

A-49-26 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

RECEIVED
NASSAU COUNTY
CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE

2026 OCT -2 P 4: 09



BRUCE A. BLAKEMAN
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

MELISSA GALLUCCI
COMMISSIONER OF SHARED SERVICES

**COUNTY OF NASSAU
SHARED SERVICES
1 WEST STREET
MINEOLA, NEW YORK 11501-4894**

Date: October 01, 2026
To: Robert Cleary, Chief Procurement Officer
From: Fernando Rodriguez, Buyer
Re: Adverse information memo
Staff Summary A-49-2026 Agilent Technologies, Inc.

Adverse information that was uncovered was:

On the Business History Form, the vendor responded affirmatively to Items #12 and #13.

On March 28, 2025, the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission imposed a \$9,000 civil penalty against the vendor. Following the incident, Agilent conducted a root cause analysis, revised relevant procedures, and retrained staff to prevent similar occurrences. All corrective actions have been finalized, and the issue is now resolved.

The vendor received an OSHA violation on February 20, 2025, totaling \$10,000. Following an OSHA inspection and two disputed citations concerning a chemical exposure issue, Agilent hired an independent third party to assess specific steps in a manufacturing process and identify ways to enhance its existing lockout/tagout (LOTO) program for routine maintenance. As part of a subsequent settlement with OSHA, the serious citation was dismissed, and the related penalty was reclassified and reduced under Citation 01001B ("Other"). The LOTO program was revised based on the findings, and the inspection and citation matter has since been resolved.

A news article reported on an employee of the vendor who was allegedly terminated due to race and age bias. This claim was submitted to the Delaware Department of Labor, which investigated the allegations and concluded that "no reasonable cause exists to believe that discrimination occurred," resulting in the dismissal of the case. Agilent has not yet received the mentioned complaint and is currently not a party to this litigation. Although the allegations have been made public through the filing, Agilent has not been formally implicated and may not be in the future. If the plaintiff continues with their claims against Agilent, the company will defend itself robustly. The allegations of discrimination are denied, as shown by the findings of the Delaware Department of Labor, and Agilent's actions in response to the incident reflect its commitment to employee safety and product quality, which are among the company's top priorities.

The Department has reviewed this information and determined that the vendor is responsible for this award.

Business History Form

The contract shall be awarded to the responsible proposer who, at the discretion of the County, taking into consideration the reliability of the proposer and the capacity of the proposer to perform the services required by the County, offers the best value to the County and who will best promote the public interest.

In addition to the submission of proposals, each proposer shall complete and submit this questionnaire. The questionnaire shall be filled out by the owner of a sole proprietorship or by an authorized representative of the firm, corporation or partnership submitting the Proposal.

NOTE: All questions require a response, even if response is "none" or "not-applicable." No blanks.

(USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY TO FULLY ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS).

Date: 05/13/2025

1) Proposer's Legal Name: Agilent Technologies, Inc.

2) Address of Place of Business: 2850 Centerville Road

City: Wilmington State/Province/
Territory: DE Zip/Postal
Code: 19808

Country: US

Address: 5301 Stevens Creek Blvd.

City: Santa Clara State/Province/
Territory: CA Zip/Postal
Code: 95051

Country: US

Start Date: _____ End Date: _____

3) Mailing Address (if different): _____

City: _____ State/Province/
Territory: _____ Zip/Postal
Code: _____

Country: _____

Phone: (800) 227-9770

Does the business own or rent its facilities? Own If other, please provide details:

4) Dun and Bradstreet number: 195823570

5) Federal I.D. Number: 770518772

6) The proposer is a: Corporation (Describe) _____

7) Does this business share office space, staff, or equipment expenses with any other business?

YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, please provide details:

8) Does this business control one or more other businesses?

YES ☒ NO ☐ If yes, please provide details:

Agilent has "Material Subsidiaries" listed in its Form 10K. We are a global company with wholly owned subsidiaries owned and controlled by Agilent Technologies, Inc. Our SEC filings, including our annual Form 10k, make all legally required disclosures. Please see that attached document.

9) Does this business have one or more affiliates, and/or is it a subsidiary of, or controlled by, any other business?

YES ☒ NO ☐ If yes, please provide details:

Agilent has "Material Subsidiaries" listed in its Form 10K. We are a global company with wholly owned subsidiaries owned and controlled by Agilent Technologies, Inc. Our SEC filings, including our annual Form 10k, make all legally required disclosures. Please see that attached document.

10) Has the proposer ever had a bond or surety cancelled or forfeited, or a contract with Nassau County or any other government entity terminated?

YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, state the name of bonding agency, (if a bond), date, amount of bond and reason for such cancellation or forfeiture: or details regarding the termination (if a contract).

11) Has the proposer, during the past seven years, been declared bankrupt?

YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, state date, court jurisdiction, amount of liabilities and amount of assets

12) In the past five years, has this business and/or any of its owners and/or officers and/or any affiliated business, been the subject of a criminal investigation and/or a civil anti-trust investigation by any federal, state or local prosecuting or investigative agency? And/or, in the past 5 years, have any owner and/or officer of any affiliated business been the subject of a criminal investigation and/or a civil anti-trust investigation by any federal, state or local prosecuting or investigative agency, where such investigation was related to activities performed at, for, or on behalf of an affiliated business.

YES ☒ NO ☐ If yes, provide details for each such investigation, an explanation of the circumstances and corrective action taken.

- The US Nuclear Regulatory Commission issued a \$9,000 civil penalty against the vendor on March 28, 2025 (see attached).
 - o Although the incident resulted in a civil penalty, Agilent promptly completed a root cause analysis, updated applicable procedures, and retrained personnel to prevent recurrence. All corrective actions have been completed, and the matter is now closed.
- The vendor was issued an OSHA violation on February 20, 2025 in the amount of \$10,000 (see attached).
 - o Following an OSHA inspection and two contested citations related to a chemical exposure concern, Agilent engaged an independent third party to review specific steps within a manufacturing process and identify opportunities to strengthen its existing lockout/tagout (LOTO) program for a routine maintenance activity. As part of a subsequent settlement with OSHA, the serious citation was vacated and the associated penalty was reclassified and reduced under Citation 01001B ("Other"). The LOTO program was updated based on the findings, and the inspection and citation matter has since been closed.

- See attached news article regarding an employee of the vendor that was allegedly fired for race and age bias
 - o This claim was filed with the Delaware Department of Labor, which investigated the allegations and found that "No reasonable cause exists to believe that discrimination occurred" and dismissed the matter. Agilent has not yet been

served with the referenced complaint and, therefore, is not presently a party to this litigation. While the allegations have been made publicly through the filing of the complaint, Agilent has not been (and may never be) formally brought into the case. If the plaintiff proceeds with its claims against Agilent, the company will vigorously defend itself. The allegations of discrimination are false, as illustrated by the findings of the Delaware Department of Labor and Agilent's actions in response to the underlying incident were guided by its unwavering commitment to employee safety and product quality, which remain among the company's highest priorities.

- 13) In the past 5 years, has this business and/or any of its owners and/or officers and/or any affiliated business been the subject of an investigation by any government agency, including but not limited to federal, state and local regulatory agencies? And/or, in the past 5 years, has any owner and/or officer of an affiliated business been the subject of an investigation by any government agency, including but not limited to federal, state and local regulatory agencies, for matters pertaining to that individual's position at or relationship to an affiliated business.
YES ☒ NO ☐ If yes, provide details for each such investigation, an explanation of the circumstances and corrective action taken.

- The US Nuclear Regulatory Commission issued a \$9,000 civil penalty against the vendor on March 28, 2025 (see attached).
 - o Although the incident resulted in a civil penalty, Agilent promptly completed a root cause analysis, updated applicable procedures, and retrained personnel to prevent recurrence. All corrective actions have been completed, and the matter is now closed.
- The vendor was issued an OSHA violation on February 20, 2025 in the amount of \$10,000 (see attached).
 - o Following an OSHA inspection and two contested citations related to a chemical exposure concern, Agilent engaged an independent third party to review specific steps within a manufacturing process and identify opportunities to strengthen its existing lockout/tagout (LOTO) program for a routine maintenance activity. As part of a subsequent settlement with OSHA, the serious citation was vacated and the associated penalty was reclassified and reduced under Citation 01001B ("Other"). The LOTO program was updated based on the findings, and the inspection and citation matter has since been closed.
- See attached news article regarding an employee of the vendor that was allegedly fired for race and age bias
 - o This claim was filed with the Delaware Department of Labor, which investigated the allegations and found that "No reasonable cause exists to believe that discrimination occurred" and dismissed the matter. Agilent has not yet been served with the referenced complaint and, therefore, is not presently a party to this litigation. While the allegations have been made publicly through the filing of the complaint, Agilent has not been (and may never be) formally brought into the case. If the plaintiff proceeds with its claims against Agilent, the company will vigorously defend itself. The allegations of discrimination are false, as illustrated by the findings of the Delaware Department of Labor and Agilent's actions in response to the underlying incident were guided by its unwavering commitment to employee safety and product quality, which remain among the company's highest priorities.

- 14) Has any current or former director, owner or officer or managerial employee of this business had, either before or during such person's employment, or since such employment if the charges pertained to events that allegedly occurred during the time of employment by the submitting business, and allegedly related to the conduct of that business:
a) Any felony charge pending?
YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, provide details for each such investigation, an explanation of the circumstances and corrective action taken.

- b) Any misdemeanor charge pending?
YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, provide details for each such investigation, an explanation of the circumstances and corrective action taken.

- c) In the past 10 years, you been convicted, after trial or by plea, of any felony and/or any other crime, an element of

which relates to truthfulness or the underlying facts of which related to the conduct of business?

YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, provide details for each such investigation, an explanation of the circumstances and corrective action taken.

d) In the past 5 years, been convicted, after trial or by plea, of a misdemeanor?

YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, provide details for each such investigation, an explanation of the circumstances and corrective action taken.

e) In the past 5 years, been found in violation of any administrative, statutory, or regulatory provisions?

YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, provide details for each such investigation, an explanation of the circumstances and corrective action taken.

- 15) In the past (5) years, has this business or any of its owners or officers, or any other affiliated business had any sanction imposed as a result of judicial or administrative proceedings with respect to any professional license held?
YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, provide details for each such investigation, an explanation of the circumstances and corrective action taken.

- 16) For the past (5) tax years, has this business failed to file any required tax returns or failed to pay any applicable federal, state or local taxes or other assessed charges, including but not limited to water and sewer charges?
YES ☐ NO ☒ If yes, provide details for each such year. Provide a detailed response to all questions checked 'YES'. If you need more space, photocopy the appropriate page and attach it to the questionnaire.

17 Conflict of Interest:

- a) Please disclose any conflicts of interest as outlined below. NOTE: If no conflicts exist, please expressly state "No conflict exists."

(i) Any material financial relationships that your firm or any firm employee has that may create a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest in acting on behalf of Nassau County.

No conflict exists.

(ii) Any family relationship that any employee of your firm has with any County public servant that may create a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest in acting on behalf of Nassau County.

No conflict exists.

(iii) Any other matter that your firm believes may create a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest in acting on behalf of Nassau County.

No conflict exists.

- b) Please describe any procedures your firm has, or would adopt, to assure the County that a conflict of interest would not exist for your firm in the future.

Agilent's employees and board of directors are bound by a code of ethics which specifically details requirements for avoidance of conflict of interest. "...Each board member must ensure that other existing and anticipated future commitments do not materially interfere with the members' service as director. As long as you remain an Agilent director, you must avoid situations where your loyalties may be divided between Agilent's interests and your own. Agilent expects you to avoid even the appearance of a conflict of interest. If you are an independent director, additional restrictions apply as

noted in the Agilent Technologies Corporate Governance Standards.”
For more information, please refer to Agilent's Stands of Business Conduct:
<https://www.agilent.com/supplier/downloads/StandardsBusinessConduct.pdf>

- A. Include a resume or detailed description of the Proposer's professional qualifications, demonstrating extensive experience in your profession. Any prior similar experiences, and the results of these experiences, must be identified.

Have you previously uploaded the below information under in the Document Vault?
YES ☒ NO ☐

Is the proposer an individual?

YES ☐ NO ☒ Should the proposer be other than an individual, the Proposal MUST include:

- i) Date of formation;
01/01/1999
- ii) Name, addresses, and position of all persons having a financial interest in the company, including shareholders, members, general or limited partner. If none, explain.
Agilent is a public traded company on the NYSE under stock ticker A.
- iii) Name, address and position of all officers and directors of the company. If none, explain.
Please see attached list for Senior Executives, Officers, and Directors. This information is also available at <https://www.agilent.com/about/companyinfo/leadership/>.

In addition, Lindsay Willett, Bids Response Specialist. Home address: 2075 River Rd., Bensalem, PA 19020 Office address: 2850 Centerville Road, Wilmington, DE 19808
- iv) State of incorporation (if applicable);
DE
- v) The number of employees in the firm;
18000
- vi) Annual revenue of firm;
5600000000
- vii) Summary of relevant accomplishments
Please see attached Agilent Fact Sheet.
- viii) Copies of all state and local licenses and permits.

- B. Indicate number of years in business.
27

- C. Provide any other information which would be appropriate and helpful in determining the Proposer's capacity and reliability to perform these services.
Please see attached 10-K form.

- D. Provide names and addresses for no fewer than three references for whom the Proposer has provided similar services or

who are qualified to evaluate the Proposer's capability to perform this work.

Company	County of Suffolk		
Contact Person	Edward Minden		
Address	North County Complex, Veteran Memorial Hwy		
City	Hauppage	State/Province/Territory	NY
Country	US		
Telephone	(631) 835-5555		
Fax #			
E-Mail Address	Edward.Minden@suffolkcountyny.gov		

Company	County of Onondaga		
Contact Person	Kristie Barba		
Address	100 Elizabeth Blackwell Ct.		
City	Syracuse	State/Province/Territory	NY
Country	US		
Telephone	(315) 435-3800		
Fax #			
E-Mail Address	KristieBarba@ongov.net		

Company	Johnson County, Kansas Crime Lab		
Contact Person	Julinne Kemp		
Address	11890 S Sunset Dr.,		
City	Olathe	State/Province/Territory	KS
Country	US		
Telephone	(913) 826-3209		
Fax #			
E-Mail Address	Julinne.Kemp@jocogov.org		

I, Georgeann Foster, hereby acknowledge that a materially false statement willfully or fraudulently made in connection with this form may result in rendering the submitting business entity and/or any affiliated entities non-responsible, and, in addition, may subject me to criminal charges.

I, Georgeann Foster, hereby certify that I have read and understand all the items contained in this form; that I supplied full and complete answers to each item therein to the best of my knowledge, information and belief; that I will notify the County in writing of any change in circumstances occurring after the submission of this form; and that all information supplied by me is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that the County will rely on the information supplied in this form as additional inducement to enter into a contract with the submitting business entity.

CERTIFICATION

A MATERIALLY FALSE STATEMENT WILLFULLY OR FRAUDULENTLY MADE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS QUESTIONNAIRE MAY RESULT IN RENDERING THE SUBMITTING BUSINESS ENTITY NOT RESPONSIBLE WITH RESPECT TO THE PRESENT BID OR FUTURE BIDS, AND, IN ADDITION, MAY SUBJECT THE PERSON MAKING THE FALSE STATEMENT TO CRIMINAL CHARGES.

Name of submitting business: Agilent Technologies, Inc.

Electronically signed and certified at the date and time indicated by:
Georgeann Foster LSCABIDS@AGILENT.COM

Bids Response Specialist

Title

10/01/2026 02:24:37 pm

Date