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ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to the committee sessions of the Legislature. We'll start out with the Pledge of Allegiance, of course. And I'll ask Minority Leader Abrahams to lead us.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: All rise and please join me.
(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance is said.)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL:
Legislator Mule, you want to introduce someone?

LEGISLATOR MULE: Thank you, Howard. Yes. I would just like to introduce. I have four interns; one's missing at the moment, but four interns from the Baldwin Public Schools. This is part of their school program. They come twice a week for an hour or so, and they're learning about the legislative process. And $I$ think it's very exciting that this is part of their actual education. So thank you

| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | for allowing me to do that. |
| 3 | ACting Chairman kopel: Well, of |
| 4 | course. Welcome all of you. |
| 5 | (Whereupon, applause.) |
| 6 | ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. |
| 7 | We'll start out with the Rules |
| 8 | Committee and we'll start out, as is |
| 9 | customary with contracts. |
| 10 | I am going to ask the Clerk to |
| 11 | call the roll, please. |
| 12 | CLERK PULITZER: Rules Committee |
| 13 | roll call. |
| 14 | Legislator Siela Bynoe? |
| 15 | LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here. |
| 16 | CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Delia |
| 17 | DeRiggi-Whitton? |
| 18 | LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: |
| 19 | Here. |
| 20 | CLERK PULITZER: Ranking Member |
| 21 | Kevan Abrahams? |
| 22 | LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here. |
| 23 | CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura |
| 24 | Schaefer. |
| 25 | CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Here. |

CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John Giuffre.

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Denise Ford?

LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Chairman Howard Kopel?

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: I am here.
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: We will start out with the contracts, as I said. I'm going to call them in order. All of them. And we'll have comment, if any, on these items at the end of the Rules Committee, which will be a little bit later.

Okay. We'll start out with A-6; A-33; and A-39 of '23, respectively, between the Commission of Shared Services and 3 M Company, Asset Works and Diltex.

$$
B-21 ; B-25 ; B-26 ; B-29 \text { and } B-31 ;
$$ which are contracts between the county

Executive and Welsbach Electric Corp., Bove Industries and Pratt Industries Inc.

$$
E-117 ; E-121 ; E-122 ; E-123 ;
$$

$$
E-124 ; E-125 ; E-126 ; E-127 ; E-128 ;
$$

$$
E-129 ; E-130 ; E-131 ; E-132 ; E-133 ;
$$

$$
E-134 ; E-135 ; E-136 ; E-137 \text { and } 138 ;
$$

which are personal services agreements between the County Executive and again respectively: Anthony D. Perri; Long Island University; Greenburg Traurig

LLP; Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman and Dicker LLP; Milberg, Makris, Plousadis and Seiden LLP; LAH Law Firm PLLC; M\&J Engineering; L.K. McLean

Associates; Nassau County Bar
Association; Triad Group; Excel
Reporting; $N \& P$ Engineering;
Architecture and Land Surveying; LiRo
Engineers; NV5; Conway, Farrell, Curtin
and Kelly; Gannett Fleming Engineers
and Architects; Rosenberg, Calica and Birney LLP.

The motion on those items will be
made by Legislator Abrahams and seconded by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

Finally, $I ' m$ going to call before we do anything $E-75$, and that motion is made by Legislator Ford and seconded by Legislator Bynoe. That's with a resolution to award and execute a contract of County of Nassau and Metamorphic Concerts.

Now I'm going to take a motion to table made by Legislator Schaefer and seconded by Legislator Giuffre -Sorry, I said table, it's untable. That motion, as I said, was made by Legislator Schaefer and seconded by Legislator Giuffre. All those in favor of untabling that item, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: That item is untabled and is now on our Agenda as well.

All right. We'll start out with A-39. Which is between the Police Department and Diltex Inc.

INSPECTOR FIELD: Good afternoon, William Field, Inspector with the Police Department.

Item A-39-2023 is to authorize and award, a purchase order for VEEAM software. The software would back up the machines and our IT environment, protecting it from possible ransom locks and other attacks. It also provides long term data retention and on site recovery.

The lowest responsible bidder was Diltex Inc, and the total amount authorized under this purchase order is \$119,966.27.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you. Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay.
Thank you. Our next item is E-75, which is a resolution authorizing execution
of a personal service agreement between
the County of Nassau and the Parks Department, and Metamorphic Concerts. Anyone here on that?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Good
afternoon, everyone. Darcy Belyea,
Parks Commissioner. Presenting a personal service agreement with Metamorphic Concerts LLC.

Parks conducted an RFP seeking entertainment promoters and booking agents. Nine proposals were received and evaluated. Metamorphic is one of six proposers to be awarded as some vendors specialize in genres that others do not.

This contract will be used to book acts for parks, concerts, events. The term of this agreement is for three years commencing June 13, 2023 and shall terminate on June 12, 2026 with
the option to renew for two one year
periods.

The presentation of this agreement was delayed after the initial filing, thus the tabling due to clarifications needed regarding the County's contract terms regarding insurance requirements.

Payments on this contract will not exceed $\$ 400,000$ per year, with the total potential value of the contract not to exceed $\$ 2$ million if both renewal options are exercised. Funding for this contract will be from the Hotel/Motel Tax Grant fund.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Legislator Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Good afternoon. With this, how many promoters do we have currently working to provide entertainment services to the county?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: So this will be our sixth and two are expiring. And as a result of the RFP, I'll be
bringing before the Board in January two more.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Total cost for thi is $\$ 400,000$ a year, correct?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Correct. I have two at 400,000, two at 100,000 and two at 150,000.

Actually, I'm sorry. This is the fifth of six. I have one more due to come to come to you.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So we're spending over $\$ 1$ million?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: No, no, that's the maximum amount that we could spend. Certain vendors have certain connections with certain agents and different genres of music. So I was very limited with the two contracts that we had as far as what we could book and how far out we could reach for certain types of music, this just gives us more options. But $I$ nowhere near anticipating to spend that much money every year.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay, because that comes from the Hotel/Motel tax, right?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Correct.
Which dictates how much we can spend as well.

LEGISLATOR FORD: All right, so then it's already written into with the Hotel/Motel. You just can't spend all of the hotel -- not that you would. COMMISSIONER BELYEA: I would like the ability to spend up to that amount, but the advisement will only be for what we're actually spending. LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay. So we have five different promoters that would provide different type of music venues.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Exactly.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Like you might
have country music or rock or whatever.
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Right. One person specializes in big band and doo-wop for example. But this one in
particular, we can get the larger acts
through.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay. And that's why you had like 30,000 people at one of the concerts, correct?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Correct.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay. Thank
you very much.
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: You're welcome.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Anyone else?

Legislator Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you. Hi. Good day, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Hi.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So along the
lines of questioning by my colleague here, Legislator Ford, there's 5 or 6 different entities that are doing this type of recruiting for us.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Correct.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So we know that this is 400,000 per year.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Maximum.
But $I$ only do the advisement for what we're actually going to spend.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. So
could you provide a tally on what those 5 or 6 at maximum would be annually?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: It depends on what the Hotel/Motel receipts are. And this year we were just under 600,000 in total.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And so of the 600,000 we used, how much of that towards this type of activity?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: NO. We spent just under $\$ 600,000$ this year.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Total on all of this between the 5 or 6 ?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Right. And and that includes talent for Feliz

Navidad, for our senior birthdays every month, our senior series on Wednesdays at Noon, the entire 82 events that we have came into just under 600,000 this year.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. And this money is not being supplemented in any way? It's Hotel/Motel only.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: NO. I
don't have $I$ don't have the ability to do it in any other way.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. Tell me about the different groups, what kind of genres they're hitting.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: It varies. And you'll and you'll be happy to hear. I had a meeting on Thursday with Brian Rosenberg, who only booked a couple of acts for us this year, but $I$ actually specifically asked him about soca and freestyle after some of our conversations. So Brian Rosenberg's one of them.

And then metamorphic is very capable to get our larger acts, both
country rock. We got Boyz II Men
through them, for example.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. And so this this was tabled some time ago,
right?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Right. Because $I$ had taken $a$ wing and a prayer. I had filed it as she was going to sign it. And then the main person behind this company, her name is Randy, she pulled back and said, $I$ can't move forward with this. I have some
questions about the language. So I had to wait for the County Attorney's
office to work through that with her -- with regard to insurance.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. So this this is all Hotel/Motel. No ARPA money? COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Correct. I don't have the ability to use ARPA for these contracts.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Okay.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Anyone else?

Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi, Darcy.

So with the Hotel/Motel, you're saying this is taking up almost 600,000, correct?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Correct.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: What is the total amount, approximately, that the county gets in for Hotel/Motel?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: It varies on the annual receipts. Parks only gets a percentage of that. I'd have to go to OMB to give you more insight on that. There's a whole formula to the Hotel/Motel tax receipts.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Because I know that we each get a certain amount. Because when you said we were almost up --

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Different allocation.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Anyone else?


ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Next would be A-117, and that is a Personal Services Agreement between the County Department of Consumer Affairs and Anthony D. Perri.

MS. HARKINS: My name is Mary
Harkins. I'm a Deputy County
Attorney and I'm assigned to the
Department of Consumer Affairs.
We're seeking to amend the contract with Hearing Officer Judge Perri. We wanted to increase the number of hearings per year to 80. Previously, it was less than 80. This was due to a backlog from Covid, which we're still working through in different ways. So it was a five year contract. We're in the last year of the contract. We're seeking a maximum of $\$ 22,000$, but we wanted to encumber $\$ 11,000$ for this calendar year. The contract runs June to June.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Anyone have any questions on this item?


ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Next is 121, which is Personal Services Agreement between the Department of Health and Long Island University.

MS. DESIMONE: Good afternoon, Ann DeSimone, Health Department.

Item E-121-23 is a new contract with the Center for Community Inclusion at Long Island University. It's a sole source provider for the New York State Department of Health, children and youth with special healthcare needs program ages 0 to 21 . The goal is to support children and families with timely and appropriate information and referrals to insurance, health, health services community resources to address their individual needs. It's a five year contract and the amount of $\$ 443,001$. It's a $100 \%$ grant funded.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay.
 ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: The next two items are budget items. First is 129, which is a Personal Service Agreement in the Nassau County Office of Management and Budget and the Nassau County Bar Association, Assigned Counsel Defender plan.

MR. NOLAN: Good afternoon, Chris Nolan from the Office of Management and Budget.

Item 129-23 is an amendment with the Nassau County Bar Association Assigned Counsel Defender Program. Effectively, it's just increasing the amount of the contract by $\$ 30,000$ to $\$ 552,000$.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. The increase is due to?

MR. NOLAN: Some newly incurred courier service expenses.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All
right. And this is not grant funded is it? Or is it?

MR. NOLAN: No.


ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Next is 130 with the $O M B$ and Triad Group. MR. NOLAN: That's correct. Triad Group, LLC is the County's third party administrator for workers Compensation claims. This amendment seeks to increase the contract limit by $\$ 396,000$. There's still several years left on the contract. This is for some additional claims that we received in 2022 .

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.) ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. MR. NOLAN: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you. Next group is with the county Attorney, and we'll start off with 122 , which is a Personal Service Agreement with Greenburg Traurig.

MR. LIBERT: This is an amendment to a previous contract for case known as Myers, which alleges bad hiring practices -- I use the word "bad" very generically -- bad hiring practices out of the Police Department. Greenburg Traurig is defending the County from that.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Any questions on this?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. I'm sorry, Legislator Bynoe, did you have any questions.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Could you just restate, I'm sorry, What you just said on the record?

MR. LIBERT: Sure, I said that that is a claim that the Plaintiff


```
    ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All
    right. E-123 is with Wilson, Elser,
    Moskowitz and I'm going to take a
motion to table.
    Motion made by Legislator Ford,
seconded by Legislator Bynoe. All those
in favor of tabling E-123, please say,
"Aye".
                            (Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with,
"Aye".)
    ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any
opposed?
            (Whereupon, no verbal response.)
            ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: That item
is tabled.
```

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL:
Similarly, with E-126 we have a motion
to table. That motion is made by
Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton, seconded by
Legislator Giuffre. All in favor of
tabling E-126, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with,
"Aye".)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Anyone opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay, good.

```
                            ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E-124 is
with Milber, Makris, Plousadis and
Seiden.
    MR. LIBERT: Good afternoon,
        Legislators. Brian Libert from the
        County Attorney's Office.
    This is for a contract case known
        as Sherman Kerner. There was a mini bid
        performed for this matter. If you have
        any questions on more than happy to
        answer the same.
    ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any
        questions?
    (Whereupon, no verbal response.)
    ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay.
```

                *****
    

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. 136 with Conway, Farrell, Curtin and Kelly. MR. LIBERT: E-136, once again, is a contract for a personal injury case known as Peralta. It was mini bid and Conway Kelly Curtin won the bid, and we are asking the Legislature to award that contract.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can I just ask a question?

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Sure, Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: What does mini bid mean? How do you do that procurement?

MR. LIBERT: Sure. So the procurement rules, to my understanding, and Robert Cleary would be the expert on that, $I$ 'm really hardly an expert at all -- My understanding is that
pursuant to personal services contracts, the County Attorney can
establish a list of proposed qualified vendors. And then when we need
services, we do a mini bid rather than a full on RFP procurement, which is a longer and more drawn out process. So we do seek from that qualified panel proposers and their proposed solutions to that, including their budget. And those are rated by a committee of people who is kept anonymous from the vendors. And then we decide based on that who will be getting the award. So it's purely a merit based selection.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: SO it's almost like an on-call list? MR. LIBERT: No, it's not an on-call list. An on-call list, frankly, for me, if you were to an award an on-call list, that would be very advantageous because $I$ wouldn't have to come back here each time to ask for a new assignment. But you guys have asked that we do individualized contracts, so we actually do many bids for each item now as required. So it's just not on-call $I$ would distinguish it.


ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. And finally for you, E-138, which is with Rosenberg, Calica and Birney.

MR. LIBERT: This is for services related to pistol licenses and pistol permits. The Legislature may be aware that there was a supreme court decision which affected New York State and Nassau County, a very far reaching decision of which $I$ won't even speak of the implications, but it was far reaching. Mr. Rosenberg was hired to defend the County from claims arising out of that Supreme Court decision. Mr. Rosenberg's reputation is well known in the litigation field on Long Island.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: What kind of claims are we getting on those?

MR. LIBERT: On in this
particular matter, there's a particular index number from a particular individual, who then also claimed that he was situated similarly to a group of people. In other words, one person then
said, "oh, also, I have this group that
I'll bring along with me. I have a class action now", so that actually is, in fact, what Mr. Rosenberg was defending us from.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: What was the claim?

MR. LIBERT: The claim was that the County was not properly issuing the pistol permits. And not being an
expert on that, I wouldn't get into the details of what the rightness or wrongness of it was, but it was that it was being done wrong. Again, speaking very generically.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay.
Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. Thank you.

MR. LIBERT: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: The next group will be with Public Works, and we'll start out with $A-6$, which is with 3M Company.

MR. LUTZ: Good afternoon. Harold Lutz, Nassau County Department of Public Works.

This contract is for the procurement of $3 M$ signing and sheeting materials for decals of County vehicles, and the purchase of pavement marking. There were two bids. The low bidder was $3 M$ company for the materials, and they were determined to be the lowest responsible bidder.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions on that?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Next one is $A-33$. And that was with Assetworks.

MR. JACOVINA: Good afternoon, Joe Jacovina, Deputy Commissioner, Information Technology.

A-33-2023 is to authorize an award of Purchase Order at Assetworks Inc for the maintenance and support of Assetworks Ames software. The software is a work ticket tracking system for DPW. The application is also used by Constituent Affairs and Information Technology. This is a sole source purchase. Assetworks owns the software and is the sole supplier of the software. Only Assetworks can provide upgrades and product support.

The term of the agreement is August 1, 2023 through July 31, 2024. This Rules presentation is late due to the renewal information being sent only to a recently retired DPW employee. Going forward, IT will manage the


ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Next three are with Welsbach Electric Corp. We'll start with B-21.

MR. LUTZ: Good afternoon, Harold Lutz, Nassau County Department of Public works, Traffic Engineering.

This is a maintenance contract for the maintenance of our existing traffic signals. It is a three year contract worth $\$ 16,711,600$. There is a 2\% MWBE attachment into this contract.

They were a sole bidder on the project. We did look into the other bidders in contacting the other companies that reviewed the RFP or the bid. It was determined that several of them were too busy for the contract, and others could not hande the scope of the work to maintain our 1600 traffic signals. We do have one of the largest systems in the country. It's not something that we would trust to a smaller company. You need to have 24 hour, seven day a week, 365 days a year


ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Next is B-26. Again, Welsbach.

MR. LUTZ: Harold Lutz, Nassau
County Department of Public works. This
is an amendment to the existing
maintenance contract to add additional
funding of $\$ 3.4$ million to the existing
contract to pay claims that are
currently outstanding and carry us to the end of the year for December 31st.

The original contract was bid, and the dollar amount that was originally allocated to the contract was significantly lower than what the overall contract is. Part of the problem with a maintenance contract is you don't really know exactly how much money you're going to have to spend. Historically, we've spent a certain amount of money every year. Over the years though, we have more equipment out. In addition to traffic signals, there's RRFBS, speed awareness devices.
And these items, we put them out in the field, they get hit and we have to maintain these and replace those. So we do have more equipment than what was originally planned.
Additionally, when the contract was originally written and procured, our signal supervisor is no longer with us. She had retired. The new individual was not aware of the contract terms. When we discovered it, we put the amendment together.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: B-29, Welsbach.

MR. LUTZ: Again, Harold Lutz, Nassau County DPW.

This is a contract for
maintenance of the Traffic Management Center Communication Systems, the hardware and software within the IT infrastructure within the TMC, and all of our communication cables and coordination materials and equipment out in the field.

This is a federally funded project. We receive 80\% reimbursement from the Federal Highway Administration through New York State DOT. This is, again, a 24 hour, 365 day operation. The traffic management Center is manned continuously. And then our field operations, which is what Welsbach maintains, is in constant contact with them as well as the Department.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Thank you. Next is B-25 which is with Bove Industries.
MR. GEORGE: Good afternoon. My name is Thomas George, Deputy Commissioner for DPW.
I'm here for Item B-25-23. It's a unit price bid contract for construction service for the rehab of Glen Curtis Boulevard bridge over Meadowbrook state Parkway, which is federally funded.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: B-31, is with Pratt Brothers Inc.

MR. GEORGE: Item B-31-23 is a bid contract for construction services for resurfacing, drainage and traffic safety improvements on the Long Beach Road between DeMott Avenue and Woodland Drive in the Incorporated Village of Rockville Centre and the hamlet of South Hempstead.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions on that?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. E-127 is with M\&J engineering.
MR. GEORGE: Item E-127-23. A professional service contract for construction management and inspection service for the rehab of Carmel Road over Bayview Avenue Bridge over Long Island Railroad, which is federally funded also.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
*****

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E-128 is Lewis K. Mclean associates.

MR. LUTZ: Good afternoon, Harold Lutz, Nassau County Department of Public Works, Traffic Engineering, E-128-23, is an amendment to an existing On-call traffic contract to add $\$ 500,000$ to the overall cap and also to extend the contract for a period of 12 months so that they can complete work.

Two of the outstanding projects on here are the West Shore Road project and the other project is the Lido Boulevard traffic calming and safety study.

It is much quicker for us to utilize the existing contract, rather than to go through and rebid the design services for a different firm. So we're requesting this amendment.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL:
Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi,

Harold. I haven't seen you in quite some time. I hope you're feeling better.

With Shore Road, and I'm sorry to take this opportunity, but it's a major problem. The road is now flooding quite regularly, any rainstorm. And it's been noted that one of the outflow pipes might have been covered when someone did a seawall. So I need to get those pipes scoped. Is this going to be covered under this contract?

MR. LUTZ: Yes. We're looking -and that's part of the problem with that particular project. It started out as a traffic calming and safety study and then morphed into a drainage study. And we're examining outfalls for these issues. We had another microburst storm which flooded out and washed out a section of roadway. We're well aware of the issues, and this project has grown. And that's part of the issue with the delay for this project. And yes, we are
looking into those items.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: To be honest, we always knew the drainage was a big part of this project from day one because it always used to flood, but now it's flooding on a whole different level. I mean, that was from our first meeting, we were discussing the flooding.

So when when do you think they'd be able to utilize this contract and do the scoping?

MR. LUTZ: Once the contract is executed, then we can move forward almost immediately depending on weather. Obviously, if things are frozen, we can't go through the pipe and look at that. But if conditions are permissible weatherwise, we would be out there in the next few months, once the contract is executed.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: A
few months? Yeah, I was hoping -Well, the contract is --

MR. LUTZ: It's going to take probably a month for us to get this executed. So we would take it from there. And then like I said, just weather permitting. If there's snow and there's ice and snow and the pipes are, you know, frozen, that's an issue for us. And part of this too, is also, I believe our civil group is looking at the individual issue. They had done the initial exploration of the blocked outfall that was, $I$ think, related to a homeowner who had built a retaining wall. So we're working with that group as part of this now to coalesce the two projects and put this forward.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Well, anything you can do to do it as quickly as possible. I don't know how we don't have it on-call. A contract on-call that would have covered the scoping. The road is deemed by the Department of Transportation as a thoroughfare. It's one of the only

| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | three ways in and out of Glen Cove. |
| 3 | When it floods that much on just a |
| 4 | regular rainstorm, something happens. |
| 5 | So we really need to have it addressed |
| 6 | as soon as possible. |
| 7 | MR. LUTZ: I understand. |
| 8 | LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All |
| 9 | right. If it's at all possible -- I |
| 10 | know I've been asking, but if you can |
| 11 | keep me advised. You know I'm not |
| 12 | exaggerating that I get asked that |
| 13 | question about once a week. |
| 14 | MR. LUTZ: I understand that, |
| 15 | yes. |
| 16 | LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So |
| 17 | if you can let me know when you |
| 18 | anticipate to be out there, I would |
| 19 | truly appreciate it. |
| 20 | MR. LUTZ: Yeah, I certainly |
| 21 | will. |
| 22 | ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Legislator Ford. |
| 23 | Harold, it is good to see you. |
| 24 | LEGISLATOR FORD: You too. Thank |
| 25 | you. |



future, right?
MR. LUTZ: Yes.
LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay. Perfect.
MR. LUTZ: Yes. After we are
approved and then we can move forward with our with the scoping of the issue.
LEGISLATOR FORD: And once the
scoping is finished, then we could do a public, right?
MR. LUTZ: Yes.
LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm just asking for a friend. All right. Thank you.
MR. LUTZ: Yes.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Anyone else?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.) *****

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay.
Thank you.
E-131 is with Excel Reporting.
Anyone here for that?
MR. PERRAKIS: John Perrakis,
Department of Public Works, Planning Division.

Item E-131-23 is Professional
Services contract for verbatim and recording services for the Nassau

County Planning Commission meetings and public hearings. There was only one proposal received and Excel Reporting Incorporated was selected. The

Committee found that the proposal to represent a fair fee for the proposed services, and that Excel's staff and experience provided the best value to the county. This is a one year contract with an option to renew under the same terms for three additional years. The maximum contract amount per year is $\$ 30,000$, for a total of $\$ 120,000$ for the four year duration. Current


roundabout, yes. The intersection there, if you're familiar with it, it's outside of Bethpage State Park and there's a cemetery next to it. The intersection has had problems over the years. It's a little bit confusing to some drivers. And we've also had issues more recently. Since Farmingdale has become such a popular destination, we've had some issues late at night with drivers driving straight through the intersection and into the homes over on Thomas Powell Boulevard, so the roundabout itself was really the best idea to handle the capacity of that roadway, not change the overall nature of the area, and also to calm traffic, obviously, was our first consideration. ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: We could use a bunch more roundabouts, I think, in Nassau.

MR. LUTZ: If we can get this
one, this to me is really a great project for the County. It's a prime
location for it. And it's something
that the Village is very excited about
and we are as well.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL:
Legislator Ford and $I$ were just talking
about one at the Hewlett at the
confluence of all those 4 or 5 streets
there.
MR. LUTZ: The streets are great,
but the railroad tracks there make it
very difficult because we have no
control over the queuing back over the
railroad tracks. So that would be
something that we would have to
consider there.
LEGISLATOR FORD: I know the area
that you're talking about by Swamp
Road. When you do the roundabouts, do
you also then incorporate lighting as
well, or is it just --
MR. LUTZ: The lighting itself on
county roadways is controlled by the
local municipality. So it's not
something that we're looking at. For us
it's going to be realignment of the
roadways, pavement markings, advanced
signage for the roundabout.
Currently, now you go there and
you just have some standard warning
signs that there's an intersection
ahead. You can make custom signs, but
people at 1:30 in the morning and even
at 1:30 in the afternoon just don't
seem to pay attention to stuff like
that. Here, you're completely changing
the driving environment with the
roundabout, with the signage and with
the pavement markings. They are very
well marked and you have to slow down
when you enter it. It's not something
you're going to just go flying through
the middle of it and create a problem.
LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm looking
forward to it. Thank you.
MR. LUTZ: Same here. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All
right. So 133, please.
MR. LUTZ: 133-23 is also with
Nelson and Pope. This is an amendment to our existing Pedestrian Safety

Action Plan. This project has been delayed through the pandemic. We were unable to collect any data through the pandemic period. After the pandemic, we realized that there was an issue with the original contract and that there was a payment schedule in there. The Comptroller's office had picked up and asked us to work with Nelson and Pope to amend the contract so they could be paid by their multiplier and their approved billing rates. With that being said, we're also dealing with New York State DOT local programs, who has had some back and forth with the grant itself. Not in jeopardy of losing the grant, it's just a philosophical difference with a particular project manager, which we have worked through.

So now that we know that we've worked through our issues, we need the amendment to get Nelson and Pope back on this so we can move this project forward. And this is county wide.

There's about 110 or 115 unprotected crosswalks within the county that we're analyzing and investigating.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Ms. DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
That's a good project. Just so I understand, when you say you basically already have a contract and you're kind of renewing it, how does how does that work timewise? We still have to wait for the contract to be instituted or something?

MR. LUTZ: In order for us to pay them? Yes. There's no additional funding in this request. This is just an extension of time because of the lapse in time to get through the issues with New York State DOT, through the
issues of having to stop work during the pandemic, and then waiting for the amendment. To have them work and not pay them, knowing that all these issues were going on, it didn't make sense for us to move forward with the project.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But
with these other contracts that you were up here for, including the one with Shore Road, you already have the contract in place and you're coming for us to add to it. Why does it take so long to have you have the ability to work with it?

MR. LUTZ: It's a difficult
process to go through the amendment process. It is just very time consuming. Some of it is on us. Some of it is on the vendors themselves with maintaining their vendor portal information. And then items get bounced back and forth and the system might reject an invoice. And then that kind of sets you back a little bit to go
through.
This one, though. This is not an on-call contract. This is an existing contract that was an open competitive bid originally going back to 2020. So it's not an extension of an existing on-call. This is just an extension of time so that we can now work and then modify the agreement so that we could pay them.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Okay. Thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL:
Legislator Giuffre.
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Mr. Lutz, you mentioned crosswalks. I have a particular interest in lighted crosswalks. What's the approximate cost of converting an ordinary crosswalk into a lighted crosswalk?

MR. LUTZ: Are you talking about in ground lighting or just overhead, or are you talking about --

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: The rapid
flashing beacons?
MR. LUTZ: You're looking in the area about $\$ 30-40,000$, typically. And again, it depends on the existing availability of power. We have some where we have to use solar because there is no available power connection. But with solar there is an analysis required because we need to know that we have enough sunlight to keep the beacons charged throughout the season, especially this time of year. The days are shorter, you get two weeks of clouds, and now we don't have something that works. So we have to make sure that we can install something like that there.

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: All right.
Thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Anyone else?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. 134 is with LiRo Engineers.
MR. GEORGE: Thomas George from Nassau County DPW for Item E-134-23.
This is a professional service contract for construction management and inspection service for resurfacing the travel lanes and shoulders on Wantagh Avenue from Sunrise Highway to Hempstead Turnpike.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay.
Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Number 135 is with NV5 New York.

MR. LUTZ: Good afternoon, Harold Lutz, Nassau County Department of Public Works.

Item E-135-23 is the extension of time for an existing on-call contract. This will permit us to complete the Rockaway Avenue/Rockaway Parkway traffic study, not traffic study, but to go from the traffic study portion to the design portion.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Rockaway where?

MR. LUTZ: Rockaway Parkway this is through, like in the vicinity of Wheeler Avenue all the way down across Sunrise, basically up to Peninsula Boulevard. So it's a longer stretch of roadway where we did a traffic calming and safety study under the initial on-call. And then we want to move forward with design. And it would it makes sense, the most sense to utilize

```
the same consulting engineers since
they did a good job with the contract.
    ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Wheeler
Avenue is --
    MR. LUTZ: Wheeler Avenue is an
adjacent school.
    ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: What is
the neighborhood, I'm sorry?
        MR. LUTZ: Valley stream.
        ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Valley
stream. So you're going from the
Fourth Precinct Station up to Sunrise?
    MR. LUTZ: We're going past
Sunrise. We're starting at that
Peninsula and continue past Sunrise. So
it's Rockaway Avenue/Rockaway Parkway.
Sorry. The roadway changes names over
the course of it.
        ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay.
Questions?
    (Whereupon, no verbal response.)
```

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. The last contract is with Gannett Fleming Engineers and Architects.
MR. AMERIGO: Good afternoon. Joseph Amerigo, Department of Public Works. This is an on-call construction management contract for the Building Construction Group. The cap of the contract is $\$ 1$ million. Term three years.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
*****

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay, so before we vote on this. Any public comment on these contracts?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. Hearing none.

All in favor of $A-39 ; E-75 ; 117$ 121; 129; 122; 123; and 126; 124; 125;
$136 ; 138 ; A-6 ; 33 ; \mathrm{B}-21 ; 26 ; 29 ; \mathrm{B}-25$; 31; E-127; 128; 131; 132; 133; 134; 135 137.

All those in favor of these items, so indicate by saying, "Aye"
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
(Whereupon, brief off record discussion.)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: And 130, all those in favor say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the

Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Those
items are unanimous. I'll now put this
the Rules Committee into recess.
Next is public safety.
(Whereupon, recess, 2:19-3:41
p.m.)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Rules Committee back in session. We have a number of Consent Items, items that went through previous Committees and require no further debate or discussion as per the agreement of the Majority an Minority:

Items 323; 324; 325; 328; 329;
$330 ; 331 ; 332 ; 333 ; 334 ; 335 ; 336 ; 337$;
338; 340 .
On the first Addendum: 341; 342;
343; 344; 349; 350; 355; 356; and 357 .
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All in

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: On the Addendum, 339 --
(Whereupon, off the record discussion.)
Motion on that was made by
Legislator Ford and I'll second it.
Once again, all in favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: That's unanimous. ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Number 339 is a resolution authorizing execution of an IMA with the Village of Matinecock in relation to design and construction of a new drainage piping system and directing excess stormwater from Thorne Lane.

Motion by Legislator Ford, seconded by Legislator Schaefer.

Go ahead, please.
MS. HUODEK: Good afternoon, legislators. Jane Huodek, Nassau County Department of Public Works. This is an Inter-municipal agreement with the Village of Matinecock to rectify a storm drainage problem. The existing drainage over Thorne Lane lacks the capacity to handle the stormwater that it receives, and so this project will design and fund the construction of remediation to that drainage situation.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?

| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | (Whereupon, no verbal response.) |
| 3 | ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All those |
| 4 | in favor of 345, please say, "Aye". |
| 5 | (Whereupon, all members of the |
| 6 | Rules Committee respond in favor with, |
| 7 | "Aye".) |
| 8 | ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any |
| 9 | opposed? |
| 10 | (Whereupon, no verbal response.) |
| 11 | ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank |
| 12 | you. |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | ***** |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 | [Top Key Court Reporting, Inc., 516-414-3516 |

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Number 346 is for an IMA with the Bellmore Merrick Central High School District with regard to purchasing and installing new bleachers and related items.

Motion by Ms. Bynoe, seconded by Mr. DeRiggi-Whitton.

MR. LEIMONE: Chris Leimone from the Administration. 346 is a legislative CRP item.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Any any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All those in favor of 346 , please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: That's unanimous.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Number 347 is an IMA with Wantagh Free School District in respect to procurement and installation of new security, exterior doors and related items.
Motion by Ms. Ford, seconded by Ms. Bynoe.
That's another CRP item, I believe. All those in favor of 347 , please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: That's unanimous.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Number 348 is a resolution appointing Gabriela Castillo to the Sewer and Stormwater Finance Authority.
Motion by Ms. DeRiggi-Whitton, seconded by Ms. Schaefer.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any debate or discussion on this item?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All those in favor of this appointment, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: That appointment is done unanimously.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: On Addendum II. Number 274 is an IMA with Williston Park. The procurement of the Jaws of Life and related items.
Mr. Giuffre makes that motion,
Ms. Ford seconds that motion.
And this is, $I$ believe, another IMA. Any debate and discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All those in favor, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.) ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Number 275, authorizing an IMA with Williston Park. Provide funding for the purchase of goods and services.
Any debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All those
in favor of those items, please say,
"Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
LEGISLATOR FORD: We need a motion, moved by Legislator Ford, seconded by Legislator Schaefer.
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: And we're all in favor.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Number 345 is our final item. It's a resolution to amend a previous resolution to designate newspapers for tax liens.

Motion by Mr. Giuffre, seconded by Ms. DeRiggi-Whitton.

MR. CHIANG: Good afternoon. David Chiang here from the County Treasurer's Office. The items before you are for the publication of the annual lien sales, the selection of the newspapers.

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All those in favor of that item, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)

ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Mr. Giuffre wants to go home and he makes a motion to adjourn. Ms. Schaefer is agreeable to that and seconds it.
All those in favor, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN KOPEL: We're unanimous.
(Whereupon, Rules Committee is adjourned, 3:51 p.m.)
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| 8:23, 9:2, 9:23, | 75:6, 75:9, 76:13, | Administration ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 28:2 |
| 10:2, 11:17, | 76:16, | 45:17, 79:11 | Allegiance ${ }_{[2]}-4: 6$, |
| 14:12, 17:21, | 77:24, 78:3, 78:8, | administrator ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 4:11 |
| 18:24, 19:3, 20:2, | 78:11, 79:2, | 26:6 | allocated $^{(11)}-43: 15$ |
| 20:24, 21:3, 22:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 79: 13,79: 16, \\ & 79: 21,79: 23, \end{aligned}$ | advanced $_{[1]}-63: 3$ | allocation ${ }_{\text {(1) }}$ - |
| 22:22, 22:25, | 79:21, 79:23, | advantageous ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 18:21 |
| 24:2, 24:18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 80:2, 80:15, } \\ & 80: 18,81: 2,81: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 34:19 | allowing $_{\text {[1] }}-5: 2$ |
| 26:2, 26:13, | 81:17, 81:20, | advised $_{[1]}-54: 1$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m o s t }}_{[4]}-18: 3,$ $8: 19,34: 14$ |
| 26:16, 27:2, | 82:2, 82:11, | $13: 14,15: 3$ | 52:16 |
| 27:15, 27:18, | 82:16, 82:19 | AFFAIRS $_{\text {[1] }}-3: 15$ | 31-20:1 |
| 29:2, 29:13, | 83:2, 83:8, 83:14, | Affairs ${ }_{[3]}-20: 5$, | 4:16, 84:4 |
| 29:16, 30:2, | 83:20, 84:2, | 20:10, 39:1 | mending ${ }_{[2}$ |
| $30: 11,30: 14,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 14,84: 17, \\ & 84: 23,85: 2,86: 2, \end{aligned}$ | affected $_{\text {[1] }}-36: 9$ | 55:5, 55:11 |
| 31:2, 31:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 23,85: 2,86: 2, \\ & 86: 11,86: 14 \end{aligned}$ | afternoon $_{\text {[8] }]}-4: 3$, | mendment |
| $31: 16,32: 2,32: 8$ $33: 2,33: 12,35: 5$ | action $_{111}-37: 4$ | 9:8, 10:10, 11:19, | 26:7, |
| $33: 2,33: 12,35: 5$, $36: 2,36: 18,37: 7$ |  | 22:6, 24:9, 31:5, | 44:13, 50:7, |
| $36: 2,36: 18,37: 7$, $37: 17,37: 20$, | \| | 38:6, 39:5, 41:5, | 22, 60:8, 64:5, |
|  |  | :5, 50:4, 60:5, | 5:4, 66:4, 66:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 2,38: 17,39: 2, \\ & 40: 5,40: 10,41: 2, \end{aligned}$ | acts $_{[4]}-10: 22$, $14: 2,16: 15,16: 2$ | 63:10, 70:4, 72:5, | nerigo ${ }_{(1]}-72: 6$ |
| 42:5, 43:3, 44:14, | actual ${ }_{[1]}-4: 25$ | 77:13, $84: 9$ Agenda ${ }_{(11}-9: 3$ | AMERIGO ${ }_{[2]}$ - 3:10, 72:5 |


| ```Ames [1] - 39:11 amount [11] - 9:20, 12:15, 13:14, 18:7, 18:18, 22:20, 24:16, 43:14, 43:22, 58:23, 59:7 analysis [1] - 68:9 analyzing (1] - 65:9 Ann(1]-22:7 annual [2] - 18:11, 84:12 annually [[] - 15:7 anonymous [1] - 34:9 answerer [1] - 31:12 Anthony [2] - 7:11, 20:6 \mp@subsup{anticipate }{[1]}{-} 54:18 anticipating [[] - 12:24 applause [1] - 5:5 application [1] - 39:13 appointing [1] - 81:3 appointment }\mp@subsup{}{[2]}{ 81:12, 81:21 appreciate [1] - 54:19 appropriate [i] - 22:16 approval [1] - 55:16 approved [2] - 57:6, 64:18 approximate (1] - 67:19 Architects [2] - 7:23, 72:4``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Archit | 9: | 73:10 |
|  | , 20 | authorizing | B-25 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 24,47: 3$ |
|  | ar | 10:4, 77:4, 83:3 | 5-23 [1] -47 |
|  | :17, 68:4 | availability ${ }_{[1]}$ - | B-26 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 24,43: 4$ |
|  | arising ${ }_{[1]}-36: 1$ | 68:6 | B-29 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 24,45: 3$ |
|  | ARPA $_{[2]}-17: 15$ | available $^{[1]}$ - 68:8 | B-31 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 24,48: 2$ |
|  | 17:17 | Avenue ${ }_{[8]}-1: 17$ | B-31-23 ${ }_{[1]}-48: 4$ |
|  | Asset $_{{ }_{11} \text { - 6:2 }}$ | 48:8, 49:8, 60:19 | backlog $_{[1]}-20$ |
|  | Assetworks ${ }_{[4]}$ | 70:18 | $\operatorname{bad}_{[4]}-27: 9$, |
|  | 9:4, 39:9, 39:11, | 71:5, 71:6 | 27:10, 27:11, $28: 2$ |
|  | 39:18 | Avenuel | aldwin ${ }_{[1]}-4: 19$ |
|  | assetworks | kaway | band $_{[1]}-13: 2$ |
|  | 39:16 | 70:10, 71:1 | [3] -7:17, 24:7, |
|  | assigned $^{[1]}$ - 20:9 | award ${ }_{[6]}-8: 8$ | 4: |
|  | Assigned ${ }_{[2]}-24: 7$, | 2, 33:9, 34:1 | based $_{[3]}-34: 10$ |
|  | 24:1 | 34:17, 39: | 34:12, 55:20 |
|  | as | awarded $_{[2]}-10: 18$, | ayview ${ }_{[1]}-49: 8$ |
|  | 34:21 |  | Beach ${ }_{[2]}$ - 48:7 |
|  | Asso | aware $_{[4]}-36: 7$ | 55:24 |
|  | 7:17 | 44:11, 51:22 | eacons ${ }_{[2]}-68:$ |
|  | associ | 56 | 8:1 |
|  | 0:3 | awareness ${ }_{[1]}$ | ecome $_{[1]}-61: 10$ |
|  | Associatio | 43:25 | behind $_{[1]}-17$ : |
|  | 7:18, 24:7, 24:13 | Aye ${ }_{[1]}-73$ | Bellmore ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
|  | attac | Aye" ${ }_{[29]}-8: 19$ | 60:18, 79:3 |
|  | 41:12 | 8:22, 29:9, 29:12 | Belyea $^{[1]}$ - 10:10 |
|  | attacks $_{[1]}-9: 1$ | 30:7, 30:10, | BELYEA $_{[26]}-3: 5$, |
|  | attention ${ }_{[1]}-63: 11$ | :2 | 10:9, 11:23, 12:6, |
|  | Attorney ${ }_{[3]}-20: 9$, | $74: 3,75: 2,75: 5$ | $12: 14,13: 5$ |
|  | $27: 4,33: 23$ | $76: 9,76: 12,78: 4$ | 13:12, 13:20, |
|  | ATTORNEY ${ }_{[1]}$ | :17, | 13:23, 14:7, |
|  | 3:13 | 0:11, | 14:10, 14:17 |
|  | A | 14, 81:13, | 4:23, 15:2, 15:8, |
|  | 17:11, 31:7 | :16, 82:12 | 5:15, 15:19, |
|  | August ${ }_{[3]}-39$ | 82:15, 83:10, | 16:5, 16:11, 17:3, |
|  | 59:3, 59:4 | 83:13, 84:19, | 17:16, 17:20, |
|  | Authority ${ }_{[1]}-8$ | 84:22, 86:7, 86:10 | :5, 18:10, |
|  | authorize $_{[2]}-9: 11$, | B | 18:20, 19:6 |
|  | :8 |  | [2]-58:19, |
|  | thorized ${ }_{[1]}$ | B-21 ${ }_{[3]}$ - 6:2 | 61:15 |


| Bethpage $^{[1]}$ - 61:4 | Boyz $_{[1]}-16: 22$ | 55:12, 60:12, 72:9 | 32:2, 32:8, 33:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| better $_{[1]}-51: 4$ | Brian ${ }_{[3]}$ - 16:13, | capable $_{[1]}-16: 21$ | $33: 12,35: 5,36: 2,$ |
| between ${ }_{\text {(9] }}-6: 21$, | 16:18, 31:6 | capacity ${ }_{[2]}-61: 16$, | 36:18, 37:7, |
| 6:25, 7:10, 9:6, | BRIAN $_{[1]}-3: 13$ | 77:20 | 7, 37:20 |
| 10:5, 15:18, 20:4, | bridge $^{[11}-47: 11$ | Carmel ${ }_{[1]}-49: 7$ | 38:2, 38:17, 39:2, |
| 22:4, 48:8 | Bridge $_{[1]}-49: 8$ | carry ${ }_{[1]}-43: 11$ | 40:5, 40:10, 41:2, |
| bid $_{[12]}-31: 9,32: 5$, | brief $_{[1]}-73: 21$ | case $_{[4]}-27: 8,31: 8$, | 42:5, 43:3, 44:14, |
| 32:6, 33:6, 33:7, | bring ${ }_{(1)}-37: 3$ | 32:5, 33:6 | 45:3, 45:25, 47:2, |
| 33:15, 34:2, | bringing ${ }_{[1]}-12: 2$ | Castillo ${ }_{[1]}-81: 4$ | 7:14, 47:17 |
| 41:17, 43:13, | Brothers ${ }_{[1]}-48: 3$ | cemetery ${ }_{(1)}-61: 5$ | 48:2, 48:12, 49:2, |
| 47:9, 48:5, 67:6 | budget $_{[3]}-24: 3$, | Center ${ }_{[3]}-22: 9$, | 49:11, 50:2, |
| bidder $_{[4]}-9: 19$, | 34:7, 59:5 | 45:9, 45:20 | 50:23, 54:22, |
| 38:14, 38:16, | Budget ${ }_{[2]}-24: 6$ | Central ${ }_{[1]}-79: 4$ | :15, 58:2, 59:9, |
| 41:13 | 24:11 | Centre ${ }_{11}-48: 10$ | 59:12, 60:2, |
| bidders $^{(1)}-41: 15$ | Building $^{27]}$ - 1:16, | Certain $_{111}-12: 16$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60:23, 61:20, } \\ & \text { 62:5, 64:2, 65:10, } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { bids }_{[2]}-34: 23, \\ & 38: 13 \end{aligned}$ |  | certain $_{\text {[5] }}-12: 16$, | 62:5, 64:2, 65:10, 67:14, 68:21, |
| $\mathbf{b i g}_{[2]}-13: 24,52: 5$ | bunch $_{\text {[1] }}-61: 21$ | 18:18, 43:21 | 69:2, 69:12, |
| billing $_{[1]}-64: 18$ | busy $_{[1]}-41: 18$ | certainly ${ }_{(1)}-54: 20$ | :15, 70:2 |
| Birney ${ }_{[2]}-7: 24$, |  | certify ${ }_{(11}-87: 10$ | 70:14, 71:4, 71:8, |
| 36:4 | Bynoe ${ }_{\text {[] }}$-5:14, | Chairman ${ }_{(11}-6: 8$ | 71:11, 71:20, |
| birthdays ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 8:7, 14:14, 27:19, | CHAIRMAN ${ }_{[144]}$ - | $72: 2,72: 12,73: 2$, $73 \cdot 6,73: 1$, |
| 15:21 | 29:7, 79:8, 80:8 | 1:12, 2:5, 4:2, | $73: 6,73: 18$, $73: 23,74: 4,74: 7$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { bit }_{33]}-6: 18,61: 7, \\ & 66: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { BYNOE }_{[\mid 5]}-2: 11,$ $5: 15,14: 15$ | $4: 12,5: 3,5: 6,$ 6:10, 6:12, 8:23. | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 23,74: 4,74: 7, \\ & 74: 13,74: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| bleachers $_{[1]}-79: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 15,14: 15, \\ & 14 \cdot 18 \quad 14 \cdot 24 \end{aligned}$ | $9: 2,9: 23,10: 2,$ | 75:6, 75:9, 76:2, |
| blocked $_{[1]}-53: 12$ | 15:5, 15:12, | 11:17, 14:12, | 76:13, 76:16, |
| Board ${ }_{[1]}$ - 12:2 | 15:17, 16:2, 16:8, | 17:21, 18:24, | 77:3, 77:24, 78:3, 78:8, 78:11, 79:2, |
| book $^{2]}-10: 22$, 12:21 | 16:24, 17:14, | $19: 3,20: 2,20: 24,$ | 79:13, 79:16, |
| ked $_{[1]}-16: 1$ |  | $22: 25,24: 2,$ | 79:21, 79:23, |
| booking $_{\text {(1] }}-10: 15$ | C | 24:18, 24:22, | 80:2, 80:15, |
| border $^{[1]}$ - 55:24 | cables $_{\text {[1] }}-45: 12$ | 25:2, 25:5, 26:2, | 80:18, 81:2, 81:8, <br> 81:11, 81:17 |
| Boulevard []- | calendar ${ }_{(1)}-20: 22$ | 26:13, 26:16, | 81:20, 82:2, |
| $47: 11,50: 16$, $55: 18,55: 20$, | Calica ${ }_{[2]}-7: 23$, | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 2,27: 15, \\ & 27: 18,29: 2, \end{aligned}$ | 82:11, 82:16, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 55: 18,55: 20, \\ & 60: 17,61: 14, \end{aligned}$ | 36:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:18, 29:2, } \\ & \text { 29:13, 29:16, } \end{aligned}$ | 82:19, 83:2, 83:8, |
| 70:20 | $\text { calming }_{[3]}-50: 16,$ | 30:2, 30:11, | 83:14, 83:20, |
| bounced $_{(1)}-66: 22$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { caiming }_{[3]}-51 \\ 51: 17,70: 21 \end{gathered}$ | 30:14, 31:2, | 84:2, 84:14, |
| Bove ${ }_{[2]}-7: 3,47: 3$ | $\mathbf{c a p}_{(4)}-50: 9$, | 31:13, 31:16, | 84:17, 84:23, |



| 26:8, 26:10, 27:8 | 55:22, 87:11 | 51:13, 53:22 | 27:13, 37:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31:8, 33:5, 33:9 | Correct ${ }_{[6]}-12: 6$, | Covid $_{[1]}-20: 16$ | $y_{[1]}-51: 25$ |
| 38:9, 41:8, 41:11, | 13:5, 14:7, 14:23, | create $_{[1]}-63: 19$ | delayed ${ }_{[2]}-11: 5$, |
| 41:12, 41:18, | 17:16, 18:5 | crosswalk ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 64:8 |
| 43:8, 43:10, | $\operatorname{cost}_{[3]}-12: 4,40: 2$, | 67:20, 67:2 | DELIA $_{[1]}-2: 10$ |
| 43:13, 43:15 | 67:19 | crosswalks ${ }_{[3]}$ - | Delia ${ }_{[1]}-5: 16$ |
| 43:17, 43:18, | Counsel ${ }_{[2]}-24: 8$, | 65:8, 67:17, 67:19 | DeMott $_{[1]}-48: 8$ |
| 44:7, 44:11, 45:7, | 24:14 | CRP ${ }_{[2]}-79: 12$, | DENISE $_{[1]}-2: 6$ |
| 47:9, 48:5, 49:5, | country ${ }_{[3]}-13: 22$, | 80: | Denise $_{[11}-6: 6$ |
| 50:8, 50:10, | 16:22, 41:22 | Current $_{[1]}-58: 25$ | DEPARMENT |
| 50:19, 51:13 | COUNTY ${ }_{[3]}-1: 2$, | Curtin ${ }_{[3]}-7: 21$, | 3:4 |
| 52:12, 52:14, | 3:13, 87:6 | 33:3, 33:7 | Department ${ }_{[20]}$ |
| 52:22, 52:25, | county ${ }_{[3]}-62: 23$, | Curtis ${ }_{[1]}-47: 11$ | 9:7, 9:10, 10:7, |
| 53:21, 55:3, 55:4, | 65:6, 65:8 | custom $_{[1]}-63$ | 0:5, 20:10, 22:4, |
| 55:11, 58:10, | County ${ }_{[35]}$ - 1:16, | customary ${ }_{[1]}-5: 9$ | 2:7, 22:12, |
| 58:20, 58:23, | 6:25, 7:10, 7:17, |  | 7:12, 38:7, 41:6, |
| 59:4, 60:9, 64:12, | 8:9, 10:6, 11:22, | D | , 45:24, 50:5, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 64: 16,65: 15, \\ & 65: 18,66: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17:11, 18:8, 20:4, } \\ & 20: 8,24: 5,24: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Darcy }_{[2]}-10: 10, \\ & 17: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 24,58: 7,60: 6, \\ & 70: 5,72: 6,77: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| 67:4, 67:5, 69:7, | 24:13, 27:3, | DARCY | DEPARTMENT ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| 70:8, 71:3, 72:3, | 13, 31:7 | data $_{[2]}-9: 17,64: 9$ | 3:5, 3:6, 3:14 |
| 72:8, 72:10 | 23, 36:10 | David $_{[1]}-84: 9$ | Deputy ${ }_{[3]}-20: 8$, |
| contracts ${ }_{[9]}-5: 9$, | 36:14, 37:10, | DAVID $_{[1]}-3: 11$ | 39:6, 47:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 13,6: 25,12: 19 \\ & 17: 18,33: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 38:7, 38:11, 41:6, | days ${ }_{[2]}-41: 25$, | DeRiggi ${ }_{[10]}-5: 17$, |
| $34: 22,66: 9,73: 4$ | :12, | 68:1 | $3: 13,50: 24$ |
| control ${ }_{[1]}-62: 13$ | 6, 61:25, 69: |  | $5: 11,79: 9,81: 6$ |
| controlled ${ }_{[1]}$ | 70:5, 77:14, 84:10 | 81:9, 82:9, 83:6 | 84:8 |
| 62:23 | County's ${ }_{[2]}-11: 8$, | decals ${ }_{[1]}-38: 11$ | DERIGGI ${ }_{[19]}-2: 10$, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { conversations }_{[1]} \text { - } \\ & \text { 16:18 } \end{aligned}$ | 26:5 | December ${ }_{[1]}$ | $5: 18,17: 24,18: 6$ |
| converting ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\text { courier }_{[1]}-24: 21$ |  | $33: 10,33: 14$ |
| 67:20 | course ${ }_{[3]}-4: 6,5: 4$, | $\text { decision }_{[3]}-36: 8,$ | $4: 13,35: 3$ |
| Conway ${ }_{[3]}-7: 21$, | 71:19 | $36: 11,36: 15$ | 0:25, 52:3, |
| 33:3, 33:7 | Court ${ }_{[2]}-36: 8$ | deemed $_{[11}-53: 23$ | 52:23, 53:18, |
| coordination ${ }_{[1]}-$ | 36:15 | defend $_{{ }_{11}-36}$ | 4:8, 54:16, |
| 45:13 | COURT $_{[1]}-1: 25$ | Defender ${ }_{[2]}$-24:8, | 65:12, 66:8, 67:12 |
| Corp $_{[2]}-7: 2,41: 3$ | Cove ${ }_{[1]}-54: 2$ | $24: 14$ | DeRiggi-Whitton |
| correct ${ }_{[6]}-12: 5$, | coverage $_{[1]}-42$ |  | ${ }_{[10]}-5: 17,8: 3$, |
| 14:6, 18:4, 26:4, | covered $_{[3]}-51: 10$, |  | 17:23, 30:5, |


| 33:13, 50:24, | 66:16 | 61:12 | E-137 ${ }_{[1]}-7: 8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 65:11, 79:9, 81:6, | Diltex ${ }_{[3]}-6: 23,9: 7$, | driving ${ }_{[2]}-61: 12$, | E-138 ${ }_{[1]}-36: 3$ |
| 84:8 | 9:20 | 63:13 | E-75 ${ }_{[3]}-8: 5,10: 3$, |
| DERIGGI- | directing $_{[1]}-77: 8$ | due ${ }_{[5]}-11: 6$, | 73:8 |
| WHITTON ${ }_{[19]}$ | discovered ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 12:10, 20:15, | Edelman $_{[1]}-7: 13$ |
| 2:10, 5:18, 17:24, | 44:12 | 24:19, 39:22 | education ${ }_{[1]}-4: 25$ |
| 18:6, 18:16, | discussing ${ }_{\text {(1] }}$ | duration ${ }_{11}-58: 25$ | Effectively ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - |
| 18:22, 33:10, | 52:9 | during ${ }_{[1]}-66: 2$ | 24:15 |
| 33:14, 34:13, | discussion ${ }_{[6]}$ | E | effort $_{111}-56: 8$ |
| 35:3, 50:25, 52:3, | 73:22, 74:17 | E | Electric ${ }_{[2]}-7: 2$, |
| 52:23, 53:18, | 76:5, 81:9, 82:9, | E-117 ${ }_{[1]}-7: 5$ | 41 |
| 54:8, 54:16, | 83:6 | E-121 ${ }_{[1]}-7: 5$ | Elser $_{[2]}-7: 13,29: 3$ |
| 65:12, 66:8, 67:12 | distant ${ }_{[1]}-56: 25$ | E-121-23 ${ }_{(1)}$ - 22:8 | employee ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| design $_{[11]}-50: 20$, | distinguish ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | E-122 ${ }_{[1]}-7$ | 39:24 |
| 55:10, 55:14, | 34:25 | E-123 ${ }_{[3]}-7: 5,29: 3$, | encumber ${ }_{\text {(1) }}$ |
| 55:19, 56:3, 56:8, | District ${ }_{[2]}$ - 79:4, | 29:8 | 20:21 |
| 60:16, 70:13, | 80:4 | E-124 ${ }_{[2]}-7: 6,31: 2$ | encumbrance |
| 70:24, 77:6, 77:22 | Division $_{[1]}-58: 8$ | E-125 ${ }_{[3]}-7: 6,32: 2$, | 59:2, 59:6 |
| designate ${ }_{11}-84: 5$ | dollar $_{(1)}-43: 1$ | 32:4 | end ${ }_{[3]}-6: 16$, |
| DESIMONE ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ - | done $_{(4)}$ - $37: 15$, | E-126 ${ }_{[3]}$ - 7:6, 30:3, | 43:12, 55:18 |
| 22:6, 23:3 | 53:11, 75:10, | $30: 7$ | Engineering ${ }_{[4]}$ - |
| DeSimone $_{\text {(11 }}-22: 7$ | 81:21 | E-127 ${ }_{[3]}-7: 6,49: 3$, | 7:16, 7:19, 41:7, |
| destination ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | doo ${ }_{(1)}-13: 25$ | 73:11 | 50:6 |
| 61:10 | doo-wop ${ }_{(11}$ - 13:25 | E-127-23 ${ }_{[1]}-49: 4$ | engineering |
| details $_{111}-37: 13$ | doors ${ }_{[1]}-80: 6$ | E-128 ${ }_{[1]}-7: 6$ | 49:3 |
| determine ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | DOT ${ }_{[3]}-45: 18$ | e-128 ${ }_{[1]}-50$ | Engineers ${ }_{\text {15 }}$ |
| 56:12 | 64:20, 65:25 | E-128-23 [1] - 50:7 | 7:21, 7:22, 60:3, |
| determined ${ }^{21]}$ - | down ${ }_{[2]}-63: 16$, | E-129 [1] | 69:3, 72:4 |
| 38:15, 41:17 | 70:18 | $\mathrm{E}-130_{[1]}-7$ | engineers $_{[1]}-71: 2$ |
| devices $_{[1 / 1}-43: 25$ | $\mathrm{DPW}_{\text {[9] }}-3: 7,3: 8$, | E-131 ${ }_{[2]}$-7:7, 58:4 | enter ${ }_{[11}-63: 17$ |
| Dicker $_{11}$-7:14 | 3:9, 3:10, 39:13, | E-131-23 ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-58: 9$ | entertainment |
| dictates $_{[1]}-13: 6$ | 39:24, 45:6, 47:7, | E-132 ${ }_{[2]}$-7:7, 60:4 | 10:15, 11:22 |
| difference ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 69:5 | E-132-23 ${ }_{(1)}-60: 8$ | entire ${ }_{[1]}-15: 23$ |
| 64:24 | drainage $_{\text {[7] }}-48: 6$, | E-133 ${ }_{(11}-7: 7$ | entities ${ }_{(1)}-14: 21$ |
| different $_{\text {(99 }}-12: 18$, | 51:18, 52:4, 77:7, | E-134 [1] - 7:8 | environmen |
| 13:17, 13:18, | 77:18, 77:19 | $\mathrm{E}-134-23_{[1]}-69: 5$ | 9:14, 63:13 |
| 14:21, 16:9, | 77:23 | $E-135_{[1]}-7: 8$ | equipment ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| 18:20, 20:17, | drawn $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 34:4 | $\text { \|E-135-23 }{ }_{[1]}-70: 7$ | 43:23, 44:5, 45:13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50:21, } 52: 8 \\ & \text { difficult }_{[2]}-62: 12, \end{aligned}$ | Drive $_{[1]}-48: 9$ drivers ${ }_{[2]}-61: 8$, | E-136 [2] - 7:8, 33:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { especially }_{(11]} \text { - } \\ & 68: 13 \end{aligned}$ |



| future ${ }_{[1]}-57: 2$ | Group $_{[4]}-7: 18$, 26:3, 26:5, 72:9 groups $_{[1]}-16: 9$ grown $_{[1]}-51: 23$ guys $_{(11}-34: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hi }_{[4]}-14: 15,14: 17, \\ & \text { 17:24, 50:25 } \\ & \text { High }_{[1]}-79: 4 \\ & \text { Highway }_{[2]}-45: 17, \\ & 69: 10 \\ & \text { hired }_{[1]}-36: 13 \\ & \text { hiring }_{[3]}-27: 9 \\ & 27: 11,28: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IMA }_{[6]]}-77: 5,79: 3, \\ & 80: 3,82: 3,82: 9, \\ & 83: 3 \\ & \text { immediate }_{[1]}-42: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G |  |  |  |
| Gabriela $_{[1]}-81: 3$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gannett }_{[2]}-7: 22, \\ & 72: 3 \\ & \text { general }_{[2]}-28: 3, \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { immediately }_{[1]}- \\ & 52: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | implications ${ }_{[1]}$ -36:12 |
|  | hamlet $_{[1]}-48: 10$ |  |  |
| generically | han | Historically | Improvement ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 27:11, 37:16 | handle $_{[3]}-41: 19$ | 43:21 | 60:18, 60:19 |
| genres $_{[3]}-10: 19$, | 61:16, 77 | hit ${ }_{[1]}-44: 3$ | improvements ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 12:18, 16:10 | happy $_{[2]}-16: 12$ | tting ${ }_{[1]}-16: 10$ | 48:7 |
| George ${ }_{[2]}-47: 6$, | 31:1 | home $_{[1]}-86: 3$ | $\mathbf{N}_{[1]}-87: 1$ |
| 69:4 | hardly ${ }_{[1]}-33: 20$ | homeo | Inc ${ }_{[5]}-7: 4,9: 7$, |
| GEORGE $_{[5]}-3: 8$ | hardware ${ }_{[1]}-45: 10$ | 53:14 | 9:20, 39:10, 48:3 |
| 47:5, 48:4, 49:4 | HARKINS ${ }_{[2]}-3: 15$, | homes $_{[1]}-61: 1$ | includes $_{[1]}-15: 20$ |
| 69:4 | 20:7 | nest ${ }_{[1]}-52$ | cluding ${ }_{[2]}-34: 7$, |
| Giuffre ${ }_{[8]}-6: 3$ | Harkins $_{[1]}-20: 8$ | hope $_{[1]}-51: 3$ | 66:10 |
| 8:13, 8:17, 30:6 | Harold ${ }_{[9]}-3$ | hoping ${ }_{[1]}-52: 24$ | clusion ${ }_{[1]}-22: 9$ |
| 67:15, 82:6, 84:7, | 41:5, 43:5, 45:5 | hotel $_{[1]}-13: 11$ | incorp |
| 86:3 | 50:4, 51:2, 54:23, | Hotel/Motel ${ }_{[9]}$ | 62:20 |
| GIUFFRE $_{[5]}-2: 7$ | 60:5, 70:4 | , 13: | corporated ${ }^{[2]}$ |
| 6:4, 67:16, 67:25, | HAR | 15:9, 16:4 | 48:9, 58:15 |
| 68:19 | hate $_{{ }_{[1]}-56: 16}$ | , 18:2, 18:9, | increase ${ }_{[3]}-20: 13$, |
| Glen ${ }_{[2]}$ - 47:11, | Health ${ }_{[3]}-22: 5$ | 18:15 | 24:19, 26:8 |
| 5 | 22:7, 22:12 | - 4:2 | increasing ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |
| goal $_{[1]}-2$ | health ${ }_{[2]}-22: 17$ | 41:25, 45:19 | 24:15 |
| goods $_{[1]}-83: 5$ | health | HOWARD ${ }_{[2]}-1: 11$, | curred $_{[1]}-24: 20$ |
| Grant $_{{ }_{[1]}-11: 16}$ | 22:13 | 2:5 | - 36:2 |
| grant ${ }_{44}$ - 22:21, | hear $_{[1]}-16: 12$ | Howard $_{[2]}-4: 1$ | dicate $_{[1]}-73: 14$ |
| 24:23, 64:21, | Hearing ${ }_{[2]}-20$ | 6:8 | dividual ${ }_{[4]}$ - |
| 64:23 | 73:7 | Huodek ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 22:19, 36:23, |
| $\text { great }_{[2]}-61: 24$ | hearings ${ }_{[2]}-20: 14$, | $\text { HUODEK }_{[2]}-3: 14,$ | $44: 10,53: 11$ |
| 62:10 | Hempstead $_{[2]}$ - |  | individualized |
| Greenburg ${ }_{[3]}$ - |  | I | 34:22 |
| ground $_{[1]}-67: 2$ | hereby ${ }_{[1]}-87: 10$ | ic | 47: |
| group $^{[6]}$ - 27:3, | hereunto ${ }_{[1]}-87: 14$ | idea $_{[3]}-56: 2$ | formation ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 36:24, 37:2, 38:3, | Hewlett ${ }_{[1]}-62: 7$ | 56:16, 61:16 | 39:7, 39:14 |
| 53:10, 53:15 |  | $\mathrm{II}_{[2]}-16: 22,82: 3$ | formation ${ }_{[3]}$ - |



| 79:13, 79:16, | legislative ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 55:17, 55:25, | limited $_{[1]}-12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79:21, 79:23, | 4:23, 79:12 | 56:24, 57:4, 57:8, | nes $_{[1]}-14: 19$ |
| 80:2, 80:15, | Legislative | 57:12, 62:17, | LiRo ${ }_{[2]}-7: 20,69: 3$ |
| 80:18, 81:2, 81:8, | 1:16 | 63:20, 65:12 | list ${ }_{[5]}-33: 24$, |
| 81:11, 81:17, | Legislator ${ }_{[32]}$ - | 66:8, 67:12, | 34:14, 34:16, |
| 81:20, 82:2, | 5:14, 5:16, 5:23, | 67:16, 67:25, | 34:18 |
| 82:11, 82:16 | 6:2, 6:5, 8:2, 8:3, | 68:19, 83:17 | litigation $_{[1]}-36: 17$ |
| 82:19, 83:2, 83:8, | 8:6, 8:7, 8:12, | legislator ${ }_{[1]}-4: 13$ | LLC ${ }_{[2]}-10: 13,26: 5$ |
| 83:14, 83:20, | 8:13, 8:16, 8:17, | legislators ${ }_{[1]}$ | LLP $_{[4]}-7: 13,7: 14$, |
| 84:2, 84:14 | 4:14 | 77:1 | 7:15, 7:24 |
| 84:17, 84:23 | 14:20, 17:23, | Legislators ${ }_{[1]}$ - | local ${ }_{[2]}-62: 24$, |
| 85:2, 86:2, 86:11, | 27:19, 29:6, 29:7, | 31:6 | 64:20 |
| 86:14 | 30:5, 30:6, 33:13, | LEGISLATURE $_{[1]}$ - | location ${ }_{[1]}-62: 2$ |
| Kopel $_{[1]}-6: 9$ | 50:24, 54:22, | 1:2 | locks ${ }_{[1]}-9: 16$ |
| L | 62:6, 67:15, 76:7, | Legislature ${ }_{[4]}$ - | look ${ }_{[2]}$ - 41:14, |
| L. $\mathbf{K}_{[2]}-7: 16,56: 20$ | 83:18, 83:19 | 36:7 | looked $_{[1]}-56: 19$ |
| lacks ${ }_{[1]}-77: 19$ | LEGISLATOR ${ }_{[68]}$ - | LEIMONE ${ }_{[1]}$ | ooking ${ }_{[7]}-51: 14$, |
| LAH $_{[2]}-7: 15,32: 3$ | 2:4, 2:5, 2:6, 2:7, | 79:10 | 52:2, 53:10, 56:5, |
| Land $_{[1]}-7: 20$ | 2:8, 2:9, 2:10, | Leimone $_{[1]}-79: 10$ | 62:25, 63:20, 68:3 |
| Lane ${ }_{[2]}-77: 9$, | 2:11, 4:8, 4:15 | less $_{[1]}-20: 15$ | Lookout ${ }_{[1]}-55: 23$ |
| 77:19 | 5:15, 5:18, 5:22 | level $_{[1]}-52: 8$ | Lorenzo ${ }_{[2]}$ - 87:17, |
| lanes $_{[1]}-69: 9$ | 6:4, 6:7, 11:19, | Lewis ${ }_{[1]}-50: 3$ | 87:18 |
| language $_{[1]}-17: 10$ | 12:4, 12:12, 13:2, | LIBERT $_{[12]}-3: 13$ | LORENZO |
| lapse $_{[1]}-65: 24$ | 13:8, 13:16, | :7, 27:24, 31:5, | 1:25, 87: |
| larger $_{[2]}-14: 2$, | 13:21, 14:4, 14:8, | :4, 33:4, 33:17, | losing ${ }_{[1]}-64: 22$ |
| 16:21 | 14:15, 14:18 | 34:15, 36:5, | low ${ }_{[1]}-38: 13$ |
| largest ${ }_{[1]}-41: 22$ | 14:24, 15:5, | 36:20, 37:9, 37:22 | lower $_{[1]}-43: 16$ |
| last $_{[2]}-20: 19,72: 3$ | 15:12, 15:17, | bert ${ }_{[1]}-31: 6$ | lowest ${ }_{[2]}-9: 19$, |
| late ${ }_{[2]}-39: 22$, | 16:2, 16:8, 16:2 | licenses ${ }_{[1]}-36: 6$ | 38:16 |
| 61:11 | 7:19, | Lido $_{[3]}-50: 15$ | utz ${ }_{[8]}-38: 7,41: 6$ |
| Laura $_{[1]}-5: 23$ | 17:24, 18:6, | 55:18, 55:1 | $43: 5$ |
| LAURA $_{[1]}-2: 8$ | 18:16, 18:22, | $\operatorname{lien}_{[1]}-84: 12$ | 60:6, 67:16, 70:5 |
| Law $_{[2]}-7: 15,32: 3$ | 27:21, 28:6, | liens ${ }_{[1]}-84: 6$ | LUTZ $_{\text {[35] }}-3: 7$ |
| lawsuit ${ }_{[1]}-28: 5$ | 33:10, 33:14, | Life $_{[1]}-82: 5$ | 38:6, 41:5, 43:5 |
| lead $_{[1]}-4: 7$ | :13, | lighted ${ }_{[2]}-67: 18$, | 45:5, 50:4, 51:14, |
| Leader $_{[1]}-4: 7$ | :25, 52:3, | 67:21 | $52: 14,53: 2,54: 7,$ |
| learning $_{[1]}-4: 22$ | :23, 53:18, | lighting ${ }_{[3]}-62: 20$, | 54:14, 54:20, |
| left $_{[1]}-26: 10$ | , | 62:22, 67:23 | 55:7, 55:22, 56:6, |
| LEFT $_{[1]}-2: 9$ | 54:24, 55:2, | limit ${ }_{[1]}-26: 8$ | 57:3, 57:5, 57:11, |


| 5 | MARY ${ }_{[1]}-3: 15$ | m | m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Mary ${ }_{[1]}$ - 20:7 | 16:20 |  |
| 62:10, 62:22 | materials ${ }_{[3]}$ | Metamorphic | 29:5, 30:3, 30:4 |
| 63:22, 64:4 | 38:11, 38:1 | 8:10, 10:7, 10:13, | 82:6, 82:7, 83:18, |
| 65:20, 66:16 | :1 | 10:17 | 86:4 |
| :3, | ```Matinecock [2] - 77:6, 77:17 matter [2] - 31:10, 36:21 maximum [4] -``` | ```MICHAEL }\mp@subsup{[\mp@code{[1] - 2:13}}{}{\prime microburst [1] - 51:20``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { move }_{[8]}-17: 8, \\ & 52: 15,55: 13, \\ & 57: 6,60: 15,65: 5 \\ & 66: 7,70: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| 70:16, 71:6 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | middle $^{11}$ - 63:19 |  |
|  |  |  | $\text { moving }_{[1]}-55: 10$ |
| M\&J ${ }_{[2]}-7: 16,49$ |  | 51:10, 66:23 |  |
| machines ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 15,15: 7 \\ & 20: 20,58: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Milber }_{[1]}-31: 3 \\ & \text { Milberg }_{[1]}-7: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MR }_{[61]}-24: 9, \\ & 24: 20,24: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| main $_{[1]}-17:$ | Maximum $_{[1]}-15: 2$ |  |  |
| maintain ${ }_{[3]}-41$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { McLean }_{[4]}-7: 16, \\ 50: 3,55: 8,56: 20 \end{gathered}$ | million $_{[4]}-11: 13$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:20, 24:25, } \\ & \text { 26:4, 26:17, 27:7, } \end{aligned}$ |
| :4, 44: |  | 12:13, 43:9, 72:10 | 27:24, 31:5, 32:4, |
| maintai | $50: 3,55: 8,56: 20$ <br> Meadowbrook ${ }_{[1]}$ - | Mineola $_{[1]}-1: 18$ | 3:4, 33:17, |
| 66:21 | Meadowbrook ${ }_{[1]}$ - 47:12 | $\operatorname{mini}_{[6]}-31: 9,32: 5,$ | 4:15, 36:5 |
| nt | mean $_{[2]}-33: 15$, | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 6,33: 6,33: 15 \\ & 34: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 36:20, 37:9 |
| 45:2 | 52:8 |  | 7:22, 38:6, 39:5, |
| mainte | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEETING }_{[2]}-1: 4, \\ & 7.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minority }{ }_{[2]}-4: 7,10 \\ 71 \cdot 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 8,41: 5,43: 5, \\ & 5: 5,47: 5,48: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| 39:10, 40:3, 41:8 |  |  |  |
| 41:9, 43:8, 43:18, | $\text { meeting }_{[5]}-16: 13$ | 74:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 5,47: 5,48: 4 \\ & 9: 4,50: 4,51: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
| :8 | meeting $_{[5]}-16: 13$, 52:9, 56:10, | modify $_{[1]}-67: 10$ | $2: 14,53: 2,54: 7$ |
| major ${ }_{[1]}$ | $56: 11,56: 25$ | moment $_{[1]}-4: 18$ | 4:14, 54:20, <br> 5:7, 55:22, 56:6, |
| Majority ${ }_{[1]}-74: 1$ | Meetinghouse ${ }_{[1]}-$ 60:21 | Monday $^{[1]}$ - 1:22 |  |
| Makris ${ }_{[2]}-7: 14$, |  | money ${ }_{[6]}-12: 24$,16:3, 17:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 7,55: 22,56: 6, \\ & 7: 3,57: 5,57: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| 31:3 | meetings $_{[1]}-58: 12$ |  | $7: 14,58: 6,60: 5,$ |
| manage $_{[1]}-39: 25$ | Member $_{[1]}-5: 20$ | $43: 20,43: 22,55: 5$ | 60:25, 61:23, |
| management ${ }_{[4]}$ 45.20, 49:6.69.7 | members ${ }_{[15]}-8: 20$, | month $_{[3]}-15: 22$, | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 10,62: 22, \\ & 3: 22,64: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 45: 20,49: 6,69: 7 \\ & 72: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 29:10, 30:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 3,56: 8 \\ & \text { months } \\ & {[3]-50: 11,} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 22,64: 4, \\ & 5: 20,66: 16 \\ & 7: 22,68: 3,69: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| M | 75:3, 76:10, $78: 5$ | $52: 21,52: 24$ |  |
| 0, | 79:18, 80:12, <br> 81:14, 82:13, |  | 0:4, 70:16, 71:6, |
| -6 |  | morning $_{[1]}-63: 9$ morphed $_{[1]}-51: 18$ | 71:10, 71:14,72:5, 79:10, 84:9, |
| manned $_{[1]}-45$ |  | Moskowitz ${ }_{[2]}$ |  |
| 55. |  | most ${ }_{\text {[1 }}$ |  |
| marked $_{[1]}-63: 1$ | mentioned |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS }_{[4]}-20: 7,22: 6, \\ & 23: 3,77: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 38: | - | Motion ${ }_{[7]}$-29:6, |  |
| m |  |  | Mule $_{[1]}-4: 13$ <br> MULE $_{[1]}-4: 15$ |
| 63:15 | . | 80 |  |


|  | 71.9 nowhere ${ }_{11}$ - 12.23 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 25,39: 3, \\ & 41: 21,51: 9,52: 6 \\ & 53: 25,58: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nelson }_{[5]}-60: 3 \\ & 60: 9,64: 5,64: 15 \\ & 65: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number }_{[7]}-70: 2, \\ 79: 2,80: 2,81: 2, \end{gathered}$ |  |
| municipal ${ }_{[1]}$ |  |  |  |
| 7:16 |  | $\begin{gathered} 82: 3,83: 2,84: 2 \\ \text { number }_{[4]}-20: 13, \end{gathered}$ | 9: |
| municipality ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\mathbf{N E W}_{[1]}-87: 4$ |  | $66: 10,67: 3$ <br> one's ${ }_{[1]}-4: 17$ <br> ongoing ${ }_{[1]}-60: 14$ <br> open $_{[1]}-67: 5$ <br> operating $_{[1]}-59: 5$ |
| 62:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New }_{[8]}-1: 18, \\ & \text { 22:11, } 36: 9 \\ & 45: 18,64: 19 \\ & 65: 25,70: 3,87: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 22,74: 15,77: 3 \\ & \text { NV5 }_{[2]}-7: 21,70: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| music $_{[4]}-12: 18$, |  |  |  |
| 22, 13:18 |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | O |  |
| MWBE $_{[1]}-41: 12$ | $\text { new }_{[10]}-22: 8 \text {, }$ | obviously ${ }_{[3]}$ -$52: 17,56: 9,61: 19$ |  |
| Myers ${ }_{[1]}-27: 9$ | 34:21, 44:10, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { operation }_{[1]}- \\ & 45: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 3,55: 15 \\ & 60: 24,60: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{OF}_{[3]}-3: 14,87: 4,$ |  |
|  |  | 87:6 | operations ${ }_{[1]}-$ |
| N | , 8 | Office ${ }_{[4]}-24: 5$ | $45: 22$ |
| name ${ }_{[3]}$ - 17:7 | newly ${ }_{[1]}-24: 20$ | 24:10, 31:7, 84:11 | opportunity ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 20:7, 47:6 | newspapers ${ }_{[2]}$ | OFFICE ${ }_{[2]}-3: 11$, | 51:6 |
| names $_{[1]}-71: 18$ | 84:5, 84:13 | 3:13 | pposed ${ }_{[15]}-8: 24$, |
| Nassau $_{[19]}-7: 17$ | next ${ }_{[7]}-10: 3$ | office ${ }_{[2]}-17: 12$, | 29:14, 30:12, |
| 10:6, $24 \cdot 5$ | 21 | 64:14 | :19, 74:5, 75 |
| 24:6, 24:13, | 61:5, 74:10 | OFFICER $_{[1]}-1: 9$ | 6:14, 78:9 |
| 36:10, 38:7, 41:6, | Next ${ }_{[8]}-20: 2,22: 2$ | Officer $^{[1]}$ - 20:12 | 79:21, 80:16, |
| 43:5, 45:6, 50:5, | :3, 39:3 | OFFICIAL ${ }_{[1]}-1: 25$ | 1:18, 82:17, |
| :11, 60:6, | 41:2, 43:3, 47:3 | OMB ${ }_{[3]}-3: 12$, | 3:15, 84:24, |
| :17, 61:22 | NICOLELLO | 18:13, 26 | 86:12 |
| 69:5, 70:5, 77:14 | 1:8, 2:4, 2:6 | on-call ${ }_{[14]}-34: 14$, | tion ${ }_{[2]}-11: 2$ |
| NASSAU ${ }_{[2]}-1: 2$, | NICOLLELO | 3-18 | 58:2 |
| 87:6 | :2, 76 | 34:25, 53:21, | tions ${ }_{[2]}-11: 14$ |
| nature ${ }_{[1]}-61$ | night ${ }_{[1]}-61: 11$ | 22, 55:8, 60:9, | 12:23 |
| Navidad ${ }_{[1]}-15: 21$ | Nine $_{[1]}-10: 16$ | :4, 67:8, 70:8, | ${ }^{[4]}$ |
| near ${ }_{[1]}-12$ | NOLAN ${ }_{[6]}-3: 1$ | 70:23, 72: | :12, 9:21, 65:20 |
| need ${ }_{[8]}-33$ | .9, 24.20 | $\mathrm{II}_{[1]}-50$ | Order $_{[1]}-39: 9$ |
| 41:24, 51:11 | 25, 26:4, $26:$ | on-calls ${ }_{[1]}-55: 15$ | ordinary ${ }_{[1]}-67: 20$ |
| 54:5, 56:13, 65:3, | Nolan $_{[1]}-24: 10$ | cee ${ }_{[1]}-52: 14$ | original ${ }_{[2]}-43: 13$, |
| 10, 83:17 | n | 3 | 64:1 |
| needed $^{[1]}$ - 11:7 | Noon ${ }_{[1]}-15: 23$ | 52:21, 54:13, | iginally ${ }_{[4]}$ |
| needs ${ }_{[2]}-22: 13$ | Notary ${ }_{[1]}-87: 8$ | 57:8, 76:8 | 43:15, 44:6, 44:8, |
| 19 | noted $_{[1]}-51: 9$ | 10:17, | 67:6 |
| negotiated | notes $_{[1]}-87: 12$ | 12:10, | tcome ${ }_{[1]}-56: 11$ |
| 40:7 | November ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 13.25 | tfall ${ }_{[1]}-53: 13$ |
| neighborhood ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 1:22, 87:15 | 14:6, 16:18 | outfalls $_{[1]}-51: 19$ |


| outflow $_{[1]}-51: 9$ | pavement ${ }_{[3]}$ - | personal ${ }_{[6]}-7: 9$, | $\begin{gathered} 53: 20,54: 6,54: 9 \\ \text { potential }_{[1]}-11: 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { outside }_{[1]}-61:$ | 38:12, 63:3, 63:15 | 10:5, 10:12, 32:5, |  |
| $01$ | pay ${ }_{[5]}-43: 10$, | 33:5, 33:2 | Powell $_{[1]}-61: 1$ |
| 43:11, 50:13 | 63:11, 65:20 | Personal ${ }_{[4]}-20: 3$, | power ${ }_{[2]}-68: 6$, |
| overall ${ }_{[3]}-43: 17$ | 66:5, 67:11 | 22:3, 24:4, 27:5 | 68:8 |
| 50:9, 61:17 | payment ${ }_{[1]}-64: 13$ | philosophical ${ }_{[1]}$ | practices ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| overhead $^{[1]}$ - 67:23 | Payments ${ }_{[1]}$ | 64:23 | 27:10, 27:11, $28: 3$ |
| owns ${ }_{[1]}-39: 16$ | 11:10 | picked $_{[1]}-64: 14$ | Pratt ${ }_{[2]}-7: 3,48$ |
|  | Pedes | pipe $_{[1]}-52: 18$ | prayer $_{[1]}-17: 5$ |
| $P$ | 4:6 | pipes ${ }_{[3]}-51: 9$, | Precinct $^{[1]}$ - 71:13 |
| p.m ${ }_{[3]}-1:$ | Peninsula ${ }_{[2]}$ | 51:12, 53:7 | presentation |
| 74:12, 86:18 | 70:19, 71:16 | piping ${ }_{[1]}-77: 7$ | 9:2 |
| P. $\mathbf{M}_{[1]}-2: 9$ | people ${ }_{[4]}-14: 5$ | pistol ${ }_{[3]}-36: 6$, | presented ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| paid $_{[1]}-64: 17$ | 34:9, 36:25, 63:9 | 37:1 | 55:21 |
| pandemic ${ }_{[4]}-64: 8$ | per $_{[5]}-11: 11$ | place $_{[1]}-66: 12$ | Presenting ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 64:10, 66:3 | :14 | Plaintiff ${ }_{[1]}-27: 25$ | 10:11 |
| panel $_{[1]}-34: 5$ | 58:23, 74:18 | plan $_{[1]}-24: 8$ | PRESIDING ${ }_{[1]}-1: 9$ |
| Park ${ }_{[3]}-61: 4,82: 4$, | Peralta ${ }_{[1]}$ - 33:6 | Plan ${ }_{111}-64: 7$ | previous ${ }_{[3]}-27: 8$, |
| 83:4 | percentag | planned ${ }_{[1]}-44: 6$ | 74:16, 84: |
| PARKS $_{[1]}-3: 5$ | 18:12 | Planning ${ }_{[2]}-58: 7$, | Previously ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| Parks ${ }_{[3]}-10: 6$ | Perfect $_{{ }_{[1]}-57: 4}$ | 58:12 | 20:14 |
| 10:11, 10:14 | performe | Pledge $_{[2]}-4: 5$, | price ${ }_{[1]}-47: 9$ |
| parks ${ }_{[2]}-10: 22$ | 31:10 | 4:10 | prime ${ }_{[1]}-61: 2$ |
| 18:1 | period ${ }_{[2]}-50: 11$ | PLLC ${ }_{[1]}-7: 1$ | problem ${ }_{[5]}-43: 18$, |
| Parkway ${ }_{[4]}-47: 12$, | 4:10 | Plousadis ${ }_{[2]}$ | 51:7, 51:15, |
| 70:10, 70:16, | periods $_{[1]}-11: 3$ | 7:15, 31:3 | 63:19, 77:18 |
| 71:17 | permissible ${ }_{[1]}$ | Point ${ }_{[1]}-55: 23$ | problems ${ }_{[1]}-61: 6$ |
| Part ${ }_{[1]}-43: 17$ | 2:20 | Police ${ }_{[4]}-9: 6$, | process $_{[5]}-4: 23$, |
| part ${ }_{[7]}-4: 20,4: 24$ | permit $_{[3]}-55$ | :10, 27:12, $28: 2$ | 32:7, 34:4, 66:17, |
| 51:15, 51:24 | :12, | OLICE ${ }_{[1]}-3: 6$ | 66:18 |
| 52:5, 53:9, 53:16 | permits ${ }_{[2]}-36: 7$ | pe ${ }_{[5]}-60: 3$, | procured $_{[1]}-44: 8$ |
| participation ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 37:11 | 60:10, 64:5, | procurement ${ }_{[6]}$ - |
| 59:8 | permitting $_{[1]}-53: 6$ | 64:16, 65:4 | 33:16, 33:18, |
| particular ${ }_{[7]}-14: 2$, | PERRAKIS ${ }_{[2]}-3: 9$, | popular $_{[1]}-61: 10$ | 4:3, 38:10, 80:4, |
| 36:21, 36:22, | 58:6 | portal $_{[1]}-66: 21$ | 82:4 |
| 51:16, 64:24, | Perrakis $_{[1]}-58: 6$ | portion ${ }_{[6]}-55: 9$, | ${\text { produce }{ }_{[1]}-40: 9}^{\text {a }}$ |
| 67:18 | P | 6:7, 60:15, | product $^{[1]}$ - $39: 19$ |
| party ${ }_{[1]}-26: 6$ | 20:6, 20:1 | 0:16, 70:12, | Professional ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| past ${ }_{[3]}-56: 22$, | person ${ }_{[3]}-13: 24$, | 70:13 | 58:9 |
| 71:14, 71:16 | 17:6, 36:25 | possible $_{[4]}-9: 1$ | professional ${ }_{[2]}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:5, 69:6 } \\ & \text { program } \\ & 22: 14 \\ & \text { Program }_{[1]}-4: 24: 14 \\ & \text { programs }_{[1]}- \\ & 64: 20 \\ & \text { Project }_{[2]}-60: 18, \\ & 60: 20 \\ & \text { project }_{[16]}-41: 14, \\ & 45: 16,50: 14, \\ & 50: 15,51: 16, \\ & 51: 23,51: 25, \\ & 52: 5,55: 9,61: 25, \\ & 64: 7,64: 24,65: 5, \\ & 65: 13,66: 7,77: 21 \\ & \text { projects }_{[4]}-50: 13, \\ & 53: 17,60: 13, \\ & 60: 16 \\ & \text { promoters }_{[3]}- \\ & 10: 15,11: 20, \\ & 13: 17 \\ & \text { properly }_{[1]}-37: 10 \\ & \text { proposal }_{[2]}- \\ & 58: 14,58: 16 \\ & \text { proposals }_{[1]}- \\ & 10: 16 \\ & \text { proposed }_{[3]}- \\ & 33: 24,34: 6,58: 17 \\ & \text { proposers }_{[2]}- \\ & 10: 18,34: 6 \\ & \text { protecting }_{[1]}-9: 15 \\ & \text { Provide }_{[1]}-83: 4 \\ & \text { provide }_{[4]}-11: 21, \\ & 13: 18,15: 6,39: 18 \\ & \text { provided }_{[1]}-58: 19 \\ & \text { provider }_{[1]}-22: 11 \\ & \text { provides }_{[1]]}-9: 17 \\ & \text { Public }_{[12]}-4: 19, \\ & 38: 3,38: 8,41: 7, \\ & 43: 6,50: 6,58: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 7,70: 6,72: 6, \\ & 77: 15,87: 9 \\ & \text { PUBLIC }_{[1]}-3: 14 \\ & \text { public }_{[9]}-55: 21, \\ & 56: 10,56: 11, \\ & 56: 14,56: 25, \\ & 57: 10,58: 13, \\ & 73: 3,74: 10 \\ & \text { publication }_{[1]}- \\ & 84: 12 \\ & \text { PULITZER }_{[9]}- \\ & 2: 13,5: 12,5: 16, \\ & 5: 20,5: 23,6: 2, \\ & 6: 5,6: 8,6: 11 \\ & \text { pulled }_{[1]]}-17: 8 \\ & \text { Purchase }_{[1]}-39: 9 \\ & \text { purchase }_{[5]}-9: 12, \\ & 9: 21,38: 12, \\ & 39: 16,83: 4 \\ & \text { purchasing }_{[1]}- \\ & 79: 5 \\ & \text { purely }_{[1]}-34: 12 \\ & \text { pursuant }_{[2]]}-32: 6, \\ & 33: 22 \\ & \text { put }_{[4]}-44: 2,44: 12, \\ & 53: 17,74: 8 \end{aligned}$ <br> PWGEN0175 ${ }_{[1]}-$59:6$\mathbf{Q}$ <br> Quaker $_{[1]}-60: 21$ <br> qualified $_{[2]}-33: 24$, <br> $34: 5$ <br> questioning <br> $[1]-$ <br> 14:19 <br> questions <br> 9:24, 17:10, <br> 20:25, 22:23, <br> 25:3, 26:14, <br> $27: 16,27: 20$, |  | ```\mp@subsup{rebid [1] - 50:20}{}{[}=0 receipts [3] - 15:9, 18:11, 18:15 receive}\mp@subsup{}{[1]}{-45:16 received [3] - 10:16, 26:11, 58:14 receives [1]-77:21 recently [2] - 39:24, 61:9 recess [2] - 74:9, 74:11 record [3] -27:23, 73:21, 76:4 recording(1] - 58:11 recovery (1] - 9:18 recruiting [1] 14:22 rectify }\mp@subsup{}{[1]}{}-77:1 referrals [1]-22:17 regard [2] -17:13, 79:5 regarding [2] - 11:7, 11:8 regular [1] -54:4 regularly (1) - 51:8 rehab [2] - 47:10, 49:7 reimbursement [1] - 45:16 reject [1] - 66:24 related [5] - 36:6, 53:13, 79:6, 80:6, 82:5 relation [11 - 77:6 relationship [[] - 40:2 remediation [1] - 77:23 renew [2] - 11:2,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| seeking $_{[3]}-10: 14$, | sheeting $^{[1]}$ - $38: 10$ | 41:13 | 71:15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:11, 20:20 | Sherman ${ }_{[1]}-31: 9$ | solutions ${ }_{[1]}-34: 6$ | State ${ }_{[8]}-22: 11$, |
| seeks ${ }_{[1]}-26$ | Shore $_{[3]}-50: 14$, | someone ${ }_{[2]}-4: 14$, | 36:9, 45:18, |
| seem $_{[1]}-63: 11$ | 51:5, 66:1 | 51:10 | :12, 61: |
| Seiden ${ }_{[2]}-7: 15$, | shorter $_{[1]}-68: 14$ | soon $_{[1]}-54: 6$ | 64:20, 65:25, 87:9 |
| 1:4 | shoulders ${ }_{[1]}-69: 9$ | sorry ${ }_{[5]}-12: 9$ | STATE $_{[1]}-87$ |
| selected $_{[2]}-55: 8$, | Siela ${ }_{[1]}-5: 14$ | 19, 27:22 | states $_{[1]}-55: 16$ |
| 58:15 | SIELA ${ }_{[1]}-2: 11$ | 51:5, 71:9 | Station ${ }_{[1]}-71: 13$ |
| se | $\boldsymbol{s i g n}{ }_{[1]}-17$ | Sorry ${ }_{[2]}-8: 14$, | stenographic |
| 34:12, 84:13 | signage ${ }_{[2]}-63: 4$, | 71:18 | 87:12 |
| senior ${ }_{[2]}-15: 21$ | 63:1 | source ${ }_{[2]}-22: 11$, | still ${ }_{[3]}-20: 16$, |
| 2 | signal $_{[1]}-44: 9$ | :1 | 26:9, 65:17 |
| sense ${ }_{[3]}-66: 6$, | signals ${ }_{[3]}-41: 10$, | South ${ }_{[1]}-48: 11$ | stop $_{[1]}-66: 2$ |
| 0:25 | 41:21, 43:24 | speaking ${ }_{[1]}-37: 15$ | storm ${ }_{[2]}-51: 20$, |
| sensitive $_{[1]}-56: 18$ | signifi | special $_{[1]}-22: 13$ | 77:18 |
| sent ${ }_{[1]}-39: 23$ | 43:16 | specializ | stormwater ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| series ${ }_{[1]}-15: 22$ | signify ${ }_{[2]}-75: 2$ | 10:19 | 77:8, 77:20 |
| Service ${ }_{[2]}-24: 4$, | 76:8 | specializes $_{[1]}-$ | Stormwater ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 27:5 | signing ${ }_{[1]}-38: 10$ | 13:24 | 81:4 |
| service ${ }_{[8]}-10: 5$, | signs ${ }_{[2]}-63: 7$, | specifically ${ }_{[1]}$ - | straight $_{[1]}-61: 12$ |
|  | 63:8 | 6:16 | stream ${ }_{[2]}-71: 10$, |
| 47:10, 49:5, 49:7, | Similarly ${ }_{[1]}-30: 3$ | speed $_{[1]}-43: 25$ | 71:12 |
| 69:6, 69:8 | similarly ${ }_{[1]}-36: 24$ | $\text { spend }_{[7]}-12: 16$ | streets ${ }_{[2]}-62: 8$, |
| services ${ }_{[11]}-7: 9$, | site $_{\text {[1] }}-9: 18$ | 24, 13: | 62:10 |
| 11:22, 22:18 | situated ${ }_{[1]}-36: 2$ | 13:10, 13:13 | stretch $_{[1]}-70: 20$ |
| 33:22, 34:2, 36:5, | situation $_{[1]}-77: 23$ | 15:4, 43:20 | study ${ }_{[12]}-50: 17$ |
| 48:5, 50:21, | $\boldsymbol{s i x}_{[2]}-10: 18,12: 10$ | spending | 51:17, 51:18, |
| 58:11, 58:18, $83: 5$ | sixth $_{[1]}-11: 24$ | 12:13, 13:15 | 55:9, 55:10, |
| Services ${ }_{[4]}-6: 22$, | slow $_{[1]}-63: 16$ | $\text { spent }_{[2]}-15: 16$ | $55: 20,56: 7$ |
| 20:4, 22:3, 58:10 | smaller $_{[1]}-41: 2$ | 43:21 | $60: 15,70: 11$ |
| session ${ }_{[1]}-74: 14$ | $\text { snow }_{[2]}-53: 6$ | $\mathbf{S S}_{[1]}-87: 5$ | $70: 12,70: 22$ |
| sessions ${ }_{[1]}-4: 4$ | $53: 7$ | staff $_{[1]}-58: 18$ | stuff ${ }_{[1]}-63: 11$ |
| se | soca $_{[1]}-16: 16$ | standard ${ }_{[3]}-40: 6$, | SUB $_{[1]}-2: 6$ |
| sets ${ }_{[1]}-66: 25$ | software ${ }_{[7]}-9: 13$ | 40:8, 63:6 | sunlight ${ }_{[1]}-68: 1$ |
| seven $_{[1]}-41: 25$ | 39:11, 39:16, | start ${ }_{\text {[9] }}-4: 5,5: 7$, | Sunrise ${ }_{[5]}-69: 10$, |
| several ${ }_{[2]}$ - 26:9, | 39:18, 45:10 | 5:8, 6:13, 6:19, | 70:19, 71:13, |
| 41:17 | solar ${ }_{[2]}-68: 7,68: 9$ | 9:5, 27:4, 38:4, | 71:15, 71:16 |
| Sewer $_{[1]}-81$ | sole ${ }_{44}-22: 10$, | 41:4 | supervisor ${ }_{[1]}-$ |
| shall ${ }_{[1]}-10: 25$ | 39:15, 39:17, | $\operatorname{arted}_{[1]}-51:$ | 44:9 |
| Shared $_{[1]}-6: 21$ |  | starting ${ }_{[2]}-59: 3$ | supplemented ${ }_{[1]}$ - |


| 16:3 | 66:20 | 60:15, 61:18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| supplier $_{[1]}-3$ | $\mathbf{e}_{\text {[1] }}-56: 20$ | 1, 70:1 | $1: 1$ |
| support ${ }_{44}-22: 15$, | thi ${ }_{[1]}-12: 5$ | 0:21 | 58:21, 70:22 |
| 39:10, 39:19, 40:3 | third ${ }_{[1]}-26:$ | io | unit ${ }_{[1]}-47$ |
| Supreme ${ }_{[2]}-36: 8$, | THOMAS ${ }_{[1]}-3: 8$ | 87:12 | University ${ }_{[3]}$ |
| 36:15 | Thomas ${ }_{[3]}-47: 6$ | Transportation ${ }_{[1]}$ | 7:12, 22:5, 22:10 |
| Surveying $_{[1]}-7: 20$ | 14, | 53:24 | unlikely ${ }_{[1]}-56: 17$ |
| Surveyors ${ }_{[1]}-60: 4$ | Thorne ${ }_{[2]}-77: 9$ | Traurig $_{[3]}-7: 12$, | unprotected $_{[1]}$ - |
| Swamp ${ }_{[2]}-60: 22$, | 7:19 | 27:6, 27:13 | 65:7 |
| 62:18 | thoroug | travel ${ }_{[1]}$ - 69:9 | untable ${ }_{[1]}-8: 1$ |
| system $_{[3]}-39: 12$, | 53:25 | TREASURER'S ${ }_{[1]}$ | untabled $_{[1]}-9: 3$ |
| 66:23, 77:8 | three ${ }_{[7]}-10: 23$ | 3:11 | untabling ${ }_{[1]}-8: 18$ |
| systems $_{[1]}-41: 22$ | 1:3, 41:10, 54:2, | asurer's ${ }_{[1]}$ | up $_{\text {[10] }}-9: 13,13: 13$, |
| Systems ${ }_{[1]}-45: 9$ | 60:13, | 84:10 | 8:3, 18:19 |
|  |  | Triad ${ }_{[3]}-7: 18$ | :18, 55:19 |
|  | throug | 26:3, 26:5 | :14, 66:10 |
| ```table }\mp@subsup{}{4]}{}-8:12 8:14, 29:5, 30:4 tabled [2] - 16:25, 29:17 tabling}\mp@subsup{}{[3]}{}-11:6 29:8, 30:7 TAKEN [1] - 1:25 talent [1] -15:20 tally}\mp@subsup{[}{[1]}{-15:6 Tax [1] - 11:16 tax [3] - 13:3, 18:15, 84:6 Technology [2] - 39:7, 39:15 Term [1] - 72:10 term}\mp@subsup{[\mp@code{[] - 9:17,}}{}{\prime 10:23, 28:5, 39:20 terminate [1] - 10:25 terminology [1] - 28:4 terms [3] - 11:8, 44:11, 58:22 themselves }\mp@subsup{}{[1]}{-``` | 68:12 | -54:1 | :19, 71:1 |
|  | Thursday | trust $_{[1]}-41: 23$ | upgrades ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
|  | 13 | npike ${ }_{[1]}-69: 11$ | 39:1 |
|  | ticket $_{[1]}-39: 1$ | twice ${ }_{[1]}-4: 2$ | $\text { Ilize }{ }_{[3]}-50: 19,$ |
|  | timely ${ }_{[1]}-22: 1$ | two ${ }_{[12]}$ - 11:2 | 52:12, 70:25 |
|  | timewise $_{[1]}-65: 17$ | 2:3, 12: | V |
|  | TI | 8, 12:19, 24:3, | V |
|  | together $_{[1]}-44: 13$ | :1 | Valley ${ }_{[2]}$ - 71:10, |
|  | Total $_{[1]}-15: 1$ | 60:3, 68:1 | 71.1 |
|  | total $_{[7]}-9: 20$ | 50:1 | value $_{[2]}-11: 12$ |
|  | 12, | 13:18 | 58:19 |
|  | :11, 18:7, 40:2, | 15:1 | varies ${ }_{[2]}-16: 11$, |
|  | 8:2 | 12:2 | 18:1 |
|  | towards $_{[1]}-15: 1$ | pically ${ }_{[1]}-68: 4$ | various $_{[1]}-55: 15$ |
|  | tracking ${ }_{[1]}-39: 12$ | U | - |
|  | tracks ${ }_{[2]}-62: 11$ |  | cles $_{[1]}-38: 12$ |
|  | 62:1 | able $_{[1]}-64: 9$ | ndor ${ }_{[2]}-40: 2$, |
|  | Traffic $_{\text {[5] }}-41: 7$, | imous ${ }_{[6]}$ | 66:21 |
|  | 8, 50:6, 60:17, | 76:1 | ndors ${ }_{[5]}-10: 19$, |
|  | 60:19 | 79:24, 80:19 | 2:16, 33:25, |
|  | tr | 85:3, 86:1 | 34:10, 66:20 |
|  | 41:21, 43:24, | unanimously ${ }_{[2]}$ | venues $_{[1]}-13: 19$ |
|  | :6, 50:8 | 5:10, 81:2 | rbal ${ }_{\text {[4] }]}-8: 25$ |
|  | 50:16, 51:17, | der $_{[7]}-9: 21$ | 9:25, 19:2, 21:2, |


| 22:24, 25:4, | WBE $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 59:7 | Williston ${ }_{[2]}-82: 4$ | 26:9, 43:23, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:15, 27:17, | weather ${ }_{[2]}-52: 17$, | 83:3 | 56:19, 58:22, |
| 29:15, 30:13, | 53:6 | Wilson ${ }_{[2]}-7: 13$, | 61:7, 72:11 |
| 31:15, 32:10, | weatherwise ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 29:3 | York ${ }_{[8]}-1: 18$, |
| 35:7, 37:19, | 52:20 | wing $_{[1]}-17: 4$ | 22:11, 36:9, |
| 38:19, 42:7, | Wednesdays ${ }_{[1]}-$ | WITNESS $_{[1]}$ - | 45:18, 64:20, |
| 44:16, 46:3, | 15:22 | 87:13 | 65:25, 70:3, 87:10 |
| 47:16, 48:14, | week $_{[3]}-4: 21$, | won ${ }_{[1]}-33: 7$ | YORK $_{[1]}-87: 4$ |
| 49:13, 57:17, | 41:25, 54:13 | Woodland $_{[1]}-48: 8$ | youth $_{[1]}-22: 13$ |
| 59:11, 68:23, | weeks ${ }_{[1]}-68: 14$ | wop $_{[1]}-13: 25$ |  |
| 69:14, 71:22, | Welcome $_{[1]}-4: 3$ | word $_{[1]}-27: 10$ |  |
| 72:14, 73:5, | welcome $_{[3]}-5: 4$, | words $_{[1]}-36: 25$ |  |
| 73:20, 74:6, 75:8, | 14:11, 23:3 | Workers $_{[1]}-26: 6$ |  |
| 76:15, 78:2, | Welsbach ${ }_{[6]}-7: 2$, | Works $_{\text {[9] }}-6: 22$, |  |
| 78:10, 79:15, | 41:3, 42:3, 43:4, | 38:3, 38:8, 50:6, |  |
| 79:22, 80:17, | 45:4, 45:22 | 58:7, 60:7, 70:6, |  |
| 81:10, 81:19, | West $_{[1]}-50: 14$ | 72:7, 77:15 |  |
| 82:10, 82:18, | Wheeler $_{[3]}-70: 18$, | works ${ }_{[3]}-41: 7$, |  |
| 83:7, 83:16, | 71:4, 71:6 | 43:6, 68:16 |  |
| 84:16, 84:25, | WHEREOF $_{[1]}-$ | WORKS $_{[1]}-3: 14$ |  |
| 86:13 | 87:13 | worth $_{[1]}-41: 11$ |  |
| verbatim ${ }_{[1]}-58: 10$ | Whitton $_{[10]}-5: 17$, | written ${ }_{[2]}-13: 9$, |  |
| vicinity ${ }_{[1]}-70: 17$ | 8:3, 17:23, 30:5, | 44:8 |  |
| Village $_{[4]}-48: 9$, | 33:13, 50:24, | wrongness $^{[1]}$ - |  |
| 62:3, 77:5, 77:17 | 65:11, 79:9, 81:6, | 37:14 |  |
| vote $_{{ }_{[1]}-73: 3}$ | 84:8 | Y |  |
| W | WHITTON $^{[19]}$ - |  |  |
|  | 2:10, 5:18, 17:24, | year ${ }_{[24]}-11: 2$, |  |
| 65:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 18:6, 18:16, } \\ & \text { 18:22, 33:10 } \end{aligned}$ | 11:11, 12:5, |  |
| waiting $_{[1]}-66: 3$ | $33: 14,34: 13$ | 12:25, 14:25, |  |
| wall $_{[1]}-53: 15$ | $35: 3,50: 25,52: 3$ | $15: 25,16: 15$ |  |
| Wantagh ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 52:23, 53:18, | 20:14, 20:18, |  |
| 69:10, 80:3 | 54:8, 54:16, | 20:19, 20:22, |  |
| wants ${ }_{[2]}-56: 15$, | 65:12, 66:8, 67:12 | 22:20, 41:10, |  |
| 86:3 | whole ${ }_{[2]}-18: 14$, | 41:25, 43:12, |  |
| warning $_{[1]}-63: 6$ | 52:7 | 43:22, 58:20, |  |
| washed $_{[1]}-51: 21$ | wide $_{[1]}-65: 6$ | 58:23, 58:25, |  |
| ways $_{[2]}-20: 17$, | WILLIAM $_{[1]}-3: 6$ | 59:3, 59:7, 68:13 |  |
| 54:2 | William ${ }_{[1]}-9: 9$ | years ${ }_{[7]}-10: 24$, |  |

