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CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Welcome to the Nassau County Legislature. We have Committees today, and as always we start things off with the Pledge of Allegiance, and $I$ would ask my colleague, Legislator Denise Ford, to lead us in the pledge.
(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance is said.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Mike, could you call the roll for the Rules Committee, please?

CLERK PULITZER: Thank you, Presiding Officer. Roll call for the Rules Committee.

Legislator Siela Bynoe?
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Delia DeRiggi-Whitton?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Ranking Member Kevan Abrahams?

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
$\qquad$

CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Laura Schaefer?

CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John Giuffre?

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Vice Chairman
Howard Kopel?
VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Chairman Richard Nicolello?

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum, sir.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you.
As we always do, we will start
with the contracts portion of the Rules Committee:

A-32, A-34. Resolutions
authorizing the Commissioner of Shared Services to award and execute Purchase Orders or Blanket Purchase Orders between the County of Nassau and Radeco, Inc.; Nearmap, USA Inc.

B-32 a Resolution authorizing the County Executive to execute a contract between the county and Welsbach Electric Corp of LI.
$E-139, E-140, E-141, E-142$,
$E-143, E-144, E-146, E-147, E-148$, E-149, E-150 --
(Whereupon, off the record discussion.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right a little correction here. We're going to go ahead with those items and then we'll consider one separately.
$E-139, E-140, E-141, E-142$,
$E-143, E-144, E-146, E-147, E-148$, E-149, E-150. These are all resolutions authorizing the County Executive to execute a Personal

Services Agreement, or an amendment to a Personal Services Agreement between the County of Nassau and Sullivan and Cromwell; Milber Makris; Kendrick Law Group; Euro Lloyd Travel; 318 South; Marcum Technology; Drive Educated Drive

Informed; Michael T. Hopkins; Abrams, Fensterman; Pollock, Pollock, Isaac and De Ciccio; Aetna Life Insurance Company.

Motion by Deputy Presiding
Officer Kopel, seconded by Legislator Schaefer puts all those items before us.

First contract to be considered is with Information Technology, A-34-2023.

MR. JACOVINA: Joe Jacovina, Deputy Commissioner, Information Technology.

A-34-23 is to authorize and award the Purchase Order to Nearmap US, Inc.
for the licensing of Nassau County
imagery. Nearmap proactively captures
the full extent imagery of Nassau
County three times per year. This data is then hosted in the cloud for quick access via web based map applications.

All County agencies have access to this data, with primary uses being

IT, Assessment, ARC, DPW, Firecom, PD, and many others.

This is a sole source purchase as Nearmap owns the imagery and software and is the only vendor who exclusively manufactures, sells, and distributes this particular aerial image.

The term of the agreement is September 20 th, 2023 through September 19th, 2024 .

This Rules presentation is late due to problems obtaining disclosure forms from the vendor. All acceptable disclosure forms were received in November 2023 .

The total cost of the maintenance and support is $\$ 301,655.00$.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any
questions on this contract?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you, Joe.

MR. JACOVINA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Next contract is with Human Resources, E-150, Aetna Life Insurance Company.

MR. SOLEYMANZADEH: Richard Soleymanzadeh from the County Attorney's Office on behalf of Human Resources.

E-150-23, this is an amendment to execute a one year extension to the existing contract with Aetna through the end of 2024 . This amendment will seek to expand the existing Flexible Spending Account (FSA) administration to also include administration for a Health Reimbursement Account (HRA), as required by the recently negotiated CSEA Collective Bargaining Agreement. The total requested encumbrance is 6.4 million, which includes 2.1 million for the FSA and 4.3 million for the HRA funding and administrative costs. The total amount allocated for administration of the County's FSA program is inclusive of administrative

costs and the costs related to funding individual FSA accounts, which are then recouped by the county through payroll deductions. CSEA HRA funding for 2024 is $\$ 4$ million, as required by the
contract. And the remaining $\$ 300,000$
for administrative costs includes
processing of claims and related
reimbursement for participants. The HRA
will be available to the will be
available for active and eligible
retirees enrolled in the Excelsior
Plan. CSEA will determine how the $\$ 4$
million will be distributed amongst the
eligible population, and the county
will announce the amounts during open
enrollment. HRA funding amounts each
year in the future will be in
accordance with this collective
Bargaining Agreement. HRA monies can
only be used for medical and
prescription expenses only.
The procurement guidelines allow
the extension of a contract to ensure
Top Key Court Reporting, Inc., 516-414-3516 $=10=$
continuity of essential services until
the new contract is established. The
Department will conduct an RFP for the
administrative services, both FSA and
HRA, in 2024 to be effective January of
2025 .
The administrative services for
the $F S A$ services were reduced by $\$ 0.25$
per member as a result of this new
negotiated extension.
If you have any questions, I'd be
happy to answer.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any
questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All set.
Thanks again.
MR. SOLEYMANZADEH: Thank you.
Top Key Court Reporting, Inc., 516-414-3516 =11=

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Next two are with Public Works, B-32-23, Welsbach Electric Corp.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Good afternoon. Ken Arnold, Public works.

B-32 is a requirements contract for the Department's traffic signal improvement work. We had three bids and Welsback back was determined to be the lowest responsible bidder and MWBE is at $5 \%$.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right. Let's go to the next one, E-146.

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: E-146 is a contract amendment for the to for Drive Educated Drive. This contract provides educational programs for various areas including pedestrian safety, bike safety stop, DWI, school safety and car seats.

It's reimbursed through the Stop

| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | DWI program at $100 \%$ This amendment |
| 3 | extends the contract through the end of |
| 4 | 2024, with an also includes two |
| 5 | additional one year extensions at a |
| 6 | cost of \$45,000 a year. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any |
| 8 | questions on this one? |
| 9 | (Whereupon, no verbal response.) |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Nope. Thank |
| 11 | you, Ken. |
| 12 |  |
| 13 | ******* |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Next contracts are with the Police Department, starting with Radeco Inc.

INSPECTOR FIELD: Good afternoon. William Field Inspector, with the Police Department.

Item A-32-2023 is to authorize and award a purchase order for Spot Enterprise Investigation Robot For the Police Department's bomb unit. Radeco was identified as the lowest responsible bidder meeting specifications.

The maximum amount authorized under this purchase order is $\$ 432,820$. It is grant funded.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Any questions on this one?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Go on to the next, E-142.

INSPECTOR FIELD: Item E-142-2023. This is between the County and Euro Lloyd Travel LLC. This is an
amendment to an existing contract to provide travel services for various County departments. The amendment is to extend the term by one year and increase the maximum amount by $\$ 60,000$.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right.
Thanks. Any questions on this one?
Legislator Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Good day. How are you?

INSPECTOR FIELD: Good. How are you?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Good.
So we're increasing the amount.
Is this sending off people out for training?

INSPECTOR FIELD: This is for
travel training. A lot of this is
extraditions. It would be prisoner transports.

Generally, they will book the service, the travel, for example. And then depending upon what time they get reimbursed, they would give us a claim
voucher for payment. We would just compensate them and then they get a fee for the booking.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay.
So I was just shocked at the
increased amount for the one year period above the 60. Did $I$ hear that correctly?

INSPECTOR FIELD: It's $\$ 60,000$ for another year. Yes.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And we spend about that per year?

INSPECTOR FIELD: Approximately.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Wow. Okay.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Nope.
E-143.
INSPECTOR FIELD: E-143-23, this
is between the county and 318 South,
LLC. This is actually an amendment to a contract for maintenance and support
for the Department's Swift Justice system. Swift Justice is an electronic records database for case and arrests.

This contractor provides 24 hours a day, 365 days a year maintenance and support services.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any
questions on this contract?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
INSPECTOR FIELD: My last item is E-144-23. This is an amendment to an existing contract for support services for the Police Department's information technology network and security. It's with Marcum Technology. This will
extend the term of the agreement by two years. And the maximum amount will be increased to $\$ 420,000$.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay.
Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Could I ask you for a favor? Could we go back to the Public Works E-146, after this?


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Ken, if you would come back to the microphone.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi,
Ken. I'm not sure if you would know this, but Chris, and $I$ forget his last name, we do the car safety seats for the children. Has he been replaced? Is there is that program still in service?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Chris
Mistron was not replaced. However, the car seat program is being handled by PD directly. They do the program. In addition, we have our consultant, which I believe this is one of them that assists in that effort.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
That's what we're just trying to figure out because those are excellent programs. So who would we contact if the PD office?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Yes, I can get that information to you, but I believe PD handles it. They're the point of contact right now for that.

But they also use some of our grant funded consultants to assist.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And then as far as DPW programs like do you know what that entails or is it just a separate program or what. Well, for this you have drivers educated information for ending drunk driving?

COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: Yeah. This consultant provides educational materials. So if you needed something you'd let us know and we would get that information for you.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: SO do they offer a program like Chris did? COMMISSIONER ARNOLD: NO. I think they do a little bit. But most of that program is production of information. I mean, it's only a $\$ 47,000$ contract, so there's not a lot.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
was just curious why it was even under DPW and not the police. All right. So I'm going to try to set up a


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Next contracts with the County Attorney's Office. We're going to hold E-139 to the end, so we're going right to E-140, Milber, Makris, Plousadis \& Seiden.

MR. LIBERT: Good afternoon, legislators. Brian Libert from the County Attorney's Office.

E-140-23 is a contract with Milber, Makris for a case called Eden. This was a case involving allegations of false arrest and some conduct on the Police Department.

If you have any questions, I'm more than happy to answer the same, just to address the procurement as well as mini bid.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any questions on this?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Let's go to the next one, E-141.

MR. LIBERT: This is for the Kendrick Law Group to represent the

County and the County Attorney's
Office, obviously, in a case called
Thompson, which was a very significant motor vehicle accident case. And again this one was mini bid.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Describe for me what a mini bid is again.

MR. LIBERT: Sure. Yes.
We have an abbreviated process within the County Attorney's Office. Once firms are on what we call the qualified panel, we may then go to those firms on a sort of abbreviated basis and seek their proposals to do work, rather than doing a full RFP or RFQ that other departments sort of engage in.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay.
VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Just a quick question. The Department does not have in-house resources for this?

MR. LIBERT: This matter particularly. I mean, $I$ think when you say this, I would just address this

```
matter that I'm speaking to.
```

VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Well, it seems like there are a lot of them lately. It seems like there are a lot of outside counsel lately. I'm just wondering. What are the criteria now that you're using to take cases to outside counsel, as opposed to retaining them in house?

MR. LIBERT: I think it's a case by case basis. We've been pretty particular in not adopting a hard and fast rule, but in analyzing the cases as they come through, based on the resources that are available in that exact moment. And looking at the file, that's how the determination is made, rather than sort of having a strict rubric.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Legislator Schaefer then Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Hi. How are you?

MR. LIBERT: Good.
CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Just a quick question: About how many cases does the County Attorney's Office have on a regular basis? On average?

MR. LIBERT: I hate to be this
guy as the lawyer, but $I$ think you
would have to ask what regular is
because we have an influx. On a daily basis we receive, I would have to ask, but $I$ would think it would be at least a dozen notices of claim, maybe more. How many of those then end up into complaints or lawsuits? I wouldn't want to speculate, but those are numbers that exist and are available, just not at my fingertips.

CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Right.
That gives me a general idea of it's a dozen or more a day, and they have to be processed in whatever direction they go. I understand. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Just getting back to the mini bid idea, do you go through the procurement process that's required for other contracts?

MR. LIBERT: We follow a procurement policy. Yes. I think that's your question.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
just want to make sure that $I$ know it is part of it. But you do follow the same procedures as we would with any other contract, correct?

MR. LIBERT: Well, I guess it's again, it's sort of similar to Legislator Schaefer's question, where it's a bit difficult to answer because you say any other contract. Each one sort of has its own individualized path. They're all unique little snowflakes. Rather than having a generalized sort of set way of doing it. So we do follow the procurement policy. We're pretty particular about that. I can say that we've followed the
policy. I know procurement is here. So my answer is that we follow the policy as I read it and as it is written.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So
just $I$ guess I'm just trying to
understand what a mini bid is. Is it
similar to what you would consider on-call type of situation?

MR. LIBERT: No. In fact, I think you asked me this exact question last month. So an on-call contract would be something where we came to you and we asked you as the Legislative Body to approve something for, I'll just say, the Smith Law firm, as if that existed for $\$ 1$ million. And they're going to do all of the work that's under that contract. That's not what we're doing here. We're simply asking for a singular case. So they're on-call would be once the Legislative Body approves it, we could then either let them directly or do a mini bid at that time. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All
right. I guess that's what I'm still not quite comprehending. What the difference between a regular assignment or request for coverage, or a mini bid is. Just sum up what a mini bid is.

MR. LIBERT: Sure. So pursuant to
the procurement policy, and Robert Cleary can certainly correct me if $I$ got this wrong, my understanding is that the County Attorney is permitted to establish a qualified panel of firms, and then from that qualified panel may solicit mini bids on an ordinary basis. And there may be some cases where there are extraordinary basis that a mini bid is not performed, but the one that we're speaking about right now, a mini bid was performed. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I might ask you about it again some other day.

MR. LIBERT: Any time.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Once they're selected, they have to provide the same
disclosures and paperwork that other contractors have to submit?

MR. LIBERT: Absolutely. $100 \%$.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay.
All right. Any other questions on Kendrick Law Group?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: The next one is E-145, Sullivan \& Cromwell. This is
not the one relating to the Hofstra
litigation. This is related to the case called Alan Pawelsky and Ace Recycling versus the County of Nassau.

MS. LOCURTO: Good afternoon, legislators. Lisa Locurto, Nassau County Attorney's Office.

Yes, this is a personal service contract to retain special counsel to defend the all the county and all named county defendants in the Pawelsky matter.

In the Pawelsky matter, the plaintiffs have brought federal action. Various claims relating to the seizure

```
of property pursuant to a warrant
issued in connection with a County
investigation into the plaintiff's role
in the theft of catalytic converters.
You may have seen this in the
newspaper. It was a long investigation
and it was highly discussed in the
press. The plaintiffs sought a
preliminary injunction requiring the
return of the seized property and
damages. It was brought in May of this
year through an order to show cause
that we were required to respond to,
because of the need to immediately
respond.
    There is an ongoing criminal
investigation in contemporaneous with
the civil lawsuit that's being filed.
It was imperative that the criminal
investigation was not impacted
negatively by the civil litigation.
That's why we felt the need to retain
special counsel immediately. And we did
so. That's why this contract is a
little bit late. There were processes to get the counsel in through the process.

Are there any other questions?
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any
questions?
Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi.
I just have a question with the fee schedule. Do you have that in front of you?

MS. LOCURTO: Yes, I do.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Because it's not in line with what we normally agree to.

MS. LOCURTO: Agreed, Legislator. The County Attorney's office looked at the normal panel of qualified counsel.
However, because of the gravitas of this particular litigation and the far reaching impact of it, we decided to look beyond our panel. We are always concerned with the public fisc, and even though this is not the normal
rates or fees we felt for the quality
of services that we were going to
receive with this firm, we felt it best
to select them.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We
have two contracts today with this pay schedule. And then there's a very high--

MS. LOCURTO: It is higher than the normal. However, as you see in the schedule, they do provide a discounted rate for because we are a municipality.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But it's over \(\$ 1,000\) an hour. Just from past history with other cases, even federal cases, I've never seen these numbers before.

MS. LOCURTO: Well, this is a
federal case. And there's also a
companion state case as well. So they're involved in that. They're also bringing affirmative claims on behalf of the county as well.
As we've seen, all federal cases
are not equal. The old saying, "you're making a federal case out of something", this one. We are concerned that in the recent past, as this

Legislative Body may recall, there was the Restivo litigation, a lawsuit where we had to pay out close to \(\$ 40\) million. We don't believe the actions of our District Attorney or our Police Department are such that they did anything wrong. But that doesn't stop someone from filing a federal lawsuit. And our concern is we do not want to fail to provide the best possible defense to defend our Police Department, our District Attorney and the County, especially in a case where they have worked very diligently and hard to put a stop to the catalytic converters being improperly converted and stolen.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All right, just to clear that up, it's 145 , not 154 .

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Correct.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So we're coming to the Legislature late with a contract that we have \$1.5 million amount, with an initial encumbrance of \(\$ 620,000\). And \(I\) just, you know, I respect what you're saying as far as we want to be careful with not having a large settlement. I mean, of course, that's our goal with any law firm, but \(I\) just don't see why this would warrant such a high amount per hour. Is that one attorney?

MS. LOCURTO: Legislator, just to to answer that question. It is not for one particular attorney.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: One second.

MS. LOCURTO: Oh. I'm sorry.
(Whereupon, off the record discussion.)

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I apologize for that. So can \(I\) ask one other question? For one attorney, it's
\(\$ 1,260\) an hour. What if it's two or three attorneys are working on it at the same time, same hour?

MS. LOCURTO: It's put into all
our contracts with special counsel that there are litigation guidelines that we strictly enforced to keep down the expense and cost of that. Wherever possible, we dictate to law firms to use associates keeping the expense down as much as possible.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But we have no control over that. If three attorneys bill at the same time and it's, you know, you're talking about --

MS. LOCURTO: Well, to the extent we do audit their bills and we can deny certain claims too.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But there's nothing in writing stating that.

MS. LOCURTO: Yes, there is.
There is in the litigation guidelines that specifically specify that and it
is included. The litigation guidelines are specifically referenced in the contract, so they are contractually bound to follow those litigation guidelines.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
understand, but the bottom line is if three attorneys bill at the same time for hours, you know, the same hours, it's going to be very difficult for us to claw the money back, even if we're aware of it. I mean, it's going to be hard. I understand it's in the guidelines and I appreciate that.

MS. LOCURTO: I won't debate it with you. Of course it's always difficult to audit bills and services. But we are the client and we do demand that they follow the guidelines. And I know my colleague and Deputy County Attorney, Brian Libert, does an excellent job as the contract coordinator and diligently goes through and many times has sent back invoices
and reduced the bills accordingly.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So
there's two contracts on today that both have this similar very, in my opinion, very pricey, might make some of the lawyers up here want to go back and reconsider their own their own billing. But anyway, \(I\) just don't want to see it as a trend.

Number one, I really believe we have very competent attorneys in our County Attorney's Office. I really do, and I think all of us up here would like to see a big effort to keep as much as possible in-house. And again, \(I\) do appreciate that the risk sometimes does warrant a real specialist, I'm speaking for myself, but \(I\) think my colleagues would agree that we don't want to see this becoming a new trend. These are exorbitant hourly fees. Let's just put that on the record that \(I\) hope this is not something we're going to continue to see on a regular basis.

MS. LOCURTO: Legislator, as previously stated on the record, every case is looked at to see what resources can be handled within the County Attorney's Office and when the resources require us to go to outside counsel. The tremendous risk, because you're referring to contract 139 , which is the contract where we were sued, an Article 78 with the Hofstra University, that is a litigation which deals with the economic engine of the county, one of our greatest assets, which is the Nassau Coliseum. And therefore, while it is expensive for these counsel, the engagement --

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Make sure you just focus on the item.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:

Let's not get into that.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: One of the legislators is going to have to recuse himself when we get to --

MS. LOCURTO: I'm sorry,

Legislator. I did not mean to. But just sticking with this case that's before us, the Pawelsky one, whenever there is potential risk that it could be in the millions of dollars, it's important to balance that against when we pick counsel.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: We all agree with that. But it just seems to be that this is -- first of all, we have outside counsel that's very competent also, but doesn't charge anywhere close to this, this is exorbitant. And I'm putting it on the record; it's exorbitant. And regardless of the issue really, we still have to try to get our money's worth for outside counsel. And there are so many competent law firms and even our own attorneys. They really are. This is just a huge jump from what I'm used to seeing. \$1.5 million is your max. How much have you spent to date? Do you know? You probably don't even know.

MS. LOCURTO: At this time, until the contract is approved, the billing system doesn't permit them to upload their bills. They have the projected, yes, some of their billing.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Where are we today?
MS. LOCURTO: I don't have an exact number, but \(I\) could get it to you.

\section*{LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:}

Well, you must have some idea.
MS. LOCURTO: It's more than 100,000 . I can tell you that. But the litigation commenced with the order to show cause back in May of 2023. So we had to respond immediately or otherwise we would put the criminal investigation in jeopardy, as well as properly defending the civil litigation. So, yes, some work has commenced.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: You
know, especially if a law firm is charging this much per hour. I mean,
you've had this since May and it's December and you've already racked up 100,000 in bills, and it hasn't even come before us. This is very concerning. What if we say no? Who's going to pay for that work they've already put in? You know, the bottom line is we especially if it's something that's going to require \(\$ 1,200\) an hour per lawyer working on it, it needs to come to us immediately. This is nine months that they've been doing work on this lawsuit and it's never came before us. It's just not the way it works.

And I know I've said this to you, we can't be the follow up people here. It has to come to us before \(\$ 100,000\) worth of work is done.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Legislator Giuffre?

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: What's our exposure on this case? Approximately. MS. LOCURTO: The exposure could be in the hundreds of millions of
dollars.
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: My understanding was 170 million.

MS. LOCURTO: Correct.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Is that what's demanded?

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: I think that is what's demanded.

MS. LOCURTO: That is what is demanded, Legislator. Obviously, our opinion is it's zero. We don't believe that that is warranted and that the action should be dismissed. So far, we have gotten a stay to let the criminal investigation continue on, which is a very important thing. We've had certain claims already dismissed, which is vital, and we feel we are adequately defending this litigation.

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Right. And I notice there is also a determination made that this firm was a sole source provider.

MS. LOCURTO: Yes, they are
because of their unique specialized skill.

LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Minority
Leader Abrahams.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just a
quick question, Lisa. If I recall
correctly, all contracts are subject to
NIFA approval, am I correct?
MS. LOCURTO: Yes, sir. They are.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: This contract would be inclusive of that.

MS. LOCURTO: Correct. Anything in excess of \(\$ 50,000\) or in the aggregate of contracts. If you have more than one contract in excess due to the control period, must go before NIFA.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay, so
that that being said, has the County
Attorney's Office had any discussions
with NIFA? Being that the sentiments
that have been brought up by Legislator

DeRiggi-Whitton in regards to the cost?
MS. LOCURTO: With this
particular firm? Yes, NIFA has reached out to us on other contracts. I'm not sure if on this particular contract we've spoken with NIFA.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Based on past practice and based on the fee schedules and other contracts, have they supported voting for a contract that has fees in upwards of \(\$ 1200\) to \(\$ 1700\) per hour?

MS. LOCURTO: They have, in fact, in the past they have used sullivan and Cromwell and certain litigations themselves, unrelated to anything specific, but they have seen contracts with the County, with large bills, I should say large fee schedules. LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay.

MS. LOCURTO: I don't want to speak for NIFA in totality.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I'm just asking. Obviously, if they are the
final say and they reject, you're right back at square one without a conversation with them. That's why I don't know if it's prudent to have a conversation with them. If this was a normal contract that falls within the scale of, \(I\) think we normally pay, what, 260 to 300 , roughly up to 600 . Yeah, up to 600. I think that would be something that \(I\) think would pass the Legislature pretty relatively easy. But you're talking about a contract that's almost three times that. I think it would be imperative for the county Attorney to reach out to NIFA. I mean, just from us having some pause as well. But that being said, we do understand the exposure and we do understand what the demand is from the plaintiff, so we get that from that standpoint. But I mean, \(I\) would hate to see you come back and have to go through this process all over again because we're not able to properly -- not saying you're doing a
bad job or anything -- but we're not able to properly justify the fee structure to NIFA. But you did say they used Sullivan and Cromwell in the past? MS. LOCURTO: Yes, they have. So
they are familiar with this firm.
Sullivan and Cromwell is not only a
nationally recognized firm, it's a globally recognized firm. They have over 800 attorneys and over eight countries. So they lend a certain gravitas.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I don't doubt that.

MS. LOCURTO: They are familiar with this firm and the power and the presence that they bring into the courtroom.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Well, if you can get back to us about whether or not the County Attorney would make that outreach, or someone from the Administration will make that outreach, that would be helpful.

MS. LOCURTO: Yes, I will.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I mean, obviously this has to be voted on now, but I would like to hear that back.

MS. LOCURTO: Yes.
LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you.
MS. LOCURTO: You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other questions?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
know I already made this statement, but, again, we need to expedite this type of situation knowing that it was around since -- did you say March or May, I forget?

MS. LOCURTO: May is when the order to show cause --

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So I
mean, you know, you're talking about what, like eight months, seven months that we haven't received it. And the fact that they've already built \(\$ 100,000\), it's something that we're concerned about, you know, and the fact
that NIFA has been aware of it.
There's a lot of risk, including for law firm, which would, if they ever got denied it could preclude us from using them in the future even, should we really need them. So \(I\) think with that being said on the record, we might honor the County Attorney's request for this, but going forward, we really need to fix this.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Then I guess we'll just move on to the next contract. E-147 contract with Michael T. Hopkins and Associates.

MR. LIBERT: 147-23 is a contract with Michael Hopkins for a case called Woroniecki. This case was mini bid. It is a MVA with significant injuries, including traumatic brain injury.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any
questions on this contract?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Do we want to just go to the next one?

MR. LIBERT: Sure.
E-148-23 is for Abrams Fensterman on a case called Nunziata. Even though, of course, Nunziata is the commissioner of Social services, she's named as a procedural matter. She's not named personally. DSS is involved, and the County Attorney's Office has a conflict in this case. So Abrams Fensterman was selected for this representation.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay.
Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right. One more, Brian.

MR. LIBERT: Yes, sir. Lastly, E-149-23 is for the Williams case, for Pollack, Pollack, Isaac and DeCicco.

This is a personal injury case involving injury at the Nassau Supreme Court. It was actually in the New York Law Journal. I believe the damages were very significant. And the office did move quickly to appeal the judgment of


CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: I'm going to call these items other than the one that we've held E-139.

A-34; E-150; B-32; E-146; A-32;
E-142; E-143; E-144; E-140; E-141;
E-145; E-147; E-148; E-149.
Any further debate of discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public comment on these contracts?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Hearing none. All in favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: They carry unanimously.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Now, E-139, the Minority Leader will be recusing himself from any debate, discussion or vote on this matter and is in fact leaving the chambers now.
(Whereupon, Minority Leader leaves the Chambers.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: SO E-139
2023 with Sullivan \& Cromwell.
MS. LOCURTO: Good afternoon. For
the record, Lisa Locurto, Deputy County Attorney, County Attorney's Office.

This item before you,
legislators, is again a personal service contract for legal services to represent and defend all named County defendants in an Article 78 action brought by Hofstra University against the Nassau County Planning Commission.

In this lawsuit, it was commenced April 20th, 2023, and the relief requested was to invalidate the findings by and recommendations of the Planning Commission, which were to
permit the transfer of the leases, the
former Coliseum and to lease to the Sands, with the potential nullification of the Nassau Planning Commission's approval and, by extension, the
nullification of the Legislature's vote
to approve the new lease with the
Sands. This presented significant exposure and liability to the county.

As I said before, one of the
greatest assets of the County is the Coliseum, and the development of the hub and that area provides is a strong economic engine to the County. Anything that would derail the county's ability to continue to further develop that area lead us to believe that this was such a significant litigation that special counsel, including looking at our panel, we decided to go outside the panel and we felt Sullivan and Cromwell was the best to represent the county in defending this litigation.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay, I'll
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open it up to the legislators that just
I will note for the record that we just
had a lengthy discussion about Sullivan
\& Cromwell and their fee structure with
respect to \(E-145\). And \(I\) think we can
safely assume that that same
conversation applies to this contract
as well. So we don't have to
necessarily reiterate everything that
was said.
    Anyway, legislators, anyone?
    Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.
    LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Was
there anything in the lease or any
other way saying that if we were in
this situation that the legal services
would be paid for by that or the person
who by the group that wanted to lease
the property?

MS. LOCURTO: I'm not familiar. I
can't answer that question, but \(I\) can
find out the answer and get that to.
    LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
Okay. And \(I\) go on the record with my
last statement that \(I\) think the fee
schedule -- we just looked at the other
ones that we just approved. The hourly
amount is like less than \(25 \%\), \(10 \%\).
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any other
legislators?
    (Whereupon, no verbal response.)
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you.
Lisa.
    MS. LOCURTO: Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public
comment on this contract?
    (Whereupon, no verbal response.)
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Hearing
none, all in favor, signify by saying,
"Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee signify by saying,
"Aye".)
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Opposed?
    (Whereupon, no verbal response.)
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Carries
unanimously.
    We'll put the Rules in recess.
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Next Committee is Public Safety
(Whereupon, recess, 2:15-4:42p.m.)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We are bringing the Rules Committee into session. Motion to suspend the Rules Committee. Motion by Legislator Giuffre Walker, seconded by Legislator Schaefer.

All in favor of suspending the Rules, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.) CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Rules are suspended.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: We're going to call the Consent Calendar. These items went through other Committees and it's been agreed by the Majority and Minority that no further debate or discussion needed with respect to these items:

These are all 2023 -- 351; 352; 353; 354; 358; 359; 360; 361; 362; 363; 364; 365; 366; 367; 368; 369; 370; 371; 373; 374; 375; 376; 377; 378; 380; 381; 382; 383; 384; 385; 386; 387; 388; 389; 390; 391; 392; 393; 394; 396; 397; 398; 399; 400; 401.

On the Addendum: 402; 404; 405; 406; 408; 409; 410; 411; 414.

I need a motion and a second.
Moved by Legislator
DeRiggi-Whitton, Second by Deputy Minority Leader Kopel.

Any debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public comment?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Hearing none, all in favor, signify by saying,
"Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those all pass unanimously.
******
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CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Item 395-2023 is a resolution to accept a gift bequest offered by the estate of Lawrence Macchia to the Nassau County Veterans Service Agency.

Motion by a Deputy Presiding Officer Kopel, seconded by Legislator Bynoe.

MR. ESPOSITO: Hello, Ralph Esposito, proud Director of Veterans Services of Nassau County.

First, I want to say thank you to all of you for always thinking of our veterans. I mean, you really honor me by doing that.

This veteran left stuff, left us some money. And I'm happy to say it was \(\$ 45,000\). I don't know what to say.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: That's good enough for us.

MR. ESPOSITO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right.
Any questions for Ralph?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thank you very much.
MR. ESPOSITO: Thank you sir.
Gonna miss you.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Thanks.
We'll miss you too.
Any public comment?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All in
favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.) CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Carries unanimously.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Then on the Addendum, Item 403, it's a resolution to authorize the transfer of appropriations heretofore made within the budget for the year 2023 .

Motion by Legislator Giuffre, seconded by Legislator Schaefer.

Any debate or discussion?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public comment?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All in
favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Aye.
VICE CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Aye.
LEGISLATOR GIUFFRE: Aye.
CHAIRWOMAN SCHAEFER: Aye.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Nay.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Nay.
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Passes by a vote of four to two.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Item 407 is a resolution to accept a gift offered by the Nassau County Police Department Foundation to the Nassau County Police Department. Motion by Legislator Bynoe, second by Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton.

INSPECTOR FIELD: Good afternoon. William Field, Inspector with the Police Department.

Item 407-23, is to accept a donation from the Nassau County Police Department Foundation for one horse for our NCPD mounted unit. The value of the donation is \(\$ 12,500\).

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Any questions to the discussion?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Is it a good horse or a bad horse?

INSPECTOR FIELD: He's been
through the trial and seeming to work very well in what we need him to do. LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I
felt bad for the bad horse.
INSPECTOR FIELD: We're very
    optimistic.
    LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:
    Okay. Because you had to give the bad
        horse back, remember?
    INSPECTOR FIELD: Yes.
    LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All
    right. Hopefully, we have better luck.
    INSPECTOR FIELD: Thank you.
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any debate
or discussion?
    (Whereupon, no verbal response.)
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Any public
comment?
    (Whereupon, no verbal response.)
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All in
favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
    (Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with,
"Aye".)
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those
opposed?
    (Whereupon, no verbal response.)
    CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: That carries
unanimously.
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CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Last one, 413-2023 is a resolution requesting the State Liquor Authority to change the hours of restriction of sales of alcoholic beverages by wine and liquor stores in Nassau County.

Motion by Legislator Schaefer, seconded by Deputy Presiding officer Kopel.

Chris?
MR. LEIMONE: Good evening, Chris Leimone from the Administration.

Clerk Item 413-23 is a resolution that requests the New York State Liquor Authority to change the hours of restriction to allow for the sale of alcoholic beverages by wine and liquor stores from \(8 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}\). to \(10 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}\). on Mondays through Wednesday in Nassau county, so that with the passage of this resolution and approval by the New York State Liquor Authority, the hours of operation in Nassau would be from 8 a.m. to 10 p.m., Monday to Saturday.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay, a couple of things. So all that we're changing is the three days a week Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, we're allowing them to stay open from 8 p.m. it's going to go to 10 p.m., correct?

MR. LEIMONE: Correct. It's already Thursday to Saturday, it's already till 10 p.m..

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Okay. Well, you know, we took a look and we've got information that we are actually an outlier in the state in terms of the hours that we allow liquor stores to be open in Nassau County.

MR. LEIMONE: Right. Correct. The New York State Liquor Authority is allows 8 a.m. to 12 midnight, and about 45 counties all operate in that time frame. So we're just kind of moving ourselves closer to that time period and aligning ourselves closer with the State and New York City.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: I think this
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will be a helpful to our residents because especially at this time of year, people are out shopping and things and they don't get to the store, liquor store or alcohol or wine store by 8:00, they have to come back the next day or something like that. So it gives them a little additional time to do their shopping during holidays, among other things, I'm sure.

Any other discussion? Anything else, Chris?

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can
I just we just wanted to request more of a hearing on the \(18 t h\) and we'll just pass it through.

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: I think we
have to have a hearing.
MR. LEIMONE: Yeah, we have to
have a hearing on it. We are required by law to have a hearing on this.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So we will just pass it through. CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All right.

So for today's purposes you'll just vote to pass it through.

All right. Thank you, Chris.
Any public comment?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: All in
favor, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Those opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: Passes by a vote of 6 to 0 .

Motion to adjourn.
Motion by Legislator Schaefer.
I'm going to second that motion.
All in favor of adjourning, signify by saying, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the
Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN NICOLLELO: That might
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\hline ordinary \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-29: 15\) & Passes \({ }_{(1)}\)-62:24 & plaintiff's \({ }_{[1]}-31: 4\) & 8: \\
\hline otherwise \({ }_{[1]}\) & passes \(_{[1]}-68: 16\) & plaintiffs \({ }_{[2]}\) - & presented \(_{[1]}-54: 9\) \\
\hline 1:18 & past \({ }_{[5]}-33: 16\), & 30:24, 31: & PRESIDING \({ }_{[1]}-1: 9\) \\
\hline ou & 34:6, 45:9, 45:15, & Plan \({ }_{\text {[1] }}\) - 11:1 & Presiding \({ }_{[4]}-4: 15\), \\
\hline 66:23, 66:24 & 7:6 & Planning \({ }_{[3]}\) - & 7:6, 60:7, 65: \\
\hline outlier \({ }_{(1)}-66: 15\) & path \({ }_{11}-27: 20\) & 53:20, 53:25, \(54: 5\) & press \({ }_{[1]}-31: 9\) \\
\hline outreach \({ }_{[2]}\) & pause \({ }_{[1]}-46: 18\) & Pledge \(_{[2]}-4: 5,4: 9\) & pretty \({ }_{(3)}-25: 12\) \\
\hline 47:24, 47:25 & Pawelsky \({ }_{(4)}\) - & pledge \(_{11}-4: 8\) & 27:24, 46:12 \\
\hline outside \({ }_{[6]}-25: 6\) & 30:13, 30:21 & Plousadis \(_{\text {(1] }}-23: 6\) & reviously \({ }_{(1)}\) - 39:3 \\
\hline 25:9, 39:7, 40:12, & 30:23, 40:4 & point \({ }_{[1]}-20: 25\) & pricey \({ }_{(1)}\) - \(38: 6\) \\
\hline 40:19, 54:21 & pay \({ }_{(41}-33: 7,34: 9\) & Police \({ }_{1111}\) - 15:3, & primary \({ }_{(1)}-8: 2\) \\
\hline own \({ }_{[4]}-27: 19\), & 42:7, 46:8 & :7, 15:11 & prisoner \(_{[1]}-16: 20\) \\
\hline 38:8, 40:20 & payment \(_{11}-17:\) & 3:1 & proactively \({ }_{(11)}\) - \\
\hline owns \({ }_{[1]}-8: 6\) & payroll \({ }_{[1]}\) - 11:4 & 34:11, 34:16, & 7:20 \\
\hline P & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{P D}_{[4]}-8: 3,20: 12, \\
20: 21,20: 24
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 63:4, 63:5, 63:10, } \\
& 63: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & problems \(_{[1]}-8: 1\) procedural \({ }_{[1]}\) - \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { p.m } \\
65: 19,65: 25
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pedestrian }_{[1]}- \\
& 13: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & POLICE \(_{[1]}-3: 5\) police \({ }_{[1]}-21: 25\) & 50:8
proce \\
\hline :7, 69:7 & people \({ }_{[3}\) - 16:16 & -27:7 & 27:1 \\
\hline m. \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-66: 11\) & 42:17, 67:5 & 27:24, 28:2, 28:3 & process \({ }_{[4]}\) - 24:10, \\
\hline paid \({ }_{[1]}-55: 18\) & per \({ }_{[7]}-7: 22,12: 10\), & 29:8 & 27:4, 32:4, 46:24 \\
\hline panel \({ }_{[7]}-24: 13\), & 13, 35:14 & Pollack \({ }_{[2]}-50: 20\) & processed \({ }_{[1]}\) \\
\hline 29:12, 29:14, & 41:25, 42:11 & Pollock \({ }_{[2]}-7: 3\) & 26:22 \\
\hline 32:19, 32:23, & 45:13 & population & processes \(_{\text {(1] }}\) - 32:2 \\
\hline 54:21, 54:22 & performed \({ }_{21}\) & 11:16 & processing \({ }_{[1]}\) - \\
\hline paperwork \({ }_{[1]}\) & 29:17, 29:19 & portion \({ }_{[1]}-5: 18\) & 11:9 \\
\hline 30:2 & period \({ }_{[3]}-17: 8\) & possible \({ }_{[4]}\) - 34:16, & procurement \\
\hline part \({ }_{(11}-27: 11\) & 44:19, 66:23 & 36:10, 36:12, & 1:24, 23:17 \\
\hline participants \({ }_{[1]}\) - & permit \({ }_{[2]}\) - 41:4 & 38:16 & 7:4, 27:7, 27:23 \\
\hline :10 & 54:2 & potential \({ }_{[2]}-40: 5\), & 28:2, 29 \\
\hline particular \({ }_{\text {[7] }}-8: 9\) & permitted \({ }_{[1]}\) & 5 & productio \\
\hline 25:13, 27:24, & 29:11 & (11] \(-47: 1\) & 21:20 \\
\hline 32:21, 35:17, & person \(_{[1]}-55: 18\) & ctice \({ }_{(1)}-45: 9\) & program \(_{[8]}-10: 25\), \\
\hline 45:4, 45:6 & Personal \({ }_{[2]}-6: 19\), & preclude \({ }_{(1)}\) - 49:6 & \[
14: 2,20: 9,20: 12
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 20:13, 21:7, } \\
& 21: 16,21: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
puts \({ }_{[1]}-7: 8\) \\
putting \({ }_{(1)}-40: 15\)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{r e a d}_{[1]}-28: 4 \\
& \text { real }_{[1]}-38: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 26:9, 29:4, 38:25 } \\
& \text { reimbursed }{ }_{[2]}-
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
13: 21,20: 20,21: 5
\] & Q & \[
40: 17
\] & Reimbursement \({ }_{[1]}\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
projected \(_{[1]}-41: 5\) \\
properly \({ }_{[3]}-41: 20\), \\
47.2, 47:4
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { qualified }_{[4]}-24: 13, \\
& 29: 12,29: 13,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 40: 21,49: 8 \\
& 49: 12,60: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
-10: 16 \\
\text { reimbursement }{ }_{[1]}-
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline property \({ }^{(3]}\) - \(31: 2\) & quality \({ }_{(1)}-33: 2\) & 33:4 & reiterate \(_{\text {[1 }}-55: 10\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
31: 12,55: 20 \\
\text { proposals }_{[1]}-
\end{gathered}
\] & questions & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { received }_{[2]}-8: 16, \\
& 48: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
reject \(_{{ }_{11}-46: 2}\) \\
related \(_{[3]}-11: 2\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 4:15 & 12:15, 13:14 & -34:6 & :12 \\
\hline proud \(_{[1]}-60\) : & 15:19, 16:8, & recently \({ }_{(1)}-10: 17\) & relating \({ }_{[2]}-30: 11\), \\
\hline provide \(_{[4]}\) - 16:3 & 18, 18:9, 19:4, & recess \(_{[2]}-56: 25\), & 30:25 \\
\hline 29:25, 33:12, & 15, 23:20, & 57:3 & relatively \({ }_{(11}-46: 12\) \\
\hline 34:15 & 30:6, 32:5, 32:7 & recognized \({ }_{[2]}\) & relief \(_{(1)}-53: 22\) \\
\hline provider \(_{[1]}-43: 24\) & 48:11, 49:23, & 47:10, 47:11 & remaining \(_{11}-11: 7\) \\
\hline provides \({ }_{[4]}\) - 13:20, & 50:14, 51:8, & recommendation & remember \(_{[1]}-64: 5\) \\
\hline 18:5, 21:11, 54:14 & 11, 60:24 & - 53:24 & replaced \(_{[2]}-20: 8\), \\
\hline prudent \({ }_{11}-46: 5\) & 63:17 & ider & 20 \\
\hline public \({ }_{[8]}-32: 24\) & quick \({ }_{(4)}-7: 23\) & 38:8 & REPORTER \({ }_{[1]}\) \\
\hline 52:11, 56:12 & 21, 26:4, 44:9 & -6: & 1:24 \\
\hline 58:24, 61:9 & quickly \({ }_{[11}-51: 2\) & 21, 38:23 & represent \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline 62:11, 64:13, 68:6 & quite \({ }_{(11}-29: 3\) & 3, 40:16, 49:9, & 33:25, 53:16, \\
\hline Public \({ }_{[5]}-13: 3\), & quorum \({ }_{[1]}-5: 15\) & :12, 55:3, 55:25 & 54:23 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 13:6, 18:24, } 57: \\
& 70: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & R & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 11-18: ~ \\
& \mathbf{d}_{(1)}=1
\end{aligned}
\] & representation
\[
50: 12
\] \\
\hline PULITZ & racked \(_{\text {[1] }}-42: 3\) & recuse \(_{\text {[1] }}\) - 39:23 & request \(_{[3]}-29\) : \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2: 12,4: 14,4: 19, \\
& 4: 23,5: 2,5: 5,5: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\text { Radeco }_{[3]}-5: 25,
\]
\[
15: 4,15: 11
\] & Recused \(_{[1]}-2: 8\) & \[
49: 11,67: 16
\] \\
\hline 5:11, 5:14 & Ralph \({ }_{[2]}-60: 10\) & Recycling & 0:19, 53:2 \\
\hline Purchase \({ }_{[3]}-5: 22\), & 60:24 & 0:13 & uesting \\
\hline \[
5: 23,7: 17
\] & Ranking \(_{[1]}-4: 23\) & \[
\text { duced }_{[2]}-12: 9 \text {, }
\] & \\
\hline 15:9, 15:16 & ra & referenced \({ }_{[1]}\) & - 39: \\
\hline purposes \({ }_{(1]}-68: 3\) & rather []] - 24:16 & & 42:10 \\
\hline pursuant \({ }_{[2]}\) - 29:7, & \[
25: 19
\] & erring \({ }_{[1]}-39:\) & quired \({ }_{[5]}-10: 1\) \\
\hline 31:2 & Rather \({ }_{[1]}-27: 21\) & ardless \({ }_{\text {|l }}\) & 1:6, 27:5, 31:14, \\
\hline put \({ }_{[6]}-34: 20,36: 5\), & reach \(_{[1]}-46: 16\) & 4 & 67:22 \\
\hline 38:23, 41:19, & reached \(_{[1]}-45: 4\) & regards \(_{[1]}-45\) & requirements \({ }_{[1]}\) \\
\hline 42:8, 56:25 & reaching \({ }_{[1]}\) - 32:22 & regular \(_{[4]}-26: 6\), & 13:7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline requiring \(_{\text {[1] }}-31: 11\) & 13:11, 15:13 & safety \({ }_{[5]}-13: 22\) & seek \({ }_{[2]}-10: 13\), \\
\hline residents \({ }_{(1)}-67: 3\) & Restivo \({ }_{\text {[1] }}\) - 34:7 & 13:23, 20:7, 22:3 & 24:15 \\
\hline & restriction \({ }_{[2]}\) & Safety \({ }_{(1]}-57: 2\) & seeming \({ }_{11}-63\) \\
\hline 60:3, 62:3, 63:3 & 65:5, 65:17 & sale \(_{[1]}-65: 17\) & Seiden \({ }_{(11}-23: 6\) \\
\hline 65:3, 65:14, 65:22 & result \(_{[1]}-12: 10\) & sales \(_{[1]}-65: 5\) & seized \(_{[11}-31: 11\) \\
\hline Resolution \({ }_{[1]}-6: 2\) & retain \(_{[2]}\) - 30:19, & Sand \({ }_{[1]}\) - 54:4 & seizure \({ }_{[1]}-30: 25\) \\
\hline Resolutions \({ }_{[1]}\) - & 31:23 & Sands \({ }_{(1)}-54: 9\) & select \({ }_{[1]}-33: 5\) \\
\hline 5:20 & retaining \({ }_{[1]}-25: 10\) & Saturday \({ }_{[2]}\) - & selected \({ }_{[3]}-29: 25\), \\
\hline resolutions \({ }_{\text {(1) }}\) & retirees \(_{[1]}-11: 13\) & :25, 66:10 & 50:12, 51 \\
\hline 6:18 & return \({ }_{[1]}-31: 11\) & scale \(_{\text {[1] }}-46: 8\) & lls \({ }_{(1)}-8: 8\) \\
\hline resourc & \(\mathbf{R F P}^{22]}\) - 12:4 & Schaefer \({ }_{[7]}-5: 3\) & sending \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-16: 16\) \\
\hline 24:22, 25:16 & 24:1 & 22, 57 & nt \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-37: 25\) \\
\hline 39:4, 39:7 & \(\mathbf{R F Q}_{(1]}-24: 1\) & 62:8, 65:8, 68:1 & entiments \\
\hline Resources \({ }_{[2]}\) & richard \({ }_{[1]}-10: 5\) & SCHAEFER \({ }_{[6]}\) - & 44:24 \\
\hline 10:3, 10:8 & Richard \({ }_{[1]}-5\) : & 25:2 & separate \(_{[1]}-21: 7\) \\
\hline respect \({ }_{31}-35: 8\), & RICHARD \(_{[2]}-1: 8\) & 26:3, 26:19, 62:19 & separately \({ }_{(1]}-6: 14\) \\
\hline 55:6, 58:7 & 2:4 & Schaefer's \({ }_{[1]}\) & September \({ }_{[2]}\) - \\
\hline respond \({ }_{[10]}\) & risk \(_{[4]}-38: 1\) & 27:16 & 8:11 \\
\hline 31:15, 31:16, & 39:8, 40:5, 49:4 & schedule & Service \(_{[11}-60: 6\) \\
\hline 41:18, 52:18, & Robert \({ }_{[1]}-29: 8\) & 32:11, 33:8 & service \({ }_{(4)}\) - 16:23, \\
\hline 57:13, 59:7, & robot \(_{[1]}-15: 10\) & 33:12, 56 & 20:9, 30:18, 53:15 \\
\hline 61:14, 64:19, & role \(_{(1)}-31: 4\) & schedules \({ }_{\text {[2 }}\) & ervices \({ }_{[12]}-12: 2\), \\
\hline 68:11, 68:24 & roll \(_{[1]}-4: 12\) & 45:10, 45:2 & \[
2: 5,12: 8,12:
\] \\
\hline response \({ }_{\text {[3] }}-8: 22\), & Roil \({ }_{[1]}-4:\) & school \({ }_{[1]}-13: 23\) & :7, 18:13, \\
\hline 12:16, 13:15, & roughly \({ }_{[1]}-46: 9\) & seat \({ }_{[1]}-20: 12\) & 3:3, 37:18, 50:7, \\
\hline 14:9, 15:20, & rubric \(_{11}-25: 20\) & seats \(_{[2]}-13: 24\), & 53:16, 55:17 \\
\hline 17:19, 18:10, & rule \(_{\text {[1] }}-25: 1\) & 20:7 & Services \({ }_{(4)}-5: 22\), \\
\hline 19:6, 23:21, 30:8 & Rules \({ }_{\text {[9] }}-4: 12\) & Second \(_{[1]}-58: 20\) & 6:20, 6:21, 60:12 \\
\hline 49:24, 50:15, & 4:16, 5:18, 8:13 & second \(_{[4]}-35: 19\), & session \({ }_{(11}-57: 6\) \\
\hline 51:12, 52:10, & 52:18, 56:19, & 58:18, 63:7, 68:20 & \(\boldsymbol{s e t}_{(4)}-12: 17,22: 2\), \\
\hline 52:13, 52:22, & \[
56: 25,57: 5,57: 6,
\] & seconded \([5]-7: 7\), & 27:22, 70:14 \\
\hline 56:8, 56:14, & 57:11, 57:13, & 57:8, 60:8, 62:8, & settlement \({ }_{\text {[1] }}\) \\
\hline 56:22, 57:17, &  & 65:9 & 35:10 \\
\hline 58:23, 59:2, & 1:14, 64:19 & security \(_{(11}-18: 15\) & seven \(_{11}-48: 22\) \\
\hline 59:11, 60:25, & \(8 \cdot 2\) & see \(_{\text {¢9] }}\) - 33:11, & Shared \(_{[1]}-5: 21\) \\
\hline 61:10, 61:18, & 69:3, 69:6 & 35:12, 38:10, & shocked \(_{[1]}\) - 17:6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 62:10, 62:13, } \\
& \text { 64:12, 64:15, }
\end{aligned}
\] & RULES \(_{[1]}-1: 12\) & 38:15, 38:21, & shopping \(_{[2]}\) - 67:5, \\
\hline 64:12, \(64: 15\),
\(64: 23,68: 7,68: 15\) & S & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 38: 25,39: 4, \\
& 46: 23,69: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & show \({ }_{[3]}\) - 31:14, \\
\hline responsible \({ }_{[2]}\) - & safely \({ }_{(1)}-55: 7\) & \[
\text { seeing }_{[1]}-40: 23
\] & 41:17, 48:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Siela \(_{[1]}-4: 17\) \\
SIELA \(_{[1]}-2: 10\) \\
signal \({ }_{[1]}-13: 8\) \\
significant \({ }_{50}\) -
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sought }_{[1]}-31: 10 \\
& \text { source }_{[2]}-8: 5, \\
& 43: 23 \\
& \text { South }_{[2]}-6: 24,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 48: 13,56: 2 \\
& \text { stating } \\
& \text { stay }-36: 21 \\
& \text { stay }{ }_{[2]}-43: 15, \\
& 66: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & Swift \({ }_{[2]}-18: 2,18: 3\) system \({ }_{[2]}-18: 3\), 41:4 \\
\hline 24:4, 49:20, & 17:23 & stenographic \({ }_{[1]}\) - & T \\
\hline 50:25, 54:9, 54:19 & speaking \(_{[3]}-25: 2\), & 0:12 & \[
\text { TAKEN }_{[1]}-1: 24
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { signify }(100)-52: 15, \\
& 56: 16,56: 19,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 29:18, } 38: 19 \\
\text { special }[4]-30: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sticking }{ }_{[1]}-40: 3 \\
& \text { still }_{[3]}-20: 9,29: 2,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { technology }_{[1]}- \\
& 18: 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 57:11, 59:4,
\(61: 12,62: 15\) & 31:24, 36:6, 54:20 & 40:17 & Technology \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 61: 12,62: 15 \\
& 64: 17,68: 9,
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { specia } \\
38: 18
\end{gathered}
\] & stolen \(_{\text {[1] }}-34: 22\) & \[
6: 25,7: 11,7: 15,
\] \\
\hline similar \({ }_{[3]}-2\) & specialized \({ }_{(1)}\) & :13, 34:20 & \(\operatorname{term}_{[4]}-8: 10,16: 5\), \\
\hline 28:8, 38:5 & 4 & Stop \({ }_{(11}-13: 25\) & 69 \\
\hline simply \({ }_{11}-28: 20\) & specific \(_{11}-45: 18\) & \(\mathrm{re}_{\text {[3] }}-67: 6,67: 7\) & \(\mathrm{ms}_{(1)}-66: 15\) \\
\hline singular \({ }_{(1)}-28: 21\) & specifically \({ }_{\text {[3] }}\) - & stores \(_{[3]}\) - 65:7, & eft \({ }_{[1]}-31: 5\) \\
\hline situation \({ }_{[3]}-28: 9\), & 36:25, 37:3, 51 & :19, 66:16 & themselves \\
\hline 48:15, 55:17 & specifications & strict \({ }_{[1]}-25: 19\) & 45:17 \\
\hline skill \({ }_{[1]}-44: 3\) & 15:14 & strictly \({ }_{[1]}-36: 8\) & therefore \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-39: 15\) \\
\hline SMG \({ }_{[1]}-54: 3\) & specify \({ }_{(1)}-36: 25\) & strong \({ }_{(1)}-54: 14\) & they've \({ }_{[3]}-42: 7\), \\
\hline Smith \(_{[1]}-28: 16\) & speculate \({ }_{[1]}\) - & structure \({ }_{[2]}-47: 4\), & 42:13, 48:24 \\
\hline snowflakes & 26:16 & 55:5 & thinking \({ }_{\text {(1] }}-60: 14\) \\
\hline 27:21 & spend \(_{[11}-17: 12\) & stuff \({ }_{[1]}-60: 17\) & Thnak \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-14: 10\) \\
\hline Social \({ }_{[1]}-50: 7\) & Spending \({ }_{[1]}\) - & subject \({ }_{[1]}-44: 10\) & Thompson \({ }_{[1]}\) - \\
\hline software \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-8: 6\) & 10:14 & submit \(_{[1]}-30: 3\) & 24:4 \\
\hline sole \(_{[2]}-8: 5,43: 23\) & spent \({ }_{[1]}-40: 2\) & sued \(_{[1]}-39: 10\) & three \({ }_{[7]}-7: 22\) \\
\hline SOLEYMANZADE & spoken \(_{[1]}-45:\) & Sullivan \({ }_{[8]}-6: 22\) & 13:9, 36:3, 36:14, \\
\hline \(\mathbf{H}_{[2]}-10: 5,12: 19\) & spot \(_{\text {[1] }}-15: 9\) & 30:10, 45:15, & 37:9, 46:14, 66:4 \\
\hline Soleymanzadeh \({ }_{[1]}\) & square \(_{[1]}-46: 3\) & 7:6, 47:9, 53:10, & Thursday \({ }_{[1]}\) - \\
\hline - 10:6 & \(\mathbf{S S}_{[1]}-70: 5\) & 4:22, 55:4 & 66:10 \\
\hline solicit \({ }_{11}-29: 14\) & standpoint \({ }_{[1]}\) & sum \(_{\text {[1] }}-29: 6\) & to \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-32: 16\) \\
\hline someone \({ }_{[2]}\) & 46:22 & support \({ }_{[4]}-8: 19\) & today \(_{[4]}-4: 4,33: 7\), \\
\hline 34:13, 47:24 & \(\boldsymbol{s t a r t}_{[2]}-4: 5,5: 17\) & 17:25, 18:7, 18:13 & 38:4, 41 \\
\hline sometimes \({ }_{[1]}\) - & starting \({ }_{[1]}-15: 4\) & supported \({ }_{[1]}\) - & today's \({ }_{[1]}-68: 3\) \\
\hline 38:17 & state \(_{[2]}-33: 21\) & S & took \({ }_{[1]}-66: 13\) \\
\hline sorry \({ }_{27}\) - \(35: 20\), & 66:15 & Supreme \(_{[1]}-50: 22\) & total \({ }_{[3]}-8: 18\), \\
\hline 39:25 & STATE \({ }_{11}-70: 4\) & suspend \(^{[1]}\) - \(57: 6\) & 10:19, 10:23 \\
\hline sort \({ }_{[6]}-24: 14\), & State \({ }_{\text {[6] }}\)-65:4, & suspended \({ }_{11}\) - & totality \({ }_{[1]}-45: 23\) \\
\hline 24:17, 25:19, & 65:15, 65:23 & 57:19 & affic \(_{[1]}-13: 8\) \\
\hline 27:15, 27:19, & 66:19, 66:25, 70:9 & suspending \({ }_{[1]}\) - & training \({ }_{[2]}-16: 17\), \\
\hline 27:22 & statement \({ }_{[2]}\) - & 57:10 & \[
16: 19
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline transcription \({ }_{[1]}-\) & unrelated \(^{[1]}\) - & Veterans \({ }_{[2]}-60: 6\), & 33:14, 34:23, \\
\hline 70:12 & 45:1 & 60:1 & 18 \\
\hline \(\operatorname{transfer}_{[2]}-54: 2\), & \(\mathbf{u p}_{[13]}-19: 3,22: 2\), & veterans \({ }_{[1]}-60: 15\) & 35:23, 36:13, \\
\hline 62:4 & 14, 29:6 & via \({ }_{[1]}-7: 2\) & 36:20, 37:7, 38:3, \\
\hline transports \({ }_{[1]}\) - & 34:24, 38:7 & Vice \({ }_{[1]}-5: 8\) & 39:20, 40:9, 41:7, \\
\hline 16:21 & 38:14, 42:3, & VICE \(_{[5]}-2: 5,5: 10\), & 41:12, 41:23, \\
\hline trauma & 42:17, 44:25 & 24:20, 25:3, 62:17 & 48:12, 48:20, \\
\hline 49:21 & 46:9, 46:10, 55:2 & vital \({ }_{[1]}-43: 19\) & 55:14, 55:24, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Travel }_{[2]}-6: 24, \\
& 15: 25
\end{aligned}
\]} & upload \(_{[1]}-41: 4\) & vote \(_{[5]}-53: 5,54: 7\), & 62:22, 63:18, \\
\hline & upwards \({ }_{[1]}-45: 12\) & 62:25, 68:4, 68:17 & 63:23, 64:3, 64:7, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { travel }_{[3]}-16: 3, \\
16: 19,16: 23
\end{gathered}
\]} & US \({ }_{[1]}-7: 17\) & voted \(_{[1]}-48: 5\) & 67:15, 67:24 \\
\hline & USA \(_{[1]}-5: 25\) & \[
\text { voting }_{[1]}-45: 11
\] & Whitton \({ }_{[9]}-4: 20\), \\
\hline tremendous \({ }_{[1]}\) -
39:8 & uses \(_{[1]}-8: 2\) & voucher \(_{[1]}-17: 2\) & 18:21, 25:23, \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{trend} & V & W & \[
5: 13,58: 20,63: 7
\] \\
\hline & value \(_{[1]}-63: 14\) & Walker \(_{[1]}-57: 8\) & \[
\text { William }_{[2]}-15: 6
\]
63:9 \\
\hline trial \({ }_{[1]}-63: 21\) & various \({ }_{[2]}\) - 13:21, & warrant \(_{[3]}-31: 2\), & WILLIAM \(_{[1]}-3: 5\) \\
\hline \[
\operatorname{try}_{[2]}-22: 2,40: 18
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
16:3 \\
Various
\end{tabular} & \[
35: 13,38: 18
\]
\[
\text { warranted }_{[1]}-
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
WILLIAM \(_{[1]}-3: 5\) \\
Williams \(_{[1]}-50: 19\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { trying }_{[2]}-20: 18, \\
& 28: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & vehicle \(_{[1]}-24: 5\) & 43:13 & wine \({ }_{[3]}-65: 6\), \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Tuesday \(_{{ }_{[1]}-66: 5}\)} & vendor \({ }_{[2]}-8: 7\), & web \(_{[1]}-7: 24\) & 65:18, 67:7 \\
\hline & 8:15 & Wednesday \({ }_{[2]}\) & WITNESS \({ }_{[1]}\) \\
\hline 18:17, 33:7, 36:2, & verbal \(_{[33]}-8: 22\) & 65:20, 66:5 & 70:13 \\
\hline 38:4, 62:25 & 12:16, 13:15, & week \({ }_{[2]}-66: 4,69: 4\) & wondering \(^{[1]}\) - \\
\hline type \({ }_{[3]}-28: 9\), & 14:9, 15:20, & Welcome \(_{[1]}-4: 2\) & 25:7 \\
\hline 48:15 & 17:19, 18:10, & welcome \(_{[1]}-48: 9\) & Works \({ }_{[2]}-13: 3\), \\
\hline U & 19:6, 23:21, 30:8, & Welsbach \(_{[2]}-6: 4\), & S \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{unanimously \({ }_{[5]}\) -} & 10 & W & 42:15 \\
\hline & 52:13, 52:22 & 13:10 & Woroniecki \({ }_{[1]}\) \\
\hline 59:13, 61:20, & 8, 56.14 & WHEREOF & 49:19 \\
\hline 64:25 & 22, 57:17 & 70:1 & worth \({ }_{[2]}-40: 18\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { under }_{[3]}-15: 16 \\
21: 24,28: 18
\end{gathered}
\]} & , & WHITTON \(^{42]}\) - 2:9, & 42:18 \\
\hline & 59:11, 60:25 & 4:21, 18:22, 20:4, & Ow \({ }_{[1]}-17: 15\) \\
\hline unique \({ }_{[2]}-27: 20\), & 1:10, 61:18 & 20:17, 21:4, & riting \({ }_{[1]}-36: 21\) \\
\hline 44:2 & 10, 62:13 & 21:15, 21:23, & written \(_{[1]}-28: 4\) \\
\hline unit \(_{2]}-15: 11\), & \[
64: 12,64: 15
\] & \[
22: 5,27: 2,27: 9
\] & Y \\
\hline & & 8:5, 28:25, & \\
\hline & versus \({ }_{[1]}-30: 14\) & :20, 32:9, & , \\
\hline 39:11, 53:19 & veteran \({ }_{[1]}-60: 17\) & 32:14, 33:6, & 10:10, 11:19, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 14: 5,14: 6,16: 5, \\
& 17: 7,17: 11, \\
& 17: 13,18: 6, \\
& 31: 13,62: 6,67: 5 \\
& \text { years }_{[1]}-18: 18 \\
& \text { YORK }_{[1]}-70: 4 \\
& \text { York }_{[7]}-1: 16, \\
& 50: 23,65: 15, \\
& 65: 23,66: 19, \\
& 66: 25,70: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline \(\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\text { zero }{ }_{[1]}-43: 12}\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

