



CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We're going to get started with the Rules Committee. To start things off, I'm going to ask Legislator Schaefer to lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.
(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance is said.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Mike, could you call the roll for the Rules Committee, please?

CLERK PULITZER: Thank you, Presiding Officer. Roll call for the Rules Committee.

Legislator Siela Bynoe?
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Delia DeRiggi-Whitton?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Ranking Member Kevan Abrahams?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura Schaefer.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John Giuffre.

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Vice Chairman
Howard Kopel?
LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Chairman Richard Nicolello?

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum, sir.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you.
We are going to go right into the contracts portion of the Rules Committee. I'm going to call all the contracts at once and then we will consider each individually.

A-14, A-15, A-18-23; Resolutions authorizing the Commissioner of Shared Services to award and execute a Purchase Order or Blanket Purchase Order between the county and HFT Management, Choice Distribution,

Intellitech Corporation.
$B-12, B-13, B-19, B-20, B-22$,
B-23; these are Resolutions authorizing
the County Executive to award and execute contracts between the county and Posillico Civil; Landtek Group; John Civetta \& Sons; Galvin Brothers; 192 Branch Interior Services; H \& L Contracting.

$$
E-77, E-78, E-79, E-80, E-81,
$$

$$
E-82, E-83, E-84, E-85, E-87, E-88,
$$

$$
E-89, E-90, E-91, E-92, E-93 . \text { These are }
$$ Resolutions authorizing the County Executive to execute personal services agreements or amendments to the personal services agreements between the County and Leadership Training, Cameron Engineering, Greenman-Pederson, James McGuinness and Associates, N\&P Engineering, CG-3PL Engineering, M \& J Engineering, Selex, LIRO Engineers Inc, Louis McLean, Hardesty \& Hanover, and NYU Langone.

Motion by Deputy Presiding

Officer Kopel, Seconded by Minority
Leader Abrahams to put all those
contracts before us.
Before we proceed, I have three more untable: A-9 is a Resolution authorizing the Commissioner of Shared Services to award and execute a Blanket Purchase Order between the County and United Metro Energy.

E-22, E-46. These are Resolutions authorizing the County Executive to execute Personal Services Agreements or Amendments to Personal Services agreements between the county and Jacobs Project Management, Camp Dresser McKee \& Smith.

Motion by Minority Leader
Abrahams, seconded by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel to untable those items.

All in favor of untabling those, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: They are untabled.

First contract to discuss is Corrections, A18, Intellittech Corporation.

MR. JACOVINA: Good afternoon. Joe Jacovina, IT, Deputy Commissioner, 18-23 Intellitech Application Software Maintenance. This is to authorize and award the Purchase Order to Intellitech Corporation to provide software maintenance services for the Intellitech jail application known as Imax. Imax is software used by the jail for booking inmates and stores all the information regarding inmate incarceration. This is a sole source procurement. The purchase order is for three year term totaling $\$ 554,325$ over the three years.

I'll be happy to answer any
questions you may have.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Didn't the County purchase a new jail management system?

MR. JACOVINA: We did not
purchase that. We are in the process of disengaging with that vendor and we will continue with this application. This vendor also has a new web based service that the Jail Management Team looked at. We're not done evaluating it yet, but they very much like the functionality in the new web based service.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: And that's the one by Intellitech?

MR. JACOVINA: Yes.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Any
questions or comments?
Legislator Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you,
Presiding Officer.
Hi. Good day. Could you kind of explain the nature of it being a sole
source? Why is it a sole source?
MR. JACOVINA: It's a sole source because they own the software. So once we decided to install that software, Intellitech Imax System, they are the only vendor that has the knowledge and they are the proprietary owner of that software. So they are the only ones that can maintain it for us.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So this is for the purpose of the servicing of this software?

MR. JACOVINA: Yes, it's maintenance. For example, this contract, if the state changes reporting requirements, they would come in, they'd make the changes for us. If the Jail Team needed enhancements, they would make those enhancements for us.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you. I
thought it was the software and you were saying that was sole source, but it's the servicing of the software. Makes sense. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Anyone else?

Legislator Schaefer.
CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Good
afternoon. Just have a quick question.
What is the benefit or the lack of $a$ benefit of using a sole source vendor?

MR. JACOVINA: At the time that we
went out to bid, which was many years ago, it was not bid as sole source.

There were several software providers
that offered Jail Management Systems.
We chose this one in conjunction with
the jail management. We then
implemented it. So this is now
maintaining the software going forward after the original term.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Okay. Would
you say they have the greatest knowledge of this particular software?

MR. JACOVINA: Absolutely. They
wrote it.
CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: They wrote it and no one else is doing the same


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Before we move to the next contract, there were two that $I$ called that have to be tabled. One is with the Health department A14-23, HFT Management. Second one is Bl3 with the Landtek Group. Both contracts are with the IG's Office and she has not yet completed her investigation, so we need to table them.

Motion to table moved by
Legislator Schaefer, seconded by Legislator Bynoe. All in favor of tabling, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Carries unanimously.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We'll move on to the Probation E-77 Leadership Training.

MR. SCHILIRO: Good afternoon. Joe Schiliro, Fiscal Officer, Department of Probation.

This is a contract with Leadership Training Institute, a not for profit agency. The contractor is a preferred provider who has developed and provided the JDC Education Program for over 30 years. An RFP was initiated in November of 2020 . The final decision to continue with LTI was made in October of 2021 .

In the meantime, this is a mandated program that we have to provide the service to, and it continued through that period of time as it does still to this point.

The JDC Education Program is a unique program and that we are providing schooling for each of the residents in the JDC in a secure
detention setting. LTI created,
developed and has provided the JDC
Educational Program continually
refining and updated in conjunction
with OCFS requirements and probation
and JDC Senior Administration.

They developed this program at least over 30 years ago, if not longer than that, that's about as far back as I can get some secure knowledge that they have been providing that program. They provide ongoing educational services at the center five days a week, Monday through Friday. Each child's basic educational skills in reading, writing and arithmetic is assessed within 48 hours of admittance. Guidance and awareness of further educational and occupational opportunities are developed in conjunction with each child's home school district curriculum. Each district is contacted within 72 hours of being admitted into the residence.

There were five respondents to the RFP for the Educational Program. Of the five, three were eliminated for not meeting the RFP requirements. At the end of the day, LTI was the only other respondent who provides a secure on-site educational program.

The remaining vendor that was not selected has a very robust program, but only has experience with an off-site program. And it's not in a secure detention setting as is ours.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Thank you for that presentation. Any questions?

Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi.
I just have to just ask, how did it go from 342,000 per year to 1.6?

MR. SCHILIRO: They've not had an increase since 2019. They need to develop they need to attract qualified personnel, qualified educators. They have a high degree of turnover. They
need to meet the requirements of, like
I said, the New York State OCFS, the requirements that are laid out by the state mandated Office of Children and Family Services. And they just cannot retain the staff, attract the staff and continue with the staff and meet the requirements of the program.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay.
So this is for a year contract?
MR. SCHILIRO: Well, this is an amendment going forward through 2026 .

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So will that cover four years or is it -MR. SCHILIRO: No, no. That's just the one year. And there's a there's a a multi year budget attached with $I$ think it's about a $5 \%$ or so increase in each of the successive years.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: SO in total --

MR. SCHILIRO: They can't compete on a compensation level with educators.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But so it's a five year contract for 1.6 per year?

MR. SCHILIRO: No, no, no.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Okay. Total.
MR. SCHILIRO: The 2023 year is 385,000. I think it goes to about 400 or so in 2024 . And the amendment encompasses the total of the contract over the five year period.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay. Because amendment number one renews one year period from January lst to December 31 st of 2023. And then $I$ just don't see anything saying that it's a five year. But if that's what you're saying, then that's better. That makes more sense for the 1.6 .

MR. SCHILIRO: The term of the original agreement was January 1st, 2022 through December 31st, 2022. This amends us going forward for extending by four years through December 31st,

2026 .
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay. All right. So in other words, then it's not changing all that much per year. Okay. All right. Thank you.

MR. SCHILIRO: No. And they provide a very robust program for the residents there. They meet with quite a bit of challenges because they have to respond within 48 to 72 hours with individual school districts, wherever the home school district of any of the residents that come into attendance there.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I support that $100 \%$. And it's good that what, $85 \%$ is going to be reimbursed anyway.

MR. SCHILIRO: It varies based on our population. We automatically get reimbursed 49\% for any Nassau County in-county resident, any RTA (Raise the Age) resident at the facility or out of county, we get reimbursed $100 \%$. We've


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Next contract is with the Police Department. E 84, Selex ES, Inc.

INSPECTOR FIELD: Good afternoon. William Field, Inspector with the Police Department.

Item E-84-23 is an amendment to extend an existing contract for services related to the Department's license plate readers. This is a one year amendment for $\$ 71,653$.

The Department's stock of license plate readers is a proprietary software and hardware system, and they're the sole manufacturer. And that's it.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you. Any questions?

Minority Leader Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Inspector, are we going to be able to increase the amount of license plate readers with this contract or it going to kind of just remain the status quo of what we have that's in the universe now?

INSPECTOR FIELD: This is only the maintenance contract for one year. Going forward, the maintenance is actually going to be handled through a Blanket Purchase Order with a state contract. This does not necessarily preclude us from adding anything going forward.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Term ends July 31st, 2023?

INSPECTOR FIELD: Yes, sir. It's late. Unfortunately, there was a backlog in contracts, but this covers the gap period for us to carry over to the blanket contract.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: When will we be getting the -- Does that come to us? A blanket contract with the state?

INSPECTOR FIELD: Not to my knowledge.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Do you know whether the State's contract will have
extra capacity for additional readers?
I think the Minority Leader may just
have asked us, but $I$ just want to
follow up.

INSPECTOR FIELD: I think we can add as possible, if we need to, add cameras. This is really just for the maintenance and support of what we have out there. And generally, according to this past contract, there is maintenance and support with the item when you buy it for a period of time. CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I just want
to make sure I'm clear. So if we add, those new license plate readers will be covered under this maintenance agreement? There won't be a separate maintenance agreement, which costs us more money because we added?

INSPECTOR FIELD: I think going forward, we can.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That was my


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Parks Department E-93 with NYU Langone.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Good afternoon, everybody.

Item E-93-23 is a sponsorship Use and Occupancy Agreement between the County and NYU Langone Health. It's a revenue producing agreement in the amount of $\$ 300,000$. You'll recall last meeting we had a supplemental appropriation to that amount.

One hundred percent of this amount will be used towards summer entertainment, outdoor concerts and movies throughout our park system. It grants rights to NYU Langone Health to identify itself as the 2023 title sponsor. It excludes the July 1st fireworks event. The term of the agreement is June 1st, 2023 to September 30 th, 2023.

We are grateful to NYU Langone for their generosity, which will allow several hundred thousand residents to

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: E-80-23 with James McGuinness \& Associates with the Health Department.
MS. DESIMONE: Good afternoon, Ann DeSimone, Health Department.
Item E-80-23 is an amendment to a contract for Medicaid billing services, increase by $\$ 500,313$ and the encumbrance of $\$ 220,000$ for the percentage of claims reimbursement.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right. The rest of the contracts with DPW.

Starting with A-9, United Metro Energy Corp.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Good
afternoon. Ken Arnold, Public Works.
A-9 is a contract to supply
number two fuel oil to vendor submitted
bids. Annual usage of number two fuel oil and diesel is about $\$ 3.5$ million. This contract is only for number two fuel oil, as it was also a jet component as part of the contract that's not being awarded to the United Metro who was the lowest responsible bidder.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Any questions on this?

Minority Leader Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: How are
you, Commissioner?
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Good.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I don't
know if this is a question directed to
you, but this was an item that we tabled some time ago. I don't know if you're aware of that. We tabled it for several reasons. Some of the reasons I believe the inspector general was actually looking into. So $I$ don't know if -- Jodi, have you looked at this contract and you're okay with it?

Because there was some serious issues that came up as it pertains to this particular contract.

INSPECTOR GENERAL FRANZESE: Hi,
Good afternoon. We had alerted the Legislature, as well as the Administration, that there were certain disclosure issues that were not addressed. And we also forwarded, I believe, 3 or 4 news articles with respect to union busting and things like that.

I can tell you that the
disclosure issues were addressed
sufficiently. The other items I
actually was just alerted at a little
after 1:00 today that these questions were going to be asked. I did not get a chance to read the articles again because $I$ read them in May and $I$ needed to read them again so $I$ would be able to answer your questions with respect to the articles. Sorry. LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I understand. No, no, that's all right. I know you're spread thin, so I appreciate you at least being able to acknowledge that.

So nothing to Mr. Arnold, but it sounds like, Presiding officer, some of the issues that were concerning that that Jodi had just brought up: Some of the issues of union busting, US

National Labor Relations Board charged Metro with unfair labor practices and includes discriminating against workers that wanted to join a union, and then there was obviously some New York City Fire Commission issues as well in terms of Metro Paving, violating some New

York City fire code.
So I think to give the Inspector General some more time, $I$ think it would be best to table this matter until we can get the respective answers, because the original table, the answers are still not addressed. CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We can hold this off until at least the second part of the Rules Committee work. But I'd want to hear from Commissioner Arnold now, as well as Mr. Cleary, if he's here, so they can address this.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: SO I'll
move on to the next item?
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any response
to the questions that were raised?
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: The
Department does not do the disclosures
for items, that's through Purchasing.
So I really have no input on that.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: So maybe Mr. Cleary can.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Robert's
here.
MR. CLEARY: Hi. Robert Cleary Chief Procurement and Compliance Officer. I'll speak to a few of these issues.

First, the Fire Department issue. They conducted six inspections. There were no findings. There was no violation. There was an allegation from two, $I$ believe, state representatives perhaps, politicians. The allegations were found to be unfounded. So there was no issue there.

The labor dispute, the vendor has provided a substantial statement which

I could read. I provided an adverse information memo, or the Department did. They have continued to, in their terms, negotiate in good faith throughout. They have continued operations. The City has continued to do contracts with them. We have a contract with them now. It has not had any impact on their operations. I think
we're talking about something in the
order of maybe 20 employees that are
continuing to to strike.
An ongoing labor dispute in and
of itself is not a reason to find a
vendor non responsible, which is why we
put the contract forward. I do not
believe there are any actual
allegations from the Federal Government
or any other authorized agency that
they are violating Labor law in this
regard.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just the US
National Labor Relations Board that charged them, right? I'm asking the question.

MR. CLEARY: I actually am not
sure. I know that the vendor does not believe there's any charges against them.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Can we find
out for sure? According to my
understanding, the US National Labor
Relations Board charged Metro Paving
with unfair labor practices. Has that been resolved? I guess that is what I'm asking. I understand what Metro Paving is saying that there's nothing they've been charged with, but we should probably check with the US National Labor Relations Board to see if that charge is still there; has it been resolved? If it's been resolved, then $I$ think we're good to go. But if it's not been resolved, I don't know how we can go forward.

MR. CLEARY: I'll check that.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I
appreciate it.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Is that something that you can do today or is it something that --

MR. CLEARY: I can see if $I$ can
get some response to that.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Before we do
this, we could table this and then call it later on when the committee resumes.

But, Mr. Arnold, in terms of the

| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | urgency of this item, just -- |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: I would |
| 4 | assume it's probably going to be an |
| 5 | urgent item coming this Fall. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. But |
| 7 | for today's purposes? |
| 8 | COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: I think |
| 9 | we'll be fine. If we have another |
| 10 | meeting on the eighth, that'd be very |
| 11 | helpful. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: The seventh; |
| 13 | August 7 th. |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Yeah, we |
| 15 | have a Rules on that date? |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Yeah, we'll |
| 17 | definitely put a Rules Committee |
| 18 | together for that date. |
| 19 | COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Then we'll |
| 20 | be fine. Nothing's going to change in a |
| 21 | week or two weeks. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. |
| 23 | Motion to table by Minority |
| 24 | Leader Abrahams, seconded by Legislator |
| 25 | Giuffre. All in favor of tabling this |



COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Next item is A-15, a request to raise the contract cap for our Auto Accessories Hardware Contract with Choice. We're raising the cap from 550 to $\$ 700,000$. This contract provides auto parts utilized by the Department's fleet operation.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any questions?

Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Just
for clarification, Ken. Are you finding that we just need more or do you think a lot of it is the whole supply issue still, or --

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Probably the fleet's getting older. We have cycles. When the fleet gets older, it requires work. The supply issue is probably a result of us not getting the fleet as quickly as we need to. I know we're having trouble getting vehicles right now, so $I$ think that's part of the problem.
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: So B-12 is a Construction Contract for the rehabilitation of Wantagh Avenue from Sunrise Highway to Hempstead Turnpike. Work includes road pavement, curb, sidewalk, ADA ramps.
We had four bids received and Posillico was the lowest responsible bidder at $\$ 8.39$ million. This bid was below the engineer's estimate. The MWBE participation is at $19 \%$.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other questions on this one?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Nope. Let's keep going.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: B-19 is a Construction Contract to rehabilitate Cutter Mill/Bayview Avenue Bridge over the Long Island Railroad.

Three bids were received and Civetta \& Son is the lowest responsible bidder at $\$ 7.29$ million. The bid was over the engineer's estimate and that was looked at in great detail and it was determined that the engineer's estimate did not include some of the costs of the railroad permits that were required and just the escalation of materials during that time period.

DOT also concurred with the awarding of this contract when they looked at it.

Federal and State portion of funding for this contract is 3.1 and one half million dollars respectively, and the MWBE participation is set at $11 \%$.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: B-20 is the next contract. A Construction Contract for water quality improvements associated with the pond at Bailey's Arboretum.
Four bids were received, and Galvin Brothers was determined to be the lowest responsible bidder at \$2.58 million. The bid was 7.5\% above the engineer's estimate. The MWBE participation is at $14 \%$. CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

$$
\star * * * *
$$

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: B-22 is the Department's Requirements Contract that's utilized for asbestos and lead abatement.

Three bids are received and
Branch was determined to be the lowest possible bidder. This is a two year contract with a two year extension, and the cap for the full four years is at \$4 million. Branch is an MBE firm themselves.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other questions?

VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Ken, more of a general question. When you bid these things out, do they also include any information as to the intention to do extensions or is it just --

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: When
they're bid, they know exactly what the term of the contract is, including the extensions.

VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: They know that.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: That's part of the bid, yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. Thanks.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Hi,
Commissioner. Quick question.
I noticed that the other two bids were significantly higher than this one. Do you have any idea why that is?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Costwise?
CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Well, was
2.9 million and the other was 4.3, and this one was 874 or 75 .

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: So the 874,
when we do On-call Requirements
Contracts, we have a comparison bid, but we award the contract at a certain cap. So the 874 represents everybody giving us their bids that we use for comparison purposes. So that's how we determine the lowest responsible bidder. That contract was set at a certain cap, which is in the documents. And that's the amount that we could
spend depending on the issues that come
up.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Okay. But
the other two vendors would have known that, right?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: The cap is in the contract. So when you do the unit prices -- and this happens with all our On-Call Contracts, this is the same question $I$ get quite a bit -- is if $I$ said this was a road requirements contract, asphalt excavation, we have to pick something that we can compare against. That doesn't equal what the cap of the contract is going to be. That's determined and put in the book separate.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Okay.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Anyone other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: B-23 is a construction contract to rehabilitate the Charles Lindbergh Bridge over the Meadowbrook Parkway.
Eight bids were received and H\&L was determined to be the lowest responsible bidder at $\$ 14.7$ million, which is below the engineer's estimate there.
The MWBE participation is $9 \%$.
Federal and State contributions to this contract is $\$ 4.81$ million respectively.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Do you want to go back to E-22? You skipped over that.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: E-22 is a Professional Services Contract to provide construction management services associated with the replacement of the hot and chilled water piping system at the Bay Park Sewage Treatment plant or South Shore Water Reclamation Facility.

There were six firms providing proposal, with Jacobs receiving both the high technical rank and lowest cost. The contract is for 1.85 million.

FEMA reimbursement on this contract is $100 \%$ and their MWBE participation is $23 \%$.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Then we have a bunch of contracts together. They're all the same subject.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Yes.
E-79, E-81, 83, 88, 91 are all
associated with Department's On-Call
Traffic Engineering Services Contract.
These five contracts are with
GPI, Greenman-Pederson; Nelson \& Pope;
M \& J; LKMA, and Hardesty and Hanover.
These are five of the ten that
you'll see in total, actually two contracts you've seen previously, which was NV5 and LKB. These are five year contracts for a total cap of $\$ 10.5$ million.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You skipped
85. Are we not calling that one?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: That's
different. It's an amendment.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. It's in the same bundle.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Same bundle. Just it's an amendment.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Yeah, we'll be we'll be amending a couple of the traffic ones because they have ongoing work that we have to finish and it just was easy to do that. So $I$ think next session you'll see a couple more.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: So I think we jumped now to $E-82$ ?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Yes.
So E-82 is a Contract Amendment for the County's ON-Call Disaster Management Services Contract with CG-3PL. This amendment will extend the contract to August 25 th for a total of $\$ 8.35$ million.

This is a retroactive contract and this contract has been utilized to help the Department and the county with both COVID, Ida, and we actually added money for any additional response that may come up with storms this coming year and next year.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay.
Any questions on this one?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: E87.
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Yes.
E87 is a contract amendment with LIRO associates. This amendment is for their On-Call Building Design Services

Contract.
Specifically, this amendment is needed to cover the design services that we needed for the rehabilitation of the Second Precinct after the fire. This $\$ 1.8$ million is all the services required to design the new precinct for that area.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Do you have a timeline for this project?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: The job is currently out to bid. We expect bids back -- I believe we extended by a week-- probably sometime the end of the month, early August, I think. Did you see my memo on how we're going to proceed, which under a declared emergency. So the hope is to initiate a notice to proceed and then come to the Legislature at the next session for approval. So I'm hoping that issue notice proceed sometime in August once we do the vetting.

VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: LIRO, under
this contract, they did design?
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Yes.
Design. And they'll do design services during construction. They will not do $C M$. Construction manager will be done by another firm.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Has the design already been done?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: We went to LIRO under the emergency because LIRO designed our other precinct facilities for the Fourth, First and the Eighth. They did the prototype building, so it was much more expeditious to go to them for the second which is under the same model as the other building.

VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Only
question that $I{ }^{\prime} m$ trying to understand is how did you go out to bid before the design?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: They started work under their On-Call. We had funding, but we didn't have complete funding. So this is an
amendment to get us to complete funding. So there is a retroactive component to this because of the emergency.

VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Anyone else?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Just
real quick. So are you pretty much
building what was there? Do you have an
idea of what the specs are?
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: There's
100\% design. The design is completed.
We probably could have came to
this Body with the amendment a little earlier, but we did not. So they started the work -- we had capacity in the contract, so we were able to start the work, but we couldn't pay them the full total. We had to amend the contract.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
guess our concern is that for you to go
out for bid for the actual work on the
Precinct, we just want to make sure
that the design --
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: The design
100\% complete. It's a complete design
package.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We
are just trying to avoid having to come
back.
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: No. The
complete design package was done.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Okay. Good. I'm glad it's moving
forward.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Anyone
else?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
All right, we can move on to E-89
and E-92. You can talk about those two
together.
COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: So E-89 and
E-92 are both professional services
contracts with one with Greenman and
Pederson. The other one's with Hardesty
and Hanover.

They're both for the rehabilitation of bridges. One is the Merrick Road Bridge over Whaleneck Creek, and the second one is the Island Parkway Bridge over the canal that's in the area.

Both projects had seven proposals and GPI for $\mathrm{E}-89$ was the highest technically ranked company at $\$ 588,000$, with a participation of $10 \%$ MWBE and Hardesty and Hanover was the highest ranked with a fee of $\$ 601,000$ with an MWBE participation at $6 \%$.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any question on these two?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. The next is E-90. It's also with Hardesty and Hanover.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Yes. E-90
is a Professional Services Contract associated with the design services for drainage and pavement condition improvements to North Village Avenue in Rockville Centre.

Ten proposals were received with the three top ranked firms. The three be very highly ranked and equal. The Technical Review Committee determined that $H$ and $H$ provided the best value since the top three were equal in their technical ability, and the fee for this job is $\$ 405,000$ and the MWBE participation is $23 \%$. CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right, thank you.

Any questions on this one?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: It seems --
I don't know if this is accurate, but just by listening -- it seems that your MWBE percentages seem to be increasing.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Actually,
I'm glad you brought that up because I did look at that today. And for the "B" contracts only, today we presented \$39 Million worth of contracts and of that, $\$ 6.7$ million are going to MWBE,


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: So putting aside the table contracts we have up for a vote now:

$$
A-18 ; E-77 ; E-84 ; E-93 ; E-88 ;
$$

$$
A-15 ; B-12 ; B-19 ; B-20 ; B-22 ; B-23 ;
$$

$$
E-22 ; E-46 ; E-78 ; E-79 ; E-81 ; E-83 ;
$$

$$
E-85 ; E-88 ; E-91 ; E-82 ; E-87 ; E-89 ;
$$

$$
E-92 ; \text { and } E-90
$$

Any further debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public comments?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Hearing none. All in favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: They all
carry unanimously.
We'll put the Committee in recess. Public safety is next.
(Whereupon, recess, 2:01-3:47 p.m.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We need a motion to suspend the Rules Committee. Motion by Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel, seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
All in favor of suspending the Rules, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Passes unanimously.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We have a Consent Calendar, which is items that went through Committees a few moments ago. It's been agreed that between the Majority and Minority that no further debate or discussion is required on these items:

Items 212; 213; 214; 215; 216;
217; 218; 219; 220; 221; 222; 223; 224;
225; 226; 227; 228; 230; 231; 232.
The first Addendum 233; 235; 236;
237; 238; 239. And that's it. Motion by
Legislator Schaefer, seconded by Deputy
Presiding Officer Kopel. Those Items are before us.

Any debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public comment.
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: If none, all in favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with,


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Item 234 of 23 is a Resolution to confirm the County Executive's appointment of David Chiang to the Deferred Compensation

Board. Moved by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton, seconded by Legislator Bynoe.

Any debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Obviously, we we do not have the nominees come to the Committees. They usually come to the Full Legislature, at which point they're formally voted upon. But any any event, any public comment?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.) CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Hearing none, all in favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: 240 and 241 are Resolutions confirming the appointment by the County Legislature of Daniel J. Lang and James Moriarty to the Board of Directors of the Nassau County Regional Off-track Betting Corporation. Moved by Legislator Giuffre, seconded by Legislator Schaefer.
Any debate or discussion on these two appointments?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Hearing none, all in favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Carries unanimously.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Last one is 242 of 23, a Resolution authorizing the County Executive to execute an Inter-Municipal Agreement with the Incorporated Village Freeport in relation to a project to provide funding for repairs to the Freeport Village Armory's Gymnasium.

Motion by Legislator Bynoe, seconded by Legislator Giuffre.

Any debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public comment?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All in favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Carries


| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | C E R T I F I C A E |
| 3 |  |
| 4 | STATE OF NEW YORK ) |
| 5 | : SS. : |
| 6 | COUNTY OF NASSAU ) |
| 7 |  |
| 8 | I, KAREN LORENZO, a Notary |
| 9 | Public for and within the State of New |
| 10 | York, do hereby certify: |
| 11 | That the above is a correct |
| 12 | transcription of my stenographic notes. |
| 13 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have |
| 14 | hereunto set my hand this 17th day of July, |
| 15 | 2023. |
| 16 |  |
| 17 | Karen Lorenzo |
| 18 | Karen Lorenzo |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |


| \$ | 1:00 ${ }_{[1]}-30: 2$ | 23\% ${ }_{[2]}-48: 19$, | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1.09 ${ }_{[1]}-51: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 : 1 5}\left[{ }_{[1]}-1: 21\right. \\ & \mathbf{s t}_{[4]}-18: 15, \\ & \text { 18:22, 25:19, } \\ & 25: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 3 0}_{[1]}-63: 11 \\ & 231_{[1]}-63: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{5} \%_{[1]}-17: 19 \\ & 550_{[1]}-37: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| \$2.58 ${ }_{[1]}-42: 9$ |  |  |  |
| \$220,000 ${ }_{[1]}-27: 10$ |  | $232_{[1]}-63: 11$ | 6 |
| \$39 ${ }_{[1]}-59: 24$ | 2 | 233 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 12$ | 6\% ${ }_{[1]}-58: 13$ |
| \$4.81 ${ }_{[1]}-46: 13$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 3 4}_{[1]}-65: 2 \\ & \mathbf{2 3 5}_{[1]}-63: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $6.7{ }_{[1]}-59: 25$ |
| \$405,000 ${ }_{[1]}-59: 9500,313_{[1]}-27: 9$ | $2.9_{[1]}-44: 13$ | 236 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 12$ | 7 |
| \$500,313 ${ }_{[1]}-27.9$ | $20_{[1]}-33: 3$ | 237 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 13$ | 7.5\% ${ }_{[1]}-42: 10$ |
| \$588,000 ${ }_{[1]}-58: 9$ | 2019 ${ }_{[1]}-16: 22$ | 2389 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 13$ | 72 ${ }_{[2]}$ - 15:24, 19:11 |
| \$601,000 ${ }_{[1]}-58: 12$ | 2020 ${ }_{[1]}-14: 14$ | 239 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 13$ | $75_{[1]}-44: 14$ |
| \$7.29 ${ }_{[1]}$ - 40:8 | 2021 [1] - 14:16 | 240 ${ }_{[1]}-67: 2$ | 7th ${ }_{[1]}-35: 13$ |
| \$700,000 ${ }_{[1]}-37: 6$ | $2022_{[2]}-18: 23$$\mathbf{2 0 2 3}{ }_{[8]}-1: 20,18: 8$, | 241 ${ }_{[1]}-67: 2$ |  |
| \$71,653 ${ }_{[1]}-21: 12$ |  | 242 ${ }_{[1]}-68: 3$ | 8 |
| \$8.35 ${ }_{[1]}-53: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2023_{[8]}-1: 20,18: 8 \\ & \text { 18:16, 22:13, } \\ & 25: 18,25: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25th }_{[1]}-53: 9 \\ & \text { 2:01-3:47 } \end{aligned}$ | $83^{[1]}-50: 6$ |
| \$8.39 ${ }_{[1]}-39: 10$ |  |  | $84_{[1]}-21: 4$ |
| 1 | 2024 ${ }_{[1]}$ - 18:10 | 3 | 85 ${ }_{[2]}-20: 2,50: 19$ |
| $\mathbf{1}_{[2]}-47: 5,49: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2026_{[2]}-17: 13, \\ & 19: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $3^{[1]}$ - 29:19 | $874{ }_{[3]}-44: 14,$ |
| $1.6{ }_{[3]}-16: 20,18: 3$, | 212 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 9$ | $3.11_{[1]}-40: 20$ | 44:15, 44:19 |
| 18:20 |  | 30 ${ }_{[2]}-14: 13,15: 9$ | $88_{[1]}-50: 6$ |
| . ${ }_{[1]}-54: 7$ | $\mathbf{2 1 4}_{[1]}-63: 9$ | 30th ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-25: 22$ | 9 |
| $1.85{ }_{[1]}-48: 16$ $10 \%$ | 215 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 9$ | 31st ${ }_{[4]}-18: 16$, | 9\% ${ }_{[1]}-46: 11$ |
| 10\% ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-58: 10$ | $216{ }_{[1]}-63: 9$ | 18:23, 18:25, |  |
| 10.5 ${ }_{[1]}-50: 16$ |  |  | 90\% ${ }_{[1]}-20: 2$ |
| 100\% ${ }_{[5]}$ - 19:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 1 8}_{[1]}-63: 10 \\ & \mathbf{2 1 9}{ }_{[1]}-63: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 22:13 | 91 ${ }_{[1]}-50: 6$ |
| 56:14, 57:5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 342,000_{[1]}-16: 20 \\ & 385,000_{[1]}-18: 9 \end{aligned}$ | A |
| 11\% ${ }_{[1]}-40: 23$ | 220 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 47_{[1]}-2: 8 \\ & 3: 52_{[1]}-69: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A-14 }{ }_{[1]}-5: 20 \\ & \text { A-15 }_{[3]}-5: 20,37: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| 14\% ${ }_{[1]}-42: 12$ | 222 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 10$ |  |  |
| $14.7{ }_{[1]}-46: 8$ |  | 4 |  |
| $1550{ }_{[1]}-1: 15$ | $\mathbf{2 2 3}{ }_{[1]}-63: 10$$\mathbf{2 2 4}{ }_{[1]}-63: 10$ | $\mathbf{4}_{[2]}-29: 19,43: 11$ | A-18 ${ }_{[1]}-61: 5$ |
| $17_{[1]}-1: 20$ |  | $4.3{ }_{[1]}-44: 13$ | $\text { A-18-23 }{ }_{[1]}-5: 20$ |
| $17 \%{ }_{[1]}-60: 2$ | 226 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 11$ |  |  |
| 17th ${ }_{[1]}-70: 14$ |  | $400_{[1]}-18: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A- } 9_{[3]}-7: 6,28: 4, \\ & 28: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18-23 ${ }_{[1]}-8: 12$ | 227 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48{ }_{[2]}-15: 18,19: 11 \\ & 49 \%_{[1]}-19: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { A14-23 }{ }_{[1]}-13: 6$ <br> A18 ${ }_{[1]}-8: 8$ <br> abatement ${ }_{[1]}-43: 5$ |
| 19\% ${ }_{[1]}-39: 12$ | $\mathbf{2 3}_{[2]}-65: 3,68: 3$ | 49\% ${ }_{[1]}-19: 22$ |  |
| $192{ }_{[1]}-6: 9$ | $23_{[2]}-65.3,68.3$ |  |  |


| ability $_{[1]}-59: 8$ | addressed $_{[3]}$ - | allow ${ }_{[2]}-25: 24$, | appreciate ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| able $_{[5]}-21:$ | 29:18, 29:23, 31:8 | 51: | 30:12, |
| 24:7, 30:6, 30:12, | adjourn $_{[1]}-69: 3$ | almost $_{[1]}-60: 10$ | appropriation ${ }_{[1]}-$ |
| 56:19 | adjourned $_{[2]}$ - | alone $_{[1]}-24: 4$ | 25:12 |
| ABRAHAMS ${ }_{[15]}$ | 69:15, 69:18 | amend $_{[1]}-56: 21$ | approval $_{[1]}-54: 22$ |
| 2:8, 4:23, 21:20, | adjourning ${ }_{[1]}$ | amending $^{[1]}$ - 52:3 | Arboretum ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 22:10, 23:16, | 69:6 | Amendment ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 42:6 |
| 23:25, 28:21 | Administra | 49:3, 53: | area ${ }_{[2]}-54: 9,58: 6$ |
| 28:24, 30:9, | 5:7, 29:16 | amendment ${ }_{[8]}$ - | arithmetic |
| 33:14, 33:22, | admittance | :13, 18:10, | 15:17 |
| 34:15, 50:18 | 5:18 | 14, 21:8, | Armory's ${ }_{[1]}-68: 9$ |
| 50:22, 60:8 | admitted $_{[1]}-15: 25$ | 21:12, 27:7, 47:5, | Arnold ${ }_{[4]}-28: 7$, |
| Abrahams ${ }_{[6]}$ | adverse $_{[1]}-32: 17$ | :6, 50:21, | 30:14, 31:12, |
| 4:22, 7:3, 7:19 | afternoon ${ }_{[8]}-8: 10$, | 25, 51:8, | 34:25 |
| 21:19, 28:20, | 11:6, 14:5, 21:5, | :25, 53:8 | ARNOLD ${ }_{[46]}$ - 3:7, |
| 35:24 | 25:5, 27:5, 28:7, | 53:23, 53:24, | 28:6, 28:23 |
| Absolutely | 29:14 | 54:3, 56:2, 56:16 | 31:15, 31:19, |
| 11:22 | Age $_{[1]}-19:$ | Amendments ${ }_{[1]}$ | 31:25, 35:3, 35:8, |
| Accessories ${ }_{[1]}$ | agency ${ }_{[2]}-14: 10$, | 7:14 | 35:14, 35:19, |
| 37:4 | 33:1 | amendments ${ }_{[1]}-$ | 7:2, 37:17, 38:5, |
| according ${ }_{[2]}$ | ago $_{[4]}-11: 11$ | 6:16 | 9:2, 40:2, 42:2, |
| 23:10, 33:23 | 15:9, 29:3, | amends $^{[1]}$ - 18:24 | 3:2, 43:20, 44:2, |
| accurate $_{[1]}-59: 16$ | agreed $_{[1]}-63: 5$ | amount ${ }_{[5]}-21: 22$, | 4:11, 44:15 |
| acknowledge ${ }_{[1]}$ | agreement ${ }_{[5]}$ - | :10, 25:12 | 5:7, 46:2, 47:2, |
| 30:13 | 18:22, 23:20, | 25:14, 44:25 | :5, 49:2, 50:5, |
| actual ${ }_{[2]}-33: 9$ | 23:21, 25:9, 25:21 | Ann ${ }_{[1]}-27: 5$ | 0:20, 50:24, |
| 56:25 | Agreement ${ }_{[2]}$ | Annual $_{[1]}-28: 10$ | 1:7, 51:21, 52:2, |
| ADA $_{[1]}-3$ | 25:7, 68:5 | answer ${ }_{[2]}-8: 25$, | , $4,53: 22$ |
| add $_{[5]}-23: 7$ | Agreement | 30:7 | 4:12, 55:3, 55:8, |
| 23:17, 24:7, | 7:13 | answers ${ }_{[2]}-31: 7$, | 5:10, 55:22, |
| added $_{[2]}-23: 22$ | ag | 31:8 | 13, 57: |
| 53:14 | 6:16, 6:17, 7:15 | anyway $_{[1]}-19: 19$ | 7:10, 57:21, |
| Addendum ${ }_{[1]}$ - | alerted ${ }_{[2]}-29: 14$, | Application ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $58: 20,59: 20,60: 6$ |
| 63:12 | 29:25 | $8: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{articles}_{[3]}-29: 19, \\ & 30: 4,30: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| adding $_{[1]}-22: 8$ | allegation $_{[1]}-$ | application ${ }_{[2]}-$ | $30: 4,30: 8$ |
| addition $_{[1]}-49: 6$ | $32: 10$ | 8:17, 9:9 | asbestos $_{[1]}-43: 4$ <br> aside $_{[11}-61: 3$ |
| additional ${ }_{[6]}$ 23:2, 24:8, | allegations ${ }_{[2]}$ - 32:12, 33:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { appointment }_{[2]} \text { - } \\ & 65: 4,67: 4 \end{aligned}$ | sphalt ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| $47: 5,51: 10,53: 15$ | Allegiance ${ }_{[2]}-4: 6$, | appointment | assessed $_{[1]}$ - |
| address ${ }_{[1]}-31: 14$ | 4:8 | 67:12 | 15:18 |


| assign $_{[1]}-51: 13$ <br> assisting $_{11}-51: 15$ <br> associated ${ }_{[4]}$ - <br> 42:5, 48:8, 50:7, <br> 58:22 <br> Associates ${ }_{[2]}$ - <br> 6:20, 27:3 <br> associates $_{[1]}$ - <br> 53:24 <br> assume $_{[11}-35: 4$ <br> attached $_{[1]}-17: 18$ <br> attendance ${ }_{[1]}-$ <br> 19:14 <br> $\operatorname{attract}_{[2]}-16: 23$, 17:7 <br> August $_{44}-35: 13$, 53:9, 54:16, 54:23 <br> authorize ${ }_{[1]}-8: 13$ authorized ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 33:11 <br> authorizing ${ }_{[6]}$ - <br> 5:21, 6:4, 6:14, <br> 7:7, 7:12, 68:3 <br> Auto ${ }_{(1)}-37: 4$ <br> auto $_{\text {(1) }}-37: 7$ <br> automatically ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 19:21 <br> Avenue $_{[4]}-1: 15$, <br> 39:4, 40:4, 58:24 <br> averaging ${ }_{(1)}-20: 2$ <br> avoid $_{[1]}-57: 8$ <br> award $_{[6]}-5: 22$, <br> 6:5, 7:8, 8:14, <br> 44:18, 47:3 <br> awarded $_{(1)}-28: 15$ <br> awarding $_{(11}-40: 17$ <br> aware $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 29:4 <br> awareness ${ }_{[1]}-$ <br> 15:19 <br> Aye" ${ }_{[20]}$-7:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7:25, 13:15, } \\ & \text { 13:18, 36:2, 36:5, } \\ & \text { 61:17, 61:20, } \\ & \text { 62:13, 62:16, } \\ & \text { 63:23, 64:2, } \\ & \text { 65:19, 65:22, } \\ & 67: 16,67: 19, \\ & 68: 18,68: 21, \\ & 69: 7,69: 10 \end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { B-12 }{ }_{[3]}-6: 3,39: 2, \\ & 61: 6^{2} \\ & \text { B-13 }{ }_{[1]}-6: 3 \\ & \text { B-19 } \\ & 61: 6_{[3]}-6: 3,40: 2, \\ & \text { B-20 } \\ & 61: 6 \\ & \text { B-23 }-6: 3,42: 2, \\ & 61: 6_{[3]}-6: 3,43: 2, \\ & \text { B-23 } \\ & 61: 6 \end{aligned}$ <br> B13 ${ }_{[1]}$ - 13:7 <br> backlog $_{[1]}-22: 16$ <br> Bailey's ${ }_{[1]}-42: 5$ <br> based $_{[3]}-9: 10$, <br> 9:14, 19:20 <br> basic $_{[1]}-15: 16$ <br> Bay $_{[1]}-48: 10$ <br> Bayville $_{[1]}-51: 14$ <br> below ${ }_{[2]}$ - 39:11, <br> 46:9 <br> BELYEA $_{[3]}-3: 5$, 25:4, 26:8 <br> benefit ${ }_{[2]}$ - 11:7, <br> 11:8 <br> best $_{[2]}-31: 5,59: 6$ <br> better $_{[2]}-18: 19$, <br> 60:4 <br> Betting $_{[1]}-67: 7$ |  | 55:17, 56:11 <br> Building ${ }_{[2]}-1: 14$, <br> 53:25 <br> bunch $_{[1]}-50: 3$ <br> bundle ${ }_{[2]}-50: 23$, <br> 50:25 <br> busting $_{[2]}-29: 20$, <br> 30:18 <br> buy ${ }_{(1)}-23: 13$ <br> $B Y_{[1]}-1: 24$ <br> Bynoe $_{[5]}-4: 15$, <br> 9:21, 13:14, 65:7, <br> 68:10 <br> BYNOE $_{[5]}-2: 10$, <br> 4:16, 9:22, 10:11, <br> 10:21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 44:18, 44:24 | 60:12, 61:2, | ${\text { chilled }{ }_{[1]}-48: 9}^{\text {a }}$ | 39:2, 40:2, 42:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| certify ${ }_{\text {(1] }}-70: 10$ | 61:12, 61:15, | Choice $_{[2]}-5: 25$, | 3:2, 43:20, 44:2, |
| CG-3PL ${ }_{[2]}$-6:21, | 61:21, 61:24 | 37:5 | 44:11, 44:15 |
| 53:8 | 62:7, 62:17, | chose $_{\text {[1] }}-11: 14$ | 45:7, 46:2, 47: |
| Chair ${ }_{[2]}-2: 4,2: 5$ | 62:20, 63:2, | City ${ }_{[3]}$ - 30:23, | 48:5, 49:2, 50:5, |
| CHAIRMAN ${ }_{[111]}$ - | 63:19, 63:22, | 31:2, 32:22 | 50:20, 50:24, |
| 4:2, 4:9, 5:11, | 64:3, 64:6, 65:2 | Civetta ${ }_{[2]}-6: 8$, | 51:7, 51:21, 52:2, |
| 5:14, 8:2, 8:5, 9:3, | 65:10, 65:17, | 40:7 | 53:4, 53:22, |
| 9:16, 9:19, 11:2, | 65:23, 66:2, 67:2, | Civil $_{[1]}-6: 7$ | 54:12, 55:3, 55:8, |
| 12:6, 12:8, 13:2, | 67:14, 67:20, | claims ${ }_{[1]}-27: 11$ | 5:10, 55:22, |
| 13:19, 13:22, | 67:23, 68:2, | clarification | 56:13, 57:4 |
| 14:2, 16:14, 20:8, | 68:14, 68:17 | 37:13 | 7:10, 57:21 |
| 20:10, 21:2, | 68:22, 68:25, | clear $_{[1]}-23: 17$ | 58:20, 59:20, 60:6 |
| 21:17, 22:12, | 69:11, 69:14 | CLEARY ${ }_{[5]}-3: 8$ | Commissioner ${ }_{[6]}$ - |
| 22:19, 22:24, | Chairman ${ }_{[2]}-5: 6$, | 32:3, 33:18, | 5:21, 7:7, 8:11, |
| 23:14, 24:10, | 5:9 | 34:14, 34:20 | 2:22, 31:12, 44:7 |
| 24:13, 25:2, 26:3, | CHAIRWOMAN ${ }_{\text {[9] }}$ - | Cleary ${ }_{\text {[] }}$-31:1 | committee ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 6:6, 27:2, 27:12, | 5:2, 11:5, 11 | 31:24, 32:3 | 34: |
| 27:15, 28:2, | 11:24, 12:4, 44:6, | Clerk ${ }_{[1]}-2$ | Committee ${ }^{201}$ - |
| 28:18, $31: 9$, | 44:12, 45:4, 45:19 | CLERK $_{[8]}-4: 12$, | 4:4, 4:11, 4:14, |
| 31:17, 31:23, | challenges ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 4:17, 4:21, 4:24, | 5:17, 7:24, 13:17, |
| 34:17, 34:22, | 19:10 | 5:3, 5:6, 5:9, 5:12 | 1:11, 35:17, |
| 35:6, 35:12, | chance ${ }_{\text {I1] }}-30: 4$ | CM ${ }_{\text {III }}-55$ | 6:4, 59:5, 61:19, |
| 35:16, 35:22, | change ${ }_{[3]}-35: 20$, | code $_{[1]}-31: 2$ | 2:2, 62:8, 62:15, |
| $36: 6,36: 9,37: 9$, $38 \cdot 9,39: 13$ | 47:6, 49:8 | coming $_{[2]}-35: 5$, | $63: 25,65: 21,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 9,39: 13, \\ & 39: 16,41: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { changes }_{[2]}-10: 16, \\ & 10: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 53:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 67:18, 68:20, } \\ & \text { 69:9, 69:17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 42:13, 43:13, | changing $_{\text {[1] }}-19: 5$ | b5:15 | COMMITTEE $_{[1]}$ - |
| 43:15, 43:24, | charge $_{[1]}-34: 9$ | 68:15 | 1:12 |
| 44:4, 45:21, | charged $_{[4]}-30: 19$, | comments ${ }^{[2]}$ | Committees ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 46:15, 47:8, 48:2, | 33:16, 33:25, 34:6 | 9:20, 61:13 | 63:4, 65:12 |
| 48:20, 49:10, | charges $_{[1]}-33: 20$ | Commission ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | COMMITTEES $_{[1]}$ - |
| 50:2, 51:6, 51:18, | Charles ${ }_{(1]}-46: 4$ | 30:24 | 1:4 |
| 51:22, 52:8, 53:2, | check ${ }_{[3]}-24: 6$, | COMMISSIONER | ${\text { company }{ }_{11}-58: 9}^{1}$ |
| 53:18, 53:21, | $34: 7,34: 14$ | $\text { [49] - } 3: 5,3: 7,25: 4,$ | compare ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-45: 14$ |
| 54:10, 54:25, | Chiang ${ }_{[1]}$-65:5 | 8, 28:6, 28:23, | comparison ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 55:18, 56:6, 56:7, | Chief ${ }_{[1]}$ - 32:4 | 15, 31:19, | 44:17, 44:21 |
| 57:15, 58:14, | child's ${ }_{[2]}-15: 16$, | $25,35: 3,35: 8$ | compensation ${ }_{(1)}-$ |
| 58:17, 59:11, | 15:22 | :14, 35:19, | 17:25 |
| 59:15, 60:3, | Children ${ }_{[1]}$ - 17:5 | 37:2, 37:17, 38:5, | Compensation $_{[11}-$ |




| 33:3 | excludes ${ }_{[1]}-25: 19$ | 61:19, 62:12, | forward ${ }_{(10)}-11: 17$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{10}$ encompasses ${ }_{(11}$ - | execute ${ }_{[6]}-5: 22$, | 62:15, 63:23, | $17: 13,18: 24$ |
| 18:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:6, 6:15, 7:8, } \\ & 7: 13,68: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63:25, 65:18, } \\ & \text { 65:21, 67:15, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 4,22: 9,23: 24, \\ & 24: 6,33: 8,34: 13, \end{aligned}$ |
| 27:10 | Executive | 67:18, 68:18 | 57:1 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{[2]}-16: 6,54: 15$ | 7:12 | 68:20, 69:6, 69 | forwarded |
| ends $_{[1]}-22: 12$ | 68:4 | Federal ${ }_{[3]}-33: 10$, | 29:18 |
| Energy ${ }_{[2]}-7: 10$, | Executive's ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 40:19, 46:12 | Four ${ }_{[11}-42: 7$ |
| 28:5 | 65:4 | fee ${ }_{[2]}-58: 12,59: 8$ | four $_{[4]}-17: 15$ |
| engineer's ${ }_{[5]}$ | existing ${ }_{[2]}-21: 9$, | FEMA $_{[1]}-48: 17$ | 25, 39:8, 43:10 |
| 39:11, 40:9 | 51:9 | few ${ }_{[2]}-32: 5,63$ | ourth ${ }_{[1]}-55: 13$ |
| 40:11, 42:11, 46:9 | expect $_{11}-54: 13$ | Field ${ }_{[1]}-21: 6$ | ranklin ${ }_{(11}-1$ : |
| Engineering ${ }_{[5]}$ 6:19, 6:21, 6:22, 50:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { expeditious }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 55: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIELD }_{\text {\|9 }}-3: 6, \\ & 21: 5,22: 2,22: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FRANZESE }_{[1]}- \\ & 29: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | experience ${ }_{111}$ | 22:22, 23:6, | free $_{\text {[1] }}-26: 2$ |
| Engineers $_{[1]}-6: 22$ enhancements ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ 10:19, 10:20 | \| 16:11 | explair | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 23,24: 5,24: 15 \\ & \text { final }{ }_{[1]}-14: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Freeport }{ }_{[2]}-68: 6 \text {, } \\ & 68: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | extend [2] - 21 | findings ${ }_{[1]}-32$ | diday ${ }_{(1)}$ |
| enjoy ${ }_{\text {(1] }}-26: 2$ | 53:8 | fine $^{[2]}-35: 9,35: 20$ | 28 |
| entertainment | extended ${ }_{[1]}-54: 14$ | finish $_{\text {(1] }}-52: 5$ | 10, 28: |
| 25:15, 26:2 | extending ${ }_{(1]}$ - | Fire ${ }_{\text {22 }}-30: 24,32: 7$ | Full ${ }_{[1]}-65: 13$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Environmental }{ }_{[2]}- \\ \text { 47:3, 49:4 } \end{array}$ | 18:24 | fire ${ }_{[2]}-31: 2,54: 6$ | full ${ }_{[2]}-43: 10$, |
|  | extension ${ }_{[2]}-43: 9$ | fireworks ${ }_{(11}-25: 20$ | 5:21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { equal }[3]-45: 15, \\ & 59: 4,59: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 11 | firm $_{[2]}-43: 11,55: 7$ | functionality ${ }_{[1]}$ |
|  | extensions ${ }_{[2]}$ | firms ${ }_{[2]}-48: 13$, | 9:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ES }_{[1]}-21: 4 \\ & \text { escalation }_{[1]}- \end{aligned}$ | 43:19, 43:23 | 59:3 | ding ${ }_{\text {[5] }}-40: 20$ |
|  | extra ${ }_{[1]}-23: 2$ | $\mathbf{s t}_{\text {[1] }}-63: 12$ | 55:25 |
| 40:14 <br> estimate $_{[5]}-39: 11$, | F | til ${ }_{\text {[3] }}-8: 7,32: 7$, | 6:3, 68:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:9, 40:12, } \\ & 42: 11,46: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  | Fiscal | G |
|  | facilities $_{[1]}-55: 12$ | Fiscal $_{[1]}-14: 6$ five ${ }_{[10]}-15: 14$, |  |
| evaluating ${ }_{[1]}-9: 12$ | facility $_{[1]}-19: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Itve } 100-15: 14, \\ & 16: 2,16: 4,18: \end{aligned}$ | $\text { gap }_{[1]}-22:$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { event }_{[2]}-25: 20, \\ & 65: 15 \end{aligned}$ | faith ${ }_{(1)}-32: 20$ | 18:18, | General ${ }_{[1]}-31$ |
|  | (1) $-35: 5$ | 50:1 | neral ${ }_{[2]}-29$ |
| 65:15 eventually ${ }_{\text {in }}$ - | Family ${ }_{(1]}$-17:6 | 50:15, 51:23 | 43: |
|  | $\mathbf{r a x}_{\text {[1] }}-15: 10$ | $\mathrm{t}_{[3]}-37: 8$, | GENERAL $_{[1]}-$ |
| exactly ${ }_{[1]}-43: 21$ | favor ${ }_{\text {20] }}-7: 21$, | 37:19, 37:22 | 29:13 |
| example $_{[11}-10: 15$ excavation ${ }_{111}$ - | 13:14 | 37: | generally ${ }_{(1]}-23: 10$ |
|  | 13:17, 35:25, | fold | generosity ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 45:13 | 36:4, 61:16, | formally ${ }_{11}-65$ : | 25:24 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Giuffre }_{[5]}-5: 4, \\ & 35: 25,67: 9 \\ & \text { 68:11, } 69: 5 \\ & \text { GIUFFRE }_{[2]}-2: 6, \\ & 5: 5 \\ & \text { glad }_{[2]}-57: 13, \\ & 59: 21 \end{aligned}$ | Hanover $_{[5]}-6: 23$, $15: 24,19: 11$ <br> $50: 11,57: 25$, <br> Howard $[1]-5: 7$  <br> Ha:11, $58: 19$ HOWARD $_{[1]}-2: 5$ <br> happy $_{[1]}-8: 25$ hundred $_{[2]}-25: 13$, <br> Hardesty  <br> $[5]-6: 23$, $25: 25$ |  | 5:19 information ${ }_{[3]}$ 8:20, 32:18, 43:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | initiate ${ }_{\text {11 }}-54 \cdot 19$ |
|  |  |  | initiated $_{[1]}-14: 13$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Government }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 33: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hardware }_{[1]}-21: 15 \\ & \text { Hardware }_{[1]}-37: 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 44:1 | inspections ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| $\mathbf{G P I}_{[2]}-50: 10,58: 8$$\operatorname{grants}_{11}-25: 17$ |  | 56:12 | 32:8 |
|  |  | id | Inspector ${ }_{[4]}-21: 6$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { }_{\text {grants }_{[1]}-25: 17} \\ & \text { grateful }_{[1]}-25: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 8,25: 17,27: 4, \\ & 27: 6 \end{aligned}$ | IG's ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-13$ | 21:20, 24:14, 31:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { great }_{[2]}-20: 5, \\ & 40: 10 \\ & \text { greatest }_{[1]}-11: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hear }_{[1]}-31: 12 \\ & \text { hearing } \\ & 67: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 8:18, 10 | pector ${ }_{[1]}-29$ |
|  |  | -32:2 | TOR |
|  |  | implemented | , 21:5, 22 |
| greatest $_{[1]}-11: 20$ <br> Greenman ${ }_{\text {『 }}$ - $6: 19,50: 10,51: 8,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hearing }_{[11}-61: 15 \\ & \text { help }_{[11}-53: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  | 22:14, 22:22, |
|  |  | important $_{[1]}-20 ;$ | 23:23, |
| 6:19, 50:10, 51:8, 51:12, 51:19, 51:24, 57:23 | help $_{[1]}-53: 13$ helpful ${ }_{[1]}-35: 11$ Hempstead ${ }_{111}$ - | v | :15, 29:13 |
|  |  | 4 | install ${ }_{[1]}-10$ |
| Greenman-Pederson$[5]$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hempstead }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 39: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 70:1 | nstitute ${ }_{\text {11 }}-14$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hereby }_{[1]}-70: 10 \\ & \text { hereunto }_{[1]}-70: 14 \end{aligned}$ |  | Intellitech ${ }_{[6]}$-6:2, |
| Pederson ${ }_{[5]}$ $6: 19,50: 10$ |  | 19:23 | 14, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 19,50: 10, \\ & 51: 12,51: 19, \\ & 51: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hereunto }_{[1]}-70: 14 \\ & \text { HFT }_{[2]}-5: 24,13: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Inc}_{[2]}-6: 22,21$ | 9:17, 10:6 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{H i}_{{ }_{55}}-9: 24,16: 18 \\ 29: 13,32: 3,44: 6 \end{array}$ | incarceration | Intellittech |
| Greenman- |  | 8:2 | intention ${ }_{[1]}-43$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pederson's }{ }_{[1]}- \\ & 51: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { high }_{[2]}-16: 25, \\ & 48: 15 \end{aligned}$ | ud | inter ${ }_{\text {(11 }}-68$ |
|  |  | 43:17 | Inter-Municipal |
| $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Group}_{[2]}-6: 7,13: 8 \\ & \text { guess }_{22]}-34: 3, \\ & 56: 24 \end{aligned}$ | higher $_{[1]}-44: 9$ highest ${ }_{[2]}-58: 8$, | clud | 68:5 |
|  |  |  | Interior ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-6$ |
|  |  |  | investi |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Guidance }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & \text { 15:19 } \end{aligned}$ | highly ${ }_{(1)}-59: 4$ Highway ${ }_{\text {(1) }}$ - 39:5 | 43 | 13:10 |
|  |  | ```Incorporated [1] - 68:6 increase [4] - 16:22, 17:20, 21:21, 27:9``` | investigations ${ }_{[1]}$ -$51: 17$ |
| Gymnasium $_{[1]}$ 68:9 | hold ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - $31: 9$ <br> Hollow $_{[1]}-51: 14$ <br> home $_{[2]}$-15:22, <br> 19:13 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Island $[2]-40: 5$, |
| H |  |  | 58:5 <br> issue $_{\text {[5] }}-32: 7$, |
| H\&L ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-46$ | hope $_{11}-54: 19$ |  |  |
|  | hoping $_{[1]}-54: 22$ |  | 37:20, 54:22 |
| hand ${ }_{(11}-70: 1$ |  |  | issues $_{\text {[8] }]}-29: 10$ |
| handled ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-2$ | hours ${ }_{[3]}-15: 18$, |  | :17, 29:23 |



| LORENZO $_{[2]}$ - | meantime ${ }_{[1]}$ | moments ${ }_{[1]}-63: 4$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24, 70:8 | 14:17 | Monday ${ }_{[2]}-1: 20$, | N\& ${ }_{[1]}-6: 20$ |
| Louis ${ }_{[1]}-6: 23$ | Medicaid ${ }_{[1]}-27: 8$ | 15:15 | $\operatorname{Nassau}_{[2]}-19: 22$ |
| lowest ${ }_{[8]}$ - 28:16, | meet $_{\text {[3] }}-17: 2$, | money $^{[2]}$ - 23:22, | 67:6 |
| 39:9, 40:7, 42:9, | 17:8, 19:9 | 53:15 | $\operatorname{NASSAU}_{[2]}-1: 2,$ |
| 43:7, 44:22, 46:7, | meeting ${ }_{[3]}-16: 5$, | month $_{[1]}-54: 16$ | 70:6 |
| 48:15 | 25:11, 35:10 | Moriarty ${ }_{[1]}-67: 5$ | National ${ }_{[4]}-30: 19$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LTI }_{[3]}-14: 15,15: 2, \\ & 16: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEETING }_{[2]}-1: 4, \\ & 2: 8 \end{aligned}$ | Motion $_{[7]}-6: 25$, | $33: 15,33: 24,34: 7$ |
|  | M | 35:23, 63:13, | nature ${ }_{[1]}-9: 25$ |
| M | $7: 23$ | 68:10, 69:3 | necessarily ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| m |  | motion ${ }_{[2]}-62: 8$, |  |
| maintain | 61:18, 62:14 | 62 | eed ${ }_{[8]}$ - 13:10, |
| 11:17 | 24, 65:20 | move $_{[4]}-13: 3$, |  |
| Maintenance ${ }_{[1]}$ | 67:17, 68:19, 69:8 | 14:2, 31:16, 57:18 |  |
| 13 | memo ${ }_{[2]}-32: 18$, | Moved ${ }_{[2]}-65: 6$, | $3 /: 22,62:$ |
| maintenance ${ }_{[8]}$ - | 54:17 | 67:8 | 30:5, 54:4, 54:5 |
| 8:16, 10:15, 22:3, | Merrick $_{[1]}-58: 3$ | moved $_{[1]}-13: 12$ | $\text { negotiate }_{[1]}-32: 20$ |
| 22:4, 23:9, 23:12, | Metro ${ }_{[7]}-7: 10$ | movies $_{[1]}-25: 16$ | negotiate ${ }_{[1]}-32.20$ |
| 23:19, 23:21 | 28:4, 28:16 | moving $_{[1]}-57: 13$ |  |
| Majority ${ }_{[1]}-63: 6$ | 30:20, 30:25 | MR ${ }_{[24]}-8: 10,9: 6$, | $\text { 23. } 30: 25.70: 9$ |
| Management ${ }_{[6]}$ - | 33:25, 34:4 | 9:18, 10:3, 10:14, | $\text { new }_{[7]}-9: 4,9: 10$ |
| 5:25, 7:16, 9:11, | MICHAEL $_{[1]}-2: 12$ | 11:9, 11:22, 12:3, | $9: 14,23: 18,24: 8$ |
| 11:13, 13:6, 53:7 | Mike ${ }_{[1]}-4: 9$ | 12:10, 14:5, | $51: 23,54: 8$ |
| management ${ }_{[3]}$ - | Mill/Bayview | 16:21, 17:12, | $\mathbf{N E W}_{[1]}-70: 4$ |
| 9:4, 11:15, 48:7 | 40:4 | 17:16, 17:24, | $\text { news }_{[1]}-29: 19$ |
| manager ${ }_{[1]}-55: 6$ | million ${ }_{[18]}-28: 11$, | 18:5, 18:8, 18:21, | $\operatorname{Next}_{[1]}-21: 2$ |
| mandated ${ }_{[2]}-$ | 39:10, 40:8, | 19:7, 19:20, 20:7, | $\text { next }_{[10]}-13: 3$ |
| 14:18, 17:5 | 1, 42:10 | 32:3, 33:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { next }_{[10]}-13: 3 \\ & 31: 16,37: 2,42: \end{aligned}$ |
| manufacturer $_{[1]}$ - | 43:11, 44:13, | 34:14, 34:20 | $49: 2,52: 6,53: 17,$ |
| 1:16 | 46:8, 46:13, 47:5, | MS ${ }_{[1]}-27: 5$ | $54: 21,58: 18,62: 3$ |
| materials ${ }_{[1]}-40: 15$ | 16, 49:7, | $i_{[1]}-17: 18$ | 54:21, 58:18, 62:3 |
| matter $_{[1]}-31: 5$ | 0:17, 51:11, | Municipal ${ }_{[1]}-68: 5$ |  |
| MBE $_{[1]}-43: 11$ | 53:10, 54:7, 59:25 | MWBE $_{[10]}-39: 11$, | LLO ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| McGuinness | Million ${ }_{[1]}-59: 24$ | 40:22, 42:11, | 1:8, 2:4 |
| 6:20, 27:3 | Mineola ${ }_{[1]}-1: 16$ | 46:11, 48:18, | NICOLLELO ${ }_{\text {[105] }}-$ |
| McKee ${ }_{[1]}-7: 17$ | Minority ${ }_{[7]}-7: 2$, | 58:10, 58:13, |  |
| McLean ${ }_{[1]}-6: 23$ | 7:18, 21:19, 23:3, | 59:9, 59:18, 59:25 |  |
| Meadowbrook ${ }_{[1]}$ | 28:20, 35:23, 63:6 |  |  |
| 46:5 | model $_{[1]}-55: 17$ |  | 12:6, 12:8, 13:2, |



| 58:13, 59:10 | plant ${ }_{(1)}$-48:11 | pretty ${ }_{(1)}-56: 10$ | prototype ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pa | plate ${ }_{44}$ - 21:11, | previously ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 55:14 |
| 1:21, 29:12 | 21:14, 21:22, | 50:14 | provide ${ }_{[6]}$ - 8:15, |
| parts ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - 37:7 | 23:18 | prices ${ }_{[1]}$ - 45:9 | 14:19, 15:13, |
| Passes ${ }_{(1)}-62: 20$ | Pledge $_{[2]}-4: 6,4: 7$ | Probation $[2]$ - 14:3, | 19:8, 48:7, 68:7 |
| past ${ }_{[2]}-23: 11$, | point ${ }_{[2]}-14: 21$, | 14:7 | provided ${ }_{[5]}$ - |
| 0:5 | 65:13 | probation $_{\text {[1] }}-15: 6$ | 2, 15:3 |
| pavement ${ }_{[2]}-39: 6$, | POLICE $_{11}-3: 6$ | problem $_{\text {[1] }}$ - $37: 25$ | 32:16, 32:17, 59:6 |
| 58:23 | Police ${ }_{[2]}-21: 3$, | proceed ${ }_{[4]}-7: 5$, | provider ${ }_{[1]}-14: 11$ |
| Paving ${ }_{[3]}-30: 25$, | 21:7 | 54:18, 54:20, | providers ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 3:25, 34:4 | politicians ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 4:23 | 11:1 |
| pay ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - $56: 20$ | 32:12 | process $_{(1]}-9: 7$ | provides ${ }_{[2]}$ - 16:7, |
| Pederson ${ }_{[6]}-6: 19$, | pond ${ }_{[1]}-42: 5$ | Procurement ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 37 |
| 50:10, 51:12, | Pope $_{[1]}-50: 10$ | 32:4 | providing ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| 51:19, 51:24, | population ${ }_{[11}$ - | PROCUREMENT ${ }_{[1]}$ | 14:24, 15:12, |
| 57:24 | 19:21 | - 3:8 | 48:13 |
| Pederson's ${ }_{\text {(1] }}$ - | portion ${ }_{[2]}-5: 16$, | procurement ${ }_{[1]}$ | public ${ }_{\text {[5] }}-61: 12$, |
| 51:8 | 40:19 | 8:22 | 62:3, 63:19, |
| $\operatorname{per}_{[3]}-16: 20,18: 4$, | Posillico ${ }_{[2]}-6: 7$, | producing ${ }_{[1]}-25: 9$ | 65:15, 68:14 |
| 19:5 | 39:9 | professional ${ }_{[1]}$ - | Public $_{[2]}-28: 7$, |
| percent ${ }_{(1)}-25: 13$ | possible ${ }_{[2]}-23: 7$, | 57:22 | 70:9 |
| percentage ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 43:8 | Professional ${ }_{[2]}$ - | PULITZER ${ }_{\text {[9] }}$ |
| 27:11 | pr | 48:6, 58:2 | 2:12, 4:12, 4:17, |
| percentages ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 30:20, 34:2 | profit $_{[1]}-14: 10$ | 4:21, 4:24, 5:3 |
| 59:18 | Precinct ${ }_{[2]}-54$ :6 | program ${ }_{\text {[9] }}$ - 14:18, | 5:6, 5:9, 5:12 |
| perhaps $_{[1]}-32: 12$ | 5 | 14:23, 15:8, | purchase ${ }_{[3]}-8: 22$, |
| period ${ }_{[6]}$ - 14:20, | precinct ${ }_{[2]}-54: 8$ | 15:12, 16:8, | 9:4, 9:7 |
| 18:12, 18:15, | 55:1 | 16:10, 16:12, | Purchase ${ }_{55}-5: 23$, |
| 22:17, 23:13, | preclude $_{[2]}-22: 8$, | 17:9, 19:8 | 7:9, 8:14, 22:6 |
| 40:15 | 2 | Program ${ }_{[4]}-14: 12$, | Purchasing ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - |
| permits ${ }_{(1)}-40: 13$ | preferred ${ }_{[1]}-14: 11$ | 14:22, 15:4, 16:3 | 31:21 |
| personal ${ }_{[2]}-6: 15$, | presentation ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | project ${ }_{[3]}-51: 15$, | purpose $_{[1]}-10: 12$ |
| 6:17 | 16:15 | 54:11, 68:7 | purposes ${ }_{[2]}-35: 7$, |
| Personal ${ }_{[2]}-7: 13$, | present | Project $_{[1]}-7: 16$ | 44:21 |
| 7:14 | 59:23 | projects ${ }_{[1]}-58: 7$ | put ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-7: 3,33: 8$, |
| personnel | Presiding ${ }_{[8]}-4: 13$, | proposal $_{[1]}-48: 14$ | 35:17, 45:17, $62: 2$ |
| 16:24 | 6:25, 7:19, 9:23 | proposals ${ }_{[2]}$ | putting ${ }_{[1]}-61: 2$ |
| pertains $_{\text {(1) }}-29: 11$ | 0:15, 60:9, 62:9, | 58:7, 59:2 | Q |
| pick ${ }_{[1]}$ - $45: 14$ | 63:15 | proprietary ${ }_{[2]}$ - |  |
| piping ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-48: 10$ | PRESIDING ${ }_{[1]}-1: 9$ | 10:8, 21:14 | qualified ${ }_{[2]}-16: 23$, |



| $\mathrm{RFP}_{[3]}$ - 14:13 | SCHILIRO ${ }_{(111)}$ - | Services ${ }_{(12]}$ - 5:22, | 50:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:5 | 14:5, 16:21 | 6:9, 7:8, 7:13, | slightly ${ }_{11}-51: 3$ |
| Richard ${ }_{[1]}-5: 9$ | 17:12, 17:16, | 7:14, 17:6, 48:6, | Smith ${ }_{11}-7: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{RICHARD}_{[2]}-1: 8, \\ & 2: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:24, 18:5, } 18: 8 \text {, } \\ & \text { 18:21, 19:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 5,50: 8,53: 7, \\ & 53: 25,58: 21 \end{aligned}$ | Software ${ }_{[1]}-8: 12$ software ${ }_{122}-8: 15$, |
| rights $_{\text {[1] }}-25: 17$ | 19:20, 20:7 | services ${ }_{[12]}-6: 15$, | 18, 10:4, 10:5 |
| Road $_{[1]}-58: 3$ | Schiliro ${ }_{(1)}-14: 6$ | 6:17, 8:16, 15:14, | 0:9, 10:13, |
| road ${ }_{[2]}$ - 39:6 | school ${ }_{[3]}$ - 15:23 | 21:10, 27:8, 48:8, | :22, 10:2 |
| 45:12 | 19:12, 19:13 | 54:4, 54:7, 55:4, | 12, 11:17 |
| ROBERT $_{[1]}-3: 8$ | schooling ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | 57:22, 58:22 | 11:21, 21:14 |
| Robert ${ }_{[1]}$ - $32: 3$ | 14:24 | servicing ${ }_{[2]}$ - | sole $_{[8]}-8: 21,9: 25$, |
| Robert's ${ }_{[1]}-31: 25$ | second $_{[3]}-31: 10$ | 10:12, 10:24 | 0:2, 10:3, 10:23, |
| robust ${ }_{[2]}$-16:10, | 55 | session ${ }_{[2]}-52: 7$ | , 11:11, 21:16 |
| 9:8 | Second ${ }_{[2]}-13: 7$ | 54:21 | sometime ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| Rockville ${ }_{[1]}-58: 25$ | 54:6 | set ${ }_{[3]}-40: 22$, | 54:15, 54:2 |
| roil ${ }_{[1]}-4: 10$ | Seconded ${ }_{[1]}-7: 2$ | 44:23, 70:14 | Son ${ }_{[1]}-40: 7$ |
| Roll $_{[1]}-4: 13$ | seconded ${ }_{[9]}-7: 19$, | setting ${ }_{[2]}-15: 2$, | Sons ${ }_{(1]}-6: 8$ |
| RTA ${ }_{[1]}$ - 19:23 | 13:13, 35:24, | 16:13 | Sorry ${ }_{(11}-30: 8$ |
| RULES $_{[1]}-1: 12$ | 62:10, 63:14 | seven $_{\text {(1] }}-58: 7$ | sounds ${ }_{(1)}-30: 15$ |
| les ${ }_{\text {[20] }}-4: 3$, | 65:7, 67:9, 68:11, | seventh ${ }_{[1]}-35: 12$ | source $_{[7]}-8: 21$, |
| 4:10, 4:14, 5:16, | 69:4 | several ${ }_{[3]}-11: 12$, | 10:2, 10:3, 10:23 |
| 7:24, 13:17, | secure ${ }_{[4]}-14: 25$, | 25:25, 29:5 | 11:8, 11:11 |
| 31:11, 35:15, | 15:11, 16:7, 16:12 | Sewage ${ }_{11}-48: 11$ | South ${ }_{11}-48: 11$ |
| 35:17, 36:4, | see $_{\text {[] }}-18: 17,34: 8$, | Shared ${ }_{[2]}-5: 21$, | Specifically |
| 61:19, 62:8, | 34:20, 50:13 | 7:7 | 54:3 |
| 62:13, 62:15, | 52:7, 54:17, 60:11 | Shore ${ }_{[1]}-48: 11$ | specs $_{[11}-56: 12$ |
| 63:25, 65:21, | seem $_{\text {[1] }}-59: 18$ | sidewalk ${ }_{[1]}$ - $39: 7$ | spend ${ }_{[1]}-45: 2$ |
| 67:18, 68:20, | selected $_{111}-16: 10$ | SIELA ${ }_{[1]}-2: 10$ | sponsor ${ }_{[1]}-25: 19$ |
| 69:9, 69:17 | Selex ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$-6:22, | Siela ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-4: 15$ | sponsorship ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| S | S | significantly | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 6 \\ & \text { spread }_{[1]}-30 \end{aligned}$ |
| safety $_{[1]}-62: 3$ | sense ${ }_{21}$ - 10:25 | signify $_{\text {[10] }}-7: 22$ | (1] - 70:5 |
| SCHAEFER ${ }_{[10]}$ - | 18:20 | 13:15, 36:2 | staff $_{[3]}-17: 7,17: 8$ |
| 2:7, 5:2, 11:5, | separate ${ }_{[2]}-23: 20$, | 116, 62:13 | start $_{[2]}-4: 4,56: 19$ |
| 11:19, 11:24, | 45:18 | 63:23, 65:18, | arted ${ }_{[3]}-4: 3$, |
| 12:4, 44:6, 44:12, | September ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 67:15, 68:18, 69:7 | 55:23, 56:18 |
| 45:4, 45:19 | 25:22 | site ${ }_{[2]}-16: 8,16: 11$ | Starting ${ }_{(1)}-28: 4$ |
| Schaefer ${ }_{[7]}-4: 5$ | serious $_{\text {(1] }}-29: 10$ | 32:8, 48:13 | State |
| 4:25, 11:4, 13:13, | service ${ }_{[4]}-9: 11$ | skills $_{[1]}-15: 16$ | :3, 22:21 |
| 63:14, 67:10, 69:4 | 9:15, 14:19, 20:6 | skipped ${ }_{[2]}-48: 3$, | 40:19, 46:12, 70:9 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STATE }_{[1]}-70: 4 \\ & \text { state }{ }_{[3]}-17: 5, \\ & 22: 6,32: 11 \\ & \text { State' }_{[1]}-22: 25 \end{aligned}$ | System $_{\text {[1] }}-10: 6$ <br> Systems $_{\text {(1] }}-11: 13$ <br> T | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 59:7 } \\ & \text { Three } \\ & \text { 43:6 }-40: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 8,43: 9,44: \\ & 45: 5,50: 13, \\ & 57: 19,58: 15, \\ & 67: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { statement }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & 32: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { table }_{\text {88 }}-13: 10, \\ 13: 12,31: 5,31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:16, } 32: 21 \\ & \text { timeline }_{[1]}-54: 11 \end{aligned}$ | U |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { status }_{[1]}-21: 24 \\ & \text { stay }_{[1]}-51: 12 \\ & \text { stenographic }_{[1]}- \\ & 70: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34: 23,35: 23, \\ 60: 10,61: 3 \\ \text { tabled }[4]-13: 5, \\ 29: 3,29: 4,36: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { title }_{(1)}-25: 18 \\ & \text { today } \\ & 34: 18,59: 22, \\ & 59: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { unanimously } y_{[7]}- \\ & 13: 23,61: 25, \\ & 62: 21,64: 7,66: 3, \\ & 67: 24,69: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { still }{ }_{(4)}-14: 21, \\ & 31: 8,34: 9,37: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tabling } \\ & 35: 25 \end{aligned}$ | today's ${ }_{[1]}-35: 7$ <br> together ${ }_{[3]}-35: 18$, | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { under } \\ {[6]} \\ 54: 18,54: 23: 1, \end{array}$ |
| stock ${ }_{[1]}-21: 13$ | TAKEN ${ }_{[1]}-1: 2$ | 50:3, 57:20 | :11, 55:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { stores }_{[1]}-8: 19 \\ & \text { storms }_{[1]}-53: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Team }_{[2]}-9: 11, \\ & \text { 10:19 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{t o p}_{[2]}-59: 3,59: 7 \\ & \text { total }_{[9]}-17: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 23 \\ & \text { unfair }_{[2]}-30: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| ets ${ }_{[1]}-51: 15$ | te | 49:7 | 34:2 |
| $\mathbf{e}_{\text {[1] }}-33: 4$ | 48:15, 59:8 | 13, 50:1 | Unfortunately ${ }_{\text {(1] }}$ |
| subject ${ }_{(11}-50$ | Technical ${ }_{[1]}-59: 5$ | :20, 53:9 | 22:15 |
| submitted $_{[1]}-28: 9$ | technically ${ }_{[1]}$ | 56:21, 60:2 | founded |
| tantial ${ }_{[1]}$ | 58:9 | Total ${ }_{[1]}-18: 7$ | 32:13 |
| $32: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ten}_{[2]}-50: 12 \\ & 51: 20 \end{aligned}$ | totaling ${ }_{[1]}-8: 23$ <br> towards $_{{ }_{11}-25: 1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { union }_{[3]}-29: 2 \\ 30: 18,30: 22 \end{gathered}$ |
| successive 17:20 | (en ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$-59:2 | $\operatorname{track}_{[1]}-67: 7$ | unique ${ }_{[1]}$ - 14:23 |
| sufficie | term $_{[5]}$ - 8:23 | Traffic ${ }_{[2]}-50: 8$, | unit ${ }_{11}-45: 9$ |
| 24 | 18, 18:2 | 51:9 | United ${ }_{[3]}-7: 10$ |
| summer $_{[2]}-25: 14$, | 25:20, 43:22 | $\operatorname{traffic}_{[1]}-52: 4$ | 28:4, 28:15 |
| 26 | Term ${ }_{[11}-22: 12$ | Training ${ }_{[3]}-6: 18$, | universe $_{[11}-21: 25$ |
| Sunrise $_{[1]}$ - 39:5 supplemental ${ }_{11}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { terms }_{[3]}-30: 24 \\ 32: 20,34: 25 \end{array}$ | 14:4, 14:9 transcription | $\begin{aligned} & \text { untable }_{[2]}-7: 6, \\ & 7: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { supple } \\ \text { 25:11 } \end{gathered}$ | that'd ${ }_{[1]}-35: 1$ | 70:12 | untabled $_{11}-8: 6$ |
| supply ${ }_{[3]}-28: 8$ | themselves | Treatment ${ }_{[1]}$ | untabling ${ }_{(11}-7: 2$ |
| 16, 37:20 | 43:12 | 48:11 | up $_{[7]}-23: 5,29: 11$, |
| support ${ }_{[3]}-19: 17$, | they've ${ }_{[1]}-34: 5$ | trouble ${ }_{(11}-37: 23$ | :17, 45 |
| 23:9, 23:12 | They've ${ }_{[2]}$-16:21, | trying ${ }_{[2]}-55: 19$, | 53:16, 59:21, 61:3 |
| suspend ${ }_{11}$ - 62:8 | 60 | 5 | updated ${ }_{[1]}-15$ |
| suspending ${ }_{(1)}$ - | $\operatorname{thin}_{(11}-30$ : | turnover $^{[1]}$ - 16:25 | urgency ${ }_{(11}-35: 2$ |
| 62:12 | thousand | Turnpike ${ }_{11}-39: 5$ | urgent $_{111}-35: 5$ |
| system $_{[4]}-9: 5$, | 25:25 | two ${ }_{(144}$ - 13:4, 28:9, | US ${ }_{\text {(4) }}$ - 30:18, |
| 21:15, 25:16, | three ${ }_{[1]}-7: 5,8$ | 21 | 33:14, 33:24, $34: 7$ |
| 48:10 | 8:24, 16:4, 59:3, | 32:11, 35:21, | usage $_{\text {(11 }}-28: 10$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { utilized }_{[3]}-37: 7, \\ & 43: 4,53: 12 \end{aligned}$ | ```violating [2] - 30:25, 33:12 violation [11 - 32:10 vote [1] - 61:4 voted [1] -65:14``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { worth }_{[1]}-59: 24 \\ & \text { writing } \\ & {[1]} \end{aligned}-15: 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wrote }_{[2]}-11: 23, \\ & \text { 11:24 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { value }_{[1]}-59: 6 \\ & \text { varies }_{[1]}-19: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | Y |
|  | W | year ${ }_{[19]}-8: 23$, |
| vehicles ${ }_{[2]}-37: 23$, 38:4 | Wantagh $_{[1]}-39: 4$ water $_{[2]}-42: 4$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:20, 17:11, } \\ & \text { 17:17, 17:18, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9:10, 10:7, 11:8, | Water $_{[1]}-48: 12$ | 18:12, 18:15, |
| 16:9, 28:9, 32:15, | web $_{[2]}-9: 10,9: 14$ | 18:18, 19:5, |
| 33:7, 33:19 | week $_{[3]}-15: 15$, | 21:12, 22:3, 43:8, |
| vendors ${ }_{[1]}-45: 5$ | 35:21, 54:15 | 43:9, 50:15, 53:17 |
| verbal ${ }_{[39}-8: 4$, | weeks $_{[1]}-35: 21$ | years ${ }_{[8]}-8: 24$, |
| 12:7, 13:21, 20:9, | Whaleneck $_{[1]}-$ | 11:10, 14:13, |
| 24:12, 26:5, | 58:4 | 15:9, 17:15, |
| 27:14, 36:8, | WHEREOF $_{[1]}-$ | 17:21, 18:25, |
| 38:10, 39:15, | 70:13 | 43:10 |
| 41:4, 42:15, | Whitton $_{[5]}-4: 18$, | YORK $_{[1]}-70: 4$ |
| 45:23, 46:17, | 16:17, 37:11, | York $_{[5]}-1: 16,17: 3$, |
| 47:10, 48:22, | 62:11, 65:6 | 30:23, 31:2, 70:10 |
| 49:12, 52:10, | WHITTON $_{[19]}-2: 9$, |  |
| 53:20, 56:8, | 4:19, 16:18, |  |
| 57:17, 58:16, | 17:10, 17:14, |  |
| 59:14, 61:11, | 17:22, 18:2, 18:6, |  |
| 61:14, 61:23, | 18:13, 19:3, |  |
| 62:19, 63:18, | 19:16, 20:4, |  |
| 63:21, 64:5, 65:9, | 37:12, 38:2, 38:7, |  |
| 65:16, 65:25, | 56:9, 56:23, 57:7, |  |
| 67:13, 67:22, | 57:12 |  |
| 68:13, 68:16, | whole $_{[1]}-37: 15$ |  |
| 68:24, 69:13 | William $_{[1]}-21: 6$ |  |
| vetting ${ }_{[1]}-54: 24$ | WILLIAM $_{[1]}-3: 6$ |  |
| Vice ${ }_{[2]}-2: 5,5: 6$ | WITNESS $^{[1]}$ - |  |
| VICE ${ }_{[6]}-43: 15$, | 70:13 |  |
| 43:24, 44:4, | words $_{[1]}-19: 4$ |  |
| 54:25, 55:18, 56:6 | workers $_{[1]}-30: 21$ |  |
| Village ${ }_{[3]}-58: 24$, | works $_{[1]}-12: 2$ |  |
| 68:6, 68:9 | Works $_{[1]}-28: 7$ |  |

