribute to the traffic congestion and air pollution.

In my humble opinion. The cons far outweigh the pros for Nassau County. As our representatives, it is your duty. To serve your constituents and prevent outsiders from changing Nassau for the worse.

Again, as you vote on this proposal, I want you to think in honestly answer, would you want your child living near a
casino? Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Sebastian Mazzotta.
MR. MAZZOTTA: My name is Sebastian Mazzotta. I have spoken here, I guess it was March. I think you'd have more cohesiveness in the room if we were talking about constructing that property. You know, location, location, location was something my father taught me. We owned family owned businesses, grew up working with people. But when you look at that property, it's land locked. And eventually, whatever you're putting in there, they're not going to be able to grow. And when they leave, we're stuck. And that's what you guys have got to understand.

I couldn't agree more with all the men and women of the union. They need to work. All of them need to work. And I think if you excluded the casino from that property and did put in the restaurants and the theaters and the
things that could go in there, I think that would be splendid. You'd have my vote. I don't think you'd have two sides of the room. I spoke to Tommy McKevitt. Okay? He's a good man. I support him. But I understand the fear. I can understand. You vote against this thing, you lose your job. I understand that. But sometimes you just got to have courage and you got to put your faith in God. And that's all I'm here to say. I'm an East Meadow resident. I'm sorry. Forgive me for interrupting you while I was speaking. I apologize. Thank you, sir. I appreciate that.

So all I'm I'm trying to say, okay,
is build what you need to build, develop the property. But get rid of the casino. You don't need the casino. Put people to work. Bring in things like research and development. I think that's fantastic. Put a hospital in there. Put research. Bring in high quality jobs. I have children. I don't want to see them move
off Long Island. I have grandchildren. I don't want to see them move off Long Island. And really, when you stop to think about it. Okay, it makes sense. We're hungry. Sands Casino knows that. You're not dealing from a position of strength, folks. You're dealing from weakness. They know it. They've got you. You're bought and sold according to them.

Prove them wrong. Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Joseph
Nabet. Joseph Nabet.
MR. NABET: Okay, so I'm in full
support of the casino proposal. But
however, since the Sands was looking for
input from the community as a member of
the community, \(I\) decided to write my
proposal to be an addition to the to
their proposal. I sent business proposal
letters regarding redevelopment of the
Nassau Hub to six people, three of the
Sands, one to RXR Realty, one to
Executive Blakeman and one to Woody
Johnson. My letters are descriptive of a

> proposed casino and hotel resort, with the centerpiece being an NFL New York Jets stadium. Executive Blakeman, although willing to let the Sands do what they wish with the Nassau Coliseum, expressed a desire that the iconic arena could be still be used for sports like arena football and indoor soccer. Interesting words. My plans and sketches incorporate a world class retractable roof, NFL football and soccer stadium, which would be home of the Jets and the New York Cosmos. Also discussed are a world class four and five star hotel, a Nassau

Veterans Memorial park, two parking garages and a pedestrian bridge. The Sands could utilize this hotel for more than a casino because the revenue that would come from a retractable roof NFL stadium in the New York metro area would bring unprecedented opportunities, employment and revenue to Nassau County. The Jets and the Cosmos, although iconic,
```

never had a home to call their own Long
Island's aviation history and
contributions to space exploration, along
with the history of Mitchel Field, would
add a lot more meaning to the name New
York Jets and Cosmos.
Many are worried and concerned about
potential traffic being added to an
already congested area. Using recommended
alternative BRT routes discussed at the
Nassau Hub Transit Initiative public
meeting on January 18th, the initiative's
initial operating segment route, as well
as some ideas of my own, I believe we can
improve transit in the area and
revitalize the Nassau Hub. One of my
ideas includes use of some of the
recommended alternative BRT routes from
from the Nassau Hub to an LIRR Main Line
station. One of the stops on the way of
some of the routes is a new station on
Endo Boulevard, using a resurrected
version of the Garden City Mitchel Field
Secondary Line.

```

The New York Jets are about to hit the 15 th year of their lease at MetLife Stadium, and every five years hence, they may opt out of their lease if they give the state of New Jersey 12 months notice. The first opportunity for the Jets to opt out of the lease will occur in 2025, with the notice date of 2024, which is less than seven months away.

Las Vegas Sands founder Sheldon
Adelson wanted to build a stadium for the Raiders in Las Vegas, although he didn't directly build it, his business pursuit caused a chain of events that led the Raiders, as well as the Super Bowl, to Vegas. Mr. Adelson loved aviation and planes and even enlisted in the Army at one time in his life. With this knowledge in mind, as well as Nassau Coliseum and Mitchel Field being holy ground to sports, entertainment and of course, military history, building a football and soccer stadium for the Jets and Cosmos would be an honor and a tribute to Long

Island's contributions to aviation and space exploration, Mitchel Field's military history, the Nassau Coliseum, sports and entertainment history, and Mr. Adelson, the man who changed Las Vegas, the NFL and the world.

Enclosed are copies of the letters I sent if anyone wishes to read them. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you. Daphne Baptiste.

MS. BAPTISTE: Good evening, everyone. My name is Daphne Baptiste. I'm currently a social worker, a mother, a New York certified business owner in Nassau County. I support the Sands because the Sands will give myself my business opportunity and will also give other businesses opportunity as well to bring in revenue. I know tonight a lot of the concerns was talking about crimes and gambling. I think that the crime rates will go down because people know the reason why crimes happen is because there's no job opportunities available to
people. So if we provide the opportunities for people to have jobs, then the crime rates will go down. I also think that we'll have more policing in the neighborhood as well. That's going to be in the community where we won't have to worry about crimes.

And I also believe that Sands has supported a lot of organizations, has given a lot of money to different companies, has give back to children. So why not support Sands that comes into the community and give back? And this is what we always ask for. So, yes, the Sands. Thank you.

\section*{PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:}

Charlene Thompson.
MS. THOMPSON: Good evening, everyone. My name is Charlene Thompson. I'm a resident of Baldwin, graduate of Uniondale High School. I want to tell you a little bit more about about me, some things you may not know. I also am the president and CEO of Thompson Economic

Development Services, a company that I founded in 2011. And I've worked for more than a decade with the Long Island

African American Chamber of Commerce to work to give contracting opportunities to minority and women owned businesses across New York State. I also have recently been appointed to serve as co-chair of the Urban League of Long Island State of Black Long Island's Economic Equity Action Team.

And so I want to talk a little bit about I think this is like the third project. People talk about different options and opportunities. We've had at least two other options and opportunities that weren't successful. We had more support and momentum, but it still didn't get passed. So, you know, this is a legacy project. It's not only going to be for us, it will be for our children and our grandchildren, and it will reshape the face of what is called the Hub, although Hempstead is the original Hub.

But will reshape the face of Nassau County and turn the Coliseum into a destination point. Someone mentioned that people will then come from all over the country and perhaps even the world to our segment of the world bringing their dollars in and investing and spending their money here in Nassau County.

So in addition to being a legacy project and a destination point, Sands has already shown their commitment to our community. They've actually put their money where their mouth is. They've invested in non profit organizations. They fostered relationships with key organizations. They've worked to build a foundation of relationships that will carry them through the project. So they've already shown the level of commitment they're already willing to make without even getting the project approved.

And as some people already said, those folks who did that extra homework,
they are good community partners in other communities where they have these types of projects as well.

One of the things that we're most concerned about, you know, Long Island has a history of an economic wealth gap where the haves get more and the have nots are always left behind. But as a part of what we're here to do is to make sure when these opportunities roll down, that our communities, our workforce and our businesses are ready to partake in those opportunities. And that's on us to get ready.

And so tonight, we encourage you to vote yes on the lease transfer, but know that we're going to stay engaged in making sure all those obligations and commitments are honored. Thank you for your time.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Cris
Cuestas.
MR. CUESTAS: Thank you for the
opportunity to be able to speak to you guys and address everybody here. It was wonderful to hear the Sands representative, the young lady shake through her whole presentation. But, you know, here we are, you know, part of what we were discussing today and some of the issues that were brought up by the police commissioner was bringing down crime. But as you heard it from the gentleman in the gray shirt, the crime is already here. Seriously, like, what makes you think that the crime is not going to go up and we're already paying our police
department \(\$ 868\) million a year that comes out of our taxes. Your taxes as well.

Right. Who's going to foot the bill when it's going to be 1.2, you know, a couple more million dollars? It's going to be us. It's literally going to be us. I mean, by statistics, the police department, if you're African American, you're 18 times more likely to get shot by them by Nassau County police. And if
you're Latino, it's 11.5 times more likely to get killed by them. Like nothing against you guys. You guys are killing it, literally. But seriously, I mean, what makes you think that this is not going to bring more problems to the community? I've lived in Uniondale my whole life. I live right on Marvin Avenue. I live a stone's throw away from the Coliseum. I work in New York City. I'm an investment. And there's a reason why most investment companies do not support projects like this.

And if this project goes through, I'm going to be one of the people leaving. And what's going to happen to the housing prices? You're going to get stuck with housing that nobody wants to buy. And that's a problem.

I brought my electricity bill.
That's one of the things that hasn't been brought up. And this is for you guys, too. Half of my electricity bill is power supply charges, which is the fuel that
they use to bring in so that we can make power, but they never address the utilities. They never talked about that. Our utilities are going to skyrocket and it's going to be and it's going to be the local communities that are going to pay for it.

Seriously, like, how can you guys possibly believe that this is a good idea in the long term? Look at look at the communities around Las Vegas. Have you guys been. I went in November. Las Vegas is a disaster as soon as you leave the strip. So is Atlantic City. Is that what you guys want for for Uniondale? Is that what you guys want for Garden City? I got educated at Hofstra University. I wouldn't my parents would never send me there if they knew that there was a casino right down the block. So seriously. Think about it, please. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Stephen Rolston. MR. ROLSTON: I'm Steve Rolston. I
live in Baldwin, New York. Hello, neighbor. I heard a neighbor speak before. Thank you all for being here so long.

Let me start with well, to each construction worker here we are with you. There, universities, medical groups and so many other proposed projects which are waiting to be built. Just as the casino issue before us. We side with you construction workers. I'm glad you understand we backed the construction unions. To each Sands employee who spoke today. You helped write my speech.

Here is a group sans corporation who wishes to introduce a casino to Nassau County and several of your presentations. You list phone numbers and help groups to address the ills of gambling, the seductive addiction of gambling. How does this enhance the quality of life in Nassau County, Hofstra University released the best research paper I have seen on the effects of a casino. I sent
the link to this board on Saturday. It's called LI Economy and Casino on the responsiblenassau.com link. The paper is simply titled Public Policy paper. I urge you to read it. It's about a 10 or 15 minute read for me, 15 minutes. I'm a slow reader. There is also excellent research on the site, Stoppredatorygambling.org. They tell us in the Illinois Law Review that neighborhoods for ten miles around are degraded by the presence of a casino. You are admitting to being ready to help addicted gamblers in need.

We have a much better plan. The construction workers want more opportunities. Let them help us expand our universities and research centers. Our world class hospital groups. There is plenty of work for you by joining our side. Our police are stretched thin, keeping us safe as they do so well. A casino takes their resources away from our towns, as reflected in today's
presentations.
Sands Las Vegas, profit comes from one place our wallets. They take our money and trickle a little bit back, which creates the addiction so widely researched. What kind of business model is that? Look at Atlantic City. That is the future of Sands projects. They already gave up on America and moved all their current casinos to the Middle East and Asia. They come in, take our money and move out. I plan to live in this beautiful county for many more years. Based on their record, Sands will have left well before I'm gone. Let's all say no to the casino.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Caprice Rines.

MS. RINES: Good evening. Finally, and I'm speaking to all the legislators, especially to mine, Ms. Bynoe. And I don't know where the Uniondale rep went.

I don't think \(I\) saw him here tonight.
But I'm a 55 year resident of the

County of Nassau. I'm a graduate of Uniondale High School. Proud of it, which

I graduated in the top percent. I was Who's who among American high school, The first Black Key Club President in Uniondale. I was a Uniondale Kiwanis Club president. I was also voted Kiwanian of the Year. I will say I went to Virginia, Suffolk at that, and the cartel was the one building the casinos. No resort with it or anything. And I will say, and this is coming from the people of of Suffolk, they're not getting any benefit from it. So I am proud to say that we do have a democratic process in this. I, based on the people offices, should look like the people of the community. So I'm a little disappointed that Commissioner Ryder left. But when we hire the officers, they should look like the community of Uniondale, the community of East Meadow. And I was born in East Meadow. I lived in Uniondale most of my life. My grandfather built one of the first churches in

Uniondale by hand in the early 70s. My mother went to Martins Road. So we have been I don't know what this lady is talking about. Her family is the first person to build Uniondale and all of that. I don't know who her family is and she never gave what Uniondale was called. But I will say, though, I love the fact that we should have apprentice in a 40\% base of minorities building that casino. Emergency services saying should partner with the hospitals in regards to emergency services. People that say that they don't want it. They sound like the people who didn't want to get rid of Jim Crow when it was time to change.

And I will say we want you know, we want change. I hate that my children had to choose to leave New York in order to have employment. Sands is saying that starting salary will be \(\$ 70,000\). That's really not enough to live on Long Island, but it's a start. Hempstead and Uniondale will become the Hub again. And let's
let's make it happen. If the casino don't work, let's close it. But I do believe that if we do something, start somewhere. Last time they wanted to build something there before I was on the committee. And we didn't like it, it didn't go ahead.

But do something. You got to start
somewhere. And it's like buying a car. I
have a Mercedes. I didn't buy the
baseline Mercedes. So you don't want to build a resort and not have the casino,

We're going to build it, build it right.
Let's start out right. And I'm and
that's what I'm saying. We can't do
things the wrong way. My mother said
start out how you can hold out. So let's
do this the right way. I am for the
casino. Thank you.
(Whereupon, Theudia Baily, Justin Brown, no verbal response.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Chris McAniff.
MR. MCANIFF: Hello, everybody.

Thank you for this opportunity. I can only imagine what your guys brains are going through because I've only been here for a couple of hours. You guys do this all the time.

I'm a union carpenter. I'm a resident, single parent in East Meadow. The facility or the property you're talking about is within a mile and a half of my children's schools. I've heard it earlier mentioned Jake's 58. All the same things were raised about that and virtually none of it occurred. I want to point out to everybody in the audience that this is not just about a casino. Sands is a real estate management corporation, and they're putting a whole plethora of things together on this property. This is a property where, like world famous events have occurred over the years. You can go look through your record collections and find them. Okay. Now it sits there basically with the exemption of some kids riding go karts
and what, two concerts this year and the G-league Nets who we love and you should support, you should go support them.

There's another thing that's been stated, that this is the first time that there's been a fully paid for redevelopment of this property. That's not true. There was a redevelopment about a decade and a half ago put through and it was shot down by Garden City. After everything else went through, Kate Murray, who lived two blocks away from me and had an automated phone call telling me I couldn't water my lawn. As I drove to the store to get my son his instrument for music, she had seven sprinklers.

Okay. Seven. So don't let the politics of Garden City that has a buffer zone of an industrial park between the Coliseum and their homes deter you guys to what's going on. Because if you guys are lifelong members of this community and you understand the geography of that area, you know, I'm telling you the
truth. There's an industrial park between Garden City and Nassau Coliseum, and the traffic from the Coliseum does not go through Garden City. You got Hempstead Turnpike. You've got the Meadowbrook Parkway right there. This is all a bunch of minutia. And they're trying to shove on you that they're going to stop your political careers. You know what? You guys represent all of us. And this is

America. And I love this. And I believe that I agree with these people that you should have a big forum. Perhaps you should rent out the Coliseum and have all Nassau County residents show up and have that be the platform for this hearing. And I can guarantee you they'd be beyond outnumbered. Thank you so much for your time.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Jeremy
Joseph.
MR. JOSEPH: I'm Jeremy Joseph. I'm a resident of Hicksville. This may be
news to you, but we're currently in the midst of a housing crisis, both
nationwide and especially on Long Island. Over the last decade, no area has created fewer new housing units than Long Island in the northeast region.

We have a housing shortage, and when there is a shortage of housing, people leave, existing homes become more expensive, property taxes go up. People are moving away from Long Island en mass because they cannot afford to live here. This is by far the number one problem we are facing here. And if you had any sense of responsibility to your constituents, if you had any clue, this would be your number one priority. Instead, most of you have spent your time doing the opposite. Most of you on this side, at least. You have pulled out all the stops fighting any and all housing initiatives. Save our suburbs, you cried. Because apparently new housing and, God forbid, affordable housing, this is the biggest threat to
our suburbs. If only the public had control of one of the largest properties in central Nassau, one that is clearly in need of development, one that is in the middle of an already suburban environment. Well, we do have that.

Our politicians apparently are rolling out the red carpet for a casino. The casino is a great addition to a community many of you will claim. Oh, yeah, well, if it's so great, why aren't you fighting to put it in Great Neck or Port Washington or in the middle of Garden City? You know as well as the rest of us, you would be run out of town on a rail if you tried to bring in a predatory industry in these well-to-do communities. But Uniondale, Roosevelt, Hempstead, Well, I guess you don't care as much about them.

How little do you care? Let's go into it. Las Vegas Sands keeps talking about these good union jobs. Well, that's for the construction phase. That's 18
months. I'm more concerned about what happens afterwards. Sands has not released anything beyond vague salary estimates for their operation workforce, and it's no wonder, given what their employees receive elsewhere. If you look at Glassdoor, we can see a cashier salary of \(\$ 33,000 ;\) kitchen worker, \(\$ 34,000\); security guard, \(\$ 36,000\); food server, \(\$ 37,000\). Nearly every service related job and that will be the majority of the operations workforce, has a listed salary of \(\$ 40,000\) or less. Does anyone here think you can live on Long Island on these wages? Of course not. Maybe Sands will designate a parking lot where their employees can sleep in their cars at night. You're taking some of our poorest communities and setting them up to be cheap labor for \(\$ 1\) billion corporation. If that weren't bad enough, the majority of casino revenue has been shown to come from residents in surrounding areas. This is the revenue you're excited about?
```

Identifying low income residents and
milking them for their last dollars
(buzzer).

```

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you, Mr. Joseph.

MR. JOSEPH: A casino is what politicians decide on when they've given up, when they're out of ideas.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Mr. Joseph.

MR. JOSEPH: Or when they flat out don't care. Since it's clear we really need to save our suburbs from those of you who vote yes on this lease transfer. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Andrew Ayodiji?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Perry
Fogg.
MR. FOGG: Hi, I'm Perry Fogg. I'm a 56 year resident here, five college grads and one of my children graduated from

University of Hofstra.
I want to say thank you for this opportunity. I want to be clear and transparent. I'm biased just like everybody else here is biased. Everybody has their own issues. But \(I\) would like to say, if we were able to come together with your cons and work them out because there's a great opportunity for us to grow Nassau County. It's not just the casino, because we understand casino is a business where people are being entertained and they're spending their money. But not only that, there's a resort and that resort is going to be able to house people for entertainment.

So where there's where you have this kind of opportunity, where a major influx of money is coming in and they're allowing our people to be a part of that, I want to be a part of it. I'm for Sands. I'm for this economic growth and this infusion. And what we can do is when we approve this, we can make sure that we
hold Sands to the fire. That's the most important thing.

In the beginning, I wasn't sure about it because of the gambling aspect of it, but some gentleman said gambling is right here on the phone. And that's so true. And the other thing is, what I thought was really, really won me over was when I heard that they had some economic monies allocated for veterans homelessness. I'm all for that. I'm also a custom tailor, so \(I\) would love to be able to be a part of that at the resort. So, yes, I am biased and I am for Sands. Thank you very much for the opportunity.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Aubrey Muhammad.
MR. MUHAMMAD: Peace in the name of the law. I am Aubrey Mohammed. I pray that everyone is well. We don't need immoral enterprises. They start promising jobs. But Las Vegas turned into Sin City. Look at the lotto. They were promising to take that revenue and to put it towards
education. Did you know that? Our the revenue is hardly going towards
education. It goes towards
administration. Because you are corrupt. Look at the OTB dingy-ass OTBs that attract gamblers. It attracts broken people. Hopeless people. We need familyorientated businesses like industry. Invest in the people. We need to invest in manufacturing. Our manufacturing over the last couple of decades have been exported. And it has turned China into a prolific superpower. They understand the significance of manufacturing. We need like industry.

Let's turn away from this
hopelessness and turn toward something that will cultivate the best out of us. This immoral, quick cash jobs, paying off people. Lot of y'all weren't even paying attention to what a lot of people were saying out here. Why? Because our moral compass got to be towards development, but it got to be towards a prosperous
one. Hempstead has been racistly
devitalized the last two decades and is targeted for gentrification. This is missed development.

Let's take these resources and take our energy. Towards family-orientated development cultivation for a prosperous future that is moral and we can stand strong. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Thank you. Chris Mills.

MR. MILLS: Good evening. My name is Chris Mills. I am a millennial that lives in a minority community based Freeport. I've been born and raised there. I spent my whole entire life through the public school system. I frequently speak at the school. I speak at colleges. I'm a serial entrepreneur. I started trying to make music for myself. I tried to start a brewery and I often talk to a lot of the kids in the area that you never know who you're next to and what opportunities are present.

The one reason I feel like I want to support the transition of this is, frequently in my community, there's a lot of people that have no opportunities to really find employment. And I think this could be not only a great asset for those communities, but also with technology and newer developments. It gives them just hope in the sense that like they're not sitting there with -- You know, I'm just going to say, I've been listening to everybody opposing each other, everybody going back and forth about what's wrong or what's right. I really just think that there's two things you need to think about when you're making this decision: Opportunity and responsibility.

I think that as a business owner like myself, trying to start something out of nothing and really just learning along the way, humility is another word that that captures me. I didn't know what I didn't know until it happened. And I doubt that there's a lot of adults in
this room who would want to put anybody's lives or financial stake at risk purposefully. I truly believe that. And so I think that the people in leadership for Sands are going to do all that they can to make sure that those that have the responsibility to provide this economic opportunity do so justly. And I think those that are opposed and upset about it, though I remain empathetic, should try to see another standpoint about what's just desolate and sitting there. If it was named a gaming community, would that change the outcome? I think we get caught up on words and less about action and sitting here for ten years and watching that place in Uniondale just sit there, you know.

Someone mentioned about annual
revenues of ten years. How far back do we go? Let's do it now. There's a lot of kids hungry, like millennials, like myself that went to school that was a 60 average, decided to go back at 30 and get
a 3.9 and my MBA. And \(I\) only did that because I felt hungry and I wanted to make better of myself. And so I hear a lot of kids every day talking about this. And, you know, I just think there's great opportunity with this transition. So I'm for it. That's all I have to say.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All
right, that concludes the slips that we have.
(Whereupon, applause.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I know that some legislators do have questions. Who do we want to bring up? Do we want the administration? Do we want Sands? We want both? Michael?

Legislator Debra Mule has a question.

LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

So my question is, is one thing that wasn't talked about today was the percentage of MWBE jobs. I've heard you speak about it before, but \(I\) just want to make sure that that's been spoken about in public.

MR. REESE: Yeah. Thank you, Legislator. We appreciate that. Obviously, there will be a state mandate to be at \(30 \%\), which we will obviously work to meet and exceed where possible. I think importantly, too, from the moment that we started here, we've engaged with people in the community that have real inroads and strategies around workforce
development where we can look at ways to
work with. Matty Arisich and I have
talked about this at length for many
months in terms of bringing folks into
the building and trade constructions to
help meet those goals. So it's something
we take very seriously.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Okay. And what process, what procedure do you have in place to make sure that those goals are being met? Who monitors that?

MR. REESE: Well, we'll be obligated to do it through the State procurement process. And I think that's probably one of the most important measurements.

MR. LEVOFF: I can just add that the State RFA process, any, any response that Sands gives as part of that process becomes binding upon Sands as a condition of our license. So the commitments we make on MWBE, the \(30 \%\) that Ron just mentioned, which is both in construction and in operations, that will be binding upon us and certainly subject to audit by
the Gaming Commission.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Okay. That's good.
Ron, you said that you wanted to exceed the \(30 \%\). Do you have a goal in mind of what that looks like?

MR. REESE: Well, I think we've already started, Legislator, to put together a program. The State hasn't given us an indication of when these applications are going to go in. We've already started. We've got people in this room who are helping advise us. We're talking to a lot of people. You heard EAC reference today who's helping us think through our workforce development strategies. And certainly I think in the surrounding communities to this, there's a lot of underemployed and unemployed people that could benefit from those programs. So both I think certainly and on the procurement side as well.

We're going to go into the community and we're going to source a lot of goods and services from the local community and
certainly in the communities Uniondale and Hempstead and East Meadow and look for the opportunity to bring people, minority women owned, veterans owned and other businesses to participate in the process.

LEGISLATOR MULE: Do you have a number that you want to get to?

MR. REESE: Not as I stand here today. I mean, obviously, we're going to meet the State's and we're going to work really hard to try and drive that number up as high as we can.

LEGISLATOR MULE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. REESE: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Solages.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you very much, Presiding Officer. Good evening. And thank you for everyone who's still in the room tonight. Thank you. Good evening.

On the May 8th hearing, a representative from the Sands Corporation
stated that employees would earn an average base wage of at least \(\$ 70,000 \mathrm{a}\) year. They said average, not median. My question is, what assurances can you make that you will pay your workers a living wage?

And secondly, my second question is, will you guarantee that the lowest paid worker will make a minimum of \(\$ 30\) an hour and tie that to the rate of inflation?

MR. LEVOFF: Yeah, I appreciate the I appreciate the question. Ultimately, our workforce in operations will be governed under a collective bargaining agreement with whatever union ultimately organizes. We have, presently, a neutrality agreement with the Hotel Trades and Gaming Council in New York, which will represent 80 plus percent of the job titles within the facility. On the 70,000 average, and we we meant average, entry level wage -- and there's benefits in addition to that wage -- that is based on our analysis of existing
collective bargaining agreements that are in the market presently, both at Aqueduct Racetrack Facility, as well as Yonkers, and an analysis of the upstate collective bargaining agreements. And they're all with the same operating union. And our internal analysis, we believe that certainly entry level jobs will make at least \(\$ 70,000\) per year in a base wage.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Understood. My concern is that many people, for example, like my father, who was a retired police officer, supported his family by being a private security officer at a Walgreens, for example, many people from my community, the Caribbean community, you know, go into the field of security. It's very important. And, you know, just as important a job as law enforcement individuals serve. They are providing for a family. So so I believe in the living wage. Will you make -- and I've spoken to the mayor of Bethlehem, and he did assure me that the sands Corporation
did operate in good faith -- will you
operate in good faith to make sure that these individuals are paid a living wage? Because here in Long Island, specifically Nassau County, the cost of living is very high and that is not sufficient to have a sustainable livelihood. So can you please?

MR. LEVOFF: I can't speak to what the union will ultimately negotiate, but I will say in my experience in the market, any any of the job titles within the casino were more than a living wage. I think my experience personally, having worked at the Aqueduct Racetrack facility, the base wage for the lowest paid employee there was over \(\$ 30\) per an hour. So I expect that in our in our modeling and our modeling that we will also be doing for the State as part of their process, we will be projecting that people will be paid in excess of \(\$ 30\) an hour.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you. Is
that is that a guarantee?
MR. LEVOFF: I can't guarantee how what the union's position will be. I that's outside of my purview.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: What happens until the workforce unionizes?

MR. LEVOFF: We will certainly be paying them in excess of -- how do you define living wage, I guess, is the question.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I mean, Nassau County defines that.

MR. LEVOFF: Well, everyone has a different definition. But how do you define living wage?

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Based on the cost of living? The cost of your income?

MR. LEVOFF: We certainly believe that the wage will we will be providing will allow people who work and live in Nassau to support their family.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Okay. Are you familiar that there's a Nassau County statute that defines a living wage?

MR. LEVOFF: Sorry, I couldn't hear you legislator.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: We'll talk off the record. Nothing further. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Drucker.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

I have a question for your security officer gentleman,.

MR. REESE: Presiding Officer, I'd like to ask one of our friends from the Labor Fed to speak to the previous question, please. Thank you.

MR. STANTON: So I would never get between a particular affiliate and the workforce that they will represent. So I wouldn't define the wage myself. But ultimately, the collective bargaining process, the union that represents the workforce, has set a standard, a standard that he alluded to that has provided careers and opportunities for people to survive on Long Island. And I would point
you back to the Nassau County Living Wage law. And I assure you that every wage negotiated in any one of the HTC
contracts far exceeds the wages that are defined in the Nassau County living wage law. I don't know if that's a direct answer to your question, but we are very much interested in raising wages for workers.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Yes. That was it. Thank you very much.

MS. DURSO: I can't speak for the unions that will represent them.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Say your name for the record. I know who you are, but for the record.

MS. DURSO: John Durso, president of Long Island Federation of Labor.

Bottom line is, I would. Not be ashamed to say they ain't open until there's a union contract.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you. I
just have a quick question. You know, in this crazy world that we live in now with
mass shootings every single day, that that's something that really concerns me.

Are you going to employ metal detectors and facial recognition at the entrance of the casino?

MR. NAGEL: Yes. We're going to
deploy all the technologies to protect the people inside, particularly metal detectors.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:

Legislator Bynoe?
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Thank you, Presiding Officer.
Good evening, gentlemen. I'm not
sure that \(I\) want to direct the questions to you. Perhaps if legal counsel is here. Josh?

Good evening, Josh, How are you?
MR. MEYER: Good evening, Legislator Bynoe. How are you?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Good, thank you.
I just want to make a point of clarity. Earlier today, when Sands was
articulating their bolstered support to the Uniondale and impacted communities. It was stated that it was a side letter agreement. It's my understanding that this is not a side letter agreement. This is actually a legal instrument that is pursuant to the ordinance that's actually attached by reference to the lease. Can you confirm my understanding of that document?

MR. MEYER: Sure, that is correct. And pursuant to the ordinance, the County Executive is authorized to execute the lease as well as any associated legal instruments or and take any other actions necessary to effectuate the lease. So that would apply to that document.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. So this is
definitely more binding than a side letter, correct?

MR. MEYER: That's correct.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. And in this
instrument, just for clarity too, not only does it set aside the additional
supplemental funds, it also serves to act to add membership to the Community

Benefits Agreement; is that your understanding as well?

MR. MEYER: Yes, that is correct. And that will be further developed when the Community Benefits Agreement is developed, but it will be based on what's contained in that agreement.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Contained in the portion of this legal binding document that's connected to the lease, correct?

MR. MEYER: That's correct. LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Insomuch that it allows for additional representation, but it must come from the local impacted communities, correct?

MR. MEYER: That's correct.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. Thank you.
Additionally, this document also
requires that the Community Benefits
Agreement be entered into -- I'm looking
at this because I want to use the right term -- at the lease determination date,
which would be the licensed determination date, which would, in the event that this passes the Legislature today and it makes its way through the state and the state then makes a determination to issue a license to Sands Corp., that prior to that, the Community Benefits Agreement must be flushed out, correct?

MR. MEYER: That's correct.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. That ends my questions. Thank you.

MR. MEYER: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator McKevitt.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: I actually
have a number of questions for Terri Elkowitz.

MS. ELKOWITZ: Good evening, Legislator.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Good evening, Ms. Elkowitz. I just want you to go and backtrack and further clarify some of the points you were making earlier tonight regarding SEQRA. And in case people are
not familiar with the terminology, it
stands for the New York State
Environmental Quality Review Act of 1975.
And as you are very well acquainted with it is, it's a very, very rigorous process which identifies those environmental issues, which comes through the process. Just for what it's worth, I do live work in the area. I already saw the trip counters put out about 6 or 8 weeks ago, so I'm assuming that those were your trip counters to start that study?

MS. ELKOWITZ: Yes, they were. Okay. So once you went and you collect the data and you submit a draft scope to the Town, do you just want to just elaborate what is in that draft scope and how that affects the further development of the environmental impact statement?

MS. ELKOWITZ: Absolutely.
So when the Town Board issues a positive declaration, they require that the applicant prepare a very comprehensive draft environmental impact
statement. The first step is for the applicant to put together a draft scope which outlines all of the impact issues that it will be evaluating in detail. For example, we would have to identify every intersection that we are studying, what segments of the parkway. We have to tell them how we're studying them, and that goes for every topic we evaluate. I had a list of almost a dozen topics on the slide. We submit that, then there is a public comment period on that draft scope so that the public and all the involved agencies that have any approval authority over the application can look at it and they can tell the Town and tell the applicant that they want additional analysis, different analysis, and then the Town issues a final scope and the applicant is required to prepare its draft environmental impact statement based on what is prescribed in that final scope.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: So as part of
the preparation of the draft
environmental impact statement, the law,
I believe, does allow the Town of
Hempstead to hire its own expertise to review that statement and go over that statement, correct?

MS. ELKOWITZ: Absolutely.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: And who has to
pay for the Town's consultants, which they have to hire?

MS. ELKOWITZ: The Town's common
practice in the past was to have the applicant put up an escrow agreement. The Town controls that and the Town pays the consultants; the applicant funds it.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Correct. And
as that escrow agreement needs to be certainly refurbished, replenished at times like that, if that agreement is not replenished by the applicant, then my understanding is that the review then stops and the Town does no further study until the applicant comes back and replenishes those funds.

MS. ELKOWITZ: That is typically how the escrow arrangement works, yes.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: So during the development of the environmental impact statement and particularly regarding traffic and those other issues, sometimes maybe mitigation measures will be identified as part of the process. Can you please describe how the mitigation measures process falls into the environmental impact statement?

MS. ELKOWITZ: Absolutely.
So the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act and its implementing regulations require that an EIS do several things:

It has to identify issues of potential adverse environmental impact;

It has to analyze them;
And it has to propose mitigation for those impacts.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: So as part of the mitigation, you know, sometimes there may be requires for different roadway
intersections to be improved, different ramps, if the applicant refuses to go and agree to the mitigation measures which are identified in the impact statement, then what happens as far as the project is concerned?

MS. ELKOWITZ: So this is going to be a complicated analysis because, as you know, Legislator McKevitt, there are town roads, there are county roads, there are state roads, there may be village roads. So what we are required to do is evaluate the impact on those roads. And if we are having impact, identify with the mitigation measures are and what we are responsible for mitigating. And then we also need to discuss with the people that control the roads how that mitigation is going to be done that we would fund.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: But again, if the various municipalities and localities Nassau County Department of Public Works, New York State DOT, if they're identifying certain mitigation measures,
that must be done for this project to work and the Sands simply says it doesn't want to pay for it, does that really essentially end the project at that point?

MS. ELKOWITZ: I think it's highly unlikely, given what you've heard today, that the Sands is not going to mitigate impacts that it may be causing.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: But the required to. If they're identified and the mitigation is found, then the Sands is going to have to mitigate that.

MS. ELKOWITZ: Yes.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Okay. I just
want to also indicate, \(I\) would think it's probably highly likely that when this process is done, there will probably be litigation as far as this is concerned. And the courts in New York State have a very unique standard on identifying when there's a SEQRA application as the hard look standard. If you can identify and just explain what the hard look standard
means and what the requires for you when you're preparing the impact statement.

MS. ELKOWITZ: So the hard look standard has those components that I talked about before. The hard look standard requires that a lead agency, in this case, presumably the Town of Hempstead Town Board, is required to identify potential significant issues of adverse environmental impact, is required to evaluate them in detail, and is required to mitigate them to the maximum extent practicable. That's the requirement.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Right. And so during that litigation, if there is places where a group sues the Town and therefore the Court determines that there are some factors which are not looked into, have you seen cases where the Court will say this impact statement is not sustainable and makes the process go through it again? Does that happen? MS. ELKOWITZ: Yes, that has
happened on cases previously, certainly.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Okay. Thank
you. That's all I have at this time.
MS. ELKOWITZ: Thank you.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Any other legislators?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: I do have just a couple of follow up questions for Mr. Reese.

Obviously, you were able to negotiate benefits agreements with the communities of Hempstead, Uniondale and East Meadow. Yes, sir. And I guess local community leaders engaged Sands, Sands engaged those community leaders and arrived at a agreement in terms of a benefit for those communities.

MR. REESE: Yes, sir.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Assuming this goes forward today, if
other potentially affected communities
were to come to Sands, if this lease
transfer passes today, would Sands be willing to engage with those other communities if they've identified needs that potentially could be addressed?

MR. REESE: Thank you for the question, Presiding Officer.

Contrary to one speaker earlier today, we're looking at New York as a flagship opportunity for our company, and we intend to be in New York if we are so fortunate for a very long period of time. As such, regardless of -- and I heard the mayor of Garden City say on more than one occasion that they have rejected our proposal for this site and this lease transfer through their governance system
-- regardless, we want to be good
neighbors. We want to be in this
community. We want to work with
everybody. And so, yes, to answer your question, we are willing. Our door will remain open and we will have those conversations as people want to engage in them with us.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Thank you.

MR. REESE: You're welcome.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Walker.
LEGISLATOR WALKER: Just adding to what the Presiding Officer just said. Obviously, you know, here most of our fire departments are volunteer and some of them have a stronger -- they have hazmat or they have the fire police or whatever. Not every department has that. Look, I hope no emergency comes that they need to even call out our fire departments or whatever, but should that happen, and again, you reach out to other departments like Hicksville Fire Department that has hazmat, has the fire police certainly has a very large contingent of apparatus, if they were needed, that would be something that the Sands, too, would be looking to see?

Like, look, we we need to reach out to them, maybe we can help them in some ways
also. So that would be something you could possibly be interested in doing or would do?

MR. LEVOFF: Yes, certainly. We intend to work through the County Fire Marshal Uttaro to convene all the relevant fire districts and have a conversation with all of them about what what needs they have in terms of servicing our site. And we're certainly open to those conversations. In the Community Benefits Plan that has been outlined, fire districts and emergency services are one of the uses for those funds.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Okay. And my other comment was, I know you had spoken about it doing some type of Veterans Memorial. Obviously, the Coliseum was the Veterans Memorial Coliseum. Obviously, that Veterans Memorial, we'd like it to be something that's very special and really does honor our veterans because many, many Long Islanders really helped
build this island. Those were our
veterans that came here after they fought in the wars and they built this island and built this community. So we want to make sure we have something special for them there.

MR. LEVOFF: Certainly. The lease provides for \(\$ 1\) million for a veterans memorial at the site. But I would not be surprised, given the amount of veterans that work for our organization, that we spend much more than that, quite honestly.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Okay. And I was very, very happy to hear when I asked last time at the at Committee the outdoor events that you could have. And those would be something that the local
community, local residents could take advantage of in summer months; have little concerts outside, have things outside that they can take advantage of and really make it a special place for our residents, many of whom might not use
the casino. I'm not a gambler, so, I work too hard for the little bit of money that

I have. I'm probably not going to spend it in the casino. But going out to dinner, going to one of those concerts, doing something out on the lawn there, it will be special. And I think hopefully we'll get all of our community involved. And, although there might be controversy if it goes through tonight here, but eventually a place that brings us together as a community and as a county.

MR. LEVOFF: We certainly have
designed our project to have a plethora kind of open space, and it would be available for public and community use, certainly.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay. Any other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All
right. Before we go to a vote, I need to
```

amend the Item to add the conceptual
conceptual site plan as Exhibit 4.
Motion to amend Legislator Ferretti,
seconded by Legislator Giangregorio.
So all in favor of the amendment,
please signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members
present of the Nassau County
Legislature respond in favor
with, "Aye".)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Any
opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Carries unanimously, so the item is
amended and is before the Legislature.
Now we all have an opportunity to
say what we want, if we choose to do so,
before we vote. I'll start things off.
As we consider this, we must
consider the current situation and the
non casino potential development of this
property. As it stands now, it's 72 paved
acres with an obsolete arena. The current owner of the Coliseum lease is owed over \$100 million for the 2015 renovation. He's still owed that money. Lease revenue has indicated by the Office of Management and Budget Legislative Budget review is diminishing. So the prospects of a developer coming in would have to look at the following:

They'd have to buy out the current owner, Over $\$ 100$ million;

They'd have the expense of removing the existing Coliseum and that huge concrete bowl;

They would literally have to spend hundreds of millions or billions of dollars to develop this property;

The property itself, the development itself would have to be of sufficient intensity and density to justify that development, which has always been the problem over all these years. Even with Wang's proposal, it was dense because you had to generate enough income out of it
to justify the expense of developing. We are teetering on the edge of a recession as we have been for a while. The office market is flat to be generous. Off of New Hyde Park Road by the expressway they built this building called the Dealertrack. Beautiful new commercial building. It's completely empty.

So the chances are that if this falls through, this proposal, it's going to remain an eyesore of 72 acres of parking lot and an obsolete, fading arena.

If we approve the lease transfer, the first thing that it does and the most important thing is it gives Las Vegas Sands an opportunity to apply for a gaming license. However, that's not guaranteed to happen, as apparently there's upwards of ten competing for the three downstate licenses. But regardless of whether that happens, Las Vegas Sands, if we approve this transfer, is our
development partner. So we have a
development partner that's willing to invest billions of dollars into that property to develop it, even without a casino. So even if we don't get a casino, we still get the development of the property, the economic activity, the thousands of union jobs, the lease payments, the public safety payments and the community benefit payments.

If they do get the gaming license, the casino is clearly the centerpiece of this development, but it is a part of a \$4 billion integrated resort. If they get the gaming license, we get the direct payments. Multiple tens of millions of dollars. We get hotel occupancy, tax sales tax, entertainment, ticket tax. We get the incorporation of local businesses into the casino resort. We get convention space. We get the visitors who come for more than one day, who probably will spend the first day on site, and then after that will be visiting our
tremendous resources here in our county and island.

Yes, gambling is a concern and it's a legitimate concern, and the opponents are right to highlight that. But it has to be considered in the world that we live in. The county does not live in isolation. And as was said before, people have a casino on their phone. Billions of dollars are spent every year on scratch offs in the lottery. More importantly, through a public referendum years ago, the state approved casinos, full blown casinos. Including Nassau County approving it.

We are going to get three downstate casinos. One we know is going to Yonkers; we basically know that. The other is going to Resorts World Casino and there will be a third one. There's a number of different applicants for the third casino. But chances are, based on the applicants that are out there, it will be in New York City, and chances are it
could be very well be in Queens. You also have Suffolk County putting hundreds of millions of dollars into Jake's 58.

We don't live in isolation. People are going to be engaging in this activity. They're going to have the opportunity to go to full scale billion dollar casinos within several miles of the Nassau County border. So when we say no, the gambling activity does not go away. It remains. It simply shifts to New York City and it takes with it all of the revenue that would come to the County, all of the economic benefits, all of the jobs, all of it goes to the New York City, slight amount to Suffolk, and the County gets zero.

The County has tried and failed for more than 30 years to develop this property. This is a once in a generation chance to partner with an entity that wants to invest billions of dollars without a cent of public monies. If we turn this down, the gambling resorts will
still be built within a couple of miles of Nassau County borders instead of in Nassau, and all of the revenue, all of the jobs, all the economic activity goes to New York City and the County gets nothing except a failed arena and the 72 acres of parking lot.

So I urge my colleagues to support this application.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All
right. Anyone else? Legislators?
Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I've
been here for a long time and I've really enjoyed my career. And part of what I can tout as one of my accomplishments was my respect for labor. I was actually honored by Jobs for Justice, and I do really appreciate unions and I appreciate what you do for your memberships. However, I have to tell you that I'm at the point in my life where I'm going to do what I think is right and what $I$ think my
constituents think is best.
Number one, even if I loved the idea of a casino, the fact that we are actually within one out of ten locations at this point that are being considered, I mean, all right, let's say what kind of gamble is that? Could we win? Yeah, but we could also lose. So if we lose this idea, this lease, this whole this whole project on our five, you know, $\$ 5$ million at least piece of property, $\$ 500$ million lease of property does not come back to this branch of government. And I'm sorry, but I cannot begin to support an idea that excludes a portion of our government. Even if $I$ thought that this project was was perfect, I would really still have a problem with that. The only person who will decide what will happen to this piece of property should we not get that license, the 1 in 10 chance is our County Executive. That was just in my opinion, was a game changer. No matter what, I couldn't support that.

There's also a number of other issues that $I$ have. I voted for the last RXR project. I thought that that really did address some things, including housing and including businesses that my kids would want to work with. You know, I'm sorry, of course, I'd love for my kids to stay here. But, to work in a casino, that's not what my dream is for them. I would like for them to work either in a tech or my girls are in health. So I think it's been interesting to watch this. I feel that I am really torn. But I watched what happened and I really feel that they were smart. Sands is a very smart company and I feel that they came in and really met up with the right people and made the right offers. And when I sat here listening to everyone today, $I$ just kind of felt like maybe they weren't as genuine as they would have been had they just come in on their own without having any influence from Sands.

I want to say one more thing. And again, this is about I'm 55, too, by the way. And when you hit 55, you hit something and that is your self and your inner being. And I'm seeing what's going on with our kids. And I'm very worried about addiction, opioid addiction, alcohol addiction, gambling addiction and. I can't live with myself voting to support that. So as much as I've always supported the unions, and I guarantee you, number one, you're going to get the union jobs, you're going to get the jobs no matter what is built there. Number two, I know how many big projects are here. I know there's a question of whether or not we have enough workers now to cover the 4 or 5 billion dollar projects that we have.

So it's a quality of life issue. You know, it's traffic. It's it's all this with with with addiction with our kids. And yes, they're throwing in a lot of money. But as been said, they know
they're going to make a lot of money, \$2 billion a year. And where is that coming from? And when you read about addiction and you read about gambling, they call it a poor man's tax because it's usually those that really can't afford to do it that go and hope for that dream and hope to change their life. And again, is it going on all over? Yes, it's legal now, but not everything that's legal is good for you.

The bottom line is I struggled with this decision, but $I$ cannot vote for a casino.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Drucker and Legislator Lafazan.
(Whereupon, public
interruption.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Wait a
second, ladies and gentlemen, we've
listened patiently as everyone has
spoken. Please let the legislators have
their moment as well.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you, Presiding Officer. There is no question that the concerns expressed by many of our residents, either here in this Chamber, by telephone calls to our offices or by email, should never be disregarded or even minimized. But we as legislators have a dual obligation. One is to be the voice and advocate for what the majority of our constituents want or need. And second, to ensure and provide the necessary oversight of the Executive Branch to safeguard our finances and clear a path for economic prosperity in the years to come.

Today, we are being asked to vote on only one factual and clear scenario, and that is the assignment of the current lease that is in its present form unfavorable to this county. The prospects presented by Las Vegas Sands provides a guaranteed framework that will yield immediate economic benefit to our county,
as well as providing a roadmap and
pathway toward an enduring and
transformative future. This lease
provides for that whether the Sands is awarded a gaming license or not. This does not mean that I didn't force myself to imagine what the Hub would look like with the casino and entertainment and hospitality resort on its grounds. But after considering the mind boggling investment that Sands proposes to make, should they be awarded the licence, including the safeguards that will be implemented to ensure safety and security for the patrons, mitigating resulting traffic and density issues and providing economic benefits to the surrounding communities the deleterious effects associated with the casino operation is, in my opinion, speculative. What is not speculative but instead guaranteed, is the revenue to the county and its taxpayers of \$25-50 dollars a year. Oh, and 8500 permanent union labor jobs
sustaining the workforce in Nassau County for generations.
(Whereupon, applause.)
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: This
opportunity cannot and should not be forsaken or squandered if I am to uphold the duties of my office for which I was elected. So I will be casting my vote in the affirmative.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Lafazan and then Legislator Mulé.

LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Thank you, Presiding Officer. I just had jaw surgery recently, so I hope everyone can hear me and understand me. I want to thank everyone who came out tonight and I just want to echo what Legislator Walker said.

On the heels of Memorial Day, I hope everyone here tonight realizes that the freedoms that were exercised, the freedoms of assembly, of petition, the freedom of speech, they don't happen
without our veterans, and I hope everyone keeps that in mind this weekend and on Monday.

When I make a decision on an issue, I speak on behalf of three things: I speak on behalf of my constituents; I speak on behalf of my generation; and I speak on behalf of my own beliefs. Regarding my constituents, The vast majority reached out to my office to express their support on this issue. They want the line held on their property taxes. They want the jobs for their kids and their grandkids, and they want a new dynamic entertainment destination, not in New York City, but they want it right here in Nassau. This lease transfer will do all of this and more.
(Whereupon, applause.)
LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Regarding my generation, this project is truly existential. I'm 29 years old, and for a decade I've been sounding the alarm about my friends and my classmates moving away,
and it's never been rocket science why. They want good jobs and economic opportunity, they want workforce housing, and they want fun things to do. This lease transfer will do all of this and more.

Lastly, regarding my own beliefs on an academic basis, $I$ do believe that the case Las Vegas made has been sound and pragmatic. The investment in infrastructure improvements and workforce developments will have an economic multiplier effect that is game changing. Their plans to combat issues regarding mental health, behavioral health and gambling addiction are excellent. The massive payments that are guaranteed to Nassau will help us freeze taxes and, more importantly, pay down our debt. And as you heard from our labor leaders like Matty Arisich, John Durso, Jerry

Laricchiuta, Ryan Stanton and more, when it comes to having a once in a generation impact on creating thousands of
permanent, good paying jobs, this lease transfer will do all of this and more. And that is why this plan is not perfect, but it must get done. Because in 2011 I sat in Nassau Coliseum as an intern when voters voted down the referendum on a new stadium. I promised myself that if I ever had a seat at the table, I would make sure I did everything I could to get to a yes and get something done. Twelve years later, I'm ready to get something done and it starts tonight. I plan to vote yes. Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Mule.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

I truly do believe, as some of my colleagues have stated, that this is one of the most consequential decisions that we will ever make as a legislator. And honestly, I changed my mind and I went back and forth several times throughout
the last month or two. And what that told me is that I needed to continue to do my research. And so I spoke with all kinds of people. I spoke with my constituents. I spoke with some some of the "Say not to Casino" people. I spoke to labor groups. And certainly listened to all of the public comment.

What kind of changed things for me is when I took a page from my colleague Legislative McKevitt's book and I went down to Bethlehem and I got to see what Bethlehem looks like after Sands came in and developed the casino there. I was blown away. It's a beautiful, beautiful community that was really on the verge of bad things because the steel industry had had died out. It had a gorgeous downtown. I went and toured through the area where Lehigh University was, which I would say is comparable to Hofstra University and saw how they are expanding and the area looked great. I went to the casino. I'm not a gambler, but I took a
walk around. There was no traffic, even though they have so many people coming to the casino.

So I just have to say that the proof was was right there in front of me. And that was the honestly, that was what led me to believe that $I$ really do think that this is something that we need to do. Yes, gambling is problematic, but by voting yes on this is not going to change whether gambling happens. There is still going to be as the Presiding Officer said, the license is going to happen. It's just going to happen someplace else. And then we won't get any of those benefits, both in terms of jobs, in terms of revenues. So it's going to happen anyway. The kids. Yep, the kids are gambling, but it's on their phones. They won't be allowed to go into the casinos because there's an age requirement.

So for all of those reasons, I am a yes vote.
(Whereupon, applause.)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Ford and then Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you. I will be brief. I'm not as eloquent as the previous speakers, but I want to say that I believe the Presiding Officer clearly stated very valid reasons why to support this transfer of the lease.

This project, of course, will provide much needed jobs and opportunities for a better and more secure future, especially for our younger people. As a former union worker, I am thrilled that there will be thousands of union jobs both during construction and then also once the casino and the project is finished.
(Whereupon, applause.)
LEGISLATOR FORD: Aside from the casino, I think we all focus on the casino and in all honesty, yes, I have been in casinos, not a lot of times, but I don't always look at them as a
negative. I think that sometimes when you go into these places, there seems to be a sense of liveliness and camaraderie and it really is a very nice social
atmosphere where people just seem to get along and you get to banter back and forth, even with strangers. So I think it just sometimes can just bring a lot of people together rather than driving them apart. But $I$ think when you look at also the other aspects of this project, aside from the hotel, there will be a 4 or 5 star spas, $I$ think it is, and as well as a theatre and a convention centre. So it's providing a lot of opportunities for so many people to go into different fields of work.

And then while we look at the opportunities for our young people, I did get confirmation from the representatives from Sands that there really is no age discrimination. So some of our older residents may find that they like to augment their income so they can find
themselves possibly going to Nassau Community College, workforce development, learning a new skill and getting a new job and being able to stay here and stay and live and even work in Nassau County, even at the ripe old age of maybe 72 or 73. Not like you, Rich, but maybe more like me.

So in that case, I do think this as a positive for Nassau County and for our residents, both young and old. So I will be voting in the affirmative.
(Whereupon, applause.)
DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL: As
Legislator Walker said a little earlier,
I, too, I'm not a gambler. Never
attracted me, never interested in it.
But, I listened to the Presiding Officer.
He laid out, I think, an excellent case.
This the gambling is going to happen.
It's going to happen. And I want it to happen here. If it's going to happen, let it happen here. I want those good paying union jobs. I want those good paying
union jobs to happen here in Nassau
County. In the course of the years that
I've been here, I've met so many hardworking union members in in my district. These people are entitled to have a chance to get these good jobs, not only for the construction phase of the the operation, but following that. I think that Sands is laid out a very compelling reason to support the fact that they're going to have these wonderful jobs continuing after the construction has been completed.

Now, there's been some concern expressed as to what happens if we don't get the license here. Okay. The license goes somewhere else. This property has been the subject of so much effort over so many years and we've never gotten anywhere with it. Right now we've got a very, very strong partner. And if we don't get the casino, they're going to develop it anyway. They are responsible in this lease to make sure that this
development succeeds. They're going to be investing a lot of money into this.

They've got every incentive to make sure that it does succeed. They've got the financial horsepower to do it.

So I'm going to join with my colleagues and vote for this proposal.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Bynoe, then Legislator McKevitt.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

Well, I'll tell you, I've been here since 2014, and this is really been one of the hardest decisions to come to. And I'll tell you, as a fiduciary entrusted with overseeing and safeguarding Nassau County's fiscal future, I was tasked with carefully considering the tax revenue, the economic development and the job creation opportunities presented by Sands Corp's proposal for a resort at Nassau Hub. The job creation especially is vital
to the economically disadvantaged communities that $I$ serve as a legislator.

As a community advocate, I researched, vetted and deliberated upon the heartfelt concerns that were expressed by the community regarding the impacts of public safety, traffic and behavioral health issues. When it became abundantly clear to me in the last committee meeting that this was going to pass with or without my vote, I became equipped with those facts. And the charge before me was to weigh and balance the more laudable elements of the Las Vegas proposal against the legitimate concerns of the community.

To ensure that the needs of the Uniondale and Hempstead communities were met, and upon a painstaking review of some of the factors and the needs of that community, I was happy to hear that sands Corp incorporated a $\$ 25$ Million benefit to the communities to bolster the services and the community's needs.

It is upon all of those things in consideration that I reached the decision to vote in favor of this lease transfer. (Whereupon, applause.)

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Nope, nope, nope. We're good. Allow me to finish, please.

Please know that while today's actions represent the important benchmark that many of the unions and the community organizations have really advocated for, that as this process continues to unfold, make no doubt about it, I will remain vigilant and focused on upholding and making sure that $I$ hold the entities associated with all of the assertions that this project is a good thing for our community, we'll be held accountable and that the obligations and the commitments that have been made on the record here today and on May 8 th are upheld for the benefit of this county. Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator McKevitt.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: This is my 17th year in elective office, and I've estimated $I$ have voted in that career on 30,000 bills, resolutions and pieces of legislation. And without a doubt, I've spent more time on this one than anything else combined. I traveled to Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. I've done research. I went through every single page of this lease multiple times, driving my attorneys crazy about it. I have heard many people here. I spoke to you on the phone. I've gone to your living rooms. We've had long conversations, and I've heard a lot of arguments, both in favor and against. And like my colleague Legislator Bynoe, I just want to say she has become my partner through this experience. We worked very closely together because we represented the communities which will be most closely impacted by us than anything else. And I, likewise, came to the conclusion that with or without our votes, this process is going along. So I

> made an intentional thought that I
continue to be part of this process. And one part of this question tonight is that this still has a long way to go. There's still a long environmental process. They have to go through two state boards to get this approved. And I've had many, many conversations with those of the Sands and want to make sure they've made a number of promises to my community. I'm going to make sure that those promises are kept and they are upheld. And to make sure that my home, my only home I've known for 51 years is still preserved and is a great place for my children to live in.

So the support I have today with this process going forward means I'm going to continue to be part of this process and make sure the right thing is done for my family and community as we go through this entire process. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Pilip.

LEGISLATOR PILIP: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

First, I would like to thank all of you, Sands team, Administration and you as residents, both sides. After all, I think for most part, we had a very healthy conversation. This is the way a community should be.

After reviewing the proposal of Sands and after learning more about this project and after I saw the benefit to our community, to our county, the job opportunities to our unions and to our residents, I am voting yes for the lease transfer. Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Gaylor and then Legislator Solages.

LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Thank you. Thank you very much.

I just simply want to say this is a no brainer. I don't have any mental reservation. No hesitation. Without a
doubt, this is good for Nassau County and I'm voting yes.
(Whereupon, applause.)
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you, Presiding Officer. I'll also be voting in the affirmative for this transfer of a lease.
(Whereupon, applause.)
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: This presents
the single opportunity for many young people -- I think I'm the second youngest person here on this Body -- to stay here in Nassau County. I'm tired of so many of my friends, so many people $I$ went to school with who are moving to other counties with suburban casinos. I visited suburban casinos in Maryland, Virginia and Pennsylvania, and I've spoken to many young people in our communities, and they all say it is also a no brainer.

Even if the Sands Corporation does not succeed in getting the license, Plan $B$ is better than what we have now. It is a positive decision for Nassau County,
and I will be voting in the affirmative. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Ferretti and then Legislator Giuffre.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Thank you, Presiding Officer.

When I first heard this proposal, I certainly did have my reservations. But as I continued through the process, speaking to people in my district, in my community, and after we received the lease, going through it and going through the specifics of the project with people in the community, I found myself inadvertently convincing them of the benefits of it and that it's a good thing. And through that process, I came to believe that it's definitely a good thing.

Now, if you look at it as a business decision, and I commend the

Administration on this as well as the Sands, as Legislator Gaylor said, it's an
absolute no brainer. I mean, if you look at the previous proposals for this location, none of them are even in the same stratosphere as to the financial benefit that has been negotiated by the Administration. So I thank you for your hard work with that.

But that being said, this isn't
simply a business administration business
decision. There's obviously quality of life issues that have to be taken into consideration. I heard from my constituents and like everyone else, you hear the same concerns and they are concerns. Certainly. You hear concerns of gambling addiction. That's certainly a concern. But like so many of my colleagues said, we have that as a concern regardless of whether we approve this lease transfer.

One of the big things is alcohol.
Obviously, when you have a casino, there's alcohol served. That's true. Traffic. Will it increase from what's
there now? I would think, yes. I mean, people are going to be using it right now with 72 acres of pavement. But that being said, although that's an increase from what we currently have, we all lived through the New York Islanders playing at the Coliseum, playoff games. There was traffic then. Is it an increase from traffic then? I would think not. When the when the Islanders were in the playoffs, although through my time as an Islander fan, not often enough, you had a sell out game, you'd have 18,000 people going to the Coliseum at one time. Whereas, with this proposal, yes, it may be something in the event of 20,000 a day, but that's spread out throughout the day. The prime times being, if I remember correctly, Mr. Levoff, on Saturdays around 9 p.m. where traffic around the community is not at its height. So that was a concern that was that was alleviated for me.

Alcohol use, of course that's a concern. But when the Islanders played,
guess what? They sold beer. That was an issue then. We've had that before. We've combated it before. And the Sands and the Administration has convinced me that we'll be able to combat it again if it becomes an issue. And for that reason, I'll be voting yes. Thank you.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Giuffre.
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: So I heard from
some of my constituents. One of them
testified before us tonight, I live down the road from 91 Tulip Avenue. For me, the issues were crime and traffic.

Having spoken to Commissioner Ryder, our DPW Commissioner Arnold, having gone through the lease, but more importantly, having gone through the Office of Legislative Budget Review Memo, OBLR is a nonpartisan part of this County

Legislature. I analyzed the numbers under Plan B, no casino, and the numbers, even under Plan B far exceeded what the County
of Nassau has been getting for this property.

In light of the finances, in light of the future of this property, in light of the arguments and points that we heard tonight and over the last months, my conclusion is that this is in the best interests of the people of Nassau County. I will be voting yes.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:
Legislator Kennedy.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Thank you.
When this first came to my attention
and we started talking about this and I did my due diligence, a great deal of research, and I also have many friends who are union workers and I have seen just how hard people work and that they work their tail off, I didn't want to forget that. But then also, I looked at everything that Sands was telling us and showing us with what they had done in other locations. And they had gone to
such great lengths to make things right in the place that they were and the surrounding communities. And for those reasons, all those reasons, I am 100\% behind the lease and I am going to be voting yes.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: All
right. I think we've all said our piece
and it's time for a vote.
All in favor, signify by saying,
"Aye".
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Aye. DEPUTY PRESIDING OFFICER KOPEL: Aye. LEGISLATOR FORD: Aye.

LEGISLATOR SCHAEFER: Aye.
LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Aye.
LEGISLATOR MULE: Aye.
LEGISLATOR GAYLOR: Aye.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Aye.
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Aye.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Aye.
LEGISLATOR PILIP: Aye.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Aye.

LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Aye.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Aye.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Aye.
LEGISLATOR LAFAZAN: Aye.
LEGISLATOR GIANGREGORIO: Aye.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Those opposed, "Nay".

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Nay.
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: Okay.
Passes by a vote of 17 to 1.
(Whereupon, applause.)
PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO:

Motion to adjourn Legislator Gaylor, seconded by Legislator Ferretti.

All in favor of adjourning signify by saying aye.
(Whereupon, all members of
the NC Legislature present,
respond in favor with, "Aye".)

PRESIDING OFFICER NICOLELLO: We are adjourned.
(Whereupon, NC Full
Legislature is adjourned, 9:36
p.m)
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| 88:17 | 54:19, 169:16, | automated ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 80: |
| Assuming $_{[1]}$ | $1: 4,212: 17$ | 298:1 | awe $_{[1]}-20: 13$ |
| 332:23 | 243:5, 251:18 | automatic ${ }_{[1]}$ | awesome ${ }_{[1}$ |
| assuming | 306:22, 372:15 | 42:14 | 105:18 |
| 325:12 | attentively ${ }_{[1]}$ | automatically ${ }_{[1]}$ - | awfully ${ }_{[1]}-269: 14$ |
| assumption | 51:19 | 59:14 | Aye ${ }_{[7]}$ - 373:14, |
| 123:22 | attorney ${ }_{[1]}-58: 24$ | available | 373:15, 373:16, |
| assump | Attorney ${ }_{[2]}$ - 5:24 | 5, 81:24 | $3: 17,373: 18$, |
| 34:5 | 66:13 | 82:3, 82:4, 95:17, | 73:19, 373:20, |
| assurances ${ }_{[1]}$ - | Attorne | 283:25, 337:18 | 373:21, 373:22, |
| 315:5 | 5:20, 57:2 | Avenue ${ }_{[7]}-1: 15$, | 73:23, 373:24, |
| $\text { assure }_{[2]}-316: 25$ | attorneys ${ }_{[1]}$ | 184:10, 241:23, | $373: 25,374: 2,$ |
| 320:3 | 364:11 | 262:9, 262:21, | $374: 3,374: 4,$ $374: 5,374: 6$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { assured } \\ \text { 134:21, } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { attract }_{[2]}-207: 13 \\ & 306: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $28$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,374: \\ & 1-374: \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { asthma }_{[3]}-219: 2, \\ 243: 21,244: 12 \end{array}$ | attracted $_{[1]}$ <br> 359:18 | 91:21, 192:23, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aye" }{ }_{[12]}-61: 16, \\ & 61: 20,62: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| 243:21, 244:' |  | $309: 25,315: 3$ |  |
| athletic ${ }_{[1]}-130: 6$ | attributed ${ }_{[1]}$ | 315:4, 315:22, | $5: 15,67: 24$ |
| Atlantic $_{[5]}-42: 21$, | 78:24 | 315:23 | 8:4, 338:7, |
| 7 | at | aviation | 8:11, 373: |
| 290:15, 293:8 | 86:21 | 193:13, 281:3 | 374:2 |
| ATM ${ }_{[1]}-42: 9$ | attrition $_{[1]}-146: 9$ | 282:17, 283:2 | Ayodiji $_{[1]}-303: 18$ |
| atmosphere ${ }_{[1]}-$ 358:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aubrey }_{[3]}-8: 10 \\ 305: 18,305: 20 \end{gathered}$ | Aviation ${ }_{[1]}-89: 25$ avoid $_{[3]}$ - 180:25, | B |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { attached }_{[2]}-70: 25, \\ & 322: 9 \end{aligned}$ | audience ${ }_{[2]}$ - | $182: 4,203: 15$ | backbone $_{[1]}$ 266:16 |
| attempt $_{[1]}-261: 10$ | audit $_{11}-312: 25$ | 183:12 | backed $_{[1]}-291: 13$ |
| attempted ${ }_{[1]}$ - | augment ${ }_{[1]}$ - | awarded ${ }_{\text {I9 }}$ | background $_{[1]}$ - |
| 22:18 | 358:25 | 124:20, 1 | 115:25 |
| attempting ${ }_{[2]}$ - | authority ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 126:21, } 128: 4, \\ & 108.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | backgrounds $_{[4]}$ - |
| $22: 16,204: 13$ | 326:15 | 128:6, 128:17, 214:23, 350:6, | 91:8, 112:4, |
| attend ${ }_{[2]}-203: 22$, | authorize ${ }_{[1]}-118: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 214: 23,350: 6, \\ & 350: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 112:8, 236:7 |
| 204:18 | authorized ${ }_{[1]}$ | 350: | backs $_{[1]}-242: 17$ |
| attendance ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $322: 14$ | aware ${ }_{[8]}-52: 18$, 53:6, 53:25, | backtrack ${ }_{[1]}$ -324-23 |
| $110: 18$ | authorizes ${ }_{[2]}$ - <br> 118:6, 118:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 53:6, 53:25, } \\ & \text { 132:14, 141:18, } \end{aligned}$ | 324:23 <br> backyard ${ }_{21}$ - |




| $265: 18,265: 19$ | 156:12 | brain $_{[3]}-87: 11$, | $36: 11,44: 5,47: 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 292:2 | $\operatorname{border}_{[3]}-35: 16$, | 174:7, 197:9 | 76:19, 82:12, |
| Board ${ }_{[13]}$ - 76:24, <br> 118.21, 119:4 | $236: 2,343: 10$ | $\text { brainer }_{[3]}-366: 24,$ $367 \cdot 21 \quad 369 \cdot 7$ | 88:21, 88:23, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | borde born | $\begin{gathered} 367: 21,369: 2 \\ \text { brains }{ }_{[1]}-297 \end{gathered}$ | $: 22,171:$ |
| 120:4, 120:12, | $294: 23,307: 1$ | branch $_{[1]}-345: 1$ | 179:21, 184:21, |
| 120:16, 120:24 | borne ${ }_{[2]}-82: 15$ | Branch ${ }_{[1]}-349: 15$ | 193:12, 210:20, |
| 22 | 169:8 | brand $_{[1]}-209: 1$ | :16, 217:16, |
| 25:22, 3 | borrow | branded ${ }_{[1]}$ | 2:4, 262:14 |
| boards ${ }_{[1]}-365:$ | 92:12 | 128:21 | 67:19, 269:21 |
| boasts $_{[1]}-226: 18$ | Boston $_{[1]}-14: 13$ | brave $_{[1]}-194: 18$ | 70:20, 273:11, |
| BOCES $_{[1]}-47: 16$ | bother $_{[1]}-258: 13$ | breach $_{[2]}-240: 22$, | 80:23, 283:20, |
| bodies $_{[2]}-191: 22$, | bothered | 241: | 89:7, 290:2 |
| 191:2 | 3:24, 256 | break $_{[1]}-145: 15$ | 01:17, 311:4 |
| body ${ }_{[5]}-48: 20$, | bottom ${ }_{[3]}-31: 12$, | breaking ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 314:4, 358:9 |
| 48:21, 49:19 | 34:11, 348:13 | 148: | Bring ${ }_{[2]}-278: 21$, |
| 168:15, 171:17 | Bottom ${ }_{[2]}-179: 17$, | breako | 278:24 |
| Body $_{[9]}-72: 16$, | 320:20 | 43:10 | bringing ${ }_{[7]}-104: 7$, |
| 84:7, 200:9, | bough | breaks ${ }_{[1]}-33: 24$ | 163:2, 170:16, |
| 202:21, 212:6 | 244:23, 279:1 | breathe $_{[1]}-19: 5$ | 226:2, 286:7 |
| 227:18, 228:6 | Bouleva | br | 288:10, 312:5 |
| 267:25, 367:13 | 1:2 | , | ings ${ }_{[5]}-15: 1$ |
| bog | bounce ${ }_{[2]}-13: 15$, | 19:19, 20:2 | 4:2, 255:21 |
| 350:1 | 20 | brewery $_{[1]}-307: 22$ | 255:22, 337:12 |
| bold $_{[1]}-209: 16$ | bound $_{[1]}-264: 16$ | Brian ${ }_{[8]}-5: 6,6: 10$, | oad $_{[1]}-114: 15$ |
| bolster $_{[1]}-362: 24$ | b |  | broadcasting ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| bolster | 74:2 |  | 52:15 |
| 322:2 | bowl ${ }_{[1]}$ | 116:25, 133 | broader $^{[1]}$ - 92:22 |
| bombarde | Bowl $_{[1]}-282: 1$ | brick $_{[1]}-81: 1$ | Broadway ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 18 | Box $_{[1]}-101: 15$ | bridge $_{{ }_{[1]}-280: 18}$ | 186:1 |
| bonds $_{{ }_{[1]}-42: 23}$ | box $_{[1]}-152: 8$ | Bridge $_{[1]}-50: 17$ | rochures ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| booed $_{[1]}-269: 13$ |  | brief $_{[6]}-72: 10$, | 100:18 |
| bo | Boy $_{[1]}-175: 1$ | 93:10, 97:12 | Brodzansky ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 213:9, 355: | boy's $_{[2]}-18: 4$, | 4:4, 158:15, | 28: |
| boom $_{[1]}-219: 20$ | 1 | 357:6 | oken ${ }_{[2]}-39: 15$ |
| $\mathrm{BOONE}_{[1]}-267: 9$ | boys $_{[1]}-42: 23$ | briefings $_{[1]}-181: 2$ | 306:7 |
| Boone $_{[3]}-8: 3$, | Brad $_{[1]}-31: 24$ | briefly ${ }_{[2]}-72: 8$, | Brooklyn $_{[1]}-$ |
| 218:8, 267 | Bradley ${ }_{[2]}$ - 54:17, | 113:1 | 257:19 |
| boos $_{[1]}-269: 4$ | 54:23 | 俍 | brother $_{[4]}-54$ : |
| booth $_{[2]}-156: 9$, | $\text { brag }_{[1]}-172: 13$ | bring ${ }_{[32]}-20: 23$, | 54:11, 54:15, |


| 54:22 | build $^{[27]}$ - 47:12, | 232:20, 274:17, | 272:21, 279:20, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| brother's $_{[1]}-54: 16$ | 48:23, 87:25, | 274:23, 291:10, | 282:14, 283:15, |
| Brotherhood ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 126:8, 144:7 | 294:25, 336:4, | 283:18, 293:7 |
| 193:24 | 144:16, 173:20, | 336:5, 340:7 | 304:13, 308:19, |
| brothers ${ }_{[1]}$ | 173:23, 173:25, | 344:2, 347:15 | 368:22, 369:10 |
| 268:11 | 177:2, 205:14, | bulk $_{[1]}-198: 18$ | Business ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| brought $_{[13]}-14: 3$, | 224:20, 226:9, | bullet $_{{ }_{11}-215: 18}$ | 249:21 |
| 90:11, 107:10, | 236:20, 260:5, | bullies $_{[1]}-184: 15$ | businesses ${ }_{\text {[25] }}$ |
| 146:25, 148:24, | 261:23, 278:18, | bully $_{[1]}-191: 4$ | 38:18, 39:22, |
| 149:15, 201:8, | 22:12, 282:14 | bullying ${ }_{[1]}-48: 17$ | 46:2, 50:21, |
| 213:18, 260:23, | 286:17, 295:6, | bunch $_{[1]}-299: 7$ | 50:22, 206:17, |
| 263:24, 288:9, | 296:5, 296:12, | burden $_{[1]}-169: 8$ | 216:13, 216:25, |
| 289:21, 289:23 | 296:13, 336:2 | Bureau $_{[3]}-148: 21$, | 217:3, 223:22, |
| Brown $_{[1]}-296: 21$ | Build ${ }_{[1]}-226: 6$ | 149:12, 160:6 | 237:23, 245:22, |
| brown $_{[3]}-47: 22$, | Building ${ }_{[4]}-1: 14$, | Bus $_{[1]}-51: 25$ | 247:12, 255:15, |
| 244:18, 271:17 | 129:4, 175:24, | $\text { bus }_{[10]}-149: 21 \text {, }$ | 255:17, 272:15, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BRT }_{[2]}-281: 11, \\ & 281: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 208:16 building $^{[23]}$ | 150:4, 150:19, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 277:12, 283:19, } \\ & \text { 285:7, 287:13, } \end{aligned}$ |
| Bruce ${ }_{[7]}-7: 11$, | 35:10, 35:19 | 154:6, 222:10, | 306:9, 314:6, |
| 31:24, 54:9 | 45:17, 46:9 | 251:2, 251:5, | 341:20, 346:6 |
| 54:22, 196:15, | 58:11, 58:18 | 251:6 | busy $_{[1]}-95: 20$ |
| 222:13, 263:7 | 59:12, 59:17 | buses ${ }_{[2]}-38: 13$, | buts $_{[1]}-179: 19$ |
| bubble $_{[1]}-150: 13$ | 60:11, 140:15, | 51:2 | button ${ }_{[5]}-221: 4$, |
| bucket $_{[1]}-212: 11$ | 144:18, 177:4, | business $[47]$ - 46:4, | 224:4, 225:17, |
| bucks ${ }_{[2]}-211: 20$, | 183:11, 183:24, | $46: 7,48: 8,55: 23$ | $238: 9,238: 25$ |
| 211:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 205: 4,252: 12, \\ & 270: 4,282: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $72: 4,84: 15$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { buy }_{[4]}-179: 25, \\ 289 \cdot 20296 \cdot 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| bud $_{[1]}-205: 13$ | $294: 11,295: 1$ | 93:16, 115:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 289:20, 296:10 } \\ & 339: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { budget }_{[14]}-33: \\ 92: 15,126: 5, \end{gathered}$ | 312:6, 340:7, | 193:23, 206:14, | buying $_{[1]}-296: 9$ |
| 153:23, 164:9, | 340:9 | 206:20, 206:24, | buzzer $_{[3]}-179: 4$, |
| 164:24, 165:8, | buildings ${ }_{[1]}$ | 207:9, 207:17, | 214:15, 240:18 |
| 165:10, 190:19, | 66:20 | 209:5, 210:10, | buzzer) ${ }_{[8]}-39: 18$, |
| 190:23, 191:24, | builds $_{[1]}-88:$ | 216:3, 217:3 | 5:10, 192:8, |
| 212:9, 223:21, | built $_{[23]}-91: 17$, | 233:19, 233:24, | 223:20, 229:14, |
| 254:4 | 135:3, 135:12, | 235:8, 245:19, | 248:8, 254:19, |
| Budget $_{[7]}-6: 13$, | 135:18, 156:10, | 249:22, 250:2, | 303:4 |
| 91:12, 158:13, | 161:24, 172:9, | 250:10, 250:12, | BY ${ }_{[1]}-1: 23$ |
| 158:18, 339:7, | 182:24, 197:12, | 250:16, 250:18, | Bynoe $_{\text {[9] }}-9: 21$, |
| $371: 21$ | 197:13, 199:24, | 251:10, 251:11, | 148:14, 260:23, |
| buffer $_{[1]}-298: 19$ | 211:24, 220:15, | 252:25, 266:5, | 267:16, 293:22, |


| $321: 13,321: 22$ | $145: 6,156: 9$ | $\operatorname{card}_{[2]}-39: 8$ | carpenter $_{[1]}$ - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 361:11, 364:1 |  |  | 297:7 |
| BYNOE ${ }_{[20]}-2: 19$, 9.22 148.15 | CAMMARANO ${ }_{[3]}$ 209.25, 211.3 | cardio ${ }_{[1]}-14: 9$ | carpenters $_{[1]}$ - <br> $266 \cdot 7$ |
| $148: 19,149: 9$ | $215: 15$ | ${ }_{[1]}-114: 13$ | $\operatorname{carpet}_{[2]}-45: 13$ |
| 149:23, 149:25, | Cammarano ${ }_{[6]}$ | care ${ }_{[17]}-24: 14$, | 301:9 |
| 151:14, 152:9, | 09:24 | 5, 25:20 | Carrie $_{[1]}-9: 23$ |
| 152:17, 321:23, | 215:11, 215:14, | 37:4, 40:20, 41:5, | CARRIE ${ }_{[1]}-2: 22$ |
| 322:19, 322:23, | 215:17 | 13, 109:5, | carries ${ }_{[3]}-63$ |
| 323:11, 323:15, | Cammaratta ${ }_{[3]}$ | :22, 174:22, | 68:10, 338:17 |
| 323:20, 324:11, | 5:9, 14:5, 14:2 | 190:21, 204:23, | carry ${ }_{[1]}-286: 19$ |
| 361:13, 363:6, | camp $_{[1]}-139: 22$ | 1, 272:14, | cars $_{[10]}-14: 2$ |
| 373 | campus $_{[1]}-80: 20$ | 1:20, 301:22, | 106:17, 138: |
| C | candidate $^{[1]}$ | 303:13 | 138:10, 138:13, |
|  |  | cared ${ }_{[2]}-104: 2$ | 0:18, 145:15, |
| cage $_{[1]}-100: 20$ | cannabis $^{[1]}$ | career ${ }_{[8]}-213: 4$, | 147:2, 148:10, |
| CALAMITA $_{[1]}-$ | 103:20 | 234:21, 236:4, | 302:18 |
| 23:24 | cannot ${ }_{[14]}$ - 18:24, | 236:20, 237:11, | cartel ${ }_{[1]}-294: 10$ |
| Calamita ${ }_{[2]}-5: 13$, | 18:25, 32:25, | 265:21, 344:17, | Carter ${ }_{[3]}-7: 23$, |
| 21:17 | 37:6, 96:17 | 364:4 | 219:10, 251:15 |
| Calendar ${ }_{[2]}-64: 3$, | 184:16, 220:22, | $\text { careers }_{[14]}-74: 4$ | case ${ }_{[10]}-33: 10$, |
| 64:6 | 244:23, 256:25, | 87:9, 88:23, | 90:23, 141:5 |
| California | 272:23, 300:13, | 1:18, 174:10, | 170:3, 204:12, |
| 48:15 | 345:15, 348:14, | 76:9, 179:21 | 324:25, 331:8, |
| calm ${ }_{[2]}-14: 16$ | 351:6 | 79:22, 180:19, | 353:10, 359:10, |
| 9:1 | canvass ${ }_{[2]}-21: 23$, | 14, 233:12, | 359:20 |
| calmly $_{{ }_{[1]}-19: 21}$ | 1:25 | 64:17, 299:10, | cases $_{[5]}-25: 8$ |
| calms ${ }_{[2]}$ - 48:17, | capacity ${ }_{[5]}-92: 12$, | 19:24 | 37:25, 253:17, |
| 48:2 | 22, | careful $_{[1]}-230: 17$ | 331:21, 332:2 |
| camaraderie ${ }_{[1]}$ | 261:6, 261:2 | carefully ${ }_{[1]}$ | cash $_{[2]}-33: 19$, |
| 358:4 | capita $_{[1]}-76: 10$ | 361:21 | 306:20 |
| camera $_{[3]}-114: 22$, | Caprice ${ }_{[2]}-8: 8$, | Caribbean ${ }_{[1]}$ | cashed $_{[1]}-42: 22$ |
| 142:11, 156:14 | 293:19 | 316:17 | cashier $_{[1]}-302: 8$ |
| cameras ${ }_{[15]}$ | captures | $\mathrm{Carl}_{[4]}$ - 7:7 | cashing $_{[1]}-260: 13$ |
| 106:7, 113:10 | 308:23 | 121:22, 184:7 | Casino ${ }_{[16]}-55: 4$, |
| 136:2, 136:3, | capturing ${ }_{[1]}$ | 184:9 | 132:16, 168:24, |
| 136:6, 140:23, | 223:8 | Carlson $_{[1]}-158: 20$ | 186:7, 195:8, |
| 141:20, 141:21, | $\boldsymbol{c a r}_{[5]}-147$ | Carlton ${ }_{[1]}-128: 20$ | 77:5, 218:14, |
| 142:2, 142:13, | 147:22, 156:24, | Carmella ${ }_{[2]}-7: 7$, | 243:4, 245:2, |
| 145:2, 145:5, | 296:9 | 184:10 | 251:22, 253:10, |


| 273:9, 279:6 | 192:24, 194:19, | 301:9, 301:10, | casting ${ }_{[2]}-228: 21$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 292:3, 342:20 | 195:10, 197:10, | 303:7 | 351:9 |
| 355:7 | 203:11, 203:18, | 304:12, 317:14, | cat ${ }_{[1]}-141: 5$ |
| casino ${ }_{[191]}-12: 9$ | 205:4, 205:17 | 321:6, 337: | cat-con ${ }_{[1]}-141: 5$ |
| 12:15, 32:4, 32:6, | 205:20, 208:10, | 337:5, 338:2 | Catalano ${ }_{[3]}-7: 20$, |
| 33:11, 34:2, | 210:12, 210:13, | 341:6, 341:13, | 220:11, 220:12 |
| 34:21, 35:11 | 210:15, 210:16, | 341:21, 342:10, | CATALANO |
| 35:20 | 210:17, 210:18, | 342:23, 345:4 | 220:12 |
| 36:10, 37:11 | 211:19, 211:23, | 346:10, 348:15, | catego |
| 39:6, 39:23, | 219:13, 220:15, | 350:9, 350:20, | 161:3 |
| 39:24, 41:12 | 220:20, 221:3, | 355:15, 355:25, | Caucasian ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 43:2, 43:8, 44:2, | 221:20, 221:23, | 356:4, 357:18, | 243:22, 244:1 |
| 44:7, 44:14, | 222:12, 223:2 | 357:22, 357:23, | caucuses |
| 44:19, 45:7 | 223:3, 224:2 | 360:23, 369:23, | 84:10, 130:12 |
| 45:21, 46:3, | 224:20, 226:7, | 371 | caught ${ }_{[1]}-309: 16$ |
| 46:20, 47:5 | 226:10, 227:10, | Casino" ${ }_{[1]}$ - 226:15 | caused $_{[1]}-282: 15$ |
| 48:24, 48:25 | 227:12, 228:13, | casino's ${ }_{[1]}$ - | causes [2] - 96:5 |
| 50:8, 51:9, 52:22, | 234:10, 239:11, | 199:2 | 96:10 |
| 53:12, 55:17, | 243:9, 243:24 | Casinos $_{[3]}-36: 11$, | causing $_{{ }_{[1]}-330: 10}$ |
| 76:9, 76:18, 78:6, | $244: 8,245: 7$ | 219:21, 239:4 | $\text { CCTV }_{[1]}-114: 22$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 82: 13,82: 18, \\ & 82: 23 . ~ 83: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 245:23, 247:18, } \\ & \text { 247:20. 252:4. } \end{aligned}$ | casinos ${ }_{[28]}-38: 11$, | ceiling ${ }_{[1]}-136: 9$ |
| 83:16, 91:4, | $252: 9,252: 14$ | $11,87: 2$ | celebrate $_{[1]}-75: 14$ |
| 100:14, 100:18, | 253:13, 253:17, | 114:3, 137:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { celebrity }_{[1]}-207: 5 \\ & \text { Cell }_{[1]}-45: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 103:21, 106:24, | 253:19, 254:12, | 1:19, 198:16, | cells $_{[1]}-144: 19$ |
| 107:22, 108:4, | $257: 19,258: 3$ | 198:24, 219:23, | CEMCO ${ }_{[1]}-168: 20$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 112: 6,124: \\ & 125: 6,125: \end{aligned}$ | 262:10, 266:12 | 244:7, 246:19, | census ${ }_{[1]}-248: 25$ |
| $125: 16,125: 23$ | 269:20, 274:20, | 274:22, 275:13, | cent ${ }_{[1]}-343: 24$ |
| 125:25, 126:9, | $275: 2,275: 5$ | 274:22, 275:13, | Center $_{[12]}-14: 8$, |
| 126:17, 126:18, | 276:7, 277:2 | 94:11, 342:14, | $: 8,79:$ |
| 127:12, 127:25, | 277:23, 278:19 | 42:15, 342:18, | 115:20, 115:21, |
| 128:5, 128:9, | 278:20, 279:15 | 3:9, 356:21, | 123:24, 133:18, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 128:11, 128:16, } \\ & \text { 133:4, 133:10, } \end{aligned}$ | $280: 2,280: 20$ | $57: 24,367: 17$ | 169:6, 273:24, |
| 137:22, 143:24, | 291:17, 291:2 |  | 274:3 |
| 154:11, 159:18, | 292:13, 292:24, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cassidy }_{[2]}-7: 8 \\ & 186: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { center }_{[17]}-37: 3$ |
| 161:21, 161:24, |  | CASSIDY $_{[1]}-186: 3$ |  |
| 177:18, 191:2, | :12 | $\text { cast }_{[2]}-228: 15$ | $: 8,163$ |
| 191:3, 191:6, | 296:19, 297:16, | 229:16 | 195:7, 197:12, |


| 197:16, 2 | chain ${ }_{[1]}-282: 15$ | Chambers ${ }_{[11]}$ - | :10, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 233:22, 248:24, | chair $_{[4]}-1$ | 61:2, 63:7, 66:10, | 362:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 249: 23,273: 9 \\ & 274: 2,274: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 137:15, 238:19, 285:10 | 69:17, 206:8, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { charged }_{[2]}-22: 17, \\ & 66: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| centerpiece ${ }_{[2]}$ 280.3, $341 \cdot 13$ | Chair ${ }_{[2]}$ - 199:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 215: 25,217: 24 \\ & 249: 19.249: 21 \end{aligned}$ | charges ${ }_{[3]}-22: 13$, |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 280:3, 341:13 } \\ \text { centers }{ }_{[4]}-103: 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 208:20 } \\ & \text { chaired }_{[1]}-259: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 249:19, 249:21 <br> chambers ${ }_{51}$ - | 24:21, 289:25 |
| 103 | chairman ${ }_{[1]}-93: 23$ | 93:17, 201:11 | 284:18, 284:20 |
| 292:19 | Chairm | 206:24, 216:2 | charts ${ }_{[1]}-41: 25$ |
| central ${ }_{[2]}-108: 9$ | 53:20 | 217:17 | cheap $_{[2]}-49: 19$, |
| 301:4 | C | champion ${ }_{[1]}$ | 302:21 |
| centre $_{[1]}-358: 15$ | 28:15 | 249:16 | eaper ${ }_{[1]}-45: 22$ |
| ce | ch | ${\text { chance }{ }_{[13]}-96: 11}^{\text {a }}$ | heat ${ }_{[1]}-14$ |
| CEO $_{[5]}-93: 23$ | 150: | 2, 172:21, | heck $_{[3]}-101: 14$, |
| 102:3, 102:16, | chal | 74:4, 174:15, | 246:20, 260:12 |
| 172:21, 284:25 | 152:6 | $5,228: 5$ | checked $_{[2]}-13: 19$, |
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| 291:23, 299:16, $304: 11,317: 6$, | 140:20, 160:24, | 364:12 | 275:11, 275:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 304: 11,317: 6, \\ & 318: 13,318: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 172:2, 172:8, | create ${ }_{[14]}-88: 17$ | 275:17, 275:22, |
| $320: 2,320: 6,$ | $: 2,213:$ | 90:19, 139:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 83: 22,284: 4 \\ & 88: 10,288: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| $322: 13,329: 23$ | 282:22, 302:16, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 160:8, 176:16 } \\ & \text { 194:9, 233:9, } \end{aligned}$ | $288: 14,371: 16$ |
| 335:6, 338:9, | 346:8, 357:11, | $35: 3,237: 5$ | crimes ${ }_{[6]}-39: 11$, |
| 342:15, 343:3 | 360:3, 370:24 | 39:5, 265:13, | 269:23, 270:15, |
| 343:10, 343:14, | courses ${ }_{[2]}$ | $72: 6,272: 8 \text {, }$ | 283:21, 283:24, |
| 343:18, 343:19, | 213:24, 233:6 | 272:12 | 284:8 |
| 344:3, 344:6, | court $_{[1]}-221: 6$ | Create ${ }_{[1]}-262: 18$ | criminal ${ }_{[2]}-22: 19$, |
| 345:23, 350:23, | COURT $_{[1]}-1: 23$ | created ${ }_{[7]}-81: 6$, | 111:18 |
| 351:2, 359:6, | Court $_{[3]}-169: 5$, | 91:16, 137:20, | Criminal ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 359:11, 360:3, | 331:19, 331:21 | 160:22, 179:23, | 111:20 |
| 367:2, 367:14, | courtesy ${ }_{[3]}-31: 17$, | $239: 4,300: 5$ | Cris ${ }_{[2]}-8: 7,287: 23$ |
| 367:25, 371:22, | $73: 16,167: 19$ | creates $_{[3]}-139: 25$, | crisis ${ }_{[6]}$ - 103:11, |
| 371:25, 372:9 | courts ${ }_{[1]}-330: 21$ | 160:22, 293:6 | 107:3, 115:17, |


| 175:16, 222:22, | 349:20 | 124:3, 124:11, | 66:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300:3 | CURRY ${ }_{[2]}-59: 5$, | 124:22, 125:5, | DEBAUN $_{[1]}-66: 12$ |
| criterion ${ }_{[1]}$ | 59:2 | 212:5, 229:7 | DEBRA $_{[1]}-3: 2$ |
| 226:16 | Curry ${ }_{[3]}-5: 21$, | 231:10, 231:14, | Debra ${ }_{[2]}-10: 2$, |
| critical $_{[3]}-116: 5$, | 58:23, 59:3 | 282:9, 323:25, | 311:7 |
| 220:24, 221:8 | curve ${ }_{[1]}-146: 6$ | 324:3 | debt ${ }_{[1]}-353: 20$ |
| Croatian ${ }_{[1]}-271: 9$ | cushy ${ }_{[2]}-54: 24$, | dated $_{[1]}-76: 4$ | decade ${ }_{[5]}-34: 19$, |
| cross ${ }_{[2]}$ - 135:9, | 55:2 | David $_{[8]}-5: 24,6: 7$, | 285:4, 298:10, |
| 201:8 | cusp $_{\text {[1] }}-250$ : | 66:12, 86:9, | 300:5, 352:24 |
| crossing | custom $_{[1]}-305: 13$ | 204:21, 239:25, | decades ${ }_{[7]}-31: 23$, |
| 216:18 | customer ${ }_{[1]}$ | 259:14 | 174:13, 194:16, |
| Crossin | 46:10 | dawned $_{[1]}-179: 3$ | 97:9, 266:18, |
| 177:13 | customers ${ }_{[2]}$ | days ${ }_{[10]}-22: 16$, | 306:12, 307:3 |
| Crow ${ }_{[1]}-295: 17$ | 96:22, 100:6 | 32:21, 123:20, | decide $_{[4]}-31: 22$, |
| crucial $_{[2]}-136: 14$, | cut $_{[1]}-167: 8$ | 124:11, 134:25, | 57:20, 303:8, |
| 231:17 | cuts ${ }_{[2]}-66: 22$ | 212:3, 223:5, | 345:20 |
| CSEA $_{[7]}-7: 3$, | 83:19 | 262:23, 263:3, | decided ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 171:8, 171:20, | cyber $_{[1]}-184: 15$ | 269:7 | 279:18, 309:25 |
| 172:3, 172:16, |  | Dead $_{[1]}-139: 19$ | decides ${ }_{[1]}-229: 11$ |
| 175:13, 192:3 | D | deaf ${ }_{[2]}-25: 16$, | deciding $_{[1]}-46: 24$ |
| Cuestas ${ }_{[2]}-8: 7$, | dad $_{[3]}-43: 2$ | 40:17 | decision ${ }_{[22]}$ - |
| 287:24 | 44:3, 44:16 | deal ${ }_{[7]}-187: 6$ | 46:22, 171:19, |
| CUESTAS ${ }_{[1]}$ | daily ${ }_{[5]}-36: 5$, | 187:10, 221:11, | 200:20, 213:2, |
| 287:25 | 113:19, 116:12, | 228:13, 239:21, | 220:25, 221:8, |
| culinary ${ }_{[2]}$ | 221:17, 275:6 | 240:7, 372:17 | 224:4, 224:7 |
| 233:18, 233:23 | dais $_{[1]}-26: 11$ | Dealertrack ${ }_{[1]}$ | 226:3, 229:17, |
| cultivate ${ }_{[1]}$ - | dangerous ${ }_{[2]}$ | 340:8 | 230:12, 230:23, |
| 306:19 | 17:24, 244:4 | dealing ${ }_{[7]}-24: 19$, | 231:5, 253:22, |
| cultivation ${ }_{[1]}-$ <br> 307.8 | Daphne ${ }_{[3]}-8: 6$, | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 7,50: 14,52: 6 \\ & 52: 7,279: 7,279: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308: 17,348: 14 \\ & 352: 5.363: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 307:8 | $283: 11,283: 13$ | 52:7, 279:7, 279:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 352: 5,363: 3 \\ & 367: 25,368: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { curb }_{[2]}-66: 22, \\ & 66: 23 \end{aligned}$ | Darius ${ }_{[2]}-205: 25$ | $\text { dealt }_{[1]}-157: 12$ | 369:11 |
| curious $_{[1]}-49: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Data }_{[1]}-76: 8 \\ & \text { data }_{[8]}-82: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { Dear }_{[1]}-35: 14$ | decisions ${ }_{[5]}$ |
| current ${ }_{[4]}-51: 25$, | 121:20, 142:8, | dear $_{[1]}-97: 8$ | 90:13, 214:13, |
| 66:23, 127:19, | 145:22, 147:21, | debacle ${ }_{[1]}-225: 13$ | 230:15, 354:22, |
| 144:5, 154:2, | 228:20, 248:24, | debate ${ }_{[6]}-60: 21$, | 361:17 |
| 158:25, 159:2, | 325:15 | 62:13, 64:10, | declaration ${ }_{\text {[4] }}$ - |
| 159:5, 267:12, | date ${ }_{[16]}-104: 11$, | 66:7, 67:19, | 119:6, 119:10, |
| 293:11, 338:23, | 123:17, 123:18, | 171:16 | $325: 23$ |
| 339:2, 339:11, | 123:19, 123:21, | DeBaun ${ }_{[2]}-5: 24$, | decline $_{[1]}-82: 21$ |


| declined ${ }_{[3]}-76: 9$, | Deirdre ${ }_{[3]}-7: 15$, | 113:10, 115:2, | 259:17, 262:5, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 76:11, 247:9 | 40:4, 40:7 | 115:15, 115:24, | 264:24, 267:7, |
| declines ${ }_{[2]}-82: 16$, | delayed | 116:19, 140:4, | 268:5, 359:15, |
| 275:3 | 131:20, 221:5 | 149:4, 149:19, | 373:15 |
| declining ${ }_{[1]}-249: 2$ | delegate | 152:2, 155:23, | deputy ${ }_{[2]}-65: 3$, |
| decor $_{[1]}-45: 11$ | 268:16 | 183:15, 243:14, | 196:24 |
| decrease $_{[1]}-82: 13$ | deleterious ${ }_{[1]}$ | 275:16, 329:23, | Deputy ${ }_{[11]}-2: 7$, |
| dedicate ${ }_{[1]}$ | 350:19 | 334:19 | 5:24, 6:3, 9:14, |
| 151:12 | deli ${ }_{[1]}-22: 6$ | department ${ }_{\text {[9] }}$ - | 9:17, 66:13, 71:4, |
| dedicated ${ }_{[3]}$ | DELIA ${ }_{[1]}-3: 14$ | 17:6, 116:21, | 1:17, 111:16, |
| 79:21, 100:15, | Delia $_{[1]}-10: 14$ | 144:11, 172:9, | 158:19, 357:3 |
| 265:21 | deliberated | 175:21, 272:13, | DeRiggi ${ }_{[4]}$ - 10:15, |
| $\operatorname{deep}_{[3]}-34: 4$ | 362:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 288:16, 288:23, } \\ & 334: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 25,163: 17 \\ & 344: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $170: 19,274: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { deliver }_{[2]}-91: 9, \\ & 127 \cdot 22 \end{aligned}$ | 334:13 <br> Departm | $\text { DERIGGI }_{[14]}-3: 14,$ |
| deeply ${ }_{[1]}$ - 109:5 <br> defeat $_{11}$ - 220:19 | 122:2 | Departmen 140:5 | 10:16, 155:21, |
| defendant ${ }_{[1]}$ | democracy ${ }_{[1]}$ | departments ${ }_{[6]}$ - | 156:19, 157:18, |
| 22:20 | 213:12 | 116:15, 130:3, | 58:2, 163:18, |
| defer $_{[2]}-164: 13$ | democrat | 150:8, 334:10, | 64:2, 164:20, |
| 165:2 | 294:16 | 334:16, 334:18 | 5:4, 165:7 |
| define $_{[3]}-318: 10$, | Democrats ${ }_{[1]}$ | Dependence ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 165:14, 344:15, |
| 318:16, 319:19 | 227:14 | 102:8 | 374:9 |
| defined $_{[1]}-320: 6$ | demonstrate ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\text { deploy }_{[1]}-321: 8$ | DeRiggi-Whitton |
| defines $_{[2]}-318: 13$, | 87:4 | deployed ${ }_{[2]}$ 113:18, 114 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [4] - 10:15, 146:25, } \\ & 163: 17,344: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| 318:25 | demonstrated | deploy | DERIGGI- |
| 192:20, 267:20, | $209:$ | 113:7 | $\text { WHITTON }_{[14]}-$ |
| 322:20, 368:20 | denied $_{[1]}-203: 21$ | deposit ${ }_{[3]}-42: 14$, | :14, 10:16 |
| Definitely ${ }_{[1]}$ - | DENISE ${ }_{[1]}-2: 10$ | 124:12, 159:15 | 1, 156:19, |
| 267:15 | Denise $_{[1]}-9: 18$ | depreciated ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 57:18, 158:2, |
| definition ${ }_{[1]}$ | dens ${ }_{[1]}-270: 11$ | 228:18 | 63:18, 164:2, |
| 318:15 | nse ${ }_{[1]}-339: 24$ | depth ${ }_{[2]}-76: 14$, | 164:20, 165:4, |
| degradation | density ${ }_{[3]}-247: 6$, | 123:11 | 65:7, 165:14, |
| 42:7 | 339:21, 350:17 | DEPUTY | 344:15, 374:9 |
| degraded ${ }_{[1]}$ | dental ${ }_{[2]}-47: 14$, | 71:19, 73:19 | describe ${ }_{[2]}-$ |
| 292:13 | 49:4 | 241:15, 242:19, | 132:22, 328:10 |
| degree $_{[3]}-22: 18$ | Department ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ - | 245:8, 248:15, | described $^{[2]}$ - |
| 233:16, 272:9 | 15:23, 16:19, | 251:14, 254:22, | 116:16, 131:12 |
| $\text { degrees }_{[1]}-233: 1$ | $24: 4,53: 22$ | 256:11, 258:25, | describing ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| DEI ${ }_{[1]}-248: 23$ | 65:24, 66:16, | 259:6, 259:13, | 115:23 |





| East ${ }_{[33]}-31: 8$, | 349:25, 350:18, | effect ${ }_{[6]}-27: 13$, | 252:6, 252:7, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37:18, 40:10, | 353:3, 353:13, | 70:23, 160:22, | $252: 8,257: 13$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 95:10, 121:21, } \\ & \text { 136:15, 139:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 361:22 <br> Economic ${ }_{[5]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 162:20, 162:24, } \\ & 353: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { elections }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 228: 25 \end{aligned}$ |
| 143:5, 145:15, | 160:6, 199:13 | effective | elective $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 364:3 |
| 154:15, 168:2, | 208:20, 284:25, | 01:11, 123:17, | electorate ${ }_{11}$ |
| 168:12, 168:20, | 285:12 | 23:21, 202:6, | 213:2 |
| 169:3, 169:7, | economically ${ }_{[1]}$ | 12:4 | Electrical ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |
| 173:17, 181:19, | 362:2 | effects ${ }_{[3]}-160: 14$, | 93 |
| 184:5, 188:18, | Economics ${ }_{[1]}$ | 291:25, 350:19 | electricity ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 188:20, 189:12, | 275:10 | effectuate ${ }_{[1]}$ | 289:21, 289:24 |
| 214:24, 216:7, | economics ${ }_{[3]}$ | 322:17 | lectronics ${ }_{(1)}$ |
| 224:13, 241:21, | 173:13, 187:24, | effort $[3]-24: 3$, | :15 |
| 272:3, 278:13, | 245:17 | 227:12, 360:19 | element ${ }_{[1]}$ - 137:6 |
| 293:11, 294:22, | economy ${ }_{[6]}$ - | efforts ${ }_{[4]}-25: 25$, | elements ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 294:23, 297:8, | 160:14, 160:23, | 28:6, 98:3, 238:12 | 128:10, 362:1 |
| 314:3, 332:16 | 1:8, 246:22 | $\mathbf{E F T}_{[1]}-48: 14$ | Elkowitz ${ }_{[5]}-6: 11$, |
| easy ${ }_{(11}-25: 6$ | 255:13, 265:24 | eight $_{[1]}-98: 13$ | :5, 117 |
| echo ${ }_{\text {(1] }}-351: 20$ | Economy ${ }_{(1)}$ - | Eighth ${ }_{[1]}-13: 11$ | 324:18, 324:22 |
| economic ${ }_{\text {46] }}$ - | 292:3 | EIS ${ }_{[1]}$ - 328:16 | ELKOWITZ ${ }_{[4]}$ - |
| 74:5, 84:4, 87:8, | Ecosystems ${ }_{[1]}$ | Eisenhower ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 17:9, 324:19, |
| 90:20, 91:13, | 249:10 | 08:8, 134:17, | 175:14, 325:21, |
| 92:20, 92:22, | ed ${ }_{[1]}-183: 18$ | 138:19 | 27:8, 327:12, |
| 92:23, 124:5, | edge $_{[1]}-340: 3$ | either ${ }_{[6]}-97: 25$, | 28:2, 328:13, |
| 127:8, 158:16, | edges $_{(1)}-222: 18$ | 161:20, 192:17, | 29:8, 330:7 |
| 158:22, 160:5, | educate $_{[1]}-206: 16$ | 209:17, 346:12, | 30:15, 331:4, |
| 161:7, 162:20, | educated ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 349:6 | 331:25, 332:5 |
| 162:24, 175:16, | 233:25, 290:18 | elaborate ${ }_{\text {(1] }}$ | Elmont ${ }_{[2]}-151: 25$, |
| 176:19, 182:9, | education ${ }_{[6]}$ | 325:17 | 262:12 |
| 184:2, 185:7, | 72:5, 87:13 | Elaine ${ }_{[2]}-13: 5$, | eloquent ${ }_{[2]}-89: 7$, |
| 199:17, 201:11, | 98:21, 178:23, | 13:7 | 357:6 |
| 202:7, 209:9, | 306:2, 306:4 | elect ${ }_{[2]}-47: 4$, | elsewhere ${ }_{[4]}$ - |
| 209:15, 234:3, | educational ${ }_{[1]}$ | 3:14 | 76:23, 226:7, |
| 234:20, 237:6, | 227:5 | elected $_{[7]}-38: 8$, | 248:3, 302:7 |
| 242:5, 245:19, | educator ${ }_{\text {(1) }}$ | 39:2, 191:19, | email ${ }_{[1]}-349:$ |
| 247:5, 251:9, | 264:12 | 244:17, 256:22, | emails $_{[1]}-227: 17$ |
| 287:7, 304:23, | Edward ${ }_{[2]}-7: 14$, | 267:13, 351:9 | emergencies ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 305:11, 309:8, | $211: 14$ | election ${ }_{\text {[9] }}-47: 3$, | $45: 9$ |
| 341:8, 343:15, | EEG ${ }_{[2]}-47: 17$, | 49:8, 49:11, | Emergency ${ }_{[7]}$ - |
| 344:5, 349:16, | 47:19 | 168:16, 228:24, | $5: 18,57: 3$ |


| 115:15, 115:20, | empowerment ${ }_{[1]}$ | engage ${ }_{[4]}-82: 5$, | 305:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 115:21, 148:21, | 202:8 | 94:14, 333:3 | entertained ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 295:12 | empty ${ }_{[4]}-50: 15$ | 33:2 | 304:14 |
| em | 7 | engaged $_{[7]}-181: 7$, | entertaining |
| 20:11, 45:8, 57:5, | 340:10 | 199:18, 200:16, | 100:15 |
| 57:13, 57:17, | Empty $_{[1]}-50: 15$ | 287:18, 311:23, | Entertainment ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 5 | EMTs $_{[1]}-114: 9$ | 332:17, 332:18 | 273:9 |
| 60:15, 61:16 | en ${ }_{[1]}-300: 12$ | engagement ${ }_{[1]}$ - | entertainment ${ }_{[21]}$ - |
| 62:2, 75:22, | enable ${ }_{[3]}-109: 18$ | 200:4 | 73:4, 78:7, 83:21, |
| 1 | 233:12, 242: | en | 1:5, 114:2 |
| 113:17, 114:10 | en | 232.1 | 27:4, 127:16, |
| 115:17, 295:14, | 254: | 343:6 | 28:13, 128:22, |
| 334:14, 335:14 | Enclosed $_{[1]}-283: 8$ | engine $^{[3]}$ - 92:22, | 195:11, 197:11, |
| emotion ${ }_{[1]}-19: 3$ | en | 235:9, 237 | 07:6, 233:21, |
| emotional ${ }_{[1]}$ | 267:22 | engine | 34:12, 264:4, |
| 48:14 | en | 175:2 | 82:22, 283:5, |
| empathe | 0:21, 287:1 | engineers $_{[1]}-77: 2$ | 04:17, 341:19, |
| 309:1 | end ${ }_{[9]}-24: 15$ | enhance ${ }_{[3]}-38: 9$, | 350:9, 352:16 |
| empathy | 60:11, 131:23 | 130:3, 291:22 | enthusiastic ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 244:18 | 185:9, 207:6, | enhanced ${ }_{[1]}$ | 232:15 |
| $\text { employ }_{[2]}-208: 25$ | $214: 5,214: 18$ | 104:12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { entire }_{[12]}-59: 6, \\ 70.16107 .00 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 221:2, 330:5 | enjoyed ${ }_{[3]}-82: 25$, 86.20, $344 \cdot 17$ | 72:16, 127:20, |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { em } \\ 82 \end{array}$ | Endo ${ }_{[1]}-281: 23$ |  | 81:5, 203:14, |
| 195:7, 258:12, | endorsers | $\text { enroll }_{[1]}-99: 12$ | 229:3, 229:10, |
| 291:14, 317:18 | 190:15 | enrolled $_{[1]}-100: 10$ | 58:2, 307:17, |
| employees ${ }_{[5]}$ - | endorsin | ensure ${ }_{\text {[9] }}-77: 24$, | 365:23 |
| 32:22, 110:14 | 2:1 | :13, 117:3, | ntities ${ }_{[1]}-363: 15$ |
| 302:7, 302:18, | ends ${ }_{[2]}-119: 23$ | 143:4 | entitled ${ }_{[2]}-192: 14$, |
| 315:2 | 24: | 349:13, | 360:6 |
| employer $_{[3]}$ 182•10, 193.9 | enduring ${ }_{[2]}-$ | $350: 15,362: 18$ | entitlements ${ }_{[1]}$ - $72: 23$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 182:10, 193:9, } \\ & 265: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 209:12, } 350: 3 \\ \text { enemv } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ensuring }_{[2]}-79: 9 \text {, } \\ & 96: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 72:23 |
| emplo |  |  | $70: 19,170: 2$ |
| 182:12, 182:13 | 07: | 129: | 343:22 |
| employment ${ }_{[7]}$ | enforcement ${ }_{[7]}$ - | entered ${ }_{[2]}-42: 12$, | trance ${ }_{[1]}-321: 5$ |
| 160:17, 236:4, | 112:4, 112:10, | 323:23 | ntreat ${ }_{[1]}-231: 3$ |
| 237:11, 265:17, | 112:12, 116:2, | entering ${ }_{[1]}$ | ntrepreneur ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 280:24, 295:21, | 116:7, 270:14, | 23. | 07 |
| 308:6 | 316:20 | nterprises ${ }_{[1]}$ | entrusted ${ }_{[1]}$ - |


| 361:18 | 259:24 | 57:24 | event $_{[7]}-78: 12$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ent | equality $_{{ }_{[1]}-248: 20}$ | estate ${ }_{[2]}-83: 13$, | 20, |
| 315:23, 316:9 | equally ${ }_{[1]}-232: 23$ | 97:1 | :6, 240:5 |
| environment ${ }^{211}$ | equipm | estimate $_{[1]}-91: 20$ | 324:3, 370:17 |
| 23:14, 23:15 | 15:22, 135:2 | estimated | events ${ }_{[5]}-87: 18$, |
| 70:23, 89:22 | 144:25 | 81:16, 364: | 6:9, 282:15, |
| 112:19, 114:8 | equippe | estimates ${ }_{[1]}$ | 297:21, 336:18 |
| 133:13, 134:15 | 362:1 | 302 | eventually ${ }_{[5]}$ - |
| 134:17, 134:20, | equitable $_{[1]}-243: 6$ | etcetera ${ }_{[3]}$ | 52:7, 94:11 |
| 138:22, 139:3, | Equity ${ }_{[1]}-285: 12$ | 167:11, 225:3, | 11:24, 277:15 |
| 139:22, 140:2, | equity ${ }_{[2]}-248: 20$, | 227: | 37:12 |
| 140:21, 147:15 | 266:1 | Etcetera ${ }_{[1]}-225: 3$ | everywhere ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| 154:2, 155:2, | equivalently | evaluate ${ }_{[4]}-98: 23$, | $156: 16,266: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 191:15, 276:13, } \\ & 301: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 128:20 | $326: 10,329: 13$ | $270: 12$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 69:22, 70:16, | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { es } \end{array}$ | $118: 2,326$ | evidenced ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 243:14, 325:4 | 51:3, 151:2 | evaluation ${ }^{2}$ | 96:18 |
| 328:14 | 220:18, 222:17 | 114:12, 117:15 | evidentiary ${ }_{11}$ |
| environmental ${ }_{[35]}$ - | 248:12, 255:19 | ev | 204:6 |
| 70:20, 85:24, | , | 158:17, 171 | $\mathrm{il}_{[1]}-39$ |
| 117:6 | 300:4, 357:14 | 180:24, 193:20, | evolved ${ }_{[1]}-233$ |
| 118:15, 118:23, | 361:2 | 00:25, | exact $_{{ }_{[1]}}$-146:1 |
| 118:25, 119:8, | Esq ${ }_{[2]}-5: 21$, | , | xactly ${ }_{[2]}-177: 5$, |
| 119:15, 119:24, | essence $_{[1]}-216: 3$ | 29:23, | 221:25 |
| 120:2, 120:13, | essen | 45:13, | $x a m$ [1] 48 |
| 120:19, 120:22, | 212:2 | , 254:24, | xample ${ }_{\text {[9] }}-47: 22$, |
| 121:7, 122:8 |  | 256:6, 256:13, | 8:9, 50:16, 88:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 24 \end{aligned}$ | :2 |  | :25, 276:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 244: 20,259: 24 \\ & 260: 4,261: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 114:4 | 7:6 | 316:12, 316:16, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 260: 4,261: 24, \\ & 262: 19,265: 8 \end{aligned}$ | established ${ }_{[4]}$ | 8:10, | 326:6 |
| 276:9, 325:7, | 62:2, | :13, | $\operatorname{xceed}_{[4]}-127: 12$ |
| $325: 20,325: 25,$ | 211:17 | 44:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:21, 311:2 } \\ & 13: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 326:22, 327:3, | 61:15 | :12, 284:19, | exceeded |
| 328:5, 328:12, | establ | 293:20, 307:13, | 33:14, 371:25 |
| 328:19, 331:11, | 65:23, 141:2 | 314:20, 314:23, | exceeds ${ }_{[1]}-320: 5$ |
| 365:6 | establishme | $321: 16,321: 20,$ | Excellent ${ }_{[1]}-24: 16$ |
| environmentalcommittee ${ }_{\text {r1 }}$ - | $-142: 24,188:$ | 321:21, 324:19, | excellent $_{[5]}$ - |
|  | Estate ${ }_{[2]}-5: 19$, | 324:21 | 105:19, 197:21, |

292:8, 353:17, 359:20 except $_{[3]}-138: 6$, 154:13, 344:7 exception ${ }_{[1]}$ 37:20
excess ${ }_{[4]}$ - 67:11, 221:19, 317:23, 318:9 exchange $_{[1]}$ 34:11
excited ${ }_{[2]}-232: 23$, 302:25
excites $_{[1]}-29: 24$
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| 270:5, 270:6, | 185:6, 284:22 | 190:10, 247:8, | 111:6, 111:24, |
| 270:23, 293:24, | 294:3 | 315:8, 348:23, | 111:25, 112:7, |
| 325:10, 355:23, | schools $_{[13]}-28: 5$ | 349:13, 367:12 | 112:10, 112:13, |
| 366:12 | :4, 36:9, 39:21, | Secondary ${ }_{[1]}$ | 12:22, 112:23, |
| scale $_{[4]}-91: 9$, | 47:21, 48:4, 48:8, | 281:25 | 13:4, 113:7 |
| 117:2, 237:8, | 50:6, 135:6, | seconded ${ }_{\text {[0 }}$ | 113:8, 113:12, |
| 343:8 | 1.25, 172 | 15, 6 | 13:15, 113:16, |
| scenario ${ }_{[8]}-128: 6$ | 176:24, 297:1 | 65:25, 71:4 | 13:19, 113:21, |
| 128:16, 159:18, | Science ${ }_{[1]}-28: 15$ | 338:5, 374:15 | 13:22, 114:3, |
| 161:20, 161:21, | science ${ }_{[6]}-29: 17$, | secondly ${ }_{[1]}-315: 8$ | 114:5, 114:16, |
| 161:24, 163:6, | 98:7, 98:21, | Secondly ${ }_{[1]}-190: 6$ | 14:17, 114:20, |
| 349:19 | 101:10, 101:14 | seconds ${ }_{[2]}-259: 5$, | 15:2, 115:5 |
| scenarios ${ }_{[1]}$ | 353:2 | 259:7 | 116:9, 116:21, |
| 128:3 | sciences $_{[1]}-29: 23$ | Secret ${ }_{[2]}$ - 111:11, | 116:24, 124:12, |

132:21, 132:25, 134:21, 134:24, 140:24, 141:7, 141:19, 142:16, 145:3, 153:7, 156:4, 159:14, 234:11, 265:9, 302:10, 316:15, 316:18, 319:10, 350:15
seductive $_{[1]}$ 291:21
see $_{\text {[38] }}-17: 20$,
25:13, 30:6, 39:10, 47:3, 68:14, 102:14, 126:2, 136:9, 136:10, 138:20, 142:2, 150:9, 154:9, 156:4, 156:6, 156:14, 163:14, 179:10, 179:11, 195:19, 204:4, 204:21, 215:3, 228:13, 228:18, 236:8, 260:2, 260:19, 260:22, 261:4, 264:6, 278:25, 279:3, 302:8, 309:12, 334:23, 355:13
seeing $_{[4]}-29: 15$, 110:7, 271:14, 347:6
seeking ${ }_{[1]}-59: 8$ seeks $_{[1]}-91: 3$ seem $_{[3]}-216: 17$, 238:14, 358:6 sees $_{[1]}-178: 10$

| segment ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 85:14, 92:25, | serve ${ }_{[5]}$ - 95:25, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 281:14, $286: 7$ | 117:5, 117:14 | 276:20, 285:9, |
| segments ${ }_{[1]}$ - | seniority ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 316:21, 362:3 |
| 326:8 | 258:20 | served ${ }_{[5]}-75: 2$, |
| segregated ${ }_{[1]}-$ | seniors ${ }_{[3]}-29: 16$, | 102:6, 111:12, |
| 191:25 | 248:12 | 167:24, 369:24 |
| selected ${ }_{[2]}$ | sense ${ }_{[6]}-211: 5$, | server $_{[1]}-302: 10$ |
| 130:10, $243: 7$ | 221:22, 279:5, | serves $_{[1]}-323: 2$ |
| selection ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | 300:15, 308:10, | service ${ }_{[12]}-32: 9$, |
| 226:16 | 358:4 | 45:16, 77:8, |
| Self ${ }_{[1]}-210: 19$ | sensibility ${ }_{[1]}$ | 130:4, 135:16, |
| self ${ }_{[13]}-79: 12$, | 261:24 | 192:5, 212:19, |
| 97:22, 99:13, | sensitive ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 212:20, 212:21, |
| 99:24, 99:25, | 49:17, 136:3 | 212:24, 230:6, |
| 100:7, 100:8, | $\boldsymbol{s e n t}_{[9]}-35: 12$, | 302:11 |
| 210:18, 210:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 5,53: 10,54: 7 \\ & 160: 10,227: 18 \end{aligned}$ | Service ${ }_{[5]}-5: 19$, |
| 211:6, 347:5 self-exclusion | 279:20, 283:9, | $\begin{aligned} & 57: 24,111: 11 \\ & \text { 111:16, } 273: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| 79:12, 97:22, | 291:25 | services ${ }_{[23]}-60: 6$, |
| 99:13, 99:25 | sentence ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 75:23, 102:25, |
| self-exclusions ${ }_{[1]}$ | 201:13 | 104:13, 112:5, |
| 100:8 | sentiment ${ }_{[1]}$ | 113:13, 114:11, |
| self-funded ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 269:7 | 115:3, 117:6, |
| 210:18, 210:19, | SEQRA $_{[9]}-70: 20$, | 149:17, 150:2, |
| 211:6 | 76:22, 117:18, | 153:16, 178:20, |
| Self-funded ${ }_{[1]}$ | 117:24, 119:3, | 207:23, 212:23 |
| 210:19 | 131:2, 131:14, | 235:22, 267:2, |
| self-limit ${ }_{44]}-97: 22$, | 324:25, 330:23 | 273:25, 295:12, |
| 99:13, 99:24, | Sergeant ${ }_{[1]}-21: 11$ | 295:14, 313:25, |
| 100:7 | SERGEANT ${ }_{[1]}$ | 335:15, 362:25 |
| sell ${ }_{[4]}$ - 201:18, | $21: 12$ | Services ${ }_{[4]}-79: 4$, |
| 201:19, 229:11, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { serial }_{[1]}-307: 19 \\ & \text { series }_{[1]}-104: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85: 22,115: 22 \\ & 285: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $370: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { series }_{[1]}-104: 19 \\ & \text { serious } \\ & {[3]} \end{aligned}-157: 19$ | 285:2 <br> servicing ${ }_{11}$ |
| $\text { send }_{[5]}-31: 17$ | $195: 13,253: 20$ | $335: 11$ |
| 53:15, 53:17, | Seriously ${ }_{[2]}$ - | serving $_{{ }_{11}-73: 24}$ |
| 247:2, 290:19 | 288:13, 290:9 | session $_{[5]}-2: 15$, |
| sends ${ }_{[1]}-120: 25$ | seriously ${ }_{[4]}$ - | 46:14, 51:16, |
| senior ${ }_{[1]}-134: 6$ | 50:24, 289:5, | 55:18, 176:5 |
| Senior ${ }_{[5]}-6: 11$, | 290:22, 312:8 | sessions ${ }_{[2]}$ - |


| 203:23, 240:9 | Sheldon ${ }_{[1]}$ | showing ${ }_{[2]}-54: 21$, | 227:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| set ${ }_{[8]}-21: 22$ | 282:11 | 372:24 | significance ${ }_{[1]}-$ |
| 33 | shell ${ }_{[2]}-74: 6$, | shown ${ }_{[4]}$ - 76:17, | 306:15 |
| 81:11, 139:21, | 129:1 | 286:12, 286:20, | significant ${ }_{[15]}$ - |
| 319:22, 322:25, | shift ${ }_{[2]}-42: 8$, | 302:23 | 27:13, 59:19, |
| 375:14 | 151:7 | shows $_{[4]}-78: 10$, | 60:13, 70:23 |
| setting ${ }_{[1]}-302: 20$ | shifts $_{[2]}-154: 18$, | 188:4, 188:7, | :18, 118:16, |
| seven $_{[3]}-275: 7$, | 343:12 | 248:24 | 121:8, 121:18, |
| 282:10, 298:17 | shirt ${ }_{[1]}-288: 12$ | SHUFORD ${ }_{[2]}$ | 22:22, 130:16, |
| Seven $_{[1]}-298: 18$ | shooter $_{[1]}-135: 4$ | 273:6, 273:21 | 219:15, 236:3, |
| seventh $_{[1]}-178: 14$ | shooting ${ }_{[1]}-22: 4$ | Shuford ${ }_{[2]}-8: 4$, | 47:4, 250:21, |
| several ${ }_{[12]}-21: 24$, | shootings ${ }_{[1]}-$ | 273:5 | 331:10 |
| 22:15, 42:3, | 321:2 | shut ${ }_{[3]}-34: 16$, | significantly ${ }_{[5]}$ |
| 84:10, 104:20, | $\mathbf{s h o p}_{[2]}-78: 10$ | 48:9, 48:1 | 83:9, 220:14, |
| 113:8, 135:19, | 109:17 | $\boldsymbol{s i c}_{[1]}-49: 10$ | 243:20, 243:23, |
| 265:19, 291:18, | shopping ${ }_{[2]}$ | sick $_{[1]}-258: 22$ | 44:12 |
| $328: 17,343: 9$, $354: 25$ | 42:10, 78:13 | sickening ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\text { signify }_{[7]}-61: 16$ |
| 354:25 | shops ${ }_{[4]}-38: 15$, | $243: 10$ | 62:18, 65:11, <br> 67:23, 338:7, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { severe }_{[2]}-2 \\ & 226 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | $38: 18,223: 25,$ | side $_{[20]}-15: 11$, | $373: 12,374: 16$ |
| severity ${ }_{[1]}-275: 20$ | shoring $_{[1]}-73: 23$ | 103:17, 149:19, | signing ${ }_{[1]}-58: 13$ |
| sewer $^{[1]}$ - 130:22 | short ${ }_{[7]}-34: 10$ | 49:20, 182:8 | signs ${ }_{[3]}-82: 2$, |
| Sgt $_{[1]}-5: 16$ | 85:18, 152:4, | 92:17, 212:12 | 100:17, 217:24 |
| shake $_{[1]}-288: 5$ | 1:4 | 38:14, 263:18, | Silva ${ }_{[3]}$ - 7:14, |
| shame $_{[11}-31: 16$ | 227:17, 241:1 | 5, 291:1 | 31:5, 31:7 |
| shameful ${ }_{[1]}-229: 4$ | shortage ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 92:22, 300:20 | SILVA $_{[1]}-31: 6$ |
| SHANAHAN ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 300:8, 300:9 | 313:22, 322:4, | similar ${ }_{[4]}$ - 78:1 |
| 13:8, 14:25 | shot ${ }_{[8]}-21: 19$ | 322:6, 322:20 | 116:10, 141:4 |
| Shanahan ${ }_{[2]}$ | :8, 172:25 | sides ${ }_{[7]}-90: 15$, | 247:9 |
| 5:14, 12:25 | 73:14, 174:15, | 95:5, 171:11, | similarly ${ }_{[1]}-113: 3$ |
| Shane $_{[1]}-16: 20$ | 5:17, 288:24, | 171:17, 255:4 | Simple ${ }_{[1]}-23: 15$ |
| share ${ }_{[5]}-33: 13$ | 298:1 | 278:4, 366:6 | simplest ${ }_{[1]}-156: 2$ |
| 111:4, 116:8, | shouting $^{[1]}$ - 32:3 | SIELA $_{[1]}-2: 19$ | simply ${ }_{[6]}-213: 14$, |
| 119:20, 135:17 | shove ${ }_{[1]}-299: 8$ | Siela ${ }_{[1]}-9: 20$ | 292:5, 330:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { shared }_{[2]}-135: 25, \\ & 136: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { show }_{[6]}-33: 25, \\ 78: 16,83: 5, \end{gathered}$ | sight $_{[1]}-219: 12$ <br> signage $_{[2]}$ - 97:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 343: 12,366: 23 \\ & 369: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 136: 2 \\ & \text { sharing } \\ & {[3]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 16,83: 5, \\ & 248: 25,250: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { signage }_{[2]}-97: 23, \\ & 100: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 369: 10 \\ \mathbf{S i n}_{[1]}-305: 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $116: 13,136: 14$ | 299:16 | signatures ${ }_{[2]}$ - | $\boldsymbol{s i n g}_{[1]}-253: 9$ |
| Sharing ${ }_{[1]}-133: 19$ | showed $_{[2]}-22: 7$, | $184: 18,227: 21$ | single ${ }_{[14]}-26: 5$, |
| sheet $_{[1]}-266: 7$ | $263: 9$ | signed ${ }_{[2]}-200: 18$, | 31:14, 46:19, |


| 48:10, 108:10, | 152:4 | small ${ }_{[14]}-20: 14$, | 257:11, 279:10, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 143:7, 143:14, | $\mathbf{s i x}_{[8]}-15: 5$, | 20:19, 43:14, | 371:2 |
| 222:24, 223:4, | 134:10, 138:4, | 78:14, 163:2, | sole ${ }_{[2]}-131: 3$, |
| 252:7, 297:8, | 140:9, 146:2, | 206:20, 206:24, | 131:6 |
| 321:2, 364:10, | 155:4, 222:24, | 216:2, 216:3, | solicit ${ }_{[1]}-96: 4$ |
| 367:11 | 279:22 | 216:12, 217:2, | solid ${ }_{11}$ - 105:3 |
| sisters $_{(1)}-268: 11$ | sixth ${ }_{[1]}-178: 14$ | 217:3, 250:12, | solutions ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ - |
| sit ${ }_{[6]}-16: 3,69: 13$, | size ${ }_{\text {[3] }}-32: 6$, | 254:3 | 249:23, 265:11 |
| 174:12, 195:17, | 150:23, 163:2 | smart ${ }_{[3]}-80: 19$, | solve ${ }_{11}$ - 197:10 |
| 265:18, 309:18 | sized $_{[1]}-162: 25$ | 346:16, 346:1 | someone ${ }_{[4]}$ - |
| site ${ }_{[27}$ - 74:2, | skating ${ }_{(1)}-226: 9$ | smarter $_{[1]}-27: 24$ | 103:18, 167:25, |
| 81:23, 86:11, | skepticism ${ }_{[1]}$ - | smell $_{[1]}-49: 18$ | 191:13, 309:20 |
| 102:20, 105:8, | 106:9 | smelling $_{[1]}-49: 18$ | Someone ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 105:13, 118:5, | sketches ${ }_{[1]}$ | smells $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 49:17 | 286:4 |
| 118:8, 127:6, | 280:11 | smelly $_{\text {[1] }}-49: 19$ | someplace ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 128:8, 131:5, | skill ${ }_{[2]}-20: 15$, | soccer ${ }_{[3]}-280: 9$, | 193:7, 356:15 |
| 132:20, 133:2, | 359:4 | 280:13, 282:24 | something's ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 135:19, 141:7, | Skinner ${ }_{[1]}$ - 184:5 | social ${ }_{\text {99 }}-24: 18$, | 225:21 |
| 144:11, 145:10, | sky ${ }_{[2]}$ - 136:10, | 27:11, 28:4, 34:3, | Something's ${ }_{(11)}$ - |
| 150:19, 151:13, | 156:5 | 74:10, 78:5, | 225:21 |
| 236:21, 276:5, 292:9, 333:16, | skyrocket ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 235:21, 283:14, | sometimes ${ }_{[14]}$ - |
| 292:9, 333:16, | 290:5 | 358:5 | 25:8, 140:10, |
| 335:11, 336:10, $338: 3,341: 24$ | SLA ${ }_{[2]}$ - 143:20, | societal ${ }_{(1)}-234: 4$ | 148:6, 150:20, |
| 338:3, $341: 24$ <br> sites <br> $140 \cdot 24$ | 155:8 | societies ${ }_{(1)}-90: 8$ | 151:24, 154:5, |
| sites ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-140: 24$ | slated ${ }_{(1)}-255: 10$ | socioeconomic ${ }_{[1]}$ | 155:5, 189:2, |
| siting ${ }_{(1)}-72: 24$ | sleep $_{\text {(1] }}-302: 18$ | - 276:9 | 212:22, 278:10, |
| sits ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - 297:24 | slid ${ }_{[1]}-42: 4$ | software ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 28:7, 328:24, |
| sitting ${ }_{[8]}-175: 16$, | slide $_{[3]}$ - 137:2, | 114:24 | 358:2, 358:9 |
| 187:8, 190:25, | 214:20, 326:12 | Solages ${ }_{[3]}-9: 24$, | somewhat ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 238:19, 271:15, | sliding ${ }_{[1]}-42: 6$ | 314:18, 366:20 | 69:8, 247:9 |
| 308:11, 309:13, | slight ${ }_{[1]}-343: 17$ | SOLAGES ${ }_{\text {[5] }}$ - | somewhere ${ }_{[5]}$ - |
| 309:17 | slightly ${ }_{[1]}-88: 9$ | 2:22, 9:25, | 51:10, 134:23, |
| situated ${ }_{(3)}-57: 11$, | slip $_{11}-12: 18$ | 314:19, 316:11, | 296:4, 296:9, |
| 62:8, 209:7 | slips $_{[2]}-167: 3$, | 317:25, 318:6, | 360:18 |
| situation ${ }_{[0]}$ - | 310:10 | 318:12, 318:17, | son ${ }_{(1)}-298: 16$ |
| 20:12, 36:5, 36:6, | slot ${ }_{[6]}-45: 14$ | 318:23, 319:4, | soon ${ }_{[2]}-23: 8$, |
| 44:15, 142:15, | 45:20, 100:19, | 320:11, 320:15, | 290:14 |
| 338:23 | 105:11, 155:6, | 367:5, 367:10, | Sophie $_{11}-28: 12$ |
| situations ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 194:19 | 373:18 | Sorry ${ }_{\text {[2 }}$ - 155:18, |
| 39:12, 43:17, | slow ${ }_{(11}-292: 8$ | sold ${ }_{[4]}-127: 5$, | 319:2 |


| sorry ${ }_{[4]}$ - 241:9, | Speaking | spends $^{[1]}$ - 75:22 | SS ${ }_{[1]}-375: 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 278:14, 345:14, | 267:11 | $\text { spent }_{[10]}-47: 23$ | stabbed $_{[1]}-270: 6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 346: 8 \\ & \text { sorts }_{[1]}-106: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { speaking }{ }_{[11]}-56: 4,$ 73:17, 133:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 103: 18,153: 6 \\ & 223: 17.223: 18 \end{aligned}$ | stadium $_{[6]}-280: 4$, |
| soul ${ }_{[2]}-201: 18$ | 10, 235:19 | 245:17, 300:19 | 282:12, 282:24, |
| 201:19 | 3:14, 256:15 | 307:16, 342:11 | 354:8 |
| souls ${ }_{[1]}-155: 3$ | 15 | 364:7 | Stadium ${ }_{[1]}-282: 4$ |
| sound ${ }_{[4]}-163: 23$ | 293:21, 368:12 | splendid $^{[1]}$ - 278:3 | staff $_{[4]}-20: 5,84: 7$, |
| 215:13, 295:15, | speaks ${ }_{[1]}-37: 25$ | spoken $_{[16]}-43: 13$, | 108:19, 135:19 |
| 353:10 | special ${ }_{[5]}-115: 8$ | 46:19, 47:10, | staffed $_{[1]}-114: 9$ |
| sounding | 335:23, 336:6, | :7, 137:8 | staffing ${ }_{[1]}-149: 8$ |
| 352:24 | 336:24, 337:8 | 199:15, 199:20, | Stage $_{[1]}-272: 2$ |
| sounds ${ }_{[3]}-163: 24$, | specialists ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 201:22, 267:17, | stagehands ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 164:6, | 265:7 | 6, 311:15 | 186:1 |
| source ${ }_{[2]}-73: 21$, | sp | 24, 335:18 | stake ${ }_{[2]}$ - 266:19, |
| 313:24 | $1: 12,246: 2$ | 48:25, 367:19 | 309:3 |
| Source $_{[1]}-144: 15$ | 247:16 | 371:17 | stakeholder ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| sources $_{[1]}-236: 22$ | specific | sponsorship $_{[1]}-$ | 183:7, 183:8 |
| southern $_{[1]}-24: 10$ | 69:13, 230:20 | 201:18 | 183:9 |
| spa ${ }_{[1]}-207: 6$ | 7:5 | sporting ${ }_{[1]}-78: 1$ | stakeholders ${ }_{[1]}-$ |
| space ${ }_{[8]}-149: 11$, | sp | sports ${ }_{[8]}-80: 18$, | 181:9 |
| 150:3, 236:25 | 368:1 | 0:22, 80:23 | stand ${ }_{[8]}$ - 15:1 |
| 2 | spectru | 04:6, 263:2 | 113 |
| 283:3, 337:17 | 223:10 | :8, 282:2 | 16:20, 152:18, |
| 341:22 | speculative | 283:5 | 94:18, 307:9, |
| sp | 50:21, 350:2 | spots $_{[1]}-263: 5$ | 314:1 |
| 114:2, 128:15 | speech ${ }_{[2]}-291: 15$ | spread $_{[2]}-83: 3$ | andard ${ }^{81}$ |
| 208:8 | 35 | 370:18 | 1:23, 319:2 |
| sp | sp | spring ${ }_{[1]}-80: 12$ | 0:22, 330:24 |
| spanned $^{[1]}$ - 21:23 | 8: | Springfield ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 0:25, 331:5 |
| spans $_{[1]}-97: 19$ | spend ${ }_{[13]}-33: 3$ | 22, 82:24 | 331:7 |
| spas ${ }_{[2]}-185: 9$, | 45.17 | 18:14 | standing ${ }_{[4]}$ - |
| 58:1 | 18 | sprinklers ${ }_{[1]}-$ | 5:4, 18 |
| speaker | 8: | 98 | 29:11 |
| 73:10, | 8:6, | Squad $_{[1]}-21: 1$ | andpoint ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 167:15, 192:13 | 6.13 | squandered ${ }_{[1]}$ | 122:9, 309:12 |
| 333:8 | 339:16, 341:24 | 351 | ands ${ }_{[2]}-325: 3$, |
| speakers ${ }_{[5]}-56: 2$ | sp | square ${ }_{[2]}-59: 11$ | 338:2 |
| 61 | 45:19, 78:11 | 144:1 | anton ${ }_{[3]}-7:$ |
| 219:19, 357:7 | 286:8, 304:14 | Square $_{[1]}-186: 19$ | 180:23, 353:23 |


| STANTON ${ }_{[3]}$ | 329:12, 342:14, | states $_{[1]}-275: 4$ | 375:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80:24, 183:5, | 365: | statewide | step $_{[01]}-30: 10$, |
| 319:16 | State ${ }_{\text {(8] }]}$ - 7:5 | 80: | 43:21, 44:2, |
| $\mathbf{s t a r}_{[5]}-106: 2$, | 47:18, 69:21 | stating ${ }_{[1]}-22: 14$ | 16, 94:1 |
| 185:10, 207:4, | 70:6, 70:16, 73:2, | station ${ }_{[3]}$ - 144:21, | 18:18, 143:13, |
| 280:16, 358:14 | 78:22, 79:2, 79:3, | 281:21, 281:22 | 89:9, 261:13 |
| start ${ }_{[19]}-12: 22$, | 79:9, 79:20, | statistically ${ }_{(11}$ - | 326:2 |
| 16:9, 36:14, | 79:23, 81:13 | 44:23 | Stephen ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 52:20, 95:17, | 104:4, 104:6, | statistics ${ }_{[3]}$ | 290:24 |
| 107:9, 179:15, | 104:11, 107:6 | 41:24, 274:2 | stepped $_{[1]}-262: 25$ |
| 248:18, 291:6, | 121:24, 143:25 | 288:22 | steps ${ }_{[8]}-90: 4$, |
| 295:24, 296:4, | 192:25, 199:11 | Statistics ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 231:16, 252:1 |
| 296:8, 296:14, | 199:13, 208:21 | 275:11 | Stern ${ }_{(11}-256: 9$ |
| 296:17, 305:22, | 209:13, 242:4, | statue $_{\text {[1] }}-191$ | Steve ${ }_{[3]}-8: 8$, |
| 307:21, 308:20, | 243:14, 244:5 | status $_{(4)}-52: 2$, | 220:18, 290:25 |
| 325:13, 338:21 | 285:8, 285:11, | :16, 73:24, | steward ${ }_{[1]}-207: 19$ |
| started ${ }_{[44]}-13: 21$, | 312:14, 312:18 | 264:3 | Stewart ${ }_{[2]}-24: 9$, |
| 19:5, 44:13, 45:3, | 313:9, 317:21, | statute ${ }_{[2]}-230: 21$ | 241:23 |
| 71:5, 121:12, | 325:3, 328:14, | 318:25 | stick ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ - 105:11, |
| 121:19, 122:2, | 329:24, 330:21, | statutorily | 131 |
| 255:8, 307:20, | 375:9 | 231:11 | stickers $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 105:11 |
| 311:23, 313:8, | State's ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ - 203:19, | stay ${ }_{[16]}-18: 13$ | still ${ }_{[21]}-22: 21$, |
| 313:12, 372:16 | 314:12 | 87:10, 179:25, | 31:20, 38:2 |
| starter $_{[1]}-152: 10$ | statement ${ }_{199}$ - | 4:24, 210:7, | 3:7, 143:20, |
| starting ${ }_{[3]}-139: 2$, | 119:8, 119:16, | 216:15, 233:13, | 51:24, 190:7, |
| 266:10, 295:22 | 119:25, 120:3, | 8:2, 257:14, | 2:4, 225:10, |
| starts $_{[3]}-66: 25$, | 120:13, 120:19, | 6:25, 272:21, | 4:22, 280:8 |
| 169:18, 354:13 | 120:23, 121:5, | 287:18, 346:9, | 35:19, 314:21, |
| STATE ${ }_{[1]}-375: 4$ | 325:20, 326:2, | 359:5, 367:13 | 39:5, 341:7, |
| state ${ }_{25]}-47: 19$, | 326:22, 327:3, | stayed $_{[1]}-19: 2$ | 44:2, 345:19, |
| 48:6, 59:13, | 327:6, 327:7, | staying ${ }_{[2]}-146: 5$, | 56:12, 365:5, |
| 70:13, 77:17, | 328:6, 328:12, | 266:10 | 365:6, 365:15 |
| 78:25, 79:13, | 329:5, 331:3, | stays $_{[2]}-176: 22$, | STIMMLER ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 80:25, 87:5, | 331:22 | 178:8 | 21 |
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