


CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Good afternoon, everyone. Welcome to the session of the Legislature, Committees meeting. Legislator Bynoe, would you be so kind as to lead us in Pledge?
(Whereupon, the Pledge of
Allegiance is said.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. Please
remain standing for a moment of silence. Please direct your prayers and thoughts to the family of Officer Diller who was recently murdered in New York City, as we all know.
(Whereupon, a brief moment of silence.)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: As always, we're starting with the Rules Committee. We'll do contracts, break for some other committee meetings, and then back to Rules.

I know many of you are interested in going outside to see the eclipse.

Assuming that there's something that we can see, somebody will let us know, I
believe that the the peak moment over here, from what I'm told, is a 3:25, so
we'll break, let's say for about 20
minutes starting at $3: 15$. And if you
don't have glasses, don't do it. You
will not be happy if you do it without these special glasses.

Mr. Pulitzer, would you please call
the roll?
CLERK PULITZER: Thank you,
Presiding Officer. Rules Committee:
Legislator Arnold Drucker?
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator Siela
Bynoe?
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Ranking member
Delia DeRiggi-Whitton?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator James
Kennedy?
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Legislator John Ferretti.


LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Vice Chairman
Thomas McKevitt?
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: Chairman Howard Kopel.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Here.
CLERK PULITZER: We have a quorum, sir.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you. I will
now read out the various contracts that we will be considering and we will take a motion on those:

E27-24, JKM Training Inc.; E71 Mayer Hoffman McCann, CPAs; E73, Rich Moffett Court Reporting; E40, Top Key Court

Reporting; E49, Anthony D. Perri; A4 is Tyler Technologies; E50 is LIRO GIS, Inc.; E51, INSUM Solutions Corp.; E69, LIRO GIS; E54, WB Studio Enterprises, Inc.; E72, Global Sports Center of Nassau; E74. Mlicata Entertainment; E75, Steven Dassa.

Next group was with the Police

Department: A1 Jasper Engines and
Transmissions; A7, Gabrielli Truck Sales;
A20 Novo DR; A21, Advanced Detection
Technology; E47 is the Safe Center of
Long Island; E52, Carl Juul-Nielsen, DVM; E53, Crime Victims Center.

Next of group is with Public Works:
A5, Aqua Treat Limited; A6, Cross Island Welding and Equipment; A13, an Excelsior Elevator Corporation; A14 Forward Door of New York; B3, EJ Electric installation; B7, Pratt Brothers; E59. J.C. Broderick and Associates; E60, Cameron Engineering and Associates; E63, Lockwood, Kessler and Bartlett; E64, NV5; E70, The Gordian Group, Inc.

Next group is with the County
Attorney: E41, LH Reporting services;
E42 Veritext LLC; E43, Law Offices of
Vincent McNamara; E44, Law Offices of
Vincent McNamara; F45, West Group; E46, West Group; E48, Law Office of Vincent McNamara; E55 One World Judicial

Services; E56, Bee Reporting Agency; E57,


Rich Moffett Court Reporting; E58, Robert
J. Bishop; E61, Law Office of Vincent

McNamara; E62, Jaspen Schlesinger; E66,
Law Office of Vincent McNamara; E67,
Abrams Fensterman; E68, Bee, Ready,
Fishbein, Hatten and Donovan.
On those, motion is made by Mr.
McKevitt, seconded by Mr. Drucker.
Now I'm going to take a motion to
table a number of these contracts,
because the Inspector General is not yet
ready on those. That would be A1, Jasper
Engines; A53, Crime Victims Center; A6,
Cross Island Welding; A13, Excelsior
Elevator; A14, Forward Door of New York;
B3, E-J Electric Installation; E70,
Gordian Group.
A motion to table by Ranking Member
DiRiggi-Whitton and seconded by Mr.
Kennedy.
All those in favor of tabling those items, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Rules Committee respond in
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```
    favor with, "Aye".)
```

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Those items are unanimously tabled.

Let's start now with Probation.
That will be E27, JKM Training.
MR. SCHILIRO: Good afternoon. Joe Schiliro, Fiscal Officer of Nassau County Department of Probation.

This is a contract with JKM Training to provide safe crisis management training to the staff at the Juvenile Detention Center, as mandated by the New York State Office of Children and Family Services, in accordance with New York Code Rules and Regulations, Title 9, Subtitle E, part 180 Juvenile Detention Center Facilities Regulations.

JKM Training was the only vendor responding to the RFP for Safe Crisis Management, and is the only source that has been identified by the Juvenile


Detention Center to provide the specialized training other than our prior vendor, Handle With Care. JKM provides the education and training for certification of direct care staff in the discipline of physical restraints and verbal de-escalation as mandated, again, New York Codes Rules and Regulations, Part 180 secured and specialized in accordance with New York State

Regulations for specialized secure detention facilities.

The contract provides for on site staff training for up to 40 staff members, including emergency staff and physical interventions and restraints.

As concerns for the single bid response, the $R F P$ has been reviewed in regards to specifications being unduly restrictive. The specifications were in accordance with the criteria set forth by New York State setting forth the training criteria. The RFP was advertised to Newsday and posted on the County website

with the correct commodity code used. Safe management crisis is highly specialized behavior management and restraint techniques utilized in the supervision of a highly specialized population, as in the individuals housed in the juvenile detention center.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay, I'm told that
this was previously tabled, so I have a motion to untable by Mr. Feretti, seconded by Ms. Bynoe. All those in favor of untabling this matter, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions on this matter?

Yes. Ms. Bynoe.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hello. Good day. Question: This is specifically for
those who are directly responsible for the oversight at the Juvenile Detention Center?

MR. SCHILIRO: Youth care, child
care providers at the JDC and staff at the JDC. Anybody who comes into contact with that staff in that population.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. And how
many employees do we have there?
MR. SCHILIRO: There's about 35
there now.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Is there? I
didn't know we had that many. Okay. All
right. Thank you.
MR. SCHILIRO: Yep.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Anyone else?
(Whereupon, no verbal response.)
$\qquad$

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Thank you.
E71, Mayor Hoffman McCann. That's with Comptroller's Office. Charlie.

MR. CASOLARO: Good afternoon, Legislators. On behalf of the Comptroller, Charlie Casolaro, Chief Counsel.

This is an amendment to extend an existing contract between the County and Mayer Hoffman McCann, formerly known as Marks Paneth. They provide auditing services for our state, federal, and MTA grants. We've asked to extend the contract by three audit years and increase the sum of the contract \$982,000. The original contract was obtained in 2019 after an RFP process.

As I've said before to this Body, the State reporting requirements and Federal reporting requirements for our grants are becoming more and more complex. We require the utility of outside vendors such as Mayer Hoffman McCann, and we ask that this be approved.


CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Thank you. Any questions?

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: So now your new maximum amount is $\$ 2,500,000$ ?

MR. CASOLARO: Yes. The new maximum amount of the whole contract is $\$ 2,577,000$. This is amendment number two. The First Amendment was by the Department of Public Works for an indirect cost analysis for the New York State Department of Transportation capitalization projects, and that increased the contract amount by $\$ 200,000$. This amendment, if approved, will increase the contract amount $\$ 982,000$, bringing it to a total of $\$ 2,577,000$.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: That's a lot of money.

MR. CASOLARO: Agreed. Agreed. But as I've indicated earlier, these obligations that the County is under when it received grants are becoming more and more complex, and we really do require
the services of outside vendors such as Mayer McCann and Hoffman to help us meet the timelines, which are tight for these reports, and the complexity of them.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: What is the approximate amount of grants that you're receiving?

MR. CASOLARO: I don't have a specific number, but they're in the millions of dollars, Legislator.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Like more than $\$ 2.5$ million.

MR. CASOLARO: Many, many, many, many, many times more. It's the whole county's federal, state and MTA grants. I don't have an exact number, but it's in the tens of millions. So it's a cost benefit analysis in favor of the county.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay. That was my question. All right. Thank you.

MR. CASOLARO: Yes.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I just have one. Do you anticipate any need for
further extensions? I mean, it's not something before us now, but do you think
that we're going to have to go after 2026
to have another extension?
MR. CASOLARO: I do not. I do not,
Legislator. That's something that we've discussed in the office; we do not.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Okay, good.

Thank you.
MR. CASOLARO: You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Anyone else?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.
$\qquad$

District Attorney, E73, Richard Moffett Court Reporting.

ADA MCDERMOTT: Good afternoon, Presiding Officer, Legislators. Dennis McDermott, Assistant District Attorney. CLDA 24-1 is an amendment, and its sole purpose is to increase the fees paid to Rich Moffett Court Reporting, in order that he can keep the few people that he has who are now doing grand jury reporting, which, of course is a state mandate. He started with eight grand jury reporters and because outside other governments ability to work remotely, in a lot of instances, he is now down to five, possibly four by now. And so we're increasing across the board. We're giving them a $10 \%$ raise. We do not increase the maximum amount under the contract because we had put in enough cushion that will cover us through next year.
$\qquad$ $16=$
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CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Next contracts are with Consumer Affairs. The first is with E40, Top Key Reporting.

COMMISSIONER CAPECE: Good
afternoon, Legislators.
These two contracts are just our normal operations for our judge, Judge Perri, who is the one who arbitrates a lot of our fines and does all our normal business for any type of consumer complaints and things of that nature. And in conjunction with that is for the court reporter. The court reporter is a remote reporter that documents all the proceedings done by the judge at his hearings.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: So you've told us about E49 and E40 as well. Okay. Any questions on those two?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Thank you.
$\qquad$ $18=$

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Next group is with Information Technology. The first one is A4, Tyler Technologies.

MR. JACOVINA: Good afternoon. Joe Jacovina, Deputy Commissioner, Information Technology.

A4-2024 is with Tyler Technologies, Inc. This is to authorize an award of purchase order for the annual software licenses, support and maintenance of the Enterprise Assessment and Tax IAS World software application. The software was previously known as IAS Adapt. This is a sole source procurement.

The software is necessary to maintain the current technology for modules related to tax billing collections, delinquent tax, appeals management and the electronic document management system (EDMS) interface. The period of agreement is from April 1st, 2024 through March 31st, 2025. The maximum amount authorized under this purchase order is $\$ 735,380$.

I'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So are all of these tasks that you listed, are they all necessary with the fact that the roll is frozen?

MR. JACOVINA: I'm sorry?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Does the roll is frozen, does that have any impact onto how much you need these services?

MR. JACOVINA: No, they still need to run all the time.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Even though the roll is frozen?

MR. JACOVINA: Yes. These applications are up and running every day Monday through Friday.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: What do you do with the information, if the roll is frozen?

MR. JACOVINA: That would be someone from Assessment to answer that question.

I was hoping to have someone here. There are still tax collections. I can answer things like that.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I know that, but this just seems more -- Here we go.

MR. JACOVINA: My friend from Assessment has arrived.

MR. ROSS: Good afternoon, Daniel
Ross, Department of Assessment.
So to answer the question, the software that we use is not solely related only to assessment. It handles everything from exemptions to processing of refunds from the Treasurer, Sales information. It is a multi departmental software regardless of the status of the role that is needed for daily functions. It's not just solely --

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It's
not really stated, what you just
mentioned isn't here in the back up.
MR. ROSS: Tyler Technologies is the software that is used for every aspect.

What you are referencing is just one component of the Department functions. So the CAMA System is a module within Adapt, but it is not the only function of Adapt.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay.
All I'm saying is what we have in front of us basically just focuses on the technology maintenance agreement for modules related to tax billing, collections, delinquent taxes, appeals management. But if you're saying that this is also -- it encompasses more than what's listed here basically.

MR. ROSS: Oh, absolutely. Tax
Appeal is the assessment Review
Commission. Taxation is the Treasurer's
Office. It's a multi departmental software application. It's not just Assessment related.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay.
And the total amount again is what?
MR. JACOVINA: $\$ 735,380$.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And
that's a purchase order of the program,

correct?
MR. JACOVINA: That is for support, maintenance and licenses.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And how long do you anticipate that will last for?

MR. JACOVINA: Last year, IT in conjunction with Assessment, there was an RFEI issued -- it was prior to my presenting this last year. Since that time, IT has not been asked to get involved in any RFP process. We've given the Assessment Department the 2006 RFP when this when we purchased this application. IT will support Assessment in any efforts they have to move forward. I think maybe, Dan, you can speak better to that.

MR. ROSS: So we've been looking at it. We originally intended to put out an RFP for the replacement, but the software is so large at this point in time that in order to put the RFP is a very arduous task that we're trying to figure out how
$\qquad$
to proceed.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All
right. So the $\$ 735,000$ we think will carry you through the next year, or?

MR. JACOVINA: It will carry us
through March 31st, 2025. It's a one year license. If we continue to run this software past that, I will be back to present a similar presentation.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Okay.
We'll see which direction you go. All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Anyone else?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.
The next is E50 with LIRO GIS.
MR. JACOVINA: E50-2024, LIRO GIS,
Inc. This is an amendment to renew the
term of the agreement with LIRO GIS by extending by one year the termination date to March 1st, 2025.

Under this amendment, LIRO provides technical resources when needed to the

GIS Information Systems team, known as GIS. LIRO has supported FireCom, DPW, GIS information in their field work. I'll be happy to answer any questions. CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions? (Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E51, INSUM
Solutions.
MR. JACOVINA: E51-2024 INSUM
Solutions Corp.
This amendment is to authorize the payment for services provided between March 3rd, 2023 and the execution of a new contract with INSUM Solutions on October 24th, 2023. Upon authorization, the maximum amount to be paid under this contract is $\$ 2,622,918.50$. In the amendment request, an encumbrance of $\$ 372,918.50$ to be made available upon execution.

I'll be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: This expired in March '23?

MR. JACOVINA: It expired in March
'23 and was renewed in October 2023.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. It was renewed okay, fine. Okay. Any questions?

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Howard.
So I'm a little confused. It was expired on October 23, 2023.

MR. JACOVINA: Yes.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So this is a gap from October '23 until now?

MR. JACOVINA: Yes, it is. I can go over a little bit of the chronology.

IT believed that we could extend the contract that expired in March, and we were told by compliance that there were no more extensions allowed under that contract. We then went out for an RFP. Actually, the RFP was awarded to the same vendor. This vendor supports our apex group for training and development of what is now over 150 applications.

What happened was that during that

time period, there is a clause in the contract, which I believe was in the documentation. I'm not sure. It's called an extension of services under Section 14 C of the expiring contract. This allowed us to use the vendor in the contract renewal interim period. We contacted the County Attorney and were told that was proper, but it did not apply to make the payments to the vendor. So it allowed us to continue our processing for that six month period -- approximately six month period. But it did not allow us to pay the vendor, and that's why I'm here today asking for the encumbrance.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So we have no pending contract as of this moment with this vendor?

MR. JACOVINA: No. We now have an existing contract that started October 24th, 2023.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Oh, okay.
MR. JACOVINA: This just covered the interim period.
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LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So it's just the encumbrance for the interim period. MR. JACOVINA: Correct.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Okay. We're not talking about the contract that started in October.

MR. JACOVINA: No. That I presented to this Committee back in September 2023.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: All right.
Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.
E69, again LIRO.
MR. JACOVINA: E69-2024 is LIRO GIS, Inc.. This is an amendment to renew the term of the agreement with LIRO GIS, Inc. By extending by one year the termination date to February 10, 2025.

LIRO GIS, Inc. is one of several vendors that are available to IT to provide supplemental staffing services as required. We currently have one consultant active under this agreement supporting Assessment.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: The rates are the
$\qquad$


CHAIRMAN KOPEL: The next group of contracts is with Parks. We'll start with E54, which is WB Studio Enterprises.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Good
afternoon, everyone. Darcy Belyea Parks Commissioner. E54-24, as you mentioned, is a film permit between Nassau County and WB Studio Enterprises, Inc., also known as Warner Brothers.

This permit will allow Warner Brothers to utilize portions of the Old Bethpage Village restoration to film portions of a movie entitled "The Bride", set in 1930, a remake of "The Bride of Frankenstein". The term of this agreement is from April 29, 2024, terminating on June 7, 2024.

A short form environmental
assessment review is performed and there is no adverse environmental impacts to the work that they will be doing to prepare the set. Regular operations of Old Bethpage Village will not be affected. And as you know, typically
permits of this type are not presented to this board, but the permit is in excess of $\$ 25,000$, a total of $\$ 50,000$. So anything in excess for use of parks property requires your approval.

I also want to give credit to Nugent Cantileno and Anthony Labriola of the Film Office for securing this production. The recently ended strikes impacted the work that they did. And they're proving each day that Nassau County is back as a prime location for filming. So your support is appreciated.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi,
Darcy. I just got a complaint from one of our constituents that a lot of the buildings in the Old Bethpage Restoration Village are falling down or not well maintained, they thought. Do you have any status of that?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Sure. This is off this item, but they have not been maintained as they should have been. And we've done an assessment with the help of

Public Works. And there is one that is slated to come down. But we are working with restorers to see if we could rebuild it in its spot. So right now the demo is on hold, and then we are putting a plan into place with new capital and existing capital to maintain what is there.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Do you
know which building is in danger?
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: The Lawrence
Lewis Barn.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: That's
what I heard. All right. I hope we could use some of this money that we're getting for permits like this to help restore the Village.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: We have capital funds earmarked for this as well. It just seemed to have been stalled over since Covid. So we need to play catch up a little bit.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All right. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Thank you,

Presiding Officer.
Hi, Darcy.
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Hi.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: So I happen to live across the street from the Old Bethpage Village Restoration. So we're very sensitive to things that are done there. It's a wonderful jewel that the County has, and the events that take place there are amazing, including the filming of "The Gilded Age" that has been going on there.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Yes, which is resuming this this summer.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: I'm aware.
But obviously I always have
questions and concerns with residents and any noise or any sort of interference with quality of life issues that may take place when it's coming to filming movies and television shows, etc. Do you have any any indications from Warner Brothers that it's not going to impact that type of situation.
$\qquad$ $33=$

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: They're saying it won't. They're actually going to be constructing an old time drive in movie on the existing baseball field and which is more towards the back of the property. And there's really no residential that abuts that area. So I can confirm that and make sure. But I know that the Film Office always checks that.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Yeah, the only thing that is concerns sometimes there was like bright lights in the evening that are emanating from there that neighbors said they have seen, but I haven't, and I'm across the street from that too. But it's something that I always am aware of and sensitive to and just to make sure Warner Brothers is cognizant of that. Thank you, Darcy. COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Okay. LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hi, Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Hi, there. LEGISLATOR BYNOE: So you said a drive-in theater?


COMMISSIONER BELYEA: They're recreating an old time 1930s drive-in movie theater.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And it's going to be in regular operational --

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: No, just for the filming.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Just for the film?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Yeah. Just for the filming. Temporary. The permit ends on June 7 th.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: When do you expect they'll start filming?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: April 29th.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. And it'll
be a every day type of thing?
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: I think
they're going to produce the set. I don't
recall the shooting days. I want to say they're May 4th, 5th and 6th, something along those lines. But they built in extra time for weather and demo.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. And then
$\qquad$
you said we don't normally see these items because 25 and under, they don't come to us.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Exactly.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Was there any reason why this is coming in at such a higher price point?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: The production
is more length of time, more services needed, more space.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay, great. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: You're welcome.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Commissioner, I think you mentioned that this is going to be taking place on the old time baseball field. I just want to make sure that they will be continuing to play their games through other parts of the park, and then when it's done, they get to go back to that field at some future point.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: They will.

They have been made aware, um, they're going to go to the Hewlett Field, which is further south in the village. I actually have a request from them for some support. So we're going to be helping them with field maintenance and things like that.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Okay. Great.
A former member of this body, is quite actively involved in that activity.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: I am familiar with that. I've watched him play.

LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: And since he's been gone, our sessions are much shorter now, so I'll just leave it at that (laughter).

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E72, Global Sports Center.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: E72-24, I'm actually really excited to bring this before you. This is an amendment to a use and occupancy permit between the County and Global Sports Center Nassau, LLC. The most recent permit was approved by this

body on October 4, 2022. Since that time, opportunities for additional capital improvements for both the Butler Building and the adjacent field space at Mitchell Complex have presented themselves. This amendment will allow the County and global to partner to create a secondary athletic venue at the complex that will allow for local colleges and universities, and perhaps professional teams, to have additional venue options, smaller, more intimate, at the complex, such as soccer, football, lacrosse and field hockey. Specifically, the amendment will allow global to install turf, lighting and seating to the field spaces known as fields five, six and seven, which is to the south of the Butler Building. They're going to invest in additional, with your approval, \$4.5 million. So their total investment will be in excess of $\$ 8$ million at no cost to the county. Global will be responsible for all the infrastructure costs,
including stormwater drainage systems, as well as sewer and utility connections. This work will be in addition, as I mentioned.

The amendment seeks to extend the term to include two ten year terms, followed by a five year renewal option. If approved, this permit will terminate on October 3, 2049, if all renewal options are exercised. The return to the County will see an escalation in the annual rent from $\$ 180,000$ this year, increasing to $\$ 393,526$ over the 25 year term, as well as a percentage of gross receipts for all of Global's operations at the Mitchell Butler and the Field Complex, ranging from 1\% this year to an eventual 5\% this year. That doesn't vary very much from the original agreement approved by this Body. Public use of the facility will be enhanced and still remain plentiful.

If approved, the Department will then present the amended plans to the

Department of Interior, who, as you know, must approve any additional capital improvement beyond the scope of the October 22 nd agreement.

I feel strongly that these
improvements will greatly enhance that complex, and I appreciate your support.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: So when teams use this field, they presumably pay Global a fee.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Depends who's using it. There is opportunities for the County to permit out that field. And right now we really haven't been able to because the condition of fields five and seven are so poor. But with the entire stretch, with artificial turf, we'll see more revenue from that as well.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: But Global does rent out the field, right?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Global for their own use will use it. And then, I don't want to speak about it publicly, but there's a local university that's
going to be contributing to the capital investment, and they will have use of it as well.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. Thank you.

Anyone else?
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hi, Commissioner.
Is there any community benefit built
into alienating this parkland and
providing it to a for profit entity for the purpose of the community at large?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: So we aren't alienating it. We are continuing an existing public/private partnership that's been in place, I believe, since '09. But what Global is willing to do is take the grass field that currently exists there and artificial turf it. Built into the amendment is that we have extensive public use of that field.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: At no cost. COMMISSIONER BELYEA: At no cost. Our property.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: And what is it
there going to be -- how will they modernize that field?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: They are adding artificial turf. They're adding lighting, which isn't there now. Extended seating, outdoor restrooms.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: What type of field is my question.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Oh, I'm sorry.
Soccer, field hockey, lacrosse and football. It'll be multi-purpose. And then the other two sides of it could could also be used for other sports. Mostly there is either pre-K, kindergarten, $T$-ball and then soccer and lacrosse. There's some track and field there, events as well.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: At what point was the community brought in on this and any discussions?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: NO, which isn't typical for us to do.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: It should be.
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Maybe it
should be.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Yeah.
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: But I think honestly unused space right now that's going to have artificial turf makes it more usable. I think the public will be happy.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I'm not criticizing the idea.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: No, I know.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: It's just that we never bring in community so that they can understand how they might best use that field. The folks in Uniondale don't even have a county park. They don't have anything. And this is the one field that they have. And I can remember that. I think it was Uniondale High School wanted to have their graduation at Mitchell Field. They had to pay a fee like everyone else. They're there. It's part of their community. And they should be able to have a voice in the process and what things look like and how it's
actually brought to fruition.
So I'm not criticizing the idea. I'm just saying that the approach sometimes it's challenging for me. Because I learn about this in an agenda. And it challenges me to be able to go back to community and say, yeah, this is what's happening, but it was it happened outside of us even knowing that it was happening.

Then the idea that we wouldn't have transparency on the record regarding the local university, who will be utilizing the space, I guess that's because you're further negotiating it; is that why?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Their
contribution is not to the County because we're not responsible for any of the capital improvements. Their contributions are actually being made as a partner of Global, so I don't want to speak for them, but I can share it with you privately. I'm not hiding anything, I just don't think it's my purview to speak about it.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: I think that we all should be in knowing. I happen to represent the area, but we should all know who the university is. If we're in some way or another in this PP3 endeavor are going to be co-stakeholders, we should know who they are. I think that's fair. I know at some point we go into Executive Session for some settlements. I'd like to be privy to who the entity is. I don't normally like to see us handcuffed to some experience, and we don't know who the folks are. So I actually would ask that --

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: We'll make sure you get the name.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: No. I think "we" as a Body should want to know who.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any legislator who needs to know, who wants to know, will get the name.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: But even the general public should know. It should be on the record for the general public to
know who we're partnering with. I just don't understand why -- if it's not a matter of negotiation, then it's not cloaked under the protection of any type of confidentiality.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Darcy, you want to speak to that?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: As I mentioned previously, the arrangement for the addition to the capital expense by the university is a sub agreement with Global. It is not directly with the County.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: But we're leasing the Global, and so this is Global's partner. And we're relying on them to actually come through and provide some level of financial resources for the benefit of improving the area. And I think we should know, and the taxpayers have a right to know, who that is.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Understood.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: If you had allowed me to finish, I was going to

suggest is after my colleague Arnie Drucker asked his question, I was going to suggest that we table it for the purpose of going into Executive Session and understanding why we can't do it on the record, because I really think that on the record it should be said who this university is.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. You can make your motion.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Darcy, just in follow up to Legislator Bynoe, would this contract normally provide for an indemnification to the County if the third party, like the University, is using it?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Yes. And as for all field use, and any permit that we issue, insurance is required. I can share with you that maybe you can figure it out by deduction without me coming forth and saying it, because I don't want to speak for Global, is that the university currently has a field at the Mitchell
complex, which they paid to be built.
They provide us for insurance. They rent our other park facility fields for which they pay for and provide insurance. And now they're looking to have all of their athletic teams play out in one complex, which would be Mitchell.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Okay. My only other question is, my eyebrows are always raised when $I$ see a contract at this length for 25 years. Is that something that is really an aberration here or an anomaly? Do we know? I don't really see contracts that are 25 years.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Well, they are
ten years. Option to renew for an
additional ten, an option to renew for an additional five. And it really is designed so that they can see a return on the capital investment that they're making.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Right. But we don't usually do contracts with that type of potential for 25 years, do we?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: I have some in my files that we're still engaged with. If you want to be honest, there are many.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Just gives me reason to pause when $I$ see contracts of that length, that's all.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Technically, more often for parks. I would think we have extensive capital improvements that are required, and there are several contracts that we're in the midst of now that are for that period of time or longer. But I understand your reasoning for it, but with the over $\$ 8$ million capital improvement that's made, we do need to give them time to have a return on their investment.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: You just referenced to other contracts that you working on. They haven't come before this body yet have they?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: No, no. That predate me even that I'm working off of in my files. Some are for in perpetuity.

LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Really. Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Welcome.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any other questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. You have a motion?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: While I admit I could not deduce what university, I was aided by a member of staff, and I appreciate that, but $I$ think it's a disservice for taxpayers that we come here and we talk about a high level of investment on County land, and we're reliant on the other stakeholder to make their contribution and we don't disclose who that entity is. I think it's cloaked under secrecy and it's unnecessary. We're here to be transparent and accountable to our constituents and our taxpayers. I think an item like this should be tabled until which time the County is
comfortable and prepared to say on the record who it's partner is. So I make a motion to table.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. A motion to table is made by Legislator Bynoe, seconded by Legislator Drucker. There is no debate on a motion to table. So therefore, all those in favor of tabling this item, please say aye.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Aye.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Aye.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Aye.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Those opposed?
Nay.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Nay.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Nay.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Nay.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. The
motion is defeated.
Next item is E74, which is Mlicata Entertainment.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Yes. East 7424
is a personal service agreement with Mlicata Entertainment. Parks conducted an

RFP seeking entertainment promoters and booking agents. Nine proposals were received and evaluated. This is one of six proposals to be awarded, as some vendors specialize in genres that others do not. The term of this agreement is for three years commencing January 1st, 2024, terminating on December 31st, 2026, with the option to renew for two one year periods. There was a delay in presenting this agreement due to vendor portal challenges on behalf of the user. Payment on this contract will not exceed $\$ 150,000$ per year. The total value over the potential five year term will not exceed $\$ 750,000$, and this contract is funded by the Hotel/Motel Tax Grant fund.

> CHAIRMAN KOPEL: This fee, if I'm not mistaken, does include the fee to the various performers. Would that be correct?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Correct.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: And I was always curious, is there a minimum number of
acts that they've got to do? In other words, you can pay one act a great deal of money, or you can pay a bunch of acts a little bit less or a lot less.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Correct. It all depends on the menu of entertainment that we're looking to put together each year. MLicatta is one who focuses on tribute bands. So we'll look to give her 4 to 5, maybe six acts per year. They're usually $\$ 10,000$ or less for those bands. CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Anyone else?

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hi, Commissioner, again. Could you tell me what type of genre of music?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Mostly tribute bands. So I think she's booking for us, 45 RPM is one this year, there is an Eagles tribute band. I can't recall off the top of my head. I'm sorry. I don't have that information handy. To be announced soon though.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Tribute bands is a genre of music? I was looking --

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Mostly rock, pop is what her focus is.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Okay. We have a contract for other types of genres of music like soca, reggae, Haitian, Creole.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: The Brian Rosenberg contract that this Body approved previously. This Body approved it last year, I believe. He helps us get those those kind of genres.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Compas?
COMMISSIONER BELYEA: What is the last one?

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Better e-mail me about that one. That one I'm not familiar with.

LEGISLATOR BYNOE: That's the Haitian Creole. That's the music they enjoy. All right. I thought we had enough help and support getting tribute bands. I didn't see a lack of tribute bands and rock and roll music last year. I saw a lack of other type of entertainment, not rock 'n roll.

## COMMISSIONER BELYEA: MLicata

 actually has worked with the County for over ten years. Her existing contract expired last December, so she entered the RFP and we're entering into a new agreement. There was no more renewal options there.COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Okay. Could
you please provide me with a listing of all these entities and what genres they're responsible for and how much they're getting paid.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Sure.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. The last contract for parks is with Steven Dassa. That's E75.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Yes. Very similar to E74, E75-24 is a personal services agreement with Steve Dassa Entertainment, as a result of the same RFP seeking entertainment promoters and booking agents. This is number six of six proposals that was awarded. The term of
$\qquad$
this agreement is for three years commencing on January 1st, 2024 and ending on December 31st, 2026 with two one year renewal options. Again, issues in updating the vendor portal on behalf of the user caused this item to be presented retroactively. Payment on this contract will not exceed $\$ 150,000$ per year. The total value over the potential five year term will not exceed $\$ 750,000$, and again funded by the Hotel/Motel Tax Grant program fund. CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions? (Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Thank you Darcy.

COMMISSIONER BELYEA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: The next group will be with the Police Department. Let's start with A7, Gabrielli Truck sales. Inspector, how are you?

INSPECTOR FIELD: Good afternoon. William Field, Inspector with the Police Department.

Item A7-2024 is to authorize and award a purchase order for rescue trucks for the Police Department's Emergency Services Unit. The maximum amount authorized under this purchase order is $\$ 1,467,296$. It is capital funded. Office of Purchasing recommends an award be given to Gabrielli Truck Sales as the lowest responsible bidder meeting specifications.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions? (Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: The next one is A-20, is Novo DR.

INSPECTOR FIELD: A20-2024 is to authorize and award a purchase order for
portable X-ray detection equipment for the Nassau County Police Department's

Arson Bomb Unit. The maximum amount authorized under this purchase order is $\$ 135,330$. It's federally grant funded. Office of Purchasing recommends an award be given to Novo DR Incorporated as the lowest responsible bidder meeting specifications.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions? (Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right.
A21, Advanced Detection Technology. INSPECTOR FIELD: Item A21-24 is to authorize and award a purchase order for bomb detection equipment for the Police Department's Arson Bomb Unit. The maximum amount authorized under this purchase order is $\$ 110,568.23$. It's also grant funded. Office of Purchasing recommends an award be given to Advanced Detection Technology as the lowest responsible bidder meeting specifications.

## CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?

 (Whereupon, no verbal response.)CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E47, Safe Center Long Island.

INSPECTOR FIELD: E47-2024 is an amendment to a contract providing the Police Department with support services for victims of domestic violence, child abuse, sexual abuse, and human trafficking. This contract is with the Safe Center LI, Inc. We're looking to extend the term of the contract for an additional year and increase the maximum amount by $\$ 250,000$.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Hi. Good day.
INSPECTOR FIELD: Good afternoon.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Is the Police Department is still embedded in their operation out in Bethpage?

INSPECTOR FIELD: Yes, I believe so. They haven't moved.
$\qquad$
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E52. Carl Juul-Nielsen.
INSPECTOR FIELD: E52-2024 is an
amendment to existing contract for equine veterinary services services for the horses in our mounted unit. The vendor is Carl Juul-Nielsen. We are looking to extend the term by two years and increase the maximum amount by $\$ 50,000$.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.


CHAIRMAN KOPEL: The next group is with Public Works. Haven Public Works.

MR. LEIMONE: Chris Lemoine. We have multiple individuals from departments.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay, fine. Thank you, Chris.

So let's start with A5 Aqua Treat.
MR. NIMMO: Good afternoon, Bill
Nimmo, Nassau County Department of Public Works. This contract is for five years for $\$ 900,000$ for Aqua Treat. They're the company we use to treat our cooling towers; monitor, treat. And they're license to make sure we don't get anybody sick with Legionnaires disease.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.
Next one is B7 which is Pratt Brothers.

MR. GEORGE: Good afternoon. My name is Thomas George, Deputy Commissioner for Nassau County DPW.
$\qquad$
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Resurfacing requirement contract amendment two. The current contract expires in April 21, 2024, and the new resurfacing requirement contract has been delayed in the award process. There may be no available funding for emergency work, immediate corrective measures or resurfacing of pavement in poor condition.

The Department is requesting approval of the following amendment that is necessary for Pratt Brothers to continue to perform the On-call construction service.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.
The next one is E59 is JC Broderick and Associates.

MR. LABAW: Good afternoon. Robert Labaw, Chief Architect, DPW.

E59-24 is for a contract amendment to an existing contract with JC Broderick

and Associates, our hazardous material
contractor. This contract was originated
in September of '21, was due to expire in
'23, but by the terms of the agreement was extended an additional two years to September of '25, by letter from the Commissioner. The amendment that's before you for approval this afternoon is to increase the contract cap, as we are close to that. We are in the process or wish to increase the cap to a maximum of $\$ 900,000$, which would be increasing the cap by $\$ 400,000$. All this work is necessary so that County facilities staff can safely enter and alter or repair our existing facilities.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions? (Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.
Next is E60 with Cameron

Engineering.
MR. LABAW: E60-24 is for a personal service agreement with Cameron

Engineering and Associates. The contract value is $\$ 806,000$. The term would be from April 15th through April 14th of 2029. That's a five year term. And what this project is all about, it's to design how to decommission, demolish, restore the existing grounds while maintaining continuous operation of the transfer station at the existing Long Beach Wastewater plant. Upon completion, the transfer pump station will remain and the ownership will still be with Nassau County. The contract includes providing all the specifications, the detailed design, construction schedule, cost estimates, hazardous material surveys, and construction administration.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.
E63 is with Lockwood, Kessler and Bartlett.

MR. GEORGE: Good afternoon, Thomas
$\qquad$

George, Deputy Commissioner for Nassau County DPW.

This is an on-call design service civil engineering site development contract. The purpose of this contract is to provide on-call civil engineering and site development, design and support service for civil engineering site development unit. The service typically includes the development of studies, recommendations, surveying, design plans, construction estimate and special specification for roads, bridges, parks, drainage facilities and various other county infrastructures. This service could also include providing civil engineers, structural engineers, surveyors, landscape architect, horticulture inspectors and engineering aids to support the Department's civil engineering and site development staff. CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions? (Whereupon, no verbal response.)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Thank you.
The last one with public works is E64, NV5.

MR. GEORGE: E64-24. On-call
Construction and Inspection Service for highways and bridges. The purpose of this contract is to provide on-call highways and bridges construction inspection service for Department of Public Works. The service shall include, but not limited to, providing resident engineers, office engineers, inspectors, schedulers, cost estimates, specialized inspectors, specialized engineers, wildlife biologist, specialized engineering service, field survey parties, evaluation of contractor claims, Pre-bid constructability reviews, and construction related engineering service for various highway and bridge related projects as authorized by the Department.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Hi.
Can I just ask you -- well, first of all, obviously inspecting bridges is very
important, I think we all know that, but the maximum amount is $\$ 5$ million?

MR. GEORGE: Correct. So we're
Encumbering \$250,000 now, correct?
MR. GEORGE: Yes.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So you would have to come before us before you go any further or how does that work?

MR. GEORGE: I'm sorry?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So
we're only encumbering $\$ 250,000$ at this point.

MR. GEORGE: Correct.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So nothing additional without coming back to us. Is that right, Chris?

MR. LEIMONE: Chris Leimone.
You're approving the full maximum amount of the contract, which is $\$ 5$ million, but they're only encumbering $\$ 250,000$.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So this
is a $\$ 5$ million contract, and it's a three year contract; is that right?
$\qquad$

MR. GEORGE: Yes. It's a three year contract.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Yeah, I'm just a little confused because usually with the on-call we hear about it again, they come back. We're just authorizing $\$ 5$ million without them coming back at all?

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Yeah. I don't think they do that for that.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All
right. So once once we authorize the \$5 million, that's it.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: That's it.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And we don't have any say as to --

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Individual on-call items, no, I believe not.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Right.
I understand the need for it, but \$5 million is a big number. And the
companies that are on the on-call list, we have eight firms?

MR. GEORGE: Total eight firms, but
$\qquad$
right now we are here for NV5, actually.
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But
you're getting the whole $\$ 5$ million right now.

MR. GEORGE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Delia, when they if they have an emergency, if they have an urgent need for a bridge inspection --

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I know,
I get it now. I've been around a while, I get it. I get the emergency, but usually -- I don't know, maybe I'm wrong, but this is the largest on-call that I remember, \$5 million.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: I'm not in a position to say.

LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All
right. So I guess we'll have to go with good faith because we know it's an important thing, but $I$ think $\$ 5$ million is a lot. Even if it was a million, it would probably cover us in an emergency situation until it came to the Legislature. That would be a number I
$\qquad$
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CHAIRMAN KOPEL: I'm told that B3 has now been approved by the Inspector General. So we have a motion untable by Mr. Kennedy, seconded by Mr. Drucker. All those in favor of untabling B3, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: B3 is untabled. B3
is E-J Electric Installation.
MR. LABAW: Good afternoon, Robert
Labaw, Chief Architect.
This is for a building construction requirements electrical contract. The contract is capped at $\$ 3$ million. E-J Electric submitted their bid. They had a labor markup of $28.33 \%$ and a material markup of $9 \%$ which was the lowest responsible bidder. We are requesting that this contract be approved as this

```
will complete the last of our
```

requirements contracts. DPW has been
without an electrical requirements
construction contract for several years,
and we are paying extra markup as all
electrical work that is beyond the
capacity of our in-house staff and gets a
markup by whatever contractor hires an
electrician as a sub.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Do you not usually do this electrical work on a per project basis? This is for repairs or something?

MR. LABAW: Yeah. Basically, requirements are for emergency repairs in general, but there are some projects that do require an electrician. For example, if we are replacing an HVAC unit and new controls and new wiring are necessary for that unit, the electrician is the person that has to run that by union agreement. So consequently, the contractor in that case, it would be an HVAC contractor, has to hire an electrician as a subcontractor. We have to go through the



CHAIRMAN KOPEL: The last group of contracts is with the County Attorney. Mr. Libert?

MR. LIBERT: Good afternoon, Presiding Officer. Brian Libert from the County Attorney's Office.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Great. Brian, let's start with E41, which is LH Reporting Services.

MR. LIBERT: Just for the record and for everyone, there are a bunch on. So just in terms of numbers, if you bear with me, if I mix up a contract with the number, if you say the vendor, that helps me tremendously. So thank you for saying that, it is very useful.

As you know, there are several contracts for court reporters on today. I'll read you the procurement for those, so this way it answers the question for each one.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: You can go through all of them.

MR. LIBERT: Do you want me to go
through the list?
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Yeah. Why don't we do that? You probably have One World Judicial, Bee Reporting, Rich Moffett.

MR. LIBERT: LH Reporting Services, Vertex LLC, Bee Reporting Agency, Rich Moffett Court Reporting, and that would be it for court reporters.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay, One World Judicial?

MR. LIBERT: One World Judicial is something else. I apologize, I just misspoke.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay, then. Go for it, please.

MR. LIBERT: So just in terms of procurement, there was an RFP issued in June of '23. Proposals were due in July of '23. Five contracts responded. The proposals were scored in rank ranking all five and all five were selected. Okay.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any questions?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
$\qquad$ $75=$
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> CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Let's go to E43, which is the Law Office of Vincent McNamara.

MR. LIBERT: This is for GranadosCoreas (phonetic); would that be correct? CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Yes.

MR. LIBERT: Very good. I'll just give a brief summary. This was mini bid and the Law Offices of Vincent McNamara won pursuant to a mini bid. So I'll just give a brief summary. If anybody has any questions, happy to answer the same.

On November 1, 2017, the plaintiff was a pretrial detainee at NCCC, the Correctional Center. There was an incident involving him, and he alleges that he was punched and assaulted by several correction officers.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: The next Vincent McNamara is E44, and that would be with Alyssa Andino (phonetic).

MR. LIBERT: Correct. This is for a case we call Andino and Langona. There's a combined case. This involves a car
accident where the driver of a Jeep lost control and the County's being sued relative to road design.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Do you want to do all the McNamara or is that doesn't make a difference. How would you prefer?

MR. LIBERT: Sure. Always easier to bundle.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Why don't we do E48, Kenneth Ward.

MR. LIBERT: This is an allegation by a plaintiff that he was held unlawfully at NUMC.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E61.
MR. LIBERT: E61 is a contract
called Montoya. This is a car accident involving a minivan that was struck by a County ambulance.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Let's go then to E45, which is West Law Group, and that would be negotiation and operation maintenance agreement with Nassau Energy.

MR. LIBERT: Yes. This is an agreement for West Group's ongoing
management of what they call Nassau Energy, which is essentially the power plant for the area surrounding the Coliseum. This is an ongoing project, not a new contract.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E46.
MR. LIBERT: E46 is relative to the County's wastewater and ongoing negotiations with United Water, now Veolia. This is ongoing and West Group continues to service that agreement. So this is a continuation of their services.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E55, One World Judicial.

MR. LIBERT: This is for process serving. I can get you the exact procurement if necessary. I have them here but it is for process serving and it was done pursuant to a normal procurement process.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E58, Robert Bishop.
MR. LIBERT: This is for the
County's lobbyist. This is an extension
of prior work that Mr. Bishop has been

doing for the County for as long as I can remember.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Must be doing a good job, then. Hopefully.

E62, Jaspan Schlesinger.
MR. LIBERT: This is a case called Walters. It stems from a motor vehicle accident. It was mini bid to the law firm of Jaspan Schlesinger. If you have any questions, I'm more than happy to answer the same.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E66 looks like I missed one of the McNamara, which is W.S. Infant.

MR. LIBERT: Yes. This is a substantial personal injury case involving an infant, as you noted, and it was selected pursuant to mini bid.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E67. Abrams Fensterman.

MR. LIBERT: E67 is a claim by a former employee of the County relative to asbestos and asbestosis. It was assigned to the firm of Abrams Fensterman.
$\qquad$ $79=$
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CHAIRMAN KOPEL: E68 is with Bee Ready, and the case is David Knudsen (phonetic).

MR. LIBERT: E68, as you noted, is called Knudsen. The plaintiff was an inmate at the correctional center, and he alleges that he was assaulted by another inmate. And of course, when you're in custody, that is a responsibility of the jail. That's the nature of the allegation. Nothing I should say should be construed as being true. That's just the allegation.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay. Thank you. MR. LIBERT: Thank you.


CHAIRMAN KOPEL: That concludes discussions.

Now, we do have a provision for public comment. Three minutes for anyone who needs to comment on one or more of the items that we just went through. I have one slip, and that would be Meta Mereday.

MS. MEREDAY: Good afternoon, Meta J. Mereday.

Just a little disappointed that there's no contract for discussion regarding the Inspector General that we desperately need for Nassau County. I
feel it really is an injustice to
residents that we do not have the watch guard person that was so highly touted by the majority of you on the dais, when one county executive went out of office and another one came in from a different party. But now that we just have folks that are just arbitrarily distributing our money here, there and everywhere except to where it's most needed, like in
$\qquad$
our communities, for our veterans
services and for the Medical Center. I feel that we definitely need to have the inspector general.

As it pertains to the contracts that
I heard about, I'm very curious about with E58, when the Presiding Officer basically makes a statement that, okay, we hope that he's doing a good job. If you don't know, the residents don't know either. So I would like to believe that there is some kind of report or some kind of activity with regard to what he's doing, where he's doing it, and how all the residents of Nassau County are benefiting.

I'm concerned about the number of issues that are pertaining to former employees, and the situation at the jail. I'm hoping that the activities are being addressed.

The E67, the former employee with the asbestos, there are a number of toxic buildings still in Nassau County. The

issues that are pertaining to many of those, including the matrimonial court that I believe has about two litigations behind it, a number of former employees from the former building that was there, I'm sure, are still exhibiting some conditions that may be similar to those of us who served at 9/11 are experiencing as well.

So again, I'm hoping that we can get a little more oversight and residents can get more information with regard to what is happening, due to the fact we are hiring out to so many legal firms for activities that we could address internally, i.e. fixing our roads. But you know, if the money has to be directed towards a cricket match and the 125 th anniversary, I guess the roads are not that important.

Providing more support services for our law enforcement so that they do have the training to be sensitive to particular needs for anyone who is facing

incarceration. So I'm again concerned about where our funds are going.

Lastly, with regard to the issue of limiting the speech for residents, that's why I wanted to make sure I was clear that I read the adopted orders, so to speak, with regard to when the public can speak. It's disheartening that residents who take the time away from their jobs cannot speak about pertinent issues when they have the information during the Full Legislative session, and I want to make sure that that was on the record.

Thank you.


CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Okay, I'm going to call a vote on all of these items. We're going to call one of them separately and that would be E72, and that would be a contract with Global Sports Center, LLC. All those in favor of this item, please say aye.

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Aye.
LEGISLATOR MCKEVITT: Aye.
LEGISLATOR FERRETTI: Aye.
LEGISLATOR KENNEDY: Aye.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Nay.
LEGISLATOR BYNOE: Nay.
LEGISLATOR DRUCKER: Nay.
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: All right. So that passes four to three.

All right. Now we'll go through the rest of them: E27, JKM Training; E71, Mayer Hoffman Mccann; E73, Rich Moffett Court Reporting; E40. Top Key Court Reporting; E49, Anthony Perri; A4, Tyler Technologies; E50 LIRO GIS; E51, INSUM Solutions; E69, LIRO GIS; E54, WB Studio


Enterprises; E74, MLiccata Entertainment; E75, Steve Dossa; A7 Gabrielli Truck

Sales; A20, Novo DR; A21, Advanced Detection Technology; E47, The Safe Center Long Island; E52, Carl E.

Juul-Nielsen; A5, Aqua Treat Limited; B3, E-J Electric Installation; B7, Pratt

Brothers; E59 J.C. Broderick and
Associates; E60, Cameron Engineering and
Associates; E63, Lockwood, Kessler and Bartlett; E64, NV5; E41, LH Reporting

Services; E42, Veritext LLC; E43 and E44
both Law Offices of Vincent McNamara; E45
and E46, West Group Law; E48 Law Office
of Vincent McNamara; E55 One World
Judicial Services; E56, Bee Reporting
Agency; E57, Rich Moffett Court
Reporting; E58 Robert J. Bishop; E61, Law
Office of Vincent McNamara; E62, Jaspan
Schlesinger Narendran; E66, Law Offices
of Vincent McNamara; E67, Abrams
Fensterman; E68, Bee Ready Fishbein
Hatter \& Donovan LLP.
All those in favor of those items,

```
please say, "Aye".
```

(Whereupon, all members of
the Rules Committee respond in
favor with, "Aye".)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Those items all
passed unanimously.
I am now going to put the Rules Committee in recess, and we're going to go to Planning.
(Whereupon, recess, 2:26
p.m.- 3:38 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: We are back on
Rules. I am reconvening Rules. We have a
number of items all on consent. They
have gone through previous committees and require no further debate or discussion according to the Majority and Minority. Those are:

Items 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78 and 79.

Motion by Ms. DeRiggi-Whitton,

```
seconded by Mr. McKevitt.
```

All those in favor of those items, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of
the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye".)

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)
CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Those item are unanimous.

Motion to adjourn by Mr. Kennedy, seconded by Ms. Bynoe.

All those in favor of adjourning, please say, "Aye".
(Whereupon, all members of the Rules Committee respond in favor with, "Aye". )

CHAIRMAN KOPEL: Any opposed?
(Whereupon, no verbal
response.)



| \$ | \$806,000 ${ }_{[1]}-64: 3$ | $20^{[1]}$ - 4:4 | $35_{[1]}-11: 11$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,467,296_{[1]}- \\ & 57: 14 \end{aligned}$ | \$900,000 ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 2006 ${ }_{[1]}-23: 14$ | $3: 15^{[1]}$ - 4:5 |
|  | 61:12, 63:13 | 2017 ${ }_{[1]}-76: 14$ | $3: 25^{[1]}$ - 4:3 |
| \$10,000 ${ }_{[1]}-53: 12$ | \$982,000 ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 2019 ${ }_{[1]}-12: 18$ | 3:38 ${ }_{[2]}-87: 15$, |
| \$110,568.23 ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 12: | 2022 ${ }_{[1]}-38: 2$ | 89:6 |
| 58:21 | ' | 2023 ${ }_{[6]}$ - 25:16, | 3rd ${ }_{[1]}-25: 16$ |
| $\$ 135, \mathbf{3 3 0}_{[1]}-58: 6$ | '09 ${ }_{[1]}-41: 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 18,26: 5, \\ & 26: 10,27: 22,28: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 4 |
| $52: 14,56: 9$ | '21 ${ }_{[1]}-63: 4$ | 2024 ${ }_{[8]}$ - 1:21, | 4 ${ }_{[2]}-38: 2,53: 11$ |
| \$180,000 ${ }_{[1]}$ | '23 [6] - 26:3, 26:5, | 19:23, 30:17, | 4.5 ${ }_{[1]}-38: 21$ |
|  | 26:13, 63:5, | $30: 18,52: 8,56: 3$ | $40_{[1]}-9: 15$ |
| \$2,500,000 ${ }_{[1]}$ | 75:19, 75:20 |  | 45 ${ }_{[1]}-53: 19$ |
| 13:5 |  | 24:7. 24:23. 28:18 | 4th ${ }_{[1]}-35: 22$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 2,577,000 \\ 13: 8,13: 18 \end{array}$ | 1 | 2026 ${ }_{[3]}-15: 4$, | 5 |
| \$2,622,918.50 ${ }^{[1]}$ | $1_{[1]}-76: 14$ | 52:9, 56:4 2029 | $5_{[10]}-53: 11,67: 3$, |
| - 25:20 | 1\% ${ }_{[1]}-39: 18$ |  | $67: 20,67: 24,$ |
| \$200,000 ${ }_{[1]}$ | 10 ${ }_{[1]}-28: 18$ | $20499_{[1]}-39: 1$ | 68:8, 68:13, |
| 13:15 | 10\% ${ }_{\text {[2] }}-16: 20$, | 21 ${ }_{[1]}$ - 62:4 | 68:21, 69:4, |
| \$25,000 ${ }_{[1]}-31: 4$ | 73:4 | 22nd ${ }_{[1]}-40: 5$ | 69:15, 69:21 |
| \$250,000 ${ }_{\text {[4] }}$ - | 125th ${ }_{[1]}-83: 19$ | 23 ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-26: 10$ | 5\% ${ }_{[1]}-39: 19$ |
| 59:16, 67:5, | $14_{\text {[1] }}-27: 5$ | 24-1 ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:8 | 5th ${ }_{[1]}-35: 22$ |
| 67:12, 67:22 | 14th ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-64: 4$ | 24th ${ }_{[2]}-25: 18$, | 6 |
| \$372,918.50 ${ }^{[1]}$ - | 150 ${ }_{[1]}-26: 24$ | 27:22 | 6 |
| 25:22 | $\mathbf{1 5 5 0}_{[1]}-1: 16$ | 25 ${ }_{[5]}-36: 3,39: 14$, | $68{ }_{[1]}-87: 23$ |
| \$393,526 ${ }_{[1]}$ | 15th ${ }_{[1]}-64: 4$ | 48:12, 48:15, | 69 ${ }_{[1]}-87: 23$ |
| 39:14 | $\mathbf{1 8 0}_{[2]}-8: 20,9: 10$ | 48:25 $28.33 \%-71.22$ | 6th ${ }_{[1]}-35: 22$ |
| \$400,000 ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 3 0}_{[1]}-30: 15$ | 28.33\% ${ }_{[1]}-71: 22$ |  |
| 63:14 | $1930 s_{[1]}-35: 3$ | 29 ${ }_{[1]}-30: 17$ | 7 |
| \$50,000 ${ }_{[2]}-31: 4$, | $\mathbf{1 : 0 9}{ }_{[1]}-1: 22$ | 29th ${ }_{[1]}-35: 16$ |  |
| 60:10 | $\mathbf{1 s t}_{(4)}-19: 22$ | 2:26 ${ }_{[1]}-87: 14$ | $70_{[1]}-87: 23$ |
| \$735,000 ${ }_{[1]}-24: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1 s t}_{[4]}-19: 22, \\ & 24: 23,52: 8,56: 3 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $71_{[1]}-87: 23$ |
| \$735,380 ${ }_{[2]}$ | 24.23, 52.8, 56.3 |  | $72_{[1]}-87: 23$ |
| 19:25, 22:23 | 2 | $3_{[2]}-39: 10,71: 20$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \$ 750,000 \\ 52: 17,56: 11 \end{gathered}$ | $2.5{ }_{[1]}-14: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3 1 s t}_{[4]}-19: 23, \\ & 24: 7,52: 9,56: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $73_{[1]}-87: 23$ 74 |


| 51:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A6 }_{[2]}-6: 9,7: 14 \\ & \text { A7 }_{[3]}-6: 3,57: 4, \\ & 86: 3 \\ & \text { A7-2024 }_{[1]}-57: 9 \\ & \text { aberration }_{[1]}- \\ & 48: 13 \\ & \text { ability }_{[1]}-16: 16 \\ & \text { able }_{[3]}-40: 15, \\ & 43: 24,44: 7 \\ & \text { Abrams }_{[4]}-7: 6, \\ & 79: 20,79: 25, \\ & 86: 22 \\ & \text { absolutely }_{[1]}- \\ & 22: 15 \\ & \text { abuse }_{[2]}-59: 11 \\ & \text { abuts }_{[1]}-34: 8 \\ & \text { accident }_{[3]}- \\ & 77: 2,77: 17,79: 9 \\ & \text { accordance }_{[3]}- \\ & 8: 18,9: 11,9: 22 \\ & \text { according }_{[1]}- \\ & 87: 21 \\ & \text { accountable }_{[1]}- \\ & 50: 22 \\ & \text { act }_{[1]}-53: 3 \\ & \text { active }_{[1]}-28: 23 \\ & \text { actively }_{[1]}-37: 11 \\ & \text { activities }_{[2]}- \\ & 82: 21,83: 16 \\ & \text { activity }_{[2]}-37: 11, \\ & 82: 14 \\ & \text { acts }_{[3]}-53: 2, \\ & 53: 4,53: 11 \\ & \text { ADA }_{[1]}-16: 5 \\ & \text { Adapt }_{[3]}-19: 14, \\ & 22: 4,22: 5 \end{aligned}$ | adding $_{[2]}-42$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87:24 |  | addition $_{\text {[2] }}-39: 4$ | 74:5, 81:10 |
| 87:24 |  | 46:11 | Age $_{11}-33: 12$ |
| -87:2 |  | additional ${ }_{[9]}$ | Agency ${ }_{[3]}-6: 25$, |
| $78{ }_{[1]}-87: 24$ |  | 38:3, 38:12 | 5:7, 86 |
| $79_{\text {[1] }}-87: 24$ |  | 21, 40:3 | agenda ${ }_{(11}-44$ |
| 7th ${ }_{\text {(1] }}-35: 13$ |  | 48:1 | agents ${ }_{\text {[2] }}-52: 3$, |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $8_{\text {[3] }}-1: 21,38: 23$, |  | addressed | 19:22, 22:9, |
| 4 |  | 82:22 | $24: 21,28: 16$ |
| 8th ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-90: 14$ |  | adjacent $_{\text {[1] }}-38: 5$ | 28:23, 30:16 |
| 9 |  | adjourn $_{111}-88: 13$ | 2, 40: |
|  |  | ed ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 12, 51 |
|  |  | 89:2, 89:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 7,52: 12, \\ & 5: 21,56: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9} \%_{[1]}-71: 23 \\ & \mathbf{9 / 1 1} \end{aligned}{ }_{[1]}-83: 9$ |  | adjourning $^{111}$ - | $3: 25,72: 21,$ |
| 9/11 [1] - 83:9 |  |  | 寿:23, 77:25 |
| A |  | 64:18 | :12 |
| A-20 ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-57$ |  | nit ${ }_{111}-50: 1$ | $\mathbf{d s}_{[1]}-65: 21$ |
| A1 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 2,7: 13$ |  | adopted $^{(1)}$ - 84:7 | enating |
| A13 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 10,7: 15$ |  | Advanced ${ }_{[4]}$ - | $1: 10,41: 14$ |
| A14 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 11,7: 16$ |  | , 58:15, 58:23, | egation |
| A20 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 4,86: 4$ |  | 86:4 | 7:12, 80:12 |
| A20-2024 ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |  | verse ${ }_{11}-30: 2$ | 0:1 |
| 57:24 |  | ertised | eges $_{[2]}-76: 17$, |
| A21 ${ }_{[3]}$ - 6:4, 58:15, |  | 9.24 | 80:8 |
| 86:4 |  | airs ${ }_{[1]}-18: 3$ | legiance |
| A21-24 ${ }_{[1]}-58: 16$ |  | affected ${ }_{(1)}$ | 3:8 |
| A4 ${ }_{[3]}-5: 18,19: 4$, |  | 30:25 | Ow ${ }_{\text {[5] }}-27$ |
| 85 |  | afternoon | :1 |
| A4-2024 ${ }_{(1]}-19: 8$ |  | 8:10, 12:5, | 38:10, 38:16 |
| A5 ${ }_{[3]}$ - 6:9, 61:8, |  | 8:6, 19:5, | allowed |
| 86:7 |  | 10, 30:6, 57:6, | 6:19, 27 |
| A53 ${ }_{(1)}-7: 14$ |  |  | 27:11, 46:25 |
|  |  | 61:23, 62:22, | alter $_{[1]}-63: 16$ |


| Alyssa $_{(1)}-76: 22$ | 48:14 | 39:21, 39:24, | asbestosis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amazi | answer $_{[8]}-20: 2$, | 54:9, 71:3, 71:25 | 7 |
| 33:11 | 20:25, 21:3 | approving | aspect $_{\text {[1] }}-21: 25$ |
| ambulance ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 21:12, 25:5, | 67:19 | assaulted ${ }_{[2]}$ |
| 77:19 | 25:24, 76:13, | approximate ${ }_{[1]}$ | 76:18, 80:8 |
| amended $_{111}$ - | 79:11 | 14:7 | Assessment ${ }_{[8]}$ - |
| 25 | a | April ${ }_{[8]}$ - 1:21, | 2, 20:25, |
| amendment ${ }_{\text {20] }}$ - | 74 | 19:22, 30:17, | 1:9, 21:11 |
| 12:9, 13:8, 13:15, | Anthony ${ }^{[3]}$ - 5:18, | 35:16, 62:4, 64:4, | 2:20, 23:14, |
| 16:8, 24:20, | 31:8, 85:23 | 90:14 | 6, 28:24 |
| 24:24, 25:14, | anticipate ${ }^{21}$ | Aqua $_{44}-6: 9$, | assessment |
| 25:21, 28:15 | 14:25, 23:6 | :8, 61:12, 86 | 4, 22:16 |
| 37:22, 38:7, | apex $_{\text {[1] }}-26: 22$ | arbitrarily ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 30:20, 31:25 |
| 38:15, 39:6, | apologize ${ }_{(1)}$ | 81:23 | Assessment, |
| 41:20, 59:8, 60:5, | 75:13 | arbitrates ${ }_{[1]}$ - | here $_{\text {[1] }}$-23:9 |
| 62:3, 62:12, | Appeal $_{11}-22: 16$ | 18 | assigned ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| 62:24, 63:8 | appeals ${ }_{[2]}$ | Architect ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ - | 79:24 |
| Amendment $_{[1]}$ - | 19:19, 22:11 | 62:23, 71:17 | Assistant $^{11}$ - |
| 13:9 | application ${ }_{3}$ | architect ${ }_{[1]}$ | 16 |
| amount $_{\text {[6] }}-13$ | 19:13, 22:19, | 65 | Associates ${ }_{\text {[7] }}$ |
| 13:16, 14:7, | 23:16 | arduous ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 6:14, 6:15, 62:21, |
| 16:21, 19:24, | applications ${ }^{\text {[2] }}$ - | a $-34 \cdot 8$ | $63: 2,64: 2,86: 10,$ |
| 22:22, 25:19, |  | area $_{[4]}-34: 8$, <br> 45:4, 46.20, 78:4 | 86:11 |
| 57:12, 58:4, | appreciate | Arnie $_{[11}-47: 2$ | assuming $_{[1]}-$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 58: 20,59: 16, \\ & 60: 10,67: 3,6 \end{aligned}$ | $40: 8,50: 14$ | $\text { Arnold }_{[1]}-4: 13$ | athletic ${ }_{[2]}-38: 9$ |
| analysis | appreciate | ARNOLD ${ }_{(11}-2: 10$ | 48 |
| 13:11, 14:19 | 31:14 | arrangement ${ }_{11}$ - | rney ${ }_{\text {[5] }}$ |
| Andino ${ }_{[2]}-76: 22$, | approach $_{\text {[1] }}$ | 46:10 | 9, 16:3, 16:7 |
| 76:24 | 44:4 | arrived $_{[1]}-21: 9$ | 27:9, 74:3 |
| anniversary ${ }_{[1]}$ - | approval ${ }_{[5]}$ | Arson ${ }_{[2]}$ - 58:4, | ttorney's ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| 83:20 | 31:6, 38:21 | 58:19 | 74:7 |
| announced | 62:12, 63:9, 73:2 | artificial ${ }_{[4]}$ | audit $_{111}-12: 15$ |
| 53:23 | approve ${ }_{11}-40: 3$ | 40:18, 41:19, | auditing $^{[1]}$ |
| annual ${ }_{[2]}$ - 19:10, |  | 42:5, 43:6 | 12:12 |
| 39:13 |  | asbestos ${ }_{[2]}$ - | thorization ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ |
| anomaly ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 37:25, 39:9, | 79:24, 82:24 | - 25:18 |





| 82:18, 84:2 | Construction ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | 60:5, 61:11, 62:2, | 6:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| concerns $_{[3]}-$ | -66:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:3, 62:5, 62:24, } \\ & 62: 25,63: 3, \end{aligned}$ | Correct $_{[6]-}-28: 4$, 52.23, 53:6, 67:4 |
| concludes | $\begin{array}{r} \text { co } \\ 80 \end{array}$ | 63:10, 64:2, | $67: 14,76: 23$ |
| 81:2 | consultant | 64:14, 65:6, 66:8, | correct $_{[6]}-10: 2$ |
| condition | $28: 23$ | 67:20, 67:24, | 23:2, 52:22, 67:5, |
| 40:16, 62:10 | Consumer ${ }_{[1]}$ | 67:25, 68:3, | 76:6, 90:11 |
| conditions | 18:3 | 9, 71:20, | correction ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - |
| 83:8 | consumer ${ }_{[1]}$ | : | 76 |
| conducted $_{[1]}$ | 18:1 | 78:6, 81:13, 85:6 | correctional |
| 51:25 | contact $_{(1)}-11: 7$ | contract/ | 80 |
| confidentiality | contacted ${ }_{[1]}$ | subcontractor | Correctional ${ }_{111}$ - <br> 76:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\text {ci11 }}-46: 6 \\ & \text { confirm } \\ & {[11} \end{aligned}-34: 8$ |  | 73:2 | corrective |
| confused $_{[2]}$ | 78:13 | $\mathbf{r a c t o r}_{[5]}-$ $66: 18,72:$ | 62:8 |
| 26:9, 68:5 | continue ${ }_{[3]}$ - | $72: 22,72: 23$ | $\operatorname{cost}_{[7]}-13: 10$, |
| conjunction ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 24:8, 27:12, 62:14 | contracts ${ }_{[6]}$ | 4:18, 38:2 |
| 18:13, 23:9 | continues | 3:19, 5:12, 7:11, | 1:22, 41:2 |
| connections ${ }_{[1]}$ | 78:12 | 2, 18:7, 30:3, | sts $_{[1]}-38: 25$ |
| 39:3 | continuing ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ | 48:15, 48:24, | costs $_{\text {[1] }}-38: 25$ Counsel $_{11}-12: 8$ |
| consent ${ }_{[1]}$ | 36:20, 41:14 | 49:6, 49:12, | Counsel $_{[1]}-12: 8$ COUNTY ${ }_{[2]}$ - 1:2, |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 87:18 } \\ \text { consequently } \end{array}$ | continuous ${ }_{\text {(1] }}$ 64:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 49:20, 72:3, 74:3, } \\ & 74: 19,75: 20,82: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY } \\ & 90: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| consequently ${ }^{(1)}$ - 72:22 | 64:9 cont | contributing | county $_{[4]}$ - 38:24, |
| considering ${ }_{(1]}$ | 3, 9:14, 12:10, | 41:2 | 3:16, 65:1 |
| 5:13 | 12:15, 12:16, | contribution ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 81:20 |
| constituents ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 12:17, 13:7, | 44:17, 50:19 | County ${ }_{\text {[35] }}-1: 15$, |
| 31:17, 50:23 | 13:14, 13:16 | contributions ${ }_{\text {[] }}$ | 18, 8:11, |
| constructabilit | 16:21, 25:17, | -44:19 | 10, 13:2 |
| $\mathbf{y}_{(1)}$-66:19 | 25:20, 26:17, | control ${ }_{[11}-77: 3$ | 19, 27:9, 30:8, |
| construct | 7:3, 27:6, | ntrols ${ }_{\text {(1] }}$ | 1:12, 33:10, |
|  | 27:7, 27:18 | 72:19 | 37:23, 38:7, |
| construction ${ }_{[8]}$ - | 27:21, 28:6 | cooling $^{[1]}$ - 61:13 |  |
| 62:15, 64:16, |  | Coreas ${ }_{[1]}-76: 6$ |  |
| 64:18, 65:13, | 54:5, 54:8, 55:4 | Corp ${ }_{[2]}$ - 5:20, | 0:25, 55:3, 58:3, |
| 66:9, 66:20, | 55:17, 56:9, 59:8, | 25:13 | 1:10, 61:25, |
| 71:18, 72:5 | 59:12, 59:14, | Corporation ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | 63:15, 64:14, |


| $\begin{aligned} & 65: 3,74: 3,74: 7, \\ & 77: 19,79: 2, \\ & 79: 23,81: 15, \\ & 82: 16,82: 25 \\ & \text { county's }_{[1]}- \\ & \text { 14:16 } \\ & \text { County's }_{[3]}- \\ & 77: 3,78: 9,78: 24 \\ & \text { course }_{[2]}-16: 13, \\ & 80: 9 \\ & \text { Court }_{[2]}-5: 17, \\ & 7: 2,16: 4,16: 10, \\ & 75: 8,85: 22,86: 18 \\ & \text { court }_{[5]}-18: 13, \\ & 18: 14,74: 19, \\ & 75: 9,83: 3 \\ & \text { COURT }_{[1]}-1: 24 \\ & \text { cover }_{[2]}-16: 23, \\ & 69: 23 \\ & \text { covered }_{[1]}-27: 24 \\ & \text { Covid }_{[1]}-32: 21 \\ & \text { CPAs }_{[1]}-5: 16 \\ & \text { create }_{[1]}-38: 8 \\ & \text { credit }_{[1]}-31: 7 \\ & \text { Creole }_{[2]]}-54: 6, \\ & 54: 19 \\ & \text { cricket }_{[1]}-83: 19 \\ & \text { Crime }_{[2]}-6: 7, \\ & 7: 14 \\ & \text { crisis }_{[2]]}-8: 14, \\ & 10: 3 \\ & \text { Crisis }_{[1]}-8: 23 \\ & \text { criteria }_{[2]}-9: 22, \\ & 9: 24 \\ & \text { criticizing }_{[2]}- \\ & 43: 10,44: 3 \\ & \text { Cross }_{[2]}-6: 9, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7:15 <br> curious ${ }_{[2]}$ - <br> 52:25, 82:7 <br> current ${ }_{[2]}$ - 19:17, <br> 62:3 <br> cushion $_{\text {(11 }}$ - <br> 16:22 <br> custody $_{\text {(1] }}-80: 10$ <br> daily ${ }_{[1]}-21: 19$ <br> dais $_{[1]}-81: 19$ <br> Dan $_{\text {[1] }}-23: 18$ <br> danger $_{[1]}-32: 10$ <br> Daniel $_{[1]}-21: 10$ <br> Darcy $_{[7]}-30: 6$, <br> 31:16, 33:3, <br> 34:20, 46:7, <br> 47:12, 56:18 <br> Dassa ${ }_{[3]}-5: 24$, <br> 55:17, 55:21 <br> date ${ }_{[2]}-24: 23$, <br> 28:18 <br> David $_{[1]}-80: 3$ <br> days $_{(1)}-35: 21$ <br> de $_{[1]}-9: 8$ <br> de-escalation ${ }_{[1]}$ <br> -9:8 <br> deal ${ }_{[1]}-53: 3$ <br> debate ${ }_{[2]}-51: 8$, <br> 87:20 <br> December $_{[3]}$ - <br> 52:9, 55:5, 56:4 <br> decommission <br> [1] - 64:7 <br> deduce $_{\text {[1] }}-50: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { deduction }_{[1]}- \\ & 47: 22 \\ & \text { defeated }_{[1]}- \\ & 51: 20 \\ & \text { definitely }_{[1]}- \\ & 82: 4 \\ & \text { delay }_{[1]}-52: 11 \\ & \text { delayed }_{[1]}-62: 6 \\ & \text { DELIA }_{[1]}-2: 8 \\ & \text { Delia }_{[2]}-4: 19, \\ & 69: 7 \\ & \text { delinquent }_{[2]}- \\ & \text { 19:19, 22:11 } \\ & \text { demo }_{[2]}-32: 5, \\ & 35: 24 \\ & \text { demolish }_{[1]}- \\ & 64: 7 \\ & \text { Dennis }_{[1]}-16: 6 \\ & \text { Department }_{[17]}- \\ & 6: 2,8: 12,13: 9, \\ & 13: 12,21: 11, \\ & 22: 3,23: 14, \\ & 39: 24,40: 2,57: 3, \\ & 57: 8,59: 9,59: 21, \\ & 61: 10,62: 11, \\ & 66: 10,66: 22 \\ & \text { Department's }_{[4]} \\ & -57: 11,58: 3, \\ & 58: 19,65: 21 \\ & \text { departmental }_{[2]} \\ & -21: 17,22: 18 \\ & \text { departments } \\ & [1]] \\ & 61: 5 \\ & \text { Deputy }_{[3]}-19: 6, \\ & 61: 24,65: 2 \\ & \text { DeRiggi }_{[2]}-4: 19, \\ & 87: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DERIGGI }{ }_{\text {[6] }}-2: 8 \text {, } \\ & \text { 4:20, 13:19, 14:6, } \\ & \text { 14:12, 14:20, } \\ & \text { 20:5, 20:10, } \\ & \text { 20:16, 20:21, } \\ & \text { 21:5, 21:21, 22:6, } \\ & \text { 22:21, 22:24, } \\ & \text { 23:5, 24:3, 24:11, } \\ & 31: 15,32: 9, \\ & 32: 13,32: 23, \\ & 51: 12,66: 23, \\ & 67: 7,67: 11, \\ & 67: 15,67: 23, \\ & 68: 4,68: 12, \\ & 68: 16,68: 20, \\ & 69: 3,69: 10, \\ & 69: 18,85: 14 \\ & \text { DeRiggi- } \\ & \text { Whitton } \\ & \text { [2] } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| E52-2024 ${ }_{[1]}$ | 28:13, 85:25 | electrician ${ }^{[4]}$ - | forcement ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60:4 | E69-2024 ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 72:10, 72:17, | 83:23 |
| E53 ${ }_{(1)}-6: 7$ | 28:14 | 72:20, 72:24 | engaged $^{111}$ - 49:3 |
| E54 ${ }_{[3]}-5: 21,30: 4$, | E70 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 16,7: 17$ | electronic ${ }_{11}$ - | engineering ${ }_{[7]}$ - |
| 85:25 | E71 ${ }_{[3]}-5: 15,12: 3$, | 19:20 | 65:5, 65:7, 65:9, |
| E54-24 ${ }_{[1]}-30: 7$ | 85:20 | Elevator ${ }_{[2]}-6: 11$, | 65:20, 65:22, |
| E55 ${ }_{[3]}$-6:24, | E72 ${ }_{[3]}-5: 22$ |  | 66:16, 66:20 |
| 78:14, 86:16 | 37:18, 85:5 | emanating | Engineering ${ }_{64}$ - |
| E56 ${ }_{[2]}-6: 25$, | E72-24 ${ }_{[1]}$ - $77: 20$ | 34:14 | 6:14, 63:23, 64:2, |
| 86:1 | E73 ${ }_{[3]}-5: 16,16: 3$, | embedded ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 86: |
| E57 ${ }_{[2]}$-6:25, | 85:21 | 59:21 | engineers ${ }_{[5]}$ - |
| 86:18 | E74 ${ }_{\text {[4] }}-5: 23$, | ${ }_{\text {emergency }}{ }_{[6]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 18,66: 12, \\ & 66: 13,66: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathbf{E F s}_{[4]}-7: 2,78: 22,$ <br> 82.8, 86:19 | 51:21, 55:20, $86: 2$ E75 $33-5: 23$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9:16, 62:7, 69:8, } \\ & \text { 69:12, 69:23, } \end{aligned}$ | 66:13, 66:15 <br> Engines ${ }_{[2]}-6$ |
| 82:8, 86:19 | E75 ${ }_{[3]}-5$ $55: 18,8$ | 72:15 | 7:14 |
| $\text { 62:20, } 86: 9$ | E75-24 [] - 55:20 | Emergency ${ }_{(1)}$ | enhance ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-40: 7$ |
| e59-24 [1] - 62:24 | Eagles ${ }_{[1]}-53: 20$ | 57:11 | nhanced |
| E60 ${ }_{[3]}$-6:14, | earmarked ${ }_{[1]}$ - | employee ${ }_{[2]}$ 79:23, 82:23 | 39:22 |
| 63:22, 86:10 | 3 | 79:23, 82:23 | joy ${ }_{[1]}-54: 20$ |
| E60-24 ${ }_{[1]}$-63:24 | easier $_{11}-77: 8$ | employees ${ }_{[3]}$ - 11:10, 82:20, 83:5 | enter $_{\text {(11 }}-63: 16$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} \text { E61 } \\ (4) \end{array}-7\right.: 3,77: 15, \\ 77: 16,86: 19 \end{array}$ | East $_{(1]}-51: 23$ eclipse $_{\text {[11 }}-3: 23$ | encompasses ${ }_{[1]}$ | entered ${ }_{(1)}-55: 5$ <br> entering ${ }_{[1]}-55: 6$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E62 }{ }_{[3]}-7: 4,79: 6, \\ & 86: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  | Encumbering ${ }_{\text {(1) }}$ | Enterprise ${ }_{[1]}$ - 19:12 |
| $\text { E63 }{ }_{[3]}-6: 15,$ $64: 23,86: 1$ | education ${ }_{[1]}-9: 5$ efforts ${ }_{[1]}-23: 17$ | - 67:5 <br> encumbering | Enterprises ${ }_{[4]}$ - <br> 5:21, 30:4, 30:9, |
| E64 ${ }_{[3]}$ - 6:16, 66:4, | eight $^{[3]}$ - 16: | -67:12, 67:21 | 86:2 |
| E64 ${ }_{\text {(3) }}$ - 6.16, 66.4, 86:12 | 68:24, 68:25 | encumbrance | entertainment ${ }_{44]}$ |
| E64-24 ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-66: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { either }_{[2]}-42: 15 \\ & 82: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -25: 21,27: 16, \\ & 28: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $52: 2,53: 7,$ |
| E66 [3] - 7:4, 79:13, |  | endeavor ${ }_{[1]}$ - | ntertainment |
| 86:21 | 71:15, 71:20, 86:8 | 45:6 | [5] - 5:23, 51:22, |
| E67 ${ }_{\text {[5] }}$-7:5, 79:20, | Electric $_{[5]}-6: 12$, | ended $_{[1]}-31: 10$ | $51: 25,55: 22,86: 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 79: 22,82: 23, \\ & 86: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 7:17, 71 | ending ${ }_{11}-56: 4$ | entire ${ }_{(11}-40: 17$ |
| $\text { E68 }{ }_{(4)}-7: 6,80: 2,$ | 71:21, 86:8 | ends ${ }_{[1]}$ - $35: 12$ | tities $_{\text {[1] }}-55: 11$ |
| 80:5, 86:23 |  | Energy ${ }_{[2]}-77: 23$, | titled $_{11}-30: 14$ |
| E69 [3] - 5:20, | $72$ | 78:3 | entity ${ }_{[3]}-41: 11$, |


| 45:11, 50:20 environmental [2]-30:19, 30:21 equine $_{111}-60: 5$ Equipment ${ }_{[1]}$ 6:10 equipment ${ }_{[2]}$ 58:2, 58:18 escalation ${ }_{[2]}$ 9:8, 39:12 <br> essentially ${ }_{[1]}$ 78:3 estimate $_{[1]}$ 65:13 estimates ${ }_{[2]}$ 64:17, 66:14 etc ${ }_{[2]}-33: 22,73: 3$ evaluated ${ }_{[1]}$ 52:4 <br> evaluation ${ }_{[1]}$ 66:17 <br> evening $_{[1]}-34: 13$ events ${ }_{[2]}-33: 10$, 42:18 <br> eventual ${ }_{[1]}$ 39:19 <br> everywhere ${ }_{[1]}$ 81:24 <br> exact $_{[2]}-14: 17$, 78:17 <br> Exactly ${ }_{(1]}-36: 5$ example ${ }_{[1]}$ 72:17 <br> exceed $_{[4]}-52: 14$, 52:16, 56:9, 56:11 Excelsior ${ }_{[2]}$ 6:10, 7:15 | ```except \(_{[1]}-81: 25\) excess \(_{[3]}-31: 3\), 31:5, 38:23 excited \(_{[11}-37: 21\) execution \({ }_{[2]}\) - 25:16, 25:23 Executive \({ }_{[3]}\) - 1:15, 45:10, 47:5 executive \({ }_{[11}\) - 81:20 exemptions \({ }_{[1]}\) - 21:15 exercised \(_{[1]}\) - 39:11 exhibiting \(_{[1]}\) - 83:7 existing \({ }_{[11]}\) - 12:10, 27:21, 32:7, 34:5, 41:15, 55:4, 60:5, 62:25, 63:17, 64:8, 64:10 exists \(_{[1]}-41: 19\) expect \(_{11}-35: 15\) expense \({ }_{[1]}\) - 46:11 experience \(_{[1]}\) - 45:13 experiencing \(_{[1]}\) - 83:9 expire \(_{[11}-63: 4\) expired \(_{[5]}-26: 2\), 26:4, 26:10, 26:17, 55:5 expires \({ }_{[1]}-62: 4\) expiring \(_{[1]}-27: 6\) extend \(_{[6]}-12: 9\), 12:14, 26:16,``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

42:11, 42:17,
43:15, 43:17,
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66:17
fields ${ }_{[3]}-38: 18$, 40:16, 48:4
figure ${ }_{[2]}-23: 25$, 47:21
files $_{[2]}-49: 3$, 49:25
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FireCom ${ }_{[1]}-25: 3$
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four $_{[2]}-16: 18$, 85:18
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funding ${ }_{[1]}-62: 7$
funds $_{[2]}-32: 19$, 84:3
future ${ }_{[1]}-36: 23$

| $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :---: |
| Gabrielli $_{[4]}-6: 3$, | 57:4, 57:16, 86:3

games $_{[1]}-36: 21$
$\boldsymbol{g a p}_{[1]}-26: 13$
General $_{[3]}-7: 12$, 71:4, 81:14
general $_{[4]}-45: 24$, 45:25, 72:16, 82:5 genre ${ }_{[2]}-53: 16$, 53:25
genres ${ }_{[4]}$ - 52:6, 54:5, 54:11, 55:11 GEORGE ${ }_{[11]}-$ 61:23, 64:25, 66:5, 67:4, 67:6, 67:10, 67:14, 68:2, 68:25, 69:6, 70:6
George $_{[2]}-61: 24$, 65:2
Gilded $_{[1]}-33: 12$
$\mathbf{G I S}_{[13]}-5: 19$, 5:21, 24:18, 24:19, 24:21, 25:2, 25:3, 25:4, 28:14, 28:16, 28:19, 85:24, 85:25
given $_{[4]}-23: 13$,
57:16, 58:8, 58:23
glasses $_{[2]}-4: 6$, 4:8
Global $_{[12]}-5: 22$, 37:18, 37:24, 38:24, 40:10, 40:20, 41:17, 44:21, 46:13, 46:16, 47:24, 85:6
global $_{[3]}-38: 8$,
38:16, 40:22
Global's ${ }_{[2]}$ -
39:16, 46:16
Gordian $_{[2]}-6: 16$,
7:18
governments $_{[1]}$ - 16:16 graduation $_{[1]}$ 43:20
Granados $_{[1]}$ 76:5
$\operatorname{grand}_{[2]}-16: 12$, 16:14
Grant $_{[2]}-52: 18$, 56:13
grant ${ }_{[2]}-58: 6$, 58:21
grants $_{[5]}-12: 14$, 12:22, 13:24, 14:7, 14:16

| - 41:18 | hazardous ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ - | hires $_{\text {[1] }}-72$ : | 44:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| great ${ }_{[2]}-36: 12$ | 2, 64:17 | hiring ${ }_{(1)}-83: 15$ | ${ }_{11}$ |
| 3:3 | head $_{[1]}-53: 2$ | hockey ${ }_{[2]}$ - $38: 15$, | 8:2 |
| Great $_{[2]}-37: 9$ | hear $_{[1]}-68: 6$ | 42:11 | immediate |
| 4:8 | heard $_{[2]}-32: 14$, | Hoffman ${ }_{[6]}$ | 62:8 |
| greatly ${ }_{(1)}-40: 7$ | 82:7 | 5:16, 12:3, 12:11, | impact $_{21}-20: 12$, |
| oss $_{\text {[1] }}-39: 15$ | hearings ${ }_{[1]}$ | 12:24, 14:3, 85:21 | 33:24 |
| grounds $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 64:8 | 18:17 | hold ${ }_{[1]}-32: 6$ | impacted ${ }_{[11}$ - |
| Group $_{[7]}$-6:17, | held $_{11}-77: 13$ | honest ${ }_{11}$ - 49:4 | 31:10 |
| 6:22, 6:23, 7:18 | Hello $_{(11}-10: 24$ | honestly ${ }_{(1)}-43: 5$ | impacts $_{\text {[1] }}-30: 21$ |
| 77:21, 78:11, | help $_{\text {[4] }}-14: 3$, | hope $_{[2]}-32: 14$, | important ${ }_{[3]}$ |
| 6:15 | 31:25, 32:16 | 82 | 67:2, 69:21, 83:21 |
| group $_{\text {[9] }}-5: 25$, | 54:21 | Hopefully ${ }_{(11}$ - | improvement ${ }_{2}$ |
| 6:8, 6:18, 19:2, | helping $^{(11}$ - $37: 7$ | 79:5 | - 40:4, 49:16 |
| 26:23, 30:2, 57:2, | helps $_{[2]}-54: 10$, | hoping $_{[3]}-21: 2$ | improvements |
| 61:2, 74:2 | 74:15 | 82:21, 83:11 | (4) - 38:4, 40:7 |
| Group's ${ }_{[1]}-77: 25$ | hereby ${ }_{(11}-90: 10$ | horses $_{\text {(1] }}-60: 7$ | 4:19, 49:10 |
| guard $_{[1]}-81: 18$ | hereunto ${ }_{[1]}$ - | horticulture ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | improving ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| guess $_{[4]}-44: 14$ | 90:13 | 65:20 | 46:20 |
| 69:19, 70:3, 83:20 | Hewlett $_{11}-37: 3$ | Hotel/Motel ${ }_{[2]}$ - | IN ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - 90:13 |
| H | Hi ${ }_{\text {[9] }}$ - 31:15, 33:3, | 52:18, 56:12 | house ${ }_{11}$ |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-72: 8$ | ${ }_{[10]}-5: 15,5$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Haitian }_{[2]}-54: 6, \\ & 54: 19 \\ & \text { hand }_{[1]}-90: 14 \\ & \text { handcuffed }_{[1]}- \\ & 45: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 34:23, 41:8, | housed $_{[1]}-10: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :22, 6:17, } 19 \\ & 24: 20,28: 16, \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 14,59: 18 \\ & 66: 23 \end{aligned}$ | HOWARD ${ }_{[2]}$ - | $28: 19,30: 9,59: 13$ |
|  | hiding $_{11}-44: 23$ | ward | Inc. [1] - 28:15 |
|  | $\text { high }_{[1]}-50: 16$ | ward $_{[2]}-5: 6$ | ncarceration |
| Handle $_{11}-9: 4$ | High $_{11}-43: 19$ | $\operatorname{man}_{[1]}-59: 11$ |  |
| handles $_{[1]}-21: 14$ | higher ${ }_{[11}-36: 8$ | $\text { HVAC }_{[2]}-72: 18,$ | incident 76:17 |
| handy ${ }_{(11}-53: 22$ | highly ${ }_{[3]}-10: 3$, | 72:23 |  |
| happy ${ }_{\text {[7] }}-4: 7$, | 10:6, 81:18 | I | 2:20, 65:1 |
| :2, 25:5, 25:24, | highway ${ }_{11}$ - |  | 6:11 |
| 43:8, 76:13, 79:11 | 66:21 | i.e ${ }_{[1]}-83: 17$ | cludes ${ }_{21}$ |
| Hatten ${ }_{[1]}-7: 7$ | highways $^{[2]}$ - | IAS ${ }_{[2]}$ - 19:12, | 64:14, 65:11 |
| Hatter $_{[1]}$ - 86:24 | 66:7, 66:8 | 19:14 | including ${ }_{44}$ - |
| Haven $_{[11}$ - 61:3 | hire $_{[1]}-72: 24$ | idea $_{[3]}-43: 10$, | $9: 16,33: 11,39: 2,$ |


| 83:3 | injustice ${ }_{[1]}$ | interested ${ }_{[1]}$ - | IT ${ }_{[5]}-23: 8,23: 12$, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incorporated ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 81:16 | 3:22 | 23:16, 26:16, |
| 58:8 | inmate ${ }_{[2]}-80: 7$, | interface ${ }_{[1]}$ | 28:20 |
| increase ${ }_{[8]}-$ | 80:9 | 19:21 | it'II ${ }_{[1]}-35: 17$ |
| 12:16, 13:16 | inspecting ${ }_{[1]}$ | interference ${ }_{[1]}-$ | It'II ${ }_{[1]}-42: 12$ |
| 16:9, 16:20, | 66:25 | 33:19 | $\text { item }{ }_{[8]}-31: 23$ |
| $59: 15,60: 9$ | Inspection ${ }_{[1]}$ | interim ${ }_{[3]}-27: 8$, | $50: 24,51: 10$ |
| $63: 10,63: 12$ | 66:6 | 27:25, 28:3 | 51:21, 56:7, |
| increased ${ }_{[1]}$ | inspection ${ }_{[2]}$ - | Interior ${ }_{[1]}-40: 2$ | 58:16, 85:7, 88:11 |
| 14 | 66:9, 69:9 | internally ${ }_{[1]}$ | $\text { Item }_{[1]}-57: 9$ |
| increasing ${ }_{[3]}$ - | Inspector ${ }_{[5]}$ - | 83:17 | items ${ }_{[10]}-7: 23$, |
| $16: 19,39: 14,$ | $7: 12,57: 5,57: 7$ | interventions ${ }_{[1]}$ | 8:6, 36:3, 68:19, |
| 63:13 | $71: 3,81: 14$ | -9:17 | 81:7, 85:3, 86:25, |
| indemnificatio | INSPECTOR ${ }_{[7]}$ | intimate $^{[1]}$ - | $87: 9,87: 18,88: 3$ |
| $\mathbf{n}_{[1]}-47: 15$ | 57:6, 57:24, | 38:13 | Items ${ }_{[1]}-87: 23$ |
| indicated | 58:16, 59:7, | invest $^{11]}$ - 38:20 |  |
| 13:22 | 59:19, 59:23, 60:4 |  |  |
| indications ${ }_{[1]}$ | inspe | 38:22, 41:3, | J.C ${ }_{[2]}-6: 13,86: 9$ |
| 33:23 | in | 48:21, 49:18, | JACOVINA ${ }_{[21]}$ - |
| indirect $^{[1]}$ - 13:10 | inspectors ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 50:17 | 19:5, 20:9, 20:14, |
| individ | 65:20, 66:13 | involved ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 0:18, 20:24, |
| 68:18 | 66:14 | 23:13, 37:11 | 21:8, 22:23, 23:3, |
| individua | install ${ }_{[1]}-38: 16$ | involve | 23:8, 24:6, 24:19, |
| 10:7, 61:5 | Installation ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 76:25 | 25:12, 26:4, |
| Infant ${ }_{[1]}-79: 15$ | 7:17, 71:15, 86:8 | involving ${ }_{[3]}$ | $26: 11,26: 14$ |
| infant $^{11}$ - 79:18 | installation ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 76:17, 77:18, | $27: 20,27: 24$ |
| Information ${ }_{[3]}$ - | 6:12 | 79:18 | 28:4, 28:8, 28:14, |
| 19:3, 19:7, 25:2 | instance ${ }_{[1]}-70: 3$ | Island ${ }_{[5]}-6: 6$ |  |
| information ${ }_{[6]}$ - | instances ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $6: 9,7: 15,59: 6$ | $\text { jail }_{[2]}-80: 11,$ |
| 20:22, 21:17, | 16:17 | 86:6 | 82:20 |
| $25: 4,53: 22$ | $\text { INSUM }_{[5]}-5: 20,$ | $\text { issue }_{[2]}-47: 20,$ | $\text { James }_{[1]}-4: 21$ |
| $83: 13,84: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 10,25: 12, \\ & 25: 17.85: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 84:4 | JAMES $_{[11}-2: 7$ |
| infrastructure ${ }_{[1]}$ - 38:25 | insurance ${ }_{[3]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { issued }_{[2]}-23: 10, \\ & 75: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { January }_{[2]}-52: 8,$ |
| infrastructures | 47:20, 48:3, 48:5 | issues ${ }_{[5]}-33: 20$ | 56:3 |
| ${ }_{[1]}-65: 16$ | intended ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 56:5, 82:19, 83:2, | span $_{[3]}-79: 6$, |
| injury ${ }_{[1]}-79: 17$ | 23:21 | 84:11 | 79:10, 86:20 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jaspen }_{[1]}-7: 4 \\ & \text { Jasper }_{[2]}-6: 2, \\ & 7: 13 \\ & \text { JC }_{[2]}-62: 20, \\ & 62: 25 \\ & \text { JDC }_{[2]}-11: 6,11: 7 \\ & \text { Jeep }_{[1]}-77: 2 \\ & \text { jewel }_{[1]}-33: 9 \\ & \text { JKM }_{[6]}-5: 15,8: 9, \\ & \text { 8:13, 8:22, 9:4, } \\ & \text { 85:20 } \\ & \text { job }_{[2]}-79: 5,82: 10 \\ & \text { jobs }_{[1]}-84: 10 \\ & \text { joe }_{[1]}-19: 5 \\ & \text { Joe }_{[1]}-8: 10 \\ & \text { JOHN }_{[1]}-2: 6 \\ & \text { John }_{[1]}-4: 24 \\ & \text { judge }_{[2]}-18: 8, \\ & \text { 18:16 } \\ & \text { Judge }_{[1]}-18: 8 \\ & \text { Judicial }_{[6]}-6: 24, \\ & 75: 5,75: 11, \\ & 75: 12,78: 15, \\ & 86: 17 \\ & \text { July }_{[1]}-75: 19 \\ & \text { June }_{[3]}-30: 18, \\ & 35: 13,75: 19 \\ & \text { jury } \\ & \text { [2] }-16: 12, \\ & 16: 14 \\ & \text { Juul }_{[4]}-6: 6,60: 3, \\ & 60: 8,86: 7 \\ & \text { Juul-Nielsen }_{[4]}- \\ & 6: 6,60: 3,60: 8, \\ & 86: 7 \\ & \text { juvenile } \\ & {[1]-10: 8} \\ & \text { Juvenile }_{[4]}-8: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 8:20, 8:25, 11:3 | 12:2, 13:2, 13:4, | 77:20, 78:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | $16: 2,17: 2,17: 5,$ | $79: 4,79: 13$ |
|  | Ka | 18:2, 18:18, | 9:20, 80:2 |
|  |  | 18:23, 19:2, 20:4, | 30:15, 81:2, 85:2, |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 90:8 |  |  |
|  | $\operatorname{keep}_{[1]}-$ | 28:25, 29:4, 30:2 | 8:11, 88:20, 89:2 |
|  | KENNEDY ${ }_{[4]}$ - | 18, 40:9, |  |
|  | 4:23, 51:18 | $1:$ |  |
|  |  | 5 |  |
|  | Kenned | 6:23, | $63: 2$ |
|  | , 7:21, 71:5 | 0:5, 50: | 1:16, 72:14 |
|  |  | $51: 19,52: 1$ | Labaw ${ }_{[2]}-62: 23$, |
|  |  | $24,53: 13$ | 71:17 |
|  | Kessle | 55:16, 56:14 | bor $_{[1]}-71: 22$ |
|  | $: 23$ | 56:17, 57:2 | abriola ${ }_{[1]}-31$ |
|  | $\text { Key }_{[3]}-5: 17$ | $7: 2$ | ack ${ }_{\text {[2] }}-54: 22$, |
|  | 85:22 | 11, 58:14 | 54:24 |
|  | kind $_{[4]}-3: 5$ | 59:2, 59:5, 59:1 | crosse ${ }_{[3]}$ |
|  | 54:11, 82:13 | 1.2, 61: | 38:14, 42:11, |
|  | kindergarte | $1: 2,61:($ | 42:17 |
|  | 42:16 | 62:16, 62:19, | nd ${ }_{[1]}-50: 1$ |
|  | k |  | andscape ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
|  | 44:10, 45 : | $64: 19,64: 22$ | 65:19 |
|  | $\mathrm{known}_{[5]}-12: 1$ | 6.2 | angona ${ }_{[1]}$ |
|  |  | 68:10, 68:15 | 76:24 |
|  | 30:10, 38:18 | 68:18, 69:7, | rge ${ }_{[2]}-23: 23$ |
|  |  | 69:16, 70:4, 71:2 | 41:1 |
|  | , | 71:11, 71:14, | rgest ${ }_{[1]}-69$ : |
|  | K | $3: 8$ | st ${ }_{[11]}-23: 6$ |
|  | K | 11, 74:2, 74:8, | 3:11, 54:10 |
|  |  | $3,75: 3$, | 4:14, 54:23, |
|  |  | 5:15 | 5:5, 55:16, 60:2, |
|  |  | 75:23, 76:2, 76:7 | 66:3, 72:2, 74:2 |
|  | 10:21, 11: | -10, $77 \cdot 15$ | $\mathbf{a s t}_{[1]}-23: 8$ |
|  | 10.21, 11. | 10, 77:15, | lastly ${ }_{[1]}-84: 4$ |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { modernize }_{[1]}- \\ & \text { 42:3 } \\ & \text { module }_{[1]}-22: 4 \\ & \text { modules }_{[2]}- \\ & \text { 19:18, 22:10 } \\ & \text { Moffett }_{[8]}-5: 16, \\ & 7: 2,16: 3,16: 10, \\ & 75: 5,75: 8,85: 21, \\ & 86: 18 \\ & \text { moment }_{[1]}-3: 10, \\ & 3: 15,4: 2,27: 18 \\ & \text { Monday }_{[2]]}-1: 21, \\ & 20: 20 \\ & \text { money }_{[5]}-13: 20, \\ & 32: 15,53: 4, \\ & 81: 24,83: 18 \\ & \text { monitor }_{[1]}-61: 14 \\ & \text { month }_{[2]}-27: 13 \\ & \text { Montoya }_{[1]}- \\ & 77: 17 \\ & \text { most }_{[2]-37: 25,} \\ & 81: 25 \\ & \text { Mostly }_{[3]]}-42: 15, \\ & 53: 17,54: 2 \\ & \text { motion }_{[13]}-5: 14, \\ & 7: 8,7: 10,7: 19, \\ & 10: 11,47: 11, \\ & 50: 10,51: 4,51: 5, \\ & 51: 8,51: 20,71: 4, \\ & 88: 13 \\ & \text { Motion }_{[1]}-87: 25 \\ & \text { motor }_{[1]}-79: 8 \\ & \text { mounted }_{[1]}-60: 7 \\ & \text { move }_{[11]}-23: 17 \\ & \text { moved }_{[1]}-59: 24 \\ & \text { movie }_{[3]}-30: 14, \\ & 34: 4,35: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { movies }_{[1]}-33: 21 \\ & \text { MR }_{[6]}-8: 10,11: 5, \\ & \text { 11:11, 11:16, } \\ & \text { 12:5, 13:6, 13:21, } \\ & \text { 14:9, 14:14, } \\ & \text { 14:23, 15:6, } \\ & \text { 15:11, 19:5, 20:9, } \\ & \text { 20:14, 20:18, } \\ & \text { 20:24, 21:8, } \\ & 21: 10,21: 24, \\ & \text { 22:15, 22:23, } \\ & \text { 23:3, 23:8, 23:20, } \\ & \text { 24:6, 24:19, } \\ & \text { 25:12, 26:4, } \\ & 26: 11,26: 14, \\ & 27: 20,27: 24, \\ & 28: 4,28: 8,28: 14, \\ & 29: 3,61: 4,61: 9, \\ & 61: 23,62: 22, \\ & 63: 24,64: 25, \\ & 66: 5,67: 4,67: 6, \\ & 67: 10,67: 14, \\ & 67: 18,68: 2, \\ & 68: 25,69: 6,70: 6, \\ & 71: 16,72: 14, \\ & 74: 5,74: 11, \\ & 74: 25,75: 6, \\ & 75: 12,75: 17, \\ & 76: 5,76: 8,76: 23, \\ & 77: 8,77: 12, \\ & 77: 16,77: 24, \\ & 78: 8,78: 16, \\ & 78: 23,79: 7, \\ & 79: 16,79: 22, \\ & 80: 5,80: 16 \\ & \text { MS }_{[1]}-81: 10 \\ & \text { MTA }_{[2]}-12: 13, \\ & \text { 14:16 } \\ & \text { multi }_{[3]}-21: 17, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 13,63: 15, \\ & 72: 19,78: 18 \\ & \text { need }_{[9]}-14: 25, \\ & 20: 12,20: 14, \\ & 32: 21,49: 17, \\ & 68: 21,69: 9 \\ & \text { 81:15, 82:4 } \\ & \text { needed }_{[4]}-21: 19, \\ & 24: 25,36: 11, \\ & \text { 81:25 } \\ & \text { needs }_{[3]}-45: 21, \\ & 81: 6,83: 25 \\ & \text { negotiating }_{[1]}- \\ & 44: 15 \\ & \text { negotiation }_{[2]}- \\ & 46: 4,77: 22 \\ & \text { negotiations }_{[1]}- \\ & 78: 10 \\ & \text { neighbors }_{[1]}- \\ & 34: 15 \\ & \text { never }_{[1]}-43: 13 \\ & \text { NEW } \\ & {[1]-90: 4} \\ & \text { new }_{[9]}-13: 4, \\ & 13: 6,25: 17,32: 7, \\ & 55: 6,62: 4,72: 18, \\ & 72: 19,78: 6 \\ & \text { New }_{[11]}-1: 17, \\ & 3: 1,6: 12,7: 16, \\ & 8: 16,8: 18,9,9, \\ & 9: 11,9: 23,13: 11, \\ & 90: 9 \\ & \text { Newsday }_{[1]}- \\ & 9: 25 \\ & \text { Next }_{[6]}-16: 2, \\ & 18: 9,19: 2,51: 21, \\ & 61: 21,63: 22 \\ & \text { next }_{[12]}-5: 25,6: 8, \\ & 6: 18,16: 23,24: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{aligned} & 24: 18,30: 2,57: 2, \\ & 57: 22,61: 2, \\ & 62: 20,76: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 36:1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | On-call ${ }_{[2]}-62: 14$, | $1,85: 1$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nielsen }_{[4]}-6: 6, \\ 60: 3,60: 8,86: 7 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ |
|  | obtained | 65:7, 66:8, 68: | option ${ }_{[3]}$ - 39 |
| NIMMO ${ }_{(1)}-61: 9$ | 2:18 | 68:18, 68:23, | 48:18, 52:10 |
| $\mathrm{Nimmo}^{(11}$-61:10 | obviously ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ | 69:14 | options ${ }_{[4]}$ |
| Nine ${ }_{[1]}-52: 3$ noise ${ }_{[1]}$ - 33:19 | 33:17, 66:25 | once $^{21}$ - 68:13 | 38:12, 39:1 |
|  | occupancy | One ${ }_{[6]}-6: 24$, | 55:8, 56:5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { normal }_{13}-18: 8, \\ 18: 10,78: 20 \end{gathered}$ | 37:23 | 75:4, 75:10, | order $^{[11]}$ - 1 |
|  |  | 12, 78:1 | 10, 19:2 |
| normally ${ }_{[3]}$ -36:2, 45:12, 47:14 | 25:18, 26:5 | 86:16 | 25, 23:24 |
|  | 26:10, 26:13, <br> $27 \cdot 21,28: 7,38: 2$ | one ${ }_{\text {[36] }}$ - 14:25 | 57:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Notary }_{[1]}-90: 8 \\ & \text { noted }_{[2]}-79: 18, \\ & 80: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 39:10, 40:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:2, 18:9, 19:3, } \\ & 22: 2,24: 7,24: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 58:17, 58:21 |
|  | OF ${ }_{[2]}-90: 4,90: 6$ | :17, 28:19 | ders ${ }_{[1]}-84$ |
|  | Office ${ }_{[15]}$ - 6:23 | 22, 31:16, | original ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| notes $_{\text {[1] }}-90: 12$ nothing $_{[1]}-67: 16$ | 7:3, 7:5, 8:17 | 3:17, 48:7 | 12:17, 39:2 |
|  | 12:4, 22:18, 31:9 | 52:4, 52:10, 53:3 | originally |
| Nothing ${ }_{[1]}-80: 12$ | 34:10, 57:14, | 53:9, 53:19, | 23:21 |
| November ${ }_{[1]}$ -76:14 | 58:7, 58:22, | :14, 54:16, | originated ${ }_{[1]}$ |
|  | 76:3, 86:15, 86:20 | 57:22, 60:2, | 63:3 |
| Novo $_{[4]}$ - 6:4,$57: 23,58: 8,86: 4$ | office ${ }_{[3]}-15: 8$ <br> 66:13, 81:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 61: 21,62: 20, \\ & 66: 3,73: 8,74: 22, \end{aligned}$ | outdoor $_{[1]}-42$ |
|  | 66:13, 81:20 OFFICER | 66.3, 73.8, 74.22, | outside ${ }_{\text {[5] }}-3: 23$, |
| Nugent ${ }_{[1]}-31: 7$ | OFFICER ${ }_{[1]}-1: 8$ | 81:19, 81:21, 85:4 | 12:24, 14 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { number }_{[13]}-7: 11, \\ 13: 8,14: 10, \end{gathered}$ | Officer $_{[7]}-3: 12$ | ongoing ${ }_{44}$ - | 16:15 |
|  | $4: 12,8: 11,16: 6$ | 77:25, 78:5, $78: 9$ | oversight |
| 14:17, 52:25, | 33:2, 74:6, 82:8 | 78:11 | 11:3, 83: |
| 55:24, 68:22, | ers ${ }_{\text {[1 }}-76: 19$ | $\mathrm{C}_{[1]}^{-}-$ | - 40:2 |
| $69: 25,74: 15$, $82: 18,82 \cdot 24$, | Offices ${ }_{\text {[5] }}$ - 6:20 | 59:22, 64:9, 77:22 |  |
| $83: 5,87: 18$ | 6:21, 76:10, | operational ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 64:13 |
|  | OFFICIAL | 35:6 |  |
| numbers ${ }_{[1]}$ 74:13 | OI | rations | P |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NUMC }_{[1]}-77: 14 \\ & \text { NV5 }_{[4]}-6: 16, \\ & 66: 4,69: 2,86: 12 \end{aligned}$ | often ${ }_{(11}-49: 9$ | 18:8, 30:23, 39:16 |  |
|  | Old $_{[4]}-30: 12$ | opportunities | 87:15, 89:7 |
|  | $30: 24,31: 18,33:$ | 8:3, 40:1 | 9 |
|  | old ${ }_{[3]}-34: 4,35$ | pposed ${ }_{[8]}-8: 3$, | 25:19, 48:2, 55:13 |


| ```Paneth \(_{\text {(1] }}\) - 12:12 park \({ }_{[3]}-36: 22\), 43:16, 48:4 parkland \({ }_{[1]}\) - 41:10 parks \({ }_{[4]}-31: 5\), 49:9, 55:17, 65:14 Parks \({ }_{[3]}\) - 30:3, 30:6, 51:25 part \({ }_{[2]}-8: 20\), 43:22 Part \({ }_{[1]}\)-9:10 particular \({ }_{[1]}\) - 83:25 parties \(_{[1]}-66: 17\) partner \(_{[4]}\) - 38:8, 44:20, 46:17, 51:3 partnering \({ }_{[1]}\) - 46:2 partnership \({ }_{[1]}\) - 41:15 parts \(_{[1]}-36: 21\) party \({ }_{[2]}\) - 47:16, 81:22 passed \(_{[1]}-87: 10\) passes \(_{[1]}-85: 18\) past \(_{{ }_{11}-24: 9}\) pause \({ }_{[1]}-49: 6\) pavement \({ }_{[1]}\) - 62:9 pay \({ }_{\text {[6] }}\) - 27:14, 40:10, 43:21, 48:5, 53:3, 53:4 paying \(_{[11}-72: 6\) Payment \(_{{ }_{11}-56: 8}\) payment \({ }_{[2]}\) -``` | ```25:15, 52:13 payments \({ }_{[1]}\) - 27:11 peak \(_{[1]}-4: 2\) pending \({ }_{[1]}\) - 27:18 people \(_{[1]}-16: 11\) per \(_{[44}-52: 15\), 53:11, 56:9, 72:12 percentage \({ }_{(1)}\) - 39:15 perform \(_{[11}\)-62:14 performed \({ }_{[1]}\) - 30:20 performers \({ }_{[1]}\) - 52:21 perhaps \(_{[1]}\) - 38:11 period \(^{[8]}-19: 22\), 27:2, 27:8, 27:13, 27:14, 27:25, 28:3, 49:13 periods \({ }_{[1]}-52: 11\) permit \({ }_{[0]}-30: 8\), 30:11, 31:3, 35:12, 37:23, 37:25, 39:9, 40:14, 47:19, 73:5 permits \({ }_{[2]}-31: 2\), 32:16 perpetuity \({ }^{[1]}\) - 49:25 Perri \({ }_{31}\) - 5:18, 18:9, 85:23 person \({ }_{[2]}-72: 20\), 81:18 personal \({ }_{[4]}\) -``` |  | ```poor \(_{[2]}-40: 17\), 62:9 pop \(_{[11}-54: 3\) population \({ }_{[2]}\) - 10:7, 11:8 portable \({ }_{11}-58: 2\) portal \({ }_{[2]}\) - 52:12, 56:6 portions \({ }_{[2]}\) - 30:12, 30:14 position \({ }_{[1]}\) - 69:17 possibly \({ }_{[1]}\) - 16:18 posted \(_{[1]}-9: 25\) potential \({ }_{[3]}\) - 48:25, 52:16, 56:10 power \(_{[1]}-78: 3\) PP3 \({ }_{[1]}\) - 45:6 Pratt \(_{44}\) - 6:13, 61:21, 62:13, 86:8 prayers \(_{[1]}-3: 11\) Pre \({ }_{[1]}-66: 18\) pre \({ }_{\text {I1 }}-42: 15\) Pre-bid \({ }_{[1]}-66: 18\) pre-K \({ }_{\text {[1] }}-42: 15\) predate \(_{11}-49: 24\) prefer \(_{[1]}-77: 7\) prepare \({ }_{[1]}-30: 23\) prepared \(_{111}-51: 2\) present \({ }_{[2]}\) - 24:10, 39:25 presentation \({ }_{[11}-\) 24:10 presented \(_{[4]}\) -``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| rates $_{[1]}-28: 25$ | record $_{[]]}-44: 12$, | remotely ${ }_{(11}$ - | represent ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ray $_{11}-58: 2$ | 45:25, 47:7, 47:8, | 16:16 | 45: |
| read $_{[3]}-5: 12$, | 51:3, 74:11, 84:14 | renew $_{\text {[5] }}-24: 20$, | request $^{\text {[2] }}$ - |
| 74:20, 84:7 | recreating ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 28:15, 48:17, | 25:21, 37:5 |
| Ready ${ }_{[3]}-7: 6$, | 35:3 | 48:18, 52:10 | requesting ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| 80:3, 86:23 | referenced ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | renewal ${ }_{[5]}-27: 8$, | 62:11, 71:24 |
| ready ${ }_{11}-7: 13$ | 49:20 | 39:8, 39:10, 55:7, | require $^{44}$-12:23, |
| really ${ }_{\text {[0] }}$-13:25, | referencing ${ }_{(1)}$ | 56:5 | 13:25, 72:17, |
| 21:22, 34:7, | $2:$ | renewed ${ }_{[2]}$ - | 87:20 |
| 37:21, 40:15, | refunds $_{[1]}-21: 16$ | 26:5, 26:7 | required ${ }_{[3]}$ - |
| 47:7, 48:13, | regard $^{[4]}$ - 82:14, | rent $_{[3]}-39: 13$, | 28:22, 47:20, |
| 48:14, 48:19, | 83:13, 84:4, 84:8 | 40:21, 48:3 | 49:11 |
| 81:16 | regarding ${ }_{[2]}$ - | repair $_{\text {[1] }}-63: 16$ | requirement ${ }_{[2]}$ - |
| Really ${ }_{[1]}-50: 2$ | 44:12, 81:14 | repairs $_{[2]}-72: 13$, | 62:2, 62:5 |
| reason ${ }_{[2]}-36: 7$, | regardless ${ }_{\text {\|1] }}$ | 72:15 | requirements $_{\text {[] }}$ |
| 49:6 | 21:18 | replacement ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ | , |
| reasoning ${ }_{[1]}$ - | regards $_{\text {[1] }}-9: 20$ | 23:22 | 71:19, 72:3, 72:4, |
| 49:14 | reggae $_{\text {[1] }}-54: 6$ | replacing $^{[1]}$ - | 2:15, 73:6 |
| rebuild $_{[1]}-32: 4$ | Regular $_{\text {(11 }}-30: 23$ | 72:18 | requires $_{[1]}-31: 6$ |
| receipts $_{\text {[1] }}$ - | regular $_{[1]}-35: 6$ | report $_{11}-82: 13$ | rescue $_{11}$ - $57: 10$ |
| 39:16 | Regulations ${ }_{[4]}$ | reporter $_{[3]}$ - | resident ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| received $_{[2]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:19, } 8: 21,9: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 18:14, 18:15 | 66:12 |
| 13:24, 52:4 | 9:12 | REPORTER ${ }_{[1]}$ | residential ${ }_{[1]}$ |
| receiving ${ }_{\text {(1] }}$ | related $_{[6]}-19: 18$, | 1:24 | 34:7 |
| 14:8 | 21:14, 22:10, | reporters $_{[3]}$ - | residents ${ }_{\text {[7] }}$ - |
| recent $^{[1]}$ - $37: 25$ | 22:20, 66:20, | 16:15, 74:19, $75: 9$ | 33:18, 81:17, |
| recently ${ }_{[2]}-3: 13$, | 66:21 | Reporting ${ }_{[8]}$ - | 82:11, 82:16, |
| 31:10 | relative ${ }_{[3]}-77: 4$, | 5:17, 5:18, 6:19, |  |
| recess $_{[2]}-87: 12$, | 78:8, 79:23 | 6:25, 7:2, 16:4, | resources ${ }_{\text {[2] }}$ |
| 87:14 | reliant $_{11}-50: 18$ | 16:10, 18:4, 74:9, | 24:25, 46:19 |
| recommendati | relying $_{[1]}-46: 17$ | 75:5, 75:6, 75:7, | respond $_{[6]}-7: 25$, |
| Ons ${ }_{\text {[1] }}-65: 12$ | $\text { remain }_{[3]}-3: 10,$ | 75:8, 85:22, | 10:16, 71:9, 87:4, |
| recommends ${ }_{[3]}$ | 39:23, 64:12 | 86:17, 86:19 | responded |
| - 57:15, 58:7, | remake $_{11}-30: 15$ | reporting | 75:20 |
| 58:22 | remember $_{[3]}$ - | 12:20, 12:21, | responding ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| reconvening $_{[1]}-$ | 43:18, 69:15, 79:3 | 16:13 | 8:23 |
| 87:17 | remote $_{[1]}-18: 14$ | reports $_{\text {[1] }}$ - 14:5 |  |


|  | 33:15 <br> resurfacing $_{[2]}$ <br> 62:5, 62:9 <br> Resurfacing $_{[1]}$ - <br> 62:2 <br> retroactively ${ }_{(11)}$ - <br> 56:8 <br> return $_{[3]}-39: 11$, <br> 48:20, 49:17 <br> revenue $_{\text {11 }}-40: 19$ <br> Review $_{(1)}-22: 16$ <br> review ${ }_{[1]}$ - $30: 20$ <br> reviewed $_{[1]}$-9:19 <br> reviews $_{\text {(1] }}-66: 19$ <br> RFEI $_{[1]}-23: 10$ <br> RFP ${ }_{[14]}-8: 23$, <br> 9:19, 9:24, 12:18, <br> 23:13, 23:14, <br> 23:22, 23:24, <br> 26:20, 26:21, <br> 52:2, 55:6, 55:23, <br> 75:18 <br> Rich $_{\text {[1 }}-5: 16,7: 2$, <br> 16:10, 75:5, 75:7, <br> 85:21, 86:18 <br> Richard ${ }_{[1]}-16: 3$ <br> road $_{\text {[1] }}-77: 4$ <br> roads $_{[3]}-65: 14$, <br> 83:17, 83:20 <br> Robert ${ }_{[5]}-7: 2$, <br> 62:22, 71:16, <br> 78:22, 86:19 <br> rock $_{[3]}-54: 2$, <br> 54:23, 54:25 <br> role $_{[1]}-21: 19$ <br> roll $_{[7]}-4: 10,20: 8$, <br> 20:11, 20:17, |  | Schiliro ${ }_{11}-8: 11$ <br> Schlesinger ${ }_{[4]}$ - <br> 7:4, 79:6, 79:10, <br> 86:21 <br> School $_{[1]}-43: 19$ <br> scope $_{[11}-40: 4$ <br> scored $_{[1]}-75: 21$ <br> seating $_{[2]}-38: 17$, <br> 42:7 <br> secondary ${ }_{111}$ - <br> 38:8 <br> seconded $_{[7]}$ - <br> 7:9, 7:20, 10:12, <br> 51:7, 71:5, 88:2, <br> 88:14 <br> secrecy $_{[11}-50: 21$ <br> Section ${ }_{[11}-27: 5$ <br> secure $_{[11}-9: 12$ <br> secured $_{[1]}$ - 9:10 <br> securing $_{11}-31: 9$ <br> see $_{[13]}-3: 23,3: 25$, <br> 24:12, 32:4, 36:2, <br> 39:12, 40:18, <br> 45:12, 48:11, <br> 48:14, 48:20, <br> 49:6, 54:22 <br> seeking ${ }_{[2]}-52: 2$, <br> 55:23 <br> seeks $_{[1]}-39: 6$ <br> selected ${ }_{[2]}$ - <br> 75:22, 79:19 <br> sensitive ${ }_{[3]}$ - <br> 33:8, 34:18, 83:24 <br> separately ${ }_{[1]}$ - <br> 85:4 <br> September ${ }_{[3]}$ - <br> 28:9, 63:4, 63:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```served \(_{111}-83: 9\) service \({ }_{[2]}\) - 51:24, 62:15, 63:25, 65:4, 65:9, 65:10, 65:16, 66:10, 66:11, 66:17, 66:20, 78:12 Service \({ }_{[1]}-66: 6\) Services \({ }_{[7]}\) - 6:25, 8:18, 57:12, 74:10, 75:6, 86:13, 86:17 services \({ }_{[15]}\) - 6:19, 12:13, 14:2, 20:13, 25:15, 27:5, 28:21, 36:10, 55:21, 59:9, 60:6, 78:13, 82:3, 83:22 serving \(_{[2]}-78: 17\), 78:19 session \(_{[2]}-3: 3\), 84:13 Session \({ }_{[2]}\) - 45:10, 47:5 sessions \({ }_{[1]}\) - 37:15 set \(_{[5]}-9: 22,30: 15\), 30:23, 35:20, 90:14 setting \(_{\text {(1] }}-9: 23\) settlements \({ }_{[1]}\) - 45:10 \(\operatorname{seven}_{[2]}\) - 38:18, 40:17 several \(_{[5]}-28: 19\), 49:11, 72:5,``` | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 18,76: 19 \\ & \text { sewer }_{[1]}-39: 3 \\ & \text { sexual }_{[1]}-59: 11 \\ & \text { shall }_{[1]}-66: 11 \\ & \text { share }_{[2]}-44: 22, \\ & \text { 47:20 } \\ & \text { shooting }_{[1]}- \\ & 35: 21 \\ & \text { short }_{[1]}-30: 19 \\ & \text { shorter }_{[1]}-37: 15 \\ & \text { shows }_{[1]}-33: 22 \\ & \text { sick }_{[1]}-61: 16 \\ & \text { sides }_{[1]]}-42: 13 \\ & \text { Siela }_{[1]}-4: 15 \\ & \text { SIELA }_{[1]}-2: 9 \\ & \text { silence }_{[2]}-3: 10, \\ & 3: 16 \\ & \text { similar }_{[3]}-24: 10, \\ & 55: 20,83: 8 \\ & \text { single }_{[1]}-9: 18 \\ & \text { site }_{[1]}-9: 14,65: 5, \\ & 65: 8,65: 9,65: 22 \\ & \text { situation }_{[3]}- \\ & 33: 25,69: 24, \\ & 82: 20 \\ & \text { six }_{[1]}-27: 12, \\ & 27: 13,38: 18, \\ & 52: 5,53: 11,55: 24 \\ & \text { slated }_{[1]}-32: 3 \\ & \text { slip }_{[1]}-81: 8 \\ & \text { smaller }_{[1]}-38: 13 \\ & \text { soca }_{[1]}-54: 6 \\ & \text { Soccer }_{[1]}-42: 11 \\ & \text { soccer }_{[2]]}-38: 14, \\ & 42: 16 \\ & \text { software }_{[10]}- \\ & \text { sof } \end{aligned}$ | 19:10, 19:13, 19:16, 21:13, 21:18, 21:25, 22:19, 23:22, $24: 9$ sole $_{[2]}-16: 8$, 19:15 solely $_{[2]}-21: 13$, $21: 20$ Solutions $_{[5]}-$ $5: 20,25: 11$, $25: 13,25: 17$, $85: 25$ someone $_{[2]}-$ $20: 24,21: 2$ sometimes $_{[2]}-$ $34: 12,44: 4$ soon $_{[1]}-53: 23$ sorry $_{[4]}-20: 9$, $42: 10,53: 21$, $67: 10$ sort $_{[1]}-33: 19$ source $_{[2]}-8: 24$, $19: 15$ south $_{[2]}-37: 4$, $38: 19$ space $_{[4]}-36: 11$, $38: 5,43: 5,44: 14$ spaces $[1]-38: 17$ special $_{[2]}-4: 8$, $65: 13$ specialize $_{[1]}-$ $52: 6$ specialized $_{[8]]}-$ 9:3, $9: 10,9: 12$, $10: 4,10: 6,66: 14$, $66: 15,66: 16$ specific $_{[1]}-14: 10$ | ```specifically \({ }_{[1]}\) 10:25 Specifically \({ }^{[1]}\) 38:15 specification \(_{[1]}\) - 65:14 specifications \({ }_{[6]}\) -9:20, 9:21, 57:18, 58:10, 58:25, 64:15 speech \(_{[1]}-84: 5\) Sports \({ }_{[4]}-5: 22\), 37:18, 37:24, 85:6 sports \({ }_{[1]}-42: 14\) spot \(_{111}-32: 5\) \(\mathbf{S S}_{[1]}-90: 5\) staff \(_{[11]}-8: 15,9: 6\), 9:15, 9:16, 11:6, 11:8, 50:13, 63:15, 65:22, 72:8 staffing \({ }_{11}-28: 21\) stakeholder \({ }_{[1]}\) - 50:18 stakeholders \({ }_{[1]}\) - 45:7 stalled \(_{[1]}-32: 20\) standing \(_{[1]}-3: 10\) start \(_{[6]}-8: 8,30: 3\), 35:15, 57:4, 61:8, 74:9 started \(_{[3]}-16: 14\), 27:21, 28:6 starting \({ }_{[2]}-3: 18\), STATE \(_{111}-90: 4\) State \({ }_{[6]}-8: 17\), 9:11, 9:23, 12:20,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| third $_{11}-47: 16$ | training ${ }_{[7]}-8: 15$, | two ${ }_{(111}-13: 8$, | 58:4, 58:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THOMAS $_{[1]}-2: 5$ | 9:3, 9:5, 9:15, | 18:7, 18:20, 39:7, | - 60:7, |
| Thomas ${ }_{[3]}-5$ | 9:23, 26:23, $83: 24$ | 42:13, 52:10, | 65:10, 72:18, |
| 24, 64:25 | transcription ${ }_{[1]}$ - | 56:4, 60:9, 62:3, | 72:20 |
| thoughts ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - | 90:12 | 63:6, 83: | United $_{11}-78: 10$ |
| :11 | transfer ${ }_{[2]}-64: 9$, | Tyler ${ }_{\text {[5] }}$ - 5:19, | universities |
| three $_{\text {[6] }}-12: 15$, | 64:12 | 19:4, 19:8, 21:24, |  |
| 52:8, 56:2, 67:25, | Transmissions | 85:23 | University ${ }_{(1]}$ - |
| 68:2, 85:18 | ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$-6:3 | type ${ }_{\text {¢9] }}-18: 1$ | 47:16 |
| Three $_{[1]}-81: 5$ | transparency ${ }^{[1]}$ | 33:24 | university ${ }_{[7]}$ |
| tight $_{[1]}-14: 4$ | 4:12 |  | 40:25, 44:13, |
| timelines ${ }_{[1]}$ - | transparent ${ }_{[1]}$ - |  | :5, 46:12, 47:9, |
| 4:4 | 50:22 |  | 47:24, 50:12 |
| Title $_{111}-8: 19$ | Transportation $[1]-13: 12$ | $\text { typical }_{[1]}-42: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unlawfully }_{[1]}- \\ & 77: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { today }_{[2]}-27: 15 \\ & 74: 19 \end{aligned}$ | Treasurer ${ }_{11}$ | typically ${ }_{[2]}$ - $30: 25,65: 10$ | unnecessary ${ }_{[1]}$ - |
| together $_{11}-53: 8$ | 2 |  |  |
| Top $_{[3]}-5: 17,18: 4$, | Treasurer's 22:17 | U | 1:4 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 85: 22 \\ \text { top }_{[1]}-53: 21 \end{array}$ | Treat $_{44}-6: 9$ | unanimous | untabled |
| Total ${ }_{[1]}-68: 25$ | 61:8, 61:12, 86:7 $\text { treat }_{[2]}-61: 13 \text {, }$ | un |  |
| total $_{[6]}-13: 17$ | $61$ | 8:7, 87:10 | $10: 13,71: 6$ |
| 22:22, 31:4, | tremendously ${ }_{111}$ | under ${ }_{[13]}-13: 23$, | unused ${ }_{[1]}-43: 5$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 38: 22,52: 15, \\ & 56: 10 \end{aligned}$ | -74:16 | 16:21, 19:24, | ${ }_{[6]}-9: 15,20: 19$, |
| $\text { touted }_{[1]}-81: 18$ | tribute ${ }_{\text {[6] }}-53: 10$, | 25:19, 26:19 | 1:23, 32:21, |
| towards ${ }_{[2]}-34: 6$, | 20 | $46: 5,50: 21$ | 7:13, 74:14 |
| 83:19 | $54: 22$ | 57:13, 58:5, 58:20 | ing ${ }_{11}-56: 6$ |
| towers $_{[1]}-61: 14$ | Truck ${ }_{[4]}-6: 3$ | Under $_{[1]}-24: 24$ | usable ${ }_{111}$ - 43:7 |
| toxic ${ }_{[1]}-82: 24$ | 57:4, 57:16, 86:3 | derstood ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\text { seful }_{[11}-74: 17$ |
| track $_{11}-42: 17$ | trucks $_{\text {[1] }}-57: 10$ | 6:23 | $\text { user }_{[2]}-52: 13,$ |
| trafficking ${ }_{11}$ - | true $_{11}-80: 13$ | unduly ${ }_{[1]}-9: 20$ | 56:7 |
| 59:12 | trying $_{11}-23: 25$ | union ${ }_{[1]}-72: 21$ | utility ${ }_{[2]}-12: 23$, |
| Training ${ }_{\text {[5] }}-5: 15$, | $\text { turf }_{[5]}-38: 16,$ | Uniondale ${ }_{[2]}$ | 39:3 |
| 8:9, 8:13, 8:22, | 40:18, 41:19, | $43: 15,43: 19$ | utilize $_{\text {[1] }}-30: 12$ |
| 85:20 | 42:5, 43:6 | Unit $_{[3]}-57: 12$, | utilized $^{11}$ - $10: 5$ |




